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#### Abstract

In order to discover novel derivatives in the anti-tumor field, reported anti-tumor pharmacophores (uridine, uracil, and thymine) were combined with 2-methoxyestradiol, which has been characterized as having excellent biological properties in terms of anti-tumor activity. Thus, 20 hybrids were synthesized through etherification at the $17 \beta-\mathrm{OH}$ or 3 -phenolic hydroxyl group of 2-methoxyestradiol, and evaluated for their biological activities against the human breast adenocarcinoma MCF-7 cell lines, human breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cell lines, and the normal human liver L-O2 cell lines. As a result, all the uridine derivatives and single-access derivatives of uracil/thymine possessed good anti-proliferative activity against tested tumor cells (half maximal inhibitory concentration values from 3.89 to $19.32 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), while only one dual-access derivative (21b) of thymine possessed good anti-proliferative activity (half maximal inhibitory concentration $\approx 25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Among them, the uridine derivative 11 and the single-access derivative of uracil 12a possessed good anti-proliferative selectivity against tested tumor cells. Furthermore, basic mechanism studies revealed that hybrids $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 12a could induce apoptosis in MCF-7 cells through mitochondrial pathway. These hybrids induced morphological changes in MCF-7 cells, causing mitochondrial depolarization. These two hybrids also had the following effects: arrest of the cell cycle at the G2 phase; up regulation of Apaf-1, Bax, and cytochrome c; down regulation of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL for both mRNA and protein; and increase of the expression for caspase-8 and -9. Finally, apoptotic effector caspase-3 was increased, which eventually caused nuclear apoptosis at least through an intrinsic pathway in the mitochondria. Additionally, hybrids 11 and 12a could specifically bind to estradiol receptor alpha in a dose-dependent manner.
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## 1. Introduction

2-Methoxyestradiol (1, Figure 1), which is the methylation metabolite of 2-hydroxyetradiol under the action of catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT), has been elucidated as a highly potent
anti-proliferative metabolite against different tumor cells and can induce apoptosis both in vitro and in vivo [1-4]. It is a well-tolerated small molecule and has been used in several clinical trial development programs [5-7]. Furthermore, 2-methoxyestradiol has attracted considerable interest in terms of its biological activities, due to its anti-proliferation efficacy with lower toxicity [1,8]. Most biological studies have found that 2-methoxyestradiol possesses many marked anticarcinogenic properties without any undesirable estrogen activities [9,10] and is associated with the disruption of cellular events, such as affecting cell cycle events including arresting mitotic cells during metaphase by disrupting correct microtubule assembly, and inducing cellular alterations of apoptosis [9,11]. For example, 2-methoxyestradiol could induce apoptosis and arrest in the G2 phase of the cell cycle both against nasopharyngeal carcinoma [12] and human prostate cancer cell lines [13], inhibit the expression of caspase-3 [14], protect the brain from ischemic injury [15], and regulate the protein level of Bax or Bcl-2 [16].
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Figure 1. Chemical structures of 2-methoxyestradiol, uridine, uracil, and thymine.
Based on the published studies, 2-methoxyestradiol could inhibit the growth of different tumors cell lines [17-19], and the main model is breast cancer [20-24]. Furthermore, it has been indicated that 2-methoxyestradiol is selective to some specific cancer cell lines [9,11]. Furthermore, it has also been found that combination treatment with 2-methoxyestradiol could lead to a significant growth inhibition, which could not have been achieved with the individual components, especially with regards to additive or synergistic inhibition of cell proliferation [11,25]. Thus, there are many structure-activity relationship studies conducted with 2-methoxyestradiol as a lead compound, which consist of many estrogen-like molecules derived from various sources [20,26-28].

In recent years, uridine, uracil, and thymine (2,3, and 4, Figure 1) have attracted much interest due to their promising potential biological activities, and their modified derivatives have exhibited excellent activities, such as antibacterial, inhibition against 1,4- $\beta$-galactosyltransferase, antivirus, anticancer, and so on [29-36]. Our previous studies [37,38] have also shown that uridine/uracil/thymine attached to the natural compound oleanolic acid could afford derivatives that possess high anti-proliferative activities against tumor cell lines and exhibit clearly inducing effects on cell apoptosis.

Based on the above information, to the best of our knowledge, the hybridization of uridine/uracil/thymine and 2-methoxyestradiol is reasonable in the quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) study and has potential activities in anticancer therapy. Therefore, in this study, uridine/uracil/thymine was linked to 2-methoxyestradiol through varying lengths of carbon chains to afford several targeted 2-methoxyestradiol-uridine/uracil/thymine conjugates (Schemes 1 and 2). Their anti-tumor activities were evaluated to explore the structure-activity relationships of a series of derivatives from 2-methoxyestradiol.


Scheme 1. Synthetic pathway for derivatives of etherification of 2-methoxyestradiol at $17 \beta-\mathrm{OH}$.



Scheme 2. Synthetic pathway for derivatives of etherification of 2-methoxyestradiol at 3-phenolic hydroxyl.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Chemicals and Biology

All the chemical reagents and solvents used were of analytical grade and used without further purification unless specified. All commercial reagents were purchased from Aladdin (Shanghai, China) Industrial Corporation. Melting points were measured on a RY-1 melting point apparatus (TianJin, China). Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ ) and Carbon nuclear magnetic resonance $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV-500 ( $500 / 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) spectrometer (Fällanden, Switzerland). Chemical shifts were reported as values from an internal tetramethylsilane standard. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) measurements were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Accela Exactive high-resolution accurate mass spectrometer (Waltham, MA, USA) or an Agilent high-resolution liquid mass spectrometer (Santa Clara, CA, USA). All the tests of biological activities were conducted at Nanjing KeyGen Biotech Co. Ltd. (Nanjing, China).

### 2.2. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Methoxy-3-Benzyloxy-Estra-1,3,5 (10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-ol (5)

A solution of 2-methoxyestradiol $(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.661 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 1.322 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 3 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min , and benzyl chloride ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.322 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After stirring at room temperature for 24 h , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed successively with 1 N HCl , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography [39]. Yield: $0.213 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$, white solid, melting point $(\mathrm{mp}) 84-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.68$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $\left.=1: 4\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.16-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.73(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.10$ (s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 6.63$ and $6.86(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-4), 7.36$ (t, 2 $\mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-3, \mathrm{Ph}-5), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-2, \mathrm{Ph}-6)$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 393.2430$; found 393.2429 (see Supplementary Materials).

### 2.3. General Procedure I for Etherification at $17 \beta-O H$ from Compound 5

To a solution of compound $5(0.201 \mathrm{~g}, 0.511 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ stirred in an ice-water bath, $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%, 0.210 \mathrm{~g}, 5.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ was slowly added, and the reaction was continuing with stirring in the ice-water bath for 1 h . Then, $\alpha$, $\omega$-dibromoalkane ( 5.11 mmol ) was added, and the ice-water bath was removed. After stirring at room temperature for 24 h , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed successively with 1 N HCl , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography.

### 2.3.1. $17 \beta$-(6-Bromohexyloxy)-2-Methoxy-3-Benzyloxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene (6)

Prepared from compound $5(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.511 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,6-dibromohexane ( $0.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to general procedure I. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. Yield: $0.260 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$, white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 114-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.8$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=3: 1$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.37-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.36-3.52(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapping, 5 H , $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{H}-17\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 6.63$ and $6.85(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$, $\mathrm{H}-1), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-4), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-3, \mathrm{Ph}-5), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-2$, Ph-6). HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}, 555.2474$; found 555.2287.

