



# The Contributing Factors of Delayed-Onset Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms: A Nested Case-Control Study Conducted After the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake

Yanlin Yang<sup>1†</sup>, Wenqi Zeng<sup>1†</sup>, Bingqing Lu<sup>2</sup> and Jin Wen<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Hospital Management, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, <sup>2</sup> Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China

**Background:** Delayed-onset post-traumatic stress disorder after catastrophes is a major public health issue. However, good designs for identifying post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) among earthquake survivors are rare. This is the first nested case-control study to explore the possible factors associated with delayed-onset PTSD symptoms.

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Stephanie Rose Montesanti, University of Alberta, Canada

#### Reviewed by:

Valerio Dell'Oste, University of Pisa, Italy Claudia Carmassi, University of Pisa, Italy

\*Correspondence: Jin Wen huaxiwenjin@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Public Mental Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

Received: 19 March 2021 Accepted: 09 November 2021 Published: 24 December 2021

#### Citation:

Yang Y, Zeng W, Lu B and Wen J (2021) The Contributing Factors of Delayed-Onset Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms: A Nested Case-Control Study Conducted After the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake. Front. Public Health 9:682714. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.682714 **Methods:** A nested case-control study was conducted. The baseline (2011) and followup (2018) surveys were utilized to collect data. A total of 361 survivors of the Wenchuan earthquake were investigated and 340 survivors underwent follow-up. The survivors, from the hardest-hit areas, who met the criteria for PTSD were included in the case group, and PTSD-free survivors from the same area, matched for age, were included in the control group, with a ratio of one to four. Conditional logistic regression was used to evaluate the variables' odds ratio (OR).

**Results:** The overall prevalence of delayed-onset PTSD symptoms in survivors of the Wenchuan earthquake was 9.7% (33/340). The unemployed earthquake survivors had a higher risk of developing delayed-onset PTSD symptoms (OR = 4.731, 95% CI = 1.408-15.901), while higher perceived social support was a protective factor against delayed-onset PTSD symptoms (OR = 0.172, 95% CI = 0.052-0.568).

**Conclusion:** Delayed-onset PTSD symptoms, after a disaster, should not be ignored. Active social support and the provision of stable jobs can contribute to the earthquake survivors' mental health.

Keywords: earthquake, delayed-onset PTSD, contributing factors, mental health, public health policy

## INTRODUCTION

Since earthquakes are not always predictable and are highly destructive, they can cause significant damage to physical and mental health (1). Moreover, since 1950, earthquakes have become the most devastating natural disaster (2). The Wenchuan earthquake, which occurred in Sichuan province on May 12, 2008, and resulted in 69,227 deaths, 374,643 injuries, and 17,923 people missing, was the most ruinous earthquake since its founding of the People's Republic of China (3, 4). Furthermore, the economic losses reached 84.51 billion Renminbi. In addition, it placed a great psychological

1

burden on the survivors (5). A study of 2080 Wenchuan earthquake survivors found that 40.1% of the participants suffered from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) 1 year after the earthquake (6). Similarly, a related meta-analysis report showed a 29% incidence of PTSD in a sample of 76,101 survivors in the 9 months following the earthquake (7).

Post-traumatic stress disorder, a severe and complex mental disorder caused by exposure to a catastrophic event, is composed of three clusters of symptoms: re-experiencing, avoidance, and hyperarousal (8). PTSD onset can be close to the traumatic event or delayed (9), and some populations will never present with PTSD despite similar traumatic exposure (10, 11), so the symptoms of PTSD after the disasters could take multiple trajectories (12). One study revealed four trajectories of PTSD: resilience, recovery, chronic, and delayed (13, 14). Compared to it, a population-based longitudinal study identified six clusters of PTSD symptom trajectories after the disaster: low-stable, moderate-stable, moderate-increasing, high-stable, high-decreasing, and very high-stable (15).

About 70% of the world's ordinary people will experience potential traumatic events (PTE) in their lifetime (16), and many people will have post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) (17). People with PTSS are at a higher risk of developing delayed-onset PTSD, especially after experiencing subsequent PETs or other stressors (18). Unfortunately, both PTSS survivors and PTSD survivors may have their brain function and structure changed after trauma, and trauma survivors are at high risk of developing mental disorders. For example, research has shown that the appearance of post-traumatic nightmare indicated delayed-onset PTSD, even if the delayed-onset PTSD has been solved, the nightmare associated with the PTSD would persist throughout life (19). Another study also confirmed that lifetime PTSD affected about 10% of women and 5% of men in the general population (20, 21). Moreover, a study focused on the nervous system and revealed the survivors' cognitive might decline, which might lead to loss of well-being in later life (22, 23). In addition, according to the stress sensitization hypothesis, that individuals who have experienced previous PTSD are more susceptible to developing PTSD following subsequent traumas (24, 25). In a word, our research on delayed-onset PTSD has a lot of scientific implications.

In delayed-onset PTSD, the PTSD symptoms are initially at a low level but increase gradually over time (13). Additionally, a study found that a significant number of survivors developed PTSD after six or more months after the initial traumatic event (26). Studies have demonstrated that people continued to suffer from PTSD 8 years after the earthquake (27–32). These studies focused on factors related to PTSD, such as alexithymia (27), post-traumatic growth (28), self-esteem (29), depression (30), suicidal behaviors (31), and community support (32). However, all of them were cross-sectional studies and none of them focused on delayed-onset PTSD. After reviewing a large number of studies, perceived social support was considered an important variable among the various factors affecting PTSD (33–36). Moreover, according to the stress vulnerability model (37), emotional problems under stress are related to the individual's vulnerability to stress, the magnitude of stress, the impact of the environment, and the ability to cope with stress (38). PTSD is trauma and stress-related disorder (39), therefore, predictor variables in this study were selected based upon 3 levels: (1) individual factors, (2) social factors, and (3) disaster-related factors. We aimed to explore the relationship between these factors and delayed-onset PTSD symptoms by conducting a nested case-control study.

# METHODS

#### **Ethics Statement**

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the West China Hospital of Sichuan University. The purpose and significance of the study were described in detail, and oral informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to the survey.

#### **Study Design and Setting**

This was an observational study using the nested case-control methods. The first survey was conducted in Wenchuan, Shifang, and Mianzhu—areas that were affected by the earthquake—from May to June 2011, and the follow-up survey was conducted from April to October 2018.

#### **Cases and Controls**

We used the PTSD Checklist-Civilian Version (PCL-C) scale, which was based on the fourth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, to screen for PTSD symptoms related to earthquakes (40). Both English and Chinese versions of PCL-C were often used when a clinical interview was not feasible and had been demonstrated to have good validity, reliability, and accuracy in screening PTSD (41). In a previous study, the Chinese version of the PCL-C was validated and showed good internal reliability (Cronbach's alpha = 0.89) (40). This self-report scale contained 17 items and its total score ranged from 17 to 85 (42).

