

Open-Label Crossover Study of Primaquine and Dihydroartemisinin-Piperaquine Pharmacokinetics in Healthy Adult Thai Subjects

Borimas Hanboonkunupakarn,^a Elizabeth A. Ashley,^{b,c} Podjanee Jittamala,^a ^{[b} Joel Tarning,^{b,c} Sasithon Pukrittayakamee,^a Warunee Hanpithakpong,^b Palang Chotsiri,^b Thanaporn Wattanakul,^b Salwaluk Panapipat,^b Sue J. Lee,^{b,c} Nicholas P. J. Day,^{b,c} Nicholas J. White^{b,c}

Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand^a; Mahidol Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand^b; Centre for Tropical Medicine, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom^c

Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine is an artemisinin-based combination treatment (ACT) recommended by the WHO for uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria, and it is being used increasingly for resistant vivax malaria where combination with primaguine is required for radical cure. The WHO recently reinforced its recommendations to add a single dose of primaguine to ACTs to reduce P. falciparum transmission in low-transmission settings. The pharmacokinetics of primaquine and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine were evaluated in 16 healthy Thai adult volunteers in a randomized crossover study. Volunteers were randomized to two groups of three sequential hospital admissions to receive 30 mg (base) primaquine, 3 tablets of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (120/960 mg), and the drugs together at the same doses. Blood sampling was performed over 3 days following primaquine and 36 days following dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine dosing. Pharmacokinetic assessment was done with a noncompartmental approach. The drugs were well tolerated. There were no statistically significant differences in dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine pharmacokinetics with or without primaquine. Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine coadministration significantly increased plasma primaquine levels; geometric mean ratios (90% confidence interval [CI]) of primaquine combined versus primaquine alone for maximum concentration (C_{max}), area under the concentration-time curve from 0 h to the end of the study (AUC_{0-last}), and area under the concentration-time curve from 0 h to infinity (AUC_{0- ∞}) were 148% (117 to 187%), 129% (103 to 163%), and 128% (102 to 161%), respectively. This interaction is similar to that described recently with chloroquine and may result in an enhanced radical curative effect. (This study has been registered at ClinicalTrials.gov under registration no. NCT01525511.)

he 8-aminoquinoline primaquine is the only available drug which rapidly and reliably kills mature gametocytes of Plasmodium falciparum and so limits transmissibility of the treated infection. The emergence of artemisinin resistance in P. falciparum infection and the drive to eliminate malaria in some areas where it is endemic have led the WHO to strengthen its recommendation to add primaquine as a gametocytocidal drug to all artemisininbased combination treatments (ACTs) of falciparum malaria in these areas (1). A single primaquine dose of 0.75 mg base/kg of body weight or 45-mg adult dose was recommended originally as a gametocytocide and used in several countries for many years (2), but a lower dose of 0.25 mg base/kg (15-mg adult dose) has recently been recommended (1). This dose appears to be equally effective in blocking transmission, with a lower risk of hemolysis in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD)-deficient patients (3). It is considered that this single low dose can be given safely to patients with unknown G6PD status (1).

The WHO recommends dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine, a fixed-dose combination of dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine phosphate, as one of the first-line ACTs for the treatment of uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria. The normal adult dose is 3 tablets of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (40 to 320 mg/tablet) given once daily for 3 days (2). Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine is also being used increasingly for the treatment of vivax malaria, particularly in areas where chloroquine resistance is prevalent (4–7). Primaquine (in 14-day regimens) is required for the radical cure of *Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium ovale* infections because of its unique hypnozoitocidal activity. As a result, the use of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine and primaquine together, in the

treatments of both falciparum and vivax malaria, is likely to increase. Potential pharmacokinetic interactions have not been investigated. The pharmacokinetics of single-dose primaquine and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine were studied in healthy Thai adult volunteers in a prospective, randomized, crossover study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects. Sixteen healthy Thai adults (11 female, 5 male) between 18 and 60 years of age were recruited. They were nonsmokers and were judged healthy based on clinical history, physical examination, and baseline screening results in hematology, biochemistry, urinalysis, and electrocardiogram (ECG), with a corrected QT (QTc) (Fridericia) interval of <450 ms. Exclusion criteria included a history of drug allergy, alcohol or substance abuse, concomitant medication intake, G6PD deficiency as detected by Beutler's dye test, or positive HIV, hepatitis B, or hepatitis C serology. Female subjects were of nonchildbearing potential or, if of childbearing potential, had a negative serum pregnancy test and agreed to use effective contraceptive methods during the study. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University (reference number TMEC 12–004, approval number

Received 29 June 2014 Returned for modification 22 July 2014 Accepted 20 September 2014

Published ahead of print 29 September 2014

Address correspondence to Nicholas J. White, nickw@tropmedres.ac.

Copyright © 2014 Hanboonkunupakarn et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license.

doi:10.1128/AAC.03704-14

MUTM 2012-009-01) and by the Oxford University Tropical Research Ethics Committee (OXTREC 58–11). The trial was registered at Clinical Trials.gov under number NCT01525511. Each volunteer was provided with an explanation of the study and signed a written informed consent before study entry.

