



Photoresponsive Porphyrin Nanotubes of *Meso*-tetra(4-Sulfonatophenyl)Porphyrin and Sn(IV) *meso*-tetra(4-pyridyl)porphyrin

Ekaterina A. Koposova^{1,2}, Andreas Offenhäusser¹, Yuri E. Ermolenko² and Yulia G. Mourzina^{1*}

¹ Forschungszentrum Jülich, Institute of Complex Systems-8 (Bioelectronics), Jülich, Germany, ² Institute of Chemistry, Saint-Petersburg State University, Saint-Petersburg, Russia

Porphyrin macrocycles and their supramolecular nanoassemblies are being widely explored in energy harvesting, sensor development, catalysis, and medicine because of a good tunability of their light-induced charge separation and electron/energy transfer properties. In the present work, we prepared and studied photoresponsive porphyrin nanotubes formed by the self-assembly of meso-tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin and Sn(IV) meso-tetra(4-pyridyl)porphyrin. Scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy showed that these tubular nanostructures were hollow with open ends and their length was $0.4-0.8\,\mu$ m, the inner diameter was 7–15 nm, and the outer diameter was 30–70 nm. Porphyrin tectons, $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$: Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺, self-assemble into the nanotubes in a ratio of 2:1, respectively, as determined by the elemental analysis. The photoconductivity of the porphyrin nanotubes was determined to be as high as 3.1×10^{-4} S m⁻¹, and the dependence of the photoconductance on distance and temperature was investigated. Excitation of the Q-band region with a Q-band of SnTPyP⁴⁺ (550-552 nm) and the band at 714 nm, which is associated with J-aggregation, was responsible for about 34 % of the photoconductive activity of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ porphyrin nanotubes. The sensor properties of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ - Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ nanotubes in the presence of iodine vapor and salicylate anions down to millimolar range were examined in a chemiresistor sensing mode. We have shown that the porphyrin nanotubes advantageously combine the characteristics of a sensor and a transducer, thus demonstrating their great potential as efficient functional layers for sensing devices and biomimetic nanoarchitectures.

Keywords: porphyrin nanotubes, Sn(IV) porphyrin, *meso*-tetra(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin, π -tecton, supramolecular nanoassembly, photoconductivity, chemiresistor, salicylate

INTRODUCTION

The self-organization of tetrapyrroles in the form of molecular aggregates is known in biological systems for its role in light harvesting, energy transformation, and electron transport. The properties and functions of natural chlorophyll pigments, which in the composition of the chloroplasts carry out the photosynthesis, and hemes, which in the composition of hemoglobin carry out oxygen transport, in the composition of myoglobin—its storage, and in the composition of cytochromes—catalysis of biological redox reactions, inspired wide use of porphyrins and their

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Penglei Chen, Institute of Chemistry (CAS), China

Reviewed by:

Feng Bai, Henan University, China Tianyu Wang, University of Science and Technology Beijing, China

> *Correspondence: Yulia G. Mourzina y.mourzina@fz-juelich.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Supramolecular Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 18 February 2019 Accepted: 29 April 2019 Published: 16 May 2019

Citation:

Koposova EA, Offenhäusser A, Ermolenko YE and Mourzina YG (2019) Photoresponsive Porphyrin Nanotubes of Meso-tetra(4-Sulfonatophenyl)Porphyrin and Sn(IV) meso-tetra(4-pyridyl)porphyrin. Front. Chem. 7:351. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2019.00351

1

molecular assemblies as biomimetic materials in systems replicating photosynthesis, electron transport, and enzymatic catalysis. Therefore, the self-assembly of porphyrin macrocycles, whose nanostructures have interesting electronic and optical properties, is being used in search for new nanoscale materials in the field of reversible binding and (photo)catalysis, biomimetic sensors, solar energy conversion, and electrically active components in various nanodevices (Fukuzumi and Imahori, 2008; El-Khouly et al., 2014; Fuhrhop, 2014; Guo et al., 2014; Ou et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016; Koposova et al., 2016a; Mirkovic et al., 2017; Paolesse et al., 2017).

Particular attention has been given in the past to the watersoluble porphyrins. The synthesis of the first J-aggregates of a water-soluble porphyrin, 5,10,15,20-tetra(4-sulphonatophenyl) porphyrin, formed in acidified aqueous solutions, provided the stimulus for the investigations of the self-assembled porphyrin nanostructures (Pasternack et al., 1972; Ohno et al., 1993; Mchale, 2012). Fine-tuning the properties of a metallo-porphyrin complex by varying its different components, such as the molecular skeleton, peripheral substituents, coordinated metal as well as pH and ionic strength of the solutions, allows the creation of self-assembled nanostructures of various shapes with different properties. Self-assembly of porphyrin tectons into nanostructures is controlled by a multiplicity of non-covalent interactions such as hydrogen bonds, electrostatic and π - π interactions, axial coordination, and van der Waals forces (Guldi and Imahori, 2004; Martin et al., 2010, 2013; Würthner et al., 2011).

The electrical and photoconductivity properties of aromatic π -conjugated porphyrin macrocycles and their nanoarchitectures are intensively studied. The π -conjugated porphyrin macrocycles absorb the visible light energy, which may lead to the intermolecular transfer or delocalization of the excitation energy in porphyrin aggregates and arrays making them photoconductive under application of electric field (Weigl, 1957; Golubchikov and Berezin, 1986; Kobayashi et al., 1993; Chou et al., 2000; Drain, 2002; Schwab et al., 2004; Yeats et al., 2008; Kocherzhenko et al., 2009; Friesen et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2010; Riley et al., 2010; Cai et al., 2014; Adinehnia et al., 2016; Koposova et al., 2016b, 2018; Borders et al., 2017). So far, electrical properties of porphyrin nanostructures and the mechanisms of charge transport in their aggregates have not been investigated and explained in details. Photoconductivity was reported in J-aggregates of free-base porphyrins (Schwab et al., 2004; Yeats et al., 2008; Friesen et al., 2010; Riley et al., 2010), whereby most studies so far have dealt with the selfassembled nanorods of 5,10,15,20-tetra(4-sulphonatophenyl) porphyrin. Later on, Adinehnia et al. (2016) and Borders et al. (2017) studied photoconductive properties of porphyrin nanostructures composed of oppositely charged free-base porphyrins: 5,10,15,20-tetra(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin with 5,10,15,20-tetra(N-methyl-4-pyridyl)porphyrin, TPPS₄:TMPyP, (Adinehnia et al., 2016) or 5,10,15,20-tetra(4-pyridyl)porphyrin, TPPS₄:TPyP, (Borders et al., 2017).

Martin et al. (2010) described photoconductive microscale clover-shaped structures self-assembled from the water-soluble

metalloporphyrins Zn(II)TPPS⁴⁻ as a donor and Sn(IV)T(N- $EtOH-4-Py)P^{4+}$ as an acceptor. The authors discussed the observed photoconductivity of the nanostructures in terms of exciton delocalization and charge-transfer exciton theory based on a model of the electron-donor-acceptor chargetransfer complex tetrathiafulvalene-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TTF-TCNQ) (Ferraris et al., 1973). By analogy with TTF-TCNQ, the authors proposed an arrangement of individual electron donor, Zn(II)TPPS⁴⁻, and acceptor, Sn(IV)T(N-EtOH-4-Py)P⁴⁺, porphyrin molecules in segregated stacks, whereby the charge carriers produced by photoexcitation can move along the stacks, when an electric potential is applied in the direction of the stacks. Formation of heteroaggregates with charge-transfer interactions was also found earlier in the assembly of electron-attracting Au(III) porphyrin and electron-releasing Zn(II) porphyrin (Segawa et al., 1989). Segawa et al. (1992b) reported photoinduced electron-transfer reactions in porphyrin heteroaggregates of the water-soluble Au(III) and Zn(II) porphyrins and formation of the contact radical ion pair as a result of photoexcitation. Recently, photoinduced charge separation has been observed for the ion-pairs obtained from water-soluble cationic and anionic porphyrins ZnTMePyP⁴⁺ or H₂TMePyP⁴⁺ and ZnTPPS⁴⁻ or H₂TPPS⁴⁻ (Natali and Scandola, 2016). In this system, the porphyrins of cationic character were reduced, while the porphyrins of anionic character were oxidized. Collman et al. (2000) reported a series of bis(metalloporphyrin) sandwich complexes as charge-transfer materials and their conductivities. Porphyrins as molecular acceptors form donor-acceptor systems with other molecular donors (Segawa et al., 1992a; Jana et al., 2017). The above studies give an evidence for the electron-donor-acceptor charge-transfer complex mechanism of the photoconductivity of the porphyrin dimers, aggregates, arrays, and nanostructures. However, a better knowledge of the supramolecular non-covalently bonded porphyrin nanoaggregates and their properties is required to understand natural macrocycles assemblies, evaluate their utility as electrical components in nanodevices, and advance the exploitation of their properties, such as excited state delocalization, energy and electron transfer, and photoconductivity in bio-mimicking materials in the fields of photodetectors, solar energy applications, catalysis, and sensors. Earlier, we reported on the self-assembly and photoconductivity of the porphyrin nanostructures in systems of meso-substituted Co(III) and Sn(IV) porphyrins as well as meso-substituted Co(III) and free-base porphyrins. In this report, we present the morphological, spectral, electrochemical properties, and photoconductivity phenomenon of self-assembled porphyrin nanostructures of meso-tetra(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin and Sn(IV) meso-tetra(4-pyridyl)porphyrin, which shows the highest photoconductivity of the last systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Sn(IV) *meso*-tetra(4-pyridyl)porphyrin dichloride and *meso*-tetra(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin dihydrochloride were

