

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Nutrition, Metabolism & Cardiovascular Diseases

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nmcd



Causal associations between COVID-19 and atrial fibrillation: A bidirectional Mendelian randomization study



Xiaoyu Zhang ^{a,b,1}, Biyan Wang ^{b,1}, Tao Geng ^c, Di Liu ^b, Qiuyue Tian ^b, Xiaoni Meng ^b, Qiaoyun Zhang ^b, Mengyang Jiang ^a, Yiqiang Zhang ^a, Manshu Song ^d, Wei Wang ^{b,d,e}, Youxin Wang ^{b,d,*}, Baoguo Wang ^{a,**}

^a Department of Anesthesiology, Sanbo Brain Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

^b Beijing Key Laboratory of Clinical Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

^c Geriatric Department, Emergency General Hospital, Beijing, China

^d School of Medical and Health Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Perth 60127, Australia

^e Centre for Precision Health, Edith Cowan University, Perth 6027, Australia

Received 1 May 2021; received in revised form 12 November 2021; accepted 15 November 2021 Handling Editor: A. Siani Available online 20 November 2021

KEYWORDS

Coronavirus disease 2019; Atrial fibrillation; Bidirectional Mendelian randomization **Abstract** *Background and aims:* Observational studies showed that coronavirus disease (2019) (COVID-19) attacks universally and its most menacing progression uniquely endangers the elderly with cardiovascular disease (CVD). The causal association between COVID-19 infection or its severity and susceptibility of atrial fibrillation (AF) remains unknown.

Methods and results: The bidirectional causal relationship between COVID-19 (including COVID-19, hospitalized COVID-19 compared with not hospitalized COVID-19, hospitalized COVID-19 compared with the general population, and severe COVID-19) and AF are determined by using two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis. Genetically predicted severe COVID-19 was not significantly associated with the risk of AF [odds ratio (OR), 1.037; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.005–1.071; P = 0.023, q = 0.115]. In addition, genetically predicted AF was also not causally associated with severe COVID-19 (OR, 0.993; 95% CI, 0.888–1.111; P = 0.905, q = 0.905). There was no evidence to support the association between genetically determined COVID-19 and the risk of AF (OR, 1.111; 95% CI, 0.971–1.272; P = 0.127, q = 0.318), and vice versa (OR, 1.016; 95% CI, 0.976–1.058; P = 0.430, q = 0.851). Besides, no significant association was observed for hospitalized COVID-19 with AF. MR-Egger analysis indicated no evidence of directional pleiotropy.

Conclusion: Overall, this MR study provides no clear evidence that COVID-19 is causally associated with the risk of AF.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of The Italian Diabetes Society, the Italian Society for the Study of Atherosclerosis, the Italian Society of Human Nutrition and the Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

E-mail addresses: wangy@ccmu.edu.cn (Y. Wang), wangbg@ccmu.edu.cn (B. Wang).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2021.11.010

^{*} Corresponding author. School of Public Health, Capital Medical University, No. 10 Xitoutiao, Youanmenwai Street, Fengtai District, Beijing, 100069, China.

^{**} Corresponding author. Department of Anesthesiology, Sanbo Brain Hospital, Capital Medical University, No. 50 Xiang Shan Yi-Ke-Song, Haidian District, Beijing, 100095, China.

^{0939-4753/© 2021} The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of The Italian Diabetes Society, the Italian Society for the Study of Atherosclerosis, the Italian Society of Human Nutrition and the Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Coronavirus disease (COVID-19), which is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV2) and represents the causative agent of a potentially fatal disease, rapidly emerged as a global pandemic and afflicted global finances and healthcare systems severely [1]. The virus attacks universally, with the elderly being the most vulnerable to this disease, especially those with cardiovascular comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, heart failure, and coronary heart disease [2,3]. Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia worldwide, and its prevalence is higher in patients with other comorbidities [4].

COVID-19 may have an adverse impact on the heart and cardiovascular system. AF is a frequent clinical manifestation in hospitalized COVID-19 patients who require admission to an intensive care unit [5]. In addition, recent studies have found that SARS-COV2 infection may damage cardiomyocytes and increase the risk of AF [6–8]. However, these findings are still susceptible to unmeasured confounders and reverse causation that cannot be fully ruled out in observational studies. Further investigation is needed to determine the causal association between COVID-19 and AF.