Sample size. The sample size was based on predicted areas under the plasma concentration-time curves (AUCs). Taking 80 to 125% as the no-relevant-effect limits for primaquine exposure (with or without dihydroartemisinin), and assuming a within-subject coefficient of variation for primaquine AUC of 21% (8), a sample size of 16 subjects (8 per sequence) provided a statistical power of 80%. The within-subject coefficients of variation associated with dihydroartemisinin (9) and piperaquine (10) AUCs were less than that associated with primaquine, so a sample size of 16 subjects also provided a satisfactory power for assessment of the effects of primaquine on dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine exposure. The sample size calculation was based on one-sided testing with an α value of 5% and assumed a true ratio of unity.

Randomization and study design. Volunteers were randomized into two groups. The study was open labeled, so patients and staff were aware of the study drug being administered. The volunteers were admitted to the pharmacokinetic unit at the Hospital for Tropical Diseases the evening before the study began. Subjects were given a light standard meal (~200 kcal with 8 g fat) 30 min before each drug dose and were allowed to eat 4 h after administration of the study drug. Water and/or soft drinks without caffeine were permitted 2 h postdose. Study drugs were taken orally with a glass of water. Vital signs were checked every 4 h after dosing. Both groups received 2 tablets of primaquine phosphate (15 mg base/tablet; Government Pharmaceutical Organization, Thailand) in the first admission. In the second admission, one group (n = 8) was given a single dose of 3 tablets of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (Eurartesim; Sigma-Tau Industrie Farmaceutiche Riunite S.p.A.) only (40 mg dihydroartemisinin/ 320 mg piperaquine phosphate per tablet), and the other group (n = 8)received a single dose of 2 tablets of primaquine together with 3 tablets of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine, and vice versa in the third admission. The washout periods between doses were >1 week after primaquine and >8 weeks after dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine-containing treatments. Electrocardiograms were recorded at 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h postdose in each admission. Methemoglobin was measured at each pharmacokinetic blood sampling time (see below) using a noninvasive monitoring machine (Masimo pulse oximeter; SpMet).

Pharmacokinetic sampling. For the pharmacokinetic assessment of all drugs, blood samples (2 ml) were collected into fluoride-oxalate tubes at 0 (predose), 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24 h and on day 3 (48 to 54 h). An indwelling catheter was used for the multiple serial blood collections from 0 to 12 h postdose. Additional blood samples were taken for piperaquine measurements on days 4, 7, 11, 15, 22, and 36. After collection, blood samples were centrifuged for 7 min at 2,000 × *g* at 4°C, and plasma was stored at -70° C or lower. All samples were transferred to the Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Bangkok, Thailand, for plasma drug measurements. The laboratory participates in the WorldWide Antimalarial Resistance Network (WWARN) quality control and assurance proficiency testing program with satisfactory performance (http://www.wwarn.org/toolkit/qaqc).

Drug analysis. Dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine plasma concentrations were quantified using high-performance liquid chromatography linked with tandem mass spectrometry according to previously published methods (11, 12). The limit of quantification was 2.0 ng/ml for dihydroartemisinin and 1.50 ng/ml for piperaquine, respectively. Primaquine and carboxyprimaquine plasma concentrations were quantified using solidphase extraction and high-performance liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry detection (reference 13 and; unpublished data). The limits of quantification were 1.14 ng/ml and 4.88 ng/ml for primaquine and carboxyprimaquine, respectively. Three replicates of quality control samples at low, middle, and high concentrations were analyzed within

IABLE I Baseline characteristics of study participa
--

Characteristic	Group A ^a	Group B ^a	P value ^b
Age (yr)	32.0 (24-45)	35.0 (26-52)	0.56
Weight (kg)	62.2 (54–71.4)	65.5 (54.3-68.9)	0.64
Height (cm)	162.5 (157-175)	164.5 (154-170)	0.96
No. (%) male	3 (37.5)	2 (25.0)	1.00^{c}
Aspartate transaminase	15.5 (11–21)	17.5 (14–19)	0.56
level (U/liter)			
Alanine aminotransferase	14.5 (7-25)	13.5 (10-30)	0.87
level (U/liter)			
QTc interval (ms) ^d	415 (394-431)	413 (391-439)	1.00
Methemoglobin (%)	1.0 (0-1.6)	1.2 (0.6–1.3)	0.56

^{*a*} Values are shown as median (range) unless noted otherwise. n = 8 per group.

^b Mann-Whitney U test.

^c Fisher's exact test.

^d Fridericia's equation.

each batch of clinical samples to ensure precision and accuracy during drug measurements. The total precision (i.e., relative standard deviation [SD]) for all drug measurements was <9.0% during drug quantification.

