Revised: 7 March 2018

REVIEW

Effects of mesenchymal stem cells transplantation on cognitive deficits in animal models of Alzheimer's disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Meiling Ge^{1,2} | Yunxia Zhang³ | Qiukui Hao¹ | Yunli Zhao¹ | Birong Dong¹

¹The Center of Gerontology and Geriatrics, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

²Collaborative Innovation Center of Sichuan for Elderly Care and Health, Sichuan, China

³Chengdu Medical College, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

Correspondence

Birong Dong, The Center of Gerontology and Geriatrics, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China. Email: birongdong@163.com

Abstract

Background: Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a globally prevalent neurodegenerative disease, clinically characterized by progressive memory loss and gradual impairment of cognitive functions. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) transplantation has been considered a possible therapeutic method for Alzheimer's disease (AD). However, no quantitative data synthesis of MSC therapy for AD exists. We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to study the effects of MSCs on cognitive deficits in animal models of AD.

Methods: We identified eligible studies published from January 1980 to January 2017 by searching four electronic databases (PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, CNKI). The endpoint was the effects of MSCs on cognitive performance evaluated by the Morris water maze (MWM) test including escape latency, and/or number of platform crossing, and/or time in the target quadrant.

Results: Nine preclinical studies incorporating 225 animals with AD were included for the meta-analysis. The studies indicated that MSC-based treatment significantly improved the learning function through measurements of the escape latency (SMD = -0.99, 95% CI = -1.33 to -0.64, p < .00001). Additionally, we observed that transplantation of MSCs significantly increased the number of platform crossing in six experiments (SMD = 0.78, 95% CI = 0.43 to 1.13, p < .0001). What's more, the times in the target quadrant were increased in five studies indicated that transplantation of MSCs could ameliorate the cognitive impairments (SMD = 1.06, 95% CI = 0.46to 1.67, p = .0005).

Conclusions: This study showed that MSC transplantation could reduce cognitive deficits in AD models. These findings support the further studies to translate MSCs in the treatment of AD in humans

KEYWORDS

Alzheimer's disease, animal models, cognitive deficits, mesenchymal stem cells, meta-analysis, morris water maze

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2018 The Authors. Brain and Behavior published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD), the leading cause of dementia, is an agerelated neurodegenerative disease. Clinical symptoms include the progressive cognitive function decline, memory loss, and behavior deficit. AD is considered as a major public health concern and a leading cause of disability (Castellani, Rolston, & Smith, 2010; Gjoneska et al., 2015; Stygelbout et al., 2014). According to the data from the European Prevention of Alzheimer's Dementia (EPAD), currently more than 40 million people worldwide have suffered from AD and its prevalence is expected to double over the next 20 years (Ritchie et al., 2016). Besides, the increasing prevalence of AD represents a global challenge at personal, social, and economic levels (Karran & Hardy, 2014). In 2015, World Alzheimer Report estimated that the total worldwide cost to treat dementia is about \$818 billion, and the number is expected to rise to trillion by 2018 (Prince, Wimo, Guerchet, Ali, & Wu, 2015). Unfortunately, today there is no effective therapy to treat or even slow down the progression of AD (Cummings, Morstorf, & Zhong, 2014; Holtzman, Morris, & Goate, 2011; Pharmacology, 2010). Therefore, development of novel treatment strategies for AD is of great clinical significance.

In recent years, different cell replacement therapies have been tested in both animal models and clinical trials and become a promising approach to treat comprehensive human diseases, such as ischemic heart disease, autoimmune diseases, and neurological disorders (Bel et al., 2003; Gratwohl et al., 2010; Kaigler et al., 2013). A number of reports on stem cell transplantation in AD animal models indicate improvement in cognitive and memory performances and increased neuronal survival. Among various stem cells, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), a group of multipotent stem cells and immune-suppressive cells, are the most widely used and offer great promise to treat AD (Caplan & Correa, 2011; Uccelli, Moretta, & Pistoia, 2008). Therapeutic effects of transplantation of MSCs into a murine model of AD have been reported. These studies suggest that the transplantation of MSCs can stimulate neurogenesis in the brains of adult rodents and possibly hinder AD development (Lee, Jin, & Bae, 2010; Massoud & Gauthier, 2010; Shindo, 2006).

However, the source of cells, the administration dose, the site of transplantation, and quality score in each study are so divergent that the overall therapeutic effect is difficult to evaluate. Therefore, the optimal patterns of cell therapy and the actual effects of MSCs on AD remain unclear. In order to clarify the current situation and further studies in MSC therapy as a treatment for AD, we performed this systematic review and meta-analysis of all available experimental evidence to identify the efficacy of MSC-based therapies on cognitive impairment in animal models of AD. We will assess the effect of MSC transplantation on cognitive performance by evaluating the performance of various mouse strains in the Morris water maze (MWM) test. The MWM test is a well-established tool for measuring spatial learning and memory in mouse, and widely used in AD research (Vorhees & Williams, 2006).

