Original Research

Association Between Bone Marrow Lesions, Chondral Lesions, and Pain in Patients Without Radiographic Evidence of Degenerative Joint Disease Who Underwent Arthroscopic Partial Meniscectomy

Leslie J. Bisson,*[†] MD, Paul Phillips,[†] MD, John Matthews,[†] MD, Zehua Zhou,[†] BS, Jiwei Zhou,[†] PhD, William M. Wind,[†] MD, Marc S. Fineberg,[†] MD, Geoffrey A. Bernas,[†] MD, Michael A. Rauh,[†] MD, John M. Marzo,[†] MD, and Melissa A. Kluczynski,[†] MS *Investigation performed at the University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA*

Background: Bone marrow lesions (BMLs) have been found on magnetic resonance imaging in patients with meniscal tears.

Purpose: We sought to determine the prevalence and location of BMLs, the association between BMLs and chondral lesions, and the association between BMLs and pain in patients without radiographic evidence of degenerative joint disease who underwent arthroscopic partial meniscectomy (APM).

Study Design: Cohort study; Level of evidence, 2.

Methods: We performed a secondary analysis of the Chondral Lesions And Meniscus Procedures (ChAMP) randomized controlled trial. BMLs were assessed on preoperative magnetic resonance imaging, and chondral lesions were documented at the time of surgery. Pain was assessed preoperatively and at 1 year after APM using the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) and the Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS). The chi-square test was used to examine the association between BMLs and chondral lesions, and the *F* test was used to examine the association between BMLs and pain.

Results: Of 241 patients, 58.9% had \geq 1 BMLs, and most were located on the medial tibial plateau (MTP; 74.6%) and/or medial femoral condyle (MFC; 28.9%). Most MTP BMLs were submeniscal (56%), and most MFC BMLs extended beyond the meniscus (73%). There were more MFC chondral lesions for patients with any MFC BMLs (P = .01) and submeniscal MFC BMLs (P = .02) versus those without BMLs, and there was no association between BMLs and chondral lesions on the MTP. There was also no association between BMLs and preoperative or postoperative pain scores.

Conclusion: In patients without radiographic evidence of degenerative joint disease who underwent APM, BMLs were found in 58.9% of knees and were primarily located in the medial compartment. There was a borderline statistically significant association between BMLs and chondral lesions for the MFC; however, BMLs were not associated with pain scores preoperatively or at 1 year after surgery.

Keywords: bone marrow lesion; meniscal tear; chondral lesion; pain

A bone marrow lesion (BML) on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) likely represents hemorrhage, edema, or infarction resulting from trabecular microfracture.¹⁵ Diminished signal intensity on T1-weighted MRI and increased signal intensity on T2-weighted fat-suppressed MRI indicate a BML.¹⁴ BMLs have been primarily studied in patients undergoing anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and likely represent the footprint of the mechanism of injury; however, BMLs have also been found in 39% to 43% of patients with meniscal tears.^{9,16,22} Most BMLs occur in the medial compartment of patients with suspected meniscal tears.²²

BMLs have additionally been found in patients with radiographic evidence of knee osteoarthritis and are predictive of cartilage loss.^{7,12,17} However, in patients without evidence of degenerative joint disease (DJD) on radiographs, it is not known if BMLs are associated with chondral lesions or are caused by changes in joint-loading patterns because of a meniscal tear. Two studies have found an association between BMLs and function after

The Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 7(3), 2325967119830381 DOI: 10.1177/2325967119830381 © The Author(s) 2019

This open-access article is published and distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - No Derivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits the noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction of the article in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. You may not alter, transform, or build upon this article without the permission of the Author(s). For article reuse guidelines, please visit SAGE's website at http://www.sagepub.com/journals-permissions.

arthroscopic partial meniscectomy (APM), but none has reported an association with postoperative pain.^{9,11} Also, Bisson et al⁴ found greater improvement in clinical outcomes after APM for patients without chondral lesions versus those with chondral lesions left in situ. Determining the association between BMLs, chondral lesions, and pain in patients undergoing APM can help guide treatment regimens and assist in counseling patients about proper expectations after treatment.

We conducted a secondary analysis using data from the Chondral Lesions And Meniscus Procedures (ChAMP) randomized controlled trial, which was designed to examine the effect on knee pain of arthroscopic debridement versus observation (no debridement) of Outerbridge grade II to IV unstable chondral lesions found during APM in patients aged \geq 30 years.³ The aims of the present study were (1) to examine the prevalence and location of BMLs in patients with normal radiographs and symptomatic meniscal tears, (2) to examine the association between BMLs and chondral lesions, and (3) to examine the association between BMLs and pain before and after APM. We hypothesized that BMLs would be prevalent, be associated with chondral lesions identified at the time of surgery, and be associated with increased pain before and after surgery.

METHODS

Study Design

The ChAMP trial was a double-blind randomized controlled trial that examined the effect of observation versus debridement of unstable chondral lesions on pain in patients undergoing APM.³ The ChAMP trial is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01527201) and was approved by an institutional review board.

Screening and Group Assignment

Six sports medicine fellowship-trained orthopaedic surgeons (L.J.B., W.M.W., M.S.F., G.A.B., M.A.R., J.M.M.) recruited consenting study participants between January 2012 and April 2015 at a single center. Preoperative inclusion criteria were age \geq 30 years, persistent symptoms of a meniscal tear for at least 3 months (ie, joint-line tenderness and pain with joint compression and rotation), no definitive evidence of DJD on weightbearing radiographs (ie, Kellgren-Lawrence [K-L] grades 0-1, no visible osteophytes, and <50% joint space narrowing on anteroposterior weightbearing

radiographs), meniscal tear confirmed by MRI, and having elected to undergo APM.¹⁰ These indications are consistent with the current recommendations set forth by the European Society of Sports Traumatology, Knee Surgery and Arthroscopy (ESSKA).¹ Exclusion criteria are listed in Appendix Table A1. Patients and data collectors were blind to treatment assignment and surgical findings until 1 year from surgery. A total of 1591 patients were eligible for this study, of whom 340 enrolled; of these, 241 patients had MRI scans available for an assessment of BMLs and were included in the final analysis (Figure 1).

Intervention

All patients underwent diagnostic arthroscopic surgery, at which time the articular cartilage was examined, followed by APM of the medial, lateral, or both menisci. Patients with unstable chondral lesions in any compartment of the knee were randomized to receive debridement (CL-Deb group) or no debridement (CL-noDeb group) after APM.³ During the study design, the surgeons met and blindly reviewed 13 arthroscopic photographs and videos to reach a consensus about defining unstable chondral lesions using the Outerbridge grading system. Unstable chondral lesions were defined as those greater than 1 cm^2 with flaps that (1) could be displaced more than 5 mm with a probe or that (2) contained fibrillated cartilage involving greater than 50%of the depth of the cartilage. Good interrater reliability was found among the participating surgeons for chondral grading using the Outerbridge system (intraclass correlation coefficient, 0.94; P < .001).

