RESEARCH

Open Access



Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals immunoregulation mechanism of IncRNA-mRNA in gill and skin of large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) in response to Cryptocaryon irritans infection

Yulin Bai¹, Mei Wang¹, Ji Zhao¹, Huagiang Bai¹, Xinyi Zhang¹, Jiaying Wang¹, Qiaozhen Ke^{1,2}, Ang Qu¹, Fei Pu¹, Weigiang Zheng², Tao Zhou^{1,2,3*} and Peng Xu^{1,2,3}

Abstract

Background: Cryptocaryonosis caused by Cryptocaryon irritans is one of the major diseases of large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea), which lead to massive economic losses annually to the aquaculture industry of L. crocea. Although there have been some studies on the pathogenesis for cryptocaryonosis, little is known about the innate defense mechanism of different immune organs of large yellow croaker.

Results: In order to analyze the roles of long non-coding RNAs and genes specifically expressed between immune organs during the infection of C. irritans, in this study, by comparing transcriptome data from different tissues of L. crocea, we identified tissue-specific transcripts in the gills and skin, including 507 DE IncRNAs and 1592 DEGs identified in the gills, and 110 DE IncRNAs and 1160 DEGs identified in the skin. Furthermore, we constructed transcriptome co-expression profiles of L. crocea gill and skin, including 7,503 long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) and 23,172 proteincoding genes. Gene Ontology (GO) annotation and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analyses showed that the DEGs and the target genes of the DE IncRNAs in the gill were specifically enriched in several pathways related to immune such as HIF-1 signaling pathway. The target genes of DE IncRNAs and DEGs in the skin are specifically enriched in the complement and coagulation cascade pathways. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network analysis identified 3 hub genes including NFKBIA, TNFAIP3 and CEBPB, and 5 important DE IncRNAs including MSTRG.24134.4, MSTRG.3038.5, MSTRG.27019.3, MSTRG.26559.1, and MSTRG.10983.1. The expression patterns of 6 randomly selected differentially expressed immune-related genes were validated using the quantitative real-time PCR method.

Conclusions: In short, our study is helpful to explore the potential interplay between IncRNAs and protein coding genes in different tissues of L. crocea post C. irritans and the molecular mechanism of pathogenesis for cryptocaryonosis.

¹ State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science, College of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361102, China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeco mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: zt@xmu.edu.cn

Highlights: • Skin and gills are important sources of pro-inflammatory molecules, and their gene expression patterns are tissue-specific after *C. irritans* infection.

- 15 DEGs and 5 DElncRNAs were identified as hub regulatory elements after *C. irritans* infection
- The HIF-1 signalingpathway and the complement and coagulation cascade pathway may be keytissue-specific regulatory pathways in gills and skin, respectively.

Keywords: Larimichthys crocea, Cryptocaryon irritans, Comparative transcriptome, Immune response, Immunosuppression, Hub gene

Background

Large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) is one of the most important marine economic fish in China, with a cultured output of 197,000 tons, accounting for about 25% of the global sea bony fish trade volume [1]. Because of its golden color, delicious taste and high protein content, it is very popular among people. However, with the expansion of the aquaculture industry of L. crocea, the outbreak of infectious diseases has brought great challenges to the cultivation and breeding of L. crocea. Cryptocaryonosis caused by Cryptocaryon irritans is one of the most serious diseases that cause inflammation and death of L. crocea [2]. C. irritansis is a marine ciliated protozoan parasite that can infect the gills and skin of almost all marine teleost hosts, resulting in the loss of physiological functions of these organs. Although strategies for controlling cryptokaryosis, such as antibiotics, vaccines and metal ions, have been reported, they have only shown weak efficacy under field conditions [3, 4]. Therefore, studying the pathogenesis of cryptogenic diseases is of great significance for improving the disease resistance and breeding level of large yellow croaker.

Parasitic infection is one of the important issues affecting the sustainable development of the marine aquaculture industry (Zhao et al., 2021). In spite of limited pathogen recognition processes, the innate immune system can rapidly discover non-self-recognizing pathogen molecular patterns and send out danger signals to the immune system [5]. At the same time, in teleost, there is still a certain degree of adaptive immunity, including the specific antibody IgT, which binds to the parasite cilia on the surface of the infected fish body to alter parasite behavior and induce an escape reaction [6]. Innate immunity and adaptive immunity work synergistically to maintain the fish's homeostasis [7]. To reduce the impact of diseases on the industry, it is essential to understand the immune mechanisms in fish during pathogenic infections.

Previous studies have shown that fish are able to mount an immune response against parasite infections to inhibit the biological activity of parasites [8-10]. The fish skin is the first line of defense of the immune system and

plays various vital functions especially in immunity and defense against invading pathogens and environmental stressors [11, 12]. And the gill is not only involved in gas exchange, but also are major sites for osmoregulation, pH regulation and hormone production [13]. For instance, the Th2 skew environment represented by the enrichment of pro-inflammatory cytokines in salmon gills and skin can protect fish from parasites and inflammation [14], indicating the importance of differential expression between tissues in innate immunity. The gills and skin are also the primary sites of infection by C. irritans displays an extensive cellular response to the pathogen. Recently, the immune functions of fish skin and gill have attracted intensive interests of the research community, and many antimicrobial and bioactive substances have been identified in the tissue mucus [15, 16]. However, there is few transcriptome studies on the tissue-specific immune response of L. crocea.

To reduce the impact of parasitic infections on fish industry, in recent years, transcriptome sequencing has been widely used to explore the interactions between host and pathogen. It can not only analyze the structure and expression level of transcripts, but also identify unknown transcriptional isoforms and transcription patterns to accurately analyze valuable issues in life sciences. For instance, the transcriptome analyzed the antibacterial regulation mechanism of the spleen of black carp after infection with Aeromonas hydrophila, and identified the response pathways and key genes related to innate immune [17]. The complex and comprehensive of Brassica napus were revealed by using Isoformsequencing (ISO-seq) technique, and 220,000 alternative splicing events were identified from the genome-wide full-length transcripts, which provide a valuable resource for exploring complex transcription patterns, update gene annotation and drive further research on biological gene regulation mechanism [18]. Studies have shown that transcriptome is dominated by one transcript per protein-coding gene, which hinted that not all the transcripts contributing to transcriptome diversity are equally likely to contribute to protein diversity [19]. In addition to protein-coding genes, long noncoding RNAs

(lncRNAs) are a class of noncoding RNAs that do not encode proteins. lncRNAs are transcribed from most genomic regions and have important roles in regulating gene expression. More than 1,280 differentially expressed lncRNAs (DE lncRNAs) were identified from \sim 2 billion RNA-seq reads in 22 fish families [20]. Using a de novo transcriptome assembly method, Gustavo et al. identified tens of thousands more putative lncRNAs in the Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) transcriptome [21]. Therefore, transcriptome studies including mRNAs and lncR-NAs analysis play key roles in the dissecting of molecular mechanisms of important economic traits.