It was worth noting that we did not diagnose PTSD but screened for PTSD among this sample thus measuring PTSD symptomatology rather than PTSD. Survivors who were not screened for PTSD symptoms during the first survey and those with a score of 38 and above during the follow-up survey were classified as likely to have delayed-onset PTSD symptoms and were included in the case group. The control group comprised survivors, from the same area, with a PCL-C score <38, with a ratio of 1:4, and were matched for age ( $\pm 2$ years).

#### Variables and Measurements

Demographic information (age, gender, nationality, education, and profession) and other factors (smoking behavior, drinking behavior, the prevalence of chronic diseases, whether injured during the earthquake, having relatives who were injured, disabled or killed, due to or during the earthquake) were collected using a predefined questionnaire. Participants with

Abbreviations: PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; PCL-C, PTSD Checklist-Civilian; PSSS, perceived social support scale; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

a formal diagnosis of at least one of the following were considered to have chronic diseases: malignant tumor, heart disease, chronic non-specific lung disease (asthma, bronchitis, and emphysema), atherosclerotic disease, cerebrovascular disease (stroke, excluding transient ischemic attack), diabetes, osteoarthritis, and rheumatoid arthritis. Family members who were injured, disabled, or killed in the earthquake were classified into two groups based on their relationship to the participants: the first group included parents, spouses, and children, and the second included grandparents, grandchildren, siblings, and other relatives.

Perceived social support was tested using the Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS), which was considered to be reliable to measure social support (43), and the Chinese version of the PSSS was validated and showed good internal reliability (Cronbach's alpha = 0.89) in previous studies (44, 45). This self-report scale, which measured the support from three aspects—family, friends, and others—contained 12 items and its total score ranged from 12 to 84, with a higher score indicating a higher degree of perceived social support (46). A total score below 50 was defined as a low degree of perceived social support, and a score of 50 and above was defined as a high degree of perceived social support (47, 48).

#### Bias

A multistage random sampling procedure was performed to select the study sample to reduce selection bias. The field interviewers included both clinical psychologists and graduate students from preventive and clinical medicine, and all of them received uniform training prior to the commencement of the survey. Survivors with any pre-existing mental disorder were excluded at the baseline. Double entry of the questionnaire data was independently carried out by two trained staff for validation and quality assurance. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to adjust for potential confounding factors.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25 (IBM Corp. in Armonk, New York, U.S.). Categorical data were using frequencies and percentages. Means and standard deviations were analyzed for continuous variables and conditional logic regression was used to identify the risk factors for delayed-onset PTSD symptoms. The odds ratios (ORs) and 95 % CIs both were also calculated.

## RESULTS

A total of 361 survivors without PTSD symptoms from the first survey were included in the cohort. During followup, it was found that the prevalence of delayed-onset PTSD symptoms was 9.7% (33/340). A considerable proportion of traumatized individuals subsequently developed PTSD. A metaanalysis showed that 27.0% of individuals developed initial PTSD symptoms but then recovered, 10.3% developed chronic and 6.4% had delayed-onset PTSD (14, 49). This supported the prevalence of delayed-onset PTSD symptoms in our study to some extent. Meanwhile, 33 cases were identified, and 132 controls were matched with these cases (**Figure 1**). The mean age was 58.03 and 58.85 in the case and control groups, respectively. The majority of those in the case group were female, were a minority, were illiterate, had primary school education, were unemployed, were other professionals except farmers, workers, and unemployed people, did not smoke, did not drink, had chronic diseases, were either injured themselves or had a family member who was injured, disabled, or killed by the earthquake, and had lower perceived social support than those in the control group (**Table 1**).

In the univariate analysis, unemployment increased the risk of developing delayed-onset PTSD symptoms (crude OR = 2.987, P < 0.05); those with family members who were disabled during the earthquake were also at risk of developing delayed-onset PTSD symptoms (crude OR = 4.8, 95% CI = 1.465–15.728). However, a higher degree of perceived social support was a protective factor against developing PTSD symptoms (crude OR = 0.201, 95% CI = 0.082–0.493) (Table 2).

A multivariable conditional logistic regression analysis was then performed. However, after adjusting for the other variables, the family members who were disabled during the earthquake variable demonstrated no statistical significance. In the multivariate analysis, unemployment and a higher degree of perceived social support were also important factors in developing delayed-onset PTSD symptoms. Unemployment was a risk factor for delayed-onset PTSD symptoms (adjusted OR = 4.731, 95% CI = 1.408–15.901), while a higher degree of perceived social support was a protective factor (adjusted OR = 0.172, 95% CI = 0.052–0.568).

## DISCUSSION

The aim of this nested case-control design was to identify the influence of latent factors—personal, social, and disaster-related variables—on delayed-onset PTSD symptoms. The findings revealed that unemployment increased the risk of delayed-onset PTSD symptoms, while a higher degree of perceived social support reduced the risk of PTSD symptoms.

It was necessary to point that we assessed PTSD among survivors at the follow-up survey 10 years after the Wenchuan earthquake so that this elapsed time might have also influenced the delayed-onset PTSD system. According to the stress vulnerability model, that the course of severe mental illness was determined by an interaction of biological vulnerability, stress, and coping (50). Therefore, other subsequent traumas (even of minor severity as grief) could act by adding their burden to promote the development of PTSD (51). To control for bias, we emphasized to the subjects that this was an investigation into the impact of the Wenchuan earthquake in 2008 during our followup, and when the investigators asked the subjects questions, they would repeatedly emphasize the words Wenchuan earthquake, in an attempt to guarantee that delayed-onset PTSD was promoted by the Wenchuan earthquake.

Though those who were unemployed and retired were both not actively employed, only those who were unemployed were



vulnerable to PTSD (52); since those who were unemployed did not have a stable income, however, most of the retirees had pensions to cover their living expenses. For unemployed postearthquake, evidence showed that loss of own resources such as income (53, 54), was the most influential exposure variable for mental illness. This indicates that income is inherently a crucial factor in developing delayed-onset PTSD (40), therefore, the local government should create and provide more jobs to help the survivors guarantee adequate income.

Both social and interpersonal factors played a vital role in the trauma recovery process (55); we also found that a high degree of perceived social support could reduce the occurrence of delayed-onset PTSD symptoms. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that actual social support was not equal to perceived social support, and existing literature has demonstrated that perceived social support was more beneficial for survivors, to adapt and cope with stress after catastrophes (35). Therefore, we should provide practical and acceptable help for survivors through more effective communication with them, not just by providing routine social support.