Mendelian randomization (MR) is a burgeoning field that utilizes genetic variants that are robustly associated with such modifiable exposures to generate more reliable evidence [9]. This approach relies on the natural, random assortment of genetic variants during meiosis yielding a random distribution of genetic variants [10]. Genomewide association studies (GWAS) data, which typically provide regression coefficients summarizing the associations of many genetic variants with various traits, are potentially a powerful source of data for MR analysis [11]. Therefore, we performed bidirectional MR analyses for determining the causal relationship between COVID-19 (including COVID-19, hospitalized COVID-19 compared with not hospitalized COVID-19, hospitalized COVID-19 compared with the general population and severe COVID-19) and AF using summary statistics results of GWAS. Understanding the bidirectional relationship between COVID-19 and AF is of significant public health importance about disease prevention and complications management.

2. Methods

2.1. Data sources

2.1.1. Genetic association datasets for COVID-19

Summary genetic association estimates for the risk of COVID-19 were obtained from the most recent version of GWAS analyses of the COVID-19 host genetics initiative in UK Biobank individuals released on January 18, 2021 (https://www.covid19hg.org/results/) [12]. We selected four phenotypes from this GWAS: (1) COVID-19 patients vs. the general population including 38,984 patients and 1,644,784 control participants; (2) hospitalized COVID-19 patients vs. not hospitalized COVID-19 patients including 3159 patients and 7206 control participants; (3) hospitalized COVID-19 patients vs. the general population including 9986 patients and 1,877,672 control participants; and (4) patients with very severe respiratory confirmed COVID-19 vs. the general population including 5101 patients and 1,383,241 control participants. All COVID-19related GWAS summary statistic data were based on the European ancestry population.



Fig. 1 Analyses pipeline to evaluate the explanations for the observed associations between COVID-19 and AF. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; AF: Atrial fibrillation; MR: Mendelian randomization; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism.

2.1.2. Genetic association datasets for AF

We drew on summary statistics from a recent metaanalysis of GWAS in 31 studies of AF, which were included 18,398 patients and 91,536 control participants [13]. The majority of the study participants were of European ancestry. Details on genotype-quality control and adjudication of AF can be found elsewhere [13].

2.2. Instrumental variables for COVID-19 and AF

We first performed forward MR analysis to assess the effects of the phenotypes of COVID-19, hospitalized COVID-19 compared with not hospitalized COVID-19, hospitalized COVID-19 compared with the general population, and severe COVID-19 on AF by using genetic variants associated with exposure as instrumental variables (IVs). Since a few significant single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of COVID-19 were available using the criterion of $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$, SNPs were selected as IVs at $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ for COVID-19. Then, we conducted reverse MR using genetic variants associated with AF as IVs to investigate its effect on COVID-19. SNPs that achieved significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) for AF were selected as IVs.

We only retained independent variants from each other based on the European ancestry reference data from the 1000 Genomes Project (Linkage disequilibrium [LD], r^2 threshold = 0.001). The phenotypic variance (R^2) explained by the selected SNPs was about 0.14% for COVID-19, 3.20% for hospitalized COVID-19 compared with not hospitalized COVID-19, 0.49% for hospitalized COVID-19 compared with the general population, 1.08% for severe COVID-19, and 0.58% for AF, respectively. For each selected was IV, R^2 calculated using the formula: $R^2 = 2 \times \beta^2 \times MAF \times (1-MAF)$ (MAF represented the minor allele frequency and β represented the effect estimate of the genetic variant) [14].