obtained from Frontier Scientific (>95% purity) and used as received, (**Figure 1**). All other chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich. Diamond (1 μ m) and alumina (0.05 μ m) polishing suspensions and the corresponding polishing pads were from ALS Co. All solutions were prepared using distilled water. Sodium hydroxide and hydrochloric acid solutions were used to adjust the pH.

Nanotube Synthesis

The porphyrin nanotubes were prepared using equal volumes of the 10 μ M stock solutions of individual porphyrins (an equimolar, 1:1 concentration ratio) and adjusting the pH of the mixture to pH 2, 6, and 10.5. The formation of colloids started immediately at pH 2, while at pH 6 and 10.5 no nanostructure formation was observed. The nanostructures were also prepared using 1:5 and 5:1 concentration ratios of Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ : H₄TPPS²⁻₄ in solutions at pH 2. The colloidal solutions remained in the dark for 5 days. To prepare the stock solutions, Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ was dissolved in 0.02 M HCl, while H₂TPPS⁴⁻₄/H₄TPPS²⁻₄ (TPPS₄) was dissolved in water.

Characterization of the Structure and Composition

The nanostructures were characterized by scanning electron microscopy using a Gemini 1550 VP SEM (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). To prepare the samples for SEM, 50 µL of the nanostructures solutions were dropped on the Si substrates, and the samples were left to dry for 12 h. After that, the samples were washed with 0.01 M HCl, water, and left to dry. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained using a FEI Tecnai G2 F20 microscope operated at 200 kV. EDX analysis was performed using a JEOL 840 A. The samples of the nanostructures for the EDX analysis were concentrated in a 0.02 M HCl by centrifugation (10,000 rpm 7 min) and washing several times until a transparent supernatant solution was obtained to remove not self-assembled porphyrins. Fifty microliter of the nanostructures solutions were dropped on the Si/SiO₂ substrates, and the samples were left to dry. This procedure was repeated four times. After that, the samples were washed with 0.01 M HCl, water, and left to dry. The AFM measurements of the nanostructures on the Si/SiO₂ substrates were performed using a MultiMode scanning probe system (Bruker) in a tapping mode. The samples were prepared as described above for the SEM samples.

The optical spectra were obtained on a PerkinElmer Lambda 900 spectrometer. Quartz cuvettes with a path length of 10 and 5 mm were used. Individual porphyrin solutions for spectra measurements were prepared by dissolving $Sn(IV)TPyP^{4+}$ in acidified water (pH = 2.0) and $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ in water. The samples of the nanostructures for the UV-vis spectrometry were concentrated in a 0.02 M HCl by centrifugation (10,000 rpm 7 min) and washing several times until a transparent supernatant solution was obtained to remove not self-assembled porphyrins.

The ratio of S:N atoms was found using an elemental analysis, which determines the absolute element content. The samples of the nanorods for the elemental analysis were prepared by centrifugation (10,000 rpm 7 min) and washing of the nanorods

solutions with subsequent drying of the collected sediments and analyzed by the "vario El cube" (Elementar) with a thermal conductivity detector.

Electrochemical Methods

Electrochemical experiments were performed with a potentiostat (Autolab PGSTAT100, The Netherlands) controlled by the Nova 2.1 software. The experimental setup for recording the cyclic voltammograms included a three-electrode electrochemical cell. A coiled platinum wire was used as a counter electrode. A glassy carbon electrode (BASi Inc.) with a diameter of 3 mm was used as a working electrode. The potentials were controlled relative to a double junction Ag/AgCl, Metrohm, Ag | AgCl | KCl 3 M::0.5 M KCl reference electrode. The solution in the bridge of the reference electrode was replaced after each measurement to avoid contaminations of the electrochemical cell. Measurements were carried out under Ar in the dark at room temperature $(21 \pm 1^{\circ}C)$. Glassy carbon electrodes were cleaned before each electrochemical measurement as described below: the electrodes were polished with diamond $(1 \,\mu m)$ and then alumina $(0.05 \,\mu m)$ slurry on the respective polishing cloths. Immediately after polishing, the electrodes were rinsed with distilled water and sonicated in a mixture of water and ethanol for about 10s to remove polishing residues from the electrode surface. The electrodes were then thoroughly rinsed with distilled water and dried with nitrogen.

Electrophotoresponse Measurements

To measure the (photo)conductance of the porphyrin nanorods, two types of thin-film gold electrodes were used: gold electrode pairs with an electrode gap of 400 nm, (Figure S1), and interdigitated electrode arrays with a 2 µm spacing between the electrode lines and an electrode line thickness of 2 µm. The electrodes were produced on a Si substrate with a silicon dioxide layer of 1,000 nm thickness using electron beam lithography, liftoff process, and thin-film technologies in an ISO 5 cleanroom as described in detail in Muratova et al. (2016). Thin metal layers of titanium for adhesion (10 nm) and gold (50 nm) were deposited by means of an electron beam evaporation using a Pfeiffer PLS 500 equipment. After fabrication, the electrodes were cleaned in acetone and isopropanol. After that, the electrodes were treated with oxygen plasma (\ll Plasma system FEMTO \gg). Finally, the electrodes were rinsed with ethanol and distilled water. Two microliter of the porphyrin nanostructures solution was pipetted onto the electrodes and the samples were allowed to dry in the dark for 2 h. The samples were then rinsed with 0.01 M HCl, water, and allowed to dry for 24 h. The measurements were also performed using an equimolar solution of TPPS₄ and Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ of pH 6 instead of the nanostructure solution. The device was prepared in a similar way as for the nanostructures, namely, 2 µL of the solution of porphyrins was pipetted onto the electrodes and the device was allowed to dry in the dark for 2 h. The device was then rinsed with water and allowed to dry for 24 h.

The (photo)conductance was recorded with a Keithley 4200 SCS semiconductor analyzer using a two-probe configuration. Applied potential was 0.5 V. The gold electrodes were connected to the external circuit by contacting the bond pads with tungsten



needles. A 150 W xenon arc lamp assembled with an AM1.5 filter (Oriel Instruments, Model No. 6255) and a visible light filter (390–630 nm) was used for photoexitation. The light intensity of the beam focused on the sample during experiments was measured using a photodetector (CAS140CT-154 Kompakt-Array-Spektrometer model UV-vis-NIR, Instrument Systems) and equaled 29 mW cm⁻².

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nanotube Morphology

Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ and $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ solutions produced brown precipitates in a self-assembly reaction at pH 2. It should be noted that that for a concentration ratio of 5:1 (Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ : $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$), a very small amount of the nanostructures is formed in a self-assembly reaction, which makes them not very suitable for practical use. SEM, TEM, and AFM analyses revealed that these two porphyrins form the tubular nanostructures, (**Figures 2–4**) and (**Figures S2, S3**).

TEM images show that the tubular nanostructures are hollow with open ends. These structural details are clearer at the edges of the round formed conglomerates, because the material layers overlap at the places with a higher density of nanotubes. Additionally, the open ends of the nanotubes can be seen in some of the SEM images, e.g., (**Figure S2B**). The nanotubes have a length of $0.4-0.8 \,\mu$ m, an inner diameter of 7–15 nm, and an outer diameter of 30–70 nm, (**Figures 2–4**) and (**Figures S2, S3**).