A previous study found that there was a negative correlation between disability due to natural disasters and PTSD (56). As shown in the univariate analysis, disability also increased the risk of delayed-onset PTSD symptoms due to the presence of family members with disabilities. This could be because caring for relatives with disabilities amplifies the personal suffering experienced during the earthquake, and some studies also showed that severe illnesses in family members might represent a complex burden for their caregivers, including a wide range of mental disorders, particularly PTSD (57, 58). For caregivers of disabled family members after the Wenchuan earthquake, they often had to struggle to adjust to new responsibilities and roles and were faced with double stressors-the stress of evoked memories about the Wenchuan earthquake and the burden of family care, so they had the risk for development of delayedonset PTSD symptoms. Nevertheless, the multivariate analysis revealed an insignificant relationship between family members' disability and delayed-onset PTSD symptoms, which might be because family members' disability was not the most significant factor for developing delayed-onset PTSD symptoms, compared with unemployment and social support.

Three previous studies showed that subthreshold PTSD was associated with an increased risk of suicidality (59, 60, 64). Hence, taking into account survivors with both partial and subthreshold PTSD symptoms was also important. Some studies focused on the survivors with subthreshold manifestations in a dimensional perspective. For example, if the survivors were defined as a report of at least one symptom in Criteria B (reexperiencing), C (avoidance), and D (hyperarousal), they would be considered as having "Partial PTSD" (61). Another study used The Harvard Trauma Questionnaire part IV (HTQ) to measure the presence of PTSD, patients were given a possible

TABLE 1	Demographic	characteristics	of cases	and matched	d controls.
	Donnographilo	0110100001001000	01 00000	and matorio	a oontroio.

Characteristic	At baseline, No. (%)	During follow-up, No. (%)			
		Case (n = 33)	Control ( <i>n</i> = 132)		
Age, years (mean, SD)	50.88 (9.93)	58.03 (10.04)	58.85 (9.94)		
Gender					
Male	61 (37.0)	10 (30.3)	51 (38.6)		
Female	104 (63.0)	23 (69.7)	81 (61.4)		
Nation					
Minority	29 (17.6)	9 (27.3)	20 (15.2)		
Han ethnic	136 (82.4)	24 (72.7)	112 (84.8)		
Education					
Illiteracy	53 (32.1)	13 (39.4)	40 (30.3)		
Primary school	71 (43.0)	15 (45.5)	56 (42.4)		
Junior high school or above	41 (24.8)	5 (15.2)	36 (27.3)		
Profession					
Farmer	51 (30.9)	7 (21.2)	48 (36.4)		
Worker	49 (29.7)	5 (15.2)	37 (28.0)		
Unemployed	57 (34.5)	19 (57.6)	41 (31.1)		
Others	8 (4.8)	2 (6.1)	6 (4.5)		
Smoking					
No	134 (81.2)	29 (87.9)	107 (81.8)		
Yes	31 (18.8)	4 (12.1)	25 (18.9)		
Drinking					
No	123 (74.5)	27 (81.2)	92 (69.7)		
Yes	42 (25.5)	6 (18.2)	40 (30.3)		
Chronic disease					
No	62 (37.6)	6 (18.2)	34 (25.8)		
Yes	103 (62.4)	27 (81.2)	98 (74.2)		
Injured in earthquake					
No	137 (83.0)	25 (75.8)	112 (84.8)		
Yes	28 (17.0)	8 (24.2)	20 (15.2)		
Family member injured du	ring earthquake				
No	105 (63.6)	6 (54.5)	87 (65.9)		
Yes	60 (36.4)	27 (45.5)	45 (34.1)		
Family member disabled of	during earthquake				
No	154 (93.3)	27 (81.8)	127 (96.2)		
Yes	11 (6.7)	6 (18.2)	5 (3.8)		
Family member died durin	ig earthquake				
No	86 (52.1)	17 (51.5)	69 (52.3)		
Yes	79 (47.9)	16 (48.5)	63 (47.7)		
PSSS					
Low	32 (19.4)	7 (21.2)	18 (13.6)		
High	133 (80.6)	26 (78.8)	114 (86.4)		

PTSD diagnosis if they reported at least one re-experiencing symptom, three avoidance symptoms, and two hyper-arousal symptoms (62).

Moreover, the definition of the related post-traumatic stress symptoms is a hot topic of discussion in the recent past. For example, the DSM-V shifted from the previous three symptomatological criteria in DSM-IV to a four-criteria structure: Intrusion symptoms; Persistent avoidance; Negative alterations in cognitions and mood; Alterations in arousal and activity (51). Trauma and Loss Spectrum-Self Report (TALS-SR), which represented a valuable tool to assess the spectrum of clinical manifestations related to DSM mental disorders, also explored post-traumatic stress spectrum symptoms related to the three symptomatic criteria for PTSD diagnosis that were provided by the DSM-IV in its' Domains V, VI and VIII. The TALS-SR has been used to investigate both full and partial PTSD among survivors after L'Aquila 2009 Earthquake (63). These findings are important for the planning of future research since we can make a comprehensive evaluation of the PTSD symptoms.

This study has several strengths. First, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first nested case-control study, which is known for revealing the relationship between possible factors and delayed-onset PTSD symptoms after an earthquake. Second, this is a decade-long follow-up study, and the demonstrated relationships between unemployment/social support and delayed-onset PTSD symptoms are critical for local governments to promote survivors' health, which may be needed even 10 years after a catastrophe.

There are some limitations to this study. First, since the PTSD symptoms were not formally diagnosed by psychiatrists, self-report biases might exist. Second, the sample size was small, but the main reason for this was that most of the investigated survivors inhabited the mountainous regions, and the investigation was therefore difficult. Third, psychopathological comorbidities like mood disorders, anxiety disorders, or alcohol and substance abuse might have influenced the presence of PTSD symptoms at the second evaluation 10 years after the event (59). In addition, although we found some significant factors related to PTSD symptoms, our data were unable to shed light on causal associations.

Finally, further studies which are based upon clinician diagnosis would be needed in the future and using the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) which is considered the "gold standard" for measuring PTSD is necessary (60). Moreover, the exact causative relation needs further exploration.

#### CONCLUSION

In summary, the current evidence demonstrates that delayedonset PTSD symptoms continue to affect earthquake survivors; fortunately, a higher degree of perceived social support would reduce the risk of delayed-onset PTSD symptoms. However, it should be noted that unemployment increases the likelihood of delayed-onset PTSD symptoms. Therefore, social support should be provided not only through psychological counseling but also through targeted unemployment assistance to help survivors improve their quality of life.