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Systematic search

We performed a systematic review to examine the effects of unmodified MSCs on behavioral outcomes in preclinical AD animal models. The following databases were searched in January 2017: PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, and CNKI, using the terms "Alzheimer's disease" OR "Alzheimer disease" OR "dementia" AND "mesenchymal stem cell(s) OR "mesenchymal stem cell" OR "MSC" OR "MSCs" AND "animal" OR "animal models." All the searches were limited to literatures published between January 1980 and January 2017.

2.2 | Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were included if they met all of the following criteria: (1) use of unmodified MSCs in at least one experimental group; (2) animal models of AD were assigned to either a group for the topical or systemic transplantation of MSCs or a control group (placebo (saline, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) or vehicle); (3) use of MWM test to measure behavioral response to treatment; (4) available in English or Chinese language; and (5) original data (not a review).

We excluded studies testing stem cells other than MSCs and studies with incomplete reporting of data or sample size. The flow of information from identification to inclusion of studies is summarized in Figure 1.

2.3 | Data extraction

Two authors (Meiling Ge and Yunxia Zhang) independently appraised all titles and abstracts and then full-text articles. The following information was extracted from text and graphs in each included study: article information(author and publication year), animal species, animal sex, type of AD models, stem cell treatment modalities (source of MSCs, quantity, delivery method used for transplantation, and the duration of the outcome assessment.

The MWM test was used to assess cognition function in all the studies included in this analysis. Detailed methodology is as previously described by Vorhees CV (Vorhees & Williams, 2006). The digital pickup camera was used to record animal behaviors including escape latency, and/or number of platform crossing, and/or time in the target quadrant. When neurobehavioral tests were performed serially, only the final time-point data were extracted. If data were expressed only graphically, original data were requested from the authors; if a response was not received, data were measured using digital ruler software (Engauge Digitizer 4.1). If one study examined different AD models or MSC doses, then these data were extracted and treated as independent experiments.

2.4 | Quality assessment

We assessed the quality of the included studies based on a checklist of the Collaborative Approach to Meta-Analysis and Review



FIGURE 1 Flow diagram of the search process

of Animal Data from Experimental Studies (CAMARADES), universally applicable to preclinical studies (Zhang, Xing, Ye, Ai, & Wei, 2006). One point was given for evidence of each quality criterion. The quality of all studies was assessed independently by two reviewers.

The criteria included the followings: (1) publication in a peerreviewed journal; (2) presence of randomization; (3) the clear characteristics of the (species, background, sex, and age); (4) blinded assessment of behavioral outcome; (5) the specific age at which MSCs were transplanted; (6) the administration route was specified; (7) indication of the number of MSCs; (8) conduction of pretreatment behavioral assessment; (9) statement of potential conflict of interests; and (10) use of suitable animal models (Table 2).

2.5 | Statistical analysis

According to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, we considered the outcomes as continuous data. Continuous outcomes measured on the same scale were expressed as a mean value and SD and were analyzed using standard -WILEY

mean differences (SMD). For cognitive functions (escape latency, number of platform crossing, and time spent in the target quadrant in the MWM test), we quantified the effect of treatment by calculating outcome ratios of the experimental groups to their corresponding control groups. To take the heterogeneity between multistudies into account, a random-effects model was performed to estimate the combined effect sizes. Q statistic and I-square (I^2) test were performed to assess the impact of study heterogeneity on the results of the meta-analysis. *p* value <.1 and I^2 value of >50% were considered statistically significant. Forest plot was generated to depict the SMD along with its 95% confidence interval for each study as well as the pooled mean difference by combining all studies.

Finally, publication bias was explored by funnel plot. All analyses were performed with Stata software (version 5.3, Review Manager).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Study selection

Among the 363 publications reviewed, 251 potentially relevant papers were screened. Of these, 32 met our inclusion criteria and then 23 studies were excluded due to inadequate reporting of data that is required to calculate summary-effect measure outcome. Therefore, nine studies investigating the therapeutic effect of MSCs on cognitive deficits in AD animal models were included in the meta-analysis (Banik, Prabhakar, Kalra, & Anand, 2015; Cui et al., 2017; Fengxian, Wm, & Ling, 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2012; Lee, Lee, et al., 2010; Li, Rilong, & Yue, 2012; Yang, Yang, et al., 2013; Yang, Yue, et al., 2013). The published studies range from 2010 to 2017.

3.2 | Study characteristics

Of the nine included studies, two were published in Chinese academic journals and the remainders were published in English. These studies were all preclinical studies in small animal models of AD (mouse). Six studies were performed with transgenic model, and three studies used A β -infused model. Three studies used only males, and the other studies used both genders. Human umbilical cord (four studies) was the most frequently used MSC tissue source, followed by human umbilical cord blood (three studies), and bone marrow and human placenta amniotic membrane (one study, respectively). MSC transplantation was achieved mainly by stereotaxic injection (four studies). Four studies performed tail vein injection, and one study performed intracardiac injection (Table 1).

Although all the included studies used MWM test to assess cognitive function, among these studies different subtests were used: All the studies measured escape latency; six studies measured the number of platform crossing to assess spatial learning function; five studies assessed the spatial learning function through measuring the time in the target quadrant.