Interestingly, SEM and AFM investigations indicated a presence of two types of the nanostructures in a system, where the porphyrins were taken in a 1:5 (Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺: $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$) concentration ratio, (**Figures 2C,D, 4C,D**) and (**Figures S2, S4**). One kind of the nanostructures is similar to the nanostructures in the systems, where the porphyrins were taken in 1:1 and 5:1 (Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ and $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$)

concentration ratios, while the presence of much thinner nanorods of about 10 nm diameter and patches (unrolled pieces of nanorods) of about 6 nm thickness is observed in a 1:5 (Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ : $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$) system, (Figures 2C,D) and (**Figures S2, S4**). We assume that these thinner and segregated nanostructures are $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ self-assembled nanorods formed due to the excess of this porphyrin because of the structural and optical absorption similarity (see below). The thin nanorods and patches were observed to be located under the thicker $Sn(IV)TPyP^{4+}-H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ nanotubes and on the edges of the layers formed by the thick $Sn(IV)TPyP^{4+}-H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ nanotubes, (Figures 2C,D) and (Figure S2). One may conclude that the excess of $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ results in a larger dispersion of the nanostructures, which is also supported by the AFM investigation below, producing at least two types of the nanostructures. These segregated thin nanorods and patches do not form, however, continuous layers like the thick Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺-H₄TPPS₄²⁻ nanotubes form.

An interesting feature of the nanostructures self-assembled in a system, where the porphyrins were taken in a 5:1 $(Sn(IV)TPyP^{4+}:H_4TPPS_4^{2-})$ concentration ratio, in comparison with other two systems at pH 2 was a transparency of the nanotubes, (**Figures 2E,F**) which is probably related to their thickness or non-dense structure.

AFM analysis showed that the nanostructures selfassembled from equimolar solutions of two porphyrins produced smoother layers, (**Figures 4A,B**), while the nanostructures obtained from 1:5 (Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ : H₄TPPS₄²⁻) solutions formed more rough layers, (**Figures 4C,D**), which might be explained by the presence of the nanostructures of two types. Additionally, AFM showed a different morphology of the nanotube surfaces formed in a system, where the porphyrins were taken in a 5:1 (Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ : H₄TPPS₄²⁻) concentration ratios. One can observe a nodular or twisted surfaces of the



FIGURE 2 [SEM images of the $H_4 IPPS_4^-$ -Sn(IV) IPyP⁴⁺ porphyrin nanostructures formed by the self-assembly in solutions at pH = 2.0 and Sn(IV) IPyP⁴⁺ and $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$ taken in a 1:1 concentration ratio (**A**,**B**), Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ and $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$ taken in a 1:5 concentration ratio (**C**,**D**), the arrow shows the second type of nanostructures formed in this system, which are thinner nanorods, also visible in image (**C**), and Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ and $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$ taken in a 5:1 concentration ratio (**E**,**F**).

nanotubes, (**Figures 4E,F**). This feature requires, however, further investigations.

The nanotubes in the TEM images appear in round formed agglomerates, being connected together by one edge and diverging in different directions from one place. The appearance of the round nanostructure conglomerates may emerge either in the solution during synthesis after the formation of individual nanotubes which then stick together or after deposition of the nanotubes on a solid substrate and subsequent water evaporation. Thus, SEM, TEM, and AFM analyses show that the structural features of the $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$ –Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ binary nanotubes differ essentially from those of the self-assembled $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$ nanorods. The latter are well-segregated nanorods with an essentially smaller outer diameter of about 10–15 nm, as it is shown in **Figure S5**. The smaller nanostructures in the system, where the porphyrins in the solution were taken in a concentration ratio of 1:5 (Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ : $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$), were probably the result of self-assembly mainly $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$ because of its excess. A synergy of various intermolecular interactions and structural features of individual porphyrin tectons (Agranovich



and Bassani, 2003; Guldi and Imahori, 2004; Medforth et al., 2009; Koposova et al., 2016b, 2018) are responsible for a great multiplicity of the observed 1D to 3D geometries of porphyrin molecular aggregates formed by self-assembly.

The self-assembly of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$: $SnTPyP^{4+}$ porphyrin nanotube (2.4:1 mol mol⁻¹) was first shown by Shelnutt and co-workers (Wang et al., 2004). The self-assembly was highly pH dependent, since the protonation states of the porphyrin molecules determined the balance of molecule charges for the assembly (Wang et al., 2004; Franco et al., 2010). At pH = 2.0, partially dissociated sulfonato-groups ($pK_a = 2.6$ for benzenesulfonic acid), a protonated center of $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ (pKa = 4.9), and protonated pyridyl groups of $Sn(IV)TPyP^{4+}$ (pK_a = 5.2 for pyridine) participate in the molecular assembly. Influence of the pH on the porphyrin molecular assembly was also documented in the study of the Sn(IV)TPPS₄-Co(III)TPyP nanostructures, where the Sn:Co atomic ratio was found to be 1:1.15 at pH 2.7 and 1:3 at pH 4.8 (Koposova et al., 2016b). These molecule ratios were due to the neutralization of anionic and cationic porphyrin species at different pH. Accordingly, pH plays an important role in the self-assembly, since it determines the electrostatic interactions of the porphyrin tectons.

In our study, TPPS₄ and Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ taken in a 1:1 concentration ratio did not self-assembly into the nanostructures at pH 6 and 10.5, where TPPS₄ is predominantly in its deprotonated H_2 TPPS₄⁴⁻ form. This indicates that the presence of the protonated center of H_4 TPPS₄²⁻ and its assembly into the slipped face-to-face configuration is a driving force for the self-assembly process, while the Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ tectons, which do not produce the nanostructures by its own, co-assembly in this self-assembly process. In these cases, the optical absorption spectra, (**Figure 5**), of Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ and TPPS₄ taken in 1:1 concentration ratio at pH 6 and 10.5 are practically overlap of the optical absorption spectra of the individual porphyrins at the same pH and are not indicative for the formation of new

species. In addition, as it was mentioned earlier, a very small amount of nanostructures was formed if the initial molar ratio of $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$: SnTPyP⁴⁺ in solution was 1:5, pH 2. Altogether, these observations indicate that $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$ represents the driving force for self-assembly in this porphyrin couple, while SnTPyP⁴⁺ is rather included due to its cationic nature.

The UV-visible absorption spectrum of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ nanorods demonstrates a sharp J-band at 491 nm red-shifted from the monomer absorption and distinguished by its narrowness and high extinction coefficient together with a band at 706 nm in a Q-region typical for the J-aggregates of $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$, (Figure 5).

Absorption spectrum of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -SnTPyP⁴⁺ nanotubes prepared using different molar ratios of the porphyrins in the solutions at pH 2 in our study, Figures 5A,C, indicates presence of the J-aggregates in the Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺-H₄TPPS₄²⁻ selfassembly according to the characteristic absorption bands at $\lambda =$ 500–516 nm and $\lambda = 714-726$ nm. The bands are red-shifted and broadened compared to the absorption bands of the H₄TPPS₄²⁻ nanorods (dark yellow line), (Figures 5A,C). Interestingly, that in the case of a system, where $SnTPyP^{4+}$ and $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ porphyrins were taken in a concentration ratio of 1:5 (green line), (Figure 5C), the J-band at about 500-516 nm is splitted, which may support existence of two types of nanostructures in this system, as it was discussed above. The presence of J-aggregate bands indicates that excitons may be delocalized over multiple molecules (Torres and Bottari, 2013). Thin nanotubes formed by self-assembly of the metal-free porphyrin H₄TPPS₄²⁻ into slipped face-to-face columnar arrangements as well as the mechanism of their formation have been presented and discussed in a series of studies (Ohno et al., 1993; Maiti et al., 1995; Würthner et al., 2011; Mchale, 2012). Inclusion of the hexacoordinated Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ metalloporphyrin with a heavy metal results in thicker nanotubes with larger sizes saving stack configuration in the assembly and interrupting the usual dipole coupling that



FIGURE 4 | AFM images of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ porphyrin nanostructures formed by the self-assembly in solutions at pH = 2.0 and Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ and $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ taken in a 1:1 concentration ratio (**A,B**), Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ and $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ taken in a 1:5 concentration ratio (**C,D**), and Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ and $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ taken in a 5:1 concentration ratio (**E,F**).



features characteristic for the absorption of individual porphyrins (C).

lead to broadening of J-bands (Franco et al., 2010). Unlike $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$, Sn(IV)TMPyP⁴⁺ does not form homoaggregates on its own (George et al., 2010). This may be explained by the presence of the obligate axial ligands of the Sn(IV) porphyrin, which is expected to inhibit macrocycle stacking due to the lack of a cationic center (Ohno et al., 1993; Franco et al., 2010). The Sn⁴⁺ ion with the axially coordinated Cl⁻ ions hinder the faceto-face geometry, preventing its own J-aggregates but allowing electrostatic interaction between $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$ and $SnTPyP^{4+}$ via the cationic and anionic peripheral groups (Rosaria et al., 2008), which is another force of the assembly process. In Koposova et al. (2016b), a cationic center of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ dianion was replaced with a metal cation, which resulted in a network-like nanostructures prepared by self-assembly of two metalloporphyrins, Sn(IV)TPPS₄-Co(III)TPyP, instead of wellformed nanorods characteristic for the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ self-assembly. Thus, the central metal affects the assembly dimension (Rosaria et al., 2008), which is useful for modulating the aggregate size and properties. Based on previous literature and our experiments above, we assume that the main driving force of self-assembly in this porphyrins pair is assembly of the TPPS₄ dianion, $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$, with a slipped face-to-face stacking with inclusion of

a six-coordinated tin porphyrin, which do not form aggregates by its own. However, exact relative molecular arrangement of both porphyrins in these nanostructures remains under discussion (Wang et al., 2004; Franco et al., 2010).