In the CL-Deb group, unstable chondral flaps of fibrillated cartilage were excised with a motorized shaver, but no subchondral debridement or microfracture was performed for any grade IV lesions. Arthroscopic findings for the CL-Deb and CL-noDeb groups from the original ChAMP trial study are presented in Appendix Table A2. Chondral lesions were left unaltered for patients in the CL-noDeb group. Patients without unstable chondral lesions were included in the nonrandomized no chondral lesion (NoCL) group and did not require surgical management of chondral lesions. An intra-articular injection of 20 mL of 0.5% bupivacaine was administered at the end of the procedure. All patients received a prescription for hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5 mg (1-2 pills orally twice a day as needed) after surgery, and physical therapy focused on eliminating of effusion, regaining of full range of motion, and progressive strengthening.

^{*}Address correspondence to Leslie J. Bisson, MD, Department of Orthopaedics, Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University at Buffalo, 462 Grider Street, Buffalo, NY 14215, USA (email: ljbisson@buffalo.edu).

¹Department of Orthopaedics, Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA.

One or more of the authors has declared the following potential conflict of interest or source of funding: This study was funded by the Ralph C. Wilson, Jr. Foundation. L.J.B. has received research support from Arthrex and educational support from Zimmer Biomet and Prodigy Surgical Distribution. W.M.W. has received hospitality payments from Arthrex, Prodigy Surgical Distribution, and Sanofi-Aventis. M.S.F. has received hospitality payments from Arthrex and Prodigy Surgical Distribution. G.A.B. has received educational support from Arthrex and Prodigy Surgical Distribution and hospitality payments from Linvatec, Smith & Nephew, and Stryker. M.A.R. has received consulting fees from Integer Holdings, has received educational support from Arthrex and Prodigy Surgical Distribution, receives royalties from Stryker, and has received hospitality payments from Smith & Nephew and Trice Medical. J.M.M. has received research support from Carestream Health, hospitality payments from Linvatec, and educational support from Arthrex. AOSSM has not conducted an independent investigation on the OPD and disclaims any liability or responsibility relating thereto.

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University at Buffalo Institutional Review Board.



Figure 1. Sample flow chart. CL-Deb, debridement of unstable chondral lesions; CL-noDeb, no debridement of unstable chondral lesions; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

Measurement of BMLs on MRI

Preoperative MRI scans from all patients were examined by 2 independent reviewers (J.M., P.P.; orthopaedic residents trained in evaluating BMLs on MRI) for BMLs, as indicated by hyperintensity on sagittal, coronal, and axial cartilagesensitive proton density-weighted high-resolution fast spin echo sequences when obtained at our institution or on similar sequences when patients brought outside scans. The location of BMLs was classified as the medial femoral condyle (MFC), lateral femoral condyle (LFC), medial tibial plateau (MTP), and/or lateral tibial plateau (LTP). The extent of BMLs was classified as none (no tibiofemoral BML), submeniscal (focally contained above or below the meniscus, extending to a maximum of 1 cm subchondrally), or extensive (extending beyond the meniscus and/or greater than 1 cm subchondrally). Patients with trochlear or patellar BMLs were excluded from the analysis. See Appendix Figures A1 through A4 for sample images of BMLs.

Demographic and Intra-articular Injury Data

Age at the initial visit (years), sex (male/female), and body mass index (BMI) were recorded. BMI was categorized as underweight (<18.50 kg/m²), normal weight (18.50–24.99 kg/m²), overweight (25.00-29.99 kg/m²), and obese (\geq 30.00 kg/m²).²¹ Surgeons recorded arthroscopic findings on a standard data collection form after surgery. The presence, site, and Outerbridge grade of unstable chondral lesions on the tibia and femur were evaluated arthroscopically for this current study as well as the presence of medial meniscal (MM) and lateral meniscal (LM) tears.

Pain Scores

A description of all outcome scores that were collected during the ChAMP trial can be found elsewhere.³ The current study used preoperative and 1-year postoperative Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) and Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) pain scores, both ranging from 0 (extreme pain) to 100 (no pain). $^{18,19}\,$

Statistical Analysis

Data from the 3 study groups (CL-Deb, CL-noDeb, and NoCL) were pooled together. Descriptive statistics were calculated for demographics stratified by the presence of BMLs and for the location (MTP, MFC, LTP, and LFC) and extent (submensical and extensive) of BMLs. The chi-square test was used to examine the association between the extent of BMLs and the presence of chondral lesions (yes/no) on the MTP and MFC. The MTP and MFC were selected because the majority of BMLs were located at these sites. Because of the small size of our groups, we did not perform subgroup analyses broken down by anatomic sites smaller than the medial or lateral condyles or plateaus. Patients with submeniscal and extensive BMLs were compared separately and collectively with those without BMLs.

To determine whether the presence or extent of a BML was associated with preoperative or postoperative pain, we performed 2 analyses. For analysis 1, we considered the ideal situation and examined whether the presence of a BML in the compartment containing the meniscal tear affected preoperative or 1-year postoperative pain scores. To do so, we pooled patients with the most common site of meniscal tears (MM) and compared those with any medial compartment BML (MFC and/or MTP) to those with no BMLs. The F test was used to examine the association between the extent of medial BMLs (located on the MFC and/or MTP) and preoperative and 1-year postoperative WOMAC and KOOS pain scores with adjustment for the presence of chondral lesions and for study group (debridement, no debridement, and no chondral lesions) in patients with MM tears. We also compared pain scores in all patients with the presence of any medial BML (submeniscal and/or extensive) with those with no BMLs. For analysis 2, to make the results generalizable to the real-life clinical situation (ie, where BMLs may not always be isolated to the

TABLE 1 Demographic Factors and Knee Injuries $(N = 241)^{a}$

	No BMLs (n = 99)	$\begin{array}{c} BMLs \\ (n=142) \end{array}$	Р
Age, mean \pm SD, y	53.7 ± 8.1	53.5 ± 7.3	.8596
Sex			.2500
Male	62 (62.6)	99 (69.7)	
Female	37(37.4)	43 (30.3)	
Body mass index category ^b			.7815
Underweight ($< 18.50 \text{ kg/m}^2$)	1(1.0)	2(1.4)	
Normal weight (18.50-24.99 kg/m ²)	15(15.5)	29 (20.4)	
Overweight (25.00-29.99 kg/m ²)	39 (40.2)	51(36.9)	
Obese (≥30.00 kg/m ²)	42(43.3)	60(42.3)	
Meniscal tears confirmed			.5779
arthroscopically			
Medial	71(71.7)	110 (77.5)	
Lateral	8 (8.1)	9 (6.3)	
Medial and lateral	20 (20.2)	$23\ (16.2)$	

 $^a \mathrm{Data}$ are reported as n (%) unless otherwise indicated. BML, bone marrow lesion.