#### TABLE 2 | Results of conditional logistic regression analyses.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline OR & 95\% Cl & P & OR & 95\% Cl & P \\ \hline OR & 95\% Cl & P & \\ \hline OR & 95\% Cl & P & \\ \hline OR & 95\% Cl & P & \\ \hline Med & Ref. & Ref. & \\ \hline Ferrule & 1.464 & 0.636-3.371 & 0.371 & 0.672 & 0.179-2.824 & 0.566 \\ \hline Nation & & & \\ \hline Minorly & Ref. & & \\ \hline Hen ethnic & 0.421 & 0.154-1.150 & 0.091 & 0.637 & 0.173-2.351 & 0.499 \\ \hline Education & & & \\ \hline Illinare & O.171 & 0.281-1.823 & 0.484 & 0.968 & 0.281-3.582 & 0.982 \\ \hline Jurior high school or above & 0.36 & 0.103-1.259 & 0.11 & 0.245 & 0.038-1.584 & 0.144 \\ \hline Profession & & & \\ \hline Farmer & Ref. & & \\ \hline Ref. & & \\ \hline Verkar & 0.389 & 0.293-3.009 & 0.776 & 0.693 & 0.125-3.863 & 0.075 \\ \hline Others & 2.237 & 0.401-12.480 & 0.359 & 3.847 & 0.431-34.364 & 0.228 \\ \hline Others & 2.237 & 0.401-12.480 & 0.359 & 3.847 & 0.431-34.364 & 0.228 \\ \hline Smaking & & & \\ \hline No & Ref. & & \\ \hline Yes & 0.597 & 0.195-1.833 & 0.368 & 0.816 & 0.125-5.175 & 0.829 \\ \hline Drinking & & & \\ \hline No & Ref. & & \\ \hline Yes & 0.597 & 0.195-1.833 & 0.368 & 0.816 & 0.125-5.393 & 0.719 \\ \hline Chronic disease & & & \\ \hline No & Ref. & & \\ \hline Yes & 0.597 & 0.712 & 0.712 & 0.734 & 0.137-3.939 & 0.719 \\ \hline Chronic disease & & & \\ \hline No & Ref. & & \\ \hline No & Ref. & & \\ \hline Yes & 1.58 & 0.593-4.213 & 0.36 & 1.500 & 0.524-6.943 & 0.327 \\ \hline No & Ref. & & \\ \hline Yes & 1.58 & 0.593-4.213 & 0.218 & 0.591-10.51 & 0.07 \\ \hline Farmly member disabed during earthquake & & \\ \hline No & Ref. & & \\ \hline Yes & 0.692 & 0.492-2.52 & 0.341 & 2.394 & 0.499-13.668 & 0.336 \\ \hline Farmly member disabed during earthquake & & \\ \hline No & Ref. & & \\ \hline No & Ref. & & \\ \hline High & 0.021 & 0.082-0.543 & <0.011 & 2.005 & 0.549-6.576 & 0.599 \\ \hline \ Diss's & & \\ Low & & \\ \hline Div & & \\ \hline $		Univariate estimate			Multivariate estimate		
Gender  Raf.  Raf.    Male  Raf.  0.672  0.179-2.524  0.556    Nation  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.    Han ethnic  0.421  0.154-1.150  0.091  0.637  0.179-2.524  0.556    Nation  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Hef.  D.173-2.351  0.449  0.988  0.281-3.592  0.982  Juior in type school or above  0.36  0.103-1.259  0.11  0.245  0.038-1.584  0.142    Profession  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  D.11  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.125		OR	95% CI	Р	OR	95% CI	Р
Male  Ref.  Ref.    Fernale  1.484  0.638-3.371  0.271  0.722  0.179-2.524  0.566    Nation	Gender						
Ferrale  1.464  0.636-3.371  0.371  0.672  0.179-2.524  0.566    Nation	Male	Ref.			Ref.		
NetionRef. <th< td=""><td>Female</td><td>1.464</td><td>0.636-3.371</td><td>0.371</td><td>0.672</td><td>0.179-2.524</td><td>0.556</td></th<>	Female	1.464	0.636-3.371	0.371	0.672	0.179-2.524	0.556
Monity  Ref.  Ref.    Hen ethnic  0.41  0.154-1.150  0.091  0.837  0.73-2.351  0.499    Education  Illeracy  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  0.211-3.223  0.484  0.985  0.261-3.592  0.982    Junice rights chool or above  0.371  0.213-1.823  0.484  0.986  0.261-3.592  0.982    Junice rights chool or above  0.829  0.213-1.259  0.484  0.986  0.261-3.592  0.962    Junice rights chool or above  0.829  0.229-3.009  0.76  0.693  0.425-3.833  0.675    Uhemployed  2.967  1.147-7.774  0.025  4.731  1.408-15.901  0.012    Others  2.237  0.401-12.490  0.359  3.847  0.431-3.4364  0.228    Smoking   Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  0.529    No  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  0.359  0.317-3.593  0.317    Yes  0.524  0.201-1.345	Nation						
Han ethnic  0.421  0.164-1.160  0.091  0.637  0.173-2.351  0.499    Education <td< td=""><td>Minority</td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Minority	Ref.			Ref.		
Education  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.    Primary school  0.716  0.281-1.823  0.484  0.968  0.261-3.592  0.982    Junior high school or above  0.36  0.103-1.259  0.11  0.245  0.038-1.584  0.14    Profession    Ref.  Net  Net  Net  Net  0.012  0.013  0.011  0.012  0.011  0.01  0.011  0.01  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  <	Han ethnic	0.421	0.154-1.150	0.091	0.637	0.173-2.351	0.499
Illeracy  Ref.  Ref.    Primary school  0.716  0.281-1.823  0.484  0.968  0.261-3.592  0.962    Junior high school or above  0.36  0.103-1.259  0.11  0.245  0.038-1.582  0.962    Profession   Ref.  Ref.    0.982  0.279-3.009  0.776  0.693  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.012  0.015  0.675  0.693  0.140-15.901  0.012  0.015  0.675  0.693  0.140-15.901  0.012  0.015  0.021  0.108-15.901  0.012  0.108-15.901  0.021  0.108-15.901  0.021  0.131-34.364  0.228    Smoking    Ref.  Ref.   8.847  0.431-34.364  0.228  0.431-34.364  0.289  0.129-5.175  0.829  0.281  0.368  0.816  0.129-5.175  0.829  0.181  0.137-3.539  0.717  0.524  0.524-5.175  0.829  0.176  0.524-5.493  0.327  1.010  0.07  Farrer	Education						
Pirmary school  0.716  0.281-1.823  0.484  0.968  0.281-3.892  0.982    Junior high school or above  0.36  0.103-1.259  0.11  0.245  0.038-1.584  0.14    Proflession  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.      Worker  0.829  0.229-3.009  0.776  0.683  0.125-3.853  0.675    Unemployed  2.987  1.147-7.774  0.025  4.731  1.408-15.901  0.012    Others  2.237  0.401-12.490  0.359  3.87  0.431-34.364  0.228    Smoking   Ref.  Ref.  0.295  0.847  0.431-34.364  0.289    Drinking   Ref.  Ref.  1.29-5.175  0.829  0.719    Chronic disease   Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  1.99  0.524-6.943  0.327    No  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  1.99  0.524-6.943  0.327    Toluroid disease   No  Ref. <td>Illiteracy</td> <td>Ref.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ref.</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Illiteracy	Ref.			Ref.		
Junior high school or above  0.36  0.103-1.259  0.11  0.245  0.038-1.584  0.14    Profession  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  0.0593  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.0693  0.125-3.853  0.675  0.012  0.012  0.012  0.012  0.012  0.012  0.012  0.012  0.012  0.012  0.012  0.012  0.013  0.012-3.050  0.012  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0.011  0	Primary school	0.716	0.281-1.823	0.484	0.968	0.261-3.592	0.962
Profession  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.    Worker  0.829  0.229-3.009  0.776  0.83  0.125-3.853  0.675    Unemployed  2.937  0.147-7.774  0.025  4.731  1.408-15.901  0.012    Others  2.237  0.401-12.490  0.359  3.847  0.431-34.364  0.228    Smoking   Ref.  Ref.  0.431-34.364  0.228    Smoking   Ref.  Ref.  0.431-34.364  0.228    Division  Ref.  Ref.  0.431-34.364  0.228    No  Ref.  Ref.  0.431-34.364  0.228    Others  0.401-12.490  0.359  3.847  0.431-34.364  0.228    Division  Ref.  Ref.  0.816  0.129-5.175  0.829    Division  Ref.  0.241-1.345  0.170  0.137-3.939  0.719    Othoric disease   Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  1.62 <td< td=""><td>Junior high school or above</td><td>0.36</td><td>0.103-1.259</td><td>0.11</td><td>0.245</td><td>0.038-1.584</td><td>0.14</td></td<>	Junior high school or above	0.36	0.103-1.259	0.11	0.245	0.038-1.584	0.14
