DOI: 10.1111/jcmm.17268

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Revised: 20 February 2022

WILEY

Overexpression of FOXD2-AS1 enhances proliferation and impairs differentiation of glioma stem cells by activating the NOTCH pathway via TAF-1

Yang Wang¹ | Yanli Cheng² | Qi Yang³ | Lei Kuang⁴ | Guolei Liu⁵

¹Department of Neurotumor Disease Treatment Center, Taihe Hospital, Hubei University of Medicine, Shiyan, China

²Department of Dermatology, Taihe Hospital, Hubei University of Medicine, Shiyan, China

³Department of Orthopeadic Surgery, Taihe Hospital, Hubei University of Medicine, Shiyan, China

⁴Department of Neurosurgery, Taihe Hospital, Hubei University of Medicine, Shiyan, China

⁵Department of Otorhinolaryngology, South China Hospital, Health Science Center, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China

Correspondence

Qi Yang, Department of Orthopeadic Surgery, 3rd Ward, Taihe Hospital, No. 32, Renmin South Road, Maojian District, Shiyan 442000, Hubei Province, China. E-mail: yangqi0722@126.com

Funding information

This study was supported by Scientific Research Project of Health Commission of Hubei Province (No. WJ2019F059).

Abstract

Emerging data have highlighted the importance of long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) in exerting critical biological functions and roles in different forms of brain cancer, including gliomas. In this study, we sought to investigate the role of IncRNA FOXD2 adjacent opposite strand RNA 1 (FOXD2-AS1) in glioma cells. First, we used sphere formation assay and flow cytometry to select U251 glioma stem cells (GSCs). Then, we quantified the expression of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1, TATA-box binding protein associated factor 1 (TAF-1) and NOTCH1 in glioma tissues and GSCs, as well as the expression of GSC stem markers, OCT4, SOX2, Nanog, Nestin and CD133 in GSCs. Colony formation assay, sphere formation assay, and flow cytometry were used to evaluate GSC stemness. Next, the correlations among IncRNA FOXD2-AS1, TAF-1 and NOTCH1 were investigated. LncRNA FOXD2-AS1, TAF-1 and NOTCH1 were found to be elevated in glioma tissues and GSCs, and silencing IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 inhibited stemness and proliferation, while promoting apoptosis and differentiation of GSCs. LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 overexpression also led to increased NOTCH1 by recruiting TAF-1 to the NOTCH1 promoter region, thereby promoting stemness and proliferation, while impairing cell apoptosis and differentiation. Mechanistically, IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 elevation promoted glioma in vivo by activating the NOTCH signalling pathway via TAF-1 upregulation. Taken together, the key findings of our investigation support the proposition that downregulation of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 presents a viable and novel molecular candidate for improving glioma treatment.

KEYWORDS

apoptosis, differentiation, glioma, glioma stem cells, long noncoding RNA FOXD2-AS1, NOTCH1, proliferation, stemness, TAF-1

Yang Wang and Yanli Cheng contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Gliomas are the most commonly occurring brain tumours in adults, which exhibit different degrees of malignancy and histological subtypes.¹ High-grade gliomas are the major cause of brain tumour death.² Similar to other cancers, recent evidence has demonstrated that glioma stem cells (GSCs) show tumourinitiating properties in malignant gliomas.³ Radiotherapy is among the few treatments for patients with gliomas, but many gliomas become largely radio-resistant and almost inevitably recur after treatment.⁴ Aside from radiotherapy, anti-angiogenesis drugs against tumour cells exhibits therapeutic effects against gliomas. The anti-angiogenesis drug known as bevacizumab is an antibody that acts against vascular endothelial growth factor, resulting in decreased malignant glioma growth.⁵ However, despite these approaches and their therapeutic effects, the treatment of malignant gliomas still remains palliative.

It is generally accepted that long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) can exert critical biological functions in cancers including brain tumours.⁶ LncRNAs are transcripts containing more than 200 nucleotides that are not translated into their protein products.⁷ Despite initial findings that IncRNAs play a minor physiological role despite their great abundance, an increasing amount of current research suggests an important participation in cancer biology.^{8,9} Indeed, the progression and development of gliomas were found to be regulated by several lncRNAs.¹⁰ For example, lncRNA nuclear enriched abundant transcript 1 has been indicated to promote glioblastoma cell growth and invasion by increasing β -catenin nuclear transport.¹¹ In addition, IncRNA paternally expressed 10 has been demonstrated as a biomarker for relapse and oncologic outcomes of patients with gliomas.¹² As revealed from the bioinformatics analysis that we conducted prior to the present investigation, IncRNA FOXD2 adjacent opposite strand RNA 1 (FOXD2-AS1) is a notably upregulated IncRNA in glioma samples. More importantly, the ectopic expression of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 is indicative of poor prognosis for patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma.¹³ The contribution of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 to the progression of glioma has also been documented previously.^{14,15} Interestingly, the NOTCH signalling pathway was reported to be regulated by IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 in colorectal cancer.¹³ The NOTCH signalling pathway is classically known for its role in determining cell fate during the development of the embryo and mature tissues.¹⁶ In addition, NOTCH1 signalling pathway activation can maintain the stem cell phenotype of glioma initiating cells.¹⁷ The inactivation of NOTCH signalling pathway was proved to be an effective target for glioma stem therapy.¹⁸

Furthermore, in our preliminary research *in silico*, we found a correlation between lncRNA *FOXD2-AS1* and TATA-box binding protein associated factor 1 (*TAF-1*), based on the LncMAP database. *TAF-1* protein is a pivotal component of the transcription factor II D complex, which plays a key role on transcription initiation.¹⁹ As previously reported, LINC00319 directly binds to *TAF-1* and further regulates *HMGA2* in gliomas,²⁰ leading us to hypothesize that lncRNA *FOXD2-AS1* is involved in glioma via *TAF-1* and the NOTCH

signalling pathway. In our study, we aimed to explore the specific role of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 in the proliferation and differentiation of GSCs along with the relationship between IncRNA FOXD2-AS1/TAF-1/NOTCH1 signalling pathway in glioma.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Microarray analysis

LncRNA microarray data GSE104267 was retrieved from Gene Expression Omnibus database. The differentially expressed lncR-NAs in gliomas were screened using limma package in the Affy package of R language. The downstream transcription factors (TFs) of lncRNA *FOXD2*-AS1 were analysed according to LncMAP, while Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) was used to draw a box chart of lncRNA *FOXD2*-AS1 and TFs, followed by the development of overall survival curve (Group Cutoff: Quartile) regarding lncRNA *FOXD2*-AS1 expression based on the clinical data of patients with glioma. The target gene of TFs was analysed based on LncMAP, while KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was conducted using DAVID. The correlations of lncRNA *FOXD2*-AS1 with *TAF*-1 and of lncRNA *FOXD2*-AS1 with *NOTCH1* were analysed, and scatter plots were drawn.