Outcome Index	. Escape latency	. Escape latency 2. Number of platform crossing	l. Escape latency	 L. Escape latency P. Number of platform crossing Time in the target quadrant 	 L. Escape latency P. Number of platform crossing Time in the target quadrant 	L. Escape latency	 L. Escape latency P. Number of platform crossing Time in the target quadrant 	 Escape latency Number of platform crossing Time spent in the target quadrant 	 . Escape latency . Number of platform crossing 3. Time in the target quadrant
Follow-up period	5 days	10 days	5 days	5 days	5 days	5 days	4 weeks	6 days	7 days
oute of administration	tereotaxic(hippocampus)	tereotaxic (hippocampus)	njected into the tail vein	njected into the tail vein	ıtracardiac injection	njected into the tail vein	tereotaxic (hippocampus)	tereotaxic (hippocampus)	njected into the tail vein
Number of MSC injected	1 × 10 ⁴ S	1 × 10 ⁵ S	1×10^{6} Ir	1-2 × 10 ⁶ Ir	0.7 × 10 ⁶ Ir	2×10^{6} Ir	1.5 × 10 ⁶ S	1 × 10 ⁵ S	2 × 10 ⁶ ir
Type of MSC	hUCB-MSC	hUCB-MSC	BM-MSC	hUC-MSC	hUC-MSC	A-MSC	hUC-MSC	hUCB-MSC	hUC-MSC
AD model	$A\beta$ infused Model	Transgenic Model	Aβ infused Model	Transgenic Model	Transgenic Model	Transgenic Model	Transgenic Model	Aß infused Model	Transgenic Model
Sex	M/F	M/F	M/F	Σ	Σ	M/F	A/F	A/F	Σ
Species	Mouse	Mouse	Mouse	Mouse	Mouse	Mouse	Mouse	Mouse	Mouse
References	Lee, Lee, et al. (2010)	Lee et al. (2012)	Li et al. (2012)	Yang, Yue, et al. (2013)	Yang, Yang, et al. (2013)	Kim et al. (2013)	Fengxian et al. (2013)	Banik et al. (2015)	Cui et al. (2017)

4 of 10 WILEY_Brain and Behavior

 TABLE 1
 Characteristics of included studies

M, Male; F, Female; hUCB-MSCs, human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells; hUC-MSCs, human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells; BM-MSC, bone marrow-derived mesen-chymal stem cells; A-MSC, amniotic mesenchymal stem cells.

WILEY

3.3 | Methodological quality of studies

Table 2 shows the methodological quality of the enrolled studies. The score of the included studies quality ranged from 8 to 12 of a total 13 points. Four studies reported the randomization of animals into treatment groups, but did not mention the method of randomization. All studies stated the potential conflict of interests. All the studies showed that outcome measurements were assessed by computer program which was blind to the treatment conditions. Moreover, no studies described the sample size calculation to confirm that sufficient power had been achieved. The lowest score was eight items (11.11%), and the highest score was 12 items (11.11%).

3.4 | Meta-analysis

All the data for meta-analysis were expressed graphically, and the Engauge Digitizer 4.1 was used to calculate the mean and standard error. The effects of MSC transplantation on cognitive deficits in AD models using the MWM test were examined in nine comparisons of nine included studies involving 225 animals. Nine studies reported the effect of MSC transplantation on decreasing escape latency compared with the control group (Banik et al., 2015; Cui et al., 2017; Fengxian et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012; Peng, Xing, Yang, Wang, & Wang, 2014; Yang, Yang, et al., 2013; Yang, Yue, et al., 2013). The pooled analysis indicated significant effectiveness in the ability of learning through measurements of the escape latency, which was the time that the mice in the maze successfully found the hidden platform (SMD = -0.99, 95% Cl = -1.33 to -0.64, *p* <.00001). There was no heterogeneity among studies ($l^2 = 29\%$, *p* = .19) (Figure 2a).

Additionally, six studies reported the impact of MSC transplantation on increasing number of platform crossing compared with the control group (Banik et al., 2015; Cui et al., 2017; Fengxian et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Li et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2014; Yang, Yang, et al., 2013; Yang, Yue, et al., 2013). We observed that transplantation of MSCs significantly increased the number of platform crossing in six experiments (SMD = 0.78, 95% CI = 0.43 to 1.13, p < .0001). The outcome that had no heterogeneity ($l^2 = 14\%$, p = .32) was observed across the studies (Figure 2b).

What's more, five studies reported the impact of MSC transplantation on increasing time in target quadrant compared with the control group. (Banik et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2013; Peng et al., 2014; Yang, Yang, et al., 2013), and the data indicated significant effectiveness of MSCs compared with the control group (SMD = 1.06, 95% CI = 0.46 to 1.67, p = .0005. heterogeneity, l^2 = 61%, p = .04) (Figure 2c).

3.5 | Stratified analysis

To further explore the potential influence of study design on the beneficial effect of MSCs on AD, we further performed stratified analyses based on animal gender, type of AD model, type of MSCs, route of admission, and doses of MSCs. The results of the stratified analyses are described in Table 3.

