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#### Abstract

Sphingolipids (SphLs) are a diverse class of molecules that are regulated by a complex network of enzymatic pathways. A disturbance in these pathways leads to lipid accumulation and initiation of several SphL-related disorders. Acid ceramidase is one of the key enzymes that regulate the metabolism of ceramides and glycosphingolipids, which are important members of the SphL family. Herein, we describe the lead optimization studies of benzoxazolone carboxamides resulting in piperidine $\mathbf{2 2 m}$, where we demonstrated target engagement in two animal models of neuropathic lysosomal storage diseases (LSDs), Gaucher's and Krabbe's diseases. After daily intraperitoneal administration at $90 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}, \mathbf{2 2} \mathrm{~m}$ significantly reduced the brain levels of the toxic lipids glucosylsphingosine (GluSph) in 4L; C* mice and galactosylsphingosine (GalSph) in Twitcher mice. We believe that 22 m is a lead molecule that can be further developed for the correction of severe neurological LSDs where GluSph or GalSph play a significant role in disease pathogenesis.


## INTRODUCTION

Sphingolipids (SphLs) are a large class of diverse amphipathic molecules found in abundance in plasma membranes. ${ }^{1,2}$ Besides being important as structural cellular components, SphLs play a central role in different biological processes, which are essential to maintain the homeostasis and the development of eukaryotic cells. These processes include signaling, angiogenesis, cell growth, proliferation, and death, senescence, inflammation, immune responses, metabolism, autophagy, and brain development and functions. ${ }^{2}$ Aided by recent technological advances, much has been accomplished in terms of the identification of the basic biological components of the complex network in dynamic and interconnected enzymatic pathways that regulate the biosynthesis of SphLs and the formation of a variety of bioactive metabolites in distinct cellular compartments. ${ }^{1}$

In recent years, both academia and industry have shown growing interest in advancing our understanding of the multifaceted roles of SphL species under physiological and
pathological conditions. ${ }^{2}$ Collected evidence suggests that a disturbance between the synthesis and catabolism of SphLs leads to their accumulation in specific cellular compartments, such as the lysosomes, and the initiation of several SphLrelated disorders. Lysosomes are critical organelles responsible for cellular homeostasis. ${ }^{3}$ They contain different degradative enzymes that can hydrolyze proteins, DNA, RNA, polysaccharides, and lipids. ${ }^{4}$

Acid ceramidase (AC, also known as $N$-acylsphingosine amidohydrolase-1, ASAH-1) is a lysosomal cysteine amidase that catalyzes the hydrolysis of ceramides (Cer) into fatty acids

[^0]
and sphingosine, which is then converted into sphingosine 1phosphate (Sph1P) by sphingosine kinase. ${ }^{5-7}$ Cer and Sph1P are important members of the SphL class and have opposing actions in the control of the cellular fate; ${ }^{8-10}$ while Cer mediates cellular senescence ${ }^{11}$ and apoptosis, ${ }^{12,13}$ Sph1P promotes cell survival and proliferation. ${ }^{14-17}$ Recent studies have shown that AC is abnormally expressed in various types of human cancer (for example, prostate, head and neck, colon, and glioblastoma), and serum AC levels are elevated in patients with melanoma relative to control subjects. ${ }^{18}$ Therefore, inhibition of AC has been envisaged as a potential cancer drug target (Figure 1). Aberrant AC activity has also been described in several other common diseases, including inflammation, pain, and various pulmonary disorders. ${ }^{19,20}$


Figure 1. Some potential applications of AC inhibition therapy.
Over the recent years, the multifaceted catabolic role of AC has attracted much attention for its potential therapeutic applications in many other altered conditions. Important genetic studies have identified specific mutations in several genes that encode defective expressions of some lysosomal enzymes as the causes of the onset and progression of severe pathological conditions, called lysosomal storage diseases (LSDs). ${ }^{21-24}$ For example, Gaucher's disease (GD) is caused by a defective function of acid $\beta$-glucocerebrosidase (GCase), a lysosomal membrane-associated protein responsible for the hydrolysis of glucosylceramide (GluCer) to glucose and ceramides. ${ }^{25-27}$ Krabbe's disease (KD) is associated with defective $\beta$-galactosyl-ceramidase (GALC) activity, a lysosomal enzyme responsible for the hydrolysis of galactosylceramide (GalCer). ${ }^{28}$

As a result of either enzyme absences or deficiencies, these metabolic lysosomal disorders are characterized by an abnormal storage of substrates or metabolites to concentration levels that are toxic or otherwise detrimental to the cells in various compartments, including the skeleton, skin, liver, spleen, lung, heart, and central nervous system (CNS). The substrate or metabolite accumulations are believed to be responsible for the disease progression. ${ }^{24}$ In GD patients, for example, the accumulation of GluCer (3-fold) and/or glucosylsphingosine (GluSph) (200-fold) has been related to the brain pathogenesis of neuronopathic GD patients due to neuronal death, which is propagated by the toxic effects of GluCer and/or GluSph. ${ }^{29,30}$ Recent evidence demonstrates an active role of AC in an alternative catabolic pathway, which causes GluSph accumulation through the deacylation of the
lysosomal GluCer. ${ }^{31,32}$ In KD patients, deficiency of GALC activity leads to accumulation of neurotoxic galactosylsphingosine (GalSph or psychosine) in tissues, especially in the brain. It is possible that accumulation of GalSph mediates pathology of KD. A very recent report suggests that genetic ablation of AC or pharmacological inhibition of AC could eliminate psychosine accumulation and prolong the life span of Twitcher mice, a model of KD. ${ }^{33,34}$ No approved therapeutic approaches are available to treat neuropathic GD and KD; inhibiting AC may provide an efficacious strategy for treating these two devastating diseases.

Efforts over the last decade to develop potent AC inhibitors have resulted in limited success. The first structural analysis of mammalian AC has recently been solved by Gebai and coworkers, ${ }^{35}$ which may aid future medicinal chemistry programs. In 2013, Realini et al. reported the discovery of carmofur 1 and some close uracil analogs as the first class of single-digit nanomolar inhibitors of intracellular AC activity and studied their potential use as chemosensitizing agents (Figure 2). ${ }^{36,37}$

## Previous reports:




Figure 2. Structures of representative known AC inhibitors (1-4) (top) and general structure of the benzoxazolone carboxamide series 5 explored in this study (bottom).

Despite being potent AC inhibitors, the uracil derivatives suffered from low chemical and metabolic stability. Subsequently, Diamanti et al. selected compound 1 as a ligand template for a computational-assisted virtual screening approach, leading to the identification of a new class of potent AC inhibitors, the pyrazole carboxamides. ${ }^{38}$ However, although very potent against AC activity, as exemplified by pyrazole 3, this class of molecules suffered from low metabolic stability, limiting their therapeutic potential (Figure 2).

An alternative approach, consisting of a screening campaign of a small compound library, led to the identification of a novel and very promising class of covalent AC inhibitors, the benzoxazolone carboxamides, exemplified by the hit 2a (Figure 2). ${ }^{39}$ Preliminary chemical exploration of this series led to the
identification of $\mathbf{2 b}{ }^{39}$ and $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{c}^{40}$ as more advanced and systematically active analogs. More recently, Ortega et al. reported a systematic computational investigation of the general pharmacophore model for AC inhibition, comprising a $6+5$ fused ring heterocycle linked to an aliphatic substituent via a urea moiety. These studies resulted in the identification of the novel class of benzimidazole derivatives $\mathbf{4 a} \mathbf{- d}$ with promising activity in different melanoma cell lines (Figure 2). ${ }^{41}$

Although some of the molecules discussed above exhibited potent inhibitory effects toward AC, they generally suffer from low aqueous solubility and moderate chemical or metabolic stability, which hamper their further development. As part of our continued efforts to optimize the class of benzoxazolone carboxamides, we further extended the preliminary studies around $2 \mathbf{b}^{39}$ (and 2c) $)^{40}$ and performed a focused structureactivity relationship (SAR) study around this scaffold (compound 5, Figure 2), with the aim of identifying an optimal compound with improved physicochemical and pharmacokinetic profiles favoring oral administration. The subject of this manuscript describes the lead optimization and medicinal chemistry strategies that led to the discovery of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ as a lead candidate with improved oral bioavailability and excellent distribution to the CNS.

## CHEMISTRY

All target compounds were prepared by synthetic routes outlined in Schemes 1-11. Compounds 8a-d were synthe-

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 8a-d ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) CDI, MeCN, rt, 2 h ; (b) 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate, DMAP, toluene/DMF, rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}(20-60 \%$ over two steps for $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ ); 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(20-26 \%$ for 8 c and 8 d ).
sized under standard conditions by reacting 7a-d with 4phenylbutyl isocyanate (Scheme 1). The novel core scaffold of 12a was prepared in three steps from the $\alpha$-bromo ketone 9 (Scheme 2A). Reaction with TZD gave compound 10, which was then converted in moderate yield to the fused bicyclic derivative 11 via an intramolecular cyclization under basic conditions in anhydrous THF. Subsequent coupling of 11 to 4phenylbutyl isocyanate gave 12a, which upon removal of the N -Boc protecting group gave the key intermediate $\mathbf{1 2 b}$, which was subsequently transformed to $\mathbf{1 2 c} \mathbf{c}$ e via standard reductive amination and acetylation reactions. Alternatively, the isomeric key intermediate $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ was prepared in four steps from the commercially available epoxide 13 (Scheme 2B). Ring opening ${ }^{42}$ and subsequent oxidation of the corresponding alcohol 14a followed by intramolecular cyclization of 15 afforded compound 16. Finally, as discussed for the synthesis of 12 b , standard reactions transformed 16 to 17 b .

We introduced cyclic and heterocyclic groups at $\mathrm{C}(5)$-, $C(6)$-, and $C(7)$-positions of the benzoxazolone cores by exploring different synthetic pathways (Schemes 3-11). The
exploration at the $C(4)$-position of the benzoxazolone scaffold was abandoned because, in accordance with previously reported results on the $4-\mathrm{Me}$ and $4-\mathrm{Ph}$ derivatives of 2 a (Figure 2), ${ }^{40}$ we experienced a pronounced chemical instability of our targeted $C(4)$-derivatives.

The C(6)-substituted benzoxazolones 21a-d were prepared in three steps starting from boronic esters 18a-c, using Pdcatalyzed cross coupling reactions with the corresponding bromo-nitrophenols followed by hydrogenation and intramolecular cyclization in the presence of CDI (Scheme 3). An additional step consisting of the in situ formation of boronic ester 29b, from ketone 28 via enol triflate 29a, was necessary for the preparation of the benzoxazolone 211 (Scheme 5). A Pd-catalyzed cross coupling procedure was also used for the synthesis of the $\mathrm{C}(5)$-substituted benzoxazolone 33 (Scheme 6 ) and other $C(6)$-substituted benzoxazolones, such as $37 a$ and 41a (Schemes 7 and 8). In contrast, to overcome some synthetic problems in the Pd-catalyzed cross coupling reaction, we performed an alternative synthetic approach for the preparation of the benzoxazolone 21 m (Scheme 9A). Lithium-halogen exchange of 6-bromo-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2one $^{43}$ followed by the addition of the ketone 42a afforded the alcohol 43, which upon dehydration and hydrogenation led to the key intermediate $\mathbf{2 1 m}$. A similar synthetic procedure was applied to insert the functionalization at the C(7)-position of the benzoxazolone, as in 47 (Scheme 9B).

Other C(6)-substituted benzoxazolones, for example, 23a-d and $\mathbf{5 0 j}$ (Schemes 10 and 11), were prepared in three steps and in satisfactory yields using a nucleophilic aromatic substitution (SNAr) ${ }^{44}$ reaction of activated fluoro-phenyls with a set of heterocyclic amines followed by hydrogenation and intramolecular cyclization reaction with CDI. An alternative approach was used for the synthesis of 50 k (Scheme 11). In this case, a Cu-catalyzed cross coupling $N$ arylation of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Bn}$-protected bromo-nitrophenol $\mathbf{5 1 b}$ with 4-methylpiperazin-2-one afforded $\mathbf{5 2 b}$ in acceptable yield, ${ }^{45}$ which upon standard reactions led to the benzoxazolone 50 k .

Finally, the carboxamide functionalities were introduced under standard conditions, which involved the reaction of the benzoxazolone intermediates with the corresponding commercially available isocyanates, as in the preparation of 22a-c (Scheme 3) and 23a-d (Scheme 10). Alternatively, the isocyanates were prepared in situ, upon activation of the corresponding amines by reaction with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the presence of DMAP in MeCN, ${ }^{46}$ as in the synthesis of 22j, 221, 22n, and 220 (Scheme 4), or by reaction with triphosgene in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in $\mathrm{DCM},{ }^{47}$ as in the synthesis of 22 m (Scheme 4).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The four most potent classes of AC inhibitors described to date are illustrated in Figure 2. Each class is defined by the presence of a common chemical warhead-the urea-like functionality-that can covalently react with the catalytic cysteine (Cys-143) of AC to form a thioester bond. ${ }^{35}$ It has been reported that carboxamides $2 \mathbf{a}^{39}$ and $4 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}^{41}$ form, upon incubation experiments with the protein, the corresponding cysteine adducts. This has recently been confirmed by Dementiev and co-workers, who described the crystal structural analysis of the uracil 1 covalently bound to Cys143 at $2.7 \AA$ resolution. ${ }^{48}$

While potent and, in some cases, systemically active, ${ }^{39,40}$ these molecules share two features that limit their use as oral

## Scheme 2. Synthesis of fused bicyclic piperidine-oxazolone derivatives 12a-e, 17a, and $17 b^{a}$

A

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: for the synthesis of 12a-e: (a) TZD, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $85 \%$ ); (b) tBuOK, THF, rt, 30 min ( $60 \%$ ); (c) 4phenylbutyl isocyanate, DMAP, MeCN, rt, $16 \mathrm{~h}(68 \%)$; (d) 4 M HCl , dioxane, $\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(60 \%)$; (e) HCHO (for 12c) or PhCHO (for $\mathbf{1 2 d}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(56-90 \%)$; (f) $\mathrm{AcCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(62 \%)$. For the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ : (a) $\mathrm{TZD}, \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{ClO} 4)_{2}$, DMF, $115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}\left(50 \%\right.$ ); (b) Dess-Martin reagent, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}(70 \%)$; (c) $t \mathrm{BuOK}$, THF, rt, 30 min ; (d) 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate, DMAP, MeCN , rt, 30 min ( $25 \%$ over two steps); (e) 4 M HCl , dioxane, rt, 1 h ( $60 \%$ ).

Scheme 3. Synthesis of C(6)-substituted benzoxazolone carboxamides 22a-d and 22i ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 5-bromo-2-nitrophenol (for 19a-c), 5-bromo-4-fluoro-2-nitrophenol (for 19d), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, reflux, 18 h (56-90\%); (b) H-Cube, Pd/C, EtOAc, rt, 1-2 h ; (c) CDI, MeCN, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(60-84 \%$ over two steps); (d) 4phenylbutyl isocyanate, DMAP, MeCN, rt, 16 h (50-98\%); (e) 4 M HCl , dioxane, rt, 3 h (86\%); (f) $\mathrm{AcCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF, rt, 4 h (90\%).
drugs. First, the presence of a reactive warhead on the molecular scaffolds described to date contributes to their chemical and metabolic instability (e.g., uracil 1), ${ }^{37}$ and second, the hydrophobic linear side chain that ensures target recognition and some degree of specificity negatively affects their drug-likeness (e.g., benzoxazolone 2a). ${ }^{39}$ Thus, the need for optimized AC inhibitors remains an important issue to be addressed. ${ }^{49}$

As previously reported, preliminary structural modifications of $\mathbf{2 a}$ by variation of the lateral side chain of the urea

Scheme 4. Synthesis of C(6)-substituted benzoxazolone carboxamides $22 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{h}$ and $22 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{p}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 4 M HCl , dioxane, rt, 3 h ; (b) RCHO, $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{DCE}, \mathrm{THF}$ or MeCN, rt, $1-3 \mathrm{~h}(40 \%$ over two steps for 21i, quant. For 21h); (c) RNCO, DMAP, MeCN, rt, 2$16 \mathrm{~h}\left(50-73 \%\right.$ for $22 \mathrm{e}-\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{2 2 k}$, and $\mathbf{2 2} \mathbf{p}$ ); or $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$, triphosgene, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(45 \%$ for $\mathbf{2 2 m})$, or $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}, \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, MeCN, rt, 1 h (24-40\% for 22j, 221, 22n, and 22o).
functionality (Region A) and substitution of the benzoxazolone moiety (Region B) led to the identification of $\mathbf{2 b}{ }^{39}$ and $\mathbf{2 c}{ }^{40}$ (Figure 3). Despite good potency and enhanced drug-likeness compared to the previous uracil ${ }^{37}$ series, compounds $2 b$ and 2c suffer from low solubility in aqueous media and moderate chemical and metabolic stability that limit their utility as oral drugs. ${ }^{39,40}$ To address these issues, our lead optimization strategy focused on designing additional structural modifications on Regions $A$ and $B$ (compound 5, Figure 2) with the aim of improving the physicochemical and metabolic properties while maintaining inhibitory potency.

## Scheme 5. Synthesis of $\mathbf{2 2} \mathbf{q}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $N, N$-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) aniline, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}(74 \%)$; (b) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2},\left[\mathrm{~B}_{2}(\mathrm{pin})_{2}\right], \mathrm{KOAc}$, dioxane, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) 2-(benzyloxy)-4-bromo-1-nitrobenzene, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} 2 \mathrm{M}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}\left(93 \%\right.$ over two steps) (d) NaH, MeI, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $45 \%$ ); (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) CDI, MeCN, rt, 1 h ( $70 \%$ over two steps); (g) 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate, DMAP, pyridine, rt, 16 h (90\%).

## Scheme 6. Synthesis of $24 c^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-bromo-2-nitrophenol, $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}(95 \%)$; (b) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, cyclohexene, MeOH , reflux, 4 h ; (c) CDI, MeCN, rt, 3 h ( $60 \%$ over two steps); (d) isobutylamine, triphosgene, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$ (70\%); (e) 4 M HCl , dioxane (95\%); (f) HCHO, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ (83\%).

We initially investigated modifications of the lateral side chain (Region A) of 2 c confirming that, as previously reported with 2 a analogs, ${ }^{40}$ this region is involved in lipophilic interactions important for target recognition (Figure 3). In fact, different attempts to improve solubility and metabolic stability by reducing lipophilicity of the side chain were detrimental regarding potency (Figure 3). Although the removal of the phenyl ring was tolerated, as for the $n$-pentyl analog $2 \mathrm{e}(h \mathrm{AC} \mathrm{IC} 50=60 \mathrm{nM})$, no enhancement of solubility was observed ( $<1 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{PBS}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ). Replacement of one methylene unit with an oxygen (e.g., ethers 2d, 2f, and 2 g ) to increase the hydrophilicity significantly reduced the inhibitory potency to the $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range. A similar trend was observed for the corresponding analogs in the $\mathbf{2 b}$ series (data not shown),

## Scheme 7. Synthesis of $\mathbf{2 6}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 2-benzyloxy-4-bromo-1-nitrobenzene, $\operatorname{Pd}($ dppf $) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, reflux, $16 \mathrm{~h}(40 \%)$; (b) cyclohexene, $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) CDI, MeCN, rt, 16 h ; (d) HCHO, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(43 \%$ over three steps); (e) isobutylamine, triphosgene, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}(30 \%)$.
indicating that the lipophilic side chain of the urea was very likely occupying a hydrophobic pocket.