2.2 | Clinical sample collection

Glioma tissues were collected from 26 patients (14 males and 12 females; average age of 45.65 years, ranging from 20 to 71 years) following surgical operations in Taihe Hospital from January 2013 to May 2016. Glioma tissues were stored at -80 °C after collection. A total of 26 patients who underwent intracranial decompression in our hospital during the same period were selected as the control group with their normal brain tissues collected. After surgery, the patients received concurrent radiotherapy of temozolomide combined with adjuvant chemotherapy, which is the standard regimen for such patients (i.e. Stupp regimen). The detailed demographic information of all patients is shown in Table S1.

2.3 | Lentiviral transduction of glioma cells

Human astrocyte cell line HA-1800 (Catalog #1800, ScienCell, San Diego, California, USA) and glioma cell lines U251 (TCHu 58, National collection of authenticated cell cultures, Shanghai, China), LN18 (CM-H291, Gaining Biological LTD., company, Shanghai, China), T98G (CRL-1690, ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA), A172 (TCHu171, National collection of authenticated cell cultures, Shanghai, China) and U-138 (HTB-16, ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) were selected for our experiments. The cells were incubated in high glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 10% foetal bovine serum with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. WILEY

To isolate GSCs, U251 cells were cultured in a serum-free medium (Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA) containing epidermal growth factor, basic fibroblast growth factor, leukaemia inhibitory factor and melanocortin 2 receptor accessory protein (final concentration of 20 ng/mL; Peprotech EC, London, UK). The suspended tumour cell spheres were then separated by immunomagnetic beads to obtain CD133 positive cells (CD133⁺ cells magnetic bead sorting Kit; Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). Next, cells were prepared into single cell suspensions after the addition of immunomagnetic bead sorting solution (200 μ L/10⁸ cells). Meanwhile, cells were incubated with CD133 antibody-bead complexes (100 μ L/10⁵ cells) at 4°C for 30 minutes followed by the addition of sorting solution (1 mL/ 10^8 cells). Following centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded and cells were re-suspended in sorting solution (500 μ L/10⁸ cells). Cell suspension was added into separating chromatography column along with 2 mL sorting solution to elute CD133⁺ cells. CD133⁺ and CD133⁻ cells were separated and mixed with 50 μ L CD133/2 (293 c3) PE antibody or 50 μ L IgG2b-PE antibody (isotype controls). Finally, cells were sorted using flow cytometry.²¹

A lentivirus-based packaging system was designed using LV5-GFP (lentivirus overexpression vector) and pSIH1-H1-copGFP (lentivirus short hairpin RNA [shRNA] fluorescent expression vector). LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 shRNA, TAF-1 shRNA and their shRNA-negative control (sh-NC) were constructed by GenePharma (Shanghai, China). HEK293T cells were transfected with different plasmids, and supernatants were collected after a 48-hour culture period. Virus in the supernatants were then filtered and centrifuged, until the desired viral titre was obtained. GSCs in the logarithmic growth phase were collected and prepared into single cell suspensions containing $5 \times$ 10⁴ cells/mL, followed by incubation in 6-well plates with 2 mL in each well at 37 °C overnight. GSCs were then infected with lentivirus expressing short hairpin (sh)-NC, overexpression (oe)-NC, sh-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1, oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1, oe-TAF-1, sh-TAF-1 or oe-FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1. After 48 hours, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-gPCR) was used to determine expression of related genes.

2.4 | RNA extraction and quantification

An RNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used to extract the total RNA from glioma tissues and GSCs. ReverTra Ace[®] qPCR RT Master Mix with gDNA Remover Kit (Toyobo, Ohtsu, Shiga, Japan) was used to reversely-transcribe RNA into cDNA according to the manufacturer's instructions. qPCR was then performed using Bio-Rad CFX96 Touch[™] fluorescence detection system according to instructions provided in a 2 × RealStar Green Mixture kit (GenStar BioSolutions, Beijing, China). Glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as internal reference. The fold change was determined using the relative quantification ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method) as previously described.²² The primers were synthesized in Shanghai Sangon Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) (Table S2).

2.5 | Western blot analysis

After 48 hours of infection, GSCs were collected and lysed using radioimmunoprecipitation (RIPA) lysis buffer (Beyotime Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). A Bicinchoninic acid kit (Pierce, USA) was used to detect the protein concentration in the supernatant. Proteins were separated by 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. The membrane was blocked with 5% skim milk and probed with rabbit antibodies against jagged canonical Notch ligand 1 (JAG1; ab7771, 1:500), NOTCH1 (ab8925, 1:500), Presenilin-1 (PS1; ab76083, 1:5000), HES family bHLH transcription factor 1 (HES1; ab71559, 1:1000) or GAPDH (ab9485, 1:2500, internal reference). All of aforementioned antibodies were obtained from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). The membrane was then re-probed with goat antirabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) conjugated by horseradish peroxidase (HRP) for 1 hour. Enhanced chemiluminescence (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) was used to develop the membrane, which was visualized in a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc[™] image analysis system. Protein bands were analysed by ImageJ2x software.

2.6 | Sphere formation assay

Single cell suspension was seeded onto ultra-low attachment sixwell plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) at a density of 5×10^3 cells/mL and cultured in modified serum-free DMEM. The medium was replaced every three days. After 14 days, spheres at passage 1 with a size >100 μ m were counted. Then, for the secondary sphere formation, the spheres were scattered and re-seeded in 96-well plates at a density of approximately 100 cells per well with the number of secondary spheres calculated 10 days after incubation.

2.7 | Immunofluorescence staining

GSCs were inoculated on polylysine-coated slides, treated with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min, rinsed with PBS, treated with 0.3% Triton X-100 at indoor temperature for 30 min, sealed with 10% goat serum, and incubated with anti-CD133 (1:200; ab284389, Abcam), anti-Nestin (1:200, ab18102, Abcam) and anti-GFAP (1:200, ab7260, Abcam) overnight. Then, GSCs were incubated with the second antibody for 1 hour, and the nucleus was labelled with DAPI. Images were observed under an IX71 Olympus fluorescence microscope.