Firstly, the protective effects of MSCs on escape latency were examined. After treatment, escape latency was remarkable improved in both stereotaxic injection of MSCs (SMD = -1.16, 95% Cl: -1.99 to -0.33, p = .006) and intravenous injection (SMD = -0.96, 95% Cl: -1.37 to -0.55, p < .00001). However, intracardiac injection of MSCs did not significantly affect the escape latency in animals of AD (SMD = -0.76, 95% Cl: -1.51-0.02; p = .05). Other study characteristics, such as the type of AD models, the type of MSCs, and doses of MSCs appeared to have no significant influence on the benefits of MSC transplantation on escape latency. Secondly, we examined the effects of MSCs on examined the number of platform crossing. Doses of MSCs make no difference on increasing the number of platform crossing. Among the transgenic AD model, significant

			3											Quality	
References	1	2	а	b	с	d	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	score (items)	Quality score (%)
Hyun (2010)	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	11	44.4							
Hyun (2012)	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	10	33.3
Li et al. (2012)	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	12	11.1						
Yang, Yue, et al. (2013)	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	No	No	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	8	11.1
Yang, Yang, et al. (2013)	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	10	33.3
Kyung (2013)	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	11	44.4							
Sun (2013)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	11	44.4
Avijit (2015)	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	No	\checkmark	\checkmark	10	33.3
Cui et al. (2017)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	No	No	\checkmark	11	44.4						

TABLE 2 Quality assessment of the included studies

 $\sqrt{}$ = fulfilling the criterion, no = not fulfilling the criterion. 1: publication in a peer-reviewed journal; 2: presence of randomization; 3: the clear characteristics (a:species; b:background;c:sex;d:age) of the study population; 4: blinded assessment of behavioral outcome; 5: the specific age at which mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) were transplanted; 6: the route of administration was specified; 7: the number of MSCs were mentioned; 8: pretreatment behavioral assessment was conducted; 9: statement of potential conflicts of interest; and 10: use of suitable animal models.

(a)	Exp	eriment	al	(Contro	I		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SE) Tota	l Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Avijit 2015	100.16	22.64	10	108.12	20.1	1 10	0 10.9%	-0.36 [-1.24, 0.53]	
Cui 2017	30.17	17.85	15	49.52	15.4	5 15	5 13.1%	-1.13 [-1.91, -0.35]	
Hyun 2010	40.57	16.89	15	52.49	10.8	1 8	3 10.8%	-0.76 [-1.65, 0.13]	
Hyun 2012	44.37	12.12	15	56.25	4.84	4 1:	5 12.8%	-1.25 [-2.04, -0.46]	
Kyung 2013	29.85	11.48	8	47.97	11.0	6 8	3 7.3%	-1.52 [-2.67, -0.37]	
LI 2012	24.78	9.61	10	36.52	11.6	7 10	9.9%	-1.05 [-2.00, -0.10]	
Sun 2013	56.01	10.37	8	82.95	6.73	38	3 4.5%	-2.91 [-4.43, -1.39]	
YangHongna 2013	30.83	8.09	15	40.83	16.1	5 15	5 13.9%	-0.76 [-1.51, -0.02]	
YangHui 2013	26.55	12.61	20	37.41	19.8	1 20	16.8%	-0.64 [-1.28, -0.00]	
Total (95% CI)			116			109	9 100.0%	-0.99 [-1.33, -0.64]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.08; Ch	i ² = 11.2	0, df =	8 (P = 0	.19); l ²	=29%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.63	(P < 0.0	00001)	,					-4 -2 U 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
(b)	Exp	eriment	al	Co	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD 1	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Avijit 2015	0.91	0.3	10	0.82	3.24	10	13.9%	0.04 [-0.84, 0.91]	
Cui 2017	1.32	1.4	15	0.66	1.34	15	19.2%	0.47 [-0.26, 1.20]	
Hyun 2012	1.18	1.41	15	0.34	0.7	15	18.5%	0.73 [-0.01, 1.48]	
Kyung 2013	2.61	2.12	8	0.92	0.88	8	10.0%	0.98 [-0.07, 2.04]	
YangHongna 2013	2.99	1.81	15	1.19	0.81	15	16.6%	1.25 [0.46, 2.04]	
YangHui 2013	2.84	1.36	20	1.56	0.87	20	21.9%	1.10 [0.43, 1.77]	
Total (95% CI)			83			83	100.0%	0.78 [0.43, 1.13]	•

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.03; Chi² = 5.84, df = 5 (P = 0.32); I² = 14% Test for overall effect: Z = 4.37 (P < 0.0001)



	Exp	periment	tal	C	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Avijit 2015	34.47	17.36	10	22.04	7.46	10	18.5%	0.89 [-0.04, 1.82]	
Cui 2017	24.42	10.15	15	18.15	18.44	15	22.4%	0.41 [-0.31, 1.13]	
Sun 2013	22.29	10.61	8	16.5	12.93	8	17.3%	0.46 [-0.53, 1.46]	
YangHongna 2013	23.14	6.74	15	12.12	4.53	15	19.4%	1.87 [0.99, 2.74]	
YangHui 2013	24.75	7.69	20	14.05	4.83	20	22.4%	1.63 [0.91, 2.36]	
Total (95% CI)			68			68	100.0%	1.06 [0.46, 1.67]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.28; Cł	ni² = 10.2	25, df =	4 (P = 0).04); l ²	² = 61%		<u>-</u>	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.47	7 (P = 0.	0005)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the impact of mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) on cognitive deficits, compared with controls, according to (a) escape latency time, (b) number of platform crossings, (c) time in target quadrant

beneficial effects were found (SMD = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.55 to 1.24, p < .00001) (Table 3).