Pharmacokinetic analysis. Individual subject concentration-time data were evaluated using a noncompartmental analysis approach as implemented in WinNonlin version 6.3 (Pharsight Corporation, USA). The terminal elimination rate constant (λ_Z) was estimated by log-linear bestfit regression of the observed plasma concentrations in the terminal elimination phase, without data point removal. Visual inspection of all concentration-time profiles were performed to ensure an adequate fit to the observed data. Total exposure up to the last measured concentration (AUC_{0-last}) was calculated using the linear trapezoidal method for ascending concentrations and the logarithmic trapezoidal method for descending concentrations. Exposure was extrapolated from the last observed concentration to infinity by $C_{\text{last}}/\lambda_Z$ for each subject to compute total drug exposure (AUC_{0- ∞}). The terminal elimination half-life $(t_{1/2})$ was estimated by ln $2/\lambda_Z$. The maximum plasma drug concentration (C_{\max}) and time to maximum concentration (T_{\max}) were taken directly from the observed data. The total apparent volume of distribution (V_z/F) and oral clearance (CL/F) were computed individually according to the equations $V_z/F = \text{dose}/(\lambda_Z \times \text{AUC})$ and CL/F = dose/AUC, respectively.

Pharmacokinetic parameter estimates were compared between a single dose of each study drug administered alone and in combination using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test in STATA v.11. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out on the log-transformed pharmacokinetic exposure parameters C_{max} , $\text{AUC}_{0-\text{last}}$, and $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ to assess the bioequivalence of the drug administered alone versus that in combination. The effects of coadministration, the sequence of administrations, and subjects were examined in an adjusted model. The point estimate of the geometric mean ratio and the residual variability from the ANOVA were used to calculate the 90% confidence intervals (CIs) around the mean. The U.S. FDA criteria for assuming no interaction when the drugs are coadministered were met if the confidence intervals (90% CI) for the geometric mean ratios were retained within 80% to 125% (14).

Safety analysis. Safety was analyzed based on adverse events (AEs), physical examination, vital signs, clinical laboratory parameters, 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) findings, and methemoglobin levels. A \geq 30-ms change from baseline in QTc interval (using Fridericia's correction) was specified prospectively as clinically significant, and any subject with this change at any time point was noted.

The safety and tolerability of primaquine and dihydroartemisininpiperaquine were assessed by using the Wilcoxon matched-pair signedrank test for continuous variables or McNemar's exact test for categorical variables when drugs were given alone or in combination. The frequencies (%) of adverse events and serious adverse events, with particular attention to those of potential clinical concern, were presented by treatment group and reported by visit so that any effect of the addition of primaquine and

	QTc change (ms) for treat	ment with ^a :	P value ^{b} for:			
Dosing time	Primaquine alone	DHA-PQP alone	Combination	Primaquine versus DHA-PQP	Primaquine versus combination	DHA-PQP versus combination
Predose	417.9 (17.9)	420.4 (13.7)	414.4 (14.8)	0.264	0.265	0.063
1 h ^c	-7.91 (-20.8 to -2.00)	-1.66 (-10.0 to 0.41)	-3.55 (-9.56 to 1.24)	0.088	0.017	0.959
2 h	-10.4 (-20.7 to -0.59)	-1.58 (-11.5 to 4.40)	0.06 (-7.22 to 5.54)	0.163	0.007	0.326
4 h	-3.37 (-7.98 to 4.97)	7.08 (2.16 to 22.1)	8.28 (2.76 to 14.6)	0.002	0.004	0.918
8 h	-14.2 (-19.9 to -7.84)	-0.001 (-10.8 to 3.36)	3.79 (-8.74 to 9.00)	0.023	0.020	0.408
12 h	-8.85 (-17.6 to -2.45)	-0.52 (-10.3 to 6.76)	-0.31 (-8.20 to 5.26)	0.034	0.070	0.717
24 h	-8.09 (-13.3 to -2.85)	2.99 (-9.93 to 9.95)	-0.95 (-2.42 to 12.0)	0.030	0.004	0.234

TABLE 2 QTc intervals (Fridericia's correction) at predose of each regimen and changes from before dosing to 24 h afterward

^{*a*} *n* = 16 per treatment group. Values are shown as median (interquartile range) or mean (SD). DHA-PQP, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine; combination, primaquine plus dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine.

^b Compared using paired t test for predose and Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank test for all others.

^{*c*} Missing data for 1 patient in primaquine group.

reexposure to piperaquine could be assessed. All liver function test (LFT) parameters were also compared within each visit by treatment (to assess the addition of primaquine) using the Mann-Whitney U test and within groups (to assess reexposure to dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine) using the Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank test. Subjects were analyzed as treated.

RESULTS

Subjects. Sixteen healthy subjects were enrolled in the study and divided into group A (3 male and 5 female subjects) and group B (2 male and 6 female subjects). There were no clinically significant differences in baseline characteristics between the groups (Table 1). All of the volunteers completed the study protocol and were included in both the safety and the pharmacokinetic analyses.