### 2.3.2. $17 \beta$-(8-Bromooctyloxy)-2-Methoxy-3-Benzyloxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene (7)

Prepared from compound $5(0.268 \mathrm{~g}, 0.683 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,8-dibromooctane ( $1.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to general procedure I. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. Yield: $0.350 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$, white solid, mp $121-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.75$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=3: 1$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.32-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.39-3.41(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapping, 5 H , $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{H}-17\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 6.62,6.84(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1)$, 7.29 (t, 1 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Ph-4), 7.36 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-3, \mathrm{Ph}-5), 7.44$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-2, \mathrm{Ph}-6$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.7,15.3,23.1,26.2,28.1,28.7,29.3,29.8,30.2,33.4,34.0,38.2,38.6,43.4$, $44.3,50.3,56.4,61.9,66.1,70.2,70.9,71.2,74.1,89.1,109.9,114.7,127.3,127.7,128.5,128.9,137.5,146.3$, 147.6. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{BrNaO}_{3}, 605.2606$; found 605.2609.

### 2.4. General Procedure II for Deprotection of the Benzyl Group

To a solution of compound $6 / 7(0.900 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 0.050 \mathrm{~g})$ was added. After stirring in an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at room temperature for 2.5 h , the mixture was filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography.

### 2.4.1. $17 \beta$-(6-Bromohexyloxy)-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-ol (8)

Prepared from compound $6(0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 0.900 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure II. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. Yield: $0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$, white solid, mp $101-103{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.26$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=10: 1) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.26-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 21$ H), $2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.36-3.56\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapping, $\left.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{H}-17\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.43$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 6.65 and $6.80(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.7,23.1,25.5$, $26.8,27.3,28.0,28.2,29.0,30.0,32.6,32.8,33.8,33.9,38.1,38.6,43.4,44.3,50.3,56.1,69.9,89.1,100.1$, 108.2, 114.6, 129.6, 131.9, 143.5, 144.6. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + Na] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{Br} \mathrm{NaO} 3,487.1824$; found 487.1803.

### 2.4.2. $17 \beta$-(8-Bromooctyloxy)-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-ol (9)

Prepared from compound $7(0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure II. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. Yield: $0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$, mp $107-109^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.38$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=10: 1) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.25-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H, H-6), 3.35-3.49 (m, overlapping, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{H}-17$ ), $3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$, 6.64 and 6.79 ( 2 s, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-1$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.7,23.1,26.2,26.8,27.3,28.1$, $28.2,28.7,29.0,29.3,30.2,32.8,34.0,38.1,38.6,43.3,44.3,50.3,56.1,70.2,89.1,108.1,109.8,114.6,129.6$, 131.9, 143.4, 144.6. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M - H] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}, 491.2167$; found 491.2175.

### 2.5. General Procedure III for N-Alkylation of Uridine/Uracil/Thymine

To a solution of compound $8 / 9(0.730 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(8 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and uridine/uracil/thymine ( 2.19 mmol ) were added. After stirring at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed successively with 1 N HCl , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography.

### 2.5.1. $17 \beta$-[6-(1- $\beta$-d-Ribofuranosyluracil-3-yl)-Hexyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-ol (10)

Prepared from compound $8(0.340 \mathrm{~g}, 0.730 \mathrm{mmol})$ and uridine $(0.535 \mathrm{~g}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. Yield: $0.320 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$, white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 79-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.59\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}=6: 1\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.15-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.43\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapping, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-17\right), 3.85$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9\right.$ and $\left.3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}\right), 4.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime}\right)$, $4.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}\right), 5.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime \prime}\right), 5.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\text {Uri }}\right)$, 6.62 and 6.78 ( 2 s, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1$ ), $7.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6{ }^{U r i}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $11.7,13.7,19.1,23.0,25.9,26.7,28.19,29.0,30.5,38.1,38.6,43.3,44.2,50.3,56.1,62.1,65.5,70.1,71.0,75.1$, 85.9, 89.0, $93.7,101.9,108.1,114.6,128.8,130.9,138.6,143.4,144.5$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}, 663.3048$; found 663.3060.

### 2.5.2. $17 \beta$-[8-(1- $\beta$-d-Ribofuranosyluracil-3-yl)-Octyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-ol (11)

Prepared from compound $9(0.262 \mathrm{~g}, 0.530 \mathrm{mmol})$ and uridine $(0.389 \mathrm{~g}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. Yield: $0.266 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$, white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 95-96^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.60\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}=6: 1\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.17-2.23 (m, 25 H ), $2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.37-3.47$ ( m , overlapping, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-17$ ), $3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.94-4.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime}\right), 5.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime \prime}\right)$, $5.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{2} 5^{\text {Uri }}\right), 6.62$ and $6.78(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1), 7.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Uri }}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.6,15.2,23.0,26.1,26.8,27.4,28.1,29.30,29.6,30.1,38.1,38.6,41.2,43.3$, $44.2,50.2,56.0,62.0,66.1,70.8,85.7,89.0,93.6,101.9,108.1,114.6,129.5,131.8,138.7,144.5,151.7,162.6$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}, 657.3751$; found 657.3742.
2.5.3. $17 \beta$-[6-(Uracil-3-yl)-Hexyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-ol (12a) and 1,3-bis-\{6-[2-Methoxy-3-Hydroxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-yl-oxy]-Hexyl\}-Uracil (12b)

Prepared from compound $8(0.419 \mathrm{~g}, 0.918 \mathrm{mmol})$ and uracil $(0.302 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 12a (white solid, $0.342 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ) and $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ (white solid, $0.126 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \%$ ).

Compound 12a: $\mathrm{mp} 76-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.37$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=1: 4$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.15-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.35(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17)$, $3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H-5 ${ }^{\text {Ura }}$ ), 6.63, 6.78 ( 2 s , each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1$ ), $7.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Ura }}\right), 8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.7,23.0,25.9,26.3,26.7,27.3,28.2,29.0,29.9,38.1,38.6,43.3,44.2,48.8,50.2,56.0$, 69.8, 89.1, 102.1, 108.1, 114.6, 129.5, 131.8, 143.42, 144.5, 150.7, 163.6. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 497.3015$; found 497.3004.

Compound 12b: mp 71-73 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.66$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=1: 4$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.28-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 42 \mathrm{H}), 2.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right), 3.35(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-17^{\prime}\right), 3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ ) , $3.72\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.93\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 5.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\mathrm{Ura}}\right), 6.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-1$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 7.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\mathrm{Ura}}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.7,23.1,26.0,26.3,26.8$, $27.3,28.2,29.0,38.1,38.6,41.2,43.3,44.3,49.8,50.3,56.1,69.8,70.1,89.0,89.1,101.6,108.1,114.6,129.6$, 131.8, 131.9, 142.1, 143.4, 143.5, 144.5, 151.4, 163.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{77} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$, 881.5680; found 881.5665.
2.5.4. $17 \beta$-[6-(5-Methyl-Uracil-3-yl)-Hexyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-ol (13a) and 1,3-Bis-\{6-[2-Methoxy-3-Hydroxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-yl-oxy]-Hexyl\}-5-Methyl-Uracil (13b)

Prepared from compound $8(0.177 \mathrm{~g}, 0.381 \mathrm{mmol})$ and thymine $(0.144 \mathrm{~g}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 13a (white solid, $0.114 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%$ ) and 13b (white solid, $0.072 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ ).