We then shifted our attention to the left-hand side (Region $B)$ of the scaffold by evaluating the replacement of the benzoxazolone moiety with some bioisosteric $6+5$ fused ring heterocyclic systems (Figure 3), alternative to those already reported by Ortega et al. ${ }^{41}$

However, both the isatin analog 8 c and the oxindole analog $8 d$ were inactive at concentrations up to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. We then investigated the bioisosteric insertion of an aza-group in the phenyl ring of the benzoxazolone moiety, and this change resulted in very potent compounds. For example, compounds $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ gave $h \mathrm{AC} \mathrm{IC} 5{ }_{50}$ 's of 6 and 3 nM , respectively, compared to the earlier compound $2 \mathrm{a},{ }^{39}$ which has an hAC $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 64 nM . We envisaged that the insertion of a polar group on the left-hand side of the scaffold (Region B) could have an impact on the solubility of this series in aqueous buffer

Scheme 8. Synthesis of $27^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 5-bromo-2-nitrophenol, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}(53 \%)$; (b) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, cyclohexene, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) CDI, MeCN, rt, 1 h ( $90 \%$ over two steps) (d) 4 M HCl , dioxane, rt, 30 min ; (e) $\mathrm{HCHO}, \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min}(70 \%$ over two steps); (f) isobutylamine, triphosgene, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ (70\%).
(PBS, pH 7.4), but, unfortunately, both $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ had very poor chemical stability in these conditions $\left(t_{1 / 2}<15 \mathrm{~min}\right)$.

These findings prompted us to evaluate the inhibitory potency of the fused bicyclic derivatives $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ (Figure 3). We speculated that changing the left-hand-side leaving group at the urea functionality could have an effect on the chemical stability of the scaffold. Although we generally observed a loss in potency to the sub- $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range, regardless of the substituent $(\mathbf{1 2 b} \mathbf{-})$ or the position of the nitrogen atom $(\mathbf{1 7 b})$, we were pleased to notice that, as for $12 b$ and $17 b$, this novel class of $h \mathrm{AC}$ inhibitors showed improved chemical stability in PBS at pH $7.4\left(t_{1 / 2}>8 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ and improved aqueous solubility ( 82 and $230 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively). Despite the novel chemotype of these AC inhibitors with promising physicochemical properties, our attempts to improve the potency of this series were unsuccessful (data not shown). In addition, although these compounds exhibited high mouse plasma stability (e.g., $t_{1 / 2}>2 \mathrm{~h}$, for $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ and 17 b ), this class of molecules also suffered from poor mouse liver microsomal stability ( $t_{1 / 2}<15 \mathrm{~min}$ ).

Overall, these results confirmed the benzoxazolone moiety as a "privileged scaffold", thus focusing our SAR strategy on Region $B$ with the intention of reducing the lipophilicity by replacing the phenyl ring of $2 c$ at the $C(6)$-position with aliphatic heterocyclic rings (5, Figure 2). We envisaged that the reduction of the number of $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-hybridized carbon atoms and the insertion of heteroatoms in this region could improve the overall physicochemical and metabolic stability of this class of inhibitors. ${ }^{50,51}$

We were pleased to observe that both the cyclohexyl analog 22a and the tetrahydropyrane analog $\mathbf{2 2 b}$ resulted in equipotent inhibition $\left(h \mathrm{AC} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.089\right.$ and $0.068 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) compared to the corresponding phenyl derivative $2 \mathbf{c}^{39}$ (Table 1 and Figure 2). With these results in hand, we were then interested in evaluating the effect of increasing the hydrophilicity by the addition of a polar basic amine, as in the piperidine analogs 22d and 22e. With this modification, we
observed that both compounds showed only a slight loss of potency compared to the aliphatic analog $22 \mathrm{a}\left(h \mathrm{AC} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ 0.134 and $0.129 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively). Encouraged by these results, we explored the effect of other $N$-containing heterocyclic systems, such as the piperidine 23a, the morpholine 23b, the 1,1-dioxothiomorpholine 23c, and the piperazines 23 e and 23 f . Overall, this set of compounds showed similar potency to the initial cyclohexyl analog 22a. Notably, the piperidine 23a and the piperazine $23 f$ were the most potent compounds, showing $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.080 and $0.116 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (Table 1 ). Based on these promising results, we selected the piperidine and piperazine series, exemplified by 22d and 23e, respectively, as novel scaffolds for further studies, exploiting the presence of a distal nitrogen atom as an anchor point for additional structural modifications (Table 2). First, we evaluated the SAR exploration around the piperidine series by introducing both linear and branched alkyl chains on the nitrogen atom, such as the ethyl 22 f , isopropyl $\mathbf{2 2 g}$, and isobutyl $\mathbf{2 2 h}$ analogs (Table 2). In general, no significant differences were observed on the inhibitory potency of these derivatives, with $22 f-h$ almost being equipotent to the unsubstituted 22d. Moreover, the removal of the basic center by the introduction of either an exocyclic (22i) or endocyclic $N$-acyl group (22q) was tolerated, showing $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.064 and $0.105 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. These results further confirmed that different polar groups were tolerated in this region of the scaffold.

The same strategy was applied to the piperazine series (Table 2). Specifically, both the $N$-alkyl derivatives $\mathbf{2 3 g}$-i and the piperazinones $\mathbf{2 3 j}$ and 23 k resulted in more potent $A C$ inhibition than the parent 23e. For example, the $N$-ethyl piperazine $\mathbf{2 3 g}$ and the piperazinone 23 k were almost 7 -fold more potent than $23 \mathrm{e}\left(h \mathrm{AC} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.363 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, showing $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.056 and $0.052 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively.

However, a comparison of the piperazine and piperidine series in terms of aqueous kinetic solubility (PBS, pH 7.4 ) in vitro metabolism highlighted some significant differences (Table 3). Interestingly, the piperidine analogs, bearing small linear alkyl groups (22d-f), were highly soluble (kinetic solubility $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and, in some cases (22d), had acceptable stability profiles both in mouse plasma and in liver microsomes. On the other hand, the piperidine derivatives, bearing more sterically hindered lipophilic alkyl groups, such as the isopropyl 22 g and isobutyl 22 h , or the acyls 22 i and 22 q suffered from low solubility and, with the exception of $22 h$, poor stability in mouse plasma (Table 3). Conversely, all the piperazine derivatives generally suffered from poor aqueous solubility and poor microsomal and plasma stability (Table 3 ). As illustrative examples, the piperazines $\mathbf{2 3 f}$ and $\mathbf{2 3 g}$ showed poor solubility in water $(<1 \mu \mathrm{M})$, rapid metabolism in liver microsomes, and poor plasma stability ( $m$-plasma and $m$-liver microsomes, $t_{1 / 2}<5 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Some improvement in microsomal stability was observed with the des-methylated 23 e and with $\mathbf{2 3 k}$, which bears a heterocyclic ring at a higher oxidative state compared to 23f.

With these results in hand, we focused our efforts on exploring Regions $A$ and $B$ of the $N$-methyl piperidine 22e, as reported in Table 4. In order to reduce the lipophilicity and improve metabolic stability of this scaffold, we followed different strategies: (a) insertion of a heteroatom, removal of the phenyl ring, and reduction of the side chain length (Region $A$ ); and (b) removal of potential metabolic soft spots (Regions $A$ and $B$ ).

Scheme 9. Synthesis of 22 r and $25^{a}$
A

42a

B

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: for the synthesis of 22 r : (a) 6-bromo-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one, $\mathrm{MeMgBr}, n-\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{THF},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(30 \%)$; (b) $p$ TsOH , toluene, reflux, 1 h (quant.); (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate, DMAP, pyridine ( $73 \%$ over two steps). For the synthesis of 25: (a) 7-bromo-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one, $\mathrm{MeMgBr}, n-\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{THF},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 1.5 \mathrm{~h}(44 \%)$; (b) $p$-TsOH, toluene, $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ (quant.); (c) $\mathrm{HCHO}, \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) isobutylamine, triphosgene, $\mathrm{Et} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}(30 \%$ over three steps).

An immediate loss in potency was observed with the removal of the lipophilic phenyl ring ( $\mathbf{2 2} \mathbf{k}$ ) or the insertion of an oxygen on the lateral chain ( $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ ), while the bioisosteric replacement of a fluorine on the distal phenyl ring resulted in 220, being almost equipotent to 22e (Table 4). Nonetheless, exploration of Region A continued with the insertion of branched alkyl groups. We were pleased that the isobutyl analog $22 \mathrm{~m}\left(h \mathrm{AC} \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.166 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ was equipotent to the corresponding butyl phenyl 22e, demonstrating that it was possible to remove the phenyl group and reduce the overall lipophilicity without compromising potency. On the other hand, a methyl group adjacent to the urea functionality, such as the sec-butyl analog $\mathbf{2 2 n}$, was detrimental for potency, with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $2.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Moving the SAR exploration back to Region B, insertion of a fluorine on the benzoxazolone ring 22p boosted the inhibitory potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.024 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), while the difluoroethyl analog 22 r showed similar potency $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.095\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$ to 22 e . The kinetic aqueous solubility and in vitro metabolic stability of a selection of compounds in the piperidine series are summarized in Table 4. Notably, while the insertion of an oxygen did not affect either the solubility or
metabolic stability in microsomes of $\mathbf{2 2 j}$ compared to $\mathbf{2 2 e}$, reducing lipophilicity with small aliphatic groups (22k and $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ ) was particularly beneficial. For example, 22 k and $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ showed high aqueous solubility ( $240 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and improved plasma and liver microsomal stabilities ( $t_{1 / 2}>60 \mathrm{~min}$ ). On the other hand, attempts to improve the liver microsomal stability of 22e by inserting a fluorine atom at different potential metabolic soft spots of both Regions $A$ and $B$ (compounds 220, $\mathbf{2 2 p}$, and 22r) were not successful. Not surprisingly, these bioisosteric replacements negatively affected the aqueous solubilities of $220,22 \mathrm{p}$, and 22 r , without a substantial improvement of the metabolic stability in microsomes.

With these results in hand, SAR studies continued on the scaffold of compound $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ (Table 5). Specifically, we evaluated the effect of the location of both the $N$-methyl piperidine ring, at $C(5)$ - and $C(7)$-positions of the benzoxazolone moiety (compounds 24 c and 25), and the N methylated nitrogen atom, within the piperidine nucleus (compounds 26 and 27). Overall, we generally observed a loss in the inhibitory potency of these targeted analogs compared to $\mathbf{2 2} \mathbf{m}$, which was even more pronounced with

## Scheme 10. Synthesis of C(6)-substituted benzoxazolone carboxamides 23a-i ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 5-fluoro-2-nitrophenol, DIPEA, MeCN, $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h}(40 \%$ for 49 c ); (b) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, cyclohexene, MeOH, reflux, $2-16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) CDI, MeCN, rt (or $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{4 9 g}$ ), $2 \mathrm{~h}(75-80 \%$ over three steps for $\mathbf{5 0 a}$ and 50 d ; $45-60 \%$ over two steps for 50 b and 50 c ); (d) 4phenylbutyl isocyanate, DMAP, MeCN, rt, $16 \mathrm{~h}\left(20-85 \%\right.$ ); (e) 4 M HCl , dioxane, rt, 3 h (quant.); (f) RCHO, $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{DCE}, \mathrm{THF}$ or MeCN , rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}(60-90 \%)$; (g) 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate, DMAP, MeCN, rt, 16 h (30-75\%).

Scheme 11. Synthesis of 23 j and $23 \mathrm{k}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 1-methylpiperazin-2-one (for 52a), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeCN}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}(60 \%)$; 4-methylpiperazin-2-one (for 52 b ), $\mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, N, N$-dimethyl-1,2-ethanediamine, dioxane, reflux, 24 h ( $50 \%$ ); (b) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, cyclohexene, EtOH, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) CDI, MeCN, rt, 1 h ( $70-80 \%$ over two steps); (d) 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate, DMAP, MeCN, rt, 16 h (10-20\%).
compounds 26 and 27 , showing $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range. Finally, the evaluation of the kinetic aqueous solubility and in vitro metabolism of 22 m and close analogs was completed (Table 5). In general, all the targeted compounds showed high solubility values in aqueous media, except for 24 c , which bears the piperidine ring at the $\mathrm{C}(5)$-position of the benzoxazolone system. On the other hand, major differences were observed comparing their metabolic stability properties. In particular, we
observed that substitution at the $\mathrm{C}(6)$-position was critical to maintaining acceptable mouse plasma and liver microsomal stabilities (compound 22m, m-plasma $t_{1 / 2}=80 \mathrm{~min}$ and $m$-liver microsomes $t_{1 / 2}>60 \mathrm{~min}(76 \%$ remaining at 1 h$)$ ). On the other hand, both derivatives with the piperidine ring at the C(5)- and C(7)-positions, 24c and 25 showed reduced mouse plasma and liver microsomal stability. A similar trend was observed by moving the nitrogen atom to a different position on the piperidine ring, except for 27 , which showed a similar liver microsomal stability to $\mathbf{2 2 m}$. Due to its inhibitory potency and improved overall drug-likeness profile, the piperidine $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ was selected for further biological and pharmacological investigations.

We first envisaged that the inhibition of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$, belonging to the same class of the benzoxazolone carboxamide $2 \mathrm{c},{ }^{39}$ should occur through the same covalent AC modification. According to our hypothesis, the corresponding benzoxazolone $\mathbf{2 1 g}$ (Scheme 4), tested at 1 and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, was not able to inhibit $h A C$ due to the lack of the reactive urea-like functionality. Moreover, kinetic studies on hAC-enriched lysates showed that $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ causes a concentration-dependent reduction in the maximal catalytic velocity of AC $\left(V_{\max }\right)$ without influencing the Michaelis-Menten constant ( $K_{\mathrm{M}}$ ) (Figure 4B and Table $S 1)$ and time-dependent inhibition at different 22 m concentrations with $k_{\mathrm{i}} / K_{\mathrm{I}}=0.02 \mu \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $k_{\mathrm{i}}=0.15 \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ (Figure 4C,D), suggesting a very fast covalent bond formation to the enzyme. ${ }^{52,53^{\circ}}$

The selectivity of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ was evaluated against a set of related lysosomal enzymes. The compound showed only a weak inhibitory effect $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.0 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ on human $N$-acylethanolamine acid amidase ( $h$ NAAA), a lysosomal cysteine amidase that shares $33-34 \%$ sequence identity and a very similar reactive site to AC. ${ }^{54} \mathbf{2 2 m}$ had no effect at the concentrations tested ( 1 and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) on the activity of either acid


| 2a, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $h \mathrm{AC}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2b, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $0.064^{\text {Ref } .39}$ |
| 2c, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=p-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $0.033^{\text {Ref } .40}$ |
|  | 0.079 Ref .39 |
| 2d, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=p-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 4.70 |
| 2e, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=p-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.060 |
| 2f, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=p-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 2.30 |
| 2g, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=p-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 2.60 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $h \mathrm{AC}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  | $h \mathrm{AC}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  | $h \mathrm{AC}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| 8a, $X=N, Y=C H, Z=0$ | 0.006 | 12b, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ | 0.247 | 17b | 0.340 |
| 8b, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{O}$ | 0.003 | 12c, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | 0.516 |  |  |
| 8c, $X=C H, Y=C H, Z=C O$ | not active up to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 12d, $R=B n$ | 0.436 |  |  |
| 8d, $X=\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ not active up to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 12e, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{MeCO}$ | 0.293 |  |  |

Figure 3. Inhibitory potencies ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of compounds $\mathbf{2 d} \mathbf{- g}, \mathbf{8 a} \mathbf{- d}, \mathbf{1 2 b} \mathbf{- e}$, and $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ on the activity of $h \mathrm{AC}$ expressed in HEK-293 cells.

Table 1. Inhibitory Potencies of Compounds 22a, 22b, 22d, 22e, 23a-c, 23e, and 23f on the Activity of hAC
23a
${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are the mean of at least three independent experiments, performed in three technical replicates.
sphingomyelinase (ASM) and GCase. We next assessed the selectivity of 22 m against two of the most representative members of serine hydrolases, human fatty acid amide hydrolyase (FAAH) ${ }^{55}$ and monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL): ${ }^{56} \mathbf{2 2 m}$ showed inhibitory activity on FAAH with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $0.070 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and no effect on monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) at the concentrations tested ( 1 and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Although off-target activity of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ against FAAH is observed, to our knowledge, no evidence for biological cross-talk between the sphingolipid-signaling pathways ${ }^{2}$ and the FAAHsignaling pathway ${ }^{5,57}$ has been reported that could preclude further development of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$.

The favorable overall profile of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ prompted us to test its ability to inhibit AC in intact cells. Human neuroblastoma SHSY5Y cells were incubated in the presence of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ at different doses ( $1,2.5,5$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). AC activity was measured with a liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS)-based activity assay after different incubation times ( $30 \mathrm{~min}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 3$ h , and 6 h ), and SphL levels were identified and quantified by LC/MS, showing that 22 m effectively engages AC in these cells leading to the expected variations in the SphL levels, as reported in Figures 5 and 6. Treatment of cultures of human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells with $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ caused a concen-tration- (Figure 5A) and time-dependent reduction of AC activity (Figure 6A). After 3 h of incubation, this effect resulted in an intracellular accumulation of various ceramide species, including Cer (d18:0/16:0) and Cer (d18:1/16:0) (Figure 5B,C) and a corresponding decrease in the levels of sphingosine (Figure 5D) in a concentration-dependent manner. The effect of $\mathbf{2 2 m}(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on AC activity inhibition and SphL persisted for up to 6 h under our experimental conditions (Figure 6B-D). The results indicated that 22 m inhibits AC in the complex cellular environment leading to an

Table 2. Inhibitory Potencies of Piperidines 22f-i and 22q and Piperazines $23 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{k}$ on the Activity of hAC
22f
${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are the mean of at least three independent experiments performed in three technical replicates.

Table 3. Aqueous Kinetic Solubility and In Vitro Metabolism of Some Selected Compounds in the Piperidines 22d-i, 22q and Piperazines 23e-g, 23j, and 23k Series

| compound | solubility $(\mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$ <br> $(\mathrm{PBS}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4)$ | $m-$ plasma $^{b}$ <br> $t_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~min})$ | $m-\mathrm{LM}^{c} t_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~min})$ <br> $[\%$ at 60 min$]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| piperidine series |  |  |  |
| 22d | 150 | 60 | $>60[70 \%]$ |
| 22e | 120 | 50 | 40 |
| 22f | 198 | 50 | 60 |
| 22g | 50 | 40 | 60 |
| 22h | $<1$ | 60 | 60 |
| 22i | $<1$ | 30 | 60 |
| 22q | 20 | 36 | 35 |
| piperazine series |  |  |  |
| 23e | 20 | 30 | 45 |
| 23f | $<1$ | $<5$ | $<5$ |
| 23g | $<1$ | $<5$ | $<5$ |
| 23j | $<1$ | 20 | 30 |
| 23k | 20 | 20 | $<5$ |

${ }^{a}$ Aqueous kinetic solubility in phosphate-buffered saline. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates. ${ }^{b}$ Mouse plasma. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates. ${ }^{c}$ Mouse liver microsomes. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates.
increased Cer (d18:0/16:0) and Cer (d18:1/16:0) (Figure $6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$ ) and decreased sphingosine levels with a partial recovery of sphingosine levels after 3-6 h (Figure 6D). Conversely, as expected, no major variations were observed in the levels of sphingomyelin (SM) (d18:1/16:0) (Figures 5E and 6E) and hexosylceramide (HexCer) (d18:1/16:0) (Figures 5F and 6F).