Safety analysis. The drugs were well tolerated. No clinically significant changes in the physical examination, vital signs, and clinical laboratory parameters were observed during the course of the study. QTc intervals (Fridericia) at the predose point of each regimen and their changes from before dosing at each time point up to 24 h are shown in Table 2. There was a small (median, 2%) but significant lengthening of the QTc (Fridericia) interval following dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine treatment with (8 ms) or without (7 ms) primaquine coadministration, which was maximal at 4 h after dosing compared to primaquine alone (P = 0.0009 and P = 0.0027, respectively). This correlated with the piperaquine C_{max} (correlation coefficient for maximum QTc prolongation following dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine alone [Kendall's tau] = 0.48, P = 0.01; in combination with primaguine, tau = 0.35, P =0.0649). The addition of primaguine to dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine did not affect the magnitude of QTc prolongation (P =0.5695) (Table 3). Two female subjects (38 and 31 years old) had a QTc interval marginally above 450 ms (450.3 and 450.51 ms, respectively) at 4 h after dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine administration. QTc interval prolongations from a predose baseline of >30 ms (32 and 33 ms, respectively) were observed in 2 subjects 4 h after dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine administration. All subjects had methemoglobin levels of <3% at all times during the study. Three severe adverse events (SAEs) were reported by 3 subjects. All were deemed unrelated to the study drug or study procedure. One subject had a rickettsial infection, 1 subject had unstable angina with dizziness with nonspecific ECG changes, and the third subject had acute bronchitis. All of the SAEs required hospitalization, and all resolved subsequently. Six other minor AEs were reported by 5 subjects and were considered unrelated to the study drug. All AEs resolved subsequently.

Pharmacokinetic analysis. There were no statistically significant differences in dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine pharmacokinetics when administered with or without primaquine (Fig. 1; Table 4). The geometric mean ratios and 90% CIs of dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine administered with and without primaquine for the logarithmically transformed AUC_{0-last} and AUC_{0-∞} values were within the limits accepted for bioequivalence (Table 5; Fig. 2). However, the variability in C_{max} values was too great to assume bioequivalence.

There were significant changes in the pharmacokinetics of primaquine and its major metabolite carboxyprimaquine when administered with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (Fig. 1; Table 6). Combined administration with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine resulted in significantly lower primaquine CL/F (P = 0.0229) and V/F (P = 0.0013) values than administration alone, leading to significantly higher C_{max} (P = 0.0019) and AUC_{0-last} (P = 0.0200) values. This also resulted in a shorter primaquine $t_{1/2}$ (P = 0.0005) than with administration alone. Geometric mean ratios (90% CI) of primaquine administered with and without dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for C_{max} , AUC_{0-last}, and AUC_{0-∞} were 148%

TABLE 3 The maximum electrocardiograph QTc (Fridericia's correction) readings within 24 h after drug administration, time of onset and changes from baseline

	Treatment ^a			<i>P</i> value for:		
QTc reading	Primaquine alone	DHA-PQP alone	Combination	Primaquine versus DHA-PQP	Primaquine versus Combination	DHA-PQP versus Combination
Time to onset (h) % change from predose	4 (1–24) 0.53 (-3.85 to 2.07)	4 (2–24) 2.10 (–1.85 to 8.13)	4 (2–24) 2.73 (–0.22 to 8.30)	0.0387 0.0027	0.1474 0.0009	0.7266 0.5695

^a Values are shown as median (range). n = 16 per treatment group. DHA-PQP, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine; combination, primaquine plus dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine.



FIG 1 Mean venous plasma concentration-time curves of primaquine (A), carboxyprimaquine (B), dihydroartemisinin (C), and piperaquine (D) in healthy volunteers. Error bars indicate SDs.

(117 to 187%), 129% (103 to 163%), and 128% (102 to 161%), respectively (Fig. 2).

Similarly, when primaquine was administered in combination with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine, there were also significantly higher carboxyprimaquine exposures (C_{\max} , P = 0.0032; AUC_{0-last}, P = 0.0262) and lower V/F (P = 0.0019) and shorter $t_{1/2}$ (P = 0.0084) values than with administration alone. The geometric mean ratios (90% CI) of carboxyprimaquine administered with and without dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for C_{\max} , AUC_{0-last}, and AUC_{0-∞} were 133% (106 to 168%), 126% (99.3 to

160%), and 119% (92.8 to 153%), respectively (Fig. 2). This follows the pattern of alteration in primaquine pharmacokinetics, confirming a significant drug-drug interaction between primaquine and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine.

DISCUSSION

The values of the pharmacokinetic parameters estimated for dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine in this study are mostly comparable to those of a previous study by Chinh and coworkers (10) (geometric means of dihydroartemisinin: T_{max} , 1.5 h; $t_{1/2}$, 1.01 h;

	C 11	1	1 •		1 1 1	· 1· .	· · · · ·	• •
IABLE 4 Pharmacokinetic	narameters of dihy	droarfemisinin and	1 nineraau	ine administered	1 alone and	in combinat	ion with	i nrimaaiiine
THELE I I Harmacokinetie	parameters or any	arour combining and	i piperaqu.		a alone and	in combina	.ion with	primaquine
	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							1 1