2.8 | Dual-luciferase reporter gene assay

Luciferase reporter plasmids were co-transfected with oe-NC, oe-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1, sh-NC and sh-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1, respectively, to determine whether lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 could activate the NOTCH1 promoter. Renilla luciferase was used as

internal normalization. After a transfection period of 48 hours, cells were collected and lysed. Luciferase Assay Kit (K801-200, BioVision, Hannover, Germany) was used to perform dualluciferase reporter assay in a dual fluorescent reporter analysis system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The activation of targeting reporter genes was determined by the ratio of relative light unit (RLU) of firefly luciferase to RLU of renilla luciferase. *NOTCH1* promoter was constructed into a luciferase reporter vector cotransfected along with *TAF-1* overexpressing plasmid into glioma cells to verify the binding relationship between TAF-1 and *NOTCH1* promoter.

2.9 | RNA pull-down assay

Biotin-labelled IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 RNA and its anti-sense sequence were synthesized using Pierce RNA 3'desthiobiotinylation Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After cells were lysed, the protein concentration (not lower than 2 mg/mL) was determined as above. A magnetic RNA-Protein Pull-Down kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for the subsequent procedure. A bead suspension (50 μ L) was added into an Eppendorf tube, and the magnetic beads were adsorbed on the magnetic bead sorter, washed with 20 mM Tris (pH = 7.5) and then re-suspended. After repeating the aforementioned procedure, the magnetic beads were adsorbed on the magnetic bead sorter again and re-suspended with 100 μ L of 1 × RNA Capture Buffer. The magnetic sorter was used to absorb magnetic beads, and then, the beads were washed with 20 mM Tris (pH = 7.5), followed by re-suspension. The above procedures were repeated. A total of 100 μ L 1 \times Protein-RNA-Binding Buffer were added to the beads, followed by re-suspension and elution. The cell lysate was incubated with 1 pmol biotin-labelled IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 RNA and magnetic beads for 30-60 min at 4°C. Magnetic beads were absorbed and then washed with 100 μL RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) Wash Buffer three times, followed by the addition of 50 μ L Elution Buffer and incubated for 30 to 60 minutes at 4°C. LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 RNA-binding proteins were eluted next. After determining the protein concentration, Western blot analysis was used to measure the relative protein levels.

2.10 | RIP

The RIP was performed using an EZ-Magna RIP RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation kit (Millipore, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions to analyse the binding capacity of IncRNA *FOXD2-AS1* to TAF-1. GSCs were washed with ice-cold PBS twice and lysed with RIPA lysis buffer, followed by 10-min centrifugation at 14,000 rpm at 4°C. The supernatant was extracted and co-precipitated with antibodies. Beads (50 μ L) was washed, resuspended in 100 μ L RIP Wash Buffer and incubated with rabbit antibody to TAF-1 (Abcam). The magnetic beads-antibody complex was then re-suspended in 900 μ L RIP Wash Buffer and incubated with 100 μ L cell extract at 4 °C overnight. Beads-protein complex was collected on magnetic pedestals, and detached with protease K. The RNA was then extracted and RT-qPCR was adopted to detect the co-precipitated RNA.

2.11 | Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

GSCs were initially cultured in a 10 cm diameter dish. When the cell number reached 1×10^6 , the medium was discarded. The cells were crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde for 10-min at 37°C. Crosslinking was stopped by leaving the GSCs on ice for 5 minutes. The GSCs were collected and re-suspended in 200 µL sodium dodecylsulphate lysis buffer, and chromatin fragmentation was performed by using ultrasound on ice. The supernatant was collected after 10-minute cell centrifugation at 14,000 ×g at 4°C followed by dilution with ChIP buffer containing protease inhibitors. Part of the supernatant was taken as input, and the rest of the supernatant was incubated with rabbit antibody to TAF-1 (Abcam) at 4°C overnight. Cross-linked agar was used to precipitate the endogenous DNAprotein complex. The supernatant was discarded after centrifugation (1000 rpm; 1 min) at 4 °C, and elution buffer was then used to elute the DNA-protein complex. The eluted supernatant and input DNA were de-crosslinked in a water bath for 6 hours (65°C) after addition of 5 mol/L NaCl (20 µL). The DNA fragments were then collected following detachment using protease K. RT-gPCR was used to determine the relative levels of NOTCH1 promoter. Primers for NOTCH1 promoter are: Forward: CAGGGGAGACCCCCTATCC: Reverse: TGAAAGTTTTCAGAGGCCAAAAG.

2.12 | Determination of CD133 expression in GSCs

GSCs were collected and re-suspended using 500 μ L staining buffer, followed by 5-min centrifugation at 300 ×g and resuspended using 50 μ L staining buffer. The cells were incubated with CD133 antibody diluent (50 mL; 1 μ L anti-CD133 [ab18235, Abcam] +49 μ L staining buffer) for 30-min at 4°C, followed by centrifugation (300 ×g). Cells were washed twice with 500 μ L staining buffer and re-suspended in 200 to 300 μ L staining buffer. The expression of CD133 was analysed using a BD FACSCalibur flow cytometer.

2.13 | Colony formation in soft agar

GSCs were collected and re-suspended at a density of 1×10^6 cells/L. Distilled water was used to prepare agarose of low solubility with a concentration between 0.7 and 1.2%. Then, 1.2% agarose was mixed with 2 × medium containing 2 × antibiotics

⊥Wiley

and 20% calf serum. The 3 ml mixture was then added into an agar plate, and the bottom layer of agar was placed into an incubator. Next, 0.7% agarose was mixed with 2 × cell medium, followed by the addition of 0.2 mL cell suspension, and the mixture was then added into the agar plate. After the upper layer of agar had solidified, the plate was placed in a 37°C incubator with 5% CO_2 for 10–14 days. Colonies containing more than 50 cells were then counted under a light microscope.

2.14 | Flow cytometry

GSCs were seeded into 6-well plates at 2×10^5 cells/well and infected with different lentivirus. After 72 hours, GSCs were collected in 15 mL centrifuge tubes, centrifuged (800 ×g), and washed using PBS twice. GSCs were re-suspended in 500 µL binding buffer according to the instructions provided by the AnnexinV-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit I (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and incubated with 5 µL FITC and 5 µL propidium iodide in a dark room. Flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) was used to analyse apoptosis rates.