3.6 | Publication bias

(_)

No evident publication bias for the effect of acupuncture on escape latency, the number of platform crossing, and time spent in the target quadrant using the MWM test (Figure 3a,b,c) was obtained through the visual distribution of funnel plot. Nevertheless, the use of funnel plot was limited for the outcomes of MWM test due to the small number of studies evaluated.

4 | DISCUSSION

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of animal studies provide a more objective evidence for researchers assessing the advantages and effects of experimental interventions before they decide to

proceed with clinical trials or not. This is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to examine the efficacy of MSC transplantation for improving cognitive function in animal models of AD with the results of MWM test as the outcome measures (Liao, Zhang, Li, Shen, & Zhong, 2014; Peng et al., 2014). Overall, our study suggested that MSCs has the neuroprotective effects in improving cognitive outcomes in AD and the effects were robust across species, delivery route, type of MSCs, and MSC dose. The results showed that MSC transplantation could significantly reduce the time of escape latency, decrease the number of cross platform, and increase the proportion of time spent in the target quadrant in animal models of AD with cognitive deficits. We regarded functional recovery and behavioral testing as outcomes of this analysis because it is a common parameter widely used to measure functional disability and recovery in animal models of neurological disorders (Kirik, Rosenblad, & Rklund, 1998; Olsson, Nikkhah, Bentlage, & Bjorklund, 1995). These findings support further translational studies of MSCs in the treatment of AD in humans.

GE et al.		

WILEY

	Escape la	itency time			Number o	of platform crossing			Time in th	ie target quadrant		
Subgroups	studies	SMD [95% CI]	, d	: ч	studies	SMD [95% CI]	. d	: d	Studies	SMD [95% CI]	° d	: д
Gender												
Male	ო	-0.81 [-1.22, -0.40]	.0001	.33	т	0.93 [0.47, 1.39]	<.0001	.30	ę	1.29 [0.39, 2.19]	.005	.30
Mix	9	-1.15 [-1.71, -0.60]	.09		С	0.56 [0.04, 1.09]	.04		2	0.69 [0.01, 1.37]	.05	
Type of AD model												
Aβ infused Model	ო	-0.71 [-1.23, -0.18]	.008	.22	1	0.04 [-0.84, 0.91]	.93	.07	1	0.89 [-0.04, 1.82]	.06	.73
Transgenic Model	9	-1.15 [-1.62, -0.68]	<.00001		5	0.90 [0.55, 1.24]	<.00001		4	1.10 [0.35, 1.85]	.004	
Type of MSC												
hUC-MSC	4	-1.12 [-1.79, -0.44]	.001	.61	С	0.93 [0.47, 1.39]	<.0001	.45	4	1.10 [0.35, 1.85]	.004	AA
hUCB-MSC	ო	-0.82 [-1.34, -0.30]	.002		2	0.43 [-0.25, 1.10]	.22		1	1.06 [0.46, 1.67]	.06	
BM-MSC or A-MSC	2	-1.24 [-1.97, -0.51]	.0009		1	0.98 [-0.07, 2.04]	.07		0	NA	NA	
Route of administration												
Stereotaxic	4	-1.16 [-1.99, -0.33]	.006	.78	2	0.43 [-0.25, 1.10]	.22	.30	2	0.69 [0.01, 1.37]	.05	.11
Injected into the tail vein	4	-0.96 [-1.37, -0.55]	<.00001		б	0.84 [0.39, 1.29]	.0002		7	1.02 [-0.18, 2.22]	60.	
Intracardiac injection	1	-0.76 [-1.51, -0.02]	.05		1	1.25 [0.46, 2.04]	.002		1	1.87 [0.99, 2.74]	<.0001	
Doses of MSC												
≥1 × 106	5	-1.24 [-1.83, -0.64]	<.0001	.24	e	0.84 [0.39, 1.29]	.0002	.72	ო	0.86 [0.03, 1.70]	.04	.41
<1 × 106	4	-0.81 [-1.22, -0.40]	.000		ო	0.70 [0.04, 1.35]	.04		2	1.39 [0.44, 2.35]	.004	
p*, value for heterogeneity MSC, human umbilical corr	r within eac d blood-der	h subgroup; <i>p</i> **, value for ived mesenchymal stem	heterogeneit) cells; BM-MSC	/ between s , bone mar.	ubgroups w row-derived	vith meta-regression ar d mesenchymal stem ce	ıalysis; hUC-M: ells; A-MSC, am	SC, human iniotic mes	umbilical co enchymal s	ord-derived mesenchyr tem cells.	nal stem cells; h	UCB