	Dihydroartemisinin ^b			Piperaquine ^b			
Parameter ^a	Alone	Combination	P value	Alone	Combination	P value	
Total dose (mg/kg)	1.87 (1.68–2.22)	1.87 (1.68–2.22)	NA	8.65 (7.76–10.3)	8.65 (7.76–10.3)	NA	
$C_{\rm max} ({\rm ng/ml})$	364 (184-792)	348 (194-961)	0.3011	491 (129–1,270)	397 (127–1,200)	1.0000	
$T_{\rm max}({\rm h})$	1.50 (1.00-2.00)	1.50 (0.50-3.00)	1.0000	4.00 (3.00-4.00)	4.00 (3.00-6.00)	0.7419	
CL/F (liters/h/kg)	2.21 (0.96-5.01)	2.23 (0.87-5.52)	0.6051	0.450 (0.17-0.73)	0.441 (0.275-0.554)	0.1477	
V/F (liters/kg)	5.53 (2.67-11.3)	5.89 (2.70-11.0)	0.1788	225 (120-593)	265 (139–339)	1.0000	
$t_{1/2}$ (h)	1.97 (1.13-2.67)	1.81 (1.13-2.84)	0.1788	390 (224–669)	449 (206-610)	0.6417	
AUC_{0-last} (ng \cdot h/ml)	812 (394-2,010)	890 (358-2,210)	1.0000	17,400 (8,120-36,800)	15,400 (12,200–31,200)	0.7960	
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (ng · h/ml)	817 (398-2,030)	899 (361-2,250)	0.9176	20,400 (11,400-57,300)	19,800 (15,400–35,900)	0.6417	
Ext. AUC (%)	1.45 (0.253-4.19)	1.12 (0.338-2.81)	0.0703	17.9 (6.72–35.7)	20.8 (4.95-35.2)	0.6051	

 a C_{max} maximum observed plasma concentration after oral administration; T_{max} , observed time to reach C_{max} , CL, elimination clearance; V, apparent volume of distribution; $t_{1/2}$, terminal elimination half-life; AUC_{0-last} total exposure up to the last measured concentration; AUC_{0- ∞}, predicted area under the plasma concentration-time curve after the last dose from zero time to infinity; Ext. AUC, percentage of AUC_{0- ∞} extrapolated from the last observation to infinity.

^{*b*} Data are presented as median (range). n = 16 per treatment group. NA, not available.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 1			
Parameter ^a	Dihydroartemisinin	Piperaquine	Primaquine	Carboxyprimaquine
$\overline{C_{\max}(ng/ml)}$	111 (92.1–134)	98.1 (74.6–129)	148 (117–187)	133 (106–168)
$AUC_{0-last} (ng \cdot h/ml)$	100 (86.7–116)	105 (90.3–121)	129 (103–163)	126 (99.3–160)
$AUC_{0-\infty} (ng \cdot h/ml)$	99.9 (86.5–115)	105 (91.4–121)	128 (102–161)	119 (92.8–153)

 TABLE 5 Bioequivalence analysis of dihydroartemisinin, piperaquine, primaquine and carboxyprimaquine after administration of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine and primaquine alone and in combination^b

 $a^{a}C_{max}$, maximum observed plasma concentration; AUC_{0-last}, total exposure up to the last measured concentration; AUC_{0- ∞}, predicted area under the plasma concentration time curve after the last dose from zero time to infinity.

^b Data are presented as geometric mean ratios expressed as percentages (90% CI).

CL/F, 5.45 liters/h/kg; V/F, 7.97 liters/kg; geometric means of piperaquine: T_{max}, 3.0 h; t_{1/2}, 589 h; CL/F, 0.47 liters/h/kg; V/F, 394 liters/kg). The present study showed lower clearance and volume of distribution of dihydroartemisinin and consequently higher AUC and C_{max} values (geometric means: AUC_{0- ∞}, 817 versus 370 ng \cdot h/ml; C_{max} , 364 versus 159 ng/ml). The present study also showed a higher C_{max} but a similar AUC of piperaquine (C_{max} , 491 versus 204 ng/ml; AUC_{0- ∞}, 20,400 versus 19,929 ng \cdot h/ml). These differences observed between studies may reflect differences in the volunteers' age, diet, or gender and/or the play of chance given the large interindividual variability and small sample sizes. Piperaquine absorption may be enhanced when administered with a high-fat meal (15, 16), although small amounts of fat have little effect on piperaquine bioavailability (17, 18). In this study, no drug-drug interactions were observed in dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine pharmacokinetics as a result of primaquine coadministration. The AUCs of dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine were all within the 90% CI of the geometric means ratio of 80 to 125%.

When administered alone, primaquine pharmacokinetic results were comparable to those of the previous studies. Elmes et al. (19) reported mean (SD) plasma primaquine values in healthy Australian men and women, respectively, as follows: C_{max} of 93 (26) and 115 (38) ng/ml, AUC_{0-∞} of 1,105 (475) and 1,240 (444) ng · h/ml, and CL/F of 0.34 (0.12) and 0.39 (0.14) liters/h/kg. Binh et al. (20) reported a median plasma primaquine C_{max} of 122 ng/ ml, T_{max} of 2.0 h, and $t_{1/2}$ of 6.1 h in healthy Vietnamese volunteers. Coadministration of primaquine with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine resulted in significantly higher exposure, higher C_{max} , lower V/F, and shorter $t_{1/2}$ of both primaquine and carboxyprimaquine.