2.3. MR analysis

The inverse variance-weighted (IVW) method was employed as the main analysis for estimating the causal effect combining the ratio estimates using each variant in the multiplicative random-effects model [11]. Results can be biased if IVs show horizontal pleiotropy, affecting the outcome through pathways other than the exposure, which could violate MR assumptions [15]. Therefore, four

Phenotype	Numbers of SNPs	OR (95% CI)	Beta (SE)	Р	q
COVID-19 vs. population					
IVW	29	1.111 (0.971-1.272)	0.106 (0.069)	0.127	0.318
Weighted median	29	1.011 (0.861-1.186)	0.010 (0.082)	0.898	0.924
Penalised weighted median	29	1.008 (0.857-1.186)	0.008 (0.083)	0.924	0.924
MR-PRESSO	29		-0.103 (0.061)	0.103	0.318
MR-Egger	29	1.196 (0.805-1.775)	0.179 (0.202)	0.383	0.638
egger_intercept			-0.006	0.702	
Q statistic			-	0.007	
Hospitalized COVID-19 vs. not he	ospitalized COVID-19				
IVW	20	0.991 (0.941-1.044)	-0.009(0.027)	0.730	0.730
Weighted median	20	1.014 (0.952-1.081)	0.014 (0.032)	0.665	0.730
Penalised weighted median	20	1.015 (0.950-1.085)	0.015 (0.034)	0.655	0.730
MR-PRESSO	20		-0.018 (0.026)	0.514	0.730
MR-Egger	20	0.952 (0.838-1.082)	-0.049(0.065)	0.462	0.730
egger_intercept			0.010	0.511	
Q statistic				0.080	
Hospitalized COVID-19 vs. popul	ation				
IVW	32	1.055 (0.995-1.119)	0.054 (0.030)	0.075	0.125
Weighted median	32	1.076 (0.996-1.163)	0.073 (0.040)	0.065	0.125
Penalised weighted median	32	1.080 (1.001-1.166)	0.077 (0.039)	0.048	0.125
MR-PRESSO	32		0.035 (0.029)	0.246	0.308
MR-Egger	32	0.963 (0.808-1.149)	-0.038 (0.090)	0.679	0.679
egger_intercept			0.012	0.290	
Q statistic				0.055	
Severe respiratory confirmed CO	VID-19 vs. population				
IVW	33	1.037 (1.005-1.071)	0.037 (0.016)	0.023	0.115
Weighted median	33	1.039 (0.994-1.086)	0.038 (0.022)	0.092	0.153
Penalised weighted median	33	1.039 (0.995-1.085)	0.038 (0.022)	0.081	0.153
MR-Egger	33	1.038 (0.920-1.171)	0.037 (0.062)	0.551	0.551
egger_intercept			-6.66e-05	0.996	
Q statistic				0.699	
MR-PRESSO	33		-0.023 (0.016)	0.151	0.189

Beta is the estimated effect size. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

AF: Atrial fibrillation; CI: confidence interval; IVs: instrumental variables; IVW: inverse-variance weighted; MR: Mendelian randomization; MR-PRESSO: Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier; OR: odds ratio; SE: standard error; SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism.



Fig. 2A Scatter plot showing the associations of the SNP effects on COVID-19 against the SNP effects on AF. Circles indicate marginal genetic associations with COVID-19 and risk of AF for each variant. Error bars indicate 95% CIs. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; AF: Atrial fibrillation; MR: Mendelian randomization; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism.

sensitivity analyses were performed including the weighted median (WM), penalised weighted median (PWM), Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier (MR-PRESSO) and MR-Egger regression. The WM method, which selects the median MR estimate as the causal estimate, may provide precise causal estimates against invalid instruments [16]. MR-PRESSO was applied to detect and correct for any outliers reflecting the likely pleiotropic effect for all reported results [17]. We conducted MR-Egger analysis, which allows the intercept to be freely assessed as an indicator of average pleiotropic effect [15]. In order to assess the robustness of the significant results, we further applied the Cochran's Q statistic to detect heterogeneity among the Wald ratios for each SNP for identifying the presence of horizontal pleiotropy [18]. Leave-one-out analysis was conducted to assess the undue influence of potentially pleiotropic SNPs on the causal estimates [18]. Results are presented as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). For the multiple corrections, the false discovery rate (FDR) was used based on the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (q) [19].

For bidirectional MR analyses, the causal relationships between COVID-19 and AF were delineated into four potential parts. Fig. 1 showed an overview of the bidirectional MR study to investigate these explanations. If the *P* value was less than 0.05 only in forward MR for Explanation 1, there was a significant association of genetically instrumented COVID-19 with higher AF risk. Then we conducted the reverse MR analysis assessing whether AF affected COVID-19. This reverse causal association was observed if the *P* value was less than 0.05 in Explanation 2. Explanation 3 showed that there was bidirectional causality between COVID-19 and AF (*P* < 0.05). There was no causal association in forward and reverse MR (*P* > 0.05), as shown in Explanation 4.

