e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit, 2021; 27: e932318 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.932318

**CLINICAL RESEARCH** 

MÓ	NITOR			© Med Sci Monit, 2021; 27: e9323 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.9323			
Received: Accepted: Available online: Published:	2021.03.22 2021.07.05 2021.07.29 2021.10.19	-	Proper Partial Pressure Patients with Traumati	e of Arterial Oxygen for c Brain Injury			
Authors' St Data Statistic Data Inte Manuscript I Litera Funds	Contribution: udy Design A Collection B cal Analysis C erpretation D Preparation E ture Search F C Collection G	ACE 1 BD 2 DF 1 BC 3 DF 2 ABG 1	Hong Wu* Liang Gong* Jia-Cheng Gu Hong-Wei Xing Zhong-Xin Qian Qing Mao	<ol> <li>Department of Neurosurgery, Renji Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, PR China</li> <li>Department of Neurosurgery, Punan Hospital, Shanghai, PR China</li> <li>Department of Neurosurgery, Linquan County People's Hospital, Fuyang, Anhui, PR China</li> </ol>			
Corresponding Author: Financial support:			* Hong Wu and Liang Gong contributed equally as the co-first authors Qing Mao, e-mail: neurojack@163.com The present study was supported by the Outstanding Clinical Discipline Project of Shanghai Pudong (PWYgy2018-04) and the National Natural Science Fund (no. 81571883)				
Background: Material/Methods:		ground: ethods:	The partial pressure of arterial oxygen $(PaO_2)$ is critical to the outcome of patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI). However, it is not clear what range of $PaO_2$ should be maintained to improve patient outcome. The aim of this study was to explore the $PaO_2$ value needed in the acute phase of TBI and provide new evidence for clinical practice. A total of 153 patients with TBI were enrolled retrospectively. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted on sex, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score on admission, $PaO_2$ within 6 h of admission, oxygenation index, and other factors. The Glasgow Outcome Score (GOS) of the patient at discharge was used as an indicator of outcome. The good outcome group had GOS >4 and the poor outcome group had GOS >4.				
Results: Conclusions: Keywords:			The 153 patients were divided into a good outcome group (n=62) and poor outcome group (n=91). There was a significant difference in sex, admission GCS, surgery, airway status, $PaO_2$ , and oxygen index within 6 h of ad- mission between the 2 groups. Logistic regression analysis showed that $PaO_2$ <60 mmHg, male sex, and ad- mission GCS score of 3 to 12 were independent risk factors for a poor outcome. Patients with TBI having $PaO_2$ <60 mmHg within 6 h after admission were more likely to have poor outcomes. The upper limit value of $PaO_2$ that affects the outcome of TBI in patients has not been found.				
			Brain Concussion • Hyperbaric Oxygenation • Hypoxia • Partial Pressure				
Full-text PDF:		ext PDF:	https://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt/932318				
			<b>1</b> 2908 <b>1</b> 2 <b>1</b> 2 <b>1</b> 2 <b>1</b>	ä 37			



MEDICAL SCIENCE

e932318-1

# Background

In 2012, traumatic brain injury (TBI) accounted for 37% of all deaths due to traumatic diseases in Europe [1]. In China, patients with TBI are generally severely injured, and the proportion of patients with TBI with severe head trauma is 22%. Although there are reports in the literature stating that the management of TBI in China is better than that in Europe, the mortality rate of patients with TBI after Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission is still as high as 11.4% [2]. Poor outcomes in patients with TBI can cause social and family burdens [3]. After the occurrence of TBI, patients can develop lung infections, atelectasis, and acute respiratory distress syndrome. They can also develop other lung diseases due to disorders of consciousness, vomiting and aspiration, mechanical ventilation [4], and prolonged bed rest. This will reduce the alveolar ventilation function and decrease the partial pressure of oxygen (PaO<sub>3</sub>), causing hypoxemia. Nerve cells experience edema and even necrosis after insufficient oxygen supply, hindering the recovery of nerve structure and function after TBI, prolonging the patient's hospital stay, and even causing death. The American Craniocerebral Trauma Foundation stated in the "Guidelines for the Management of Severe Head Injury" that patients should maintain PaO, >60 mmHg after TBI [5]. The British "Guidelines for the Safe Transfer of Brain Injury" require patients with TBI to maintain PaO, >97.5 mmHg [6]. However, they were controversial about the lower limit of low PaO, and did not set an upper limit.

In addition, a  $PaO_2$  that is too high is not good for the outcome of patients with TBI; however, its limit has not yet been determined [7-9]. Studies have reported that the relationship between early arterial oxygenation and long-term functional and cognitive outcomes after TBI is U-shaped. Controlling  $PaO_2$  within 24 h of admission in patients with TBI within the range of mild hyperoxia (150-200 mmHg) could improve outcomes [10]. At the same time, high  $PaO_2$  (>200 mmHg) within the first 24 h of admission in patients with TBI is associated with higher postoperative mortality and short-term functional deterioration [7]. Hence, 200 mmHg is worth discussing as the threshold of high  $PaO_2$ .

For patients with TBI, the thresholds for low and high  $PaO_2$  are uncertain. Therefore, monitoring and maintaining a patient's  $PaO_2$  after TBI within a reasonable range can be beneficial for rehabilitation after TBI. This study aimed to explore the relationship between  $PaO_2$  and outcome in patients with acute TBI in the neurosurgical intensive care unit (NICU), find the appropriate range of  $PaO_2$  in patients with acute TBI, and provide new evidence for clinical practice.

### **Material and Methods**

### **Study Population**

This retrospective observational study included patients with TBI admitted to the Department of Neurosurgery, Renji Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine and the Department of Neurosurgery, Shanghai Punan Hospital between March 2020 and December 2020. The patients included in this study were admitted to the NICU within 6 h after TBI (patients with TBI with GCS ≥13 at the time of admission were included in the NICU due to disease progression) and were aged  $\geq$ 18 years. Their computed tomography (CT) scan of the head showed traumatic lesions of the brain (brain contusion, skull fracture, diffuse axonal injury, epidural/subdural hematoma), and they had complete arterial blood gas data during hospitalization. The exclusion criteria were a time of stay in the NICU less than 3 days, head CT showing no obvious abnormalities in the brain, and incomplete or lost chest CT on admission and arterial blood gas data during hospitalization.