Farmer  Ref.  Ref.    Worker  0.829  0.229-3.009  0.776  0.683  0.125-3.853  0.675    Unemployed  2.987  1.147-7.774  0.025  4.731  1.408-15.901  0.0228    Others  2.237  0.401-12.490  0.359  3.847  0.431-34.364  0.228    Smoking   Ref.  Ref.  0.289  0.816  0.129-5.175  0.829    Orinking  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  0.829  0.816  0.129-5.175  0.829    Diriking  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  0.819  0.749  0.734  0.137-3.939  0.719    Choric disease  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  0.368  0.327  0.369  0.524-6.943  0.327    Injured in earthquake  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  0.221  0.318  0.911-10.81  0.077    Family member injured during earthquake  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  0.224  0.244  0.244 <td>Profession</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Profession						
Worker  0.829  0.229-3.009  0.776  0.693  0.125-3.853  0.675    Unenployed  2.987  1.147-7.774  0.025  4.731  1.408-15.901  0.012    Others  2.237  0.401-12.490  0.359  3.847  0.431-34.364  0.228    Smoking	Farmer	Ref.			Ref.		
Unemployed  2.987  1.147-7.74  0.025  4.731  1.408-15.901  0.012    Others  2.237  0.401-12.490  0.359  3.847  0.431-34.364  0.228    Smoking	Worker	0.829	0.229-3.009	0.776	0.693	0.125–3.853	0.675
Others  2.237  0.401–12.490  0.359  3.847  0.431–34.364  0.228    Smoking  No  Ref.  Ref.  No  Ref.  No  Ref.  No  Ref.  Ref. <td>Unemployed</td> <td>2.987</td> <td>1.147-7.774</td> <td>0.025</td> <td>4.731</td> <td>1.408-15.901</td> <td>0.012</td>	Unemployed	2.987	1.147-7.774	0.025	4.731	1.408-15.901	0.012
Smoking  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  0.195  0.368  0.816  0.129-5.175  0.829    Dinking	Others	2.237	0.401-12.490	0.359	3.847	0.431-34.364	0.228
No  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  0.597  0.195–1.833  0.368  0.816  0.129–5.175  0.829    Drinking	Smoking						
Yes  0.597  0.195-1.833  0.368  0.816  0.129-5.175  0.829    Drinking  No  Ref.  Ref.<	No	Ref.			Ref.		
Drinking  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  0.524  0.204-1.345  0.179  0.734  0.137-3.939  0.719    Chronic disease    86.  0.179  0.734  0.137-3.939  0.719    No  Ref.   Ref.   86.  0.127  0.137-3.939  0.719    Chronic disease    Ref.   86.  0.224-6.943  0.221  0.712  0.524-6.943  0.221  0.712  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714  0.714 </td <td>Yes</td> <td>0.597</td> <td>0.195–1.833</td> <td>0.368</td> <td>0.816</td> <td>0.129-5.175</td> <td>0.829</td>	Yes	0.597	0.195–1.833	0.368	0.816	0.129-5.175	0.829
No  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  0.524  0.204–1.345  0.179  0.734  0.137–3.393  0.719    Chronic disease  No  Ref.  Ref.  No  Ref.  Ref.<	Drinking						
Yes  0.524  0.204-1.345  0.179  0.734  0.137-3.939  0.719    Chronic disease  No  Ref.  Ref. <t< td=""><td>No</td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td></td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	No	Ref.			Ref.		
Chronic disease  No  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  1.58  0.593-4.213  0.36  1.908  0.524-6.943  0.327    Injured in earthquake   No  Ref.  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  Ref.  No  No <t< td=""><td>Yes</td><td>0.524</td><td>0.204-1.345</td><td>0.179</td><td>0.734</td><td>0.137-3.939</td><td>0.719</td></t<>	Yes	0.524	0.204-1.345	0.179	0.734	0.137-3.939	0.719
No  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  1.58  0.593-4.213  0.36  1.908  0.524-6.943  0.327    Injured in earthquake  No  Ref.  Ref.  No  Ref.  Ref.  No  No  Ref.  No  No  Ref.  No  No  No  Ref.  No  No <td< td=""><td>Chronic disease</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Chronic disease						
Yes  1.58  0.593-4.213  0.36  1.908  0.524-6.943  0.327    Injured in earthquake  No  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  No  Ref.  No  Ref.  No  Ref.  No  No  No  Ref.  No  No  Ref.  No  Ref.  No	No	Ref.			Ref.		
Injured in earthquake  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  1.762  0.712-4.361  0.201  3.138  0.911-10.81  0.07    Family member injured during earthquake    Ref.    0.07    Yes  1.63  0.747-3.596  0.217  2.68  0.642-6.666  0.224    Yes  1.69  0.747-3.596  0.217  2.64  0.642-6.666  0.224    Family member disabled during earthquake   Ref.    0.217  2.64  0.642-6.666  0.224    Yes  1.62  0.747-3.596  0.217  2.64  0.642-6.666  0.224    Family member disabled during earthquake   Ref.    0.64 <t< td=""><td>Yes</td><td>1.58</td><td>0.593-4.213</td><td>0.36</td><td>1.908</td><td>0.524-6.943</td><td>0.327</td></t<>	Yes	1.58	0.593-4.213	0.36	1.908	0.524-6.943	0.327
No  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  1.762  0.712-4.361  0.221  3.138  0.911-10.81  0.07    Family member injured during earthquake	Injured in earthquake						
Yes  1.762  0.712-4.361  0.221  3.138  0.911-10.81  0.07    Family member injured during earthquake  No  Ref.  <	No	Ref.			Ref.		
No  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  1.639  0.747-3.596  0.217  2.068  0.642-6.666  0.224    Family member disabled during earthquake      0.747-3.596  0.217  2.068  0.642-6.666  0.224    Family member disabled during earthquake	Yes	1.762	0.712-4.361	0.221	3.138	0.911-10.81	0.07
No  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  1.639  0.747–3.596  0.217  2.068  0.642–6.666  0.224    Family member disabled during earthquake    Ref.	Family member injured during earthquake						
Yes  1.639  0.747–3.596  0.217  2.068  0.642–6.666  0.224    Family member disabled during earthquake  No  Ref.	No	Ref.			Ref.		
No  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  4.8  1.465–15.728  0.011  2.364  0.409–13.668  0.336    Family member died during earthquake    7  8  0.011  2.364  0.409–13.668  0.336    Family member died during earthquake    8  1.465–15.728  0.011  2.364  0.409–13.668  0.336    Family member died during earthquake    8  8  6  9  6  9 <t< td=""><td>Yes</td><td>1.639</td><td>0.747-3.596</td><td>0.217</td><td>2.068</td><td>0.642-6.666</td><td>0.224</td></t<>	Yes	1.639	0.747-3.596	0.217	2.068	0.642-6.666	0.224
No  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  4.8  1.465–15.728  0.011  2.364  0.409–13.668  0.366    Family member died during earthquake    Ref.	Family member disabled during earthquake						
Yes  4.8  1.465–15.728  0.011  2.364  0.409–13.668  0.336    Family member died during earthquake  No  Ref.  Ref.  Ref.  No  Ref.  No  Ref.  No  Ref.  No  No  Ref.  No  No  Ref.  No  No  No  Ref.  No  N	No	Ref.			Ref.		
Family member died during earthquake  Ref.  Ref.    No  Ref.  0.492–2.152  0.94  1.322  0.469–3.781  0.59    PSSS	Yes	4.8	1.465-15.728	0.011	2.364	0.409-13.668	0.336
No  Ref.  Ref.    Yes  1.029  0.492–2.152  0.94  1.332  0.469–3.781  0.59    PSSS  E    Low  Ref.  Ref.    High  0.201  0.082–0.493  <0.001  0.172  0.052–0.568  0.004	Family member died during earthquake						
Yes  1.029  0.492–2.152  0.94  1.332  0.469–3.781  0.59    PSSS  Edw  Ref.    High  0.201  0.082–0.493  <0.001  0.172  0.052–0.568  0.004	No	Ref.			Ref.		
PSSS  Ref.  Ref.    High  0.201  0.082-0.493  <0.001	Yes	1.029	0.492-2.152	0.94	1.332	0.469-3.781	0.59
Low  Ref.  Ref.    High  0.201  0.082–0.493  <0.001	PSSS						
High 0.201 0.082-0.493 <0.001 0.172 0.052-0.568 0.004	Low	Ref.			Ref.		
	High	0.201	0.082-0.493	<0.001	0.172	0.052-0.568	0.004