Compound 13a: mp $80-82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.29$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=1: 2$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.16-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.35(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17), 3.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.69\left(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.50$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 6.63 and $6.78(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1), 6.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Thy }}\right), 8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.7,12.4,15.3,23.1,25.9,26.3,28.2,29.1,30.0,38.1,38.6,43.3,44.2,48.5,50.3,56.1$, $66.1,69.9,70.4,89.1,108.1,110.5,114.6,129.5,131.8,140.4,143.4,144.5,150.7,164.1$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 511.3172$; found 511.3190.

Compound 13b: $\mathrm{mp} 84-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.58$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=1: 2$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.19-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 42 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6), 3.34-3.52$ ( m , overlapping, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-17,2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $3.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.95(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), $5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OH}), 6.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 6.96$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Thy }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.6,13.1,15.2,23.0,25.9,26.7,27.3,27.59,27.8,28.2,29.0$, 29.1, 29.6, 29.7, 30.0, 30.1, 38.1, 38.6, 41.4, 41.5, 43.3, 43.4, 44.3, 49.4, 49.5, 50.2, 56.1, 66.0, 66.1, 69.9, 70.0, $70.4,70.6,89.0,89.1,108.1,109.7,114.6,129.5,131.8,131.9,138.3,143.4,144.5,151.4,163.8$. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}, 929.5447$; found 929.5464.
2.5.5. $17 \beta$-[8-(Uracil-3-yl)-Octyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-ol (14a) and 1,3-Bis-\{8-[2-Methoxy-3-Hydroxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-yl-Oxy]-Octyl\}-Uracil (14b)

Prepared from compound $9(0.474 \mathrm{~g}, 0.960 \mathrm{mmol})$ and uracil $(0.215 \mathrm{~g}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 14a (white solid, $0.181 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%$ ) and $\mathbf{1 4 b}$ (white solid, $0.192 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ ).

Compound 14a: $\mathrm{mp} 68-69^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.14$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=1: 1$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.33-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17), 3.45$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.70(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$\left.J=7.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{-5}{ }^{\text {Ura }}\right)$, 6.63 and $6.78(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1), 7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Ura }}\right), 8.98$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.7,26.2,26.4,26.8,28.2,29.0,29.1,29.2,29.3,30.2,38.1$, $38.6,43.3,44.2,48.9,50.3,56.1,70.1,89.1,102.1,108.1,114.6,129.5,131.8,143.4,144.5,144.6,150.7,163.6$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, 523.3172; found 523.3149.

Compound 14b: mp 73-75 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.43$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=1: 1$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.18-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 50 \mathrm{H}), 2.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right), 3.36(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}-17, \mathrm{H}-17^{\prime}\right), 3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ ) , $3.71\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.92(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OH}), 5.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\mathrm{Ura}}\right), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.78$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}$ ), $7.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\mathrm{Ura}}\right.$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.7$, 23.0, 26.1, 26.7, 27.3, 28.2, 29.0, 29.2, 29.3, 29.4, 38.1, 38.6, 41.3, 43.3, 44.3, 49.8, 50.3, 56.0, 68.9, 70.2, 81.9, 89.0, 101.5, 108.0, 114.6, 129.5, 142.0, 143.4, 144.5, 151.4, 163.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{85} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}, 937.6306$; found 937.6302.
2.5.6. $17 \beta$-[8-(5-Methyl-Uracil-3-yl)-Octyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-ol (15a) and 1,3-bis-\{8-[2-Methoxy-3-Hydroxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-yl-oxy]-Octyl\}-5-Methyl-Uracil (15b)

Prepared from compound $9(0.415 \mathrm{~g}, 0.840 \mathrm{mmol})$ and thymine $(0.321 \mathrm{~g}, 2.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 15a (white solid, $0.156 \mathrm{~g}, 34 \%$ ) and $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ (white solid, $0.264 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \%$ ).

Compound 15a: mp 71-73 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.28$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=1: 1$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.19-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17), 3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 6.63$ and $6.78(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1), 6.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Thy }}\right), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.7,12.3,23.0,26.4,26.7,27.3,28.2,29.1,29.3,30.1,38.1,38.6,43.3,44.2,48.5,50.3,56.0,70.1$, 89.0, 108.1, 110.5, 114.6, 129.5, 131.8, 140.4, 143.4, 144.5, 150.7, 164.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M - H] ${ }^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 537.3328$; found 537.3302.

Compound 15b: mp $73-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.61$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=1: 1$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.17-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 50 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.36(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17, \mathrm{H}-17^{\prime}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.93\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OH}), 6.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}$ ), 6.94 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6{ }^{\text {Thy }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.6,13.1,23.0,26.1,26.7,27.0$, $27.3,28.2,29.0,29.1,30.2,38.1,38.6,41.5,43.3,44.2,50.3,56.0,70.2,77.0,89.0,108.0,109.6,114.6,129.5$, 131.9, 138.3, 143.4, 144.5, 151.3, 163.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + Cl] ${ }^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{86} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}, 985.6073$; found 985.6037.

### 2.6. General Procedure IV for Etherification at 3-Phenolic Hydroxyl

To a solution of 2-methoxyestradiol ( 1.65 mmol ) and $\mathrm{KOH}(3.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(6 \mathrm{~mL}), \alpha$, $\omega$-dibromoalkane ( 4.96 mmol ) were added under ice-water bath. After stirring at room temperature for 12 h , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed successively with 1 N HCl , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography.

### 2.6.1. 2-Methoxy-3-(6-Bromohexyloxy)-Estra-1,3,5 (10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-ol (16)

Prepared from 2-methoxyestradiol ( $0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,6-dibromohexane ( 0.760 mL , 4.96 mmol ) according to general procedure IV. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. Yield: $0.720 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$, white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 99-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.50$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=3: 1$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.17-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{BrCH}_{2}\right), 3.73(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17), 3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.98\left(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, 6.59 and 6.83 ( 2 s , each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1$ ). HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}, 465.2004$; found 465.1995 .

### 2.6.2. 2-Methoxy-3-(8-Bromooctyloxy)-Estra-1,3,5 (10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-ol (17)

Prepared from 2-methoxyestradiol ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.331 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,8-dibromooctane $(0.180 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.992 mmol ) according to general procedure IV. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. Yield: $0.130 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$, white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 103-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.38$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=3: 1) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.26-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-6), 3.41\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{BrCH}_{2}\right), 3.73(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17), 3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.97(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 6.59 and $6.83(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1)$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}, 493.2317$; found 493.2310.

### 2.7. According to General Procedure III to Afford Compounds 18-23a/23b

### 2.7.1. 3-[6-(1- $\beta$-d-Ribofuranosyluracil-3-yl)-Hexyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-ol (18)

Prepared from compound $16(0.086 \mathrm{~g}, 0.184 \mathrm{mmol})$ and uridine $(0.136 \mathrm{~g}, 0.554 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. Yield: $0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$, white solid, mp $99-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.60\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}=6: 1\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.21-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.33(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.74(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.84-3.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime \prime}\right), 4.20\left(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}\right), 4.33$ (brs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{OH}), 4.38$ (brs, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime}\right), 5.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime \prime}\right), 5.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\text {Uri }}\right), 6.62$ and 6.82 (2 s, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1), 7.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Uri }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.3,13.2,14.2$, $18.4,21.1,23.1,26.5,27.4,29.2,30.6,33.6,36.7,38.8,41.1,44.2,50.0,56.3,60.4,61.9,68.9,70.6,75.0,81.9$, 85.7, $93.4,105.3,109.7,113.7,130.0,132.4,138.8,147.2,151.7,162.6$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}, 629.3438$; found 629.3443 .