In the present study, EDX spectroscopy showed a presence of both porphyrins (according to the presence of both sulfur and tin elements) in the self-assembled nanotubes, (**Figure 6** and **Figure S6**). Because of the poor accuracy of quantitative EDX analysis, we used chemical elemental analysis to estimate the presence of both porphyrins in the nanotubes. Since a very small amount of the nanostructures is formed in a 5:1 (Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ : H₄TPPS²⁻₄) solution, and system 1:5 (Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ : H₄TPPS²⁻₄) produced at least two different kinds of nanostructures, we performed an elemental analysis of the nanostructures self-assembled from the equimolar porphyrin solutions at pH 2, **Figure S7**, which indicated a H₄TPPS²⁻₄ : SnTPyP⁴⁺ molar ratio in the nanostructures of 2:1.

Photoconductivity

The electrophotoresponse of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}-SnTPyP^{4+}$ porphyrin nanotubes was measured in the dark (dark current) and after photoexcitation with visible light, (**Figure 7**).



Photoexcitation resulted in a photocurrent in case of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -SnTPyP⁴⁺ porphyrin nanotubes as one can see in **Figure** 7. Photoresponse of a device, where an equimolar solution of TPPS₄ and SnTPyP⁴⁺ porphyrins (pH 6) was dropcasted onto the device and prepared for the measurements in the same way as for the nanostructures (see section Electrophotoresponse Measurements) is also shown. However, no photocurrent was observed in this case probably due to the absence of a permanent layer of porphyrins in the gap between the contact electrodes in the conditions of experiments and a lower photoconductivity of the individual porphyrin molecular layers.

After subtracting the dark current, the apparent photoconductivity of the H₄TPPS₄²⁻ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ nanotubes at 23 °C was estimated as $(3.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$ S m⁻¹ (*n* = 5). Slightly lower and less reproducible value, $(2.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$ S m⁻¹, was found for the nanostructures prepared in a solution with a $Sn(IV)TPyP^{4+}$: $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ concentration ratio of 1:5. We assume that this might be due to the presence of several types of the smaller nanostructures formed by $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$, which do not form a continuous layer over hundreds of nm distances, (Figures 2C,D and Figure S5), and contact area with the metal electrodes. The latter value is thus not a characteristic of one type of nanostructures, but rather characterizes a nonhomogeneous mixture of the nanostructures obtained in this system. Amounts of the nanostructures prepared in a solution with a $Sn(IV)TPyP^{4+}$: $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ concentration ratio of 5:1 was practically very small for the accurate measurements of photoconductivity, giving a similar approximate value of (1.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10⁻⁴ S m⁻¹ (n = 2). Identical spectral features of the nanostructures prepared at pH 2, (Figure 5C), are in agreement with similar values of photoconductivities in these systems.

The value of the apparent photoconductivity of the $\rm H_4TPPS_4^{2-}\text{-}Sn(IV)TPyP^{4+}$ nanotubes is lower than for the



FIGURE 7 | Visible light photoresponse of the H₄TPPS²⁻₄-SnTPyP⁴⁺ nanotubes prepared in an equimolar solution at pH 2, electrode gap 400 nm, $V_{app} = 0.5$ V. A dark blue curve shows a visible light photoresponse of a device with no nanostructures. The device was prepared by dropcasting an equimolar solution of TPPS₄ and SnTPyP⁴⁺ (pH 6) onto the chip as described in experimental section Electrophotoresponse Measurements.

porphyrin-acetylene-thiophene polymer wires synthesized in Li et al. (2004), which, had, however, covalent nature, (**Table 1**). On the other hand, the apparent photoconductivity of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ nanotubes is higher by several orders of magnitude than for similar systems, (**Table 1**), and corresponds to the conductivity region of semiconductors (Kobayashi et al., 1993; Naarman, 2012). As can be seen in **Table 1**, the photoconductivities of the porphyrin films and porphyrin self-assembled nanostructures were demonstrated in a range of 10^{-10} - 10^{-3} S m⁻¹ (Golubchikov and Berezin, 1986).

TABLE 1 | Conductivity and photoconductivity of different self-assembled and covalently bonded porphyrin systems.

Porphyrin system	σ, S m ⁻¹	References
Tetraphenylporphine (TPP) film ^a	1.5 × 10 ⁻⁹	Weigl, 1957
Oxygen-doped (air) ZnTPP thin film ^b	<10 ⁻⁹	Kobayashi et al., 1993
Charge-transfer complexes of Lanthanide(III) bis(porphyrin) sandwich complexes of Gd and Lu and Zr(IV) bis(porphyrin) sandwiches ^b	10^{-5} -8 × 10 ⁻³	Collman et al., 2000
Cu-porphyrin Langmuir-Blodgett film ^b	2.54 \times 10 ⁻⁵ (x axis) and 4.71 \times 10 ⁻⁷ (y axis)	Zhang et al., 1995
Porphyrin-acetylene-thiophene polymer wires ^b	6×10^{-1}	Li et al., 2004
P(V)-porphyrin(electron acceptor)-oligothiophene(electron donor) polymers ^{b,c}	1.2×10^{-7} -5.1 × 10 ⁻⁶	Segawa et al., 1992a
Porphyrin polymer with oligophenylenevinylene		Jiang et al., 1997
bridge undoped ^b	<10 ⁻¹⁰	
after doping ^b	10 ⁻⁴	
Nanofilaments of tetra- <i>meso</i> -amidophenyl substituted porphyrin ^{a.d} 90–400 W m ⁻² , bias 1 V	up to 100 \times 10 ⁻¹² m Ω^{-1} W ⁻¹	Schall et al., 2015
meso-tri(4-sulfonatophenyl)monophenylporphine, TPPS3, nanotapes ^{a,d}	$5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m } \Omega^{-1} \text{ W}^{-1}$	Yeats et al., 2008
Chlorophyll-a ^{a,e}	$(0.2-1.0) \times 10^{-8}$	Jones et al., 1983
Protoporphyrin IX dimethyl ester	1.5×10^{-5}	
TPyP:TSPP crystalline rods ^{a,f}	9.3×10^{-8}	Borders et al., 2017
TMPyP:TSPP crystalline rods ^{a,g}	4.0×10^{-10}	Adinehnia et al., 2016
H ₂ TMPyP:Cu(II)TSPP ^{a,h}	7.7×10^{-8}	Borders et al., 2018
Cu(II)TMPyP:H ₂ TSPP	1.1×10^{-8}	
H ₂ TMPyP:Ni(II)TSPP	7.7×10^{-9}	
Ni(II)TMPyP: H ₂ TSPP	6.3×10^{-9}	
Sn(IV)TPPS ₄ -Co(III)TPyP nanostructures ^{a,i}	6×10^{-7}	Koposova et al., 2016b
Co(III)TPyP-H ₄ TPPS ₄ ²⁻ porphyrin nanostructures ^{a,i}	5×10^{-5}	Koposova et al., 2018
Sn(IV)TPyP-H ₄ TPPS ₄ ²⁻ porphyrin nanotubes ^{a,i}	3.1×10^{-4}	This work

^aPhotoconductivity.

^bConductivity.

^c In polymers the conductivity was enhanced by the photoirradiation. In the case of photoirradiation by 500 W Xe lamp through UV and IR cut-off filters, the enhancement was > 3 fold (Segawa et al., 1992a).

^d The photoconductivity in this study is defined as the conductivity divided by the light intensity.

^ePhotoconductivity parallel to the plane of the multilayer, in-plane photoconductivity, 50 W m⁻² of white light, 5 V bias.

 $^{f}At 405 nm excitation, 10 kW m^{-2}, 2 V bias.$

^gAt 445 nm excitation, 10 kW m⁻², 2 V bias.