Pharmacokinetic studies of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ were determined in CD1 mice, and relevant pharmacokinetic parameters are reported in Table 6. Values of plasma clearance $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$, volume of distribution $\left(\mathrm{Vd}_{\mathrm{ss}}\right)$, and plasma half-life $\left(t_{1 / 2}\right)$ were calculated after intravenous administration of 22 m at $3 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}{ }^{-1}$. Clearance was moderately high ( $14.1 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~h}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ ), with a relatively short plasma half-life ( 1 h ) and high $\mathrm{Vd}_{\mathrm{ss}}(12.5 \mathrm{~L}$ $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ) indicating that 22 m well distributed out of the circulating plasma compartment. Good oral bioavailability was observed dosing 22 m at $10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}{ }^{-1}(F=58 \%)$, with significant exposures in plasma, brain, and cerebrospinal fluid $(\mathrm{CSF})\left(\right.$ AUC values $=412,14648$, and $119\left(\mathrm{~h} \times \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}\right)$, respectively). A maximum tolerated dose (MTD) study in mice was also conducted in the same background as the pharmacodynamic model using C57BL/6 mice at intraperitoneal dose escalation of $20,40,80$, and $120 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ in the time range of 4 days, and no clinical abnormalities were observed in any animals within the doses and time range used.

Based on these results, we decided to study the effect of dosing 22 m in $4 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{C}^{*}$ mice, a validated genetic mutated animal model for neuropathic GD. ${ }^{58} 4 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{C}^{*}$ mice have a marked increase ( $20-$ to 30 -fold) of GluSph and moderate elevation ( 1.5 - to 3 -fold) of GluCer in the brain; therefore, they are a unique model suitable for testing GluSph reduction therapy. $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ was administered at selected doses of 30 and $90 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ by intraperitoneal injection (i.p.) once a day for 14 days starting at postnatal day 5 . Preliminary results showed that compound 22 m significantly reduces GluSph (d18:1) in the brain of $4 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{C}^{*}$ mice in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 7). Target engagement was demonstrated at a high dose of 90 mg $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ with $54 \%$ reduction of the GluSph levels relative to control.

Next, we evaluated $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ in the Twitcher mouse, an animal model of Krabbe's disease. The Twitcher mice naturally carry a GALC mutation that contains a premature stop codon in GALC and leads to a complete loss of GALC activity. As a result, a dramatic increase of the extremely toxic lipid GalSph is observed in Twitcher mouse brains. After i.p. administration at 30 and $90 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ once daily for a treatment period of 20 days starting at postnatal day $10,22 \mathrm{~m}$ showed dose-dependent reduction of the toxic lipid GalSph (d18:1) levels in the brains of Twitcher mice by 72 and $41 \%$ at high and low doses, respectively (Figure 8).

In the group of $4 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{C}^{*}$ mice at $90 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ doses, the unbound drug level in the brain 1 h post last dose (day 14) is $2.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (6.4-fold higher than the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value) (Table 7), while at a lower dose of $30 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$, the unbound drug level is 0.77 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ (1.9-fold higher than the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value). In the group of Twitcher mice at $90 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ doses, the unbound drug level in the brain 1 h post last dose (day 20 ) is $2.15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ( 5.2 -fold higher than the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value), while at a lower dose of 30 mg $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$, the unbound drug level is $0.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (2.0-fold higher than the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value). Overall, these data support the observed dose responses in the two animal models.

To our knowledge, this is the first report showing the efficacy of inhibiting AC on reducing the neurotoxic lipids GluSph in the brains of $4 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{C}^{*}$ mice. Our result that inhibiting

Table 4. Inhibitory Potencies of Piperidines $22 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{p}$ and 22 r on the Activity of $h \mathrm{AC}$ and Aqueous Kinetic Solubility and In Vitro Metabolism of Some Selected Compounds


| Compound | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & h \mathrm{AC} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \pm \mathbf{S D}^{\mathbf{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Solubility ( $\mu \mathbf{M}$ ) (PBS, pH 7.4) | $\begin{gathered} \text { m-plasma }{ }^{\text {b }} \\ \mathbf{t}_{1 / 2}(\min ) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} m-L M^{c} \\ \mathbf{t}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~min}) \\ {[\% \text { at } 60 \mathrm{~min}]} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22j | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $0.215 \pm 0.013$ | 73 | 40 | 30 |
| 22k | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $0.396 \pm 0.075$ | 240 | 75 | >60 [60\%] |
| 221 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $1.049 \pm 0.312$ | - | - | - |
| 22m | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | iso-butyl | $0.166 \pm 0.089$ | 240 | 80 | >60 [80\%] |
| 22n | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | sec-butyl | $2.095 \pm 0.019$ | - | - | - |
| 220 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$-(p-F-Ph) | $0.094 \pm 0.030$ | 22 | 20 | 30 |
| 22p | F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $0.024 \pm 0.002$ | 70 | 20 | >60[70\%] |
| 22r | H | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $0.095 \pm 0.030$ | $<1$ | 50 | 20 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are the mean of at least three independent experiments performed in three technical replicates. ${ }^{b}$ Aqueous kinetic solubility in phosphate-buffered saline. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates. ${ }^{c}$ Mouse plasma. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates. ${ }^{d}$ Mouse liver microsomes. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates.

Table 5. Inhibitory Potencies of Compounds 24c and 25-27 on haC and Aqueous Kinetic Solubility and In Vitro Metabolism


| Compound | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{h} \mathbf{A C} \mathbf{I C}_{50} \\ & (\mu \mathbf{M}) \pm \mathbf{S D}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) <br> (PBS, pH 7.4) | $\underset{\mathbf{t}_{1 / 2}(\min )}{\text { m-plasma }}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\begin{gathered} m-L M^{c} \\ \mathbf{t}_{1 / 2}(\mathbf{m i n}) \\ {[\% \text { at } 60 \mathrm{~min}]} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24c |  | H | H | $0.295 \pm 0.060$ | 60 | 30 | 40 |
| 25 | H | H |  | $0.535 \pm 0.073$ | 230 | 40 | 30 |
| 26 | H |  | H | $1.01 \pm 0.432$ | 190 | 30 | 15 |
| 27 | H |  | H | $1.75 \pm 0.705$ | >250 | 50 | >60[70\%] |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are the mean of at least three independent experiments performed in three technical replicates. ${ }^{b}$ Aqueous kinetic solubility in phosphate-buffered saline. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates. ${ }^{c}$ Mouse plasma. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates. ${ }^{d}$ Mouse liver microsomes. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates.

AC reduces neurotoxic lipid GalSph levels in the brains of Twitcher mice is consistent with the recent report. ${ }^{34}$

Further pharmacological studies of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ will be reported in due course.


Figure 4. (A) Concentration-response curve for inhibition of $h \mathrm{AC}$ activity by $\mathbf{2 2 m}$; (B) Michaelis-Menten analysis of the reaction of $h \mathrm{AC}$ in the presence of vehicle (DMSO $1 \%, \boldsymbol{O}$ ) or $\mathbf{2 2 m}(100 \mathrm{nM}, \boldsymbol{\Delta} ; 400 \mathrm{nM}, \boldsymbol{\square})$. Rbm 14-12: fluorogenic substrate of $h \mathrm{AC}$; (C) time-dependent inhibition of $h \mathrm{AC}$ by $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ (two independent experiments, each performed in two technical replicates); (D) determination of kinetic parameter $k_{\mathrm{i}} / K_{\mathrm{I}}$ of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ (two independent experiments, each performed in two technical replicates).


Figure 5. Effects of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ in SH-SY5Y cells after a 3 h of incubation. Concentration dependence of the effects on AC activity (A) and sphingolipid levels (B-F). GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc., USA) was used for statistical analysis. Data were analyzed using the Student $t$ test or one-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test for multiple comparisons. Differences between groups were considered statistically significant at values of $p<0.05$. Values are expressed as means $\pm$ S.E.M of at least six determinations. Experiments were repeated twice with similar results.


Figure 6. Time course of the effects of $\mathbf{2 2 m}(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in SH-SY5Y cells on AC activity (A) and sphingolipid levels (B-F). GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc., USA) was used for statistical analysis. Data were analyzed using the Student $t$ test or one-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test for multiple comparisons. Differences between groups were considered statistically significant at values of $p<0.05$. Values are expressed as means $\pm$ S.E.M of at least six determinations. Experiments were repeated twice with similar results.

Table 6. Pharmacokinetic Properties of 22 m after Intravenous (A, $3 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}, N=18$ ) and Oral
Administration (B, $10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}, N=18$ ) in Male CD1 Mice

| A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| parameter (3mpk, i.v.) | plasma | brain | CSF |
| $t_{\text {max }}$ (h) | - | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| $C_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | - | 6443 | 71.6 |
| $t_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~h})$ | 1.26 | 1.01 | 0.661 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{L} \mathrm{h}^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}\right)$ | 14.1 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{Vd}_{\text {ss }}\left(\mathrm{L} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}\right)$ | 12.5 | - | - |
| AUC ( $\mathrm{h} \times \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}$ ) | 212 | 10128 | 77.8 |
| B |  |  |  |
| parameter ( 10 mpk , p.o.) | plasma | brain | CSF |
| $t_{\text {max }}$ (h) | 0.5 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $C_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | 216 | 6900 | 52.2 |
| $t_{1 / 2}$ (h) | 1.03 | 1.18 | 1.23 |
| AUC ( $\mathrm{h} \times \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}$ ) | 412 | 14648 | 119 |
| $F$ (\%) | 58.3 | - | - |

## CONCLUSIONS

The present work outlines the lead optimization studies of a class of benzoxazolone carboxamides as AC inhibitors. We further extended the preliminary studies around $\mathbf{2 b}$ (and $2 \mathrm{c})^{39,40}$ and performed a focused structure-activity relationship (SAR) study on Regions $A$ and $B$ of this scaffold with the aim of improving the physicochemical and metabolic properties of the series while maintaining the inhibitory potency. Introduction of different heterocyclic groups on the benzoxazolone moiety was tolerated regarding inhibitory potency, as for the tetrahydropyrane 22b, the piperidines 22d and 23a, and the piperazines 23 e and 23 f . A more focused exploration


Figure 7. Dose response reduction of brain levels of GluSph (d18:1) after intraperitoneal injection of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ at 30 and $90 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ in 4 L ;C* mice ( $N=4-8$ with mixed males and females for each group).

GalSph(d18:1)


Figure 8. Dose response reduction of brain levels of GalSph (d18:1) after intraperitoneal injection of 22 m at 30 and $90 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ in Twitcher (Twi) mice ( $N=3$ males $+N=3$ females for each group).
around 22d and 23e by changing the nature of substitution on the distal nitrogen atom led to the identification of novel potent analogs with improved solubility, for example, the piperidines 22e and 22f. Targeted modifications on different positions of Regions $A$ and $B$ of the $N$-methylated piperidine series led to compound $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ as a potent and oral bioavailable AC inhibitor with excellent brain penetration in mice. Preliminary results demonstrated target engagement of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ both in the 4L;C* and Twitcher mouse models where dosedependent reductions in GluSph and GalSph were observed, supporting that further optimized AC inhibitors may be used in the correction of severe pathological neurological states of LSD where these toxic lipids may play a significant role in the pathology, such as GD and KD.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemicals, Materials, and Methods. Solvents and reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. Automated column chromatography purifications were done using a Teledyne ISCO apparatus (CombiFlash Rf) with prepacked $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ columns of different sizes (from 4 to 40 g ). Mixtures of increasing polarity of Cy and EtOAc or DCM and MeOH were used as eluents. TLC analyses were performed using a Supelco on TLC Al foils 0.2 mm with a fluorescence indicator at 254 nm . Purifications of basic compounds were done using an IST ISOLUTE SCX packed into SPE cartridges (SCX). Hydrogenation reactions were performed using H-Cube continuous hydrogenation equipment (SS-reaction line version), employing disposable catalyst cartridges (CatCart) preloaded with the required heterogeneous catalyst. Microwave heating was performed using an Explorer-48 positions

Table 7. Plasma and Brain Concentrations of 22 m in $4 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{C}^{*}$ and Twitcher Mice

| $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$ | $\mathrm{Fp}, \mathrm{u}(\%)^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{Fb}, \mathrm{u}(\%)^{\text {c }}$ | mouse model | dose ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ) | $\mathrm{Cp}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{u}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{e}$ | $\mathrm{Cb}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{f}$ | $\mathrm{Cb}, \mathrm{u}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{g}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.410 \pm 0.100$ | 13.8 | 0.70 | $4 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{C}^{*}{ }^{h}$ | 90 | 16.81 | 2.32 | 373.34 | 2.61 |
|  |  |  |  | 30 | 3.08 | 0.42 | 110.12 | 0.77 |
|  |  |  | Twitcher ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 90 | 3.85 | 0.52 | 307.92 | 2.15 |
|  |  |  |  | 30 | 0.85 | 0.11 | 114.50 | 0.80 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value as a mean of two independent experiments, each performed in two technical replicates. Primary fibroblast cells from Krabbe's disease patients were incubated with 22 m for 2 h at different concentrations. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{Fp}$, u: plasma fraction unbounded. Values are the mean of two technical replicates. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{Fb}$, u: brain fraction unbounded. Values are the mean of two technical replicates. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{Cp}$ : plasma concentration. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{Cp}$, u : plasma unbounded concentration. ${ }^{f} \mathrm{Cb}$ : brain concentration. ${ }^{g} \mathrm{Cb}$, u: brain unbounded concentration. ${ }^{4} 4 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{C}^{*}$ mice were sacrificed 1 h after the last doses (day 14 ), and the compound 22 m levels were measured in plasma and brain ( $N=4-8$ with mixed males and females). ${ }^{i}$ Twitcher mice were sacrificed 1 h after the last doses (day 20), and the compound $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ levels were measured in plasma and brain ( $N=3$ males $+N=3$ females for each group).
instrument (CEM). NMR experiments of all the intermediates and final compounds were run on a Bruker Avance III 400 system (400.13 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 100.62 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) equipped with a BBI probe and Z-gradients. Spectra were acquired at 300 K using deuterated dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) or deuterated chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ as solvent. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded in parts per million using the residual non-deuterated solvent as the internal standard (for DMSO- $d_{6}: 2.50 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} ; 39.52 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$; for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ : $7.26 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and $77.16 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ). Data are reported as follows: chemical shift (ppm), multiplicity (indicated as bs, broad singlet; s, singlet; d, doublet; t , triplet; q , quartet; p , quintet, sx , sextet; m , multiplet, and combinations thereof), coupling constants ( $J$ ) in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$, and integrated intensity. Quantitative ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analyses of the freshly prepared 10 mM DMSO- $d_{6}$ stock solutions (used for biological screenings) of the final compounds were performed using the PULCON method (PUlse Length based CONcentration determination, Bruker software, topspin 3.0. References: (a) Wider G., Reires L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128 (8), 2571-2576; (b) Burton I. W., Quilliam M. A., Valter J. A., Anal. Chem. 2005, 77, 3123-3131). UPLC/MS analyses of all the intermediates and final compounds were performed on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC/MS system consisting of a Single Quadrupole Detector (SQD) Mass Spectrometer (MS) equipped with an Electrospray Ionization (ESI) interface and a Photodiode Array Detector (PDA). The PDA range was 210-400 nm . Analyses were performed on an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column $(50 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID, particle size $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ with a VanGuard BEH C18 precolumn $(5 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID, particle size $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. The mobile phase was $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at pH 5 adjusted with $\mathrm{AcOH}(\mathrm{A})$ and $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (95:5) at pH 5 (B). ESI in both positive and negative modes was used in the mass scan range of $100-650 \mathrm{Da}$. Analyses were performed with method $A, B, C$, or D. Method A: gradient 5 to $95 \%$ B over 2.5 min . Flow rate 0.5 mL $\min ^{-1}$. Temperature $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Method B: gradient 50 to $100 \%$ B over 2.5 min . Flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. Temperature $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Method C : gradient 0 to $100 \%$ B over 2.5 min . Flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$. Temperature 40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Method D: isocratic $55 \%$ B over 5 min . Flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$. Temperature $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC/MS analyses of the final compounds were performed with method $E$ or $F$ using freshly prepared 10 mM DMSO$d_{6}$ stock solutions (used for biological screenings), diluted 20 -fold or 100 fold in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), and directly analyzed. An ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 ( $100 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID, particle size $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a VanGuard BEH C18 precolumn ( $5 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID, particle size 1.7 $\mu \mathrm{m})$ was used. The mobile phase was $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at pH 5 adjusted with $\mathrm{AcOH}(\mathrm{A})$ and $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (95:5) at pH 5 (B). ESI in both positive and negative modes was used in the mass scan range of $100-650 \mathrm{Da}$. Method E: gradient: 10 to $90 \%$ $B$ over 6 min . Flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. Temperature $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Method F : gradient: 50 to $100 \%$ B over 6 min . Flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$. Temperature $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The detection wavelength $(\lambda)$ was set at 215 nm for relative purity determination. $R_{t}$ of the final compounds are reported in Table S2. Accurate mass measurements were performed on a Synapt G2 Quadrupole-ToF Instrument (Waters, USA) equipped with an ESI ion source; compounds were diluted to 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}$ and analyzed. Leucine enkephalin ( $2 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}$ ) was used as a lock mass reference compound for spectral recalibration.

All final compounds displayed $\geq 95 \%$ purity as determined by NMR and UPLC/MS analysis.

General Procedure for Palladium-Catalyzed Cross Coupling Reaction (Procedure A). To a solution of the appropriate phenyl bromide ( 1.0 equiv.) in dry 1,4-dioxane ( 0.5 M , previously degassed under a nitrogen atmosphere) was added the appropriate boronic acid or its corresponding boronic ester ( 1.1 equiv.) followed by the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $0.05-0.2$ equiv.) and 2 M $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2.5 equiv.). The dark reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 15 h , then diluted with EtOAc, and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the crude was purified by column chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{Cy} /$ EtOAc as indicated in each case.

General Procedure for Catalytic Hydrogenation Reaction (Procedure B). Method A. To a suspension of the appropriate 2nitrophenol ( 1.0 equiv.) in $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, or $\mathrm{EtOAc}(0.4 \mathrm{M})$ were added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.25 equiv.) and cyclohexene ( 30 equiv.), and the reaction mixture was stirred at reflux until the disappearance of the starting material, as indicated by UPLC/MS analysis. The suspension was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was quickly evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude was used in the next step without further purification.

Method B. A suspension of the appropriate 2-nitrophenol (1.0 equiv.) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.4 \mathrm{M})$ was hydrogenated with the H-Cube apparatus using $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and full $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mode. After complete conversion (UPLC/MS analysis monitoring), the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude was used in the next step without further purification.