^bTwo patients were missing data.

compartment containing the meniscal tear), the F test was used to examine the association between BMLs located at any anatomic site (MTP, MFC, LTP, and/or LFC) and preoperative and 1-year postoperative WOMAC and KOOS pain scores with adjustment for the presence of chondral lesions and for study group (CL-Deb, CL-noDeb, and NoCL). The reason that both analyses 1 and 2 were adjusted for the presence of chondral lesions was because chondral lesions have been shown to influence postoperative pain and for treatment group was because we wanted to ensure that this factor did not confound our findings.⁴ It should be noted that the primary ChAMP trial study results found no difference between the 2 treatment groups at 1 year after surgery.⁵

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics and Prevalence of BMLs

The mean patient age was 53.5 years, and the majority of patients were male (66.8%) and obese (42.7%). No patients had a subchondral fracture. BMLs were present on preoperative MRI in 142 (58.9%) patients with normal radiographs and arthroscopically confirmed meniscal tears. Demographics did not differ between those with and without BMLs (Table 1). The MM was involved in 75% of the meniscal tears; the location of the meniscal tear(s) did not differ between those with and without BMLs (Table 1). Most BMLs were located on the MTP (74.6%) and/or MFC (28.9%) (Table 2). The majority of MTP BMLs were submeniscal (56%), and the majority of MFC BMLs were extensive (73%). Overall, 29% of patients with MTP BMLs had MTP chondral lesions, and 78% with MFC BMLs had MFC chondral lesions. Of the patients with MM tears 85%had MFC and/or MTP BMLs.

TABLE 2 Extent and Location of BMLs $(n = 142)^a$

	n (%)
Extent	
Submeniscal	80 (46)
Extensive	95 (54)
Location	
Medial tibial plateau	
Submeniscal	59 (56)
Extensive	47 (44)
Total	106 (100)
Medial femoral condyle	
Submeniscal	11 (27)
Extensive	30 (73)
Total	41 (100)
Lateral tibial plateau	
Submeniscal	3 (23)
Extensive	10 (77)
Total	13 (100)
Lateral femoral condyle	
Submeniscal	7 (47)
Extensive	8 (53)
Total	15 (100)

^{*a*}Data are not mutually exclusive. Submeniscal BMLs were focally contained above or below the meniscus, extending a maximum of 1 cm subchondrally. Extensive BMLs extended beyond the meniscus and were greater than 1 cm subchondrally. BML, bone marrow lesion.

BMLs and Chondral Lesions

Submeniscal and/or extensive MTP BMLs were not associated with chondral lesions on the MTP (Table 3). There were more MFC chondral lesions for patients with any MFC BMLs (P = .01) and submeniscal MFC BMLs (P = .02) versus those without BMLs, and there was a borderline association (P = .05) between extensive MFC BMLs and chondral lesions on the MFC (Table 4).

BMLs and Pain Scores

For analysis 1, submeniscal or extensive medial BMLs were not associated with preoperative or 1-year postoperative pain scores in patients with MM tears when adjusted for chondral lesions and treatment group (Table 5). For analysis 2, medial and/or lateral BMLs were not associated with preoperative or 1-year postoperative pain scores when adjusted for chondral lesions and treatment group (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

We examined the prevalence of BMLs and their association with chondral lesions and pain in patients undergoing APM by conducting a secondary analysis of the ChAMP trial, which was designed to compare debridement versus observation of chondral lesions encountered during APM.³ The ChAMP trial found no difference in outcomes for patients randomly assigned to CL-Deb or CL-noDeb and also found

 TABLE 3

 Association Between Extent of BMLs and

 Chondral Lesions on Medial Tibial Plateau^a

	Presence of Chondral Lesions, n (%)	
	Yes	No
No BMLs (n = 134)	34 (25.4)	100 (74.6)
Submeniscal BMLs $(n = 58)$	15(25.9)	43(74.1)
Extensive BMLs $(n = 47)$	15(31.9)	32~(68.1)
Any BMLs $(n = 105)$	30 (28.6)	75(71.4)
P value ^b		
Submeniscal BMLs vs no BMLs		94
Extensive BMLs vs no BMLs		39
Submeniscal BMLs vs extensive BMLs	.49	
Any BMLs vs no BMLs		58

^{*a*}Extent of BMLs was categorized as (1) submeniscal BMLs, which were focally contained above or below the meniscus, extending a maximum of 1 cm subchondrally, and (2) extensive BMLs, which extended beyond the meniscus and were greater than 1 cm subchondrally. One patient was missing data for the presence of chondral lesions, and 1 patient was missing data for the extent of BMLs. BML, bone marrow lesion.

 ${}^{b}P$ values were calculated by the chi-square test.

TABLE 4 Association Between Extent of BMLs and Chondral Lesions on Medial Femoral Condyle^a

	Presence of Chondral Lesions, n (%)	
	Yes	No
No BMLs (n = 200)	108 (54.0)	92 (46.0)
Submeniscal BMLs $(n = 11)$	10 (90.9)	1 (9.1)
Extensive BMLs $(n = 30)$	22(73.3)	8 (26.7)
Any BMLs $(n = 41)$	32 (78.0)	9 (22.0)
P value ^b		
Submeniscal BMLs vs no BMLs	.02	2
Extensive BMLs vs no BMLs	.0	5
Submeniscal BMLs vs extensive BMLs	.4	
Any BMLs vs no BMLs	.0	1

^{*a*}Extent of BMLs was categorized as (1) submeniscal BMLs, which were focally contained above or below the meniscus, extending a maximum of 1 cm subchondrally, and (2) extensive BMLs, which extended beyond the meniscus and were greater than 1 cm subchondrally. BML, bone marrow lesion.

^b*P* values were calculated by the chi-square test.

that patients with unstable chondral lesions (regardless of observation or debridement) had worse results than those without such lesions. 4,5

In the present study, we hypothesized that BMLs would be prevalent, be associated with chondral lesions identified at the time of surgery, and be associated with increased pain before and after surgery. We found that more than half of our sample had BMLs on preoperative MRI, and there was an association between BMLs and chondral lesions located on the MFC. Contrary to our hypothesis, BMLs were not associated with pain scores preoperatively or postoperatively. Instead, meniscal tears and/or chondral lesions may be more predictive of pain than BMLs.