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

# The datasets presented in this article are not readily available because the data supporting the findings of the article are not publicly available, but it can be provided by the corresponding author on reasonable request. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to Jin Wen, huaxiwenjin@163.com.

## ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of West China Hospital of Sichuan University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. The ID of the ethical consent form is 2019343.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

YY, WZ, BL, and JW conceived the idea for the study and designed the study methodology. YY and WZ accessed and validated the dataset, did the formal data analysis, and prepared the first draft of the manuscript. YY and BL curated the data. JW supervised the study and acquired the funding for the study. All authors agreed to be cited as co-authors, accepting the order of authorship, and approved the final version of the manuscript and the manuscript submission to Frontiers in Public Health, also did the investigation, participated in the

#### REFERENCES

- Xu DD, Zhuang LM, Deng X, Qing C, Yong ZL. Media exposure, disaster experience, and risk perception of rural households in earthquake-stricken areas: evidence from rural China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:3246. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17093246
- Kang P, Lv YP, Deng QY, Liu Y, Zhang Y, Liu X, et al. Investigating Lushan earthquake victims' individual behavior response and rescue organization. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2017) 14:1556. doi: 10.3390/ijerph14121556
- Xu DD, Liu EL, Wang XX, Tang H, Liu SQ. Rural households' livelihood capital, risk perception, and willingness to purchase earthquake disaster insurance: evidence from Southwestern China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2018) 15:1319. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15071319
- Lin L, Wang Y, Liu TX. Perception of recovery of households affected by 2008 Wenchuan earthquake: a structural equation model. *PLoS ONE*. (2017) 12:e0183631. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0183631
- Li YY, Xiong X, Qiu CJ, Wang Q, Xu JJ. Mining latent information in PTSD psychometrics with fuzziness for effective diagnoses. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:16266. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-34573-7
- Wu ZB, Xu JP, He LL. Psychological consequences and associated risk factors among adult survivors of the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2014) 14:126. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-14-126
- Massazza A, Joffe H, Brewin CR. Earthquakes, attributions, and psychopathology: a study in a rural community. *Eur J Psychotraumatol.* (2019) 10:1661813. doi: 10.1080/20008198.2019.1661813
- Duan HX, Wang L, Wu JH. Psychophysiological correlates between emotional response inhibition and posttraumatic stress symptom clusters. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:16876. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-35123-x
- Upho E, Robertson L, Cabieses B, Villalon FJ, Purgato M, Churchill R, et al. An overview of systematic reviews on mental health promotion, prevention, and treatment of common mental disorders for refugees, asylum seekers, and internally displaced persons. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2020) 9:CD013458. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD013458.pub2
- Schmidt U, Kaltwasser SF, Wotjak CT. Biomarkers in posttraumatic stress disorder: overview and implications for future research. *Dis Markers*. (2013) 2013:43–54. doi: 10.1155/2013/835876
- Bruenig D, Lurie J, Morris CP, Harvey W, Lawford B, Young RM, et al. A case-control study and meta-analysis reveal BDNF Val66Met is a possible risk factor for PTSD. *Neural Plasticity*. (2016) 2016:6979435. doi: 10.1155/2016/6979435
- Masten AS, Obradovic J. Disaster Preparation and recovery: lessons from research on resilience in human development. *Ecol Soc.* (2008) 13:9. doi: 10.5751/ES-02282-130109
- Liang YM, Cheng J, Zhou YY, Liu ZK. Trajectories of posttraumatic stress disorders among children after the Wenchuan earthquake: a four-year longitudinal study. *Eur J Psychotraumatol.* (2019) 10:1586266. doi: 10.1080/20008198.2019.1586266
- GaIatzer-Levy IR, Huang SH, Bonanno GA. Trajectories of resilience and dysfunction following potential trauma: a review and statistical evaluation. *Clin Psychol Rev.* (2018) 63:41–55. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2018.05.008

critical revision of the manuscript, and were responsible for the figures.

# FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 71874115).

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank all the investigators and respondents involved in this study.

- Welch AE, Caramanica K, Maslow CB, Brackbill RM, Stellman SD, Farfel MR. Trajectories of PTSD among lower Manhattan residents and area workers following the 2001 World Trade Center Disaster, 2003-2012. *J Traum Stress*. (2016) 29:158–66. doi: 10.1002/jts.22090
- Benjet C, Bromet E, Karam EG, Kessler RC, McLaughlin KA, Ruscio AM, et al. The epidemiology of traumatic event exposure worldwide: results from the World Mental Health Survey Consortium. *Psychol Med.* (2016) 46:327– 43. doi: 10.1017/S0033291715001981
- Birkeland MS, Hansen MB, Blix I, Solberg O, Heir T. For whom does time heal wounds? individual differences in stability and change in posttraumatic stress after the 2011 Oslo bombing. J Traum Stress. (2017) 30:19–26. doi: 10.1002/jts.22158
- Smid GE, Mooren TTM, van der Mast RC, Gersons BPR, Kleber RJ. delayed posttraumatic stress disorder: systematic review, meta-analysis, and metaregression analysis of prospective studies. *J Clin Psychiatry*. (2009) 70:1572– 82. doi: 10.4088/JCP.08r04484
- Aurora RN, Zak RS, Auerbach SH, Casey KR, Chowdhuri S, Karippot A, et al. Best practice guide for the treatment of nightmare disorder in adults. J Clin Sleep Med. (2010) 6:389–401. doi: 10.5664/jcsm.27883
- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB. Posttraumaticstress-disorder in the national comorbidity survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry. (1995) 52:1048–60. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1995.03950240066012
- Duxbury F. Recognising domestic violence in clinical practice using the diagnoses of posttraumatic stress disorder, depression and low self-esteem. Br J Gen Pract. (2006) 56:294–300.
- Wilson RS, Boyle PA, Segawa E, Yu L, Begeny CT, Anagnos SE, et al. The influence of cognitive decline on well-being in old age. *Psychol Aging*. (2013) 28:304–13. doi: 10.1037/a0031196
- Li YC, Zhu HR, Ren ZJ, Lui S, Yuan ML, Gong QY, et al. Exploring memory function in earthquake trauma survivors with resting-state fMRI and machine learning. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2020) 20:43. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-2452-5
- Breslau N, Peterson EL, Schultz LR. A second look at prior trauma and the posttraumatic stress disorder effects of subsequent trauma. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. (2008) 65:431–7. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.65.4.431
- Souza RR, Noble LJ, McIntyre CK. Using the single prolonged stress model to examine the pathophysiology of PTSD. *Front Pharmacol.* (2017) 8:615. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2017.00615
- 26. Zhang KL, Li G, Wang L, Cao CQ, Fang RJ, Luo S, et al. An epistasis between dopaminergic and oxytocinergic systems confers risk of posttraumatic stress disorder in a traumatized Chinese cohort. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:19252. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-55936-8
- Tang WJ, Xu D, Xu JP. The mediating role of alexithymia between earthquake exposure and psychopathology among adolescents 8.5 years after the Wenchuan earthquake. *Pers Individ Diff.* (2020) 159:109881. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2020.1 09881
- Guo J, Fu M, Xing J, Qu Z, Wang X. Coping style and posttraumatic growth among adult survivors 8 years after the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake in China. *Pers Individ Diff.* (2017) 111:31–6. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.201 7.01.046

- Tang WJ, Wang Y, Lu L, Lu Y, Xu JP. Post-traumatic growth among 5195 adolescents at 8.5 years after exposure to the Wenchuan earthquake: roles of post-traumatic stress disorder and self-esteem. J Health Psychol. (2021) 26:2450–9. doi: 10.1177/1359105320913947
- Guo J, He H, Qu Z, Wang X, Liu C. Post-traumatic stress disorder and depression among adult survivors 8 years after the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake in China. J Affect Disord. (2017) 210:27–34. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2016.12.009
- Guo J, He H, Fu M, Han Z, Qu Z, Wang X, et al. Suicidality associated with PTSD, depression, and disaster recovery status among adult survivors 8 years after the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake in China. *Psychiatry Res.* (2017) 253:383–90. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2017.04.022
- 32. Priebe S, Marchi F, Bini L, Flego M, Costa A, Galeazzi G. Mental disorders, psychological symptoms and quality of life 8 years after an earthquake: findings from a community sample in Italy. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* (2011) 46:615–21. doi: 10.1007/s00127-010-0227-x
- 33. Lian YL, Xiao J, Wang Q, Ning L, Guan SZ, Ge H, et al. The relationship between glucocorticoid receptor polymorphisms, stressful life events, social support, and post-traumatic stress disorder. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2014) 14:232. doi: 10.1186/s12888-014-0232-9
- Sheerin CM, Kovalchick LV, Overstreet C, Rappaport LM, Williamson V, Vladimirov V, et al. Genetic and environmental predictors of adolescent PTSD symptom trajectories following a natural disaster. *Brain Sci.* (2019) 9:146. doi: 10.3390/brainsci9060146
- Simon N, Roberts NP, Lewis CE, van Gelderen MJ, Bisson JI. Associations between perceived social support, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and complex PTSD (CPTSD) : implications for treatment. *Eur J Psychotraumatolgy*. (2019) 10:1573129. doi: 10.1080/20008198.2019.1573129
- Cheng YZ, Wang F, Wen J, Shi YK. Risk Factors of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) after Wenchuan earthquake: a Case Control Study. *PLoS* ONE. (2014) 9:e96644. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096644
- 37. Onsun N, Gunes B, Yabaci A. Retention and survival rate of etanercept in psoriasis over 15 years and patient outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic: the real-world experience of a single center. *Dermatol Ther.* (2021) 34:e14623. doi: 10.1111/dth.14623
- Ren ZJ, Zhou YC, Liu YH. The psychological burden experienced by Chinese citizens during the COVID-19 outbreak: prevalence and determinants. *BMC Public Health*. (2020) 20:1617. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-09723-0
- Regue M, Poilbout C, Martin V, Franc B, Lanfumey L, Mongeau R. Increased 5-HT2C receptor editing predisposes to PTSD-like behaviors and alters BDNF and cytokines signaling. *Transl Psychiatry*. (2019) 9:100. doi: 10.1038/s41398-019-0431-8
- Lu B, Zeng W, Li Z, Wen J. Prevalence and influencing factors of posttraumatic stress disorder among survivors in the hard-hit areas ten years after the Wenchuan earthquake: a cross-sectional study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* (2020) 16:933–41. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S247527
- Conybeare D, Behar E, Solomon A, Newman MG, Borkovec TD. The PTSD checklist-civilian version: reliability, validity, and factor structure in a nonclinical sample. J Clin Psychol. (2012) 68:699–713. doi: 10.1002/jclp. 21845
- Lang AJ, Aarons GA, Gearity J, Laffaye C, Satz L, Dresselhaus TR, et al. Direct and indirect links between childhood maltreatment, posttraumatic stress disorder, and women's health. *Behav Med.* (2008) 33:125–35. doi: 10.3200/BMED.33.4.125-136
- 43. Wang JT, Howell D, Shen NP, Geng ZH, Wu FL, Shen M, et al. mHealth supportive care intervention for parents of children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: quasi-experimental pre- and postdesign study. *Jmir Mhealth Uhealth*. (2018) 6:e195. doi: 10.2196/mhealth.9981
- Chou KL. Assessing Chinese adolescents' social support: the multidimensional scale of perceived social support. *Pers Individ Diff*. (2000) 28:299– 307. doi: 10.1016/S0191-8869(99)00098-7
- 45. Su XY, Lau JTF, Mak WWS, Choi KC, Feng TJ, Chen X, et al. A preliminary validation of the Brief COPE instrument for assessing coping strategies among people living with HIV in China. *Infect Dis Poverty*. (2015) 4:41. doi: 10.1186/s40249-015-0074-9
- 46. Hou X-L, Wang H-Z, Hu T-Q, Gentile DA, Gaskin J, Wang J-L. The relationship between perceived stress and problematic social networking