General Procedure for Intramolecular Cyclization Using CDI (Procedure C). To a solution of the appropriate 2-aminophenol (1.0 equiv.) in MeCN ( 0.1 M ) was added CDI (1.0-1.5 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . Then the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the crude was redissolved in EtOAc, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was purified by column chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ or $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, or used in the next step without further purification, as indicated in each case.

General Procedure for Carboxamide Synthesis (Procedure D). Method A. To a stirred solution of the appropriate oxazolone (1.0 equiv.) and DMAP ( 1.1 equiv.) in dry MeCN was added the appropriate isocyanate (1.1-3.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min under a nitrogen atmosphere. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was purified by column chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ or $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ as indicated in each case.

Method B. To a stirred solution of triphosgene ( 0.33 equiv.) in dry DCM ( 0.2 M ) were added the appropriate amine (1.5-3.0 equiv.) and dry $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (3.0 equiv.) at $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min under a nitrogen atmosphere and then added to a solution of the appropriate oxazolone (1.0 equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1.0 equiv.) in dry DCM. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min under nitrogen and then diluted with DCM. The organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was purified
by column chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ or DCM/ MeOH , as indicated in each case.

Method C. To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2.0 equiv.) in MeCN ( 0.4 M ) were added DMAP ( 2.0 equiv.) and the appropriate amine ( 2.0 equiv.). The resulting solution was stirred at rt for 10 min , then the appropriate oxazolone derivative ( 1.0 equiv.) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h . After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{Cy} /$ EtOAc or DCM/MeOH, as indicated in each case.

General Procedure for N -Boc Removal (Procedure E). To a suspension of the appropriate N -Boc-protected derivative ( 1.0 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane or DCM ( 0.1 M ) was added HCl ( 30 equiv., 4 M in 1,4-dioxane), and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or used in the next step without further purification, as indicated in each case.
General Procedure for Reductive Amination Reaction (Procedure F). To a solution of the appropriate secondary amine ( 1.0 equiv.) in MeCN or $\mathrm{THF}(0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) were added the appropriate aldehyde or ketone (1.6-5.0 equiv.), AcOH ( $1.6-5.0$ equiv.), and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ (1.6-3.0 equiv.). The mixture was stirred at rt for $2-$ 16 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. Then the reaction mixture was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted with EtOAc. The organic phase was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was purified by SCX.

General Procedure for Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution Reaction (SNAr) (Procedure G). To a solution of the appropriate 4fluoronitrobenzene ( 1.0 equiv.) in MeCN were added the appropriate amine ( 2.0 equiv.) and DIPEA ( 2.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture was refluxed (or stirred under MW irradiation, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, power 200 W ) until the disappearance of the starting material, as indicated by UPLC/MS analysis. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ or $\mathrm{DCM} /$ MeOH , as indicated in each case.

General Procedure for Intramolecular Cyclization under Basic Conditions (Procedure H). To a solution of the appropriate thiazolidinedione derivative ( 1.0 equiv.) in dry THF ( 0.1 M ) was added $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ (2.0-4.0 equiv.) at rt under a nitrogen atmosphere. After 30 min , the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was used in the next step without further purification.

General Procedure for Lithium/Halogen Exchange Addition Reaction (Procedure I). To a solution of the appropriate bromobenzoxazolone ( 1.0 equiv.) in dry THF ( 0.1 M ) was added MeMgBr ( 1.5 equiv., 3.0 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere for 30 min followed by the addition of $n-\operatorname{BuLi}$ ( 1.2 equiv., 2.5 M in hexanes). After 30 min , a solution of the appropriate piperidone ( 1.7 equiv.) in dry THF ( 0.7 M ) was added dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere, and then the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt. After 30 min , the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, diluted with EtOAc, washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was purified by column chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ or $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, as indicated in each case.

General Procedure for Dehydration Reaction of Tertiary Alcohols (Procedure L). To a suspension of the appropriate tertiary alcohol ( 1.0 equiv.) in dry toluene ( 0.1 M ) was added $p$ - TsOH ( 3.0 equiv.), and the reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 h . After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was purified by SCX or used in the next step without further purification, as indicated in each case.

Synthesis of $N$-(2-Benzyloxyethyl)-6-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (2d). Compound 2d was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method $B$ ) using 6-(4-fluorophenyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one ${ }^{39}(0.060 \mathrm{~g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-(benzyloxy)-1-ethanamine hydrochloride ( $0.073 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.079 \mathrm{~g}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 80: 20$ ) to afford 2d as a white solid ( $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.35(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
7.43 (dd, $J=8.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.40 (d, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.39-7.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.82$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=247.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 153.12, 149.94, 142.43, 137.91, 137.51, 136.18, 136.16, $128.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.62,127.96,127.95,127.28$, 123.87, $116.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.78,108.57,73.40,68.26$, 40.37. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.78$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 406, found $407[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 407.1407, measured: 407.1424, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 4.2$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-oxo- N -pentyl-1,3-benzoxa-zole-3-carboxamide (2e). Compound 2 e was prepared according to general procedure D (method A) using 6-(4-fluorophenyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one ( $0.080 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-pentyl isocyanate ( 0.05 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography (Cy/EtOAc, 80:20) to afford 2e as a white solid $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta .8 .10$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.04(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.47-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.44(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.70$ (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=247.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 153.23, 149.71, 142.28, 137.38, 136.06, 128.73 $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.78,116.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=25.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.75$, 108.42, 99.96, 40.34, 29.12, 28.96, 22.30, 13.94. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.43 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19}{ }^{9} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 342 , found 343 [ M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 343.1458, measured: $343.1449, \Delta \mathrm{ppm}-2.6$.

Synthesis of N -(2-Ethoxyethyl)-6-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (2f). Compound $2 f$ was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method $B$ ) using 6-(4-fluorophenyl)-3 H -1,3-benzoxazol-2-one ( $0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2 ethoxyethylamine ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.080 \mathrm{~g}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.20$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.140 \mathrm{~g}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM ( 15 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 80: 20$ ) to afford 2 f as a white solid $(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.32$ (bs, 1H), 8.10 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.57-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.46-7.38 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.69$ (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=247.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 153.16, 149.98, 142.47, 137.54, 136.20, 128.88 $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.34,123.89,116.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 115.81, 108.60, 68.63, 66.81, 40.43, 15.25. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t}$ 2.56 min . MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 344 , found $345[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 345.1251, measured: 345.1258, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 2$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Fluorophenyl)-N-(3-methoxypropyl)-2-oxo-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (2g). Compound 2 g was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method $B$ ) using 6 -(4-fluorophenyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one ( $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3methoxypropylamine ( $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography (Cy/EtOAc, 80:20) to afford 2 g as a white solid ( $0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.35$ (bs, 1 H$), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (dd, $J=8.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.4,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{p}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=247.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 153.16, 142.84, 142.43, 137.44, 136.23, $128.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}\right)$, 127.40, 123.84, 116.12, 115.88 (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}\right), 108.53,70.95$, 58.95, 38.60, 29.28. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.49 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 344, found $345[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 345.1251, measured: 345.1258, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 2$.

Synthesis of 3H-Oxazolo[4,5-c]pyridin-2-one (7a). Compound 7a was prepared according to general procedure C using $6 \mathbf{a}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.91$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI ( $0.290 \mathrm{~g}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) in a mixture of MeCN/DMF ( $9 \mathrm{~mL}, 2: 1$ ). The crude was triturated with DCM to afford 7 a as a whitish solid $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 0.61 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 136 , found $137[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 135[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

Synthesis of 1 H -Oxazolo[5,4-c]pyridin-2-one (7b). Compound 7b was prepared according to general procedure $C$ using $\mathbf{6 b}(0.100 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI $(0.441 \mathrm{~g}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{MeCN} /$ DMF ( $9 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 4$ ). The crude was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford $7 \mathbf{b}$ as a brown solid ( 0.123 g , quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ $12.51(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method C): $R_{t} 1.06 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 136, found $137[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 135[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

Synthesis of 2-Oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)oxazolo[4,5-c]pyridine-3carboxamide (8a). Compound 8a was prepared according to general procedure D (method A) using $7 \mathrm{a}(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4phenylbutyl isocyanate ( $0.045 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.046 \mathrm{~g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of DMF/toluene ( $3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2: 1$ ). The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$, from $95: 5$ to $70: 30$ ) to afford 8 a as a white solid ( $0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.28$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.21$ (m, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 3 H$), 7.21-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 151.67,148.95,148.06,146.45,141.91,136.75$, 136.72, 128.53 (4C), 126.06, 105.75, 40.48, 35.54, 29.11, 28.63. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.23 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 311, found $312[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 312.1348, measured: 312.134, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-2.6$.

Synthesis of 2-Oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)oxazolo[5,4-c]pyridine-1carboxamide ( $8 b$ ). Compound $\mathbf{8 b}$ was prepared according to general procedure D (method A) using $7 \mathbf{b}(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.113 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of DMF/MeCN ( $12 \mathrm{~mL}, 4: 1$ ). The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 80: 20$ ) to afford $\mathbf{8 b}$ as a white solid $(0.107 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.23$ ( m , overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H ), $7.23-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{q}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 151.99,148.79,146.15,141.86,139.86$, 130.48, 128.55 (4C), 128.53, 126.10, 110.80, 40.52, 35.54, 29.04, 28.62. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 2.26$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 311 , found $312[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 312.1348, measured: 312.1341, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-2.2$.

Synthesis of 2,3-Dioxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)indoline-1-carboxamide ( 8 c ). Compound 8 c was prepared according to general procedure $\mathrm{D}(\operatorname{method} \mathrm{A})$ using $7 \mathrm{c}(0.074 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $4-$ phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.097 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 85: 15$ ) to afford 8 c as a yellow solid $(0.029 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.22(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.24(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal, 2 H$), 2.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.51$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 180.90,159.48,151.08$, 148.69, 142.51, 138.04, 128.70 (4C), 126.14, 125.16, 124.81, 119.47, 116.97, 39.70, 35.23, 29.14, 28.66. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 1.41$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 322 , found $323[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 323.1396, measured: 323.1391, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.5$.

Synthesis of 2-Oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)indoline-1-carboxamide ( $8 d$ ). Compound $8 \mathbf{d}$ was prepared according to general procedure D (method A) using $7 \mathrm{~d}(0.066 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate ( $0.094 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.096 \mathrm{~g}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 90: 10$ ) to afford $\mathbf{8 d}$ as a white solid ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 26 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.60$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.22\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 4 H$), 7.21-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.17,152.03,141.89,141.69,128.22,128.15$, 128.11, 125.62, 124.11, 123.61, 122.71, 116.28, 39.52, 36.80, 35.32, 28.96, 28.50. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.61 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 308 , found $309[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 309.1603, measured 309.1598, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.6$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 3-(2,4-dioxothiazolidin-3-yl)-4-oxo-piper-idine-1-carboxylate (10). To a solution of 9 ( $0.782 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$
equiv.) in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) were added TZD ( $0.141 \mathrm{~g}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv.) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.207 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ eq.). The reaction was stirred at rt for 2 h and then diluted with EtOAc. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 10 as an orange oil ( $0.247 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.80-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.19(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-$ $2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t} 1.88 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ requires 314 , found $313[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 2-oxo-3,4,6,7-tetrahydrooxazolo[4,5-c]-pyridine-5-carboxylate (11). Compound 11 was prepared according to general procedure H using $10(0.247 \mathrm{~g}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and $t \mathrm{BuOK}(0.176 \mathrm{~g}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) in dry THF ( 8 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 80: 20$ ) to afford 11 as yellow oil $(0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \%)$. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 1.64$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 240, found $241[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 2-oxo-3-(4-phenylbutylcarbamoyl)-6,7-dihydro-4H-oxazolo[4,5-c]pyridine-5-carboxylate (12a). Compound 12a was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method A) using $11(0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate ( $0.079 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.081 \mathrm{~g}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$, 90:10) to afford 12a as an off-white solid ( $0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.98$ (bs, 1 H ), $7.31-7.23$ (m, overlapped signals with $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.21-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.74-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.58\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t} 2.81 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 415 , found $416[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 2-Oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrooxazolo[4,5-c]pyridine-3-carboxamide Hydrochloride (12b). Compound 12b was prepared according to general procedure E using compound 12a ( $0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ as a yellow solid $(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.33(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.31-7.24 (m, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2H), 7.21-7.13 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.81,149.01,141.92,133.04$, 128.54 (4C), 126.04, 114.29, 40.31, 35.53, 29.06, 28.63, 19.24. MS UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 1.83 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 315, found $316[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 316.1661, measured: 316.1661, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.0$.

Synthesis of 5-Methyl-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-6,7-dihydro-4H-oxazolo[4,5-c]pyridine-3-carboxamide Hydrochloride (12c). Compound 12 c was prepared according to general procedure F using compound $\mathbf{1 2 b}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}), 37 \%$ aqueous solution of formaldehyde $(0.005 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.381 \mathrm{~g}, 1.80$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.008 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry MeCN $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was dissolved in DCM $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by the addition of $\mathrm{HCl}(0.68 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{M}$ in 1,4-dioxane). After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford 12c as a white solid ( $0.026 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.62(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.25(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H$), 7.21-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{p}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.71,149.00,141.89,132.64,128.52$ (4C), 126.04, 113.48, 50.56 (2C), 49.25 (2C), 43.26, 40.32, 35.52, 29.03 (2C), 28.60 (2C), 19.49. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 2.13 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 329, found $330[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS C $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 330.1818, measured: 330.182 , $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.6$.

Synthesis of 5-Benzyl-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-6,7-dihydro-4H-oxazolo[4,5-c]pyridine-3-carboxamide (12d). Compound 12d was prepared according to general procedure $F$ using compound 12b ( $0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$, benzaldehyde $(0.033 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.054 \mathrm{~g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.015 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.015$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography $(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 85: 15)$ to afford 12 d as a white
solid $(0.043 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.01$ (bs, 1 H$)$, 7.41-7.23 (overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, $\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.21-7.13 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 149.72,142.06,128.68$ (2C), 128.53 (3C), 128.49 (4C), 125.99, 61.29, 48.43 (2C), 40.07, 35.58, 29.18, 28.67, 21.84. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 1.98 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 405, found $406[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 406.2131, measured: $406.2126, \Delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.2$.

Synthesis of 5-Acetyl-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-6,7-dihydro-4H-oxazolo[4,5-c]pyridine-3-carboxamide (12e). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ $(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM $(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.025$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) and acetyl chloride ( $0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h and then was diluted with EtOAc , washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford 12e as a white solid $(0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.07-7.85$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.24\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H ), 7.21$7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.87-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 128.52$ (4C), 126.04, 43.33, 40.19, 38.26, 35.56, 29.16, 28.64, 22.23, 21.66. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t} 2.12 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 357, found $358[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(2,4-Dioxothiazolidin-3-yl)-3-hydroxy-piperidine-1-carboxylate (14a). To a solution of $13(0.220 \mathrm{~g}, 1.10$ mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in dry DMF ( 2 mL ) were added TZD ( 0.100 g , $0.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and magnesium perchlorate ( $0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 20 min and then gradually heated to $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 2 h . After 3 h , the reaction was cooled, diluted with EtOAc, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, brine, and $15 \%$ LiCl in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was purified by column chromatography (Cy/EtOAc, 60:40) to afford 14a as a white solid ( $0.139 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 5.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.11-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{qd}, J=12.7,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t}$ 1.77 min , MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ requires 316 , found $315[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

Synthesis of 3-(1-Methyl-3-oxo-4-piperidyl)thiazolidine-2,4dione (15). To a solution of $14 \mathrm{a}(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM ( 3 mL ) was added portionwise Dess-Martin periodinane ( $0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv.) under an argon atmosphere. The reaction was stirred at rt for 16 h , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added followed by the addition of $10 \%$ $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min , and then the organic phase was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (3 times). The collected organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 75: 15$ ) to afford 15 as a white solid ( $0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.24-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{qd}, J=12.4,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/ MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.83 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ requires 314, found $315[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 2-Oxo-1,4,6,7-tetrahydrooxazolo[5,4-c]-pyridine-5-carboxylate (16). Compound 16 was prepared according to general procedure H using $15(0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $t \mathrm{BuOK}$ ( $0.190 \mathrm{~g}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv.) in dry THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was used in the next step without further purification. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.64 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 240, found 239 [M-$\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 2-Oxo-1-(4-phenylbutylcarbamoyl)-6,7-dihydro-4H-oxazolo[5,4-c]pyridine-5-carboxylate (17a). Compound 17a was prepared according to general procedure D (method A) using $16(0.052 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate ( $0.039 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$, $90: 10)$ to afford 17 a as a white solid ( $0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \%$ over two steps).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.01$ (bs, 1 H ), 7.31-7.24 (m, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H ), $7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method B): $R_{t} 1.91 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 415, found $416[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 2-Oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrooxazolo[5,4-c]pyridine-1-carboxamide Hydrochloride (17b). Compound $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ was prepared according to general procedure E using 17a ( $0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 0.055 \mathrm{mmol})$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to obtain $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ as a white solid ( $0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.96$ (bs, 2 H ), 8.05 (bs, 1H), 7.31-7.23 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.12 (m, 3H), 4.08-3.99 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.59(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.08,149.11,141.97,128.54$ (2C), 126.02, 40.28 (2C), 38.16, 36.03, 35.56, 29.09, 28.66. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t} 1.88 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 315, found $316[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 316.1661, measured: 316.1669, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 2.5$.