#### **Methods and TBI Management**

According to the guidelines, the patients with TBI were evaluated and treated with decompressive hemicraniectomy (DHC) [11]. The patients were diagnosed and treated according to the "Guidelines for the Management of Severe Head Trauma, Fourth Edition", published by the Head Trauma Foundation in 2017 [5]. A Codman intracranial pressure probe was used to monitor intracranial pressure, which was maintained at <22 mmHg. Cerebral perfusion pressure was maintained at 60 to 70 mmHg, PaCO<sub>2</sub> at 35 to 40 mmHg [12], and SaO<sub>2</sub> at >92% [13]. Using physical cooling methods and antipyretic drugs, an ice blanket was used to maintain the core body temperature at 35.0 to 37.5°C.

The following data were collected: sex, age (elderly: >65 years), Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score at admission, head CT examination report at admission, chest CT examination report at admission, and treatment methods (DHC, drugs). All arterial blood samples were analyzed with a GEM Premier 3000 blood gas analyzer. At the same time, PaO, within 6 h of admission, oxygenation index (OI) within 6 h of admission, and airway status within 6 h of admission (noninvasive oxygen inhalation, tracheal intubation) were collected. OI was calculated as PaO<sub>2</sub>/fraction of inspiration O<sub>2</sub> (FiO<sub>2</sub>). For patients with mechanical ventilation, we collected FiO, through ventilator parameters. For patients with oxygen inhalation, we collected inspired oxygen flow (L/min), and at this time, the following calculation was used: FiO<sub>2</sub>=21+inspired oxygen flow×4. The American Craniocerebral Trauma Foundation stated in the "Guidelines for the Management of Severe Head Injury" that patients should maintain PaO<sub>2</sub> >60 mmHg after TBI [5].



Figure 1. Comparison of Glasgow Outcome Scores of 4 groups with different partial pressure of arterial oxygen.

The British "Guidelines for the Safe Transfer of Brain Injury" require patients with TBI to maintain  $PaO_2 > 97.5 \text{ mmHg}$  [6]. According to these guidelines, the lower limit of  $PaO_2$  is assumed to be 60 mmHg and 97.5 mmHg, respectively. Since the guidelines do not require an upper limit, and in previous studies, 200 mmHg has been controversial, this study assumed a  $PaO_2$  of 200 mmHg as the upper limit. Patients were divided into 4 groups, according to  $PaO_2$  levels: <60 mmHg; 60 to 97.5 mmHg; 97.5 to 200 mmHg; and  $\geq 200 \text{ mmHg}$ .

### **Outcome Variables**

The primary outcomes were the Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) [14]. The GOS is evaluated by analyzing the functional status of patients at discharge. We set the good outcome group as GOS  $\geq$ 4 points, and the poor outcome group as GOS <4 points.

## **Statistical Analysis**

The variables considered in the statistical analysis included sex, age, GCS at admission, chest and lung diseases at admission, treatment methods (DHC, drugs), airway status within 6 h of admission, hospitalization time,  $PaO_2$  within 6 h of admission, and OI within 6 h of admission. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 25.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare GOS among the 4 groups, according to  $PaO_2$ . The Mann-Whitney test was used to analyze the difference of  $PaO_2$  in patients with different outcomes. The Pearson chi-squared test was used to evaluate the relationship between each categorical variable and the GOS upon discharge. Finally, we adopted the forward stepwise multivariate logistic regression analysis to analyze the differences among significant variables in the chi-squared test (sex, GCS



Figure 2. Comparison of partial pressure of arterial oxygen within 6 h after admission in patients with different outcomes.

on admission, and airway status,  $PaO_2$ , and OI within 6 h of admission) and variables found in previous studies (age, chest, and lung diseases, DHC). Values of *P*<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

# Results

As shown in **Figure 1**, there were significant differences (P<0.05) in patient outcomes among the 4 groups: <60 mmHg, 60 to 97.5 mmHg, 97.5 to 200 mmHg, and >200 mmHg. As shown in **Figure 2**, the PaO<sub>2</sub> of the good outcome group (128.1±50.93 mmHg) was significantly higher than that of the poor outcome group (99.60±58.03 mmHg) (P<0.05).

Overall, 153 patients (109 men and 44 women) were included in this study, of which 55 (35.9%) patients were elderly, 25 patients (16.3%) had an initial GCS of 13 to 15, 45 patients (29.4%) had an initial GCS of 9 to 12, and 83 patients (54.2%) had an initial GCS of 3 to 8. Chest CT examination on admission revealed that 52 patients (34.0%) had various degrees of chest and lung diseases, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, emphysema, rib fracture, lung exudation, pneumothorax, and pleural effusion. A total of 129 patients (84.3%) underwent DHC after admission, based on the CT scan and the surgeon's decision. There was no significant difference in outcome between patients with DHC and without DHC (P>0.05). A total of 26 patients (17.0%) had PaO, levels within 6 h of admission of <60 mmHg, and the PaO, level of 63 (41.2%) patients ranged from 60 to 97.5 mmHg. The hypoxemia and hyperoxia airway status are shown in Table 1.

In this study, we also conducted multivariate logistic regression analysis. In **Table 2**, the independent risk factors associated

Table	1.	Univariate	analysis	of factors	for	prognosis	of	traumatic	brain	iniur	v.
10010		onnatio	anacysis	or factors		prognosis	~	traumatic	bruin	ingai.	y.