^hAt 445 nm excitation, $1.0 W \text{ cm}^{-2}$, 5 V bias.

^{*i*}Visible light, Xe lamp, 0.25 kW m^{-2} , bias 0.5 V.

Figure 6A shows that the photocurrent of the self-assembled $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ nanotubes decreases over the μm distances. The dependence of the photroconductance of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -SnTPyP⁴⁺ nanostructures on temperature was investigated in the range from 23 to 70°C, (Figure 6B). Increasing the temperature resulted in a decrease in the photocurrent, $d\sigma/dT < 0$. At the highest temperature, 70 °C, a decrease in the dark current was also observed. The metal-like character of the dependence of the photoconductivity of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ –SnTPyP⁴⁺ nanotubes on temperature was also observed earlier for the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Co(III)T(4-Py)P self-assembled nanostructures (Koposova et al., 2018). Furthermore, the photoconductivity of the Sn(IV)TPPS4-CoTPyP nanostructures was characterized by the decrease in both photocurrent and dark current at the elevated temperature (Koposova et al., 2016b). The observed dependence may be explained by overcoming the energy of intermolecular interactions with increased disorder, as well as the recombination of electrons and holes at elevated temperatures. A similar metal-like character of the conductance was also observed in a TTF-TCNQ complex in a narrow range of temperature (Ferraris et al., 1973) and arrayed iodine-doped metallo-macrocycles (Schramm et al., 1980; Hoffman and Ibers, 1983; Golubchikov and Berezin, 1986). After cooling down to the initial temperature, the conductance properties recovered and photoconductance even increased slightly, (**Figure 6B**) (dotted line). This indicates that the destruction of the nanostructure assembly does not occur during heating.

Photoinduced charge transfer in self-assembled porphyrin nanomaterials can be described in terms of charge-transfer exciton theory, where two neighboring porphyrin molecules with different electronic characteristics form an electron-donoracceptor charge-transfer complex (Segawa et al., 1989, 1992b; Knoester and Agranovich, 2003; Scholes and Rumbles, 2006; Zhu et al., 2009; Martin et al., 2010; Natali and Scandola, 2016). This theory assumes that the charge-transfer excitons in the electron-donor-acceptor complexes are generated by absorption of light, and that these are essential for the creation of free

Photoresponsive Porphyrin Nanotubes

carriers (Knoester and Agranovich, 2003). The locations of the hole and electron on different porphyrin molecules due to their different electron donating and electron accepting properties (Knoester and Agranovich, 2003; Martin et al., 2010; Natali and Scandola, 2016) increases the electron and hole separation distance and the probability of a free charge-carrier formation. An applied electric field may favor the charge separation (Scholes and Rumbles, 2006) and result in a photocurrent. Exciton theory has been applied to biomolecular aggregates in the lightharvesting systems of plants and several types of green bacteria, which absorb sunlight and transport the excitation energy to the reaction centers (Knoester and Agranovich, 2003).

As it was mentioned in the introduction section, Martin et al. (2010) described the photoconductance of the microscale clovershaped assemblies of two metalloporphyrins $Zn(II)TPPS^{4-}$ and $Sn(IV)T(N-EtOH-4-Py)P^{4+}$ in terms of the charge-transfer excitons produced in the photoexcited nanostrucutres. ZnPs were considered donors and Sn(IV)Ps were considered acceptors because of the redox potentials estimations based on the literature data for the Zn and Sn(IV)OEPs. The segregated stacking of molecules similar to that in a classical donor-acceptor organic solid TTF-TCNQ was supposed. In this configuration, the excitation with light resulted in electrons on acceptor porphyrin in columns of positive charges of the pyridinium groups, and the holes remained on porphyrin with a donor character with channels formed by the negative charges of the sulfonate groups.

The donor and acceptor character of the nanorod tectons can be estimated from the energy levels of each component. This can be approached using cyclic voltammetry, which reveals the first oxidation and reduction potentials and, as a result, the relative location of the porphyrin energy levels (Mairanovsky, 1987; Bouvet and Simon, 1990; Rieger, 1994; Kadish and Van Caemelbecke, 2003; Martin et al., 2010). Under defined conditions (Delahay, 1954; Rieger, 1994), the half-wave potentials of the compounds can be taken as an approximation to the standard potentials and it is expected that their values correlate with the electron affinity of the compounds. The electron affinity is expected to be related to the energy of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital. We carried out cyclic voltammetry of TPPS₄ and Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺, (Figure 7 and Table 2). Measurements were performed at pH=2.0 and 3.5 for SnTPyP⁴⁺, and at pH = 4.0 for $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ (dimerization, self-assembly) and pH = 6.9 for $H_2 TPPS_4^{4-}$, respectively. On the one hand, the measurements at higher pH were impeded by a poor solubility of Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺. On the other hand, $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ forms dimers, J-aggregates, and nanotubes in neutral and acidic media (pH < 4.8), respectively, and the redox peaks were very sluggish and poorly defined. In general, adsorption also complicates the electrochemical measurements of TPPS4 and Sn(IV)TPyP compounds. Therefore, we used a negative shift of 0.030 V pH^{-1} for the first reduction of SnTPyP (found from the values taken at pH 2.0 and 3.5) to estimate $E_{1/2}^{red}$ of about -0.534 V for SnTPyP at pH 6.9. It is lower in energy than that found for H_2 TPPS⁴⁻₄ at this pH. The oxidation peak could be resolved only for $H_2TTPS_4^{4-}$ at pH 6.9. The oxidation peak of Sn(IV)TPyP is at more positive potentials interfering with the decomposition of the aqueous solutions. The CV of the NS

TABLE 2 | Half-wave reduction ($E_{1/2}^{red}$) and oxidation E_p^{ox} potentials of TPPS₄ and Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ at different pH in aqueous solution.

Porphyrin	E _{1/2} ^{red} , E ^{ox} _p , V			
	pH = 2.0	pH = 3.5	pH = 4.0	pH = 6.9
TPPS4			(-0.434) ^a	-0.703
			-	+0.868
Sn(IV)TPyP	-0.384	-0.429	-	(-0.534) ^b
	>1.40	>1.40		-

^aPossible formation of dimers or larger aggregates.

^bCalculated assuming ca. 30 mV pH⁻¹ for Sn(IV)TPyP.

adsorbed overnight on a GCE (washed thoroughly with water before measurements) revealed a broad feature corresponding presumably to the reduction of the Sn(IV)TPyP species at a lower potential window of about -0.6 to -0.4 V (Ag/AgCl). It also revealed a reduction process at a higher energy of about -0.7 V (Ag/AgCl), (Figure 7), presumably corresponding to the reduction processes of the TPPS₄ species. However, it was shown that the redox potentials of the porphyrins may be shifted due to their interactions, e.g., as shown for the porphyrin ion-paired porphyrin dimers (Natali and Scandola, 2016). Altogether, the data suggest that Sn(IV)TPyP can be considered as a molecule with more acceptor properties and TPPS₄ as a molecule with more donor properties in this couple. Indeed, this is in agreement with the fact that the Sn(IV) complex is considered one of the most electropositive metalloporphyrins (Fuhrhop et al., 1973; Koposova et al., 2016a). While it is stable against electrophilic attack, it is very reactive with reducing agents (Fuhrhop et al., 1973). Moreover, the Py substituents of the porphyrin ring have more electron-withdrawing properties than 4-sulfonatophenyl substituents, contributing to lowering the reduction potential of Sn(IV) porphyrins with Py substituents of the macrocycle and the stability of a π -radical anion of Sn(IV)P (Jahan et al., 2012; Koposova et al., 2016a). It is worth mentioning that the CV of the adsorbed nanostructures indicates that the energy levels of individual molecules may be changed in the nanostrucutres, as shown for the porphyrin ion pairs (Natali and Scandola, 2016).

Thus, applying this principle to the couple under investigation and based on the cyclic voltammetry data we can assume that photoirradiation may lead to exciton delocalization in the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ porphyrin nanotubes, where Sn(IV)TPyP porphyrin possesses an acceptor character and $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ porphyrin possesses a donor character in this couple, although, the positions of LUMO and HOMO for $Sn(IV)TPyP^{4+}$ and $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ are difficult to define at the same conditions. However, donor-acceptor interactions and charge-transfer exciton may appear not only in systems with two types of molecules but for one type of molecules such as chlorophyll (Katz, 1979), in the case of separation and transportation of the photogenerated electron-hole pairs in the 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin nanospheres (Zhang et al., 2015), or molecule crystals such as anthracene, naphthalene, etc. (Knoester and Agranovich, 2003). In the latter case, any molecule in the crystal can play the role of donor or acceptor.