The prevalence of BMLs in our study (58.9%) was greater than in some previous studies (39%-43%) of patients with meniscal tears, and 85% of patients had concomitant medial BMLs and MM tears.^{9,22} Zanetti et al²² also found that BMLs in patients with suspected meniscal tears were primarily found in the medial compartment. However, similar to our study, Bergin et al² found subchondral marrow edema in 60% of patients with MM tears and in 90% with LM tears. We found that BMLs on the MTP were primarily submeniscal or focally contained below the meniscus, extending ≤ 1 cm subchondrally (56%), and that BMLs on the MFC primarily extended beyond the meniscus and were >1 cm subchondrally (73%). This could imply different causes of the BMLs at different sites, for instance, chondral loss on the femur and increased contact pressure due to the loss of meniscal integrity on the tibia.

Regarding the relationship between BMLs and chondral lesions, MFC BMLs were associated with MFC chondral lesions, but MTP BMLs were not associated with MTP chondral lesions. This could be explained if BMLs on the MTP were caused by changes in local contact pressure due to the meniscal tear itself. It should be noted that our study was confined to patients without definitive radiographic signs of osteoarthritis (K-L grade 0-1). It is also a secondary analysis, so our results should be considered preliminary. Finally, our outcomes were collected at 1 year, and those patients with BMLs may progress to osteoarthritis over a longer time period. Several studies have observed BMLs in patients with radiographic knee osteoarthritis.^{12,17,20} Women with knee osteoarthritis were 4.3 times more likely to have a BML, and BMLs greater than 1 cm were associated with full-thickness cartilage lesions.²⁰ Link et al¹² found increased BMLs with increasing K-L grade in patients with various degrees of knee osteoarthritis. Raynauld et al¹⁷ found that tibiofemoral BMLs in the medial and/or lateral compartment were associated with the progression of knee osteoarthritis. While our present study found no difference between groups in pain scores at 1 year, we are collecting clinical and radiographic results at 5 years, which should help clarify whether patients with BMLs at the time of arthroscopic meniscectomy are at a higher risk for later osteoarthritis compared with those without BMLs. Also, we do not know if the BMLs resolved or worsened after meniscectomy.

We performed 2 analyses to explore the association between BMLs and pain. Analysis 1 was intended to isolate the contribution of BMLs to pain and therefore included only patients meeting ideal criteria (ie, patients with isolated meniscal tears and BMLs only in the compartment containing the meniscal tear). To meet these criteria, we included only isolated MM tears and compared any confined and extensive medial BMLs with no medial BMLs. In this analysis, medial BMLs were not associated with pain in patients with MM tears; however, this subanalysis resulted in a smaller sample size and may not have been powerful enough to detect an association between BMLs and pain. Analysis 2 was intended to reflect the

	WOMAC			KOOS		
	Preoperative	$Postoperative^b$	1-Year Difference ^{c}	Preoperative	$Postoperative^b$	1-Year Difference ^c
No medial BMLs	$58.7 \pm 16.5 \ (n = 75)$	$88.3 \pm 15.5 \ (n = 58)$	$-28.6 \pm 14.5 \; (n=57)$	$51.3 \pm 14.8 \ (n = 75)$	$85.0 \pm 17.5 \ (n = 58)$	$-32.1 \pm 18.2 (n = 57)$
Submeniscal medial BMLs	$61.3 \pm 18.1 \ (n=51)$	$87.5 \pm 14.3 \; (n=44)$	$-27.6 \pm 18.1 \; (n=44)$	$53.8 \pm 16.1 \ (n=51)$	$84.0 \pm 16.5 \; (n=44)$	$-31.3 \pm 17.5 \ (n = 44)$
Extensive medial BMLs	$59.5 \pm 15.4 \; (n=43)$	$87.2 \pm 15.9 \; (n=38)$	$-26.6 \pm 17.7 \ (n = 38)$	$51.9 \pm 14.2 \; (n=42)$	$85.0 \pm 16.4 \; (n=38)$	$-32.1 \pm 18.2 \ (n = 37)$
P value ^d						
No medial	.31	.7	.95	.3	.69	.91
BMLs vs submeniscal medial BMLs						
No medial BMLs vs extensive medial BMLs	.57	.77	.73	.55	.47	.77
Submeniscal medial BMLs vs extensive medial BMLs	.61	.87	.82	.56	.88	.79

 TABLE 5

 Association Between Extent of Medial BMLs and Preoperative and Postoperative Pain Scores in Patients With Medial Meniscus Tears^a

^aData are reported as mean \pm SD unless otherwise indicated. Extent of BMLs was categorized as (1) submeniscal BMLs, which were focally contained above or below the meniscus, extending a maximum of 1 cm subchondrally, and (2) extensive BMLs, which extended beyond the meniscus and were greater than 1 cm subchondrally. Medial BMLs included BMLs on both the medial tibial plateau and medial femoral condyle. Patients with (1) both medial submeniscal and extensive BMLs and (2) both medial and lateral meniscal tears were excluded. BML, bone marrow lesion; KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

^{*b*}Postoperative represents $1 \text{ y} \pm 1 \text{ mo}$.

^cThe 1-year difference is equal to preoperative minus postoperative scores.

 ^{d}P values were calculated by the F test and were adjusted for the presence of chondral lesions and study group (debridement, no debridement, and no chondral lesions).

TABLE 6

Association Between Preoperative and Postoperative Pain Scores and BMLs^a

	WOMAC			KOOS		
	Preoperative	$Postoperative^{b}$	1-Year Difference c	Preoperative	$Postoperative^b$	1-Year Difference c
No BMLs Any BMLs <i>P</i> value ^d	$\begin{array}{c} 59.0 \pm 15.9 \ (n=96) \\ 58.7 \pm 17.4 \ (n=139) \\ .92 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 87.5 \pm 16.7 \; (n=77) \\ 87.0 \pm 15.7 \; (n=121) \\ .97 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -27.8\pm17.2\;(n=76)\\ -28.6\pm18.4\;(n=121)\\ .66\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.3 \pm 15.0 \; (n=96) \\ 51.7 \pm 15.3 \; (n=138) \\ .85 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 84.1 \pm 18.9 \; (n=77) \\ 83.7 \pm 17.3 \; (n=121) \\ .85 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -30.7\pm17.9~(n=76)\\ -32.4\pm17.7~(n=120)\\ .34 \end{array}$

^aData are reported as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. The presence of BMLs located on any anatomic site were included (medial tibial plateau, medial femoral condyle, lateral tibial plateau, and lateral femoral condyle). BML, bone marrow lesion; KOOS, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

^bPostoperative represents $1 \text{ y} \pm 1 \text{ mo}$.

^{*c*}The 1-year difference is equal to preoperative minus postoperative scores.