TABLE 3 The results of stratified meta-analysis



FIGURE 3 Funnel graph for the assessment of potential publication bias of the effect of MSC on cognitive deficits, according to (a) escape latency time, (b) number of platform crossings, (c) time in the target quadrant

Although our study demonstrates that MSC transplantation could improve cognitive function, the underlying mechanism remains unclear. Several studies have assessed MSCs as therapeutic agents to reverse pathological changes or induce neurogenesis in animal models of AD (Chen et al., 2014; Garcia et al., 2014). Firstly, previous studies verified that the neuroprotective and neurogenesis effect of MSCs was related to the release of neurotrophins such as acetylcholine (Ach) and nerve growth factor (NGF) (Fahnestock, Garzon, Holsinger, & Michalski, 2002; Fumagalli, Racagni, & Riva, 2006; Peng, Wuu, Mufson, & Fahnestock, 2005; Siegel & Chauhan, 2000) .In addition, MSCs also upregulate the expression of the anti-apoptotic factors to protect neurons. Secondly, many evidences proved that MSCs attenuate the syndrome of AD and prevent the progression of the disease by expressing antioxidant enzymes, alleviating oxidative stress (Chiang, Nicol, Cheng, Lin, & Yen, 2016; Ruzicka, Kulijewicz-Nawrot, Rodrigez-Arellano, Jendelova, & Sykova, 2016; Xie et al., 2016; Yang, Xie, et al., 2013). Thirdly, inhibition of activated microglia and decreased levels of A β plays a critical role in MSC-induced cognitive improvement (Woodruffpak, 2008). What's more, MSCs exerted significantly immune-suppressive function and anti-inflammatory effect may be associated with improved cognitive deficit of AD animal model. The underlying mechanism of MSC transplantation ameliorating cognition deficits is complex. In a word, more underlying mechanisms of this phenomenon should be investigated in the future.

There are some limitations in our study. Firstly, animal model of AD may not fully recapitulate all aspects of cognitive function development observed in humans with MSCs, as a result, it will limit the extent to which this experimental research translates to a clinical population. Secondly, potential publication bias is likely to exist although we had made an extensive effort to identify all the relevant studies, our analysis was only able to include data from the published studies in this field. Our analysis did not take unpublished data into account, so our study might overestimate the overall effect size (Schmucker et al., 2013). Another limitation is that the data were reported in the form of graph, and we extracted the data using the Engauge Digitizer 4.1. Furthermore, behavior tests in animal models of AD cannot fully represent all the components of neurological condition of AD. Finally, all the studies which were included in our meta-analysis used small animal models (mouse) of AD. Therefore, randomized and blinded controlled studies in large animal models of AD are warranted.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Our present systematic review with meta-analysis indicates that transplantation of MSCs improves cognitive function in animal models of AD. These results suggest that MSC-based strategies may become an alternative treatment for AD. Although trials for MSC therapy have been performed primarily in small animals, in order to assess the efficacy and safety of MSCs on cognitive deficits, more studies in preclinical animal models and human studies, randomized controlled design, are needed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared that they have no conflict of interest.

WILEY

ORCID

Meiling Ge (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4703-9880

REFERENCES

Banik, A., Prabhakar, S., Kalra, J., & Anand, A. (2015). Effect of human umbilical cord blood derived lineage negative stem cells transplanted in amyloid-β induced cognitive impaired mice. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 15, 46–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2015.05.014

Bel, A., Messas, E., Agbulut, O., Richard, P., Samuel, J. L., Bruneval, P., ... Menasché, P. (2003). Transplantation of autologous fresh bone marrow into infarcted myocardium: a word of caution. *Circulation*, 108, 1247–1252.

- Caplan, A. I., & Correa, D. (2011). The MSC: an injury drugstore. *Cell Stem Cell*, 1, 11–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2011.06.008
- Castellani, R. J., Rolston, R. K., & Smith, M. A. (2010). Alzheimer disease. Disease-A-month : DM, 9, 484–546. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.disamonth.2010.06.001

Chen, S. Q., Cai, Q., Shen, Y. Y., Wang, P. Y., Li, M. H., & Teng, G. Y. (2014). Neural stem cell transplantation improves spatial learning and memory via neuronal regeneration in amyloid-β precursor protein/presenilin 1/tau triple transgenic mice. American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias, 2, 142. https:// doi.org/10.1177/1533317513506776

Chiang, M. C., Nicol, C. J., Cheng, Y. C., Lin, K. H., & Yen, C. H. (2016). Rosiglitazone activation of PPARγ-dependent pathways is neuroprotective in human neural stem cells against amyloid-beta-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 1, 181–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.01.132

Cui, Y., Ma, S., Zhang, C., Cao, W., Liu, M., Li, D., ... Yang, B. (2017). Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells transplantation improves cognitive function in Alzheimer's disease mice by decreasing oxidative stress and promoting hippocampal neurogenesis. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 1, 291–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2016.12.021