Although previous studies have sequenced transcriptomes of many L. crocea tissues, most of them are single organization expression analysis [22, 23]. In order to gain insights into the transcriptome diversity of L. crocea tissues, transcriptome association analysis of multiple tissues still need to be addressed. Fish skin and gills are organs that are directly exposed to external environment, play an important role in protecting the body from pathogen infection [23, 24]. They are also the primary targeting organ and major parasitic site of the C. irritans infection, which causes fish hypoxia and bacterial secondary inflammation, and even death [2, 23]. In this study, we reported a comparative transcriptome analysis of gill and skin samples of L. crocea. Our main aim was to identify the gills and skin specific transcripts, both protein-coding genes and lncRNAs. We sequenced the whole repertoire of both protein-coding transcripts and lncRNAs from the gills of diseased L. crocea at different time points (0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h). Next, we compared the previously reported skin transcriptome data [23] and identified tissue specific protein-coding genes and lncRNAs. It is worth noting that the skin and gill tissue samples are from the same batch of experiments to ensure the comparability of the data. We further analyzed the differentially expressed genes (DEGs), DE lncRNAs and signaling pathway that existed in multiple time points between gills and skin, and considering that they are key members in the immune response to stimulate C. irritans infection. The identification of non-tissuespecific hub genes will also support the development of vaccines and environmentally friendly antibacterial agents. Therefore, mRNA and lncRNA comparative transcriptome study will be of great value for the immune mechanism of pathogenic infection, breeding and disease control of L. crocea.

Results

Overview of sequencing Data and IncRNA Identification

We constructed ribo-depleted libraries of mRNAs and lncRNAs from the *L. crocea* gill infected with *C. irritans* for 0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h and sequenced on Illumina NovaSeq platform. About 43 million pair end high quality reads were collected for each sample respectively (Table S2). The raw RNA-seq data were deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database with accession number GSE174221. To construct a repertoire of L. crocea transcripts and compare transcriptome between gill and skin tissues, we also used the skin RNA-seq data reported in previous studies. RNA-seq data from both gill and skin tissues were used for downstream bioinformatic analysis. For each RNA-seq data, we mapped short reads to the L. crocea genome by using HISAT2. Based on mapping results, we quantified the expression of known protein-coding genes and lncRNAs using in-house pipeline. Finally, we constructed a stringent set of L. crocea RNA transcripts, including 23,172 annotated proteincoding genes and 7,503 lncRNAs.

Transcriptome variation of L. crocea gill and skin after C. irritans infection

Pairwise comparisons of five analyzed sample groups (0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h) identified a total of 2,706 redundant DEGs in the gill of L. crocea, including 1,363 up-regulated DEGs and 1,343 down-regulated DEGs (Figure S1A). Compared with the control group, a set of 570, 508, 739 and 889 DEGs in the gill were identified at 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h post L. crocea infection, which shows time-dependent DEG increasing (Figure S1A). It is worth noting that after infection with C. irritans, the number of up-regulated genes remained stable in the early stage and increased rapidly during 72-96 h, while the number of down-regulated genes increased first and then decreased, reaching a peak at 72 h (Figure S1A). In order to further analyze the interactions among different time points, we constructed a Venn diagram using the DEGs that were differentially expressed in comparisons of G24 h_0 h, G48 h_0 h, G72 h_0 h, and G96 h_0 h, respectively. A total of 536 co-existing DEGs were identified at multiple time points after infection (Fig. 1A). The Heat map showed the different expression patterns of genes in the gill tissue of L. crocea after C. irritans infection, which were divided into 4 clusters (Fig. 1C).

Similarly, a total of 2,240 redundant DEGs were detected in the skin post *L. crocea* infection, including 1,445 up-regulated DEGs and 795 down-regulated DEGs (Figure S1B). Meanwhile, 273, 431, 691 and 845 DEGs in the skin were identified at 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h post *L. crocea* infection (Figure S1B). A total of 539 co-existing DEGs were identified at multiple time points after infection (Fig. 1B). Meanwhile, Heat map showed the different expression patterns of genes in the skin tissue of *L. crocea* after *C. irritans* infection, which were divided into 4 clusters (Fig. 1D).



Tissue-specific analysis of *L. crocea* transcriptome at the gene level

We quantified the expression of protein-coding genes from each RNA-seq data and compared gene expression profiles between the two *L. crocea* tissues. Principal component analysis showed that the samples from the same tissues clustered together, indicating that the protein-coding gene expression values in same tissue showed similar pattern (Figure S3A). Differential expression analysis showed that among the 23,172 protein-coding genes, 3003 non-redundant DEGs have specific expression patterns between gill and skin tissues, including 251 overlapping sequences were also identified both in two tissues, and 1,592 DEGs and 1,160 DEGs were specifically expressed in gill and skin tissues, respectively (Fig. 1E). We also further compared the DEGs of the two tissues at 24 h, 48 h, 72 h and 96 h after infection, and the results showed that a total of 551, 483, 689 and 823 gill tissue-specific DEGs were identified, while the number of skin tissue-specific DEGs at different time points was 254, 406, 641 and 779. (Fig. 1F). We also found that the number of DEGs is increasing with the passage of time post-infection, indicating that their expression patterns were not only tissue-specific but also time-specific (Fig. 1F).