All data analyses for MR were conducted using "Two-SampleMR" package (Version 0.5.4) and "MR-PRESSO" package (Version 1.0) in the R environment (R version 4.0.4, R Project for Statistical Computing). This package harmonizes exposure and outcome data sets including information on SNPs, alleles, effect sizes, standard errors, *P* values, and effect allele frequencies for the selected exposure instruments.



Fig. 2B Scatter plot showing the associations of the SNP effects on hospitalized COVID-19 compared with not hospitalized COVID-19 against the SNP effects on AF. Circles indicate marginal genetic associations with hospitalized COVID-19 and risk of AF for each variant. Error bars indicate 95% CIs. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; AF: Atrial fibrillation; MR: Mendelian randomization; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism.

3. Results

3.1. Causal effect of COVID-19 on AF via forward MR

The summary genetic association data were reported in Supplementary Table 1. In the forward MR analysis, we used 29 independent SNPs as IVs for COVID-19. As shown in Table 1, the IVW estimate showed that there was no association between the genetically instrumented COVID-19 and AF risk (OR, 1.111; 95% CI, 0.971–1.272; P = 0.127, q = 0.318), with heterogeneity (P = 0.007) across instrument SNP effects. The MR Egger intercept test further indicated no directional pleiotropy (P = 0.702). In addition, by using the IVW method, the genetic predisposition of hospitalized COVID-19 patients compared with not hospitalized COVID-19 patients and the general population was not observed to be statistically significantly associated with AF (OR = 0.991; 95% CI, 0.941 - 1.044; OR = 1.055; 95% CI, 0.995-1.119, respectively). The lack of causal association remained in all sensitivity analyses (Table 1). Of note, there was no association of the genetically instrumented severe COVID-19 with AF using 33 SNPs presented in Table 1 (OR, 1.037; 95% CI, 1.005–1.071; P = 0.023, q = 0.115), without directional pleiotropy (P = 0.996) and heterogeneity (P = 0.699).

The results of leave-one-out sensitivity analyses showed that the causal associations between genetically instrumented COVID-19 phenotypes and AF were not substantially driven by any individual SNP (Supplementary Figures. 1A–D). Figs. 2A, B, C and D presented the causal effect of the phenotypes of COVID-19 on AF, in which the regression slopes of the lines corresponded to the causal estimates using each of the four different methods.

3.2. Causal association of AF with COVID-19 via reverse MR

The summary genetic association data of AF were reported in Supplementary Table 1. As shown in Table 2, the reverse MR analysis showed no statistically significant evidence of a relationship between AF and COVID-19 (OR, 1.016; 95% CI, 0.976–1.058; P = 0.430, q = 0.851), hospitalized COVID-19 compared with not hospitalized COVID-19 (OR, 1.060; 95% CI, 0.935–1.201; P = 0.363, q = 0.453), hospitalized



Fig. 2C Scatter plot showing the associations of the SNP effects on hospitalized COVID-19 compared with population against the SNP effects on AF. Circles indicate marginal genetic associations with hospitalized COVID-19 and risk of AF for each variant. Error bars indicate 95% CIs. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; AF: Atrial fibrillation; MR: Mendelian randomization; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism.

COVID-19 compared with the general population (OR, 1.017; 95% CI, 0.944–1.096; P = 0.661, q = 0.935), and severe COVID-19 (OR, 0.993; 95% CI, 0.888-1.111; P = 0.905, q = 0.905). Similar results were found in the sensitivity analyses. There was no heterogeneity and directional pleiotropy based on the Q test and MR-Egger intercept test for the associations of AF with COVID-19, hospitalized COVID-19 compared with not hospitalized COVID-19, hospitalized COVID-19 compared with the general population, and severe COVID-19. The results of leaveone-out sensitivity analysis showed that the association between genetically instrumented AF with COVID-19, hospitalized COVID-19 compared with not hospitalized COVID-19, and hospitalized COVID-19 compared with the general population was not substantially driven by any individual SNP, except rs6843082 in phenotypes for hospitalized COVID-19 compared with the general population as well as severe COVID-19 (Supplementary Figures, 1E–H) Figure figs5, figs6, figs7 and figs8. The relationship between the effect sizes of the SNP-AF association and the SNP-the phenotypes of COVID-19 associations are presented in Supplementary Figures. 2A–D.

