Results. 780 patients met study inclusion criteria and 86% (667/780) received vaccine. Characteristics of PLWH with and without vaccine are presented in Table 1. Older age, lower HIV viral load, and virologic suppression had a statistically significant (p<0.05) association with vaccine receipt in unadjusted analysis. Only older age (p<0.01) was significantly associated with vaccine in logistic regression modeling (Table 2), however this relationship was non-linear.

Table 1. Characteristics of patients living with HIV during the 2020-2021 Influenza vaccination season

	No Vaccine N=113	Vaccine N=667	p-value
Age, years, median [IQR]	46 [37;60]	54 [41;61]	0.013
Gender			0.324
Male	63 (55.8%)	423 (63.4%)	
Female	49 (43.4%)	238 (35.7%)	
Transgender MtF	1 (0.9%)	5 (0.8%)	
Transgender FtM	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.2%)	
Race, n (%)			0.432
Black	82 (72.6%)	482 (72.3%)	
White	31 (27.4%)	173 (25.9%)	
More than one race	0 (0.0%)	12 (1.8%)	
Ethnicity, n (%)	- ()		0.807
Black	81 (71 7%)	474 (71 1%)	
Non-Hispanic White	18 (15.9%)	121 (18.1%)	
Hispanic	14 (12.4%)	70 (10.5%)	
More than one race	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.3%)	
Insurance n (%)	0 (0.070)	2 (0.070)	0 2 1 0
Medicaid	54 (47.8%)	260 (39.0%)	
Private	32 (28.3%)	222 (33.3%)	
Medicare	27 (23.9%)	185 (27.7%)	
HIV Status	(,)		1 000
CDC-defined AIDS	68 (60 2%)	398 (59 7%)	1.000
HIV-positive (not AIDS-defined)	45 (39.8%)	268 (40.2%)	
HIV-positive status unknown	0 (0.0%)	1 (0 2%)	
% Federal Poverty Level median [IOR]	90 (0:1771	103 [0:212]	0 142
CD4 Count celle/ul_median [IOR]	454 [313:730]	503 [303:745]	0.660
Viral Load conico(m) median [IQR]	404 [010,700]	20 [20:40]	0.000
Viral Load Suppression n (%):	20 [20, 105]	20 [20,40]	0.020
Viral Load Suppression, II (76).	00 (05 00())	045 (00 08())	0.020
Ne	47 (45 0%)	515 (52.2%)	
INO	17 (15.0%)	52 (1.8%)	

Table 2. Multivariable Analysis of Baseline Characteristics

Char	racteristic	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	p-value
Agea			0.002
% Fe	ederal Poverty Level ^b		0.719
Virol	ogic Suppression		
	No: Yes	0.65 (0.34, 1.22)	0.179
Sex			
	Female: Male	0.77 (0.50, 1.18)	0.466
Race)		
	White: Black	0.87 (0.55, 1.40)	0.822
AIDS	S-defined		
	No: Yes	1.17 (0.74, 1.84)	0.497
Insur	ance		0.692
	Medicare: Medicaid	1.10 (0.57, 2.12)	
	Private: Medicaid	1.31 (0.72, 2.36)	

^a Age was found to be associated with vaccine, with increasing likelihood of vaccine up to 55 years of age and decreasing likelihood in those over 55 years of age based on flexible restricted cubic spline of age in model

^aDue to skewness of data, the log of %FPL was used in calculations

Conclusion. A very high rate of PLWH received vaccine, far exceeding local and national benchmarks, with EMR data unlikely to have fully captured all vaccines. The role of the COVID-19 pandemic in vaccine amongst PLWH is not yet known. While older age was associated with vaccine in adjusted analysis, the number of unvaccinated patients was small, confidence intervals wide, and associations consequently weak. Larger studies are needed to further investigate factors associated with vaccine receipt amongst PLWH.