Synthesis of 5-(Cyclohexen-1-yl)-2-nitrophenol (19a). Compound 19a was prepared according to general procedure A using 5-bromo-2-nitrophenol ( $0.218 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 18a ( $0.229 \mathrm{~g}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.50$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in degassed 1,4 -dioxane ( 20 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography $(\mathrm{Cy})$ to afford 19 a as colorless oil $(0.200 \mathrm{~g}$, $90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39$ $(\mathrm{tt}, J=4.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.85-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.73-1.62 (m, 2H). UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t}$ 1.35 min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires 219 , found $220[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 5-(3,6-Dihydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-2-nitrophenol (19b). Compound 19b was prepared according to general procedure A using 5-bromo-2-nitrophenol ( $0.218 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 18b ( $0.231 \mathrm{~g}, 1.10$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.30 \mathrm{~mL}$, 2.50 mmol ) in degassed 1,4-dioxane ( 20 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 85: 15$ ) to afford $\mathbf{1 9 b}$ as a white powder $(0.123 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $10.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50-6.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.35(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 0.51 \mathrm{~min} . \operatorname{MS}(E S) \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ requires 221 , found $220[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(3-Hydroxy-4-nitrophenyl)-3,6-dihydro2 -pyridine-1-carboxylate (19c). Compound 19c was prepared according to general procedure A using 5-bromo-2-nitrophenol $(1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 7.35 \mathrm{mmol}), 18 \mathrm{c}(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 8.09 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.424 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(9.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in degassed 1,4dioxane $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography (Cy/EtOAc, 80:20) to afford 19 c as a white powder $(1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.44-6.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.54(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.54 \mathrm{~min} . \operatorname{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 320, found 319 [M-H] ${ }^{-}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(2-Fluoro-5-hydroxy-4-nitrophenyl)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyridine-1-carboxylate (19d). Compound 19d was prepared according to general procedure A using 5-bromo-4-fluoro-2-nitrophenol ( $0.280 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$, 18c $(0.402 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.95$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in degassed 1,4 -dioxane $(12 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 80: 20$ ) to afford 19d as a yellow solid $(0.267 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%)$. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 2.45 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 338 , found $339[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 2-Amino-5-cyclohexylphenol (20a). Compound 20a was prepared according to general procedure $\mathrm{B}($ method B$)$ using 19a $(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.74-6.68(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.76-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/ MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 0.98 \mathrm{~min} . \operatorname{MS}(E S) \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 191, found $192[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 2-Amino-5-tetrahydropyran-4-ylphenol (20b). Compound 20b was prepared according to general procedure B (method B) using 19b ( $0.123 \mathrm{~g}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 0.60 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 193, found $194[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(4-Amino-3-hydroxyphenyl)piperidine1 -carboxylate (20c). Compound 20 c was prepared according to general procedure B (method B) using $19 \mathrm{c}(0.239 \mathrm{~g}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 0.98 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 292, found $293[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(4-Amino-2-fluoro-5-hydroxyphenyl)-piperidine-1-carboxylate (20d). Compound 20d was prepared according to general procedure B (method B) using 19d ( 0.265 g , 0.78 mmol ). UPLC/MS (method D): $R_{t} 1.51 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 310 , found $311[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-Cyclohexyl-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (21a). Compound 21a was prepared according to general procedure C using 20a ( $0.174 \mathrm{~g}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and CDI ( $0.295 \mathrm{~g}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry MeCN ( 9 $\mathrm{mL})$. The crude was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.07$ (bs, 1H), $7.09-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.04-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-$ $1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 2.38$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 217, found $218[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-Tetrahydropyran-4-yl-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (21b). Compound 21b was prepared according to general procedure C using 20b ( $0.108 \mathrm{~g}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and CDI ( $0.136 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 70: 30$ ) to afford $\mathbf{2 1 b}$ as a white powder ( $0.089 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $11.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.85$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.60(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ). UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.59 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires 219 , found $220[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(2-Oxo-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-6-yl)-piperidine-1-carboxylate (21c). Compound 21c was prepared according to general procedure D using 20 c ( $0.219 \mathrm{~g}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and CDI ( $0.183 \mathrm{~g}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 45: 55$ ) to afford 21c as a white powder ( $0.195 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.49$ (bs, 1H), 7.24-7.16 (m, 1H), 7.06-6.96 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{tt}, J=11.9$, $3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.16 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 318, found $317[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(5-Fluoro-2-oxo-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-6-yl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (21d). Compound 21d was prepared according to general procedure C using $20 \mathrm{~d}(0.242 \mathrm{~g}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI $(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 70: 30$ ) to afford 21d as a white solid ( $0.157 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.68$ (bs, 1 H ), $7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95$ (ddd, $J=12.0,8.7,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{qd}, J=12.5,4.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method D): $R_{t} 2.21 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 336, found $337[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one; Hydrochloric Salt (21e). Compound 21e was prepared according to general procedure E using 21c ( $0.193 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude was used in the next step without further purification. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 0.91 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 218, found $219[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 5-Fluoro-6-(4-piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one; Hydrochloric Salt (21f). Compound 21f was prepared according to general procedure E using $\mathbf{2 1 d}(0.157 \mathrm{~g}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude used in the next step without further purification. UPLC/MS (method D): $R_{t} 0.37 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 236, found 237 [M+ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Methyl-4-piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one ( $\mathbf{2 1 g}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{2 1 g}$ was prepared according to general procedure F using 21e ( $0.155 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $37 \%$ aqueous solution of formaldehyde ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.386 \mathrm{~g}, 1.83$
$\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.073 \mathrm{~g}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry MeCN ( 6 mL ). The crude was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.19-7.15$ (m, 1H), 7.03-6.96 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.40(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with DMSO signal, 1H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 1.95 (td, $J=11.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.76-1.57$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 0.91 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 232 , found $233[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Ethyl-4-piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (21h). Compound 21 h was prepared according to general procedure F using 21e ( $0.254 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetaldehyde ( $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.05$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{M}$ in THF), $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.318 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and AcOH $(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by SCX to afford 21 h as a yellow powder ( 0.245 g , quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59$ (dd, $J=8.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.43(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with DMSO signal, 5 H ), $2.35(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method A$): R_{t} 0.98 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 246, found $247[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Isopropyl-4-piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2one (21i). Compound 21 i was prepared according to general procedure F using 21e $(0.254 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.318$ $\mathrm{g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and AcOH ( $0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 10 mL ). The residue was purified by column chromatography (DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 80: 20)$ to afford 21 i as a pink powder $(0.104 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%)$. UPLC/ MS (method A): $R_{t} 0.99 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 261, found $262[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Isobutyl-4-piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (21j). Compound $\mathbf{2 1} \mathbf{j}$ was prepared according to general procedure $F$ using $21 \mathrm{e}(0.254 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.318 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and isobutyraldehyde ( $0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by SCX to afford $\mathbf{2 1 j}$ as a white solid $(0.236 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.16-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.85(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 2.53-2.43 (m, overlapped with DMSO signal, 1H), 2.05 (d, $J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93$ (td, $J=11.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.69-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A)$ : $R_{t} 1.23 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 274 , found $275[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 5-Fluoro-6-(1-methyl-4-piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxa-zol-2-one (21k). Compound 21k was prepared according to general procedure F using 21 f ( $0.123 \mathrm{~g}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) $37 \%$ aqueous solution of formaldehyde ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.386 \mathrm{~g}, 1.83$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.054 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.056 \mathrm{~g}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry MeCN ( 5 mL ). The crude was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.5,10.1,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{td}, J=11.3,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 0.99 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 250 , found $251[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-Cyclohexyl-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-1,3-benzox-azole-3-carboxamide (22a). Compound 22a was prepared following general procedure $D$ (method A) using 21a ( $0.169 \mathrm{~g}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry pyridine ( 8 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$, 80:20) to afford 22a as a white solid ( $0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.04(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.32-7.24 (m, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H ), 7.22-7.14 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 H), 2.61-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.41(\mathrm{q}, J=10.9,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.60,150.06,145.57,142.06,128.56,128.52$, 126.01, 125.90, 123.62, 115.31, 108.28, 44.64, 40.21, 35.60, 34.78, 29.22, 28.71, 26.91, 26.16. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.45 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 392, found $393[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 393.2178, measured: 393.218, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.5$.
Synthesis of 2-Oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-6-tetrahydropyran-4-yl-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (22b). Compound 22b was prepared according to general procedure $D(\operatorname{method} A)$ using $21 b$ ( 0.080 g, 0.37 mmol ) and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.072 \mathrm{~g}, 0.41$
$\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 90: 10$ ) to afford 22b as a white solid $(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.03(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal, 2 H ), $7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.45,149.96,143.24,142.14,142.03,128.53$, 128.50, 126.01, 123.52, 115.56, 108.24, 68.33, 41.61, 40.23, 35.58, 34.20, 29.19, 28.68. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 2.83 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES})$ $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 394, found 218 [M- $\left.\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CONH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Ph}\right]^{-}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 395.1955, measured: 395.1971, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-4.0$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-[2-Oxo-3-(4-phenylbutylcarbamoyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-6-yl]piperidine-1-carboxylate (22c). Compound 22c was prepared according to general procedure $D(\operatorname{method} A)$ using 21c ( $0.087 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMAP $(0.037 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 4 phenylbutyl isocyanate ( $0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.053,0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry MeCN (3 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 90: 10$ ) to afford 22 c as a white solid ( $0.112 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.02(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.24$ (m, overlapped signals with $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.24-$ $7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{t}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal, 6 H$), 1.48$ (s, 9H). UPLC/MS (method B): $R_{t} 2.42 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 493, found $494[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 2-Oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-6-(4-piperidyl)-1,3-ben-zoxazole-3-carboxamide Hydrochloride (22d). Compound 22d was prepared according to general procedure E using 22c ( 0.112 g , 0.23 mmol ). The crude was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford 22 d as a white solid ( $0.085 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.00$ (bs, 2 H$), 8.11(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.28\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal, 3 H$), 3.05-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.77$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $152.25,149.26,142.00,141.70,141.21,128.27,128.21,126.64$, 125.65, 122.52, 114.48, 108.08, 43.36, 38.67, 34.73, 29.38, 28.59, 28.12. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 2.40$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 393, found $394[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 394.213, measured: 394.2131, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-0.3$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Methyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (22e). Compound 22e was prepared according to general procedure $D$ using $21 \mathrm{~g}(0.142 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61$ mmol ) and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate ( $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.118 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 70: 30$ ) to afford 22 e as a white solid $\left(0.181 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%\right.$ over three steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.25(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal, 2 H ), $2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.46(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with DMSO signal, 1 H$)$, $2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.40,149.98,143.59,142.05,140.24$, 128.55, 128.51, 126.30, 126.01, 123.69, 115.50, 108.32, 56.28, 46.43, 42.04, 40.23, 35.60, 33.65, 29.21, 28.69. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t}$ 2.21 min . MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 407, found $408[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 408.2287, measured: 408.2291, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 1.0.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Ethyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (22f). Compound 22 f was prepared according to general procedure D (method A$)$ using $21 \mathrm{~h}(0.100 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.41 mmol ) and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate ( $0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.079 \mathrm{~g}, 0.45$ mmol ). The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 70: 30)$ to afford 22 f as a yellow solid ( $0.105 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.03(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.23\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H ), 7.21$7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{td}, J=11.7,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
$1.76-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.49,149.97,143.81,142.09,142.04,128.54$ (2C), 128.50, 126.00, 123.69, 115.44, 108.30, 53.89, 52.74, 42.81, 40.21, 35.59, 33.73, 29.20, 28.69, 12.25. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.22 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 421, found $422[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$, $245[\mathrm{M}-$ $\left.\left.\operatorname{CONH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]^{-}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 422.2444, measured 422.2449, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.2$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Isopropyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbu-tyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (22g). Compound 22g was prepared according to general procedure $D(\operatorname{method} A)$ using 21i ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate ( $0.074 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 70: 30$ ) to afford $\mathbf{2 2 g}$ as a white solid ( $0.113 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.03(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.24(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2H), 7.21-7.15 (m, 3H), 7.15-7.10 (m, 2H), 3.44 ( q , $J=6.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{tt}, J=12.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.92-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.50,149.98,144.01,142.08,128.53$ (2C), 128.50, 126.15, 126.00, 123.70, 115.40, 108.32, 54.85, 49.44, 43.11, 40.21, 35.59, 34.12, 29.20, 28.69, 18.55. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.25 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 435, found $436[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 436.2603, measured 436.26, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 0.6.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Isobutyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (22h). Compound 22h was prepared according to general procedure $D(\operatorname{method} A)$ using $21 j$ ( 0.10 $\mathrm{g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4$ mmol ). The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} /$ EtOAc, 75:25) to afford $\mathbf{2 2 h}$ as a white powder ( $0.115 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.04(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.86$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.24\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H$), 7.22-$ $7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-$ $2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-$ $2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.54,150.01,142.66$, 142.09, 128.55 (2C), 128.52, 126.01, 123.70, 115.40, 108.35, 67.38, 54.75, 42.87, 40.23, 35.60, 33.83, 29.22, 28.71, 25.79, 21.21 (2C). UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.43 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 449, found $450[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 450.2756, measured 450.2757, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-0.2$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Acetyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (22i). To a solution of 22d ( $0.184 \mathrm{~g}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in dry THF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0\right.$ equiv.) dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by the addition of $\mathrm{AcCl}(0.039 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h , then diluted with EtOAc, washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, and dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 90: 10$ ) to afford 22 i as a white powder $(0.181 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.02(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.23$ (m, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H$), 7.21-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.90-4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.28-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{tt}, J=12.1,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.49$ (m, overlapped with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal, 6 H$) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 169.02,149.90,144.12,142.54,142.02,128.53$ (2C), 128.45, 126.56, 126.02, 123.53, 115.66, 108.24, 47.01, 42.78, 42.17, 40.24, 35.58, 29.19, 28.69, 21.64. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 2.48 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 435, found $436[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 436.2244, measured 436.2236, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.8$.

Synthesis of N-(2-Benzyloxyethyl)-6-(1-methyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (22j). Compound 22j was prepared according to general procedure D (method C ) using $\mathbf{2 1 g}$ ( $0.080 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-(benzyloxy)-1-ethanamine ( $0.056 \mathrm{~g}, 0.37$ mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 94: 6$ ) to afford $\mathbf{2 2 j}$ as a white solid
( $0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.32$ (bs, 1H), 7.93 $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.23(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 1 H$), 7.16-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09$ ( $\mathrm{td}, J=11.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.92-1.74 (m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.27,150.07,143.59,128.61$ (2C), 127.93 (2C), 127.90, 126.26, 123.63, 115.42, 108.33, 73.39, 68.32, 56.29 46.46, 42.05, 40.32, 33.68. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 1.92 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES})$ $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 409, found $410[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 410.208, measured 410.2087, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.7$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Methyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-N-pentyl-1,3-ben-zoxazole-3-carboxamide (22k). Compound 22 k was prepared according to general procedure D (method A ) using 21 g ( 0.050 g , 0.22 mmol ) and pentyl isocyanate $(0.031 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.027 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 95: 5$ ) to afford 22 k as a white solid $(0.039 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.02(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.09(\mathrm{td}, J=11.3,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.50,149.96,143.55,126.32,123.68,115.50,108.30$, 56.28, 46.44, 42.03, 40.40, 33.66, 29.27, 29.11, 22.45, 14.09. UPLC/ MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 2.00 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 345, found $346[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 346.2131, measured 346.2116, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-4.3$.

Synthesis of N-(2-Ethoxyethyl)-6-(1-methyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (22I). Compound 221 was prepared according to general procedure $D(\operatorname{method} C)$ using $21 \mathrm{~g}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.22 mmol ) and 2-ethoxyethylamine ( $0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH, 92:8) to afford 221 as a white solid ( $0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.29(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{td}$, $J=11.5,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.26,150.06,143.10,142.13$, 126.36, 123.63, 115.46, 108.35, 68.64, 66.77, 56.11, 46.13, 41.78, 40.33, 33.24, 15.23. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.57 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 347, found $348[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS C $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 348.1923, measured 348.1921, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.6$.

Synthesis of N-Isobutyl-6-(1-methyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-1,3-ben-zoxazole-3-carboxamide (22m). Compound 22 m was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method B) using 21 g ( 0.404 g , $1.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ and isobutylamine $(0.382 \mathrm{~g}, 5.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM ( 20 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 92: 8)$ to afford $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ as a white solid $(0.259 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.08(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{td}, J=11.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $1.97-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.51,150.06,143.58,142.08$, 126.29, 123.65, 115.47, 108.27, 56.27, 47.66, 46.45, 42.03, 33.68, 28.58, 20.14. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 1.82 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES})$ $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 331, found $332[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 332.1974, measured 332.1969, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.5$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Methyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-N-sec-butyl-1,3-ben-zoxazole-3-carboxamide (22n). Compound 22n was prepared according to general procedure D (method C ) using $\mathbf{2 1 g}$ ( 0.08 g , 0.34 mmol ) and sec-butylamine ( $0.027 \mathrm{~g}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry MeCN $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 95: 5)$ to afford 22 n as a white solid $(0.029 \mathrm{~g}, 26 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.60-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{td}, J=11.4,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.89-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{p}, J=7.3,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.92$, 154.18, 149.39, 143.50, 126.36, 123.65, 115.51, 108.27, 56.28, 48.18,
46.45, 42.02, 33.67, 29.63, 20.49, 10.41. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t}$ 1.83 min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 331, found $332[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 332.1974, measured: 332.1967, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-2.1$.

Synthesis of N-[4-(4-Fluorophenyl)butyl]-6-(1-methyl-4-piperid-yl)-2-oxo-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (220). Compound 220 was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method $C$ ) using $\mathbf{2 1 g}(0.085 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-fluorobenzenebutanamine $(0.085 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 87: 13$ ) to afford 220 as a white solid ( $0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.03(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{td}, J=11.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 161.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=243.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 153.45,149.96,143.29$, $142.08,137.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 126.30$, 123.70, 115.48, $115.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 108.33,56.14,46.21$, 41.84, 40.14, 34.75, 33.36, 29.10, 28.81. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t}$ 2.20 min . MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 425 , found $426[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 426.2193 , measured: 426.2188, $\Delta$ ppm -1.2.

Synthesis of 5-Fluoro-6-(1-methyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phe-nylbutyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (22p). Compound 22p was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method $A$ ) using $21 \mathrm{k}(0.117 \mathrm{~g}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.088 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.091 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 92: 8$ ) to afford 22 p as a white solid ( $0.099 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ over three steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-$ 7.23 ( m , overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H ), $7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.97(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17$ (td, $J=11.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.58(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal, 4 H$) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $157.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=241.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 153.43,149.58,141.98,138.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.53,128.51,126.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 126.02,108.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 103.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=33.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 56.08, 46.27, 40.26, 35.56, 34.29, 32.05, 29.13, 28.66. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 2.20 \min . \operatorname{MS}(E S) \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 425, found $426[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 426.2193, measured: $426.2191, \Delta \mathrm{ppm}-0.5$.

Synthesis of (6-Oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyridin-4-yl) trifluoromethanesulfonate (29a). To a solution of $28(0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in dry THF ( 30 mL ) were added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under stirring $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(0.716 \mathrm{~g}, 7.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) and $N, N$-bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)aniline ( $1.388 \mathrm{~g}, 4.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) dissolved in dry THF ( 6 mL ). The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to rt and stirred for 16 h . The mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 94: 6$ ) to afford 29a as a white solid $(0.640 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.79$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95-5.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72$ (td, $J$ $=7.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t} 1.47 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ requires 245 , found $246[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 4-(4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyridin-6-one (29b). To a stirred solution of compound 29a ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in degassed dioxane ( 4 mL ) were added $\left(\left[\mathrm{B}_{2}(\mathrm{pin})_{2}\right]\right)(0.124 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv. $)$, and $\mathrm{KOAc}(0.080 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min , then cooled to rt , and used directly in the next step. UPLC/ MS (method A): $R_{t} 0.44 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BNO}_{3}$ requires 223, found $141\left[\mathrm{M}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 4-(3-Benzyloxy-4-nitrophenyl)-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyr-idin-6-ne (30a). Compound 30a was prepared according to general procedure A using $29 b(0.091 \mathrm{~g}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-benzyloxy-4-bromo-1nitrobenzene $(0.138 \mathrm{~g}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.51 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ in degassed 1,4-dioxane ( 10
mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 90: 10)$ to afford 30 a as a brown powder $(0.124 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.93$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{q}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.42 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.39 (td, $J=7.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{td}, J=7.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ). UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.80 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 324 , found $325[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 4-(3-Benzyloxy-4-nitrophenyl)-1-methyl-2,3-dihy-dropyridin-6-one (30b). To a stirred solution of 30a ( $0.124 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38$ mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in dry THF ( 4 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaH}(0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ in mineral oil, $0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under stirring. After 30 $\mathrm{min}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}(0.047 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was added, and the mixture was slowly warmed to rt . After 5 h , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added, and the mixture extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/EtOAc, 70:30) to afford 30b as a brown solid ( $0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{t}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), $2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{td}, J=7.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.89 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 338 , found $339[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 4-(4-Amino-3-hydroxyphenyl)-1-methyl-piperidin-2-one (31). Compound 31 was prepared according to general procedure B (method B ) using $\mathbf{3 0 b}(0.056 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.05 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 220 , found $221[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Methyl-2-oxo-4-piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (21I). Compound 211 was prepared according to general procedure C using $31(0.035 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI ( $0.039 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24$ mmol ) in dry MeCN ( 2 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH, 95:5) to afford 211 as a white powder ( $0.028 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 7.26-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.14-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.76(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ). UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 1.17 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 246 , found $247[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Methyl-2-oxo-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phenyl-butyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (22q). Compound 22q was prepared according to general procedure D (method A) using 211 ( $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate ( $0.019 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.019$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry MeCN ( 1 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/EtOAc, 70:30) to afford 22q as a white solid ( $0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.07-7.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.23\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H ), $7.22-$ $7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.96,149.85,142.26,142.01,140.73,128.53$ (2C), 126.87, 126.02, 123.31, 115.86, 108.10, 100.13, 49.07, 40.27, 39.61, 38.89, 35.59, 34.65, 30.45, 29.19, 28.68. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t}$ 2.09 min, $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 421, found $422[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 422.208, measured 422.2074, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.4$.