Function enrichment of differentially expressed mRNAs

To further explore the potential functions of tissue specificity and co-expression of DEGs, we performed GO and KEGG analyses respectively. The non-redundant DEGs were clustered into 4 profiles according to their expression patterns in gills and skin, respectively (Fig. 1C, D). Expression levels of most DEGs in profile 1 (gill and skin) increased continuously until 24 h post-infection, then decreased slightly. KEGG results showed that these genes were mainly enriched in immune pathways including Leukocyte transendothelial migration, IL-17 signaling pathway and HIF-1 signaling pathway in gills, while the main enriched immune pathway in skin was Complement and coagulation cascades pathway. Expression levels of most DEGs in profile 2 (gill and skin) decreased continuously until 24 h post-infection and then remained stable. KEGG results showed that these genes were mainly enriched in immune pathways including Chemokine signaling pathway, NOD-like receptor signaling pathway and Intestinal immune network for IgA production pathway in gills, while the main enriched immune pathway in skin was MAPK signaling pathway. Expression levels of most DEGs in profile 3 (gill) and profile 4 (skin) were consistently up-regulated from 72 to 96 h postinfection. KEGG results showed that these genes were mainly enriched in HIF-1 signaling pathway and metabolic pathway in gills, while the main enriched immune pathways in skin were Complement and coagulation cascades pathway, TNF signaling pathway, IL-17 signaling pathway, NF-kappa B signaling pathway, Toll-like receptor signaling pathway, B cell receptor signaling pathway and C-type lectin receptor signaling pathway. Expression levels of most DEGs in profile 4 (gill) and profile 3 (skin) peaked at 48 or 72 h after infection. The KEGG results showed that these genes were mainly enriched in some metabolic pathways rather than in immune pathways in gills, while the main enriched immune pathway in skin was Antigen processing and presentation pathway.

In addition, GO enrichment analysis found that compared with skin, gill tissue-specific DEG is mainly enriched in immune system process, G-protein coupled receptor activity, translation elongation factor activity and cell killing (Fig. 2A, B, Table S3). In addition, GO analysis showed that the overlapped 251 DEGs were classified into 59 sub-categories, of which, 25 in biological process category, 14 in molecular function category, and 20 in cellular component category (Fig. 2C). Based on the above research, we found that multiple immune-related GO terms are enriched, such as the immune system process, immune response, regulation of innate immune response, activation of innate immune response, innate immune response-activating signal transduction, positive regulation of defense response, response to stimulus, MyD88-dependent toll-like receptor signaling pathway. It is worth noting that we have identified multiple immunerelated genes, including toll-like receptor 5 (TLR5), ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF), C-C motif chemokine 4 (CCL4), tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein 3 (TNFAIP3), prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 (PTGS2).

KEGG enrichment analysis revealed that HIF-1 signaling pathway and Natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity were significantly enriched in specific DEGs of gill tissue, while complement and coagulation cascades and Toll-like receptor signaling pathway were significantly enriched in specific DEGs of skin tissue (Fig. 2D, E, Table S3). In addition, 251 DEGs co-existed in gill and skin, mainly enriched in NF-kappa B signaling pathway, TNF signaling pathway and Toll-like receptor signaling pathway, Antigen processing and presentation, IL-17 signaling pathway, immune pathways metabolic pathways, and cytokine and cytokine receptor interactions (Fig. 2F, Table S3). Finally, a total of 15 DEGs were identified as important gene set, including growth arrest and DNAdamage-inducible protein (GADD45), NF-kappa-B inhibitor alpha (NFKBIA), tumor necrosis factor alphainduced protein 3 (TNFAIP3), prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 (PTGS2), CCL4 TLR5, target of egr1 protein 1 (TOE1), zinc finger protein 710 (Zn710), leptin (LEP), DNA damage-inducible transcript 4 protein (DDIT4), sideroflexin-5 (SFXN5), SPARC-related modular calcium-binding protein 1 (SMOC1), transmembrane protein 181 (TM181), haptoglobin (HPT) and uncharacterized protein LOC104924406 isoform X1 (Table 1).

Tissue-specific analysis of IncRNAs in L. crocea tissues

In addition to protein-coding genes, lncRNAs are another abundant transcript expressed in *L. crocea* tissues. In comparison to protein-coding genes, lncRNAs had smaller number of exons and shorter transcript length (Figure S2A, B). After *C. irritans* challenge, a



 Table 1
 Non-tissue-specific hub genes identified from DEGs

Transcript ID	Gene ID	Description
evm.model.LG15.609	TOE1	Target of EGR1 protein 1
evm.model.LG20.240	ZN710	Zinc finger protein 710
evm.model.LG20.656	CCL4	C–C motif chemokine 4
evm.model.LG20.316	LEP	Leptin
evm.model.LG21.586	DDIT4	DNA damage-inducible transcript 4 protein
evm.model.LG3.919	SFXN5	Sideroflexin-5
evm.model.LG3.854.2	SMOC1	SPARC-related modular calcium-binding protein 1
evm.model.LG12.268.1	TM181	Transmembrane protein 181
evm.model.LG11.852	HPT	Haptoglobin
evm.model.LG12.590	NFKBIA	NF-kappa-B inhibitor alpha
evm.model.LG4.304	GADD45	growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible protein
evm.model.LG4.135	PTGS2	prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2
evm.model.LG21.736	TNFAIP3	tumor necrosis factor, alpha-induced protein 3
evm.model.LG8.1172	CEBPB	CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta
evm.model.LG12.194	TLR5	toll-like receptor 5
evm.model.LG6.55	Unknown	PREDICTED: uncharacterized protein LOC104924406 isoform X1

total of 880 and 278 DE lncRNAs in the gills and skin, respectively, showed differential expressions at the 4 time points (Figure S1C, D). Principal component analysis of expression values of lncRNAs in these *L. crocea* tissues showed a similar pattern as the protein-coding

gene (Figure S3B). To further analyze the interactions among different time points, we respectively constructed a Venn diagram comparing DE lncRNA at different time points of gill and skin infection. A total of 227 overlapping DE lncRNAs in gill and 80 overlapping DE lncRNAs were identified among all the 4 comparisons (Fig. 3A, B). The heatmap of DE-lncRNA both in gill and skin showed each group clustered together indicating differences in the regulatory effects between the two tissues (Fig. 3C, D). Similarly, we calculated the number of tissue-specific DE lncRNAs in *L. crocea* tissues. And the results show that, between gill and skin tissues, there were 507 and 110 tissue-specific DE lncRNAs, respectively (Fig. 3E). In addition, we also the comparison of DE lncRNAs between the gill and skin tissues of *L. crocea* after different infection times of *C. irritans*, indicating that DE lncRNAs are also not only tissue-specific but also time-specific. (Fig. 3F).

Target gene identification and functional analysis of DE IncRNAs

To identify target genes for DE lncRNAs, we calculated the Pearson's correlation coefficients between tissue-specific DE lncRNAs and DEGs. A total of 10,433 pairs with high correlation coefficient (|r| > 0.99, p-value < 0.001) including 471 unique DE lncRNAs and 1200 unique DEGs were identified. Most of DE lncRNAs were positively correlated with the expression pattern of target genes. The number of target genes ranged from 1 to 230, with an average of 22.