 ^{d}P values were calculated by the F test and were adjusted for the presence of chondral lesions and study group (debridement, no debridement, and no chondral lesions).

heterogeneous clinical scenario in which individual patients have medial, lateral, or MM and LM tears, and BMLs may be present at multiple sites. This makes the results more generalizable to actual patient populations but increases the risk of confounding. In analysis 2, we examined the association between BMLs located at any anatomic site and pain in patients with MM and/or LM tears and also found this association to be null. We were therefore unable to demonstrate an association between BMLs and preoperative or postoperative pain, and a post hoc power analysis demonstrated adequate power to determine that an association in our population does not exist.

Other studies have sought to explore the contribution of BMLs to pain in patients with meniscal tears. In patients undergoing APM, Katz et al⁹ found that medial BMLs predicted worse function but that BMLs in any compartment were not associated with pain. Kijowski et al¹¹ found worse International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) scores for severe MFC BMLs, comorbid MM tears and medial BMLs, and comorbid LM tears and LFC BMLs after APM. Their populations included more patients with radiographic osteoarthritis, however, and this makes their results difficult to compare to ours. There is also some preliminary research showing that subchondroplasty may alleviate pain in the short term after surgery in patients with BMLs.⁶ Obtaining a better understanding of the effect of BMLs on preoperative MRI and outcomes after APM can aid in patient counseling and developing proper treatment regimens.

Strengths of this study include its prospective design and measurements of both the location and extent of BMLs, which have not been reported in previous studies of patients undergoing APM.^{9,11,22} Also, we were able to examine the association between the location of BMLs and chondral lesions, which has not been reported before. Limitations include the fact that we only used preoperative MRI scans, and some were obtained from outside facilities. Variation in MRI machines and radiology technician skill levels may have led to random errors, but all MRI scans were of sufficient quality to determine the presence, location, and extent of any BMLs. We did not routinely obtain long-leg standing films to assess alignment, leading to the possible inclusion of patients with malalignment, which was not evident on standard anteroposterior weightbearing radiographs. We did not seek to correlate the site of any BML(s) with the location of the meniscal tear because this relationship would vary with knee flexion angle for BML(s) on the femur and because tibial BMLs may not be anatomically co-located with the meniscal tear because of contact force changes on the tibia that could be found remotely from the site of the meniscal tear. We also do not know if BMLs were from acute trauma or chronic stresses, and we did not perform follow-up MRI to assess the healing rate of BMLs, which may have prevented us from finding an association between BMLs and postoperative pain because BMLs could have healed during the course of follow-up. The time to resolution of BMLs has been found to range from 3 weeks to 2 years.¹³

Despite these limitations, the finding that the presence of \geq 1 BMLs on preoperative MRI does not portend a worse 1year postoperative result is valuable information to have when counseling patients about what to expect after APM. Another theoretical limitation is that osteoarthritis as used in our analyses was defined arthroscopically rather than radiographically. In the original ChAMP trial study, using standing anteroposterior rather than 45° posteroanterior flexed radiographs may have allowed some patients with greater degrees of osteoarthritis to be included theoretically; however, this did not occur based on arthroscopic findings (ie, no patients in the study had diffuse grade IV chondromalacia in any compartment). Standing long-leg radiographs to assess axial alignment were not routinely obtained during the study, but our inclusion of only patients with K-L grades 0 and 1 prevented the inclusion of malalignment due to osteoarthritis. We are unaware of a reliable and reproducible method to quantify the amount of meniscus resected and cannot provide a subgroup analysis of the effect of the amount of meniscus resected on outcomes. Our sample consisted primarily of male and obese patients; however, these factors were not associated with the presence of BMLs, and thus, selection bias should not have been an issue. These demographics also reflect the population of patients generally indicated for arthroscopic meniscectomy. For instance, the prevalence of obesity in our sample is nearly identical to the national prevalence of obesity in middle-aged adults (42.3%).⁸ Age, sex, and BMI did not differ between patients with and without BMLs and thus were not adjusted for in our analysis. There may have been other factors not measured in this study that could have confounded our results.

Finally, this study was a secondary analysis and was not powered to detect an association between BMLs and

chondral lesions or pain. Overall, there was 15% loss to follow-up. However, a post hoc power analysis showed that our sample had 97% power to detect a 25% difference in MTP chondral lesions between those with and without MTP BMLs and 86% power for analysis 1 to detect a 10point difference in the WOMAC pain score between those with and without medial BMLs. Thus, there may truly be no association between (1) MTP BMLs and MTP chondral lesions and (2) the WOMAC pain score and medial BMLs; however, a definitive answer to this question would require a study designed specifically to examine the association between BMLs, chondral lesions, and pain.

7

CONCLUSION

In patients with meniscal tears, with no radiographic evidence of DJD and who elected to undergo APM, BMLs were common and primarily located in the medial compartment. There was an association between BMLs and chondral lesions located on the MFC and no association between BMLs and chondral lesions on the MTP. When BMLs are encountered on the femur, clinicians should therefore strongly consider the existence of chondral lesions. Finally, we found that BMLs were not associated with preoperative or 1-year postoperative pain, once the presence of any associated chondral lesions was taken into account. These findings should help clinicians counsel patients with minimal radiographic arthritis and BMLs on MRI who are seeking treatment for meniscal tears.

REFERENCES

- Beaufils P, Becker R. ESSKA Meniscus Consensus Project. Luxembourg: European Society of Sports Traumatology, Knee Surgery and Arthroscopy; 2016.
- Bergin D, Hochberg H, Zoga AC, Qazi N, Parker L, Morrison WB. Indirect soft-tissue and osseous signs on knee MRI of surgically proven meniscal tears. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2008;191(1):86-92.
- Bisson LJ, Kluczynski MA, Wind WM, et al. Design of a randomized controlled trial to compare debridement to observation of chondral lesions encountered during partial meniscectomy: the ChAMP (Chondral Lesions And Meniscus Procedures) trial. *Contemp Clin Trials*. 2015;45(pt B):281-286.
- Bisson LJ, Kluczynski MA, Wind WM, et al. How does the presence of unstable chondral lesions affect patient outcomes after partial meniscectomy? The ChAMP randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med*. 2018;46(3):590-597.
- Bisson LJ, Kluczynski MA, Wind WM, et al. Patient outcomes after observation versus debridement of unstable chondral lesions during partial meniscectomy: the Chondral Lesions And Meniscus Procedures (ChAMP) randomized controlled trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2017;99(13):1078-1085.
- Bonadio MB, Giglio PN, Helito CP, Pecora JR, Camanho GL, Demange MK. Subchondroplasty for treating bone marrow lesions in the knee: initial experience. *Rev Bras Ortop.* 2017;52(3):325-330.
- Felson DT, Chaisson CE, Hill CL, et al. The association of bone marrow lesions with pain in knee osteoarthritis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001; 134(7):541-549.
- Hales CM, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Prevalence of obesity among adults and youth: United States, 2015-2016. NCHS Data Brief. 2017;288:1-8.