Synthesis of 6-[1-(2,2-Difluoroethyl)-4-hydroxy-4-piperidyl]-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (43). Compound 43 was prepared according to general procedure I using 6-bromo- $3 \mathrm{H}-1,3$-benzoxazol-2-one ( $0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 42a ( $0.171 \mathrm{~g}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{MeMgBr}(0.35$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.125 \mathrm{~g}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), and $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(0.336 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.84$ mmol, 2.5 M in hexanes) in dry THF ( 7 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 98: 4$ ) to afford 43 as a white solid ( $0.063 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.37$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{tt}, J=55.9,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.68(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{td}, J=11.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{td}, J=12.8,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t} 1.11 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 298, found $299[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-[1-(2,2-Difluoroethyl)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyridin-4-yll-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (44). Compound 44 was prepared according to general procedure L using $43(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude was purified by SCX to afford 44 as a pale brown solid ( 0.031 g , quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.57$ (bs, 1 H ), 7.38 (d, $J$ $=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{tt}, J=55.8,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{td}, J=3.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.27-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/ MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.56 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 280, found $281[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-[1-(2,2-Difluoroethyl)-4-piperidyl]-3H-1,3-benzox-azol-2-one ( 21 m ). Compound 21 m was prepared according to general procedure $B$ (method B) using $44(0.028 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.46(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{tt}, J=55.8,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75$ (td, $J=15.7,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{td}, J=11.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.50 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 282, found $283[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-[1-(2,2-Difluoroethyl)-4-piperidyl]-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (22r). Compound $22 r$ was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method A) using $21 \mathrm{~m}(0.028 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate ( 0.019 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.019 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/EtOAc, 90:10) to afford 22 r as a white solid ( $0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.02(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.32-7.24 (m, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H ), $7.22-7.15$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{tt}, J=56.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{td}, J=15.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{td}, J=11.3$, $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.47,150.61,149.97,143.39,142.04,128.54$ (2C), $128.49,126.02,123.62,115.52(2 \mathrm{C}), 108.28,60.57\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=24.9\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz})$, 55.07, 42.14, 40.24, 35.59, 33.63, 29.20, 28.69. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.82 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 457, found $458[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 458.2255, measured 458.2258, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.7$.

Synthesis of 2-Nitro-5-(1-piperidyl)phenol (49a). Compound 49a was prepared according to general procedure $G$ using 5 -fluoro-2nitrophenol ( $0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $48 \mathrm{a}(0.265 \mathrm{~g}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DIPEA ( $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry MeCN ( 8 mL ) under MW irradiation. Saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added, and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM. The organic layers were collected, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A)$ : $R_{t} 2.45 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 222, found 223 [ $\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
Synthesis of 5-(1,1-Dioxo-1,4-thiazinan-4-yl)-2-nitrophenol (49c). Compound 49 c was prepared according to general procedure G using 5-fluoro-2-nitrophenol $(0.470 \mathrm{~g}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol}), 48 \mathrm{c}(0.811 \mathrm{~g}$, 6.00 mmol ), and DIPEA ( $1.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.775 \mathrm{~g}, 6.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeCN $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, heating at reflux for 16 h . The crude was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc) to afford 49c as a yellow powder ( 0.33 g , $40 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.85$ (bs, 1H), 7.91 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=$ $9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.02-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t}$ 1.49 min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ requires 272 , found $273[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(3-Hydroxy-4-nitrophenyl)piperazine-1carboxylate (49d). Compound 49d was prepared according to general procedure G using 5-fluoro-2-nitrophenol $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.73$ $\mathrm{mmol}), 48 \mathrm{~d}(3.56 \mathrm{~g}, 19.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DIPEA $(2.47 \mathrm{~g}, 19.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, heating at reflux for 16 h . The crude was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.94(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 2.36 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 323, found $324[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 2-Amino-5-(1-piperidyl)phenol (49e). Compound 49 e was prepared according to general procedure B (method A) using 49a ( $0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was used in the next step without purification. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 0.94 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires 192, found $193[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 2-Amino-5-morpholinophenol (49f). Compound 49 f was prepared according to general procedure $B$ (method $A$ ) using the commercially available $49 \mathrm{~b}(0.224 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was used in the next step without purification. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 1.18 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 194, found $195[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 2-Amino-5-(1,1-dioxo-1,4-thiazinan-4-yl)phenol ( 49 g ). Compound 49 g was prepared according to general procedure B (method A) using $49 \mathrm{c}(0.272 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was used in the next step without purification. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 0.52 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} S$ requires 242, found $243[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(4-Amino-3-hydroxyphenyl)piperazine1 -carboxylate (49h). Compound 49 h was prepared according to general procedure $B$ (method B) using $49 \mathrm{~d}(4.11 \mathrm{~g}, 12.73 \mathrm{mmol})$. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was used in the next step without purification. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 1.77 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 293, found $294[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (50a). Compound 50a was prepared according to general procedure C using $49 \mathrm{e}(0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI $(0.141 \mathrm{~g}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The pink solid was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford $\mathbf{5 0 a}$ as a pinkish solid $\left(0.165 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%\right.$ over three steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 11.26(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.61(\mathrm{p}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{p}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 2.38 \mathrm{~min} . \operatorname{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 218, found $219[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-Morpholino-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (50b). Compound 50b was prepared according to general procedure C using $49 \mathrm{f}(0.194 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI $(0.243 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography (Cy/EtOAc, 70:30) to afford $\mathbf{5 0 b}$ as a pink powder $(0.132 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$, over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.31$ (bs, 1 H ), $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6$, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 1.32$ min. MS $(E S) \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 220 , found $221[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(1,1-Dioxo-1,4-thiazinan-4-yl)-3H-1,3-benzoxa-zol-2-one (50c). Compound 50c was prepared according to general procedure C using $49 \mathrm{~g}(0.242 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI ( $0.162 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography (Cy/EtOAc 70:30) to afford 50c as a yellow solid $\left(0.120 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%\right.$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 1.15 \mathrm{~min} . \operatorname{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ requires 268, found 267 [M-H] .

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(2-Oxo-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-6-yl)-piperazine-1-carboxylate (50d). Compound 50d was prepared according to general procedure C using $49 \mathrm{~h}(3.73 \mathrm{~g}, 12.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI $(3.096 \mathrm{~g}, 19.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 80: 20$ ) to afford 50d as a pink powder $\left(3.046 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%\right.$ over three steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 11.34(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.05-2.96 (m, 4H), $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 2.14$ min, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 319 , found $320[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-Piperazin-1-yl-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one Hydrochloride (50e). Compound 50 e was prepared according to general procedure E using $\mathbf{5 0 d}(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.70 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford $\mathbf{5 0 e}$ as a gray solid
( 1.198 g , quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.41$ (bs, 1 H ), $8.80(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77$ (dd, $J=8.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 0.55$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 219, found $220[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2one (50f). Compound $50 f$ was prepared according to general procedure F using $50 \mathrm{e}(0.388 \mathrm{~g}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol})$, $37 \%$ aqueous solution of formaldehyde $(0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.08 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.096 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.101 \mathrm{~g}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(5$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The crude was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.97-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.69(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/ MS (method A): $R_{t} 0.85 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 233, found $234[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one ( 50 g ). Compound 50 g was prepared according to general procedure F using $50 \mathrm{e}(0.171 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.096 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.101 \mathrm{~g}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol})$, and acetaldehyde $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) in dry THF ( 7 mL ). The crude was purified by SCX to afford 50 g as a white solid $(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 0.88 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 247, found $248[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2one (50h). Compound 50 h was prepared according to general procedure F using $50 \mathrm{e}(0.256 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.636 \mathrm{~g}$, $3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.286 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by SCX to afford 50 h as a white solid $(0.158 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.40$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-2.79\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal, 9 H$), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}$, 6H). UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 0.99 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 261 , found $262[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Isobutylpiperazin-1-yl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2one (50i). Compound $50 \mathbf{i}$ was prepared according to general procedure F using $50 \mathrm{e}(0.256 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, isobutyraldehyde $(0.361 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.318 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, and AcOH $(0.286 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by SCX to afford $\mathbf{5 0 i}$ as a violet solid $(0.220 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.88-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5$, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.6,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 1.21 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 275, found $276[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 2-Oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-6-piperidin-1-ium-1-yl-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide Hydrochloride (23a). Compound 23a was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method A) using $50 \mathrm{a}(0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.047$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of toluene/DMF ( $3 \mathrm{~mL}, 9: 1$ ). The crude was purified by column chromatography (Cy) (0.029 g, $30 \%)$. The free base of $23 a$ was dissolved in DCM $(0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M})$ followed by the addition of $\mathrm{HCl}(0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{M}$ in 1,4-dioxane). After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford 23a as a white solid $(0.026 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06-7.83$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.13(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-1.79(\mathrm{~m} 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.59,149.20,141.91,141.89,139.51$, 129.29, 128.52, 128.50, 126.05, 118.19, 116.86, 105.34, 58.14, 40.39, 35.52, 29.06, 28.63, 23.14, 21.80. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.33 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 393, found $394[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 394.2131, measured: 394.2122, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-2.3$.

Synthesis of 6-Morpholino-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-1,3-benzox-azole-3-carboxamide (23b). Compound 23b was prepared according to general procedure $\mathrm{D}(\operatorname{method} \mathrm{A})$ using $50 \mathrm{~b}(0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.157 \mathrm{~g}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry MeCN ( 6 $\mathrm{mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography (Cy/EtOAc, 90:10) to afford 23b as a white powder ( $0.142 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 7.32-7.24 (m, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H ), $7.20-7.07$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.60(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.48,150.00,142.91,142.05$, 128.54, 128.51, 126.01, 115.97, 112.77, 98.66, 66.76, 50.27, 40.22, 35.60, 29.22, 28.70. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.63 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 395, found $396[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 396.1923, measured 396.1925, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.5$.

Synthesis of 6-(1,1-Dioxo-1,4-thiazinan-4-yl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phenyl-butyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (23c). Compound 23c was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method A) using 50c $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.12$ mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 80: 20$ ) to afford 23 c as a white powder $(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.06(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 152.82,149.82,145.77$, 143.21, 142.51, 128.76, 128.70, 126.14, 121.16, 115.39, 112.38, 99.29, 50.27, 47.85, 39.90, 35.22, 29.10, 28.63. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t}$ 2.49 min . MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ requires 443, found 267 [ $\mathrm{M}-$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]^{-}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} 5 \mathrm{~S} \quad[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 444.1593, measured 444.1588, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.1$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-[2-Oxo-3-(4-phenylbutylcarbamoyl)-1,3-benzoxazol-6-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate (23d). Compound 23d was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method $A$ ) using $50 \mathrm{~d}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.060 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMAP ( $0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry MeCN ( 3 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, 97:3) to afford 23d as a white solid ( $0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ). UPLC/MS (method B): $R_{t} 2.21 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 494, found $495[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 2-Oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-6-piperazin-1-yl-1,3-ben-zoxazole-3-carboxamide Hydrochloride (23e). Compound 23e was prepared according to general procedure E using 23d ( $0.120 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 23 e as a white solid ( 0.103 g , quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.53(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.90$ (dd, $J=8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 152.35,149.33,147.49$, 142.50, 142.02, 128.28, 128.22, 125.66, 121.16, 114.71, 112.07, 98.98, 45.99, 42.35, 39.42, 34.74, 28.62, 28.14. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t}$ 2.12 min , MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 394, found $395[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 395.2083, measured 395.2086, $\Delta$ ppm 1.4.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbu-tyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (23f). Compound 23f was prepared according to general procedure D (method A) using 50 f $(0.060 \mathrm{~g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.088 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.090$ g, 0.51 mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 98: 2$ ) to afford 23 f as a white powder $(0.080 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.23(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H ), $7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{q}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.52,150.03,142.90,142.06,128.55,128.52,126.01$, 115.93, 113.26, 99.01, 54.79, 49.32, 45.66, 40.21, 35.60, 29.23, 28.71. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t} 2.23 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 408, found $409[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 409.224, measured 409.224, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.0$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbutyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (23g). Compound 23 g was prepared according to general procedure $D($ method $A)$ using $50 \mathrm{~g}(0.100$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate ( $0.077 \mathrm{~g}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column
chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 95: 5$ ) to afford 23 g as a yellow solid $(0.109 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.00(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.23(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H$), 7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{q}$, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-$ $2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.60(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ signal, 4 H$), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.57,150.07,149.47,142.92,142.06,128.54,128.50$, 125.99, 120.71, 115.82, 112.81, 98.52, 52.79, 52.44, 49.78, 40.18, 35.59, 29.23, 28.70, 12.10. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.24 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 422, found $423[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 423.2396, measured 423.2397, $\Delta$ ppm 0.2.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbu-tyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (23h). Compound 23h was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method A) using 50h ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.074 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 95: 5$ ) to afford 23 h as a pink solid $(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.00(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.23\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2H), 7.22-7.14 (m, 3H), 6.83-6.77 (m, 2H), $3.43(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.56,150.07,149.43,142.90,142.06,128.53$, 128.49, 125.99, 120.77, 115.82, 112.89, 98.57, 54.86, 49.96, 48.65, 40.17, 35.59, 29.22, 28.69, 18.59. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.31 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 436, found $437[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 437.2553, measured 437.2557, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.9$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Isobutylpiperazin-1-YI)-2-oxo-N-(4-phenylbu-tyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (23i). Compound 23i was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method $A$ ) using $50 \mathbf{i}$ $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.07 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH, 95:5) to afford 23 i as a white solid $(0.116 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.00(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.23\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2H), 7.22-7.14(m, 3H), 6.83-6.77 (m, 2H), $3.43(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.11$, 149.62, 142.93, 142.08, 128.55, 128.51, 126.00, 115.79, 112.76, 98.45, 66.91, 53.51, 49.79, 40.19, 35.60, 29.24, 28.71, 25.56, 21.06. UPLC/ MS (method B): $R_{t} 2.08 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 450, found $451[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 451.2719, measured: $451.2716, \Delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.6$.

Synthesis of 4-(3-Benzyloxy-4-nitrophenyl)-1-methylpiperazin-2one (52a). Compound 52a was prepared according to general procedure G using 2-benzyloxy-4-fluoro-1-nitrobenzene ( $0.490 \mathrm{~g}, 2.00$ mmol), 51a ( $0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.405 \mathrm{~g}, 4.00$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, heating at reflux for 16 h . The crude was purified by column chromatography ( EtOAc ) to afford 52a as a yellow solid ( $0.350 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.03$ (d, J $=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.98 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 341 , found $342[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 1-(3-Benzyloxy-4-nitrophenyl)-4-methylpiperazin-2one (52b). To a solution of 2-benzyloxy-4-bromo-1-nitrobenzene ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in degassed 1,4-dioxane ( 26 mL ) were added 51 b ( $0.410 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 6.50$ mmol, 2.0 equiv.), and $N, N^{\prime}$-dimethylethylenediamine ( $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.07$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was degassed for another 15 min , and then copper(I) iodide $(0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv.) was added. The reaction was refluxed for 24 h , then diluted with EtOAc, and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the crude was purified by
column chromatography ( EtOAc ) to afford $\mathbf{5 2 b}$ as an orange solid $(0.554 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.74$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 341 , found $342[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 4-(4-Amino-3-hydroxyphenyl)-1-methylpiperazin-2one (49i). Compound 49i was prepared according to general procedure $\mathrm{B}(\operatorname{method} \mathrm{A})$ using $52 \mathrm{a}(0.341 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$. UPLC/ MS (method $A): R_{t} 0.89 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 221, found $222[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 1-(4-Amino-3-hydroxyphenyl)-4-methylpiperazin-2one (49j). Compound 49j was prepared according to general procedure $\mathrm{B}(\operatorname{method} \mathrm{A})$ using $52 \mathrm{~b}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol})$. UPLC/ MS (method C): $R_{t} 1.42 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 221, found $222[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Methyl-3-oxo-piperazin-1-yl)-3H-1,3-benzoxa-zol-2-one (50j). Compound $50 \mathbf{j}$ was prepared according to general procedure C using $49 \mathrm{i}(0.221 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI $(0.162 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00$ mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 90: 10$ ) to afford $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{j}$ as a violet solid $\left(0.197 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%\right.$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.95$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 1.16 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 247, found $248[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Methyl-2-oxo-piperazin-1-yl)-3H-1,3-benzoxa-zol-2-one (50k). Compound 50k was prepared according to general procedure C using $49 \mathrm{j}(0.323 \mathrm{~g}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI $(0.240 \mathrm{~g}, 1.46$ mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 80: 20$ ) to afford 50 k as orange oil $\left(0.270 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%\right.$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.73-$ $7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.87-2.68 (m, 2H), $2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.16$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 247, found $248[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Methyl-3-oxo-piperazin-1-yl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phe-nylbutyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (23j). Compound 23j was prepared according to general procedure $D(\operatorname{method} A)$ using 50j $(0.170 \mathrm{~g}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.13 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 90: 10$ ) to afford $\mathbf{2 3 j}$ as a white solid ( $0.060 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.98$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.23(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 2 H$), 7.21-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 128.54(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.05,120.78,115.97,107.97,47.76$, 46.79, 40.34, 35.58, 35.35, 29.15, 28.67. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t}$ 2.32 min . MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 422, found $423[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 423.2032, measured: 423.202, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-2.8$.