Similarly, we analyzed the enrichment function of target genes of specific DE lncRNAs in gill and skin tissues (Fig. 4A, B). The significant GO terms of the gill tissue specific DE lncRNAs were mainly associated with "DNA methylation, immune system process", while the significant GO term of the skin tissue specific DE lncRNAs was related to the regulation of immune response, innate activation of immune response and positive regulation of defense response (Fig. 4A, B). KEGG enrichment results showed that HIF-1 signaling pathway, toxoplasmosis and chemokine signaling pathway were significantly enriched in the target gene of lncRNAs in gill tissue, while complement and coagulation cascades and cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction were significantly enriched in the target gene of DE lncRNAs in skin tissue (Fig. 4D, E). In addition, we enriched the target genes of DE lncRNAs shared in gill and skin, and the results showed that the target genes of DE lncRNAs were Mainly engaged in activation of innate immune response, toll-like receptor signaling pathway, MyD88-dependent toll-like receptor signaling pathway, innate immune response-activating signal transduction, regulation of defense response, positive regulation of defense response, toll-like receptor 5 signaling pathway, regulation of innate immune response (Fig. 4C, F). Moreover, the top 20 enriched pathways of co-exited DE lncRNAs target genes are presented in Table S4.

PPI and validation of genes related to immune response

To further analyze the relationships among some candidate genes, protein–protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed, including immune-related genes such as *NFKBIA*, *GADD45*, *PTGS2*, *TNFAIP3*, *CEBPB*, *TLR5*, *TOE1*, *Zn710*, *CCL4*, *LEP*, *DDIT4*, *SFXN5*, *SMOC1*, *TM181* and *HPT* (Fig. 5). The network included 28 protein interactions with combined scores that bigger than 0.7 (Max interactors of 1st shell is 6. Max interactors of 2nd shell is 6.). The 3 hub genes including *NFKBIA*, *TNFAIP3* and *CEBPB* with a high level of connectivity were identified.

To verify the accuracy of the gene expression profile identified by RNA-seq analysis, the relative mRNA levels of the following six genes (*CCL4*, *DDIT4*, *LEP*, *HPT*, *SFXN5*, *ZN710*) were analyzed by qRT-PCR (Fig. 6). As shown in Fig. 7, the expression pattern of the six genes identified by qRT-PCR was similar to that obtained in the RNA-seq analysis. Therefore, the results of qRT-PCR confirmed the reliability and accuracy of RNA-seq data.

Discussion

Large yellow croaker is one of the most important marine economic fishes in China [1]. With the increase in market demand and the continuous expansion of large yellow croaker aquaculture, the improvement of large yellow croaker production has received close attention. However, due to its perennial infestation by parasites and pathogens such as C. irritans, it has caused huge economic losses. Therefore, in an effort to better understand its pathogenesis, in the present study, we performed transcriptome sequencing on gill tissue samples at 0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h after infection with C. irritans in large yellow croaker. And compared the transcriptome data of skin tissue in the same batch of experiments for correlation analysis to reveal the gene tissue-specific expression. A total of 1592 and 1160 tissue-specific DEGs tissue-specific were identified in gills and skin, respectively. We further compared the DEGs of the two tissues at 24 h, 48 h, 72 h and 96 h after infection, and the results showed that a total of 551, 483, 689 and 823 gill tissue-specific DEGs were identified, while the number of skin tissue-specific DEGs at different time points was 254, 406, 641 and 779. These results suggest that the skin and gill tissues of large yellow croaker simultaneously activate the same or different innate immune genes at different time points to defend against C. irritans infection. And we also found that the number of DEGs is increasing with the passage of time post-infection. This indicates that with the increase of infection time, more and more immune-related genes of large yellow croaker are involved in the defense mechanism. Similar results were also found in Sebastiscus





marmoratus and *Epinephelus coioides* [26]; Grouper (*E. coioides*) *CXCR4* is expressed in response to pathogens infection and early stage of development. After stimulation by LPS and necrosis virus, *E. coioides* innate immune genes are differentially expressed in the spleen and eyes [27]. These results indicate that DEGs not only exhibit a high degree of specificity in different tissues, but also have time specificity.

It is noteworthy that the important immune-related genes CCL4 and DDIT4, which are highly associated with C. irritans infection, are involved in multiple immune pathways and may play an important role in the regulation of inflammation. As an inflammatory protein, CCL4 coordinates the immune response to infection or inflammation and promotes the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines including *TNF*- α , *IL*-6 and *IL*-1 β in activated macrophages and fibroblasts during inflammation [28]. Sardar et al. reported that the cooperative induction of CCL4 is TLR4-MyD88 dependent and involves NFkB-MAPK-mediated signaling [29]. DDIT4 is induced by numerous stress stimuli, including pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and hypoxia. DDIT4 has various biological functions in the oxidative stress and inflammation response process, and mediates immune pathway network to maintain homeostasis [30, 31]. Recent studies have also demonstrated that DDIT4 is involved in the inflammatory response induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [32]. Though the importance of *CCL4* and *DDIT4* in immune response has been known, their function in *L. crocea* is relatively rarely reported, especially after parasite infection. More basic research is needed to support this result.

In order to understand the interactions of common DEGs in amongst all contrast, GO and KEGG pathway and PPI analyses were performed. GO term analysis of the common DEGs in amongst all contrast was enriched in biological processes (BP), including the immune system process. KEGG enrichment results are consistent with GO annotation results. The common DEGs in amongst all contrast were mainly enriched in immune pathways such as NF-kappa B signaling pathway, IL-17 signaling pathway, and TNF signaling pathway. It has been reported that IL-17 is elevated in a variety of inflammatory conditions, which can induce the activation of the NF-kappa B pathway and promote the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as *IL-1* β and *TNF-* α [33]. Meanwhile, the gene expression profiles of gills and skin showed that DEGs continued to increase after infection, indicating that the fish body has been involved in stimulating the inflammatory response caused by C. irritans.