site use among Chinese college students. J Behav Addict. (2019) 8:306–17. doi: 10.1556/2006.8.2019.26

- Zimet GD, Powell SS, Farley GK, Werkman S, Berkoff KA. Psychometric characteristics of the multidimensional scale of perceived social support. *J Pers Assess.* (1990) 55:610–7. doi: 10.1207/s15327752jpa5503&4\_17
- Wang XD, Wang XL, Ma H. Perceived social support scale. In: Wang X, Wang X, Ma H, editors. *Manual of Mental Health Assessment Scale*. Beijing: Chinese Mental Health Journal (1999). p. 117.
- Engel S, van Zuiden M, Frijling JL, Koch SBJ, Nawijn L, Yildiz RLW, et al. Early posttraumatic autonomic and endocrine markers to predict posttraumatic stress symptoms after a preventive intervention with oxytocin. *Eur J Psychotraumatol.* (2020) 11:1761622. doi: 10.1080/20008198.2020.17 61622
- Dalum HS, Korsbek L, Mikkelsen JH, Thomsen K, Kistrup K, Olander M, et al. Illness management and recovery (IMR) in Danish community mental health centres. *Trials*. (2011) 12:195. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-12-195
- Carmassi C, Barberi FM, Cordone A, Maglio A, Dell'Oste V, Dell'Osso L. Trauma, PTSD and post-traumatic stress spectrum: 15 years' experience on a multidimensional approach to trauma related psychopathology. J Psychopathol. (2020) 26:4–11. doi: 10.36148/2284-0249-376
- Thordardottir EB, Valdimarsdottir UA, Hansdottir I, Hauksdottir A, Dyregrov A, Shipherd JC, et al. Sixteen-year follow-up of childhood avalanche survivors. *Eur J Psychotraumatol.* (2016) 7:30995. doi: 10.3402/ejpt.v7.30995
- Bowler RM, Harris M, Li J, Gocheva V, Stellman SD, Wilson K, et al. Longitudinal mental health impact among police responders to the 9/11 terrorist attack. *Am J Indust Med.* (2012) 55:297–312. doi: 10.1002/ajim.22000
- 54. Thormar SB, Gersons BPR, Juen B, Djakababa MN, Karlsson T, Olff M. Organizational factors and mental health in community volunteers. The role of exposure, preparation, training, tasks assigned, and support. *Anxiety Stress Coping*. (2013) 26:624–42. doi: 10.1080/10615806.2012.743021
- Maercker A, Horn AB. A Socio-interpersonal perspective on PTSD: the case for environments and interpersonal processes. *Clin Psychol Psychother*. (2013) 20:465–81. doi: 10.1002/cpp.1805
- Navarro-Mateu F, Salmeron D, Vilagut G, Tormo MJ, Ruiz-Merino G, Escamez T, et al. Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder and other mental disorders in the general population after Lorca's earthquakes, 2011 (Murcia, Spain) : a cross-sectional study. *PLoS ONE.* (2017) 12:e0179690. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0179690
- 57. Carmassi C, Dell'Oste V, Foghi C, Bertelloni CA, Conti E, Calderoni S, et al. Post-traumatic stress reactions in caregivers of children and adolescents/young adults with severe diseases: a systematic review of risk and protective factors. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2021) 18:189. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18010189
- Carmassi C, Foghi C, Dell'Oste V, Bertelloni CA, Fiorillo A, Dell'Osso L. Risk and protective factors for PTSD in caregivers of adult patients with severe medical illnesses: a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2020) 17:5888. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17165888
- Carmassi L, Rossi A, Pedrineffi V, Cremone IM, Dell'Oste V, Stratta P, et al. PTSD in the aftermath of a natural disaster: what we learned from the Pisa-L'Aquila collaboration Project. J Psychopathol. (2020) 26:99–106. doi: 10.36148/2284-0249-377
- Boeschoten MA, Van der Aa N, Bakker A, Ter Heide FJJ, Hoofwijk MC, Jongedijk RA, et al. Development and evaluation of the dutch clinicianadministered PTSD scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5). *Eur J Psychotraumatol.* (2018) 9:1546085. doi: 10.1080/20008198.2018.1546085
- 61. Guillen A, Curot J, Birmes PJ, Denuelle M, Gares V, Taib S, et al. Suicidal ideation and traumatic exposure should not be neglected in epileptic patients: a multidimensional comparison of the psychiatric profile of patients suffering from epilepsy and patients suffering from psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Front Psychiatry*. (2019) 10:303. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00303
- Andersen TE, Andersen LAC, Andersen PG. Chronic pain patients with possible co-morbid post-traumatic stress disorder admitted to multidisciplinary pain rehabilitation-a 1-year cohort study. *Eur J Psychotraumatol.* (2014) 5:23235. doi: 10.3402/ejpt.v5.23235
- Carmassi C, Bertelloni CA, Dell'Oste V, Luperini C, Marazziti D, Rossi R, et al. PTSD and suicidal behaviors amongst L'Aquila 2009 earthquake young survivors. *Front Public Health.* (2021) 9:590753. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.590753

 Tarsitani I, Vassalini P, Koukopoulos A, Borrazzo C, Alessi F, Di Nicolantonio C, et al. Post-traumatic stress disorder among covid-19 survivors at 3-month follow-up after hospital discharge. J Gen Intern Med. (2021) 36:1702–7. doi: 10.1007/s11606-021-06731-7

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Yang, Zeng, Lu and Wen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.