Cummings, J. L., Morstorf, T., & Zhong, K. (2014). Alzheimer's disease drugdevelopment pipeline: few candidates, frequent failures. Alzheimer's Research & Therapy, 4, 37. https://doi.org/10.1186/alzrt269

Fahnestock, M., Garzon, D., Holsinger, R. M., & Michalski, B. (2002). Neurotrophic factors and Alzheimer's disease: are we focusing on the wrong molecule? *Journal of Neural Transmission. Supplementum*, 62, 241–252. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-6139-5

Fengxian, S., Wm, X. U., & Ling, Y. (2013). The combined effects of β -sheet breaker and hUCMSC on APP transgenic mice. *Chin J Appl Physiol*, 03, 239–244.

Fumagalli, F., Racagni, G., & Riva, M.A. (2006). The expanding role of BDNF: a therapeutic target for Alzheimer's disease? *Pharmacogenomics Journal*, 1, 8–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.tpj.6500337

Garcia, K. D. O., Ornellas, F. L., Matsumoto, P., Patti, C. D. L., Mello, L. E., Frussa-Filho, R., ... Longo, B. M. (2014). Therapeutic effects of the transplantation of VEGF overexpressing bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells in the hippocampus of murine model of Alzheimer's disease. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 6, 30.

Gjoneska, E., Pfenning, A. R., Mathys, H., Quon, G., Kundaje, A., Tsai, L. H., & Kellis, M. (2015). Conserved epigenomic signals in mice and humans reveal immune basis of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature*, 7539, 365–369. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14252

Gratwohl, A., Baldomero, H., Aljurf, M., Pasquini, M. C., Bouzas, L. F., Yoshimi, A., ... Frauendorfer, K. (2010). Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a global perspective. JAMA, 16, 1617–1624. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jama.2010.491

Holtzman, D. M., Morris, J. C., & Goate, A. M. (2011). Alzheimer's disease: the challenge of the second century. *Science Translational Medicine*, 77, 71–77. Kaigler, D., Pagni, G., Park, C. H., Braun, T. M., Holman, L. A., Yi, E., ... Giannobile, W. V. (2013). Stem cell therapy for craniofacial bone regeneration: a randomized, controlled feasibility trial. *Cell Transplantation*, 5, 767–777. https://doi.org/10.3727/096368912X652968

Karran, E., & Hardy, J. (2014). Antiamyloid therapy for Alzheimer's disease-are we on the right road? New England Journal of Medicine, 4, 377-378. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe1313943

Kim, K. S., Kim, H. S., Park, J. M., Kim, H. W., Park, M. K., Lee, H. S., ... Moon, J. (2013). Long-term immunomodulatory effect of amniotic stem cells in an Alzheimer's disease model. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 10, 2408–2420. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.neurobiolaging.2013.03.029

Kirik, D., Rosenblad, C., & Rklund, A. B. (1998). Characterization of behavioral and neurodegenerative changes following partial lesions of the nigrostriatal dopamine system induced by intrastriatal 6-hydroxydopamine in the rat. *Experimental Neurology*, 2, 259–277. https://doi.org/10.1006/exnr.1998.6848

Lee, J. K., Jin, H. K., & Bae, J. S. (2010). Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells attenuate amyloid β-induced memory impairment and apoptosis by inhibiting neuronal cell death. Current Alzheimer Research, 6, 540–548. https:// doi.org/10.2174/156720510792231739

Lee, H. J., Lee, J. K., Lee, H., Carter, J. E., Chang, J. W., Oh, W., ... Bae, J. S. (2012). Human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells improve neuropathology and cognitive impairment in an Alzheimer's disease mouse model through modulation of neuroinflammation. *Neurobiology of Aging*, *3*, 588–602. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.neurobiolaging.2010.03.024

Lee, H. J., Lee, J. K., Lee, H., Shin, J. W., Carter, J. E., Sakamoto, T., ... Bae, J. S. (2010). The therapeutic potential of human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience Letters*, 1, 30–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.neulet.2010.06.045

Li, W., Rilong, J., & Yue, H. X. (2012). Migration of PKH26-labeled mesenchymal stem cells in rats with Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Zhejiang* University (Medical Sciences), 6, 659–664.