Sex n(%)····························Male109 (12)50 (600)59 (640)50 (640)	Characteristics	Total N=153	Good outcome n=62	Poor outcome n=91	χ²	P value
Male         109 (71.2)         50 (80.6)         59 (64.8)           Fenale         44 (28.8)         12 (19.4)         32 (35.2)	Sex n (%)				4.499	0.034*
Female         44 (28.8)         12 (19.4)         32 (35.2)	Male	109 (71.2)	50 (80.6)	59 (64.8)		
Age n %)0.0100.921Elderly (ofs)55 (35.9)22 (35.5)33 (3c.3)0.921Non-elderly (ofs)98 (64.1)40 (64.5)58 (63.7)(0.001*)The admission GCS n (%)21 (33.9)4 (44)(30 (46.4)151 (6.5)Mild TBI45 (29.4)30 (48.4)151 (6.5)(0.01*)Severe TBI45 (29.4)11 (17.7)72 (79.1)(79.1)Chest and lung diseases n (%)17 (27.4)35 (38.5)(0.01*)Yes52 (34.0)17 (27.4)55 (38.5)(0.15)No101 (6)44 9 (79.0)80 (87.9)(0.15)Pter (%)129 (84.3)49 (79.0)80 (87.9)(0.87.6)No24 (15.7)13 (21.0)11 (12.1)(0.87.6)No24 (15.7)13 (21.0)11 (12.1)(0.87.6)Yes129 (84.3)17 (27.4)26 (28.6)(0.87.6)No24 (15.7)13 (21.0)11 (12.1)(0.87.6)Yes129 (84.3)17 (27.4)26 (28.6)(0.87.6)Yes129 (28.1)11 (12.1)(0.87.6)(0.15.7)ARDS n (%)10 (16.1)22 (28.6)(0.16.7)(0.16.7)Yes13 (20.9)10 (16.1)22 (28.6)(0.17.6)No10 (16.1)22 (28.6)(1.9.1)(0.01*No10 (16.1)22 (28.6)(0.01*(0.01*Arbos n (%)12 (19.4)18 (19.8)(0.01*Yes13 (19.6)12 (19.4)18 (19.6)(0.01*Arbos n	Female	44 (28.8)	12 (19.4)	32 (35.2)		
Elderly (>65)         55 (35.9)         22 (35.5)         33 (36.3)           Non-elderly (>65)         98 (64.1)         40 (64.5)         58 (63.7)            The admission GCS n (%)          7.7978         <0.001"	Age n (%)				0.010	0.921
Non-elderly (s65)         98 (64.1)         40 (64.5)         58 (63.7)           The admission GCS n (%)          57.978         \$0.001*           Mild TBI         25 (16.3)         21 (33.9)         4 (44)            Moderate TBI         45 (29.4)         30 (48.4)         15 (16.5)            Sever TBI         83 (54.2)         11 (17.7)         72 (79.1)         0.157           Chest and lung diseases n (%)         17 (27.4)         35 (38.5)             Ves         52 (34.0)         17 (27.4)         35 (38.5)             No         101 (66.0)         45 (72.6)         56 (61.5)             Ves         129 (84.3)         49 (79.0)         80 (87.9)          0.038           Ves         129 (84.3)         17 (27.4)         26 (28.6)          0.87           No         10 (17.0)         45 (72.6)         56 (11.4)             ARDS n (%)         10 (17.2)         26 (28.6)          0.87           No         10.024         30 (19.6)         12 (12.1)             ARDS n (%)         10 (16.1)         22 (24.2)	Elderly (>65)	55 (35.9)	22 (35.5)	33 (36.3)		
The admission GCS n (%)       25 (16.3)       21 (33.9)       4 (4.4)          Mild TBI       45 (29.4)       30 (48.4)       15 (16.5)          Severe TBI       83 (54.2)       11 (17.7)       72 (79.1)           Chest and lung diseases n (%)       17 (27.4)       35 (38.5)            Yes       52 (34.0)       17 (27.4)       35 (38.5)            No       101 (60.)       49 (79.0)       80 (87.9)             Ves       129 (84.3)       49 (79.0)       80 (87.9)         0.376         No       24 (15.7)       13 (21.0)       11 (12.1)          0.876         Yes       43 (28.1)       17 (27.4)       26 (28.6)         0.876         No       110 (71.9)       45 (72.6)       65 (71.4)          0.876         Yes       32 (20.9)       10 (16.1)       22 (24.2)         0.876           0.631          0.631            0.876<	Non-elderly (≤65)	98 (64.1)	40 (64.5)	58 (63.7)		
Mild TBI         25 (16.3)         21 (33.9)         4 (4.4)           Moderate TBI         45 (29.4)         30 (48.4)         15 (16.5)         Image: Constraint of the	The admission GCS n (%)				57.978	<0.001*
Moderate TBI         45 (29.4)         30 (48.4)         15 (16.5)           Severe TBI         83 (54.2)         11 (17.7)         72 (79.1)         1           Chest and lung diseases n (%)         52 (34.0)         17 (27.4)         35 (38.5)         1           No         101 (66.0)         45 (72.6)         56 (61.5)         1         1           DHC n (%)         129 (84.3)         49 (79.0)         80 (87.9)         0.138           Yes         129 (84.3)         49 (79.0)         80 (87.9)         0.024           No         24 (15.7)         13 (21.0)         111 (21.1)         0.024           ARDS n (%)         110 (71.9)         45 (72.6)         65 (71.4)         0.87           Yes         43 (28.1)         17 (27.4)         26 (28.6)         17           Hospitalization time n (%)         110 (71.9)         45 (72.6)         65 (71.4)         17           O-2 week         32 (20.9)         10 (16.1)         22 (24.2)         0.631           Jo-2 week         39 (92.5)         18 (29.0)         21 (23.1)         17           J-4 week         30 (19.6)         12 (19.4)         18 (19.8)         0.001*           J-4 week         52 (34.0)         22 (35.5) <t< td=""><td>Mild TBI</td><td>25 (16.3)</td><td>21 (33.9)</td><td>4 (4.4)</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Mild TBI	25 (16.3)	21 (33.9)	4 (4.4)		
Severe TBI         83 (54.2)         11 (17.7)         72 (79.1)           Chest and lung diseases n (%)         2.004         0.157           Yes         52 (34.0)         17 (27.4)         35 (38.5)	Moderate TBI	45 (29.4)	30 (48.4)	15 (16.5)		