### 2.7.2. 3-[8-(1- $\beta$-d-Ribofuranosyluracil-3-yl)-Octyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-ol (19)

Prepared from compound $17(0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 0.279 \mathrm{mmol})$ and uridine $(0.205 \mathrm{~g}, 0.838 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography. Yield: $0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$, white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 93-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.45\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}=6: 1\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.09-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.73(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.80-3.97 ( m , overlapping, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime \prime}$ ), 4.17 (brs, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}\right), 4.31-4.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime}\right), 5.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime \prime}\right), 5.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\text {Uri }}\right), 6.58$ and $6.81(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1), 7.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Uri }}\right.$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.1,23.1,25.8,26.5$, $26.8,27.3,27.4,29.0,29.1,29.2,30.5,36.7,38.8,41.2,43.2,44.2,56.3,61.9,69.1,70.6,75.1,81.9,85.6,93.3$, 101.9, 109.7, 113.7, 128.9, 132.3, 138.7, 146.5, 147.2, 151.7, 162.6. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}, 657.3751$; found 657.3745 .
2.7.3. 3-[6-(Uracil-3-yl)-Hexyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-ol (20a) and 1,3-Bis-\{6-[2-Methoxy-17 $\beta$-Hydroxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-yl-Oxy]-Hexyl\}-Uracil (20b)

Prepared from compound $16(0.120 \mathrm{~g}, 0.258 \mathrm{mmol})$ and uracil ( $0.087 \mathrm{~g}, 0.774 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 20a (white solid, $0.043 \mathrm{~g}, 34 \%$ ) and 20b (white solid, $0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \%$ ).

Compound 20a: mp $99-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.18$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=1: 4$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.15-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-17, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.97\left(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\mathrm{Ura}}\right), 6.59$ and $6.83(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1), 7.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\mathrm{Ura}}\right), 9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.1$, $23.1,25.6,26.1,26.4,26.5,27.4,28.6,28.9,29.0,30.6,36.8,38.8,43.3,44.3,48.8,50.0,68.7,69.5,81.9,102.1$, 109.7, 113.8, 128.9, 132.5, 144.5, 147.3, 150.8, 163.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, 497.3015; found 497.3021.

Compound 20b: mp $93-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.34$ (Petroleum ether: EtOAc $=1: 4$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.61-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 42 \mathrm{H}), 2.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right), 3.72$ (m, overlapping, $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-17$ and $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$\left.J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\text {Ura }}\right), 6.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.81$ and $6.82\left(2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\mathrm{Ura}}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.1,23.1,24.8,25.6,25.7,26.1,26.5,26.7,27.6$, $29.0,29.1,30.6,35.6,36.7,38.8,41.2,43.3,44.2,49.9,50.0,56.2,56.3,68.6,68.8,81.9,101.6,109.5,109.6$, 113.6, 128.8, 132.2, 132.4, 142.1, 146.4, 146.5, 147.3, 151.4, 163.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{77} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}, 881.5680$; found 881.5709.
2.7.4. 3-[6-(5-Methyl-Uracil-3-yl)-Hexyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-ol (21a) and 1,3-bis-\{6-[2-Methoxy-17ß-Hydroxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-yl-oxy]-Hexyl\}-5-Methyl-Uracil (21b)

Prepared from compound $16(0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 0.236 \mathrm{mmol})$ and thymine $(0.089 \mathrm{~g}, 0.708 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 21a (white solid, $0.043 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ) and 21b (white solid, $0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \%$ ).

Compound 21a: $\operatorname{mp} 82-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.37$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=1: 3$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.16-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-17$, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.58$ and $6.82(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1)$, 6.97 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\mathrm{Thy}}$ ), $8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.1,12.4,23.1,25.6,26.1,26.5$, $27.4,29.0,29.2,30.6,36.8,38.8,43.3,44.2,48.5,45.0,56.2,68.6,81.9,109.5,110.6,113.6,128.8,132.4,140.5$, 146.4, 147.3, 150.8, 164.3. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 511.3172$; found 511.3174 .

Compound 21b: mp 79-81 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.56$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=1: 3$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.19-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 42 \mathrm{H}), 2.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right), 3.66(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-17), 3.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, 6.57 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ ), 6.82 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}$ ), $6.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6^{T h y}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.1,13.1,23.1,25.7,26.5,27.4,29.0,29.2,30.6,32.7,36.8,38.8,41.4,43.3,44.3,49.4,50.0,56.2,62.9$, $68.6,68.8,81.9,113.6,128.8,147.3,163.8$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{79} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}, 895.5836$; found 895.5841.
2.7.5. 3-[8-(Uracil-3-yl)-Octyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-17 $\beta$-ol (22a) and 1,3-Bis-\{8-[2-Methoxy-17ß-Hydroxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-yl-Oxy]-Octyl\}-Uracil (22b)

Prepared from compound $17(0.280 \mathrm{~g}, 0.567 \mathrm{mmol})$ and uracil ( $0.192 \mathrm{~g}, 1.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 22a (white solid, $0.146 \mathrm{~g}, 49 \%$ ) and 22b (white solid, $0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ ).

Compound 22a: $\mathrm{mp} 68-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.47$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=1: 4$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.15-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-17, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\text {Ura }}\right), 6.58$ and $6.82(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1), 7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Ura }}\right.$ ), $8.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 11.1, 23.1, 25.9, 26.4, 26.5, 27.4, 29.0, 29.1, 29.2, 29.3, 30.6, 36.8, 38.8, 43.3, 44.2, 48.9, 50.0, 55.3, 68.9, 81.9, 102.1, 109.5, 113.6, 128.8, 132.3, 144.5, 146.5, 147.3, 150.7, 163.6. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 525.3328$; found 525.3323.

Compound 22b: mp $74-76^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.59$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=1: 4$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.17-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 50 \mathrm{H}), 2.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right), 3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-17$ and $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right)$, 6.82 and $6.83\left(2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 5.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\text {Ura }}\right), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Ura }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.1,23.1,25.9,26.4,27.4,29.2,29.3,30.6,36.8,38.8,43.3,44.2$, $50.0,56.3,65.6,68.9,81.9,101.6,109.6,113.6,128.8,132.3,142.1,146.6,147.3,151.4,163.2$. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{85} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}, 937.6306$; found 937.6293.
2.7.6. 3-[8-(5-Methyl-Uracil-3-yl)-Octyloxy]-2-Methoxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-17ß-ol (23a) and 1,3-bis-\{8-[2-Methoxy-17 $\beta$-Hydroxy-Estra-1,3,5(10)-Triene-3-yl-Oxy]-octyl\}-5-Methyl-Uracil (23b)

Prepared from compound $17(0.280 \mathrm{~g}, 0.567 \mathrm{mmol})$ and thymine $(0.216 \mathrm{~g}, 1.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to general procedure III. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to afford 23a (white solid, $0.168 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ) and 23b (white solid, $0.120 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%$ ).