- 9. Katz JN, Meredith DS, Lang P, et al. Associations among preoperative MRI features and functional status following arthroscopic partial meniscectomy. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2006;14(5):418-422.
- Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS. Radiological assessment of osteo-arthrosis. Ann Rheum Dis. 1957;16(4):494-502.
- Kijowski R, Woods MA, McGuine TA, Wilson JJ, Graf BK, De Smet AA. Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy: MR imaging for prediction of outcome in middle-aged and elderly patients. *Radiology*. 2011;259(1): 203-212.
- Link TM, Steinbach LS, Ghosh S, et al. Osteoarthritis: MR imaging findings in different stages of disease and correlation with clinical findings. *Radiology*. 2003;226(2):373-381.
- 13. Mandalia V, Henson JH. Traumatic bone bruising: a review article. *Eur J Radiol*. 2008;67(1):54-61.
- Mink JH, Deutsch AL. Occult cartilage and bone injuries of the knee: detection, classification, and assessment with MR imaging. *Radiology*. 1989;170(3 pt 1):823-829.
- Nakamae A, Engebretsen L, Bahr R, Krosshaug T, Ochi M. Natural history of bone bruises after acute knee injury: clinical outcome and histopathological findings. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2006;14(12):1252-1258.
- Patel SA, Hageman J, Quatman CE, Wordeman SC, Hewett TE. Prevalence and location of bone bruises associated with anterior cruciate ligament injury and implications for mechanism of injury: a systematic review. Sports Med. 2014;44(2):281-293.

- Raynauld JP, Martel-Pelletier J, Berthiaume MJ, et al. Long term evaluation of disease progression through the quantitative magnetic resonance imaging of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis patients: correlation with clinical symptoms and radiographic changes. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2006;8(1):R21.
- Roos EM, Klassbo M, Lohmander LS. WOMAC osteoarthritis index: reliability, validity, and responsiveness in patients with arthroscopically assessed osteoarthritis. Western Ontario and MacMaster Universities. Scand J Rheumatol. 1999;28(4):210-215.
- Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, Ekdahl C, Beynnon BD. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS): development of a self-administered outcome measure. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1998;28(2):88-96.
- Sowers MF, Hayes C, Jamadar D, et al. Magnetic resonancedetected subchondral bone marrow and cartilage defect characteristics associated with pain and X-ray-defined knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2003;11(6):387-393.
- World Health Organization. Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. Report of a WHO Consultation. WHO Technical Report Series 894. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000.
- Zanetti M, Pfirrmann CW, Schmid MR, Romero J, Seifert B, Hodler J. Patients with suspected meniscal tears: prevalence of abnormalities seen on MRI of 100 symptomatic and 100 contralateral asymptomatic knees. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2003;181(3):635-641.

APPENDIX

TABLE A1

Frequency of Patients Meeting Preoperative and Intraoperative Exclusion Criteria^a

Exclusion Criteria	n (%)
Patients excluded preoperatively $(n = 704)$	
Workers' compensation claim	195 (27.7)
Previous surgery on operative knee	188 (26.7)
Significant ligamentous instability in operative knee ^b	165 (23.4)
Tibiofemoral joint space loss $>50\%$ compared with nonoperative knee	91 (12.9)
Visible osteophytes in medial or lateral compartment of knee	39 (5.5)
Root avulsion on MRI	36(5.1)
Intra-articular corticosteroid injections or viscosupplementation in operative knee within 3 months of screening c	32(4.6)
Inflammatory joint disease, chondrocalcinosis, or gout in affected $knee^d$	27(3.8)
Large chondral flaps judged to be impending loose bodies on MRI	26(3.7)
Previous major trauma to operative ${\sf knee}^e$	9 (1.3)
Osteochondritis dissecans	8 (1.1)
Other comorbid medical conditions	6 (0.9)
Grade IV chondromalacia >4 cm ² on MRI^{f}	3 (0.4)
Patients excluded intraoperatively $(n = 48)$	
Large chondral flaps judged to be impending loose bodies	17(35.4)
No meniscal tear	11 (22.9)
Grade IV chondromalacia >4 cm ^{2 f}	10 (20.8)
Root avulsion	9 (18.8)
Undergoing meniscal repair	3 (6.3)
Undergoing microfracture	1(2.1)
Anterior cruciate ligament tear	1(2.1)
Inflammatory arthritis	1(2.1)
Traumatic arthropathy of medial femoral condyle requiring abrasion arthroplasty	1 (2.1)

^aData are not mutually exclusive. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

^bSignificant ligamentous instability was defined as a history of partial or complete anterior cruciate ligament or posterior cruciate ligament tears or a history of grade ≥ 2 medial collateral ligament and/or lateral collateral ligament injuries.

^dChondrocalcinosis was diagnosed based on radiographic evidence of meniscal calcification.

^eTrauma was defined as a history of fractures involving the tibial plateau, patella, or distal femur.

^{*f*}The Outerbridge classification was used for grading chondral lesions.

^cThis criterion was removed from the exclusion list 6 months into the study when it was decided that patients who had injections in their operative knee within the past 3 months would be included in the study to improve enrollment.