Chest and lung diseases n (%)         0         170         350         2.004         0.157           Yes         52 (34.0)         17 (27.4)         35 (38.5)         1         1           No         101 (66.0)         45 (72.6)         56 (61.5)         2.199         0.138           DHC n (%)         129 (84.3)         49 (79.0)         80 (87.9)         1.0024         0.876           No         24 (15.7)         13 (21.0)         11 (21.0)         1.0024         0.876           ARDS n (%)         10 (71.9)         45 (72.6)         65 (71.4)         1.0024         0.876           Yes         43 (28.1)         17 (27.4)         26 (28.6)         1.1027         0.631           No         110 (71.9)         45 (72.6)         65 (71.4)         1.727         0.631           Hospitalization time n (%)         10 (16.1)         22 (24.2)         1.727         0.631           0-2 week         39 (25.5)         18 (29.0)         21 (23.1)         1.0161         24 (24.2)         1.01624         0.001*           3-4 week         30 (19.6)         12 (19.4)         18 (19.8)         1.01624         0.001*           Non-invasive oxygen inhalation         28 (18.3)         19 (30.6)         9 (9.9	Severe TBI	83 (54.2)	11 (17.7)	72 (79.1)		
Yes52 (34.0)17 (27.4)35 (38.5)No101 (66.0)45 (72.6)56 (61.5)DHC n (%)-2.1990.138Yes129 (84.3)49 (79.0)80 (87.9)No24 (15.7)13 (21.0)11 (12.1)ARDS n (%)0.0240.876Yes43 (28.1)17 (27.4)26 (28.6)-No110 (71.9)45 (72.6)65 (71.4)-Hospitalization time n (%)1.7270.6310-2 week32 (20.9)10 (16.1)22 (24.2)-2-3 week39 (25.5)18 (29.0)21 (23.1)-3-4 week30 (19.6)12 (19.4)18 (19.8)->4 week30 (19.6)12 (19.4)18 (19.8)-Non-invasive oxygen inhalation n (%)-1.6240.001*Pao, within 6 hours of admission n (%)-2.8 (18.3)19 (30.6)9 (9.9)Tracheal intubation28 (18.3)19 (30.6)9 (9.9)-Frackeal intubation26 (17.0)6 (9.7)20 (22.0)60-97.5 mmHg63 (41.2)15 (24.2)48 (52.7)97.5-200 mmHg16 (10.5)7 (11.3)9 (9.9)0 within 6 hours of admission n (%)-20.074<0.001*	Chest and lung diseases n (%)				2.004	0.157
No101 (66.0)45 (72.6)56 (61.5)DHC n (%) $2.199$ 0.138Yes129 (84.3)49 (79.0)80 (87.9)No24 (15.7)13 (21.0)11 (12.1)ARDS n (%) $0.024$ 0.876Yes43 (28.1)17 (27.4)26 (28.6)No110 (71.9)45 (72.6)65 (71.4)Hospitalization time n (%) $110 (71.9)$ 45 (72.6)65 (71.4)0-2 week32 (20.9)10 (16.1)22 (24.2)0.6310-2 week39 (25.5)18 (29.0)21 (23.1)13-4 week30 (19.6)12 (19.4)18 (19.8)1>4 week52 (34.0)22 (35.5)30 (33.0)1Non-invasive oxygen inhalation28 (18.3)19 (30.6)9 (9.9)01*Yacheal intubation125 (81.7)43 (69.4)82 (90.1)28.951<0.001*	Yes	52 (34.0)	17 (27.4)	35 (38.5)		
DHC n (%)         2.199         0.138           Yes         129 (84.3)         49 (79.0)         80 (87.9)         No           No         24 (15.7)         13 (21.0)         11 (12.1)         No           ARDS n (%)	No	101 (66.0)	45 (72.6)	56 (61.5)		
Yes         129 (84.3)         49 (79.0)         80 (87.9)           No         24 (15.7)         13 (21.0)         11 (12.1)           ARDS n (%)	DHC n (%)				2.199	0.138
No         24 (15.7)         13 (21.0)         11 (12.1)           ARDS n (%)	Yes	129 (84.3)	49 (79.0)	80 (87.9)		
ARDS n (%)         0.024         0.876           Yes         43 (28.1)         17 (27.4)         26 (28.6)            No         110 (71.9)         45 (72.6)         65 (71.4)            Hospitalization time n (%)         T         1.727         0.631           0-2 week         32 (20.9)         10 (16.1)         22 (24.2)             2-3 week         39 (25.5)         18 (29.0)         21 (23.1)              3-4 week         30 (19.6)         12 (19.4)         18 (19.8)              Airway status within 6 hours of admission n (%)         T         10.624         0.001*           Non-invasive oxygen inhalation         28 (18.3)         19 (30.6)         9 (9.9)             Tracheal intubation         125 (81.7)         43 (69.4)         82 (90.1)              Pa0_vithin 6 hours of admission n (%)         T         28.951         <0.001*	No	24 (15.7)	13 (21.0)	11 (12.1)		
Yes         43 (28.1)         17 (27.4)         26 (28.6)           No         110 (71.9)         45 (72.6)         65 (71.4)           Hospitalization time n (%)         Image: Comparison of Comparis	ARDS n (%)				0.024	0.876
No110 (71.9)45 (72.6)65 (71.4)Hospitalization time n (%)11.7270.631 $0 - 2$ week32 (20.9)10 (16.1)22 (24.2)02-3 week39 (25.5)18 (29.0)21 (23.1)-3-4 week30 (19.6)12 (19.4)18 (19.8)->4 week52 (34.0)22 (35.5)30 (33.0)-Airway status within 6 hours of admission n (%)10.6240.001*Non-invasive oxygen inhalation28 (18.3)19 (30.6)9 (9.9)Tracheal intubation125 (81.7)43 (69.4)82 (90.1)PaO2 within 6 hours of admission n (%)-28.951<0.001*	Yes	43 (28.1)	17 (27.4)	26 (28.6)		
Hospitalization time n (%)1.7270.631 $0-2$ week $32$ (20.9) $10$ (16.1) $22$ (24.2) $2-3$ week $39$ (25.5) $18$ (29.0) $21$ (23.1) $3-4$ week $30$ (19.6) $12$ (19.4) $18$ (19.8) $3-4$ week $52$ (34.0) $22$ (35.5) $30$ (33.0)Airway status within 6 hours of admission n (%)10.624 $0.001^*$ Non-invasive oxygen inhalation $28$ (18.3) $19$ (30.6) $9$ (9.9)Tracheal intubation $125$ (81.7) $43$ (69.4) $82$ (90.1)PaO, within 6 hours of admission n (%) $26$ (17.0) $6$ (9.7) $20$ (22.0)G0 mmHg $26$ (17.0) $6$ (9.7) $20$ (22.0) $60-97.5$ mmHg $63$ (41.2) $15$ (24.2) $48$ (52.7) $97.5-200$ mmHg $48$ (31.4) $34$ (54.8) $14$ (15.4) $200$ mmHg $16$ (10.5) $7$ (11.3) $9$ (9.9) $200$ mmHg $57$ (37.3) $10$ (16.1) $47$ (51.6) $200-300$ $57$ (37.3) $10$ (16.1) $47$ (51.6) $2300$ $61$ (39.9) $34$ (54.8) $27$ (29.7)	No	110 (71.9)	45 (72.6)	65 (71.4)		