2.15 | Intracranial glioma models

Serum-free Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium (Gibco) was used to re-suspend transfected GSCs to prepare 2×10^6 cells/ µL cell suspension. Fifty nude mice (aged 4–6 weeks; weighing 17– 20 g) were obtained from the Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). After anaesthesia with diethyl ether and routine disinfection in mice, 2×10^5 cell (100 µL) GSC suspension was injected stereotaxically into the right cerebral hemisphere of the mice. On the 14th day after the injection of luciferase substrate, d-luciferin (YEASEN, Shanghai, China), the IVIS Lumina II Imaging System (Caliper Life Sciences, Hopkinton, MA, USA) was used to capture fluorescent images. The tumour was collected and weighed at 15 days.

2.16 | Immunohistochemistry

Four weeks following the inoculation of GSCs in nude mice, tumours were extracted, paraffin-embedded and sectioned in 5 μ m sections. The sections were then de-waxed using xylene three times (5 min each) and rehydrated. Then, the sections were incubated with primary antibodies (Abcam) to Nestin (ab105389, 1:100), SOX2 (ab93689, 1:100), CD133 (ab226355, 1:1000) and GFAP (ab33922, 1:500) overnight at 4°C. After that, the sections were incubated with HRP-labelled IgG (Bosterbio, Wuhan, China) for an hour. Diaminobenzidine (Bosterbio, China) was adopted to visualize the sections. The nucleus was then stained with haematoxylin (Servicebio, Wuhan, China), and the sections were dehydrated and observed under a microscope.

2.17 | Statistical analysis

All data were processed and analysed using SPSS 21.0 statistical software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Measurement data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. If the data conformed to normal distribution and homogeneity of variance, a paired *t*-test was used to analyse tumour tissues and normal tissues. Unpaired *t*-test was adopted to analyse differences of other two groups. Differences between multiple groups were analysed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by a Tukey multiple comparisons post-test. Comparisons among multiple groups at different time points were performed with two-way ANOVA, and tumour volume at different time points was analysed using repeated measures ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni's *post hoc* test. Kaplan–Meier was used to analyse survival, while Log-Rank was utilized to analyse the levels of significance in different groups. A value of *p* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression is elevated in glioma tissues and GSCs

After analysis of the GSE104267 microarray dataset by R language, 101 differentially expressed lncRNAs were obtained. Among these, 19 were upregulated and 82 were downregulated. Among the upregulated IncRNAs, IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 (Figure 1A, Fig. S1) was found previously to be associated with glioma progression.^{14,23,24} According to GEPIA. IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 was highly expressed in gliomas from the TCGA database (Figure 1B), and predicted poor survival rate of patients (Figure 1C). Therefore, IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 was selected for the following study. To better understand the function and roles of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 in gliomas, we first used RT-qPCR (Figure 1D) to determine IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression in glioma tissues and normal tissues. We found that IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 was highly expressed in glioma tissues compared with normal tissues. Kaplan-Meier curves (Figure 1E) of patient survival revealed that patients with higher levels of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression had a significantly lower survival rate compared with patients with low IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression. LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression was then analysed in glioma cell lines, identifying a distinctly higher lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression in glioma cell lines compared with that in the human astrocyte cell line HA-1800. In addition, the highest IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression was observed in the U251 glioma cell line (Figure 1F). Thus, U251 was selected for use in our study. Taken together, we find that IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression was elevated in glioma tissues and cells.

The GSCs were then isolated from U251 cells, and a sphere formation assay was performed. The morphological characteristics of spherical U251 cell colonies are shown in Fig. S2A. Non-adherent cells were collected every three days, and RT-qPCR was used to



FIGURE 1 LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 was highly expressed in glioma tissues and cells as well as GSCs. A, LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression predicted in GSE104267 (p = 1.482E-07). The box on the left represents expression of lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 in normal tissues and the box on the right represents expression in cancer tissues. B, A box plot displaying lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression in glioma samples (left) and normal samples (right) from TCGA database using GEPIA. C, Survival curve of patients with glioma regarding lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression from TCGA database using GEPIA (HR = 1.8, p = 0.032). D, LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression in glioma tissues and normal tissues detected by RT-qPCR (n = 26). E, A Kaplan-Meier one-way survival analysis according to lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression, followed by logrank test (n = 26). F, FOXD2-AS1 expression in glioma cell lines determined using RT-qPCR. G, Expression of lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and GSC markers (OCT4, SOX2, Nanog, Nestin and CD133) determined using RT-qPCR. H, Expression of Nestin and GFAP in GSCs determined by immunofluorescence. I, CD133⁺ GSCs selected using flow cytometry. J, LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression in GSCs determined using RT-qPCR. * p < 0.05. Measurement data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Paired t-test was used to analyse comparison within group. Unpaired t-test was adopted to analyse differences between two groups. Differences among multiple groups were analysed by one-way ANOVA, followed by a Tukey multiple comparisons post-test. Comparisons among multiple groups at different time points were performed with two-way ANOVA. Cell experiments were conducted three times independently.

determine expression of GSCs markers (OCT4, SOX2, Nanog, Nestin and CD133). As shown in Figure 1G, the expression of these genes gradually increased with time in culture. Immunofluorescence revealed that Nestin was positively expressed in GSCs, while almost no GFAP expression (Figure 1H). Flow cytometry was used to determine the expression of CD133 in GSCs (Figure 1I), which helped to confirm the successful isolation of GSCs. RT-qPCR was used to determine IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression in GSCs, which showed a high IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression in GSCs (Figure 1J). These results indicated that IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 was upregulated in GSCs.