FIGURE 8 [Dependence of the photocurrent of the H₄ 1PPS⁴⁻₄ - Sn(IV) 1PyP⁴⁺ porphyrin nanotubes on the path length, interdigitated electrode array (see experimental section), $V_{app} = 0.5 V$ (**A**). Temperature dependence of the photocurrent of the H₄TPPS²⁻₄ - Sn(IV) TPyP⁴⁺ nanotubes: 23°C–black line, 30°C– red line, 40°C–blue line, 50°C– violet line, 70°C– green line, after cooling down to 23°C–dotted line. Insert shows a dependence of ln σ_{photo} on 1,000/*T*, 400 nm electrode gap, $V_{app} = 0.5 V$ (**B**).



Additionally, it was supposed in the above-mentioned works (Franco et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2010) that the location of the hole on the positively charged porphyrins (Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺) and the electrons on the negatively charged porphyrins (H₄TPPS₄²⁻) might be energetically unfavorable and result in electron-hole recombination not favoring the conductivity (Martin et al., 2010). These data also support the charge-transfer mechanism in the H₄TPPS₄²⁻ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ system with Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ as an electron acceptor and H₄TPPS₄²⁻ as a donor.

It is interesting to compare the apparent photoconductivity of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ nanotubes with that of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Co(III)T(4-Py)P self-assembled nanotubes (Koposova et al., 2018) and Sn(IV)TPPS₄-Co(III)T(4-Py)P nanostructures (Koposova et al., 2016b), which we studied recently. In the first two systems, the optical UV-visible spectra of the self-assembled nanostructures exhibit J-aggregate absorbance bands at about 500 nm, 716 nm and 494 nm, 709 nm, respectively, while the absorption spectrum of the Sn(IV)TPPS₄ - Co(III)T(4-Py)P nanostructures lacks these bands, probably because of the longer intermolecular distances, weaker intermolecular interactions, and a weaker electronic coupling in the latter system. As a result, the apparent conductivities of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ and $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Co(III)T(4-Py)P self-assembled nanotubes are higher due to a higher probability of the exciton delocalization. Additional factors, which may contribute to the higher photoconductivity of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ system is closeness of the energies of unoccupied molecular orbitals and electron affinity of the porphyrins in this system as follows from the above mentioned experiments so that no completed redox processes between two porphyrins takes place, which would stop a directed electron flow. Further studies on elucidation of exact relative arrangement and packing of different porphyrin molecules in the nanostructures may be useful to explain influence of the structural features on photoconductivity in the porphyrin nanotubes.

Porphyrin and porphyrin nanostructures are important compounds for the development of sensors (Malinski, 2000; Guo et al., 2014; Paolesse et al., 2017; Skripnikova et al., 2017). In particular, Sn(IV)Ps are responsible for the selectivity to salicylate anions in ion-selective electrodes due to axial salicylate ligand binding to the metal center (Malinski, 2000; Skripnikova et al., 2017). In this study, we also examined the sensing properties of the $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ nanorods based on their photoconductivity in a chemiresistor sensor mode (Muratova et al., 2016, Figure 8A). Figure 8B illustrates the change in the photocurrent of the nanostructures after exposure to Sal⁻-ions at room temperature. All samples were dried before the measurements. Insert in Figure 8B demonstrates a corresponding dependence of the photoconductivity of the H₄TPPS²⁻₄-Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ nanorod chemiresistor on the concentration of salicylate ions. We suppose that a relatively large error of measurements is due to a poor reproducibility



of the interface between porphyrin nanorods and the contact metal electrodes, (**Figure 8A**). However, these experiments show a potential utility of the self-assembled porphyrin nanorods as functional layers for the sensor devices.

Both the dark current and photoconductance of the $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}\mbox{-}SnTPyP^{4+}$ nanorods increased in case of exposure to iodine vapor, Figure 10C. The photocurrents of porphyrin films pretreated with iodine or oxygen electron acceptors were found to be higher than those without pretreatment (Yamashita and Maenobe, 1980; Hoffman and Ibers, 1983; Zhang et al., 1995; Savenije and Goossens, 2001). The contribution of acceptor impurities, e.g., O2 or iodine, should be taken into account in the exciton mechanism of the photoconductance of porphyrins, where a charge-transfer complex formation with an acceptor such as iodine (Hoffman and Ibers, 1983) or oxygen (Kobayashi et al., 1993) was proposed. Recently, a nanoelectronic chemosensor was proposed for the detection of vapor-phase H₂O₂ based on the self-assembled Ti porphyrin (Guo et al., 2014). It can be assumed that hydrogen peroxide influences the number of charge carriers in the porphyrin nanostructure-based channel, which would be responsible for the sensor sensitivity.

The absorption spectrum of SnTPyP⁴⁺-H₄TPPS₄²⁻ porphyrin nanotubes has a series of absorption bands in 400–750 nm

region, (**Figure 4**). Previous studies have shown that the photoconductivity is observed at a laser illumination of 488 nm (close to the absorption wavelength of J-aggregates) in the TPPS₄ and TPPS₃ nanorods (Schwab et al., 2004; Yeats et al., 2008), any wavelength of absorption in TTP (Weigl, 1957), and at different wavelengths with different intensity according to the absorption spectra of the nanorods assembled from TSPP and TMPyP or TPyP (Adinehnia et al., 2016; Borders et al., 2017). In this study, we found that the Q-band region with a Q-band of Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ (550–552 nm) and the J-band of nanostructures at 714 nm are responsible for about 34% of photoconductance of the self-assembled $H_4TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ porphyrin nanotubes by exposure to visible light irradiation with an additional 550 nm longpass filter.

CONCLUSIONS

We investigated morphological, spectral, and electrical properties of the self-assembled $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}-Sn(IV)TPyP^{4+}$ tubular nanostructures formed by monomers at pH = 2.0. The formation of hollow tubes can include a series of mechanisms of self-assembly assuming a combination of a series of intermolecular interactions. The $H_4 TPPS_4^{2-}$ -Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ nanotube network demonstrates a photoconductivity under

visible light in a semiconductor range of $(3.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$ S m⁻¹. The temperature dependence of the photoconductance of the nanotubes showed a metal-like character of decreasing current with increasing temperature. The photocurrent decreased over the μ m distances. It was found that excitation of the Q-band region with a Q-band of Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ (550–552 nm) and the J-band of the nanostructures at 714 nm is responsible for about 34% of the photoconductance activity of the H₄TPPS₄^{2–} –Sn(IV)TPyP⁴⁺ - porphyrin nanotubes. The sensor properties of the nanotubes in a chemiresistor mode were tested to demonstrate a perspective of the self-assembled porphyrin nanorods as functional layers for the sensor devices and biomimetic nanoarchitectures.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All datasets generated for this study are included in the manuscript and/or the **Supplementary Files**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EK made substantial contributions to design, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data, and participated in drafting the article. AO and YE made substantial contributions to conception, analysis, and interpretation of data, and participated

REFERENCES

- Adinehnia, M., Borders, B., Ruf, M., Chilukuri, B., Hipps, K. W., and Mazur, U. (2016). Comprehensive structure–function correlation of photoactive ionic π -conjugated supermolecular assemblies: an experimental and computational study. *J. Mater. Chem. C* 4, 10223–10239. doi: 10.1039/C6TC03957J
- Agranovich, E. V. M., and Bassani, G. F. (2003). *Electronic Excitations in Organic Based Nanostructures*. Oxford, UK: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Borders, B., Adinehnia, M., Chilukuri, B., Ruf, M., Hipps, K. W., and Mazur, U. (2018). Tuning the optoelectronic characteristics of ionic organic crystalline assemblies. J. Mater. Chem. C 6, 4041–4056. doi: 10.1039/C8TC00416A
- Borders, B., Adinehnia, M., Rosenkrantz, N., Van Zijll, M., Hipps, K. W., and Mazur, U. (2017). Photoconductive behavior of binary porphyrin crystalline assemblies. *J. Porphyrins Phthalocyan.* 21, 569–580. doi: 10.1142/S1088424617500638
- Bouvet, M., and Simon, J. (1990). Electrical properties of rare earth bisphthalocyanine and bisnaphthalocyanine complexes. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 172, 299–302. doi: 10.1016/0009-2614(90)85407-4
- Cai, J., Chen, H., Huang, J., Wang, J., Tian, D., Dong, H., et al. (2014). Controlled self-assembly and photovoltaic characteristics of porphyrin derivatives on a silicon surface at solid-liquid interfaces. *Soft Matter* 10, 2612–2618. doi: 10.1039/c3sm53061b
- Chen, Y., Li, A., Huang, Z.-H., Wang, L.-N., and Kang, F. (2016). Porphyrinbased nanostructures for photocatalytic applications. *Nanomaterials* 6:51. doi: 10.3390/nano6030051
- Chou, J. H., Kosal, M. E., Nalwa, H. S., Rakow, N. A., and Suslick, K. S. (2000). "Application of porphyrins and metalloporphyrins of materials chemistry," in *The Porphyrin Handbook*, eds K. M. Kadish, K. M. Smith, and R. Guilard (San Diego, CA: Academic Press), 346.
- Collman, J. P., Kendall, J. L., Chen, J. L., Collins, K. A., and Marchon, J.-C. (2000). Formation of charge-transfer complexes from neutral bis(porphyrin) sandwiches. *Inorg. Chem.* 39, 1661–1667. doi: 10.1021/ic9907516
- Delahay, P. (1954). *New Instrumental Methods in Electrochemistry*. New York, NY: Interscience Publ. Inc.

in drafting the article. YM made substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data, and participated in drafting the article. All authors gave final approval of the submitted manuscript.

