

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

OA17 MULTIDISCIPLINARY CARE OF THORACIC ONCOLOGY PATIENTS DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC SUNDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2021 - 20:00-21:00

OA17.01

Core Supportive Care for People Living With Lung Cancer During COVID-19: Analyses of Specialist Lung Cancer Nursing Practice



V. Brunelli Queensland University of Technology, Red Hill/QLD/AU

Introduction: Evidence now suggests that people living with lung cancer have a high susceptibility to the SARS-CoV-2 virus, experience disproportionately worse outcomes if infected with the virus, and are living with raised levels of vigilance and stress compared to people living with other cancer types. Specialist lung cancer nurses (SLCNs) have been instrumental and transformational in pandemic response management, working to ensure that this patient cohort is appropriately supported emotionally and physically, while developing and implementing policies and protocols to facilitate the provision of such support in a safe and timely manner. In this view, with a Latourian lens, a praxiographic study was undertaken with the objective of providing recommendations on core supportive care practices discrete to people living with lung cancer through the COVID-19 pandemic. Methods: Process mapping of pre-pandemic and mid-pandemic (3rd quarter 2020) patterns of care delivery was undertaken by the Research Committee of the Australia and New Zealand Lung Cancer Nurses Forum (ANZ-LCNF) with ANZ-LCNF members who agreed to participate in this study. A 2-stage analytical process followed. First, content analysis of pre- and mid-pandemic process maps was conducted per member participant to elucidate differences and similarities in care delivery. All data reflective of 'differences' in practice were then merged and preliminary concepts derived; the same process performed for 'similarities'. Second, a theoretically driven analysis was conducted to understand the complex work performed by SLCNs during the pandemic environment. Informed by the preliminary concepts, drawing on Latourian concepts of multiplicity, effacement, symmetry and convergence, a praxiographic teasing out of what happened for SLCNs and the patients for whom they care assembled higher-level themes reflective of practice change due to the pandemic environment. Results: Specialist lung cancer nurse practice, patients and health systems experienced disruption due to COVID-19 pandemic and which served to upset the complex and sometimes fragile workings of relative healthrelated networks. De-coupling of services, tele-practice, ambulatory expertise, and counsel reflect the ways SLCN practice has pivoted in view of such disruption. This underscores the importance of SLCNs establishing robust and functional partnerships with all entities in healthcare networks to facilitate optimal supportive cancer nurse care. Conclusion: COVID-19 continues to make an impact on people living with lung cancer. Analyses of pre- and mid-pandemic SLCN practice has defined recommendations for optimal supportive cancer nurse care. It is important to promote this crucial work to ensure all people living with lung cancer in this rapidly changing environment receive optimal care. Keywords: Specialist lung cancer nurse, COVID-19 pandemic, Core supportive care

OA17.02

COVID-19 Pandemic and Mental Health Status of Lung Cancer Patients in Indonesia



H. Afladhia, A. Aji, J. Sunukanto, S. Andarini, J. Zaini, E. Syahruddin Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia - Persahabatan Hospital, Jakarta/ID

Introduction: COVID-19 pandemic has brought massive changes to various aspects of life, which create psychological distress and have led

to an increase in mental health disorders, especially in patients who need regular visits to hospital as lung cancer patients. To date, there has been no data available related to depression status during the pandemic in lung cancer patients. Methods: We have conducted a cross sectional study in Thoracic Oncology Outpatient Clinic in Persahabatan National Respiratory Referral Hospital Jakarta, Indonesia. We ask the patients using Patients' Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for their experience during COVID-19 pandemic. This study has been approved by ethical committee Faculty of Medicine University of Indonesia number 21-01-0053/2020. Results: This preliminary result consisted of only eighteen lung cancer patients, with mostly male (66.7%), living mostly in Jakarta greater area (88.9%). Stage II consist of 5.6%, stage III 27.8% and stage IV 66.7%, with current treatment are chemotherapy (72.2%), targeted therapy 22.2%, and radiotherapy 16.6%. Using validated Bahasa Indonesia PHQ-9, we found 50% of patients were experiencing depression during COVID-19 pandemics. Conclusion: This preliminary study showed high prevalence of depression of lung cancer patients during COVID-19 pandemics, and would influence their quality of life. We currently awaits for the final results of this study. Keywords: COVID-19, mental health, depression

OA17.03

Depression, Anxiety, and Distress Impact in Patients With Thoracic Malignancies During the COVID-19 Pandemic



O. Arrieta, E. Bautista-González, J. Turcott, L. Lara-Mejía, D. Heredia, F. Barrón, M. Ramos-Ramirez, L. Cabrera Miranda, M. Salinas, M. Aguerrebere, A. Cardona Zorrilla, C. Rolfo, M. Arroyo Hernandez, E. Soto, R. Báez-Saldaña Thoracic Oncology Unit, Instituto Nacional de Cancerología (Incan), Mexíco City/MX, Thoracic Oncology Unit, Clinica Del Country, Bogotá/CO, Marlene and Stewart Greenebaum Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore/AL/US, Department of Geriatrics, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas Y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City/MX, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Respiratorias (Iner), Ciudad de México, México, Mexico City/MX

Introduction: Evidence has accumulated indicating that lung cancer patients have represented a vulnerable population throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. Limited information is available in Latin America regarding the overall detrimental effects of depression, anxiety, and distress due to the ongoing pandemic. This study aimed to determine the prevalence and impact of psychological disorders due to the COVID-19 pandemic in the medical attention and survival of patients with thoracic cancers. Methods: To determine the impact of COVID-19 in the mental health of thoracic cancer patients, specialized psychiatrists and psych-oncologists performed a cross-sectional mental health evaluation in a single center between March 1st, 2020, to February 28th, 2021. For this purpose, models were developed to test the association between mental health status and delays in medical care, and a second model to test the association between delays in care and survival effects. A logistic regression model was built with binary variables describing timeliness in treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic and the DASS-21 dichotomous explanatory self-reported anxiety, depression, and stress subscales. Scientific and bioethical committees of the Instituto Nacional de Cancerología (INCan) approved this study (020/043/ICI) (CEI/1493/20). Results: Five hundred and forty-eight patients were eligible for the analysis. The mean age was 61.5±12.9 years, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) was the most seen neoplasm (86.9%), advanced stages predominated (80%), and the majority of patients were under active therapy (82.8%). The mean DASS-21 score was 10.45, being women more affected than men (11.41 vs. 9.08, p<0.001) in the overall scale and on each subscale (p<0.001). Anxiety was reported in 30.5% of cases, followed by depression and distress in equal proportions (18%). Any change in treatment was reported in 23.9% of