Compound 23a: $\mathrm{mp} 79-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.30$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=1: 3$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.21-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.73(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.58$ and $6.81(2 \mathrm{~s}$, each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-1), 6.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Thy }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.1$, $12.3,23.1,25.9,26.3,26.5,27.3,29.1,29.2,29.3,30.6,36.7,38.8,43.3,44.2,48.5,50.0,56.2,68.9,77.0,81.9$, 109.6, 110.5, 113.6, 128.8, 132.3, 140.4, 146.5, 147.2, 150.7, 164.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 539.3485$; found 539.3481.

Compound 23b: mp 75-76 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.50$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=1: 3$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.16-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 50 \mathrm{H}), 2.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right), 3.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-17$ and $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.93-3.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ H, H-4 and H-4'), 6.82 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ and H-1'), 6.94 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\text {Thy }}$ ). HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}, 951.6462$; found 951.6458 .

### 2.8. In Vitro Cytotoxicity

Human breast adenocarcinoma MCF-7 cell lines, human breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cell lines, and the normal human liver L-O2 cell lines were provided by Nanjing KeyGen Biotech Co. Ltd. (Nanjing, China). MCF-7 cells were cultured in a base medium ( $90 \%$ RPMI-1640 and $10 \% \mathrm{FBS}$ ) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and a saturated humidity atmosphere. MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in a base medium ( $90 \% \mathrm{~L}-50$ and $10 \% \mathrm{FBS}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and a saturated humidity atmosphere. L-O2 cells were cultured in a base medium ( $90 \%$ DMEM and $10 \% \mathrm{FBS}$ ) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and a saturated humidity atmosphere.

The cytotoxicity of the tested compounds was determined using the 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2-H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay [40]. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates and incubated in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When the cells adhered, compounds at different concentrations were added to every well. After incubation for another 72 h , MTT solution ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was added into each well and cells were incubated for an additional 4 h . The viable cells were stained with MTT and scanned with an electrophotometer at 570 nm . Each concentration treatment was done in triplicate wells. The half maximal inhibitory concentration $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ values were estimated by fitting the inhibition data to a dose-dependent curve using a logistic derivative equation.

### 2.9. Hoechst 33,258 Staining

The nuclear morphological changes and apoptotic effects induced by compound 11/12a were detected using Hoechst 33,258 staining. Cells grown on a sterile cover slip were treated with 11/12a for 72 h in six-well tissue culture plates. Cells were collected and mounted on a slide, fixed for 30 min with $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde, and washed three times with PBS. Then, cells were stained with Hoechst 33,258 ( $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS) for 10 min at room temperature in the dark. The cells were subsequently washed three times with PBS and observed with a fluorescence microscope.

### 2.10. Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Analysis

MCF-7 cells were incubated in triplicate and treated with compound 11/12a for 72 h . The cells were washed with PBS and stained with JC-1 dye (Keygen, KGA601) under dark conditions according to the manufacturer's instructions. After incubation, the cells were washed twice with PBS, and the percentage of cells with collapsed or healthy mitochondrial membrane potentials (MMP) was monitored by flow cytometry analysis (FACS Calibur Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, California, USA).

### 2.11. Cell Cycle Assay

MCF-7 cells at a density of $5 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well were seeded in 6-well plates and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator for 24 h . Exponentially growing cells were treated with compound 11/12a $(0,5,10$, and $20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 72 h . Then, the cells were harvested and fixed with $70 \%$ precooled ethanol at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Later, the cells were centrifuged and washed with cold PBS. $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RNase A was added, and then bathed in water for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently, cells were stained by $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$
of propidium iodide (PI) for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark. Finally, cellular DNA was measured using a flow cytometry (FACS Calibur Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, California, USA).

### 2.12. Cell Apoptosis Analysis

MCF-7 cells at a density of $2 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well were seeded on each well of 6 -well plates and allowed to grow overnight. Then the cells were treated with compound 11/12a (5,10, and $20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 72 h , while cells without treatment were used as control group. The treated cells were trypsinized and washed with cold PBS twice, then centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5 min and resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ annexin $V$ binding buffer. $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Annexin V-allophycocyanin (APC) was added, and the mixture was incubated in the dark at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . Then, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 7-AAD was added just prior to acquisition. Apoptosis was analyzed by Annexin V-APC and 7-AAD double staining by flow cytometry (FACS Calibur Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, Califormia, USA).

### 2.13. Immunohistochemical (IHC) Analysis

MCF-7 cells were seeded in culture plates and treated with compound $\mathbf{1 1} / \mathbf{1 2 a}(0,5,10$, and $20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 72 h . Cells were collected and mounted on a slide, fixed for 30 min with $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde, and washed three times with PBS. 1\% Triton X-100 was added to each cell sample, and it was permeabilized at room temperature for 10 min , then washed three times with PBS. Two drops of $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-methanol solution were added to each cell section. After being blocked at room temperature for 10 min , the section was washed three times with PBS. Then, $50-100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ready-to-use goat serum was added and cells were incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Before the cell section was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h in a wet box, $50-100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a primary antibody (1:200 dilution) against estradiol receptor alpha ( $\mathrm{ER} \alpha$ ) (Bs-1427R, Bioss Co. Ltd., Beijing, China) was added, and then washed three times with PBS. Subsequently, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of enhancer was added and cells were incubated for 30 min at room temperature, then washed three times with PBS. Fifty microliters of a universal IgG antibody-Fab fragment-HRP multimer was added dropwise, and the mixture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then soaked and washed three times with PBS. After 2 drops of freshly prepared DAB (DAB-1031, MaXi Biotech Co. Ltd., FuZhou, China) solution were added to each cell section, the dyeing depth was observed under the microscope. The dyeing was stopped immediately if the dyeing depth is appropriate, and the cells gently rinsed with tap water for 15 min , then the color reaction was terminated with distilled water. Next, the cell sections were stained by hematoxylin dye solution for 10 min , and rinsed with distilled water. The cell sections were soaked in $70 \%$ ethanol, $85 \%$ ethanol, $95 \%$ ethanol, and absolute ethanol for 5 min respectively, then soaked twice in fresh xylene for 10 min each time. After drying, neutral gum was added to the cell sections and the coverslip was covered. Lastly, the expression situation of protein in tissue cells was observed under a light microscope, and three high-expression areas were selected, photographed, and stored.

### 2.14. Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction

RNA was isolated using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA). cDNA was made via the manufacturer's instructions with the first chain of a cDNA synthesizing kit (Fermentas, Lithuania). Real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed on a Da An Gene DA7600 Real Time machine using Da An Gene incorporation (ZhongShan, China). The $2^{-\Delta \Delta C T}$ method was used to calculate the relative levels of gene expression using Da An Gene DA7600 QPCR software v3.00 (Da An Gene). The GAPDH (human) expression was used as the internal control. A standard melting-curve cycle was used to confirm the quality of amplification. The reactions were performed in triplicate for each sample. The gene-specific primers were designed with the Primer5 BLAST software by GenScript Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, China):

1 GAPDH (human): primer (122 bp),

- $\quad$ Sense primer: $5^{\prime}$ ATCATCCCTGCCTCTACTGG 3'
- Antisense primer: $5^{\prime}$ GTCAGGTCCACCACTGACAC 3'

Apaf-1 (human): primer (134 bp),

- Sense primer: $5^{\prime}$ TTCAGCAGAAGCTCTCCAAA $3^{\prime}$
- Antisense primer: $5^{\prime}$ CCCTGGGAAACAACCTTCTA $3^{\prime}$