In addition, it has been shown that lncRNA is involved in the immune regulation of teleost fish [34]. For



example, of the 5,636 specific lncRNA identified, 3,325 are differentially expressed and most lncRNA associated with innate immune gene during ISA virus (ISAV) infection in the liver of Atlantic salmon [34]. There are 993 DE lncRNAs identified in the liver of Atlantic salmon infected with Piscirickettsia salmonis [35]. Similar to coding genes, lncRNAs have high tissue specificity [34, 36]. Consistently, in the present study, a total of 880 and 278 DE lncRNAs in the gills and skin at the 4 time points post *C. irritans* challenge, respectively. In addition, we also the comparison of DE lncRNAs between the gill and skin tissues of L. crocea after different infection times of C. irritans. The above results indicate that the tissue-specific DE lncRNAs in gills and skin have diversified expression patterns after C. irritans infection. The pre-transcriptional regulation of gene expression is guite complicated [37]. As a functional component of post-transcriptional regulation, lncRNA may also act as the main regulator against this stimulus, resulting in a more diverse expression pattern of mRNA and lncRNA [38]. In the process of regulating against exogenous stimulus such as pathogens, lncRNA is induced to have a specific expression

pattern compared with mRNA under the same infection state [39]. And the unique expression pattern of some functional lncRNAs may contribute to the accurate and rapid immune response to pathogen stimulation [40]. Our analysis indicated that lncRNAs exhibit specific topological characteristics, and 23 lncRNAs have been identified as being associated with more than 200 target genes, which implies that these lncRNAs may be hubs and control the communication of different network components. In addition, we uncovered five key lncR-NAs, MSTRG.24134.4, MSTRG.3038.5, MSTRG.27019.3, MSTRG.26559.1, and MSTRG.10983.1, that were associated with most DEGs including hub genes that have been identified, and may thus be involved in the immune response to stimulating C. irritans infection. The above findings reveal the discovery of DE lncRNAs in the L. crocea post C. irritans, suggesting that lncRNAs might participate in the regulation of host response to parasitic infection, enriching the information of lncRNAs in teleost and providing a resources basis for further studies on the immune function of lncRNAs.

Importantly, the consistency of the enrichment analysis results of DEGs and DE lncRNAs indicates that they may





have a certain synergistic relationship in immune regulation and maintenance of body homeostasis. The HIF-1 signaling pathway was identified as the only pathway significantly enriched between DEGs and DE lncRNAs in gill, and play an important role in hypoxia stress, glucose metabolism and autophagy [41, 42]. It is speculated that it may be related to the physical damage caused by stimulation of *C. irritans* to infect gill tissue. It has been reported that mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway can increase HIF-1 α expression by inducing extracellular regulated protein kinases (ERK) phosphorylation [43]. In recent years, the MAPK pathway has attracted the attention of researchers as a key immune pathway, and its functions have been reported to be involved in the inflammation regulation and inhibition of apoptosis [44]. It suggests that there may be a MAPK /

ERK / HIF-1 α cascade immune regulation pathway in gill tissue of L. crocea, which plays an important role in the regulation of hypoxia and inflammation caused by C. irritans infection. The complement system is a highly complex innate immune pathway, which plays a pivotal role in resisting pathogen infection [45], and the DEGs in skin tissue are significantly enriched in this pathway. The complement system is activated by three pathways: classical, alternative and lectin pathway. And complement component 3 (C3) is the key gene of these pathways, which can drive complement effector function to eliminate pathogens and regulate adaptive immunity [46]. We investigated the expression of C3 in the skin of L. crocea, and the expression pattern was up-regulated in 0–48 h, down regulated rapidly in 48-72 h, and gradually returned to normal level in 72-96 h. The up-regulated expression in early stage of infection may be related to the activation of complement system. However, excessive C3 will produce excessive anaphylactic peptides, which can mediate the aggregation of macrophages and lead to inflammatory response [47]. Therefore, the skin down regulates the level of C3 transcription to prevent excessive inflammatory responses from damaging self-cells.

To further analyze the relationships among these immune-related DEGs and target genes of DE lncRNAs which were involved in the immune regulation, protein-protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed, including immune-related proteins such as *NFKBIA*, *GADD45*, *PTGS2*, *TNFAIP3*, *CEBPB*, *TLR5*, *TOE1*, *Zn710*, *CCL4*, *LEP*, *DDIT4*, *SFXN5*, *SMOC1*, *TM181* and *HPT*. The 3 hub genes with a high level of connectivity were identified. The PPI analysis results show that *NFKBIA*, *TNFAIP3* and *CEBPB* were important nodal proteins, which plays an important role in immune regulation.

Interestingly, the results of differential analysis between protein coding genes and lncRNAs showed that the number of transcripts in gills was greater than that in skin at any time point after C. irritans infection. It seems that the majority of transcriptional activation occurs within the gills of L. crocea compared with the skin. It suggests that the skin had fewer DEGs highlights the localized nature of the host-pathogen interaction. During the experiment, it was observed that the "white spots" on the skin of *L. crocea* were more obviously than the gills after C. irritans infection. Studies have shown that once individuals become highly infected with parasite and thus approach the terminal stages of disease, the function of phagocytes is reduced and a down-regulation of many genes occurs [48, 49]. It is speculated that the decrease of DEGs in skin may be related to gene-suppression. In addition, it has also been reported that this gene-suppression may be mediated by the parasite in an attempt to restrict the immune response and thus increase parasite survival [50]. Gene-suppression caused by parasitism is considered to be an important process of interaction and coevolution between parasite and its host [51]. In our study, there may also be some gene-suppression caused by *C. irritans* in the skin tissue of *L. crocea*.

Conclusions

In summary, the present study first elucidates the immunoregulatory pattern of lncRNA-mRNA in rhubarb on C. irritans infection by comparative transcriptome analysis. The results suggest that skin and gills are important sources of pro-inflammatory molecules, and the innate immunity-related genes they mobilize in defense against parasitic infection are not only tissue-specific but also spatiotemporally specific. In particular, three hub protein-coding genes and five hub lncRNAs associated with parasite infection were identified through comparative transcriptome analysis, and their functions involve multiple immune pathways. Importantly, we have identified candidate innate immunity-related genes, including CCL4 and DDIT4, that may play key roles in the antiinflammatory response during parasite infections. In addition, this study will help to better understand the role of lncRNAs in the immune response of large yellow croaker. Finally, our findings provide an insight into the dynamic characterization of the immune response of large yellow croaker infected with C. irritans, This study contributes to a better understanding of the genetic mechanisms underlying the disease resistance traits of large yellow croaker. However, more experimental work is needed to increase our knowledge on the parasite-host model that may help to understand the exact nature of the regulation of immune and other biological processes.