This pharmacokinetic interaction is similar in direction to that recently observed with other antimalarials. Coadministration of primaquine with chloroquine and with pyronaridine-artesunate demonstrated similar changes in primaguine pharmacokinetics (13; unpublished observations). In studies conducted >60 years ago, mepacrine (quinacrine [Atabrine]), an acridine with structural similarities to chloroquine, markedly elevated levels of pamaquine (plasmoquine, an 8-aminoquinoline predecessor of primaquine) (21, 22). Thus, several structurally related antimalarials, all with extensive tissue distribution and very slow elimination, elevate plasma concentrations of the 8-aminoquinoline drugs. Tissue displacement is therefore one potential mechanism to explain the interaction, and the likely interacting drug is therefore piperaquine rather than dihydroartemisinin. Whether this involves competition for transporters, such as that demonstrated in a study on the effect of rifampin, an organic anion-transporting polypeptide (OATP) inhibitor, on digoxin metabolism in rats



(Geometric mean ratio [90% CI])

FIG 2 Forest plots of the geometric mean ratios (90% CI) of the drug administered with and without interacting drug for the logarithmically transformed C_{max} AUC_{0-last}, and AUC_{0-ast}. Vertical dashed lines represent the U.S. FDA criteria of 80 to 125% for assuming bioequivalence.

	Primaquine ^b			Carboxyprimaquine			
Parameter ^a	Alone	Combination	P value	Alone	Combination	P value	
Total dose (mg/kg)	0.468 (0.42-0.556)	0.468 (0.42-0.556)	NA	0.495 (0.444-0.588)	0.495 (0.444-0.588)	NA	
$C_{\rm max} ({\rm ng/ml})$	128 (20.2-249)	177 (68.1–303)	0.0019	959 (104-1,250)	1,150 (746–1,550)	0.0032	
$T_{\rm max}$ (h)	1.79 (0.5-3.00)	2.00 (1.00-3.00)	0.4044	8.00 (4.00-12.0)	9.00 (3.00-12.0)	0.4355	
CL/F (liters/h/kg)	0.403 (0.173-2.98)	0.344 (0.190-0.742)	0.0200	0.0122 (0.00507-0.141)	0.0118 (0.00572-0.0196)	0.1089	
V/F (liters/kg)	4.19 (2.46–19.3)	2.87 (2.02-4.86)	0.0013	0.418 (0.346-3.304)	0.341 (0.269-0.475)	0.0019	
$t_{1/2}$ (h)	6.78 (4.45-9.84)	5.55 (3.81-7.56)	0.0005	23.1 (15.6-56.9)	22.6 (14.6-38.4)	0.0084	
AUC_{0-last} (ng · h/ml)	1,090 (144-2,750)	1,370 (592-2,550)	0.0200	30,800 (2,870-44,200)	33,600 (20,800-55,900)	0.0262	
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (ng · h/ml)	1,130 (149-2,830)	1,400 (604-2,570)	0.0299	44,000 (3,320-92,100)	46,500 (24,200-90,600)	0.2146	
Ext. AUC (%)	1.95 (0.502–7.00)	1.01 (0.233-6.56)	0.0299	24.6 (13.2–56.8)	24.1 (11.2–43.1)	0.0151	

TABLE 6 Pharmacokinetic parameters of primaquine and carboxyprimaquine after primaquine administered alone and in combination with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine

 a C_{max} maximum observed plasma concentration after oral administration; T_{max} observed time to reach C_{max} ; CL, elimination clearance; V, apparent volume of distribution; $t_{1/2}$, terminal elimination half-life; AUC_{0-last} total exposure up to the last measured concentration; AUC_{0- ∞} predicted area under the plasma concentration time curve after the last dose from zero time to infinity; Ext. AUC, percentage of AUC_{0- ∞} extrapolated from the last observation to infinity.

^b Data are presented as median (range). n = 16 per treatment group. NA, not available.

(23), remains to be determined. Inhibition of uptake to the liver could explain the discrepancy between CL/F and V/F. This scenario is based on the assumption that primaquine is passively absorbed from the gut but actively transported into hepatocytes. An increase in the bioavailability of primaguine seems less likely given that volunteer studies suggest near-100% oral bioavailability for primaquine (24). Primaquine metabolism involves monoamine oxidase A (25) and cytochrome P450 (CYP) isozymes, especially 2C19 (25), 2D6, and 3A4 (25, 26). Piperaguine inhibits CYP3A4 (27, 28) and CYP2C19 (27), and metabolic inhibition cannot be excluded as a contributor to reduced primaguine clearance. As the active metabolites of primaquine are produced via CYP2D6, a different route to the monoamine oxidase pathway which produces carboxyprimaguine, the relevance of these findings to primaquine's pharmacodynamic effects remains to be determined. However, by inference, the efficacy synergy for radical curative activity in P. vivax malaria for chloroquine and primaquine and the toxicity synergy demonstrated for pamaquine and mepacrine point to an increased pharmacodynamic effect as a result of these drug-drug interactions. Dihydroartemisinin is metabolized rapidly by glucuronidation (29) and is eliminated more rapidly than primaquine, so it is unlikely to have contributed to reduced primaguine clearance. Malaria infection (8) and the standard three-dose regimen of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine may further affect this interaction (30).