4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the causal relationship between COVID-19 and AF using a bidirectional two-sample MR in the European population. In the present study, using publicly available summary statistics data, no strong evidence was found to indicate associations between COVID-19, hospitalized COVID-19 compared with not hospitalized COVID-19, hospitalized COVID-19 compared with the general population, and severe COVID-19 and the risk of AF. Furthermore, there was no MR evidence indicating that genetic liability to AF increases the risk of critical COVID-19. The findings were overall robust in the sensitivity analyses.

To date, studies investigating the associations between COVID-19 and AF have reported inconsistent results. Our study showed a suggestive significance of severe COVID-19 on AF. However, this slight association disappeared after correction for multiple testing. Consistent with our results, AF is likely the consequence of systemic illness and not solely the direct effect of COVID-19 infection [20]. However, some previous conventional studies have reported a



Fig. 2D Scatter plot showing the associations of the SNP effects on severe COVID-19 against the SNP effects on AF. Circles indicate marginal genetic associations with severe COVID-19 and the risk of AF for each variant. Error bars indicate 95% CIs. COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; AF: Atrial fibrillation; MR: Mendelian randomization; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism.

direct association between COVID-19 and the enhanced risk of AF [21]. In further population-based studies with a cohort design, even after adjustment for age, hypertension, coronary artery disease, cerebrovascular disease, and diabetes and so on, it is difficult to assess the causal relationship between the traits due to the effect of unmeasured confounders [22]. The discrepancy between the results of our study and the observational study may be attributed to the unmeasured confounders in the observational study.

Since the cause of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, SARSCoV-2 invades host cells by attaching to the membrane bound angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) [23]. ACE2 shares similarities with its protein homolog angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) and plays a role in the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) [24]. Previous studies also found that ACE 2 activity may be related to AF [25,26]. The reason is that ACE2 might be a functional receptor and cellular entry point for SARS-CoV-2 to invade target cardiac cells [27–30]. Our results are

inconsistent with the above mechanism hypothesis. Further research is required to clarify these results using animal models in the laboratory.

In our analysis, we did not find any associations between AF and COVID-19. However, it is not clear whether AF would contribute to increasing the risk for worse prognosis, or even higher mortality of COVID-19.

Our MR study has several strengths. First, MR analysis is a genetic epidemiology method that uses genetic determinants of the exposure (COVID-19) to understand the effect of the exposure on the outcome (AF), which can control the potential bias. Genetic variation is not associated with confounding factors, such as age, hypertension, and cerebrovascular disease, which may affect observational studies [31]. Besides, MR analysis can avoid reverse causation since genetic variation is allocated at conception. Lastly, the MR analysis design is less susceptible to potential unmeasured confounding and reverse causation and can strengthen the evidence for causal inference [31].

 Table 2
 Causal association of AF with COVID-19 via reverse MR analyses.