Bax (human): primer (112 bp),

- Sense primer: $5^{\prime}$ TTGCTTCAGGGTTTCATCC $3^{\prime}$
- Antisense primer: 5' GACACTCGCTCAGCTTCTTG 3'

Bcl-2 (human): primer (116 bp),

- Sense primer: $5^{\prime}$ GGCCTCTGTTTGATTTCTCC $3^{\prime}$
- Antisense primer: $5^{\prime}$ GCAGGCATGTTGACTTCACT $3^{\prime}$

Bcl-xL (human): primer (124 bp),

- $\quad$ Sense primer: $5^{\prime}$ CTATGGGAACAATGCAGCAG $3^{\prime}$
- Antisense primer: $5^{\prime}$ TGGTCATTTCCGACTGAAGA 3'

Caspase-3 (human): primer (131 bp),

- Sense primer: $5^{\prime}$ AGCACTGGAATGACATCTCG $3^{\prime}$
- Antisense primer: $5^{\prime}$ CGCATCAATTCCACAATTTC $3^{\prime}$

Caspase-8 (human): primer (122 bp),

- $\quad$ Sense primer: $5^{\prime}$ CTCCAAATGCAAACTGGATG 3'
- Antisense primer: $5^{\prime}$ TGTTGATTTGGGCACAGACT $3^{\prime}$

Caspase-9 (human): primer (136 bp),

- Sense primer: $5^{\prime}$ AGACCCAGGTCCAGATGAAG $3^{\prime}$
- Antisense primer: $5^{\prime}$ TTTCTGGGAAGGGACAGAAG 3'

9 Cytochrome c (human): primer (127 bp),

- Sense primer: 5' GACTCCTGACCTCGTGATCC 3'
- Antisense primer: $5^{\prime}$ TCTGTGCCAACACAGACCTT $3^{\prime}$


### 2.15. Western Blotting

After the treatment of MCF-7 cells with compound 11/12a ( $0,5,10$, and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for 72 h , the total proteins of MCF-7 cells were extracted and their concentrations were balanced to the same level by BCA protein assay reagent (KGP902, KeyGen Biotech Co. Ltd., Nanjing, China), followed by 8 min of protein denaturation with SDS loading buffer at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes, which were blocked with 5 mL of $5 \%$ fat-free dry milk in $1 \times$ Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing $0.05 \%$ Tween 20 for 2 h . Then the membranes were incubated with Apaf- 1 (Abcam, no: ab234436), Bax (Abcam, no: ab32503), Bcl-2 (Abcam, no: ab692), Bcl-xL (Abcam, no: ab32370), caspase-3 (Abcam, no: ab184787), caspase-8 (Abcam, no: ab25901), caspase-9 (Abcam, no: ab219590), cytochrome c (Abcam, no: ab133504), and $\beta$-actin (Abcam, no: ab8226) antibodies at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, followed by treatment with secondary horseradish-peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbitIgG (KeyGen Biotech Co. Ltd., no: KGAA35) for 2 h . Membranes were finally scanned in a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad) after 2 min incubation in Clarity Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad).

### 2.16. Modeling

The 3D QSAR study was generated by using 20 molecules from synthesis, 2-methoxyestradiol (1) and their negative logarithm of the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value ( $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ ) of MCF-7, performed with Surflex (Version 4.4) using leave-one-out cross validation method as previously described [41]. Twenty randomly selected molecules were calculated by Surflex-Quansa to build a 3D QSAR model (number of molecules to select for core multiple-alignment $=10$ ) by leaving a single molecule out. The $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ of the left out one was predicted by the built model, and the $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ was calculated for each molecule by running this method 21 times.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Synthesis

The synthesis of hybrids $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 5 b}$ and 18-23b was commenced with 2-methoxyestradiol as outlined in Schemes 1 and 2. 2-Methoxyestradiol was reacted with benzyl chloride under the presence of sodium hydroxide to afford 3-phenolic hydroxyl protected compound 5 ( $82 \%$ ). Then 17 -hydroxyl was etherified with corresponding $\alpha, \omega$-dibromoalkanes under the alkali of sodium hydride to prepare compounds 6 ( $92 \%$ ) and 7 ( $88 \%$ ). This was followed by deprotection with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ under a hydrogen atmosphere to generate compounds $8(88 \%)$ and $9(90 \%)$. Finally, compounds 8 and 9 were, respectively, treated with uridine/uracil/thymine under potassium carbonate by means of nucleophilic substitution reaction to afford targeted hybrids 10-15b at yields of 16-77\% (Scheme 1). 2-Methoxyestradiol was also firstly etherified with the corresponding $\alpha, \omega$-dibromoalkanes under potassium hydroxide to generate compounds 16 ( $94 \%$ ) and 17 ( $80 \%$ ). Subsequently, a nucleophilic substitution reaction was carried out with uridine/uracil/thymine, respectively, under the presence of potassium carbonate to target hybrids 18-23b at yields of 19-91\% (Scheme 2). Targeted compounds 10-15b and 18-23b have been fully characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy and high resolution mass spectrometry.

### 3.2. Biological Evaluation

### 3.2.1. In Vitro Cytotoxicity

Human breast cancer cell lines including the estrogen receptor-positive cell lines (MCF-7) and the estrogen receptor-negative cell lines (MDA-MB-231), were found to be sensitive to the growth-inhibitory actions of 2-methoxyestradiol [21,42]. Thus, the target compounds $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 5 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 8} \mathbf{- 2 3 b}$ were investigated for their anti-tumor activity in vitro with MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines using MTT assay [40]. 5-FU, which is used clinically as a medication in the cancer field, was used as a positive control in this study. In order to understand their selectivity towards cancerous cell lines vs. a healthy cell line, these compounds were also evaluated for their inherent toxicity in healthy human liver cell line (L-O2). The results are presented in Table 1.

In the sub-group of uridine derivatives $\mathbf{( 1 0 , 1 1 , 1 8}$, and $\mathbf{1 9 )}$, these compounds exhibited significant anti-proliferative activities at $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values from $3.89 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $16.68 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Compared with $5-\mathrm{FU}$, these uridine derivatives have similar activity against MCF-7 cell line, but much better activity against the MDA-MB-231 cell line. Meanwhile compared with 2-methoxyestradiol, these uridine derivatives possess slightly less activity against both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines. It is interesting that all these uridine derivatives exhibited much lower activities than 2-methoxyestradiol against healthy cell line L-O2. Particularly, compound 11 (eight carbon chain linked to the 17-O position of 2-methoxyestradiol) exhibited almost 7-fold selectivity towards cancerous cell lines (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231) vs the healthy cell line (L-O2).