$0-2$ week $32$ (20.9) $10$ (16.1) $22$ (24.2)2-3 week $39$ (25.5) $18$ (29.0) $21$ (23.1)3-4 week $30$ (19.6) $12$ (19.4) $18$ (19.8)>4 week $52$ (34.0) $22$ (35.5) $30$ (33.0)Airway status within 6 hours of admission n (%)10.624 $0.001^*$ Non-invasive oxygen inhalation $28$ (18.3) $19$ (30.6) $9$ (9.9)Tracheal intubation $28$ (18.3) $19$ (30.6) $9$ (9.9)PaO, within 6 hours of admission n (%) $26$ (17.0) $6$ (9.7) $20$ (22.0)60~97.5 mmHg $26$ (17.0) $6$ (9.7) $20$ (22.0) $60-97.5$ mmHg $63$ (41.2) $15$ (24.2) $48$ (52.7)97.5-200 mmHg $48$ (31.4) $34$ (54.8) $14$ (15.4) $2200$ mmHg $16$ (10.5) $7$ (11.3) $9$ (9.9)0I within 6 hours of admission n (%) $20.074$ $<0.001^*$ $2200$ mmHg $16$ (10.5) $7$ (11.3) $9$ (9.9) $210 2200$ $57$ (37.3) $10$ (16.1) $47$ (51.6) $200-300$ $35$ (22.9) $18$ (29.0) $17$ (18.7) $2300$ $61$ (39.9) $34$ (54.8) $27$ (29.7)	Hospitalization time n (%)				1.727	0.631
2-3 week       39 (25.5)       18 (29.0)       21 (23.1)         3-4 week       30 (19.6)       12 (19.4)       18 (19.8)         >4 week       52 (34.0)       22 (35.5)       30 (33.0)         Airway status within 6 hours of admission n (%)       10.624       0.001*         Non-invasive oxygen inhalation       28 (18.3)       19 (30.6)       9 (9.9)         Tracheal intubation       125 (81.7)       43 (69.4)       82 (90.1)       28.951       <0.001*	0~2 week	32 (20.9)	10 (16.1)	22 (24.2)		
$3-4$ week $30$ (19.6) $12$ (19.4) $18$ (19.8) $10.624$ $0.001^{*}$ $\lambda 4$ week $52$ (34.0) $22$ (35.5) $30$ (33.0) $10.624$ $0.001^{*}$ Airway status within 6 hours of admission n (%) $28$ (18.3) $19$ (30.6) $9$ (9.9) $10.624$ $0.001^{*}$ Non-invasive oxygen inhalation $28$ (18.3) $19$ (30.6) $9$ (9.9) $10.624$ $0.001^{*}$ Tracheal intubation $125$ (81.7) $43$ (69.4) $82$ (90.1) $10.624$ $0.001^{*}$ PaO <sub>2</sub> within 6 hours of admission n (%) $125$ (81.7) $43$ (69.4) $82$ (90.1) $28.951$ $<0.001^{*}$ $60 - 97.5$ mmHg $26$ (17.0) $6$ (9.7) $20$ (22.0) $<0.001^{*}$ $<0.001^{*}$ $60 - 97.5$ mmHg $63$ (41.2) $15$ (24.2) $48$ (52.7) $<0.001^{*}$ $97.5 - 200$ mmHg $63$ (41.2) $15$ (24.2) $48$ (52.7) $<0.001^{*}$ $200$ mmHg $16$ (10.5) $7$ (11.3) $9$ (9.9) $<0.001^{*}$ $200$ mmHg $16$ (10.5) $7$ (11.3) $9$ (9.9) $<0.001^{*}$ $<200$ mmHg $57$ (37.3) $10$ (16.1) $47$ (51.6) $<0.001^{*}$ $<200^{-}300$ $35$ (22.9) $18$ (29.0) $17$ (18.7) $<0.001^{*}$ $<200^{-}30061 (39.9)34 (54.8)27 (29.7)<0.001^{*}$	2~3 week	39 (25.5)	18 (29.0)	21 (23.1)		
>4 week52 (34.0)22 (35.5)30 (33.0)Image: constraint of the second of the se	3~4 week	30 (19.6)	12 (19.4)	18 (19.8)		
Airway status within 6 hours of admission n (%)10.6240.001*Non-invasive oxygen inhalation28 (18.3)19 (30.6)9 (9.9) $(1.624)$ 0.001*Tracheal intubation125 (81.7)43 (69.4)82 (90.1) $(1.624)$ $(1.624)$ $(1.624)$ PaO2 within 6 hours of admission n (%) $(2.6)$ $(3.6)$ $(2.6)$ $(2.8)$ $(2.8)$ $(0.001*)$ $< 60 \text{ mmHg}$ $26 (17.0)$ $6 (9.7)$ $20 (22.0)$ $(2.6)$ $(2.6)$ $(2.6)$ $(2.6)$ $60 - 97.5 \text{ mmHg}$ $(3.6)$ $(4.8)$ $(3.4)$ $(3.$	>4 week	52 (34.0)	22 (35.5)	30 (33.0)		
Non-invasive oxygen inhalation28 (18.3)19 (30.6)9 (9.9)Tracheal intubation125 (81.7)43 (69.4)82 (90.1)PaO2 within 6 hours of admission n (%)28.951 $<0.001^*$ <60 mmHg	Airway status within 6 hours of admission n (%)				10.624	0.001*
Tracheal intubation125 (81.7)43 (69.4)82 (90.1)PaO2 within 6 hours of admission n (%)28.951<0.001*	Non-invasive oxygen inhalation	28 (18.3)	19 (30.6)	9 (9.9)		
PaO2 within 6 hours of admission n (%)2626202028.951<0.001*<60 mmHg	Tracheal intubation	125 (81.7)	43 (69.4)	82 (90.1)		
<60 mmHg	$PaO_2$ within 6 hours of admission n (%)				28.951	<0.001*
60~97.5 mmHg       63 (41.2)       15 (24.2)       48 (52.7)         97.5~200 mmHg       48 (31.4)       34 (54.8)       14 (15.4)         ≥200 mmHg       16 (10.5)       7 (11.3)       9 (9.9)         Ol within 6 hours of admission n (%)       20.074       <0.001*	<60 mmHg	26 (17.0)	6 (9.7)	20 (22.0)		
97.5~200 mmHg       48 (31.4)       34 (54.8)       14 (15.4)         ≥200 mmHg       16 (10.5)       7 (11.3)       9 (9.9)         Ol within 6 hours of admission n (%)        20.074       <0.001*	60~97.5 mmHg	63 (41.2)	15 (24.2)	48 (52.7)		
≥200 mmHg       16 (10.5)       7 (11.3)       9 (9.9)         Ol within 6 hours of admission n (%)       20.074       <0.001*	97.5~200 mmHg	48 (31.4)	34 (54.8)	14 (15.4)		
Ol within 6 hours of admission n (%)       20.074       <0.001*         <200	≥200 mmHg	16 (10.5)	7 (11.3)	9 (9.9)		
<200	OI within 6 hours of admission n (%)				20.074	<0.001*
200~300       35 (22.9)       18 (29.0)       17 (18.7)         ≥300       61 (39.9)       34 (54.8)       27 (29.7)	<200	57 (37.3)	10 (16.1)	47 (51.6)		
≥300 61 (39.9) 34 (54.8) 27 (29.7)	200~300	35 (22.9)	18 (29.0)	17 (18.7)		
	≥300	61 (39.9)	34 (54.8)	27 (29.7)		