The overall tolerability for the dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine and primaquine combination was good compared to that of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine or primaquine alone. While a light meal before each drug administration may help reduce the gastrointestinal side effects of primaquine (31), this fed state is also suggested to increase dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine absorption (15, 16). It has been suggested that dihydroartemisininpiperaquine should be taken on an empty stomach because of concerns over electrocardiographic QTc interval prolongation (27). Our study showed a slight QTc interval prolongation in some female subjects receiving dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine which correlated with piperaquine levels and was similar in magnitude to that shown in earlier studies (32, 33) and that associated with chloroquine (600-mg adult dose) (13). Primaquine did not affect the QTc interval prolongation in this or other studies. Of all nine AEs reported, none were considered drug related.

In conclusion, coadministration of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine and primaquine was well tolerated in healthy adult subjects. This combination did not result in any significant pharmacokinetic alterations of dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine but increased plasma concentrations of primaquine. Further study is required to determine how this affects primaquine pharmacodynamics, but there seems to be no reason to not recommend this combination.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the volunteers and the nurses of the bioequivalence ward, Hospital for Tropical Diseases, Bangkok.

This study was partially funded by Medicines for Malaria Venture (MMV) (http://www.mmv.org/) and the Wellcome Trust (grant 089179) and was part of the Wellcome Trust Mahidol University-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Programme.

Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (Eurartesim) was kindly donated by Sigma-Tau Industrie Farmaceutiche Riunite S.p.A.

MMV reviewed and commented on the study protocol but had no part in its implementation, the analysis or interpretation of the study, or the decision to publish the results.

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. 2012. Updated WHO policy recommendation (October 2012): single dose primaquine as a gametocytocide in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 2. World Health Organization. 2010. Guidelines for the treatment of malaria, 2nd ed. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- White NJ, Qiao LG, Qi G, Luzzatto L. 2012. Rationale for recommending a lower dose of primaquine as a *Plasmodium falciparum* gametocytocide in populations where G6PD deficiency is common. Malar. J. 11:418. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-11-418.
- Douglas NM, Anstey NM, Angus BJ, Nosten F, Price RN. 2010. Artemisinin combination therapy for vivax malaria? Lancet Infect. Dis. 10: 405–416. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(10)70079-7.
- Leang R, Barrette A, Bouth DM, Menard D, Abdur R, Duong S, Ringwald P. 2013. Efficacy of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* in Cambodia, 2008 to 2010. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57:818–826. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00686-12.
- 6. World Health Organization. December 2012. Country antimalarial drug policies: by region. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. http://www.who.int/malaria/am_drug_policies_by_region_searo/en/.

- 7. World Health Organization. December 2012. Country antimalarial drug policies: by region. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. http://www.who.int/malaria/am_drug_policies_by_region_wpro/en/.
- Edwards G, McGrath CS, Ward SA, Supanaranond W, Pukrittayakamee S, Davis TM, White NJ. 1993. Interactions among primaquine, malaria infection and other antimalarials in Thai subjects. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 35:193– 198. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.1993.tb05685.x.
- Navaratnam V, Ramanathan S, Wahab MS, Siew Hua G, Mansor SM, Kiechel JR, Vaillant M, Taylor WR, Olliaro P. 2009. Tolerability and pharmacokinetics of nonfixed and fixed combinations of artesunate and amodiaquine in Malaysian healthy normal volunteers. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 65:809–821. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00228-009-0656-1.
- Chinh NT, Quang NN, Thanh NX, Dai B, Geue JP, Addison RS, Travers T, Edstein MD. 2009. Pharmacokinetics and bioequivalence evaluation of two fixed-dose tablet formulations of dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine in Vietnamese subjects. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 53:828-831. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00927-08.
- Hanpithakpong W, Kamanikom B, Dondorp AM, Singhasivanon P, White NJ, Day NP, Lindegardh N. 2008. A liquid chromatographictandem mass spectrometric method for determination of artesunate and its metabolite dihydroartemisinin in human plasma. J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 876:61–68. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j .jchromb.2008.10.018.
- Lindegardh N, Annerberg A, White NJ, Day NP. 2008. Development and validation of a liquid chromatographic-tandem mass spectrometric method for determination of piperaquine in plasma stable isotope labeled internal standard does not always compensate for matrix effects. J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 862:227–236. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2007.12.011.
- Pukrittayakamee S, Tarning J, Jittamala P, Charunwatthana P, Lawpoolsri S, Lee SJ, Hanpithakpong W, Hanboonkunupakarn B, Day NPJ, Ashley EA, White NJ. 2014. Pharmacokinetic interactions between primaquine and chloroquine. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58:3354– 3359. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02794-13.
- US Food and Drug Administration. 2003. Guidance for industry: bioavailability and bioequivalence studies for orally administered drug products. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, MD.
- Sim IK, Davis TM, Ilett KF. 2005. Effects of a high-fat meal on the relative oral bioavailability of piperaquine. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49: 2407–2411. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.6.2407-2411.2005.
- Nguyen TC, Nguyen NQ, Nguyen XT, Bui D, Travers T, Edstein MD. 2008. Pharmacokinetics of the antimalarial drug piperaquine in healthy Vietnamese subjects. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 79:620–623.
- Annerberg A, Lwin KM, Lindegardh N, Khrutsawadchai S, Ashley E, Day NP, Singhasivanon P, Tarning J, White NJ, Nosten F. 2011. A small amount of fat does not affect piperaquine exposure in patients with malaria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 55:3971–3976. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1128/AAC.00279-11.
- Tarning J, Lindegardh N, Lwin KM, Annerberg A, Kiricharoen L, Ashley E, White NJ, Nosten F, Day NP. 2014. Population pharmacokinetic assessment of the effect of food on piperaquine bioavailability in patients with uncomplicated malaria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58: 2052–2058. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02318-13.
- Elmes NJ, Bennett SM, Abdalla H, Carthew TL, Edstein MD. 2006. Lack of sex effect on the pharmacokinetics of primaquine. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 74:951–952.
- 20. Binh VQ, Chinh NT, Thanh NX, Cuong BT, Quang NN, Dai B, Travers T, Edstein MD. 2009. Sex affects the steady-state pharmacokinetics of