Table 1. Evaluation of target compounds against different cell lines.

| Compounds | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MCF-7 | MDA-MB-231 $^{\prime}$ | L-O2 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $16.68 \pm 0.30$ | $13.17 \pm 1.09$ | $35.19 \pm 0.42$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $13.89 \pm 0.16$ | $13.97 \pm 1.08$ | $>100$ |
| 12a | $11.25 \pm 0.46$ | $13.03 \pm 0.38$ | $69.34 \pm 4.37$ |
| 13a | $16.64 \pm 1.26$ | $13.29 \pm 0.16$ | $51.36 \pm 1.47$ |
| 14a | $9.22 \pm 0.21$ | $8.00 \pm 0.41$ | $17.52 \pm 0.69$ |
| 15a | $12.12 \pm 0.45$ | $19.32 \pm 1.54$ | $52.62 \pm 1.82$ |
| 12b | $>100$ | $>100$ | No |
| 13b | $>100$ | $>100$ | No |
| 14b | $>100$ | $>100$ | No |
| 15b | $>100$ | $>100$ | No |
| 18 | $6.21 \pm 0.37$ | $3.89 \pm 0.36$ | $21.26 \pm 0.66$ |
| 19 | $7.87 \pm 0.42$ | $10.44 \pm 0.07$ | $32.83 \pm 0.65$ |
| 20a | $17.92 \pm 0.22$ | $13.96 \pm 1.69$ | $38.51 \pm 1.87$ |
| 21a | $9.97 \pm 0.39$ | $7.34 \pm 0.56$ | $28.16 \pm 0.57$ |
| 22a | $10.26 \pm 0.37$ | $9.58 \pm 0.98$ | $25.86 \pm 1.82$ |
| 23a | $8.75 \pm 0.22$ | $12.73 \pm 0.22$ | $25.48 \pm 1.86$ |
| 20b | $>100$ | $>100$ | No |
| 21b | $28.38 \pm 1.76$ | $23.76 \pm 1.45$ | $>100$ |
| 22b | $>100$ | $>100$ | No |
| 23b | $>100$ | $>100$ | No |
| 1 | $1.51 \pm 0.04$ | $1.86 \pm 0.02$ | $4.70 \pm 0.45$ |
| 5-FU | $8.87 \pm 0.59$ | $61.87 \pm 2.23$ | $>100$ |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are presented as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ (standard deviation) from three separated experiments. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ No inhibition detected.

In the sub-groups of uracil/thymine derivatives (12a-15b and 20a-23b), only dual-access compound 21b exhibited good anti-proliferative activities and about 4 -fold selectivity towards cancerous cell lines (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231) vs healthy cell line (L-O2). All the other dual-access products ( $\mathbf{1 2 b} \mathbf{- 1 5 b}, \mathbf{2 0 b}, \mathbf{2 2 b}$, and $\mathbf{2 3 b}$ ) showed complete loss of anti-proliferative activity. Meanwhile all the single-access products (12a-15a and 20a-23a) showed significant anti-proliferative activities at $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values from $7.34 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $19.32 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and good toleration of selectivity towards cancerous cell lines. In these uracil/thymine derivatives, compound 12a possesses good anti-proliferative activity and the highest selectivity (about 6-fold).

With these biological and selectivity results, hybrids 11 and 12a were chosen for further study of their mechanism on MCF-7 cell line.

### 3.2.2. Hoechst 33258 Staining

MCF-7 cells were treated with compound 11/12a at $0,5,10$, and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively for 72 h , followed by membrane-permeable Hoechst 33258 staining (Figure 2). The changes on the cell morphology could be clearly observed after exposure to each compound under the concentration gradient. It was found that the MCF-7 cells treated with higher concentrations of compound 11/12a displayed stronger blue fluorescence (Figure 2) indicating the appearance of apoptosis.


Figure 2. Morphological observation of MCF-7 cells stained by Hoechst 33258, treated by compound 11/12a for 72 h in three separated experiments, respectively.

### 3.2.3. Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Analysis

As the mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) is regarded to be characteristic for cell apoptosis, the membrane potential assay was carried out by flow cytometry to discuss whether compound 11/12a might induce apoptosis on MCF-7 cells via mitochondrial pathway. The fluorescent probe JC- 1 was used to detect the changes in mitochondrial membrane potentials. As shown in Figure 3, when MCF-7 cells were treated with 11 at concentrations of $0,5,10$, and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 72 h , the number of MCF-7 cells with collapsed MMP increased from $0.47 \%$ to $7.95 \%, 14.13 \%$, and $38.88 \%$, respectively. When MCF-7 cells were treated with 12a at concentrations of $0,5,10$, and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 72 h , the number of MCF-7 cells with collapsed MMP increased from $0.46 \%$ to $8.78 \%, 14.56 \%$ and $39.75 \%$, respectively. The results suggested that 11 and 12a could cause mitochondrial depolarization of MCF-7 cells in the process of apoptosis.


Figure 3. Changes in mitochondrial membrane potential caused by compound 11/12a on MCF-7 cells. Incubation with different concentrations of 11/12a in MCF-7 cells for 72 h prior to staining with JC-1 dye; the number of cells with collapsed mitochondrial membrane potentials was determined by flow cytometry.

### 3.2.4. Cell Cycle Analysis

Compounds 11 and 12a were examined for the effect on cell cycle distribution using a propidium iodide (PI) staining kit (KeyGen, KGA511). MCF-7 cells were treated respectively with compound 11/12a ( $0,5,10$, and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for 72 h , then stained with PI and analyzed on a flow cytometry.

As shown in Figure 4, compound 11 led to a significant accumulation of cells at the G2 phase, depending on concentration, from $2.65 \%$ (control) to $8.32 \%(5 \mu \mathrm{M}), 15.48 \%(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and $24.11 \%(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$, accordingly. At the same time, it reduced the cells at the G1 phase from 69.94 to $42.84 \%$. The same phenomenon was observed on compound 12a. Its ability to arrest the cell cycle at the G2 phase increased from $5.81 \%$ (control) to $7.97 \%(5 \mu \mathrm{M}), 13.93 \%(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and $22.46 \%(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in a dose-dependent manner, while the G1 phase was decreased from 68.17 to $54.41 \%$. These results demonstrated that both 11 and 12a could induce cell cycle arrest at the G2 phase in a dose-dependent manner.


Figure 4. Inhibition of cell cycle progress on MCF-7 cells treated with compound 11/12a for 72 h . Cells were fixed with ethanol and stained with propidium iodide. Cell cycle distribution was analyzed by a flow cytometry.

### 3.2.5. Cell Apoptosis Analysis

The effects of compound 11/12a on MCF-7 cell apoptosis were further evaluated in an Annexin V-APC/7-AAD double staining assay and analyzed by a flow cytometry. As shown in Figure 5, the percentage of apoptotic cells after 72 h treatment was only $5.02 \%$ in the control group without any treatments, while the total numbers of early and late apoptotic cells increased to $13.21 \%, 33.24 \%$, and $67.74 \%$ after treatment with 11 at 5,10 , and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. A similar situation occurred in 12a. Compared with the control ( $5.44 \%$, early and late apoptosis), the apoptosis rates increased when treated with 12a, which gradually increased from $12.1 \%$ to $30.86 \%$ and $67.28 \%$ at concentrations of 5, 10 , and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. These results confirmed that compound 11/12a effectively induced cell apoptosis in MCF-7 cells in a dose-dependent manner.


Figure 5. Apoptosis ratio detection by Annexin V-APC/7-AAD double staining assay, analyzed by a flow cytometry on MCF-7 cells treated with compound 11/12a for 72 h , respectively. The UL area represents damaged cells appearing in the process of cell collection, the UR area represents necrotic cells and later period apoptotic cells, the LR area represents early apoptotic cells, and normal cells are located in the LL area.