Disclosures. Deborah A. Kahal, MD,MPH, FACP, Gilead (Speaker's Bureau) Viiv (Speaker's Bureau)

12. Modeled Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic and Associated Reduced Adult Vaccinations on Herpes Zoster in the United States

Elizabeth M. La, PhD¹; Desmond Curran, PhD¹; Ahmed Salem, MSc¹;

David Singer, PharmD, MS¹; Nicolas Lecrenier, Ing, PhD¹; Sara Poston, PharmD¹; ¹GSK, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Session: P-02. Adult Vaccines

Background. During the COVID-19 pandemic, adult vaccination in the United States (US) decreased substantially in 2020. Unlike other vaccine-preventable diseases where individuals may have experienced reduced risk due to COVID-related mitigation efforts (e.g., lockdown restrictions, use of face masks), individuals remained at risk of herpes zoster (HZ). This study projects the impact of reduced recombinant zoster vaccine (RZV) use on HZ cases and complications in the US.

Methods. A multi-cohort Markov model estimated the impact of missed RZV vaccinations, by comparing scenarios with and without missed vaccinations between

Apr-Dec 2020, on cases of HZ, postherpetic neuralgia (PHN), and quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) among US adults aged \geq 50 years. Epidemiology, RZV efficacy, and utility inputs were obtained from standard US sources, clinical trial data, and published literature. Missed doses were estimated using data on RZV doses and an assumed 43% reduction in RZV vaccinations during the pandemic, based on publicly available data. Deterministic sensitivity and scenario analyses were conducted.

Results. In 2020, approximately 21 million (M) RZV distributed doses were expected, including an estimated 9.2M RZV series initiations in Apr-Dec. An estimated 3.9M RZV series initiations were missed, resulting in 31,945 projected HZ cases, 2,714 PHN cases, and 610 lost QALYs projected over a 1-year follow up. If individuals with missed RZV initiations remain unvaccinated in 2021, avoidable HZ cases will increase to 63,117 over 2 years. Further, if the same number of RZV initiations are missed in 2021, 95,062 avoidable HZ cases are expected. In a sensitivity analysis assuming 30% RZV reduction, 18,020 avoidable HZ cases and 1,531 PHN cases were observed over 1 year.

Conclusion. Adding to the substantial COVID-19 infection-related morbidity and mortality, reduced RZV use during the pandemic resulted in further burden from avoidable HZ cases. Health care providers should continue to emphasize the importance of vaccination against HZ and other preventable diseases during the pandemic.

Funding. GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals SA (GSK study identifier: [VEO-000222]). *Acknowledgement.* Business & Decision Life Sciences c/o GSK (Coordination: Quentin Rayée).

Disclosures. Elizabeth M. La, PhD, The GSK group of companies (Employee, Shareholder) Desmond Curran, PhD, The GSK group of companies (Employee, Shareholder) Ahmed Salem, MSc, The GSK group of companies (Employee) David Singer, PharmD, MS, The GSK group of companies (Employee) Nicolas Lecrenier, Ing, PhD, The GSK group of companies (Employee, Shareholder) Sara Poston, PharmD, The GSK group of companies (Employee, Shareholder)

13. The Efficacy and Effectiveness of Pneumococcal Vaccines against Pneumococcal Pneumonia among Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Lana Childs, MPH¹; Miwako Kobayashi, MD, MPH²; Jennifer Loo Farrar, MPH²; Tamara Pilishvili, PhD³; ¹National Foundation for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Inc., Atlanta, Georgia; ²Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, ³Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA, Atlanta, Georgia

Session: P-02. Adult Vaccines

Background. Two pneumococcal vaccines are currently recommended for use in U.S. adults: 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (PPSV23) and 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13). Recommendations for adult PCV13 use were supported by a large randomized-controlled trial (RCT) demonstrating PCV13 efficacy against pneumococcal pneumonia (PnPn) and vaccine-type (VT) PnPn in older adults. New pneumococcal conjugate vaccines are expected to be licensed for adults in late 2021 and recommendations for use among adults will be reviewed and revised, as needed. We conducted a systematic review to summarize evidence on the vaccine efficacy and effectiveness (VE) of PPSV23 and PCV13 against PnPn among adults.