FUNDING

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. D. Mayer (ICS-8) for the AFM analysis and discussion, Dr. S. Willbold (Central Institute of Chemical Analysis) for the elemental analysis, Dr. M. Heggen (Ernst Ruska-Center) for the TEM investigations, W. Sybertz and E. Brauweiller-Reuters for the SEM and EDX studies, Dr. V. Schöps and Dr. I. Muratova for the thin-film gold electrodes.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem. 2019.00351/full#supplementary-material

- Drain, C. M. (2002). Self-organization of self-assembled photonic materials into functional devices: photo-switched conductors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 5178–5182. doi: 10.1073/pnas.062635099
- El-Khouly, M. E., Fukuzumi, S., and D'souza, F. (2014). Photosynthetic antenna-reaction center mimicry by using boron dipyrromethene sensitizers. *ChemPhysChem* 15, 30–47. doi: 10.1002/cphc.2013 00715
- Ferraris, J., Walatka, V., Perlstein, J., and Cowan, D. O. (1973). Electron-transfer in a new highly conducting donor-acceptor complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 948–949. doi: 10.1021/ja00784a066
- Franco, R., Jacobsen, J. L., Wang, H., Wang, Z., Istvan, K., Schore, N. E., et al. (2010). Molecular organization in self-assembled binary porphyrin nanotubes revealed by resonance raman spectroscopy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 12, 4072–4077. doi: 10.1039/b926068d
- Friesen, B. A., Wiggins, B., Mchale, J. L., Mazur, U., and Hipps, K. W. (2010). Differing HOMO and LUMO mediated conduction in a porphyrin nanorod. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 8554–8556. doi: 10.1021/ ja103078q
- Fuhrhop, J. H. (2014). Porphyrin assemblies and their scaffolds. *Langmuir* 30, 1–12. doi: 10.1021/la402228g
- Fuhrhop, J. H., Kadish, K. M., and Davis, D. G. (1973). The redox behavior of metallooctaethylporphyrins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 5140–5147. doi: 10.1021/ja00797a008
- Fukuzumi, S., and Imahori, H. (2008). "Biomimetic electron-transfer chemistry of porphyrins and metalloporphyrins," in *Electron Transfer in Chemistry*, ed V. Balzani (Weinheim: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbHGmbH), 927–975. doi: 10.1002/9783527618248.ch31
- George, R. C., Egharevba, G. O., and Nyokong, T. (2010). Spectroscopic studies of nanostructures of negatively charged free base porphyrin and positively charged tin porphyrins. *Polyhedron* 29, 1469–1474. doi: 10.1016/j.poly.2010.01.028
- Golubchikov, O. A., and Berezin, B. D. (1986). Applied aspects of the chemistry of porphyrins. Uspehi Chimii 8, 1361–1389. doi: 10.1070/RC1986v055n08ABEH003221

- Guldi, D. M., and Imahori, H. (2004). Supramolecular assemblies for electron transfer. J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines 8, 976–983. doi: 10.1142/S1088424604000337
- Guo, P., Zhao, G., Chen, P., Lei, B., Jiang, L., Zhang, H., et al. (2014). Porphyrin nanoassemblies via surfactant-assisted assembly and single nanofiber nanoelectronic sensors for high-performance H₂O₂ vapor sensing. ACS Nano 8, 3402–3411. doi: 10.1021/nn406071f
- Hoffman, B. M., and Ibers, J. A. (1983). Porphyrinic molecular metals. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 16, 15–21. doi: 10.1021/ar00085a003
- Jahan, M., Bao, Q., and Loh, K. P. (2012). Electrocatalytically active grapheneporphyrin MOF composite for oxygen reduction reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 6707–6713. doi: 10.1021/ja211433h
- Jana, A., Ishida, M., Park, J. S., Bähring, S., Jeppesen, J. O., and Sessler, J. L. (2017). Tetrathiafulvalene- (TTF-) derived oligopyrrolic macrocycles. *Chem. Rev.* 117, 2641–2710. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00375
- Jiang, B., Yang, S.-W., and Jones, W. E. (1997). Conjugated porphyrin cpolymers: control of chromophore separation by oligophenylenevinylene bridges. *Chem. Mat.* 9, 2031–2034. doi: 10.1021/cm970225h
- Jones, R., Tredgold, R. H., and Hodge, P. (1983). Langmuir-Blodgett films of simple esterified porphyrins. *Thin Solid Films* 99, 25-32. doi: 10.1016/0040-6090(83)90355-3
- Kadish, K. M., and Van Caemelbecke, E. (2003). Electrochemistry of porphyrins and related macrocycles. J. Solid State Electrochem. 7, 254–258. doi: 10.1007/s10008-002-0306-3
- Katz, J. J. (1979). "Charge separation in synthetic photoreaction centers," in *Light-Induced Charge Separation in Biology and Chemistry*, eds H. Gerischer and J. J. Katz (Weinheim: Chemie), 331–359.
- Knoester, J., and Agranovich, V. M. (2003). "Frenkel and charge-transfer excitons in organic solids," in *Electronic Excitations in Organic Based Nanostructures*, eds V. M. Agranovich and G. F. Bassani (Oxford, UK: Elsevier Academic Press), 508. doi: 10.1016/S1079-4050(03)31001-4
- Kobayashi, N., Andrew Nevin, W., Mizunuma, S., Awaji, H., and Yamaguchi, M. (1993). Ring-expanded porphyrins as an approach towards highly conductive molecular semiconductors. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 205, 51–54. doi: 10.1016/0009-2614(93)85165-K
- Kocherzhenko, A. A., Patwardhan, S., Grozema, F. C., Anderson, H. L., and Siebbeles, L. D. A. (2009). Mechanism of charge transport along zinc porphyrin-based molecular wires. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 5522–5529. doi: 10.1021/ja809174y
- Koposova, E., Liu, X., Pendin, A., Thiele, B., Shumilova, G., Ermolenko, Y., et al. (2016a). Influence of meso-substitution of the porphyrin ring on enhanced hydrogen evolution in a photochemical system. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 120, 13873–13890. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b01467
- Koposova, E. A., Ermolenko, Y. E., Offenhäusser, A., and Mourzina, Y. G. (2018). Self-assembly and photoconductivity of binary porphyrin nanostructures of meso-tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphine and Co(III) meso-tetra(4pyridyl)porphine chloride. *Colloid Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 548, 172–178. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2018.03.053
- Koposova, E. A., Pendin, A. A., Ermolenko, Y. E., Shumilova, G. I., Starikova, A. A., and Mourzina, Y. G. (2016b). Morphological properties and photoconductivity of self-assembled Sn/Co porphyrin nnostructures. *Rev. Adv. Mater. Sci.* 45, 15–19. Available online at: http://www.ipme.ru/e-journals/RAMS/no_ 14516/03_14516_koposova.pdf
- Li, G., Wang, T., Schulz, A., Bhosale, S., Lauer, M., Espindola, P., et al. (2004). Porphyrin-acetylene-thiophene polymer wires. *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 552–553. doi: 10.1039/B313415F
- Mairanovsky, V. G. (1987). "Electrochemistry of porphyrins," in *Porphyrins: Spectroscopy, Electrochemistry, Application*, ed N. Enikolopyan (Moscow: Nauka), 127–181.
- Maiti, N. C., Ravikanth, M., Mazumdar, S., and Periasamy, N. (1995). Fluorescence dynamics of non-covalently linked porphyrin dimers and aggregates. J. Phys. Chem. 99, 17192–17197. doi: 10.1021/j100047a024
- Malinski, T. (2000). "Porphyrin-based electrochemical sensors," in *The Porphyrin Handbook*, eds K. M. Kadish, K. M. Smith, and R. Guilard (San Diego, CA: Academic Press), 232–256.
- Martin, K. E., Tian, Y., Busani, T., Medforth, C. J., Franco, R., Van Swol, F., et al. (2013). Charge effects on the structure and composition of porphyrin binary

ionic solids: ZnTPPS/SnTMePyP nanomaterials. Chem. Mat. 25, 441-447. doi: 10.1021/cm303595s