3.2 | Silencing IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 reduces stemness and proliferation but induces apoptosis and differentiation of U251 GSCs

2625

To better elucidate the role of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 in GSCs, IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 was silenced in U251 cells, and the stemness and differentiation of U251 GSCs were determined. The silencing efficiency of U251 cells treated with IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 shR-NAs (sh-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1#1 and sh-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1#2) was determined through RT-qPCR (Figure 2A). Results showed that IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression was significantly lower after transfection with IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 shRNAs, and that U251 cells treated with sh-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1#1 exhibited lower expression. Sphere formation assays demonstrated inhibition of sphere formation by U251 cells in response to sh-FOXD2-AS1, while sh-FOXD2-AS1#1 treatment exhibited a more prominent inhibitory effect on U251 sphere formation (Fig. S2B). Therefore, sh-FOXD2-AS1#1 was selected for subsequent functional assays. We then calculated the number of main spheres for every 1000 GSCs and the secondary sphere for every 100 GSCs, which was reduced significantly after treatment by sh-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 (Figure 2B). RT-qPCR revealed that the expression of GSC stemness markers, OCT4, SOX2, Nanog, Nestin and CD133 was significantly lowered in response to sh-IncRNA *FOXD2-AS1* treatment (Figure 2C). Flow cytometry revealed an obvious reduction of CD133⁺ cells among U251 GSCs after silencing lncRNA *FOXD2-AS1* (Figure 2D). Colony formation assay and flow cytometry helped to illustrate the impaired proliferation and enhanced apoptosis of U251 GSCs in the presence of sh-IncRNA *FOXD2-AS1* (Figure 2E,F). Immunofluorescence staining was employed to determine the expression of astrocyte marker GFAP and stem cell marker CD133 in U251 GSCs. As depicted in Figure 2G,H, GFAP expression was significantly upregulated, while CD133 expression was downregulated in response to sh-IncRNA *FOXD2-AS1*. These findings



FIGURE 2 Silencing IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 suppressed stemness and proliferation but induced apoptosis and differentiation of U251 GSCs. U251 GSCs were transfected with IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 shRNAs and sh-NC. A, Silencing efficiency of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 shRNA in U251 GSCs determined using RT-qPCR. B, The number of main spheres every 1000 GSCs and sphere at the second passage every 100 GSCs. C, Expression of GSC markers (*OCT4, SOX2, Nanog, Nestin* and *CD133*) determined using RT-qPCR. D, CD133⁺ cells in U251 GSCs measured by flow cytometry. E, U251 GSC proliferation detected using colony formation in soft agar. F, U251 GSC apoptosis determined using flow cytometry. G-H, GFAP and CD133 expression in GSCs determined by immunofluorescence staining (400 ×). * p < 0.05. Measurement data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation and analysed by unpaired *t*-test. Cell experiments were conducted in triplicate.

WILEY

suggested that silencing IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 reduced stemness and proliferation, but promoted apoptosis and differentiation of U251 GSCs.

3.3 | LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 elevates NOTCH1 by recruiting TAF-1 to promoter region of NOTCH1 in GSCs

The interaction of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and TAF-1 with NOTCH1 was found based on the LncMAP database, and TAF-1 was initially predicted to be highly expressed in glioma according to the GEPIA website (Figure 3A). Moreover, the activation of NOTCH signalling pathway was previously found to be associated with GSC differentiation and metastasis.^{17,25,26} We therefore hypothesized that IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 could potentially activate the NOTCH signalling pathway by regulating NOTCH1 via TAF-1. To test this hypothesis, RT-qPCR was initially adopted to determine TAF-1 expression in glioma tissues. TAF-1 expression was found to be higher in glioma tissues than in normal tissues (Figure 3B). Moreover, RTgPCR and Western blot analysis revealed that the level of TAF-1 was higher in U251 GSCs (Figure 3C and Fig. S3A). As shown in Figure 3D,E, IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 bound to TAF-1 in U251 GSCs, which was further verified using RIP and RNA pull-down assays. We initially predicated that multiple binding sites existed between TAF-1 and NOTCH1 (Figure 3F) according to the GTRD website. A ChIP assay revealed that TAF-1 could indeed bind to NOTCH1 at its promoter region (Figure 3G). RT-qPCR and Western blot analysis depicted that NOTCH1 expression in glioma tissues was much higher than that in normal tissues (Figure 3H and Fig. S3B). Pearson's correlation analysis also found a positive relationship between the expression of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and NOTCH1, as well as that between the expression of TAF-1 and NOTCH1 (Figure 3I). Dual-luciferase reporter gene assays were carried out to help verify the relationship between IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and NOTCH1 promoter. The results revealed that the NOTCH1 promoter was activated in response to oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 treatment, while the opposite occurred in response to sh-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1. This strongly suggested that IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 could specifically activate NOTCH1 (Figure 3J). ChIP assay (Figure 3K) showed that the enrichment of TAF-1 in NOTCH1 promoter was inhibited in response to sh-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1, while the opposite effect was observed after treatment with oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1. RT-qPCR and Western blot analysis (Figure 3L and Fig. S3C, D) showed that NOTCH1 expression was upregulated upon treatment with oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1, but was downregulated in response to sh-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1. Silencing TAF-1 reduced NOTCH1 expression, but was elevated in response to oe-TAF-1; the reduced NOTCH1 expression was later restored in GSCs treated with oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1. Taken together, the overexpression of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 upregulated NOTCH1 by recruiting TAF-1 to NOTCH1 promoter region in GSCs.

3.4 | Overexpression of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 activates the NOTCH signalling pathway by recruiting TAF-1, thereby promoting GSC stemness and repression of GSC differentiation

Since IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 was able to upregulate NOTCH1, we decided to explore whether the entire NOTCH pathway could be similarly activated. We first conducted a Western blot analysis to determine expression of NOTCH pathway related genes (JAG1, PS1 and HES1). As described in Figure 4A, JAG1, PS1 and HES1 expression in GSCs were significantly elevated in response to oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1, which was reversed by silencing TAF-1.

It was previously reported that NOTCH1 was involved in regulating the stemness of GSCs.²⁷⁻²⁹ We thus hypothesized that the IncRNA FOXD2-AS1-TAF-1-NOTCH1 axis might be associated with stemness and differentiation of GSCs. Sphere formation assays revealed a drastically enhanced sphere forming ability of U251 GSCs in the presence of oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 (Fig. S2C), which could be reversed when treated with oe-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1. The number of main spheres in every 1000 GSCs and the secondary spheres of every 100 GSCs was increased in response to oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1, which was restored following treatment of oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1 (Figure 4B). OCT4, SOX2, Nanog, Nestin and CD133 expression in GSCs assessed by RT-qPCR demonstrated that the expression of these markers was significantly enhanced after overexpression of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1, but that these effects were abrogated by treatment with oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1 (Figure 4C). Flow cytometry (Figure 4D) revealed an obvious increase in the number of CD133⁺ cells in U251 GSCs in response to oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 treatment while this effect was rescued after transfection with oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1. Colony formation in soft agar and flow cytometry demonstrated enhanced proliferation and impaired apoptosis of GSCs in response to oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1, which was abolished by treatment with oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1 (Figure 4E,F). Immunofluorescence staining indicated that GFAP expression was significantly lowered, while CD133 expression was increased in response to oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1. This effect was rescued after transfection with oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1 (Figure 4G). Therefore, the overexpression of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 upregulated NOTCH1 by recruiting TAF-1, thereby activating the NOTCH signalling pathway to promote GSC stemness and repress GSC differentiation.