Methods. We conducted a search of literature published from 1998 to February 2021 on PCV13 and PPSV23 VE studies using eight reference databases. Studies targeting adults with immunocompromising conditions were excluded. VE results with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were abstracted and stratified by vaccine product, outcome evaluated (PnPn and VT PnPn), study design, and effect measure. When applicable, random effects models were used to estimate pooled VE and I-squared statistic was reported to assess heterogeneity.

Results. Of 3,422 screened studies, we included 15 studies: three on PCV13 and 12 on PPSV23 (Table 1). In addition to the RCT, we identified two observational studies for PCV13 (Table 1); however, pooled VE of the observational studies was not estimated due to differences in methods for reporting results. Pooled PPSV23 VE against PnPn from two RCTs was 63% (95% CI: 31, 80 I^2 =0%). Pooled VE of PPSV23 against VT PnPn from three observational studies was 18% (95% CI: -35, 35 I^2 =38%). PPSV23 effectiveness against PnPn was limited with a pooled VE of 25% (95% CI: 7, 39 I^2 =78%) from nine observational studies.

Table 1. Vaccine Efficacy and Effectiveness of 13-Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine and 23-Vale

Author	Study Design	NB VT PnPn or VT PnPn VF % (95% CI)	NB PnPn or PnPn VF % (95% CI)
		PCV13	
Bonten 2015	RCT	45 (14 to 65)	24 (-6 to 46)
McLaughlin 2018	TND	68 (-6 to 90)	
Prato 2018 ^{1,2}	TND	38 (-132 to 89)	33 (-107 to 82)
		PPSV23	
Alfageme 2006 ²	RCT		91 (-62 to 99)
Maruyama 2010	RCT		60 (25 to 79)
Kim 2019	Case-control	-2 (-40 to 26)	10 (-15 to 30)
Suzuki 2019 ²	Case-control		77 (34 to 92)
Vila-Corcoles 2009	Case-control		42 (14 to 61)
Lawrence 20201	TND	20 (-5 to 40)	_ · · ·
Suzuki 2017 ^{1,2}	TND	34 (6 to 53)	27 (3 to 46)
Wiemken 2014 ²	TND		37 (16 to 60)
ElSherif 2020 ²	Cohort		28 (11 to 42)
Ochoa-Gondar 2014	Cohort		48 (8 to 71)
Vila-Corcoles 2006	Cohort		39 (-6 to 65)
Vila-Corcoles 2020 ²	Cohort		-8 (-19 to 2)

controlled trial; TND: test negative design; VE: vaccine efficacy or effectiveness; VT: vaccine-type 'Study reported vaccine effectiveness for vaccine-type pneumococcal pneumonia, not specifically non-bacteremic vaccine-type neumonoccal pneumonia.

³²Study reported vaccine efficacy or effectiveness for pneumococcal pneumonia, not specifically non-bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia. **Conclusion.** Findings from observational studies supported PCV13 VE against VT PnPn reported in the RCT. Differences in the study design made the magnitude of PPSV23 effectiveness against PnPn and VT PnPn difficult to assess; however, findings from recent observational studies suggest PPSV23 provides limited protection against VT PnPn.

Disclosures. All Authors: No reported disclosures

14. Postmarketing Safety Experience With MenACWY-TT

Lidia Serra, MS¹; Susan Mather, MD¹; Cindy Burman, PharmD¹; Chris Webber, MD²; ¹Pfizer Inc, Collegeville, Pennsylvania; ²Pfizer, Ltd. Hurley UK, Hurley, England, United Kingdom

Session: P-02. Adult Vaccines

Background. MenACWY-TT (Nimenrix^{*}), a quadrivalent meningococcal tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine, was first licensed in 2012 and is available in 82 countries but not in the United States. MenACWY-TT is administered in infants as a 2 + 1 (6 weeks to < 6 months of age) or 1 + 1 (6 to < 12 months of age) schedule with the booster dose at 12 months of age, and from 12 months of age as a single dose. In addition to its widespread use to protect against meningococcal serogroups A, C, W, and Y, MenACWY-TT is a constituent of an investigational pentavalent meningococcal (MenABCWY) vaccine currently undergoing clinical development.