Materials and methods

Fish treatment and sample collection

Collection and propagation of the tomonts and theronts of C. irritans were conducted using a method described by Dan et al. (2006) [52]. The *L. crocea* $(25 \pm 10.0 \text{ g})$, n=200) were cultured at Fufa Aquatic Products Co., Ltd. (Ningde, Fujian) and acclimated for 15 days in a cement tank (26 ± 0.5 °C) before challenge. Before the fish were placed in temporary experimental tank, the water in the tank was fully aerated and exposed to the environment to provide a suitable growth environment for fish. After acclimation, 20 of them were randomly selected and transferred to a temporary tank as control group. The remaining fish averaged into 4 tanks (1 tons) as infected group. Each tank maintains 1000L of water, and only maintains a low water level (200L) during the infection. The fish of infected group were infected with theronts at a dose of 17,000 per fish, and the status of fish

was continuously observed for 96 h. During the observation, the fish stopped feeding and the gill tissues of four fish with obvious pathological characteristics were collected from different infection groups at 0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h post-infection. All the sampled fish were anaesthetized by using tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), and the gill and skin from the same fish were collected simultaneously at each time point, snap frozen in the liquid nitrogen and stored at - 80 °C for subsequent RNA-seq analysis.

RNA isolation, library preparation and sequencing

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA was removed using DNase (New England Biolabs), and RNA purity was assessed using the NanoDrop 2000 (NanoDrop Technologies, USA). The integrity of each RNA sample was detected by agarose gel electrophoresis and had an A260:A280 ratio and A260:A230 ratio and a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer. The RNA samples were used for the subsequent library construction. Each library was loaded into one lane of the Illumina NovaSeq for 2×150 bps pair-end (PE) sequencing.

Construction of L. crocea transcriptome from RNA-seq data

TrimGalore (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimG alore) and FastQC were used to control the quality of sequencing data. At the filtering step, reads that have adaptors, length of reads less than 50, reads quality score less than 20 are regarded as low-quality data and were filtered. The clean reads were aligned to a reference genome of L. crocea by Hisat2 (v. 4.8.5). Then, samtools (v. 1.10) was used to filter out the mapping reads which were denovo assembled separately for each sample by StringTie (v. 2.1.4). Finally, the assembled transcriptomes of each sample were merged to an integrated transcriptome of all tissues by StringTie (v. 2.1.4) using "-merge" option. Gffcompare (v. 0.12.1) was used to compared with known L. crocea gene annotation to construct a transcriptome repertoire in L. crocea tissues.

The identification of lncRNAs from transcripts follows a strict step-wise pipeline. (i) we used in-house scripts to exclude transcripts smaller than 200 bps; (ii) three different softwares (CPC2, CNCI, and PLEK) were used to estimate the coding potential, and the transcripts identified as coding RNAs by any of the three software were removed; (iii) we used TransDecoder (http://transdecod er.sourceforge.net/) to identify putative open reading frame (ORF) in each transcript, and removed transcripts have putative ORFs longer than 300 bps; (iv) the remaining transcripts were aligned to the open databases including Pfam, Rfam, Uniprot, NR, and miRBase using the program pfamscan, Infernal, and BLAST. All transcripts with alignment E-value < 1e-6 were removed. The set of remaining transcripts were considered as candidate lncR-NAs in this study and used for the further analysis. The flow chart of transcriptome construction is shown in Fig. 7.

Expression quantification and normalization of transcripts in all tissue samples

Based on the Hisat2 alignment BAM file, StringTie and Deseq2 were used to estimate and quantify gene expression separately for each RNA-seq data with default parameters, yielding raw read count and expression abundance for each of the protein-coding genes and lncRNAs across all samples. Gene expression measurements were normalized, we could estimate the fragments per kilobase of exon per million reads mapped (FPKM) using equations:

$$FPKM = \left(10^6 \times n_f\right) / (L \times N)$$

In these equations, n_f is the number of inserts aligned to the gene, L is the sum of the exon lengths of the gene divided by 1000; N is the total effective read counts aligned to the genome.Transcripts expressing differently between any two groups and fulfilling with statistical significance criteria ($|log2[foldchange]| \ge 2$ and p-value < 0.05) were regarded as DE lncRNAs and DEGs.

Target gene prediction of DE IncRNAs

The Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) between each pair of DE lncRNAs and DEGs in *L. crocea* genome were calculated via in-house R scripts (v. 3.6.0). The DEGs with |r| > 0.99 and p-value < 0.001 were considered as the target gene of the paired DE lncRNA.

Gene expression pattern analysis, functional enrichment of DEGs and PPI network Analyses

Time-course sequencing data analysis (TCseq) was used to assess the expression patterns of non-redundant DEGs over time. By clustering DEGs with similar expression patterns into the same cluster, 4 clusters were obtained in skin and gill tissue respectively.

The functional annotation of genes is based on the reference genome of *L. crocea.* Interprocan (v. 5.0) was used for GO terms annotation. KO terms for each gene are annoted by an online website (KAAS, https://www.genome.jp/tools/kaas/). OmicShare tools (www.omics hare.com/tools) were used to analyze the GO function and KEGG pathway of DEGs, and to explore the corresponding biological functions and related pathways. PPI network construction was performed in STRING (https://string-db.org/), the Search Tool for the Retrieval

of Interacting Genes/proteins, referred to the protein interaction result reported in Homo sapiens.

Quantitative real-time PCR validation

The cDNA was reverse-transcribed with the RNase-free gDNA Eraser kit (TaKaRa, Japan). The five-fold diluted cDNA and SYBR [®] Premix Ex TaqTM II (TaKaRa, Japan) were used to perform qRT-PCR with CFX96TM (Bio-Rad, USA). The primers used for the qRT-PCR analyses are listed in Table S1. The PCR cycling conditions used were as follows: 95 °C for 30 s; 40 cycles at 95 °C for 5 s, 60 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 10 s; and 72 °C for 10 s. The reference gene β -actin was used to normalize the expression values. This was followed by a dissociation curve analysis, at 95 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 1 min and 97 °C for 1 s, to verify the specificity of product amplification. Each experiment group was performed in triplicate.