3.5 | Silencing IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 suppresses the NOTCH signalling pathway and glioma development *in vivo* by inhibiting TAF-1

To better understand the role of the lncRNA FOXD2-AS1-TAF-1-NOTCH1 axis in glioma, we established tumour xenografts in nude mice. Nude mice were injected with lncRNA altered FOXD2-AS1 and silenced TAF-1 GSCs, and RT-qPCR was then used to determine



FIGURE 3 LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 upregulation elevates NOTCH1 expression by recruiting TAF-1 to the NOTCH1 promoter region in GSCs. A, TAF-1 expression in glioma tissues predicted in GEPIA website. B, TAF-1 expression in glioma tissues and normal tissues determined by RT-qPCR (n = 26). C, TAF-1 expression in U251 GSCs assessed using RT-qPCR and Western blot analysis. D, The binding relationship between lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and TAF-1 in U251 GSCs verified using RIP normalized to IgG. E, The binding relationship between lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and TAF-1 in U251 GSCs verified using RNA pull-down. F, Binding sites between TAF-1 and NOTCH1 predicted by GTRD website. G, Binding between TAF-1 and NOTCH1 promoter determined by ChIP assay. H, NOTCH1 expression in glioma tissues and normal tissues assessed by RT-qPCR and Western blot analysis (n = 26). I, Pearson's correlation analysis of relationship between lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and NOTCH1 expression (p < 0.0001, R = 0.6950), as well as between TAF-1 and NOTCH1 expression (p < 0.0001, R = 0.7325). J, Targeting relationship between lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and NOTCH1 promoter detected by dual-luciferase reporter gene assay. K, Binding of TAF-1 and NOTCH1 after alteration of lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 evaluated by ChIP assay. L, NOTCH1 expression in response to alteration of lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and silencing or overexpressed TAF-1 assessed by RT-qPCR and Western blot analysis. * p < 0.05. Measurement data were expressed as mean ±standard deviation. Paired t-test was used to analyse tumour tissues and normal tissues. Unpaired t-test was adopted to analyse differences of other two groups. Differences among multiple groups were analysed by one-way ANOVA, followed by a Tukey multiple comparisons post-test. Pearson's correlation analysis was used. Cell experiments were repeated in triplicate.

IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and TAF-1 expression levels in tumours from the mice. Results showed that IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 expression was reduced and TAF-1 expression remained unchanged when mice were injected with GSCs expressing sh-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1. In addition, we observed an increase in IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and unchanged TAF-1 expression levels after injection with overexpressed lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 GSCs. However, after injection with GSCs stably expressing lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1, lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 upregulation and TAF-1 downregulation were observed (Figure 5A). Tumour growth and weight were also found to be markedly reduced

WANG ET AL.



FIGURE 4 LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 elevation induced GSC stemness and inhibited GSC differentiation via activation of the NOTCH signalling pathway via TAF-1 recruitment. GSCs were transfected with oe-NC, oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 or oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1. A, Western blot analysis of expression of NOTCH pathway related genes (JAG1, PS1 and HES1). B, The number of main spheres every 1000 GSCs and sphere at the second passage every 100 GSCs. C, *OCT4*, *SOX2*, *Nanog*, *Nestin* and *CD133* expression detected using RT-qPCR. D, CD133⁺ cells in U251 GSCs assessed by flow cytometry. E, U251 GSC proliferation measured by colony formation in soft agar. F, U251 GSC apoptosis evaluated by flow cytometry. G, GFAP and CD133 expression in GSCs assessed by immunofluorescence staining. * p < 0.05. Measurement data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation and analysed by one-way ANOVA, followed by a Tukey multiple comparisons post-test. Cell experiments were repeated in triplicate.

and survival was prolonged in response to sh-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1. However, IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 overexpression elevated tumour growth and weight as well as shortened survival, which was blocked by oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1 (Fig. S4A, Figure 5B-D). Western blot analysis demonstrated inhibited expression of JAG1, PS1 and HES1 expression in response to sh-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1, while increased expression of JAG1, PS1 and HES1 was identified in the presence of oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1. The effect of oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 on the expression of JAG1, PS1 and HES1 was restored by oe-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1 (Figure 5E and Fig. S3E). Flow cytometry helped to demonstrate that GSC apoptosis was enhanced in response to sh-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1. On the contrary, GSC apoptosis was decreased in response to oe-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1, and subsequently restored by oe-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1 (Figure 5F). Immunohistochemistry revealed that NOTCH1, Nestin, SOX2 and CD133 expression were drastically reduced and GFAP expression was elevated in response to sh-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1. However, the overexpression of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 led to increased NOTCH1,

2629



FIGURE 5 Glioma development and NOTCH signalling pathway *in vivo* were suppressed after silencing of lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 via TAF-1. Nude mice were injected with GSCs expressing sh-NC, sh-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1, oe-NC, oe-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 or oe-lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1. A, LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 and TAF-1 expression in nude mice determined by RT-qPCR. B, Tumour growth observed through the IVIS Lumina II Imaging System, n = 10. C, Survival of mice after different treatment. D, Tumour weight recorded on 15 days, n = 10. E, Western blot analysis of JAG1, PS1 and HES1 expression in mice. F, GSC apoptosis in nude mice determined using flow cytometry. * p < 0.05. Measurement data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Differences among multiple groups were analysed by one-way ANOVA, followed by a Tukey multiple comparisons post-test. Tumour volume among multiple groups at different time points was analysed using repeated measures ANOVA. Cell experiments were repeated in triplicate.

Nestin, SOX2 and CD133 expression but decreased GFAP expression in mice, which was abrogated by oe-IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 + sh-TAF-1 (Fig. S4B). In conclusion, IncRNA FOXD2-AS1-TAF-1-NOTCH1 axis promoted glioma *in vivo*.