	Debrideme	nt (n = 98)	No Debridem	nent $(n = 92)$
Arthroscopic Findings	Missing, n	n (%)	Missing, n	n (%)
Medial meniscus				
Tear present	0	89 (90.8)	0	86 (93.5)
Zone of medial meniscal tear ^a	0		0	
Posterior horn		84 (85.7)		81 (88.0)
Middle third		69 (70.4)		66 (71.7)
Anterior horn		3(3.1)		7 (7.6)
Meniscal capsular junction		18 (18.4)		11 (12.0)
Outer third		34(34.7)		37 (40.2)
Middle third		81 (82.7)		81 (88.0)
Central rim		69 (70.4)		58 (63.0)
Type of medial meniscal tear	0		0	
Longitudinal		6 (6.1)		0 (0.0)
Radial		21 (23.6)		26 (30.2)
Horizontal		7 (7.9)		7 (8.1)
Bucket		2(2.3)		2(2.3)
Complex		67 (75.3)		64 (74.4)
Other		4(4.5)		4 (4.7)
Lateral meniscus				
Tear present	0	28 (28.6)	0	21 (22.8)
Zone of lateral meniscal tear ^a	0		0	
Anterior horn		7 (7.1)		8 (8.7)
Middle third		21 (21.4)		14(15.2)
Posterior horn		15(15.3)		9 (9.8)
Meniscal capsular junction		6 (6.1)		2(2.2)
Outer third		8 (8.2)		5 (5.4)
Middle third		13 (13.3)		13 (14.1)
Central rim		25(25.5)		19 (20.7)
Type of lateral meniscal tear	0		0	
Longitudinal		1 (3.6)		0 (0.0)
Radial		4 (14.3)		3 (14.3)
Horizontal		1 (3.6)		3 (14.3)
Bucket		1 (3.6)		1 (4.8)
Complex		16 (57.1)		13 (61.9)
Other		7(25.0)		3 (14.3)
Tibia			_	
Chondral lesion present	0	54(55.1)	0	47 (51.1)
Site of chondral lesion	1		0	
Lateral only		19 (35.9)		18 (38.3)
Medial only		27 (50.9)		19 (40.4)
Lateral and medial	2	7 (13.2)	0	10(21.3)
Grade of lateral chondral lesion	0	0 (00 0)	0	
		8 (30.8)		4 (14.3)
1-11		1 (3.9)		0 (0.0)
		10 (38.5)		16 (57.1)
11-111		0(0.0)		0(0.0)
		6 (23.1)		8 (28.6)
111-1V		0 (0.0)		0(0.0)
	0	1 (3.9)	0	1 (3.6)
Grade of medial chondral lesion	0	0 (0 0)	0	0 (0 0)
		0(0.0)		0(0.0)
1-11 TT		U (U.U) 12 (20 0)		0(0.0)
		13 (38.2)		2(17.2) 1(25)
11-111 TTT		0(0.0) 16(471)		1 (3.3) 99 (75 0)
		2 (2 2)		42(10.9)
111-1 V TV/		J (0.0) 2 (5 0)		U (U.U) 1 (9 5)
T A		2 (0.3)		T (0.0)

 $\label{eq:TABLEA2} TABLE \mbox{ A2} Arthroscopic Findings for Debridement Versus No Debridement Groups (n = 190)$

(continued)

TABLE A2 (continued)