Data from the qRT-PCR was calculated using the 2 – $\Delta\Delta$ Ct relative quantification method [53]. All data were expressed as the means ± SE. Difference among groups were analyzed by a one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's T3 test. *P*<0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Abbreviations

L. crocea: Large Yellow Croaker; C. irritans: Cryptocaryon irritans; LncRNAs: Long non-coding RNAs; DE IncRNAs: Differentially expressed long non-coding RNAs; DEGs: Differentially expressed genes; NFKBIA: NF-Kappa-B Inhibitor Alpha; GADD45: Growth Arrest and DNA Damage Inducible 45; PTGS2: Prostaglandin-Endoperoxide Synthase 2; CEBPB: CCAAT Enhancer Binding Protein Beta; TLR5: Toll Like Receptor 5; TOE1: Target of EGR1; CCL4: C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 4; DDIT4: DNA Damage Inducible Transcript 4; CNTF: Ciliary neurotrophic factor; TNFAIP3: Tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein 3; Zn710: Zinc finger protein 710; LEP: Leptin; SFXN5: Sideroflexin-5; TM181: Transmembrane protein 181; HPT: Haptoglobin; TNF – α: Tumor Necrosis Factor alpha; IL-6: Interleukin 6; IL-1β: Interleukin 1 Beta; IL-17: Interleukin 17; C3: Complement component 3; ISAV: ISA virus; MAPK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase; ERK: Extracellular regulated protein kinases; gRT PCR: Quantitative real-time PCR; ISO-seq: Isoform-sequencing; RNA-seq: RNA sequencing; PE: Pair-end; ORF: Open reading frame; FPKM: Fragments per kilobase of exon per million reads mapped; KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; GO: Gene ontology; GEO: Gene Expression Omnibus.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12864-022-08431-w.

Additional File 1

Acknowledgements

Text for this section.

Authors' contributions

PX and TZ conceived the study. YLB and MW performed bioinformatics analysis. JZ, HQB, XYZ, QZK and JYW collected samples. YLB, AQ, FP and WQZ extracted DNA and RNA. YLB and MW performed the quality control. YLB and MW wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (U21A20264); the Special Foundation for Major Research Program of Fujian Province (2020NZ08003); the Open Research Fund Project of State Key Laboratory of Large Yellow Croaker Breeding (LYC2021RS02); the Local Science and Technology Development Project Guide by The Central Government (2019L3032); the China Agriculture Research System (CARS-47); the Ningbo Science and technology innovation 2025 major special project (2021Z002); Industry-University-Research Cooperation Project of Xiamen University in Ningde (2021C002).

Availability of data and materials

The data including mRNA and IncRNA transcript sequences and target gene prediction files have also been deposited at Figshare database (machine-accessible metadata file describing the reported data: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.17088794.v1). The RNA-seq raw reads have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the GEO accession numbers GPL30112.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The *L. crocea* used in this study were obtained from Ningde, Fujian Province, China. This work was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at the College of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Xiamen University. All procedures in this study were carried in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines (https://arriveguidelines.org). Efforts were made to minimize suffering as much as possible, and all animal and experimental procedures were carried out in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes set by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Beijing, China (No. 398, 2006), the National Standards for Biosafety Laboratories (GB19489—2008), and the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH publication no.85–23, revised 1996).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author details

¹State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science, College of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361102, China. ²State Key Laboratory of Large Yellow Croaker Breeding, Ningde Fufa Fisheries Company Limited, Ningde 352130, China. ³Fujian Key Laboratory of Genetics and Breeding of Marine Organisms, College of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361102, China.

Received: 24 November 2021 Accepted: 28 February 2022 Published online: 15 March 2022

References

- Ministry of Agriculture, F.B. CHINA FISHERY STATISTICAL YEARBOOK. 2020: Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries Bureau. https://doi.org/10.7236/ IJASC.2021.10.2.158.
- Zhao J, et al. Genomic selection for parasitic ciliate Cryptocaryon irritans resistance in large yellow croaker. Aquaculture. 2021;531:735786
- Yoshinaga T, Nakazoe JI. Acquired Protection and Production of Immobilization Antibody against Cryptocaryon irritans(Ciliophora, Hymenostomatida) in Mummichog(Fundulus heteroclitus). Fish Pathology.1997;32(4):229–30.
- Yin F, et al. Anti-parasitic effects of Leptomycin B isolated from Streptomyces sp. CJK17 on marine fish ciliate Cryptocaryon irritans. Veterinary Parasitology. 2016;217:89–94.
- Magnadóttir B. Innate immunity of fish (overview). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2006;20(2):137–51.