### 3.2.6. Immunohistochemical Analysis

To evaluate the interaction between the selected compounds and estradiol receptor alpha (ER $\alpha$ ), immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis was carried out on MCF-7 breast cancer cells by an EliVision plus kit (kit-9902, MaXi Biotech Co. Ltd., FuZhou, China). With the increase in the concentration of compound 11/12a, the color of the nuclear became deeper (Figure 6A), and the IOD value became much higher and far exceeded the control (Figure 6B). These results indicated that there was some interaction (specific binding) between the selected compounds (11 and 12a) and the ER $\alpha$, which increased in a dose-dependent manner.


Figure 6. Immunohistochemistry of ER $\alpha$ in MCF-7 breast cancer cells treated with compound 11/12a at different concentrations ( 5,10 , and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), respectively, and a group without treatment as the control.
(A) The observed phenomenon with the treatment of compound 11 and 12a in MCF-7 cells. (B) The IOD value detected in the treated MCF-7 cells.

### 3.2.7. Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction Analysis

In order to investigate the intrinsic mechanism of the apoptosis effects produced by the selected compounds at gene level, real-time PCR (RT-PCR) analysis was conducted on MCF-7 breast cancer cells treated with compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 12a at concentrations of 5,10 , and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. GAPDH was used as a loading control. As shown in Figure 7, the expression levels of Apaf-1, Bax, caspase-3, caspase-8, caspase-9, and cytochrome c were upregulated after treatment with $\mathbf{1 1} / \mathbf{1 2 a}$, respectively;
meanwhile, the expression levels of $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ were downregulated. In addition, they were significantly enhanced/decreased with the increase in concentration.


Figure 7. mRNA expressions of Apaf-1 (A), Bax (B), Bcl-2 (C), Bcl-Xl (D) caspase-3 (E), caspase-8 (F), caspase- $9(\mathbf{G})$, and cytochrome $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{H})$ by RT-PCR in MCF-7 breast cancer cells treated with compound 11/12a at concentrations of 5,10 , and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. GAPDH was used as a loading control.

### 3.2.8. Western Blotting Assay

To further explore the apoptosis mechanism of MCF-7 cells caused by compounds $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 12a, we examined the expressions of Apaf-1, Bax, Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, caspase-3, caspase-8, caspase-9, and cytochrome c proteins after treatment with 11/12a (Figure 8) by western blotting assay. $\beta$-Actin was used as a loading control. As shown in Figure 8, the expressions of Apaf-1, Bax, caspase-3, caspase-8, caspase-9, and cytochrome c proteins increased in a dose-dependent manner after treatment with 11/12a, whereas the expressions of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL were obviously decreased with the increase in the compound's concentration. It was indicated that both of compounds 11 and 12a could up regulate the expression of Apaf-1, Bax, and cytochrome c downregulate the expression of $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ and increase the expression of caspases (caspase-3, caspase-8, and caspase-9) in MCF-7 cells. Therefore, compounds 11 and 12a could induce intrinsic apoptosis in MCF-7 cells and this result is consistent with previous results.


Figure 8. The protein expressions of Apaf-1, Bax, Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, caspase-3, caspase-8, caspase-9, and cytochrome c in MCF-7 cells treated with compounds 11/12a in western blotting assay. Cells were treated with various concentrations ( $0,5,10$, and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of 11/12a for 72 h .

### 3.3. Modeling

A 3D QSAR study was generated by using these 21 molecules and their $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ value of MCF-7, performed with Surflex-Quansa using the leave-one-out cross validation method as previously described [41]. The molecules with low activity ( $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ of MCF-7 $<4$ ) were in correlation with predicted values. For the most 13 active molecules, the predicted $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values are very similar compared with the experimental $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values indicating a good QSAR model (leave-one-out cross-validation correlation coefficient $\mathrm{q}^{2}=0.54$, and standard error of estimate SEE $=0.2$; Table 2).

Table 2. Comparison of predicted $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ by 3D QSAR with experimental values.

| Compounds | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\text {a }}$ for MCF-7 | $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}{ }^{\text {b }}$ for MCF-7 | Predicted $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $16.68 \pm 0.30$ | 4.78 | 4.84 |
| 11 | $13.89 \pm 0.16$ | 4.86 | 4.72 |
| 12a | $11.25 \pm 0.46$ | 4.95 | 5.43 |
| 13a | $16.64 \pm 1.26$ | 4.78 | 5.98 |
| 14a | $9.22 \pm 0.21$ | 5.04 | 5.96 |
| 15a | $12.12 \pm 0.45$ | 4.92 | 4.51 |
| 12b | $>100$ | <4 | 1.94 |
| 13b | $>100$ | <4 | 1.58 |
| 14b | $>100$ | <4 | -1.71 |
| 15b | $>100$ | <4 | 1.64 |
| 18 | $6.21 \pm 0.37$ | 5.21 | 6.16 |
| 19 | $7.87 \pm 0.42$ | 5.10 | 5.79 |
| 20a | $17.92 \pm 0.22$ | 4.75 | 4.78 |
| 21a | $9.97 \pm 0.39$ | 5.00 | 6.04 |
| 22a | $10.26 \pm 0.37$ | 4.99 | 5.65 |
| 23a | $8.75 \pm 0.22$ | 5.06 | 4.74 |
| 20b | $>100$ | <4 | 3.91 |
| 21 b | $28.38 \pm 1.76$ | 4.55 | -0.80 |
| 22b | $>100$ | <4 | -1.05 |
| 23b | >100 | <4 | -0.07 |
| 1 | $1.51 \pm 0.04$ | 5.82 | 7.10 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are presented as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ (standard deviation) from three separated experiments. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{pIC}_{50}=$ $-\log \left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right) .{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Predicted $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ is calculated by the leave-one-out cross validation method (Surflex-quansa).

Figure 9 demonstrates the learned response function values of the active set of 13 molecules $\left(\mathrm{pIC}_{50}\right.$ of MCF-7 value $>4$ ) in their final best optimal poses. The pocket-field (sticks colored purple-coulombic response; green—steric; red—acceptor; blue-donor) was generated automatically by using ligand structure and MCF-7 activity information. These molecules were well aligned, especially for the common part of 2-methoxyestradiol.


Figure 9. Alignment of molecules (line) and pocket-field ( $50 \%$ transparent stick). The pocket-field: sticks colored purple-coulombic response; green-steric; red-acceptor; blue-donor constructed by using ligand structure and MCF-7 activity information. Image generated by pymol [43].

This model helps us to predict the changes in biological activity caused by the ligand structural modifications, demonstrates ligand-receptor interactions that may be associated with our experimentally observed SAR, and finally provides us with guidance for further compound design and optimization.

## 4. Conclusions

In the present study, a total of 20 targeted 2-methoxyestradiol-uridine/uracil/thymine hybrids were synthesized and characterized inspired by the biological activities of 2-methoxyestradiol and uridine/uracil/thymine in anti-tumor field. The results indicated that compounds $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 12a could inhibit proliferation and possessed good selectivity between breast tumor cell lines (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231) and a human normal cell line (L-O2) compared with the other derivatives. Moreover, hybrids 11 and 12a could cause depolarized mitochondria and induce cell cycle arrest at the G2 phase in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, 11 and 12a could induce apoptosis through upregulation of Apaf-1, Bax, caspase-3, caspase-8, caspase-9, and cytochrome c , and downregulation of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL either at gene or protein level. Additionally, 11 and 12a could specific bind to the estradiol receptor alpha in a dose-dependent manner. All in all, the 2-methoxyestradiol derivatives- $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 12a exhibit good potential antitumor properties as apoptosis inducers and are expected to be further developed.
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