GCS - Glasgow Coma Scale; ARDS - acute respiratory distress syndrome; PaO<sub>2</sub> - arterial partial pressure of oxygen; OI - oxygenation index. \* p<0.05 was considered significant.

Risk factors	OR	95% CI		P value
$PaO_2$ within 6 hours of admission n (%)				<0.001*
<60 mmHg vs 97.5~200 mmHg	5.314	1.134	24.905	0.034*
60~97.5 mmHg vs 97.5~200 mmHg	0.466	0.102	2.133	0.325
≥200 mmHg vs 97.5~200 mmHg	3.799	0.633	22.806	0.144
Male	3.454	1.177	10.138	0.024*
The admission GCS				<0.001*
Moderate TBI vs mild TBI	0.025	0.006	0.106	<0.001*
Severe TBI vs mild TBI	0.047	0.015	0.144	<0.001*

 Table 2. Logistic regression analysis of factors for prognosis of traumatic brain injury.

OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence interval; GCS - Glasgow Coma Scale; TBI - traumatic brain injury;  $PaO_2 - arterial partial pressure of oxygen. * p<0.05 was considered significant.$ 

with the outcome of the 153 patients with TBI are summarized. The following were significantly associated with poor TBI outcome: PaO<sub>2</sub> within 6 h of admission of <60 mmHg (odds ratio [OR], 5.314; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.134-24.905; P=0.034); male sex (OR, 3.454; 95% CI, 1.177-10.138; P=0.024); admission GCS of 9 to 12 (OR, 0.025; 95% CI, 0.006-0.106; P<0.001); and admission GCS 3 to 8 (OR, 0.047; 95% CI, 0.015-0.144; P<0.001).

# Discussion

 $PaO_2$  is one of the factors affecting the outcome of TBI. An increase or decrease in  $PaO_2$  will lead to an increase in the mortality of patients with TBI [10,15,16]. However, the recommended range of  $PaO_2$  in patients with TBI remains controversial. This study reviewed the  $PaO_2$  levels of 153 TBI patients within 6 h after admission to the NICU. The results showed that 17% of patients developed hypoxemia within 6 h after admission, and 77% of them had poor outcomes. More than half of the patients had  $PaO_2$  levels lower than 97.5 mmHg within 6 h after admission, and 76% of them had a poor outcome. Only 31.4% of patients had  $PaO_2$  levels of 97.5 to 200 mmHg within 6 h after admission, and 71% of them had a good outcome.

 $PaO_2 < 60 \text{ mmHg was a risk factor for poor prognosis in patients with TBI, whereas <math>PaO_2 60$  to 97.5 mmHg was not. Studies have reported that the occurrence and duration of hypoxemia in patients with TBI before admission and during treatment after admission significantly increases the mortality rate [17,18]. Some researchers used 60 mmHg as the threshold for hypoxemia in patients with TBI [19]. However, the  $PaO_2$  benefit interval is not equal to the definition interval of hypoxemia in patients with TBI. Davis et al reported that  $PaO_2 < 110 \text{ mmHg is associated with an increase in mortality [20] and reported that even if the patient survives TBI, delayed hypoxemia will exacerbate$ 

structural damage and cause long-term behavioral defects [21]. The "Guidelines for the Safe Transfer of Brain Injury" require patients with TBI to maintain  $PaO_2 > 97.5 \text{ mmHg}$  [6]. Although the guideline specifies the required  $PaO_2$  in the transfer process, it reflects that patients with TBI need a higher level of  $PaO_2$  in the acute stage. In the present study, we showed that in the 60 to 97.5 mmHg group, the proportion of patients with poor outcomes was enormous. Due to the similar results reported in many studies, clinicians still need to pay enough attention to  $PaO_2$  levels in the acute phase of TBI and maintain a high level.