- Martin, K. E., Wang, Z., Busani, T., Garcia, R. M., Chen, Z., Jiang, Y., et al. (2010). Donor-acceptor biomorphs from the ionic self-assembly of porphyrins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 8194–8201. doi: 10.1021/ja102194x
- Mchale, J. L. (2012). "Hierarchal structure of light-harvesting porphyrin aggregates," in *J-Aggregates*, ed T. Kobayashi (Singapore: World Scientific), 77–118. doi: 10.1142/9789814365796_0003
- Medforth, C. J., Wang, Z., Martin, K. E., Song, Y., Jacobsen, J. L., and Shelnutt, J. A. (2009). Self-assembled porphyrin nanostructures. *Chem. Commun.* 2009, 7261–7277. doi: 10.1039/b914432c
- Mirkovic, T., Ostroumov, E. E., Anna, J. M., Van Grondelle, R., Govindjee, and Scholes, G. D. (2017). Light absorption and energy transfer in the antenna complexes of photosynthetic organisms. *Chem. Rev.* 117, 249–293. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00002
- Muratova, I. S., Mikhelson, K. N., Ermolenko, Y. E., Offenhäusser, A., and Mourzina, Y. (2016). Chemiresistors based on ultrathin gold nanowires for sensing halides, pyridine and dopamine. *Sens. Actuator B Chem.* 232, 420–427. doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2016.03.151
- Naarman, H. (2012). "Polymers, electrically conducting," in Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, ed B. Elvers (Weinheim: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH), 295–314.
- Natali, M., and Scandola, F. (2016). Photoinduced charge separation in porphyrin ion pairs. J. Phys. Chem. A 120, 1588–1600. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpca.6b00960
- Ohno, O., Kaizu, Y., and Kobayashi, H. (1993). J-aggregate formation of a watersoluble porphyrin in acidic aqueous media. *J. Chem. Phys.* 99, 4128–4139. doi: 10.1063/1.466109
- Ou, X., Chen, P., Jiang, L., Shen, Y., Hu, W., and Liu, M. (2014). π-Conjugated molecules crosslinked graphene-based ultrathin films and their tunable performances in organic nanoelectronics. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 24, 543–554. doi: 10.1002/adfm.201302153
- Paolesse, R., Nardis, S., Monti, D., Stefanelli, M., and Di Natale, C. (2017). Porphyrinoids for chemical sensor applications. *Chem. Rev.* 117, 2517–2583. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00361
- Pasternack, R. F., Huber, P. R., Boyd, P., Engasser, G., Francesconi, L., Gibbs, E., et al. (1972). Aggregation of meso-substituted water-soluble porphyrins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 4511–4517. doi: 10.1021/ja00768a016
- Rieger, P. H. (1994). Electrochemistry. New York, NY: Chapman & Hall Inc. doi: 10.1007/978-94-011-0691-7
- Riley, C. K., Muller, E. A., Feldman, B. E., Cross, C. M., Aken, K. L. V., Johnston, D. E., et al. (2010). Effects of O₂, Xe, and gating on the photoconductivity and persistent photoconductivity of porphyrin nanorods. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 114, 19227–19233. doi: 10.1021/jp1068494
- Rosaria, L., D'urso, A., Mammana, A., and Purrello, R. (2008). Chiral memory: induction, amplification, and switching in porphyrin assemblies. *Chirality* 20, 411–419. doi: 10.1002/chir.20464
- Savenije, T. J., and Goossens, A. (2001). Hole transport in porphyrin thin films. *Phys. Rev. B* 64:115323. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.64.115323
- Schall, A. P., Iavicoli, P., Qi, Z. J., Menko, J., Lu, Y., Linares, M., et al. (2015). Photoconductivity of nanofilaments that are self-assembled from a porphyrin with long alkyl-chain substituents. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 119, 26154–26163. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b07902
- Scholes, G. D., and Rumbles, G. (2006). Excitons in nanoscale systems. *Nat. Mater.* 5, 683–697. doi: 10.1038/nmat1710
- Schramm, C. J., Scaringe, R. P., Stojakovic, D. R., Hoffman, B. M., Ibers, J. A., and Marks, T. J. (1980). Chemical, spectral, structural, and charge transport properties of the "molecular metals" produced by iodination of nickel phthalocyanine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 6702–6713. doi: 10.1021/ja00542a008
- Schwab, A. D., Smith, D. E., Bond-Watts, B., Johnston, D. E., Hone, J., Johnson, A. T., et al. (2004). Photoconductivity of self-assembled porphyrin nanorods. *Nano Lett.* 4, 1261–1265. doi: 10.1021/nl049421v
- Segawa, H., Nakayama, N., and Shimidzu, T. (1992a). Electrochemical synthesis of one-dimensional donor-acceptor polymers containing oligothiophenes and phosphorus porphyrins. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1992, 784–786. doi: 10.1039/C39920000784
- Segawa, H., Nishino, H., Kamikawa, T., Honda, K., and Shimidzu, T. (1989). Hetero-aggregation between gold porphyrins and zinc porphyrins

through charge transfer interaction. Chem. Lett. 18, 1917–1920. doi: 10.1246/cl.1989.1917

- Segawa, H., Takehara, C., Honda, K., Shimidzu, T., Asahi, T., and Mataga, N. (1992b). Photoinduced electron-transfer reactions of porphyrin heteroaggregates: energy gap dependence of an intradimer charge recombination process. J. Phys. Chem. 96, 503–506. doi: 10.1021/j100181a001
- Skripnikova, T. A., Starikova, A. A., Shumilova, G. I., Ermolenko, Y. E., Pendin, A. A., and Mourzina, Y. G. (2017). Towards stabilization of the potential response of Mn(III) tetraphenylporphyrin-based solid-state electrodes with selectivity for salicylate ions. *J. Solid State Electrochem.* 21, 2269–2279. doi: 10.1007/s10008-017-3575-6
- Torres, T., and Bottari, G. (2013). Organic Nanomaterials: Synthesis, Characterization, and Device Applications. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons Inc. doi: 10.1002/9781118354377
- Wang, Z., Medforth, C. J., and Shelnutt, J. A. (2004). Porphyrin nanotubes by ionic self-assembly. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 15954–15955. doi: 10.1021/ja045068j
- Weigl, J. W. (1957). Spectroscopic properties of organic photoconductors. Part IV. TetraphenyIporphine. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1, 216–222. doi: 10.1016/0022-2852(57)90024-3
- Würthner, F., Kaiser, T. E., and Saha-Möller, C. R. (2011). J-Aggregates: from serendipitous discovery to supramolecular engineering of functional dye materials. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* 50, 3376–3410. doi: 10.1002/anie.201002307
- Yamashita, K., and Maenobe, K. (1980). Extrinsic photoconduction in metalloporphyrin films. *Chem. Lett.* 1980, 307–310. doi: 10.1246/cl.1980.307
- Yeats, A. L., Schwab, A. D., Massare, B., Johnston, D. E., Johnson, A. T., De Paula, J. C., et al. (2008). Photoconductivity of self-assembled nanotapes made

from meso-Tri(4-sulfonatophenyl)monophenylporphine. J. Phys. Chem. C 112, 2170–2176. doi: 10.1021/jp0765695

- Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Chen, P., Guo, P., and Liu, M. (2015). A general protocol for π -conjugated molecule-based micro/nanospheres: artificial supramolecular antenna in terms of heterogeneous photocatalysis. *RSC Adv.* 5, 78427–78435. doi: 10.1039/C5RA1 3283E
- Zhang, X. Q., Wu, H. M., Wu, X. J., Cheng, Z. P., and Wei, Y. (1995). Synthesis, orientation and conductivity investigation of a new porphyrin Langmuir-Blodgett film. *J. Mater. Chem.* 5, 401–404. doi: 10.1039/jm99505 00401
- Zhu, X. Y., Yang, Q., and Muntwiler, M. (2009). Charge-transfer excitons at organic semiconductor surfaces and interfaces. Acc. Chem. Res. 42, 1779–1787. doi: 10.1021/ar800269u

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Koposova, Offenhäusser, Ermolenko and Mourzina. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.