4 | DISCUSSION

Gliomas are categorized into five principal groups based on tumour markers and into different subgroups according to their underlying pathogenesis.³⁰ The manifest diversity of different types of gliomas poses a pressing challenge in their treatment. Malignant gliomas constitute as the most aggressive subtype of gliomas. There remains a lack of effective therapeutic treatment regimen, which is due in part to the unhampering proliferation of cancer stem cells, which is a known aetiology of malignant gliomas.³¹ Currently, the specific molecular mechanism underlying GSS proliferation and differentiation remains unclear. In the current study, we found that

the overexpression of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 upregulated NOTCH1 by recruiting TAF-1 to the NOTCH1 promoter region. Moreover, the overexpression of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 led to induced proliferation, and reduced differentiation and apoptosis of U251 GSCs, thereby promoting the progression of glioma both *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

The first main finding of this study was that IncRNA *FOXD2*-AS1 expression was potently elevated in GSCs and glioma tissues. A recent study demonstrated that IncRNA *FOXD2*-AS1 upregulation resulted in larger tumour sizes, an extensive invasion depth, distant metastasis and advanced TNM stage in cancer.³² Concordant with our study, a prior study revealed that IncRNA *FOXD2*-AS1 was overexpressed in glioma tissues and cells.²³ To better understand the specific mechanism underlying the regulatory role of IncRNA *FOXD2*-AS1 in glioma cells, we performed a series of assays demonstrating that IncRNA *FOXD2*-AS1 upregulation led to elevated *NOTCH1* expression by recruiting *TAF-1* to the *NOTCH1* promoter region. Similarly, a prior study revealed that IncRNA TRERNA1 was able to recruit EHMT2 onto the CDH1 promoter region to promote FIGURE 6 Increased IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 upregulates NOTCH1 by recruiting TAF-1 to the NOTCH1 promoter region, thereby promoting GSC stemness and proliferation as well as repressing GSC differentiation and apoptosis.



hepatocellular carcinoma development.³³ Moreover, transcription factors such as PollI were found to be recruited to the *NOTCH1* promoter.³⁴

In our study, we also found that IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 overexpression was able to induce GSC stemness and proliferation as well as inhibiting GSC differentiation and apoptosis through activation of the NOTCH signalling pathway by recruiting TAF-1, as evidenced by increased expression of OCT4, SOX2, Nanog, Nestin and CD133. Previous work showed that the expression of OCT4, SOX2 and Nanog correlated positively with tumour malignancy in human gliomas.³⁵ In addition, a previous study also noted that CD133 and Nestin were cancer cell stemness markers, whereby CD133 and Nestin overexpression was correlated with worse prognosis in terms of overall survival.³⁶ A recent study found that IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 upregulation resulted in larger tumour size, greater invasion depth, distant metastasis and advanced tumour node metastasis staging in cancer.³⁷ Moreover, the knockdown of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 significantly suppressed proliferation, and promoted apoptosis of glioma cells.²³ The repression of IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 was reported to reduce proliferation, migration, invasion, stemness of glioma cells and impaired tumour growth in transplanted tumours,²⁴ which was consistent to what was found in our study. TAF-1 was previously found to be functional in glioma targeted by IncRNA LINC00319.²⁰ We found that NOTCH1 was expressed at higher levels in GSCs and glioma tissues, consistent with results in a prior study.³⁸ The NOTCH signalling pathway plays a pivotal role on the self-renewal, proliferation and differentiation of neural stem cells or neural precursor cells.³⁹ In addition, NOTCH1 downregulation exhibits inhibitory effects on GSC proliferation,²⁹ which has been regarded to present an effective strategy for GSC-targeting therapy.¹⁸ Therefore, in our study, we demonstrated that IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 could activate the NOTCH signalling pathway. Likewise, IncRNA FOXD2-AS1 knockdown inactivated NOTCH signalling pathway to suppress colorectal cancer development.⁴⁰

5 | CONCLUSION

This study provides new insights into the molecular mechanism of how lncRNA FOXD2-AS1 is involved in the pathogenesis of gliomas. LncRNA FOXD2-AS1 is upregulated in GSCs and glioma tissues, which helps to positively regulate *NOTCH1* expression. Increased levels of the IncRNA *FOXD2-AS1* also upregulate *NOTCH1* by recruiting *TAF-1* to the *NOTCH1* promoter region, thereby promoting GSC stemness and proliferation as well as repressing GSC differentiation and apoptosis (Figure 6). The mechanism elucidated in our investigation may present new therapeutic targets for treating gliomas.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was supported by Scientific Research Project of Health Commission of Hubei Province (No. WJ2019F059).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Yang Wang: Writing – review & editing (equal). Yanli Cheng: Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal). Qi Yang: Writing – original draft (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). Lei Kuang: Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal). Guolei Liu: Writing – original draft (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Qi Yang D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4761-5734

REFERENCES

- Zhang X, Sun S, Pu JK, et al. Long non-coding RNA expression profiles predict clinical phenotypes in glioma. *Neurobiol Dis.* 2012;48:1-8.
- Venkatesh HS, Johung TB, Caretti V, et al. Neuronal activity promotes glioma growth through neuroligin-3 secretion. *Cell*. 2015;161:803-816.
- Ma Q, Long W, Xing C, et al. Cancer stem cells and immunosuppressive microenvironment in glioma. Front Immunol. 2018;9:2924.
- Wang J, Wakeman TP, Lathia JD, et al. Notch promotes radioresistance of glioma stem cells. Stem Cells. 2010;28:17-28.