Maintaining PaO, at 250 to 486 mmHg within 72 h after admission in patients with a severely high blood oxygen concentration is detrimental to the outcome of patients with TBI. However, the threshold of high blood oxygen concentration is still controversial. TBI can improve the in-hospital all-cause survival rate [12]. There are also reports in the literature stating that patients with TBI should maintain a PaO, level between 110 and 487 mmHg [20]. The present study showed that the outcome of patients in the  $PaO_2 \ge 200$  mmHg group was not significantly different from that of patients in the 97.5 to 200 mmHg group. It may be because 200 mmHg is not the cutoff value of high blood oxygen concentration, and there was still a good outcome in  $PaO_2 \ge 200 \text{ mmHg}$ , which agrees with previous literature [10,20,22-24]. Although the upper limit of PaO, cannot be determined, it widens the upper limit of PaO, to improve the outcome of patients with TBI.

Sex has a wide range of influencing factors in TBI. It has been reported that female sex hormones, including androgen and progesterone, have neuroprotective effects, and they act on the steroidal central nervous system to reduce nerve injury after TBI [25,26]. Bazarian et al believe that, in mild TBI, the prognosis of women is worse than that of men [27]. In the present study, male sex was a risk factor affecting the outcome of patients with TBI. We agree with the analysis of Mollayeva et al [28]: many factors influence the outcome of TBI by sex, which should be analyzed from multiple perspectives in the future.

GCS, as a common measure of the degree of coma and injury after TBI, has also been proven to be a predictor of TBI outcome [14,29,30]. It is worth noting that the present study did not analyze outcome according to the type of TBI. For instance, the outcome of acute epidural hemorrhage may be better than that of acute subdural hemorrhage or cerebral contusion and laceration, but when acute epidural hemorrhage causes cerebral hernia, the outcome is still poor. Therefore, we regard the admission GCS as the basis for judging the condition of the injury rather than the type of TBI. When the GCS was low, whether it was because of a severe brain injury or respiratory failure, it led to a poor outcome in patients. Our results showed that GCS on admission was an independent risk factor for the outcome of TBI.

TBI can cause a series of inflammatory reactions and cause acute lung injury [31,32], which can cause pulmonary ventilation disorders and even increase the fatality rate of TBI [33,34]. If the patient has a history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic bronchitis, lung surgery, or other chest and lung diseases before or after TBI, acute lung injury is worsened. In this study, the results showed that 34.0% of the patients with TBI had traumatic and non-traumatic chest diseases on admission. We believe that this may be due to some chest and lung diseases included in this study, such as rib fracture and a small amount of pulmonary exudation, which are not related to the outcome of patients with TBI. However, severe underlying lung diseases can cause hypoxemia, leading to poor recovery of neurological function [35]. Therefore, the oxygenation of patients with TBI combined with chest and lung diseases should gain the attention of clinicians.

Patients with TBI can have atelectasis a short time after DHC, leading to the decrease of PaO<sub>2</sub>. Although DHC can reduce mortality, intracranial pressure, and length of stay in patients with TBI, compared with drug therapy, patients with TBI who underwent DHC have a higher proportion of survival and poor outcomes [36]. The present study found no significant difference between DHC and drug treatment between the 2 groups, which may be because GOS at discharge was not enough to evaluate the impact of DHC on prognosis. In addition, the severity of patients who only needed to receive drug treatment was generally less than that of patients who needed DHC, and their airway self-protection ability and gas exchange function was better than those of patients after DHC to maintain good PaO, in the acute phase. Although DHC can increase the proportion of patients with poor prognosis, it can reduce the mortality of patients in the acute stage and is still one of the necessary means for the treatment of severe TBI.

Patients with a GCS ≤10 points who receive endotracheal intubation in the hospital can benefit from functional outcomes [37]. The present study showed that 90.1% of the patients with TBI in the poor outcome group were intubated at the time of admission to the NICU, and the airway status was significantly different among TBI patients with different prognoses. However, airway status in the acute phase was not associated with independent risk factors for outcome. This may have been because most patients with TBI were given tracheal intubation for surgery rather than for respiratory failure in the emergency room. On the other hand, most patients with TBI were admitted to the NICU after emergency surgery. Their consciousness disorder was challenging to recover in a short period, and they could not have the tracheal intubation removed quickly. The evaluation of tracheal intubation should be closely related to the evaluation time of GCS; therefore, the influence of airway status on the outcome of patients with TBI needs to be further explored.

This study has certain limitations. First, it is a single-center study. Second, the upper limit of high blood oxygen concentration is still uncertain. Third, this study should have collected preoperative GCS, which may be more representative than the GCS evaluated in the emergency room. Finally, whether patients with TBI receive tracheal intubation in the emergency room may be more significant than the postoperative state of tracheal intubation. Therefore, more large-scale multicenter studies are warranted to further investigate this issue.

# Conclusions

In summary,  $PaO_2 < 60$  mmHg within 6 h after admission was an independent risk factor for the outcome of TBI.  $PaO_2$  within 6 h after admission had a U-shaped effect on the outcome of patients with TBI. The upper limit value of  $PaO_2$  that affects the outcome of patients with TBI has not been determined. It is worthy of clinicians' attention to consider factors such as sex and admission GCS, which cause the decrease of  $PaO_2$  in patients with TBI.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

None declared.

## **Declaration of Figures Authenticity**

All figures submitted have been created by the authors who confirm that the images are original with no duplication and have not been previously published in whole or in part.