Synthesis of 6-(4-Methyl-2-oxo-piperazin-1-yl)-2-oxo-N-(4-phe-nylbutyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (23k). Compound 23k was prepared according to general procedure $D(\operatorname{method} A)$ using $\mathbf{5 0 k}(0.288 \mathrm{~g}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-phenylbutyl isocyanate $(0.22 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.225 \mathrm{~g}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 90: 10$ ) to afford 23 k as a white solid ( $0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.08$ (d, J $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 3 H ), $7.24-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.26,149.71,142.03,141.92,128.56,128.55,126.92,126.05$, 122.56, 116.07, 109.05, 59.54, 52.08, 50.30, 45.11, 40.32, 35.60, 29.18, 28.70. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t} 1.94$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 422 , found $423[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 423.2032, measured: 423.2026, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.4$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(4-Hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyridine-1-carboxylate (32a). Compound 32a was prepared
according to general procedure A using 4-bromo-2-nitrophenol $(0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol}), 18 \mathrm{c}(0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 2.98 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ $(0.016 \mathrm{~g}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.87 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in degassed 1,4-dioxane ( 25 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography (heptane/EtOAc, 90:10) to afford 32a as yellow oil $(0.700 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method B): $R_{t} 1.48$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 320 , found 319 [M-H].

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(3-Amino-4-hydroxyphenyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (32b). Compound 32 b was prepared according to general procedure B (method A$)$ using 32a $(0.078 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.51 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 292, found $291[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-(2-Oxo-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-5-yl)-piperidine-1-carboxylate (33). Compound 33 was prepared according to general procedure C using $\mathbf{3 2 b}(0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI $(0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry MeCN $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 70: 30$ ) to afford 33 as a white solid ( $0.046 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.55(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t}$ 2.20 min . MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 318 , found $319[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-[3-(Isobutylcarbamoyl)-2-oxo-1,3-ben-zoxazol-5-yl]piperidine-1-carboxylate (24a). Compound 24a was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method B) using 33 $(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$, isobutylamine $(0.094 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.069 \mathrm{~g}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.318 \mathrm{~g}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM ( 7 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography (Cy/EtOAc, 90:10) to afford 24a as a white solid ( $0.186 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.16(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.76$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method B): $R_{t} 2.07 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 417, found $418[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of N -Isobutyl-2-oxo-5-(4-piperidyl)-1,3-benzoxazole-3carboxamide Hydrochloride (24b). Compound 24b was prepared according to general procedure E using $\mathbf{2 4 a}(0.160 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ as a white solid ( $0.128 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.16$ (bs, 1 H ), $8.96(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t} 1.78 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 317, found $318[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of N-Isobutyl-5-(1-methyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-1,3-ben-zoxazole-3-carboxamide (24c). Compound 24c was prepared according to general procedure F using $\mathbf{2 4 b}(0.088 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, $37 \%$ aqueous solution of formaldehyde ( $0.038 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford 24c as a white solid ( $0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.14(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.07(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.94-2.79 (m, 2H), 2.59-2.43 (m, overlapped with DMSO signal, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-$ $1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 152.56,149.50,142.88,139.85,128.14,122.36,112.74,109.60$, 55.68, 46.79, 46.12, 41.27, 33.32, 27.90, 19.77. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.81$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 331 , found $332[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 332.1974, measured: 332.1964, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-3.0$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 4-Hydroxy-4-(2-oxo-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-7-yl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (45). Compound 45 was prepared according to general procedure I using $\mathbf{4 2 b}(0.793 \mathrm{~g}, 3.98 \mathrm{mmol})$, 7-bromo-3 H -1,3-benzoxazol-2-one ( $0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 2.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), MeMgBr $\left(1.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.418 \mathrm{~g}, 3.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, and $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.12 \mathrm{~mL}$,
$2.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes) in dry THF ( 25 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 35: 65$ ) to afford 45 as a white solid $(0.345 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ $11.60(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.25-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{td}, J=13.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t} 1.76 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 334 , found $335[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 7-(1,2,3,6-Tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)-3H-1,3-benzoxa-zol-2-one (46a). Compound 46a was prepared according to general procedure L using 45 ( $0.345 \mathrm{~g}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude was purified by SCX to afford 46a as a brown solid (quant.). UPLC/MS (method A) : $R_{t} 0.95$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 216, found $217[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 7-(1-Methyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyridin-4-yl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (46b). Compound 46b was prepared according to general procedure F using $46 \mathrm{a}(0.108 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ $(0.318 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{AcOH}(0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $37 \%$ aqueous solution of formaldehyde ( $0.080 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\operatorname{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.76 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{t}, J=3.49 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-3.00(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 2.58-2.47 (m, overlapped with DMSO signal, 4H), 2.27 ( s , 3H). UPLC/MS (method $A$ ): $R_{t} 0.98$ min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 230 , found $231[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 7-(1-Methyl-4-piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (47). Compound 47 was prepared according to general procedure $B$ (method B) using $\mathbf{4 6 b}(0.115 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.12-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=11.8,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-$ $2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 0.98$ min, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 232, found $233[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of N -Isobutyl-7-(1-methyl-4-piperidyl)-2-oxo-1,3-ben-zoxazole-3-carboxamide (25). Compound 25 was prepared according to general procedure D (method B ) using 47 ( 0.116 g , $0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, isobutylamine $(0.014 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.111 \mathrm{~g}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 92: 8$ ) to afford 25 as a pink solid $\left(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%\right.$ over three steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.14(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-$ $2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{td}, J=11.5,3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.43,150.10,139.67,128.76,127.90,125.28$, 122.48, 113.52, 56.18, 47.71, 46.50, 35.66, 31.80, 28.61, 20.18. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.79 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 331, found $332[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 332.1974, measured 332.1967, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-2.1$.

Synthesis of 2-(3-Benzyloxy-4-nitrophenyl)pyridine (35). Compound 35 was prepared according to general procedure A using 2-benzyloxy-4-bromo-1-nitrobenzene ( $0.309 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 34 ( 0.174 g, 1.10 mmol$), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.146 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{KOAc}(0.196 \mathrm{~g}, 2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in degassed $1,4-$ dioxane $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography (Cy/EtOAc, 80:20) to afford 35 as a yellow solid ( $0.121 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.75$ (ddd, $\left.J=4.8,1.7,0.9,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.14$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.98(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-$ $7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 2.47 \mathrm{~min}$, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 306, found $307[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 2-Amino-5-(2-piperidyl)phenol (36). Compound 36 was prepared according to general procedure $B$ (method B) using 35 $(0.520 \mathrm{~g}, 1.69 \mathrm{mmol})$. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t} 0.93 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ES})$ $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires 192, found $193[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(2-Piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (37a). Compound 37a was prepared according to general procedure $C$ using $36(0.390 \mathrm{~g}, 1.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI $(0.274 \mathrm{~g}, 1.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(17 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was used in the next step without further
purification. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t} 1.05 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 218, found $219[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Methyl-2-piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one (37b). Compound 37 b was prepared according to general procedure F using 37a ( $0.368 \mathrm{~g}, 1.69 \mathrm{mmol}), 37 \%$ aqueous solution of formaldehyde $(0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.38 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(1.075 \mathrm{~g}, 5.07$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.162 \mathrm{~g}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry MeCN ( 9 $\mathrm{mL})$. The crude was purified by SCX to afford $\mathbf{3 7 b}$ as a white solid ( $0.169 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$ over three steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 7.64 (bs, 1H), $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-$ $2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{td}, J=11.6,3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t}$ 1.04 min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 232, found $233[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )- N -Isobutyl-6-(1-methyl-2-piperidyl)-2-oxo-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (26). Compound 26 was prepared according to general procedure $D$ (method $B$ ) using 37 b ( 0.170 g , $0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ and isobutylamine $(0.160 \mathrm{~g}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM ( 10 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 92: 8)$ to afford 26 as a white solid ( $0.069 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.23\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ signal, 1 H$), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-$ $2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.76-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.56,150.07$, 142.34, 142.16, 126.95, 124.20, 115.37, 108.82, 70.79, 57.48, 47.68, 44.59, 36.37, 28.60, 26.12, 24.94, 20.15. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t}$ 1.88 min, MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 331, found $332[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 332.1971, measured 332.1974, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-0.9$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 5-(3-Hydroxy-4-nitrophenyl)-3,4-dihydro$2 H$-pyridine-1-carboxylate (39). Compound 39 was prepared according to general procedure A using $38(0.834 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, 5 -bromo-2-nitrophenol $(0.530 \mathrm{~g}, 2.43 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.156 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.135 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.075 \mathrm{mmol})$ in degassed 1,4-dioxane $(27 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 90: 10$ ) to afford 39 as a yellow solid $(0.460 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{p}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method B): $R_{t} 1.86 \mathrm{~min}$. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 320, found $321[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 3-(4-Amino-3-hydroxyphenyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (40). Compound 40 was prepared according to general procedure $\mathrm{B}(\operatorname{method} \mathrm{A})$ using $39(0.450 \mathrm{~g}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol})$. UPLC/MS (method B): $R_{t} 0.73 \mathrm{~min} . \operatorname{MS}(E S) \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 292, found $291[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl 3-(2-Oxo-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-6-yl)-piperidine-1-carboxylate (49a). Compound 49a was prepared according to general procedure C using $40(0.412 \mathrm{~g}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CDI $(0.229 \mathrm{~g}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(14 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 70: 30$ ) to afford 41a as brown oil ( $0.403 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.04(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.80-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t}$ 2.19 min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 318 , found $319[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(3-Piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one Hydrochloride (41b). Compound 41b was prepared according to general procedure E using 41a ( $0.185 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude was used in the next step without further purification. UPLC/MS $(\operatorname{method} A): R_{t}$ 0.99 min. MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 218, found $219[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of 6-(1-Methyl-3-piperidyl)-3H-1,3-benzoxazol-2-one ( 41 c ). Compound 41 was prepared according to general procedure $F$ using 41b $(0.147 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}), 37 \%$ aqueous solution of formaldehyde $(0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(0.370 \mathrm{~g}, 1.74$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry MeCN (3 mL ). The crude was purified by SCX to afford 41c as a white solid
(0.094 g, 70\% over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.09$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.81$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39$ (qd, $J=12.6,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC/MS (method $A): R_{t} 1.81 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 232, found $233[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-N-Isobutyl-6-(1-methyl-3-piperidyl)-2-oxo-1,3-benzoxazole-3-carboxamide (27). Compound 27 was prepared according to general procedure $\mathrm{D}(\operatorname{method} \mathrm{B})$ using 41c $(0.088 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ and isobutylamine ( $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DCM ( 4 mL ). The crude was purified by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH, 90:10) to afford 27 as a white solid $(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.09(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.17-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.59,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{qd}, J=12.1,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.50,150.05$, 142.02, 141.71, 126.47, 123.99, 115.48, 108.69, 63.12, 55.85, 47.69, 46.54, 42.82, 31.21, 28.60, 25.64, 20.16. UPLC/MS (method A): $R_{t}$ 1.87 min . MS (ES) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires 331, found $332[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calculated 332.1974, measured 332.1972, $\Delta \mathrm{ppm}-0.9$.

In Vitro Pharmacological Assay. In Vitro hAC Fluorescence Assay. Cell Culture Conditions and Preparation of hAC-Enriched Lysate. HEK293 cells stably expressing hAC were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10\% FBS, $1 \%$ glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and $500 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1} \mathrm{G} 418$. Cells were harvested, and pellets were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until lysosomalenriched lysate preparation. Cells were suspended in 20 mM Tris HCl ( pH 7.5 ) with 0.32 M sucrose, sonicated, and centrifuged at $800 \times g$ for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Supernatants were then centrifuged at $12000 \times g$ for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pellets were resuspended in PBS ( pH 7.4 ) and subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The suspension was finally centrifuged at $105000 \times g$ for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and protein concentration was measured in the supernatant with the bicinchoninic acid based protein assay. This hAC-enriched preparation allowed us to further optimize the enzymatic assay and to use small amounts of lysate ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per well) at a $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ substrate $(\mathrm{Rbm} 14-12)$ around its $K_{\mathrm{M}}$ ( $K_{\mathrm{M}}=5.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).

Fluorogenic hAC Assay. The assay was performed in Optiplate 96well black plates, with each reaction well containing a mixture of 25 mM NaOAc buffer ( pH 4.5 ) and a fixed amount of protein $(2 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ in a volume of $85 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. After 10 min of preincubation with test compounds (diluted $20 \times$ from DMSO stock solutions at different concentrations), the fluorogenic probe was added (diluted $40 \times$ from EtOH stock solution, final concentration $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). After 3 h of incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, reactions were stopped with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MeOH and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $2.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1} \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ fresh solution in 100 mM glycine $/ \mathrm{NaOH}$ buffer ( pH 10.6). The plates were further incubated for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark, and fluorescence intensities were measured at excitation/ emission wavelengths of $355 / 460 \mathrm{~nm}$. Negative control samples consisted of the same incubation mixture in the absence of proteinenriched extracts. Data were plotted as a function of compound concentrations. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated by nonlinear regression analysis using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software Inc., CA, USA) applying a standard slope curve fitting. The reported $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are the mean of at least three independent experiments performed in three technical replicates.

Kinetic Studies. Michaelis-Menten Analysis. Assay conditions for the kinetic studies were the same as those described for the fluorogenic hAC assay. Enzyme-enriched lysate ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was incubated with the following concentrations of substrate Rbm14-12: $0.25,0.5$, $1,2.5,5,10,12.5$, and $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Compound 22 m was used at final concentrations of 100 and 400 nM . Initial velocities $\left(V_{0}\right)$ were determined and automatically fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation to obtain the kinetic parameters ( $K_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $V_{\max }$ ). The graph is representative of two independent experiments, each performed in three technical replicates. Graphs and data analysis were performed using GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software Inc., CA, USA).

Determination of Kinetic Parameter $k_{i} / K_{/}$. hAC activity was measured as a function of reaction time in the presence of different
concentrations of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$. The apparent inactivation rate constant of $h \mathrm{AC}\left(k_{\mathrm{obs}}\right)$ was analyzed by nonlinear square fitting each data set to the pseudo-first-order rate equation $Y=v i\left(1-\exp \left(-k_{\text {obs }} t\right)\right) / k_{\text {obs }}$. Replotting of calculated $k_{\text {obs }}$ vs [22m] was made, and the kinetic parameter $k_{\mathrm{i}} / K_{\mathrm{I}}$ was calculated by nonlinear square fitting data to the equation $Y=k_{\mathrm{i}} I /\left(K_{\mathrm{I}}+I\right)$. The graphs are representative of two independent experiments, each performed in two technical replicates.

In Vitro hASM Assay. hASM activity measurement was conducted using the fluorogenic substrate 6-hexadecanoylamino-4-methylumbelliferylphosphorylcholine (HMU-PC, Toronto Research Chemicals) at $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and 1.3 nM purified human full-length ASM enzyme (purified in house) in a buffer containing 50 mM citrate, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$, and 0.43 mM Triton X-100 at pH 4.7 in a final volume of 50 $\mu \mathrm{L}$. The reaction mixtures were incubated for 45 min at rt and stopped by the addition of $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1 M glycine at pH 12.5. The formation of the fluorescent product was monitored by a plate reader at excitation/emission wavelengths of $385 / 450 \mathrm{~nm}$. The average $h A S M$ activity was calculated from two independent experiments, each performed in two technical replicates.

In Vitro hGCase Assay. hGCase activity measurement was conducted using the fluorogenic substrate 4 -methylumbelliferyl- $\beta$-dglucuronide hydrate (4-MUG, Merck) at 1 mM and 5 nM purified human full-length GCase enzyme and 50 nM of its natural activator SapC (both GCase and SapC were purified in house) in a buffer containing 50 mM citric acid, $174 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, 15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ phosphatidylserine, and 0.32 mM Triton X-100 at pH 4.7 in a final volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The reaction mixtures were incubated for 15 min at rt and stopped by the addition of $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1 M glycine at pH 12.5 . The cleavage of 4 -MUG was monitored by a plate reader at excitation/emission wavelengths of $365 / 440 \mathrm{~nm}$. The average $h \mathrm{GC}$ Case activity was calculated from two independent experiments, each performed in two technical replicates.

In Vitro hNAAA Fluorescence Assay. Cell Culture and Preparation of hNAAA-Enriched Lysate. HEK-293 cells stably transfected with the $h$ NAAA coding sequence cloned from a human spleen cDNA library (catalog no. 639124, Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) were used as the enzyme source. Cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10\% FBS, $1 \%$ glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and $500 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ G418. Cells were harvested, and pellets were stored at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until lysosomalenriched lysate preparation. Cells were suspended in 20 mM Tris HCl ( pH 7.4 ) with 0.32 M sucrose, sonicated, and centrifuged at $800 \times g$ for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Supernatants were then ultracentrifuged at 12000 $\times g$ for 30 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pellets were resuspended in PBS buffer $(\mathrm{pH}$ 7.4) and subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The suspension was finally ultracentrifuged at $105000 \times g$ for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, supernatants were collected, protein concentration was measured, and samples were aliquoted and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.

Fluorogenic hNAAA Assay. The assay was run in 96-well microplates (Black OptiPlate-96F; PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA) in a total reaction volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. hNAAA protein preparation $(4.0 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ was preincubated for 30 min with various concentrations of test compounds or vehicle control (DMSO 5\%) in 100 mM citrate/phosphate buffer ( pH 4.5 ) containing 3.0 mM DTT, $0.1 \%$ NP40 $0.1 \%, 0.05 \%$ BSA, 150 mM NaCl . N -(4-Methyl-2-oxo-chromen-7-yl)-hexadecanamide (PAMCA) was used as a substrate $(2.0 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and the reaction was carried out for 50 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Fluorescence was measured with an EnVision 2014 Multilabel Reader (PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA) using an excitation wavelength of 355 nm and emission of $460 \mathrm{~nm} . \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated by nonlinear regression analysis of $\log$ [concentration]/inhibition curves using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software Inc., CA, USA) applying a standard slope curve fitting. The reported $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are the mean of at least three independent experiments performed in three technical replicates.

In Vitro hFAAH Fluorescence Assay. Cell Culture and Preparation of hFAAH-Enriched Lysate. hFAAH was obtained from a HEK-293 FAAH-1 overexpressing stable cell line. Cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing $10 \%$ FBS, $1 \%$ penicillin/streptomycin, $1 \%$ glutamine, 1 mM sodium
pyruvate, and $500 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}$ G418. Cells were harvested, and pellets were stored at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until membrane-enriched lysate preparation. The cell pellet was resuspended in 20 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4,0.32 \mathrm{M}$ sucrose), sonicated, and centrifuged at $1000 \times g\left(10 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The collected supernatant was centrifuged at $12000 \times g$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the supernatants were further centrifuged at $100000 \times g$ for 1 h at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Membrane pellets were resuspended in PBS, protein concentration was measured, and samples were aliquoted and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.

Fluorogenic hFAAH Assay. The fluorescence assay to measure FAAH activity was performed in 96-well black plates (Black OptiPlate-96F; PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA): $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of $h$ FAAH membrane preparation was preincubated for 50 min at 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $190 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of assay buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4,0.05 \%$ fatty acid free BSA), with $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of inhibitor or $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO to measure FAAH total activity. The background (no activity) samples were prepared using $190 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of assay buffer without $h \mathrm{FAAH}$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO. The reaction was then started by the addition of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of substrate (AMC Arachidonyl Amide, A6855, Merck) dissolved in DMSO and used at a final concentration of 800 nM . The reaction was carried out for 45 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and fluorescence was measured with an EnVision 2014 Multilabel Reader (PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA) (excitation wavelength $355 \mathrm{~nm} /$ emission wavelength 460 nm ). The concentration causing half-maximal inhibition ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) was determined by nonlinear regression analysis of the log[concentration]/response curves generated with mean replicate values using a four-parameter Hill equation curve fitting with GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software Inc., CA, USA). The reported $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are the mean of at least three independent experiments performed in three technical replicates.