Liao, Y., Zhang, X. L., Li, L., Shen, F. M., & Zhong, M. K. (2014). Stem cell therapy for bone repair: a systematic review and meta-analysis of preclinical studies with large animal models. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 4, 718–726. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12382

Massoud, F., & Gauthier, S. (2010). Update on the pharmacological treatment of Alzheimer's Disease. *Current Neuropharmacology*, 1, 69–80. https://doi.org/10.2174/157015910790909520

Olsson, M., Nikkhah, G., Bentlage, C., & Bjorklund, A. (1995). Forelimb akinesia in the rat Parkinson model: differential effects of dopamine agonists and nigral transplants as assessed by a new stepping test. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 5(Pt 2), 3863–3875. https://doi.org/10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.15-05-03863.1995

Peng, S., Wuu, J., Mufson, E. J., & Fahnestock, M. (2005). Precursor form of brain-derived neurotrophic factor and mature brain-derived neurotrophic factor are decreased in the pre-clinical stages of Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, *6*, 1412–1421. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2005.03135.x

Peng, W., Xing, Z., Yang, J., Wang, Y., & Wang, W. (2014). The efficacy of erythropoietin in treating experimental traumatic brain injury: a systematic review of controlled trials in animal models. *Journal of Neurosurgery*, 3, 653–664. https://doi. org/10.3171/2014.6.JNS132577

Miller, G. (2010). Pharmacology. The puzzling rise and fall of a dark-horse Alzheimer's drug. *Science*, 5971, 1309.

Prince, M., Wimo, A., Guerchet, M., Ali, G. C., & Wu, Y. T. (2015). World Alzheimer Report 2015. The global impact of dementia. An Analysis of Prevalence, Incidence, Cost and Trends, 1–82.

Ritchie, C. W., Molinuevo, J. L., Truyen, L., Satlin, A., Van der Geyten, S., & Lovestone, S. (2016). Development of interventions for the secondary prevention of Alzheimer's dementia: the European Prevention of Alzheimer's Dementia (EPAD) project. *Lancet Psychiatry*, *2*, 179–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2215-0366(15)00454-X

- Ruzicka, J., Kulijewicz-Nawrot, M., Rodrigez-Arellano, J. J., Jendelova, P., & Sykova, E. (2016). Mesenchymal stem cells preserve working memory in the 3xTg-AD mouse model of Alzheimer's Disease. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 2, 152. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms17020152
- Schmucker, C., Bluemle, A., Briel, M., Portalupi, S., Lang, B., Motschall, E., ... Meerpohl, J. J. (2013). A protocol for a systematic review on the impact of unpublished studies and studies published in the gray literature in meta-analyses. Systematic Reviews, 2, 24. https:// doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-2-24
- Shindo, T. (2006). Neural stem cells transplantation in cortex in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Medical Investigation*, 1-2, 61–69.
- Siegel, G. J., & Chauhan, N. B. (2000). Neurotrophic factors in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease brain. Brain Research Reviews, 2–3, 199–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173(00)00030-8
- Stygelbout, V., Leroy, K., Pouillon, V., Ando, K., D'Amico, E., Jia, Y., ... Brion, J. P. (2014). Inositol trisphosphate 3-kinase B is increased in human Alzheimer brain and exacerbates mouse Alzheimer pathology. Brain Pt, 2, 537–552. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt344
- Uccelli, A., Moretta, L., & Pistoia, V. (2008). Mesenchymal stem cells in health and disease. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 9, 726–736. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nri2395
- Vorhees, C. V., & Williams, M. T. (2006). Morris water maze: procedures for assessing spatial and related forms of learning and memory. *Nature Protocols*, 2, 848–858. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.116
- Woodruffpak, D. S. (2008). Animal models of Alzheimer's disease: therapeutic implications. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 4, 507–521. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2008-15401

- Xie, Z. H., Liu, Z., Zhang, X. R., Yang, H., Wei, L. F., Wang, Y., ... Wang, X. Y. (2016). Wharton's Jelly-derived mesenchymal stem cells alleviate memory deficits and reduce amyloid-β deposition in an APP/PS1 transgenic mouse model. *Clinical and Experimental Medicine*, 1, 89–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10238-015-0375-0
- Yang, H., Xie, Z. H., Wei, L. F., Yang, H. N., Yang, S. N., Zhu, Z. Y., ... Bi, J. Z. (2013). Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cell-derived neuron-like cells rescue memory deficits and reduce amyloid-beta deposition in an AβPP/PS1 transgenic mouse model. *Stem Cell Research & Therapy*, *4*, 76. https://doi.org/10.1186/scrt227
- Yang, H., Yang, H., Xie, Z., Wei, L., & Bi, J. (2013). Systemic transplantation of human umbilical cord derived mesenchymal stem cellseducated T regulatory cells improved the impaired cognition in AβPPswe/PS1dE9 transgenic mice. PLoS ONE, 7, e69129. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069129
- Yang, H., Yue, C., Yang, H., Xie, Z., Hu, H., Wei, L., ... Bi, J. (2013). Intravenous administration of human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells improves cognitive impairments and reduces amyloid-beta deposition in an AβPP/PS1 transgenic mouse model. *Neurochemical Research*, 12, 2474–2482. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11064-013-1161-6
- Zhang, L., Xing, Y., Ye, C. F., Ai, H. X., & Wei, H. F. (2006). Learning-memory deficit with aging in APP transgenic mice of Alzheimer's disease and intervention by using tetrahydroxystilbene glucoside. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 2, 246–254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2006.06.034

How to cite this article: Ge M, Zhang Y, Hao Q, Zhao Y, Dong B. Effects of mesenchymal stem cells transplantation on cognitive deficits in animal models of Alzheimer's disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain Behav.* 2018;8:e00982. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.982</u>