primaquine but not doxycycline in healthy subjects. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 81:747–753. http://dx.doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2009.09-0214.

- Chen G, Geiling EM. 1947. The acute joint toxicity of atabrine, quinine, hydroxyethylapocupreine, pamaquine and pentaquine. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 91:133–139.
- Berliner RW, Earle DP, Taggart JV, Welch WJ, Zubrod CG, Knowlton P, Atchley JA, Shannon JA. 1948. Studies on the chemotherapy of the human malarias. VII. The antimalarial activity of pamaquine. J. Clin. Invest. 27:108–113.
- Grover A, Benet LZ. 2009. Effects of drug transporters on volume of distribution. AAPS J. 11:250–261. http://dx.doi.org/10.1208/s12248-009 -9102-7.
- Mihaly GW, Ward SA, Edwards G, Nicholl DD, Orme ML, Breckenridge AM. 1985. Pharmacokinetics of primaquine in man. I. Studies of the absolute bioavailability and effects of dose size. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 19:745–750.
- 25. Pybus BS, Sousa JC, Jin X, Ferguson JA, Christian RE, Barnhart R, Vuong C, Sciotti RJ, Reichard GA, Kozar MP, Walker LA, Ohrt C, Melendez V. 2012. CYP450 phenotyping and accurate mass identification of metabolites of the 8-aminoquinoline, anti-malarial drug primaquine. Malar. J. 11:259. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-11-259.
- Ganesan S, Tekwani BL, Sahu R, Tripathi LM, Walker LA. 2009. Cytochrome P₄₅₀-dependent toxic effects of primaquine on human erythrocytes. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 241:14–22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016 /j.taap.2009.07.012.
- European Medicines Agency. 2012. Eurartesim: EPAR–product information. European Medicines Agency, London, United Kingdom. http://www.ema .europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information /human/001199/WC500118113.pdf.
- Tarning J, Rijken MJ, McGready R, Phyo AP, Hanpithakpong W, Day NP, White NJ, Nosten F, Lindegardh N. 2012. Population pharmacokinetics of dihydroartemisinin and piperaquine in pregnant and nonpregnant women with uncomplicated malaria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56:1997–2007. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05756-11.
- 29. Ilett KF, Ethell BT, Maggs JL, Davis TM, Batty KT, Burchell B, Binh TQ, Thu le, Hung TANC, Pirmohamed M, Park BK, Edwards G. 2002. Glucuronidation of dihydroartemisinin in vivo and by human liver microsomes and expressed UDP-glucuronosyltransferases. Drug Metab. Dispos. 30:1005–1012. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.30.9.1005.
- 30. Nguyen DV, Nguyen QP, Nguyen ND, Le TT, Nguyen TD, Dinh DN, Nguyen TX, Bui D, Chavchich M, Edstein MD. 2009. Pharmacokinetics and *ex vivo* pharmacodynamic antimalarial activity of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine in patients with uncomplicated falciparum malaria in Vietnam. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 53:3534–3537. http://dx.doi .org/10.1128/AAC.01717-08.
- Baird JK, Fryauff DJ, Hoffman SL. 2003. Primaquine for prevention of malaria in travelers. Clin. Infect. Dis. 37:1659–1667. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1086/379714.
- Karunajeewa H, Lim C, Hung TY, Ilett KF, Denis MB, Socheat D, Davis TM. 2004. Safety evaluation of fixed combination piperaquine plus dihydroartemisinin (Artekin) in Cambodian children and adults with malaria. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 57:93–99. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2125 .2003.01962.x.
- 33. Mytton OT, Ashley EA, Peto L, Price RN, La Y, Hae R, Singhasivanon P, White NJ, Nosten F. 2007. Electrocardiographic safety evaluation of dihydroartemisinin piperaquine in the treatment of uncomplicated falciparum malaria. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 77:447–450.