In Vitro hMAGL Colorimetric Assay. The colorimetric assay to measure hMAGL activity was performed using an assay kit provided by Cayman Scientific (item. 705192), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, in vitro activity was measured in 96-well plates, and DMSO was used as solvent. $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO ( $100 \%$ initial activity wells: $100 \%$ IA) or compounds at two concentrations ( 1 and $10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ were preincubated for 5 min at rt with $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of diluted assay buffer ( 10 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.2$, containing 1 mM EDTA) containing hMAGL. In blank wells, $160 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the diluted assay buffer and $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO were added. The reactions were initiated by adding $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MAGL substrate to all the wells, and plates were incubated for 10 min at rt . Absorbance values were measured at 405 nm , and percent inhibition was calculated by the following method: $100-$ (Inhibitor $/ 100 \%$ IA) $\times 100$. The reported percentages of inhibition are the mean of at least three independent experiments performed in three technical replicates.

Cell Culture and Treatments. SH-SY5Y cells were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Italy) and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Drugs were dissolved in DMSO $(10 \mathrm{mM})$ and diluted in the cell culture medium with reduced serum (1\%) for cell treatments.
hAC LC/MS-Based Activity Assay. hAC activity measurement was performed as previously described. ${ }^{36,37}$ Total lysates from cells were diluted in assay buffer ( 100 mM sodium phosphate, $0.1 \%$ Nonidet P40, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 3 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 100 mM sodium citrate, pH 4.5 ). Reactions were started by the addition of $50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{N}$-lauroyl ceramide (Nu-Chek Prep, Elysian, MN) and carried out for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reactions were stopped by addition of a mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (2:1) containing 1 nmol of 11-lauroleic acid (Nu-Chek Prep). The organic phases were collected, dried under nitrogen, and analyzed by UPLC/MS (ACQUITY, Waters) in the negative-ion mode monitoring the reaction product (lauric acid, $m / z: 199$ ) using 11 lauroleic acid as internal standard. Lipids were eluted on an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column ( 50 mm length, 2.1 mm ID, $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pore size, Waters) at $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ for 1.5 min with a gradient of MeCN and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, both containing $0.25 \%$ acetic acid and 5 mM ammonium acetate ( 70 to $100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ in $0.5 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ for $0.5 \mathrm{~min}, 70 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ for 0.4 min ). The column temperature was 40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Electrospray ionization (ESI) was in the negative mode, capillary voltage was 1 kV , and cone voltage was 50 V . $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was used as drying
gas at a flow rate of $500 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and at a temperature of $400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ion was monitored in the selected-ion monitoring mode ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ values: lauric acid 199, 11-lauroleic acid 197.35). Calibration curves were generated with authentic lauric acid (Nu-Chec Prep).

Lipid Extraction and Ceramide Analysis. Lipid extraction and sphingolipid measurements were performed as previously described. ${ }^{36,37}$ Lipids were extracted with a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ mixture ( $2: 1,3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) containing internal standards. The organic phase was collected, dried under nitrogen, and dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 3)$ for LC/MS analyses. Ceramides and sphingosine were analyzed by LC/MS/MS, using a Waters ACQUITY UPLC coupled to a Waters Xevo TQMS and interfaced with an ESI ion source. Separation was performed on a Waters ACQUITY BEH C18 $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column $(2.1 \times$ 50 mm ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A linear gradient of $0.1 \%$ formic acid in $\mathrm{MeCN} /$ isopropyl alcohol (20:80) as solvent B in $0.1 \%$ formic acid in MeCN/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20: 80)$ as solvent A was applied at a flow rate of $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$. Detection of sphingolipids was performed in positive-ion mode. Capillary voltage was 3.5 kV , and cone voltage was 25 V . The source temperature and desolvation temperatures were set at 120 and 600 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. Desolvation gas and cone gas $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ flows were 800 and $20 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$, respectively. Ceramides were identified by comparison of their LC retention times and MS/MS fragmentation patterns with those of authentic standards (Avanti Polar Lipids). Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) ion chromatograms were used to quantify myristoyl ceramide (C14:0, $m / z: 492.5>264.3$ ), palmitoyl ceramide (C16:0, m/z 520.3 > 264.3), stearoyl ceramide (C18:0, m/z: $548.3>$ 264.3), lignoceroyl ceramide (C24:0, $m / z: 632.3>264.3$ ), and nervonoyl ceramide $(\mathrm{C} 24: 1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}: 630.3>264.3)$ using lauroyl ceramide standard $(m / z: 464.5>264.3)$. Detection and analysis were controlled by Waters MassLynx software version 4.1. Sphingosine was identified by comparison of its LC retention times and MS2 fragmentation patterns with those of authentic standards (Avanti Polar Lipids). Extracted ion chromatograms were used to quantify sphingosine standard (d18:1, m/z: $300.5>282.5$ ). Detection and analysis were controlled by Waters MassLynx software version 4.1. Calibration curves were prepared for every experiment.

Statistics. GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc., USA) was used for statistical analysis. Data were analyzed using the Student $t$ test or one-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test for multiple comparisons. Differences between groups were considered statistically significant at values of $p<0.05$. Results are expressed as mean $\pm$ S.E.M.
$E C_{50}$ Determination in Primary Fibroblast Cells from Krabbe's Disease Patients. Cells were plated in a 6-well plate. After 24 h , cells were treated with $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ at different concentrations for 2 h . Next, cells were washed with PBS, and cell pellets were washed, collected, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Finally, cell pellets were lysed, and $h \mathrm{AC}$ activity in cell lysates was analyzed using the same biochemical fluorogenic assay as described for compound $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ determination. Using this methodology, $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ was determined to be $0.41 \pm 0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value is a mean of two independent experiments, each performed in two technical replicates.

In Vitro Physicochemical and Metabolic Stability Assays. Aqueous Kinetic Solubility Assay. The aqueous kinetic solubility was determined from a 10 mM MeCN stock solution of test compound in Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS) at pH 7.4 . The study was performed by incubation of an aliquot of 10 mM MeCN stock solution in PBS ( pH 7.4 ) at a target concentration of $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The incubation was carried out under shaking at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h followed by centrifugation at $21100 \times g$ for 30 min . The supernatant was analyzed by UPLC/MS for the quantification of the dissolved compound (in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) by UV at a specific wavelength $(215 \mathrm{~nm})$. The aqueous kinetic solubility (in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was calculated by dividing the peak area of the dissolved test compound (supernatant) by the peak area of the test compound in the reference $(250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in MeCN$)$ and further multiplied by the target concentration and dilution factor. The UPLC/MS analyses were performed on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC/MS system consisting of a single quadrupole detector (SQD) Mass Spectrometer (MS) equipped with an Electrospray Ionization (ESI) interface and a Photodiode Array Detector (PDA). The PDA range was 210-400
nm . ESI in positive mode was used in the mass scan range of 100-650 Da. The analyses were run on an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column $(50 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID, particle size $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ with a VanGuard BEH C18 precolumn $(5 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID, particle size $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, using 10 mM $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at pH 5 adjusted with AcOH (A) and 10 mM $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (95:5) at pH 5 (B) as the mobile phase. Values are reported as mean values of $\geq 2$ experiments performed.

Chemical Stability Assay. Chemical stability of selected compounds was evaluated under physiological pH conditions $(0.01 \mathrm{M}$ phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4 ) for up to 8 h . The buffer was added with $10 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$. Stock solutions of each compound ( 10 mM ) were freshly prepared in MeCN . Each compound was incubated at a final concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in preheated buffer ( $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The sample solutions were divided into aliquots in glass vials (preheated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for each time point. The samples were maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the UPLC/MS autosampler during the study (no shaking). A reference solution of each compound (final concentration: $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in preheated MeCN was prepared from the stock solutions and maintained at 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the UPLC/MS autosampler during the study. For each time point, the samples were analyzed directly by LC/MS without any further sample preparation. The samples were analyzed by integrating the corresponding MRM peak areas. The relative compound concentration was calculated by dividing the peak area at each time point by the peak area at $t=0 \mathrm{~min}$. The reference solution was analyzed at the beginning $(t=0 \mathrm{~min})$ and at the end of the study $(t=$ $8 \mathrm{~h})$. The apparent half-life $\left(t_{1 / 2}\right)$ of the disappearance of the compound was calculated using the best fitting equation by GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc., USA). The analyses were performed on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC/MS TQD system consisting of a triple quadrupole detector (TQD) MS equipped with an ESI interface and a photodiode array detector. The analyses were run on an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m} 2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ column with a VanGuard BEH $\mathrm{C} 181.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ preolumn at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For each compound, the appropriate mobile phase was chosen. ESI was applied in positive mode. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates.

In Vitro Plasma Stability Study. Freshly prepared 10 mM MeCN stock solution of test compound was diluted 50 -fold with DMSO/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$ and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h with mouse plasma added in $5 \%$ DMSO (preheated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min ). The final concentration was $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$. At each time point ( $0,5,15,30,60$, and 120 min ), $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of incubation mixture was diluted with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cold MeCN spiked with 200 nM internal standard followed by centrifugation at $3300 \times g$ for 20 min . The supernatant was further diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$ for analysis. The concentration of test compound was quantified by LC/MS/MS on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC/MS TQD system consisting of a TQD MS equipped with an ESI interface. The analyses were run on an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 ( $50 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID, particle size $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a VanGuard BEH C 18 precolumn $(5 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID, particle size $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For each compound, the appropriate mobile phase was chosen. ESI was applied in positive mode. The response factors, calculated on the basis of the internal standard peak area, were plotted over time. When possible, response vs time profiles were fitted with Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc., USA) to estimate compound $t_{1 / 2}$ in plasma. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates.

In Vitro Microsomal Stability Study. Freshly prepared 10 mM MeCN stock solution of test compound was preincubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min with mouse liver microsomes added in 0.1 M Tris HCl buffer ( pH 7.4). The final concentration was $4.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$. After preincubation, the cofactors (NADPH, G6P, G6PDH, and $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ predissolved in 0.1 M Tris HCl ) were added to the incubation mixture, and the incubation was continued at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . At each time point $(0,5,15,30$, and 60 min$), 30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of incubation mixture was diluted with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cold MeCN spiked with 200 nM internal standard followed by centrifugation at $3300 \times g$ for 15 min . The supernatant was further diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$ for analysis. The concentration of the test compound was quantified by LC/MS/MS on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC/MS TQD system consisting of a TQD

MS equipped with an ESI interface. The analyses were run on an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 ( $50 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID, particle size $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a VanGuard BEH C18 precolumn $(5 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID, particle size $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For each compound, the appropriate mobile phase was chosen. ESI was applied in positive mode. The percentage of test compound remaining at each time point relative to $t=0$ was calculated. $t_{1 / 2}$ was determined by a one-phase decay equation using a nonlinear regression of compound concentration vs time. Values are the mean of at least two independent experiments performed in two technical replicates.

In Vitro Mouse Plasma and Mouse Brain Tissue Protein Binding. Studies were performed by the DMPK Group at Shanghai ChemPartner Co., Ltd., using the equilibrium dialysis method. Values are the mean of two technical replicates.

Animal Models. In Vivo Pharmacokinetic Study. Male CD1 mice ( $22-24 \mathrm{~g}$, 6 weeks old, SLAC Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd.) were group-housed in ventilated cages and had free access to water and food. They were maintained under a 24 h light/dark cycle at controlled temperature and relative humidity. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to use the minimal number of animals required to produce reliable results. All procedures were performed in accordance with the Ethical Guidelines on the Protection of Animals Used for Scientific Purposes at the DMPK Group at Shanghai ChemPartner Co., Ltd. $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ was administrated intravenously (i.v.) at $3 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ (vehicle: $100 \%$ saline at $0.6 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ) via tail vein injection ( $N=18$ ) and via oral administration (p.o.) at $10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ (vehicle: $100 \%$ saline at $2.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}$ ) by oral gavage $(N=18)$. Sample collection. Samples were collected at $0.25,0.5,1,4,8$, and 24 h . Animals were sacrificed 24 h after $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ administration; plasma, brain, and CSF samples were collected and stored at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Blood collection: The animal was restrained manually, and approximately 150 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of blood/time point was collected into the $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ EDTA tube via retro orbital puncture under anesthesia with isoflurane. The blood sample was put on ice and centrifuged to obtain the plasma sample ( $2000 \times$ $g$, 5 min under $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) within 15 min and then acidified following 100 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of plasma $+1.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of formic acid. An aliquot of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sample (pretreatment with $1 \%$ formic acid) was added with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of IS (propranolol, $40 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ) in MeCN. The mixture was vortexed for 5 min and centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 min . The $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ mixture was injected into LC/MS/MS. Brain collection: Brain was removed and immediately homogenized immediately for 2 min with three volumes $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{w})$ of homogenizing solution (PBS:formic acid $=100: 1$ ), and then the solution was stored in tubes under $-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analysis. An aliquot of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sample was added with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MeCN , which contains IS (propranolol, $40 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}$ ) for protein precipitation. The mixture was vortexed for 5 min and centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 min. The $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ mixture was injected into $\mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}$. CSF collection: A midline incision was made on the neck. The muscle under the skin was cut to expose the cisterna magna. The CSF was collected by capillary. An aliquot of $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sample was added with $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of IS (propranolol, $40 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=2: 1$ (added $1 \%$ formic acid). The mixture was vortexed for 5 min and centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 min . The $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ mixture was injected into $\mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}$. 22 m sample levels were monitored on an LC/MS/MS-19 (API5500, Qtriple) system, using the calibration curve and propanol as internal standard. Chromatography was carried out on a Waters BEH C18 column $(2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, setting a flow rate of 0.60 $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$. Mobile phases were as follows: $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 0.025 \%$ formic acid/ 1 mM NH 44 OAc and $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeOH} / 0.025 \%$ formic acid $/ 1 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$. After the initial 0.20 min at $10 \%$ of mobile phase B, the percentage of mobile phase B increased at $70 \%$ at 0.50 min , reaching steadily $90 \%$ in the range of $0.80-1.30 \mathrm{~min}$. Then the system returned to the initial conditions in a single step until 1.80 min . The following parent $(m / z) /$ daughter $(m / z)$ transitions were monitored: $22 \mathrm{~m}: \mathrm{m} / \mathcal{z}$ $=332.20 / 333.20 \mathrm{Da}$; propanol (IS): $m / z: 260.30 / 116.10 \mathrm{Da}$.

Maximum Tolerated Dose (MTD) Study. An MTD study was conducted on male C57BL/6 mice ( $15-19 \mathrm{~g}$, 5 weeks old, SLAC Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd.). Animals were injected via intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection with single administration at $20 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ( $N$ $=18$, vehicle: $100 \%$ saline at $0.6 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ) and multiple
administrations for a duration of 4 days at $20(N=18$, day 1$), 40(N$ $=18$, day 2$), 80(N=18$, day 3$)$, and $120 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}(N=18$, day 4$)$. Clinical observations/samples of plasma, CSF, and brain were collected at $0.25,0.5,1,4,8$, and 24 h . All procedures were performed in accordance with the Ethical Guidelines on the Protection of Animals Used for Scientific Purposes at the DMPK Group at Shanghai ChemPartner Co., Ltd.

In Vivo Mouse Model Studies. 4L;C* mice (C57BL/6 J/ 129 SvEV ) were randomly assigned to three treatment groups ( $N=$ $4-8$ with mixed males and females) and dosed once a day i.p. with 90 or $30 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$ or vehicle. Treatment started at 5 days of age for a duration of 14 days. The application volume was set to $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per gram of body weight, and dosage was adjusted accordingly. Animals of all groups were sacrificed 1 h after the last dose, and the brain tissues and plasma were collected. The left brain containing cortex, cerebella, thalamus, and brainstem was analyzed for SphL levels by MS. Data were analyzed using the Student $t$ test. The right brain containing cortex, cerebella, thalamus, and brainstem and plasma were analyzed for drug levels of $\mathbf{2 2} \mathbf{m}$. All mice were housed under pathogen-free conditions in the animal facility, and animal experiment was performed according to the IACUC approved protocol (2018-0056) at Cincinnati Children's Hospital Research Foundation. Wild Type (WT) (GALC+/+) and Twitcher (Twi) (GALC-/-) mice were genotyped by PCR as previously described. ${ }^{58}$ Twi mice were randomly assigned to three treatment groups ( $N=3$ males $+N=$ 3 females for each group) and dosed once a day i.p. with 90 or 30 mg $\mathrm{kg}^{-1} \mathbf{2 2 m}$ or vehicle for a duration of 20 days. Treatment started at 10 days of age. The application volume was set to $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per gram of body weight, and dosage was adjusted accordingly. Two groups ( $N=$ 3 males $+N=3$ females for each group) of WT controls treated with vehicle or high dose ( $90 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ) of $\mathbf{2 2} \mathbf{m}$ were also included in the study. Animals of all groups were sacrificed 1 h after the last dose, and the brain tissues and plasma were collected. The left brain containing cortex, cerebella, thalamus, and brainstem was analyzed for SphL levels by MS. Data were analyzed using the Student $t$ test. The right brain containing cortex, cerebella, thalamus, and brainstem and plasma were analyzed for drug levels of $\mathbf{2 2 m}$. All animal work in this study was performed in accordance with approved animal protocols from the Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Illinois at Chicago.
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## ABBREVIATIONS USED

AC, acid ceramidase; Cer, ceramide; GALC, $\beta$-galactosylceramidase; GalCer, galactosylceramide; GalSph, galactosylsphingosine; GD, Gaucher's disease; GCase, $\beta$-glucocerebrosidase; GluCer, glucosylceramide; GluSph, glucosylsphingosine; LSDs, lysosomal storage diseases; KD, Krabbe's disease; SphLs, sphingolipids; Sph1P, sphingosine 1-phosphate; Twi, Twitcher; AcOH, glacial acetic acid; MeCN, acetonitrile; $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$, ammonium acetate; $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, ammonium chloride; $n$ BuLi, $n$-butyllithium; CDI, 1'-carbonyldiimidazole; $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, chloroform; Cy, cyclohexane; Celite, diatomaceous earth; $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, diethyl ether; DIPEA, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine; $\left(\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right), \quad\left[1,1^{\prime}\right.$-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene]dichloropalladium(II); EtOH, ethanol; EtOAc, ethyl acetate; equiv., equivalent; HCl , hydrochloric acid; LiCl , lithium chloride; MeOH , methanol; MeMgBr , methylmagnesium bromide; $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, palladium on carbon; $\left[\mathrm{B}_{2}(\mathrm{pin})_{2}\right]$, bis(pinacolato)diboron; KOAc, potassium acetate; $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, potassium carbonate; $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, potassium phosphate tribasic; $R_{t}$, retention time; $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, silica gel; NaOAc , sodium acetate; $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, sodium bicarbonate; $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, sodium carbonate; $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, sodium sulfate; $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$, sodium triacetoxyborohydride; $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium(0); Dess-Martin periodinane, 1,1,1-tris(acetyloxy)-1,1-dihy-dro-1,2-benziodoxol-3-( 1 H )-one; $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, trimethylamine; $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, di-tert-butyl dicarbonate; TZD, 2,4-thiazolidinedione; $p$-TsOH, $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate; $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, water
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