<u>2632 |</u>₩1LEY

- 5. Wu HB, Yang S, Weng HY, et al. Autophagy-induced KDR/VEGFR-2 activation promotes the formation of vasculogenic mimicry by glioma stem cells. *Autophagy*. 2017;13:1528-1542.
- Ramos AD, Attenello FJ, Lim DA. Uncovering the roles of long noncoding RNAs in neural development and glioma progression. *Neurosci Lett.* 2016;625:70-79.
- 7. Perkel JM. Visiting "noncodarnia". *Biotechniques*. 2013;54(301): 303-304.
- Mercer TR, Dinger ME, Mattick JS. Long non-coding RNAs: insights into functions. Nat Rev Genet. 2009;10:155-159.
- 9. Dinger ME, Amaral PP, Mercer TR, Mattick JS. Pervasive transcription of the eukaryotic genome: functional indices and conceptual implications. *Brief Funct Genomic Proteomic*. 2009;8:407-423.
- Chen Y, Wu JJ, Lin XB, et al. Differential IncRNA expression profiles in recurrent gliomas compared with primary gliomas identified by microarray analysis. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2015;8:5033-5043.
- Chen Q, Cai J, Wang Q, et al. Long noncoding RNA NEAT1, regulated by the EGFR pathway, contributes to glioblastoma progression through the WNT/beta-catenin pathway by scaffolding EZH2. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2018;24:684-695.
- Xiao H, Ding N, Liao H, et al. Prediction of relapse and prognosis by expression levels of long noncoding RNA PEG10 in glioma patients. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2019;98:e17583.
- Chen G, Sun W, Hua X, Zeng W, Yang L. Long non-coding RNA FOXD2-AS1 aggravates nasopharyngeal carcinoma carcinogenesis by modulating miR-363-5p/S100A1 pathway. *Gene*. 2018;645:76-84.
- Ni W, Xia Y, Bi Y, Wen F, Hu D, Luo L. FoxD2-AS1 promotes glioma progression by regulating miR-185-5P/HMGA2 axis and PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2019;11:1427-1439.
- Yu DJ, Li YH, Zhong M. MicroRNA-597 inhibits NSCLC progression through negatively regulating CDK2 expression. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2020;24:4288-4297.
- Capaccione KM, Pine SR. The Notch signaling pathway as a mediator of tumor survival. *Carcinogenesis*. 2013;34:1420-1430.
- Zhang C, Hai L, Zhu M, et al. Actin cytoskeleton regulator Arp2/3 complex is required for DLL1 activating Notch1 signaling to maintain the stem cell phenotype of glioma initiating cells. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8:33353-33364.
- Wang J, Yan Z, Liu X, Che S, Wang C, Yao W. Alpinetin targets glioma stem cells by suppressing Notch pathway. *Tumour Biol.* 2016;37:9243-9248.
- Gudmundsson S, Wilbe M, Filipek-Gorniok B, et al. TAF1, associated with intellectual disability in humans, is essential for embryogenesis and regulates neurodevelopmental processes in zebrafish. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9:10730.
- Li Q, Wu Q, Li Z, et al. LncRNA LINC00319 is associated with tumorigenesis and poor prognosis in glioma. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2019;861:172556.
- 21. Wang ZF, Liao F, Wu H, Dai J. Glioma stem cells-derived exosomal miR-26a promotes angiogenesis of microvessel endothelial cells in glioma. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 2019;38:201.
- Ayuk SM, Abrahamse H, Houreld NN. The role of photobiomodulation on gene expression of cell adhesion molecules in diabetic wounded fibroblasts in vitro. J Photochem Photobiol B. 2016;161:368-374.
- Dong H, Cao W, Xue J. Long noncoding FOXD2-AS1 is activated by CREB1 and promotes cell proliferation and metastasis in glioma by sponging miR-185 through targeting AKT1. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2019;508:1074-1081.
- Shen F, Chang H, Gao G, Zhang B, Li X, Jin B. Long noncoding RNA FOXD2-AS1 promotes glioma malignancy and tumorigenesis via targeting miR-185-5p/CCND2 axis. J Cell Biochem. 2019;120:9324-9336.
- Li Y, He ZC, Zhang XN, et al. Stanniocalcin-1 augments stem-like traits of glioblastoma cells through binding and activating NOTCH1. *Cancer Lett.* 2018;416:66-74.

- 26. Cenciarelli C, Marei HE, Zonfrillo M, et al. The interference of Notch1 target Hes1 affects cell growth, differentiation and invasiveness of glioblastoma stem cells through modulation of multiple oncogenic targets. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8:17873-17886.
- 27. Zeng F, Chen H, Zhang Z, et al. Regulating glioma stem cells by hypoxia through the Notch1 and Oct3/4 signaling pathway. *Oncol Lett.* 2018;16:6315-6322.
- Cui C, Chen X, Liu Y, et al. beta1,4-Galactosyltransferase V activates Notch1 signaling in glioma stem-like cells and promotes their transdifferentiation into endothelial cells. J Biol Chem. 2018;293:2219-2230.
- 29. Feng HB, Wang J, Jiang HR, et al. beta-Elemene selectively inhibits the proliferation of glioma stem-like cells through the downregulation of Notch1. *Stem Cells Transl Med.* 2017;6:830-839.
- Eckel-Passow JE, Lachance DH, Molinaro AM, et al. Glioma groups based on 1p/19q, IDH, and TERT promoter mutations in tumors. N Engl J Med. 2015;372:2499-2508.
- Eyler CE, Wu Q, Yan K, et al. Glioma stem cell proliferation and tumor growth are promoted by nitric oxide synthase-2. *Cell*. 2011;146:53-66.
- 32. Han X, Tang Y, Dai Y, et al. MiR-889 promotes cell growth in human non-small cell lung cancer by regulating KLF9. *Gene*. 2019;699:94-101.
- Song W, Gu Y, Lu S, et al. LncRNA TRERNA1 facilitates hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis by dimethylating H3K9 in the CDH1 promoter region via the recruitment of the EHMT2/SNAI1 complex. *Cell Prolif.* 2019;52:e12621.
- Lambertini C, Pantano S, Dotto GP. Differential control of Notch1 gene transcription by Klf4 and Sp3 transcription factors in normal versus cancer-derived keratinocytes. *PLoS One.* 2010;5:e10369.
- Guo Y, Liu S, Wang P, et al. Expression profile of embryonic stem cell-associated genes Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog in human gliomas. *Histopathology*. 2011;59:763-775.
- Wu B, Sun C, Feng F, Ge M, Xia L. Do relevant markers of cancer stem cells CD133 and Nestin indicate a poor prognosis in glioma patients? A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2015;34:44.
- Zhou L, Li Z, Shao X, et al. Prognostic value of long non-coding RNA FOXD2-AS1 expression in patients with solid tumors. *Pathol Res Pract.* 2019;215:152449.
- Jiang L, Wu J, Chen Q, Hu X, Li W, Hu G. Notch1 expression is upregulated in glioma and is associated with tumor progression. J Clin Neurosci. 2011;18:387-390.
- Liu J, Sato C, Cerletti M, Wagers A. Notch signaling in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation. *Curr Top Dev Biol.* 2010;92:367-409.
- 40. Yang X, Duan B, Zhou X. Long non-coding RNA FOXD2-AS1 functions as a tumor promoter in colorectal cancer by regulating EMT and Notch signaling pathway. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2017;21:3586-3591.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Wang Y, Cheng Y, Yang Q, Kuang L, Liu G. Overexpression of *FOXD2-AS1* enhances proliferation and impairs differentiation of glioma stem cells by activating the NOTCH pathway via *TAF-1. J Cell Mol Med*. 2022;26:2620–2632. doi:10.1111/jcmm.17268