Phenotype	Numbers of SNPs	OR (95% CI)	Beta (SE)	Р	q
COVID-19 vs. population					
IVW	20	1.016 (0.976-1.058)	0.016 (0.021)	0.430	0.851
Weighted median	20	1.011 (0.960-1.066)	0.011 (0.027)	0.675	0.851
Penalised weighted median	20	1.011 (0.957-1.068)	0.011 (0.028)	0.689	0.851
MR-PRESSO	20		0.020 (0.020)	0.346	0.851
MR-Egger	20	1.008 (0.927-1.097)	0.008 (0.043)	0.851	0.851
egger_intercept			0.001	0.833	
Q statistic				0.322	
Hospitalized COVID-19 vs. not he	ospitalized COVID-19				
IVW	20	1.060 (0.935-1.201)	0.058 (0.064)	0.363	0.453
Weighted median	20	1.112 (0.937-1.319)	0.106 (0.087)	0.224	0.453
Penalised weighted median	20	1.112 (0.933-1.325)	0.106 (0.089)	0.236	0.453
MR-PRESSO	20		0.041 (0.063)	0.521	0.521
MR-Egger	20	1.133 (0.878-1.463)	0.125 (0.130)	0.350	0.453
egger_intercept			-0.010	0.562	
Q statistic				0.509	
Hospitalized COVID-19 vs. popul	ation				
IVW	20	1.017 (0.944-1.096)	0.017 (0.038)	0.661	0.935
Weighted median	20	1.004 (0.906-1.113)	0.004 (0.053)	0.935	0.935
Penalised weighted median	20	1.004 (0.907-1.112)	0.004 (0.052)	0.934	0.935
MR-PRESSO	20		-0.030(0.038)	0.429	0.935
MR-Egger	20	0.984 (0.845-1.145)	-0.016(0.077)	0.836	0.935
egger_intercept			0.005	0.628	
Q statistic				0.472	
Severe respiratory confirmed CO	VID-19 vs. population				
IVW	20	0.993 (0.888-1.111)	-0.007(0.057)	0.905	0.905
Weighted median	20	0.900 (0.775-1.045)	-0.105 (0.076)	0.167	0.465
Penalised weighted median	20	0.898 (0.767-1.053)	-0.107(0.081)	0.186	0.465
MR-PRESSO	20		-0.029(0.054)	0.605	0.756
MR-Egger	20	0.938 (0.754-1.167)	-0.064(0.111)	0.571	0.756
egger_intercept			0.009	0.556	
Q statistic				0.547	

Beta is the estimated effect size. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

AF: Atrial fibrillation; CI: confidence interval; IVs: instrumental variables; IVW: inverse-variance weighted; MR: Mendelian randomization; MR-PRESSO: Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier; OR: odds ratio; SE: standard error; SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism.

The limitations of the current study should be addressed. First, due to the limitation of data resource. stratified analyses or analyses adjusted for other covariates were impossible. Second. estimates of SNP-AF association were derived from transancestry studies, which might cause bias in terms of population admixture. The same genetic variants could show different effects for different populations. However, this might have a slight effect on the estimates because the majority of the individuals were of European ancestry. Third, we cannot exclude that our findings might have been affected by weak instrument bias, which depends on the selection of the genetic instrument through the threshold of $P = 1 \times 10^{-5}$ for phenotypes of COVID-19. Finally, this MR study failed to detect the causal associations due to very large sample sizes, which is limited by a small fraction of the variation in the phenotypes of COVID-19 explained by SNPs (1%).

5. Conclusions

Our MR study suggested that there was no evidence to support the causal relationship between COVID-19 and AF. Further research is required to clarify these findings through the use of larger samples of the European ancestry.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors report no relationships that could be construed as a conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the Application and Evaluation of Active Health Cloud Platform in China, National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFC2000704), and the China-Australian Collaborative Grant (NSFC 81561128020-NHMRC APP1112767).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2021.11.010.

References

- [1] Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. Lancet Infect Dis 2020;20:533–4.
- [2] Mehra MR, Ruschitzka F. COVID-19 illness and heart failure: a missing link? JACC Heart Fail 2020;8:512–4.