## **References:**

- Majdan M, Plancikova D, Brazinova A, et al. Epidemiology of traumatic brain injuries in Europe: A cross-sectional analysis. Lancet Public Health. 2016;1(2):e76-e83
- 2. Gao G, Wu X, Feng J, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes in patients with traumatic brain injury in China: A prospective, multicentre, longitudinal, observational study. Lancet Neurol. 2020;19(8):670-77
- Jiang JY, Gao GY, Feng JF, et al. Traumatic brain injury in China. Lancet Neurol. 2019;18(3):286-95
- 4. Hu PJ, Pittet JF, Kerby JD, et al. Acute brain trauma, lung injury, and pneumonia: More than just altered mental status and decreased airway protection. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2017;313(1):L1-115
- Carney N, Totten AM, O'Reilly C, et al. Guidelines for the management of severe traumatic brain injury, fourth edition. Neurosurgery. 2017;80(1):6-15
- Nathanson MH, Andrzejowski J, Dinsmore J, et al. Guidelines for safe transfer of the brain-injured patient: trauma and stroke, 2019: Guidelines from the Association of Anaesthetists and the Neuro Anaesthesia and Critical Care Society. Anaesthesia. 2020;75(2):234-46
- Brenner M, Stein D, Hu P, et al. Association between early hyperoxia and worse outcomes after traumatic brain injury. Arch Surg. 2012;147(11):1042-46
- Helmerhorst HJ, Roos-Blom MJ, van Westerloo DJ, de Jonge E. Association between arterial hyperoxia and outcome in subsets of critical illness: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression of cohort studies. Crit Care Med. 2015;43(7):1508-19
- Harpsø M, Granfeldt A, Løfgren B, Deakin CD. No effect of hyperoxia on outcome following major trauma. Open Access Emerg Med. 2019;11:57-63
- Alali AS, Temkin N, Vavilala MS, et al. Matching early arterial oxygenation to long-term outcome in severe traumatic brain injury: Target values. J Neurosurg. 2019;132(2):537-44
- 11. Hawryluk GWJ, Rubiano AM, Totten AM, et al. Guidelines for the management of severe traumatic brain injury: 2020 Update of the decompressive craniectomy recommendations. Neurosurgery. 2020;87(3):427-34
- 12. Asher SR, Curry P, Sharma D, et al. Survival advantage and PaO, threshold in severe traumatic brain injury. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2013;25(2):168-73
- Manley G, Knudson MM, Morabito D, et al. Hypotension, hypoxia, and head injury: Frequency, duration, and consequences. Arch Surg. 2001;136(10):1118-23
- Teasdale G, Jennett B. Assessment of coma and impaired consciousness. A practical scale. Lancet. 1974;2(7872):81-84
- 15. Qaseem A, Snow V, Fitterman N, et al. Risk assessment for and strategies to reduce perioperative pulmonary complications for patients undergoing noncardiothoracic surgery: A guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 2006;144(8):575-80
- 16. Kavanagh BP. Perioperative atelectasis. Minerva Anestesiol. 2008;74(6):285-87
- 17. Jones PA, Andrews PJ, Midgley S, et al. Measuring the burden of secondary insults in head-injured patients during intensive care. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 1994;6(1):4-14
- Chi JH, Knudson MM, Vassar MJ, et al. Prehospital hypoxia affects outcome in patients with traumatic brain injury: A prospective multicenter study. J Trauma. 2006;61(5):1134-41

- McHugh GS, Engel DC, Butcher I, et al. Prognostic value of secondary insults in traumatic brain injury: Results from the IMPACT study. J Neurotrauma. 2007;24(2):287-93
- Davis DP, Meade W, Sise MJ, et al. Both hypoxemia and extreme hyperoxemia may be detrimental in patients with severe traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2009;26(12):2217-23
- Davies M, Jacobs A, Brody DL, Friess SH. Delayed hypoxemia after traumatic brain injury exacerbates long-term behavioral deficits. J Neurotrauma. 2018;35(5):790-801
- 22. Raj R, Bendel S, Reinikainen M, et al. Hyperoxemia and long-term outcome after traumatic brain injury. Crit Care. 2013;17(4):R177
- 23. Fujita M, Oda Y, Yamashita S, et al. Early-stage hyperoxia is associated with favorable neurological outcomes and survival after severe traumatic brain injury: A post-hoc analysis of the Brain Hypothermia Study. J Neurotrauma. 2017;34(8):1565-70
- 24. Ó Briain D, Nickson C, Pilcher DV, Udy AA. Early hyperoxia in patients with traumatic brain injury admitted to intensive care in Australia and New Zealand: A retrospective multicenter cohort study. Neurocrit Care. 2018;29(3):443-51
- Arevalo MA, Azcoitia I, Garcia-Segura LM. The neuroprotective actions of oestradiol and oestrogen receptors. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2015;16(1):17-29
- Raghava N, Das BC, Ray SK. Neuroprotective effects of estrogen in CNS injuries: Insights from animal models. Neurosci Neuroecon. 2017;6:15-29
- Bazarian JJ, Blyth B, Mookerjee S, He H, McDermott MP. Sex differences in outcome after mild traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2010;27(3):527-39
- Mollayeva T, Mollayeva S, Colantonio A. Traumatic brain injury: Sex, gender and intersecting vulnerabilities. Nat Rev Neurol. 2018;14(12):711-22
- 29. Marmarou A, Lu J, Butcher I, et al. IMPACT database of traumatic brain injury: Design and description. J Neurotrauma. 2007;24(2):239-50
- 30. Baum J, Entezami P, Shah K, Medhkour A. Predictors of outcomes in traumatic brain injury. World Neurosurg. 2016;90:525-29
- 31. Johnson ER, Matthay MA. Acute lung injury: Epidemiology, pathogenesis, and treatment. J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv. 2010;23(4):243-52
- 32. Perl M, Lomas-Neira J, Venet F, et al. Pathogenesis of indirect (secondary) acute lung injury. Expert Rev Respir Med. 2011;5(1):115-26
- Leone M, Albanèse J, Rousseau S, et al. Pulmonary contusion in severe head trauma patients: Impact on gas exchange and outcome. Chest. 2003;124(6):2261-66
- Rincon F, Ghosh S, Dey S, et al. Impact of acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome after traumatic brain injury in the United States. Neurosurgery. 2012;71(4):795-803
- Orliaguet G, Rakotoniaina S, Meyer P, et al. [Effect of a lung contusion on the prognosis of severe head injury in the child]. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim. 2000;19(3):164-70 [in French]
- Lu G, Zhu L, Wang X, et al. Decompressive craniectomy for patients with traumatic brain injury: A pooled analysis of randomized controlled trials. World Neurosurg. 2020;133:e135-e48
- Gravesteijn BY, Sewalt CA, Nieboer D, et al. Tracheal intubation in traumatic brain injury: A multicentre prospective observational study. Br J Anaesth. 2020;125(4):505-17