Methods. Using the MenACWY-TT Periodic Safety Update Report (PSUR) with format and content in accordance with Good Pharmacovigilance Practice Module VII and International Council for Harmonisation Guideline E2C, for data up to April 19, 2020, postmarketing safety experience with MenACWY-TT is considered. The PSUR data included herein are spontaneous adverse events (AEs) from the Pfizer safety database. AEs were coded by system organ class (SOC) and preferred term (PT) using MedDRA v.22.1J.

Results. The cumulative estimated exposure of MenACWY-TT was nearly 26 million doses, with the majority administered in 0- to 16-year-olds and in the Western European Union (**Figure 1**). Over the reporting period, 13,301 cumulative AEs occurred. The most common SOCs in the reporting period were general disorders and administration site conditions (n=5169; 39%); nervous system disorders (n=1986; 15%); injury, poisoning and procedural complications (n=1266; 10%); and gastrointestinal disorders (n=1031; 8%) (**Figure 2**). By PT, the most common AEs were pyrexia (n=1613; 12%), headache (n=738; 6%), and vaccination site pain (n=394; 3%) (**Figure 3**). Of the 3299 serious AEs reported, the most common were pyrexia (n=317; 10%) and headache (n=209; 6%).

Figure 1. Cumulative Estimated MenACWY-TT Exposure*



By Region/Country

Region/Country	Doses, %	Total doses
Western European Union	58.7	15,194,888
Latin America	15.9	4,100,149
Africa/Middle East	7.5	1,932,458
Australia/New Zealand	7.1	1,829,817
Central and Eastern Europe	5.8	1,505,066
Asia (excluding Japan)	3.1	800,105
Canada	1.9	502,829

*Due to various dosage regimens and country-specific vaccination schedules, it is not possible to determine with certainty the number of individuals who received Nimenrix vaccine, therefore worldwide distribution information is used to serve as a reasonable indicator of patient exposure

Figure 2. Most Common MenACWY-TT Adverse Events by System Organ Class*





Figure 3. Most Common MenACWY-TT Adverse Events by Preferred Term*



*Includes events reported at a frequency of >150.

Conclusion. Based on cumulative safety data in conjunction with existing efficacy and effectiveness data, the benefit-risk profile of MenACWY-TT remains favorable and is consistent with the safety profile of MenACWY-TT established in clinical studies.

Disclosures. Lidia Serra, MS, Pfizer Inc (Employee, Shareholder) Susan Mather, MD, Pfizer Inc (Employee, Shareholder) Cindy Burman, PharmD, Pfizer Inc (Employee, Shareholder) Chris Webber, MD, Pfizer (Employee, Shareholder)

15. Evaluation of Retained Immunity for *Tetanus-Diphtheria* and *Pneumococcal* Vaccines in Recipients of Cellular Therapies

Georgios Angelidakis, MD¹; Roy F. Chemaly, MD, MPH, FACP, FIDSA²; Partow Kebriaei, MD³; Nadim J Ajami, PhD³; Micah M Bhatti, MD⁴; Elizabeth Shpall, MD²; Chitra Hosing, MD²; Preetesh Jain, MD²; Kris Michael Mahadeo, MD²; Fareed Khawaja, MBB5⁵; Jennifer Wargo, MD²; Robert Jenq, MD⁶; Ella Ariza Heredia, MD²; ¹: Departments of Infectious Diseases, Infection Control and Employee Health, houston, Texas; ²The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; ³MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas; ⁴Laboratory Medicine, Houston, Texas; ⁵University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; ⁶The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, Houston, TX