- [3] Li G, Hu R, Gu X. A close-up on COVID-19 and cardiovascular diseases. Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases. NMCD 2020;30:1057–60.
- [4] Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N, Arbelo E, Bax JJ, Blomström-Lundqvist C, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). Eur Heart J 2021;42:373–498.
- [5] Colon CM, Barrios JG, Chiles JW, McElwee SK, Russell DW, Maddox WR, et al. Atrial arrhythmias in COVID-19 patients. JACC Clin Electrophysiol 2020;6:1189–90.
- [6] Bhatla A, Mayer MM, Adusumalli S, Hyman MC, Oh E, Tierney A, et al. COVID-19 and cardiac arrhythmias. Heart Rhythm 2020. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.06.016. LID - S1547-5271(20)30594-4 [pii] LID - [doi].
- [7] Tomasoni D, Italia L, Adamo M, Inciardi RM, Lombardi CM, Solomon SD, et al. COVID-19 and heart failure: from infection to inflammation and angiotensin II stimulation. Searching for evidence from a new disease. Eur J Heart Fail 2020;22(6).
- [8] Angeli F, Spanevello A, De Ponti R, Visca D, Marazzato J, Palmiotto G, et al. Electrocardiographic features of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Eur J Intern Med 2020;78:101–6.
- [9] Sekula P, Del Greco MF, Pattaro C, Köttgen A. Mendelian randomization as an approach to assess causality using observational data. J Am Soc Nephrol 2016;27:3253–65.
- [10] Emdin CA, Khera AV, Kathiresan S. Mendelian Randomization. JAMA 2017;318:1925–6.
- [11] Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. Genet Epidemiol 2013;37:658–65.
- [12] The COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative, a global initiative to elucidate the role of host genetic factors in susceptibility and severity of the SARS-CoV-2 virus pandemic. Eur J Hum Genet 2020;28:715–8.
- [13] Christophersen IE, Rienstra M, Roselli C, Yin X, Geelhoed B, Barnard J, et al. Large-scale analyses of common and rare variants identify 12 new loci associated with atrial fibrillation. Nat Genet 2017;49:946–52.
- [14] Levin MG, Judy R, Gill D, Vujkovic M, Verma SS, Bradford Y, et al. Genetics of height and risk of atrial fibrillation: a Mendelian randomization study. PLoS Med 2020;17:e1003288.
- [15] Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. Int J Epidemiol 2015;44:512–25.
- [16] Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. Genet Epidemiol 2016;40:304–14.
- [17] Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from

Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. Nat Genet 2018;50:693–8.

- [18] Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. Eur J Epidemiol 2017; 32:377–89.
- [19] Wu G, Wan X, Xu B. A new estimation of protein-level false discovery rate. BMC Genom 2018;19:567.
- [20] Bhatla A, Mayer MM, Adusumalli S, Hyman MC, Oh E, Tierney A, et al. COVID-19 and cardiac arrhythmias. Heart Rhythm 2020;17: 1439–44.
- [21] Kelesoglu S, Yilmaz Y, Ozkan E, Calapkorur B, Gok M, Dursun ZB, et al. New onset atrial fibrilation and risk factors in COVID-19. J Electrocardiol 2021;65:76–81.
- [22] Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M, Wu X, Zhang L, He T, et al. Cardiovascular implications of fatal outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Cardiol. 2020;5:811–8.
- [23] Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell 2020;181:271–280.e8.
- [24] Gheblawi M, Wang K, Viveiros A, Nguyen Q, Zhong JC, Turner AJ, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2: SARS-CoV-2 receptor and regulator of the renin-angiotensin system: celebrating the 20th anniversary of the discovery of ACE2. Circ Res 2020;126:1456–74.
- [25] Feng W, Sun L, Qu XF. Association of AGTR1 and ACE2 gene polymorphisms with structural atrial fibrillation in a Chinese Han population. Pharmazie 2017;72(1):17–21.
- [26] Walters TE, Kalman JM, Patel SK, Mearns M, Velkoska E, Burrell LM. Angiotensin converting enzyme 2 activity and human atrial fibrillation: increased plasma angiotensin converting enzyme 2 activity is associated with atrial fibrillation and more advanced left atrial structural remodelling. Ep Europace 2017; 19(8):1280–7.
- [27] Yan RA-O, Zhang YA-O, Li Y, Xia LA-O, Guo Y, Zhou QA-O. Structural basis for the recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. Science 2020;367(6485):1444–8.
- [28] Hu YF, Cheng WH, Hung Y, Lin WY, Chao TF, Liao JN, et al. Management of atrial fibrillation in COVID-19 pandemic. Circ J 2020; 84:1679–85.
- [29] Walls AC, Park YJ, Tortorici MA, Wall A, McGuire AT, Veesler D. Structure, function, and antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein. Cell 2020;181:281–292.e6.
- [30] Letko M, Marzi A, Munster V. Functional assessment of cell entry and receptor usage for SARS-CoV-2 and other lineage B betacoronaviruses. Nat Microbiol 2020;5:562–9.
- [31] Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sterne JA, Timpson N, Davey Smith G. Mendelian randomization: using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. Stat Med 2008;27:1133–63.