- Buchmann K. Immune response to Ichthyophthirius multifiliis and role of IgT. Immunology. 2020;42(8):e12675.
- Maekawa S. Comparative Study of Immune Reaction Against Bacterial Infection From Transcriptome Analysis. Front Immunol. 2019;10:153.
- Sanahuja I, et al. Comparison of several non-specific skin mucus immune defences in three piscine species of aquaculture interest. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2019;89:428–36.
- Lindenstrm T, Buchmann K, Secombes CJ. Gyrodactylus derjavini infection elicits IL-1β expression in rainbow trout skin - ScienceDirect. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2003;15(2):107–15.
- Buchmann K, LindenstrøM T. Interactions between monogenean parasites and their fish hosts. Int J Parasitol. 2002;32(3):309–19.
- 11. Buchmann K. Immune mechanisms in fish skin against monogeneansa model. Folia Parasitol. 1999;46(1):1–9.
- 12. Raj VS, et al. Skin mucus of Cyprinus carpio inhibits cyprinid herpesvirus 3 binding to epidermal cells. Vet Res. 2011;42(1):92.
- Evans DH. The Multifunctional Fish Gill: Dominant Site of Gas Exchange, Osmoregulation, Acid-Base Regulation, and Excretion of Nitrogenous Waste. Physiol Rev. 2005;85(1):97–177.
- Takizawa F, et al. Constitutive high expression of interleukin-4/13A and GATA-3 in gill and skin of salmonid fishes suggests that these tissues form Th2-skewed immune environments. Mol Immunol. 2011;48:1360-8.
- Lz A, et al. Molecular characterization and antiparasitic activity analysis of a novel piscidin 5-like type 4 from Larimichthys crocea. Mol Immunol. 2021;129(6):12–20.
- Kasai K, et al. Novel L-amino acid oxidase with antibacterial activity against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolated from epidermal mucus of the flounder Platichthys stellatus. Febs J. 2010;277(2):453–65.
- Zhang X, et al. Transcriptome analysis and histopathology of black carp (Mylopharyngodon piceus) spleen infected by Aeromonas hydrophila. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2018;83:330–40
- Yao S, et al. A global survey of the transcriptome of allopolyploid Brassica napus based on single-molecule long-read isoform sequencing and Illumina-based RNA sequencing data. Plant J. 2020;103(2):843–57.
- Gonzalez-Porta M, et al. Transcriptome analysis of human tissues and cell lines reveals one dominant transcript per gene. Genome Biol. 2013;14(7):R70.
- Ali A, et al. Integrated analysis of IncRNA and mRNA expression in rainbow trout families showing variation in muscle growth and fillet quality traits. Sci Rep. 2018;8:12111. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30655-8.
- Nuez-Acua G, et al. Functional Diets Modulate IncRNA-Coding RNAs and Gene Interactions in the Intestine of Rainbow Trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. Mar Biotechnol. 2017;19(2):287–300.
- Qian B, Xue L. Liver transcriptome sequencing and de novo annotation of the large yellow croaker (Larimichthy crocea) under heat and cold stress. Mar Genomics. 2016;25:95–102.
- Bai H, et al. Transcriptome analysis reveals the temporal gene expression patterns in skin of large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) in response to Cryptocaryon irritans infection. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2020;99:462–72.
- Paul A, et al. First report of Dactylogyrus scorpius infection in Indian major carp, Labeo rohita from India: Host specificity and kinetics of immune gene expression in gills. Aquaculture. 2021;536:736453.
- Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28(1):27-30.
- Yin F, Qian D. Transcriptomic analysis reveals the key immune-related signalling pathways of Sebastiscus marmoratus in response to infection with the parasitic ciliate Cryptocaryon irritans. Parasit Vectors. 2017;10(1):576.
- 27. Lin CY, et al. Grouper (Epinephelus coioides) CXCR4 is expressed in response to pathogens infection and early stage of development. Dev Comp Immunol. 2012;36(1):112–20.
- 28. Stebut EV, et al. Early macrophage influx to sites of cutaneous granuloma formation is dependent on MIP-1 α/β released from neutrophils recruited by mast cell-derived TNF α . Blood. 2003;101(1):210.
- Sindhu S, et al. The Cooperative Induction of CCL4 in Human Monocytic Cells by TNF-α and Palmitate Requires MyD88 and Involves MAPK/ NF-κB Signaling Pathways. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(18):4658.
- Hou X, Yang S, Yin J. Blocking REDD1/TXNIP axis ameliorates LPSinduced vascular endothelial cell injury through repressing oxidative stress and apoptosis. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2019;316:C104–C110.

- Pastor F. Implication of REDD1 in the activation of inflammatory pathways. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):7023.
- Nadon AM, et al. Rtp801 Suppression of Epithelial mTORC1 Augments Endotoxin-Induced Lung Inflammation. Am J Pathol. 2014;184(9):2382–9.
- Kao CY, et al. IL-17 Markedly Up-Regulates β-Defensin-2 Expression in Human Airway Epithelium via JAK and NF-κB Signaling Pathways. J Immunol. 2004;173(5):3482–91.
- BoltañA S, et al. Long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) dynamics evidence immunomodulation during ISAV-Infected Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Sci Rep. 2016;6:22698.
- 35. TarifeñO-Saldivia E, Valenzuela-Miranda D, Gallardo-Escárate C. In the shadow: The emerging role of long non-coding RNAs in the immune response of Atlantic salmon. Dev Comp Immunol. 2017;73:193.
- Lou M, et al. Integrated analysis of long non-coding RNA and mRNA expression in different colored skin of koi carp. BMCGenomics. 2019;20(1):515.
- Granneman S, Baserga SJ. Crosstalk in gene expression: coupling and co-regulation of rDNA transcription, pre-ribosome assembly and prerRNA processing. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2005;17(3):281–6.
- Rozovski U, et al. Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription (STAT)-3-Dependent Regulation of Non-Coding RNA Genes in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL). Blood. 2012;120(21):2886–2886.
- Guo Q, et al. Comprehensive analysis of IncRNA-mRNA co-expression patterns identifies immune-associated IncRNA biomarkers in ovarian cancer malignant progression. Sci Rep. 2015;5:17683.
- Lei L, et al. Correction: Infiltrating mast cells enhance prostate cancer invasion via altering LncRNA-HOTAIR/PRC2-androgen receptor (AR)-MMP9 signals and increased stem/progenitor cell population. Oncotarget. 2019;7(50):83828.
- Wang GL, Semenza GL. Purification and Characterization of Hypoxiainducible Factor 1. J Biol Chem. 1995;270(3):1230–7.
- Wang H, et al. Wogonin reverses hypoxia resistance of human colon cancer HCT116 cells via downregulation of HIF-1a and glycolysis, by inhibiting PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. Mol Carcinog. 2014;53(S1):E107–18.
- Yang M.S., et al. Research Progress on the Role of Transcription Factor HIF-1a and Its Signal Pathway in the Pathogenesis. Biotechnol Bull. 2016;32(8):8–13.
- 44. Cobb MH. MAP kinase pathways. Prog Biophys Mol Biol. 1999;71(3-4):479-500.
- Meng X, et al. Complement component 3 (C3): An important role in grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idella) experimentally exposed to Aeromonas hydrophila. Fishand Shellfish Immunology. 2019;88:189–97.
- 46. Bo J, et al. Identification of differentially expressed genes and quantitative expression of complement genes in the liver of marine medaka Oryzias melastigma challenged with Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Comp Biochem Physiol D: Genomics Proteomics. 2012;7(2):191–200.
- Nordahl EA, et al. Activation of the complement system generates antibacterial peptides. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2004;101(48):16879–84.
- Gross KA, et al. Previously infected with Neoparamoeba sp. are not resistant to re-infection and have suppressed phagocyte function. Journal of Fish Diseases. 2010;27(1):47–56.
- Gross KA, et al. Changes in the innate immune response of Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., exposed to experimental infection with Neoparamoeba sp. J Fish Dis. 2010;28(5):292–9.
- Wynne JW, et al. Transcriptome analyses of amoebic gill diseaseaffected Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) tissues reveal localized host gene suppression. Mar Biotechnol. 2008;10(4):388.
- Yang X, Cox-Foster DL. Impact of an ectoparasite on the immunity and pathology of an invertebrate: Evidence for host immunosuppression and viral amplification. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005;102(21):7470–5.
- Dan XM, et al. A standardized method to propagate Cryptocaryon irritans on a susceptible host pompano Trachinotus ovatus. Aquaculture. 2006;258(1–4):127–33.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen T. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2-DDCt method. Methods. 2001;25(4):402–8.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.