Arthmacopic Findings Missing, n n (%) Missing, n n (%) Femar 0 0 0 0 Site of chondral lesion 0 0 1 0 1 Lateral long messent 0 0 1		Debrideme	nt (n = 98)	No Debridem	nent $(n = 92)$
Premum Premu Premu Premu <th>Arthroscopic Findings</th> <th>Missing, n</th> <th>n (%)</th> <th>Missing, n</th> <th>n (%)</th>	Arthroscopic Findings	Missing, n	n (%)	Missing, n	n (%)
Chandral lesion present 0 77 (78.6) 0 69 (73.9) Lateral only 4 (5.2) 3 (4.4) Medial only 65 (84.4) 52 (75.4) Lateral and medial 8 (10.4) 14 (20.3) Crade of lateral chondral lesion ⁴⁴ 0 (0.0) 3 (17.7) 1.H 0 (0.0) 3 (17.7) 1.H 0 (0.0) 4 (25.3) III 1 (10.7) 6 (26.4) III 1 (10.7) 4 (25.3) III 1 (10.7) 4 (25.3) III 1 (10.8) 1 (25.3) III 1 (1.4) 0 (0.0) IV 1 (1.4) 3 (3.6) III 1 (1.4) 3 (3.6) III 1 (1.4) 3 (3.6) III 1 (1.4) 2 (3.0) IV 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) IV 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) IV 3 (4.1) 3 (3.5) III 1 (1.4) 3 (3.5) III 2 (3.0) 3 (3.5)	Femur				
Site of chondral lesion00Lateral and medial65 (64.4)25 (75.4)Lateral and medial00I00.0000.00II21 (67.7)62.99.40III21 (67.7)62.99.40IIII16.8316.59.1IIII16.8316.59.1IIII11 (49.7)42.02.50IIII11 (49.7)42.02.50IIII11 (49.7)00.0000.00IIII11 (49.7)00.0100.00IIII11 (49.7)00.0100.00IIII11 (49.7)34.0126.00IIII11 (49.7)34.0126.00IIII11 (49.7)34.0126.00IIII11 (49.7)34.0126.00IIII11 (49.7)34.0126.00IIII11 (49.7)34.0126.00V34.0126.0000.00IIII11 (49.7)34.0126.00V34.0126.0000.01IIII11 (49.7)14.0234.01IIIII11 (40.7)10.13.034.00.01IIII11 (40.7)10.13.034.00.01IIIIII11 (40.9)11 (40.9)11 (40.9)IIIIIII11 (40.9)11 (40.9)11 (40.9)IIIIIIII11 (40.9)11 (40.9)11 (40.9)IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Chondral lesion present	0	77 (78.6)	0	69 (73.9)
Lateral only4 (5.2)3 (4.4)Medial only65 (84.4)52 (75.4)Lateral and medial8 (10.4)14 (20.3)Crade of lateral chondral lesind00 (0.0)I0 (0.0)3 (17.7)I-II2 (16.7)5 (29.4)II-II18 (83.3)1 (5.9)III8 (86.7)4 (23.5)III-IV0 (0.0)1 (5.9)IV0 (0.0)1 (5.9)IV0 (0.0)1 (5.9)IV1 (1.4)0 (0.0)I-II0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-II1 (1.4,9)11 (1.6,7)I-III1 (1.4,9)3 (4.1)I-III3 (4.1)2 (3.0)Pitter on the second	Site of chondral lesion	0		0	
Medial only65 (84.4)65 (75.4)Latzeral and medial8 (10.4)14 (20.2)Grade of lateral chondral lesion"00 (0.0)I0 (0.0)0 (0.0)III2 (16.7)5 (29.4)III1 (8.3)1 (5.9)III8 (66.7)4 (23.5)III-IV0 (0.0)1 (5.9)III8 (66.7)4 (23.5)III-IV0 (0.0)1 (5.9)III1 (1.4)0 (0.0)III1 (1.4)0 (0.0)III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)III1 (1.4)3 (4.0)III1 (1.4)3 (4.0)III1 (1.4)2 (3.0)V3 (4.1)2 (3.0)Potella11 (1.4)Chondral lesion present'065 (66.3)0V3 (4.1)2 (3.0)Site of chondral lesion present'06 (9.4)1 (1.3)Iateral and medial1 (1.6)3 (3.9)7 (1.9)Chondral lesion present'00 (0.0)1 (1.4)Lateral and eentral1 (1.6)3 (3.9)3 (1.0)Lateral and eentral1 (1.6)3 (3.9)1 (3.1)Iateral and eentral1 (1.6)3 (3.9)1 (3.1)Lateral and eentral1 (1.6)3 (3.9)1 (3.1)IIII0 (0.0)0 (0.0)1 (3.1)III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)1 (3.1)III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)1 (3.1)III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)0 (0.0) <trr>III<td>Lateral only</td><td></td><td>4 (5.2)</td><td></td><td>3 (4.4)</td></trr>	Lateral only		4 (5.2)		3 (4.4)
Lateral and medial8 (10,4)(14 (20.3))Grade of Interal chandral lesion"00I0 (0.0)3 (17.7)I-H0 (0.0)0 (0.0)II2 (16.7)5 (29.4)II-H8 (66.7)4 (23.5)II-H0 (0.0)1 (5.9)II-H0 (0.0)1 (5.9)IV0 (0.0)1 (5.9)IV1 (1.4)0 (0.0)I-H0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-H1 (1.4)3 (4.1)I-H1 (1.4)3 (4.1)I-H1 (1.4)3 (4.1)I-H3 (4.1)2 (3.0)IV3 (4.1)2 (3.0)IV3 (4.1)2 (3.0)IV3 (4.1)2 (3.0)IV-V3 (4.1)3 (4.0)IV-V3 (4.1)3 (4.0)I-I-V1 (1.6)3 (4.0)I-I-V1 (1.6)3 (4.0)I-I-V1 (1.6)3 (4.0)I-I-V1 (1.6)1 (1.4)I-I-V0 (0.0)1 (1.6)I-I-V1 (1.6)1 (1.6)I-I-V0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-I-V1 (4.1)0 (0.0)I-I-V1 (4.1)0 (0.0)I-I	Medial only		65 (84.4)		52(75.4)
Grade of lateral chondral lesion"00I0(0.0)3(17.7)I-II0(0.0)0(0.0)II2(16.7)5(29.4)II-II1(8.3)1(5.9)III0(0.0)1(5.9)III0(0.0)1(5.9)IV1(8.3)4(23.5)Grade of medial chondral lesion00I1(1.4)0(0.0)I-II0(0.0)0(0.0)I-II1(1.4)3(4.6)III1(1.4)3(4.6)III-IV3(4.1)2(3.0)Patella11(1.8)Chondral lesion present"065 (66.3)NV3(4.1)2(3.0)Patella11(1.8)Lateral dombial dombial1(1.6)3(3.9)Central only25 (39.1)31 (40.3)Lateral medial1(1.6)3(3.9)Central only25 (39.1)31 (40.3)Lateral medial10I-II0(0.0)0(0.0)I-II0(0.0)0(0.0)I-II3(13.0)3(12.0)I-III14(21.9)15 (15.5)Grade of lateral chondral lesion10I-II0(0.0)0(0.0)I-II3(3.0)3(12.0)I-III3(3.0)3(12.0)I-III3(3.0)3(12.0)I-III0(0.0)0(0.0)I-III0(0.0)0(0.0)I-III0(0.0)0(0.0)I-III0(0.0)0(0.0)I-III0(0.0) <td>Lateral and medial</td> <td></td> <td>8 (10.4)</td> <td></td> <td>14 (20.3)</td>	Lateral and medial		8 (10.4)		14 (20.3)
I0 (0.0)3 (17.7)I-II0 (0.0)0 (0.0)II2 (16.7)5 (29.4)III-I8 (66.7)4 (23.5)III-V0 (0.0)1 (5.9)V0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I1 (1.4)0 (0.0)I-I1 (1.4)0 (0.0)I-I0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-I0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-I1 (1.4)3 (4.6)I-I1 (1.4)3 (4.1)I-I3 (4.1)2 (3.0)V3 (4.1)2 (3.0)V3 (4.1)3 (4.5)Site of chondral lesion present'065 (66.3)0Retard and medial1 (1.6)3 (3.9)Lateral and medial1 (1.6)3 (3.9)Lateral and medial1 (1.6)3 (3.9)Lateral and medial1 (1.6)3 (3.9)Lateral and ecentral1 (4 (2.9)15 (19.5)Grade of lateral chondral lesion00 (0.0)I-I0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-I0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-I0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-I1 (3.0)3 (3.2)Crade of medial chondral lesion '2 (7.1)0 (0.0)I-I0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-I1 (3.6)0 (0.0)I-I1 (3.6)0 (0.0)I-I1 (3.6)2 (5.1)I-I0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-I1 (3.6)0 (0.0)I-I1 (3.6)0 (0.0)I-I1 (3.6)0 (0.0)I-I <td>Grade of lateral chondral lesion^d</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td>	Grade of lateral chondral lesion ^d	0		0	
I-II0 (0.0)0 (0.0)II216.7.5 (29.4)II-II1 (8.3)1 (5.9)III0 (0.0)1 (5.9)V1 (8.3)4 (23.5)II-IV0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I1 (1.4)0 (0.0)I-II0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-II0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-II1 (1.4)3 (4.5)I-II1 (1.4)3 (4.5)I-II3 (4.1)2 (3.0)Patella11 (1.3)Chondral lesion present"0 (6.6)0 (7.8)No6 (5.63)07 (84.8)Site of chondral lesion11 (1.3)Metial aniy8 (12.5)10 (13.0)Lateral and metial1 (1.6)3 (3.9)Central only25 (39.1)31 (40.3)Lateral and metial1 (1.6)3 (3.9)Central only2 (3.0)1 (14.2)I-II0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-II0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-II0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-II0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)	I		0 (0.0)		3(17.7)
II2 (16.7)5 (29.4)II-III1 (5.3)1 (5.5)III-V0 (0.0)1 (5.5)V1 (8.3)4 (23.5)F0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I1 (1.4)0 (0.0)I-I0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-I0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-I11 (14.9)11 (16.7)I-III-III11 (14.9)11 (16.7)II-III5 (73.0)48 (72.7)III-IV3 (4.1)2 (3.0)V3 (4.1)2 (3.0)V3 (4.1)3 (4.6)I-III-IV6 (9.4)1 (1.3)Site of chondral lesion present"065 (66.3)0Patella11Lateral only6 (9.4)1 (1.3)Lateral and medial1 (1.6)3 (3.9)Lateral and entral3 (4.7)6 (7.8)Medial and central1 (4 (21.9)15 (19.5)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III1 (1.4)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-IIII0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-III0 (0.0)0 (0.0)I-IIII0 (0.0)0 (I-II		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
IIII 1(8.3) 1(5.9) III 8(66.7) 4(23.5) II-IV 0(0.0) 1(5.9) I 1(1.4) 0(0.0) II 0(0.0) 0(0.0) III 0(0.0) 0(0.0) III 1(1.4) 0(0.0) III 1(1.4) 3(4.0) III 1(1.4) 3(4.1) 2(3.0) IIII 3(4.1) 2(3.0) Patella 1 2(3.0) Chondral lesion present* 0 65 (66.3) 0 Site of chundral lesion 1 1(1.5) 3(3.9) Site of chundral lesion 1 1(1.6) 3(3.9) Lateral only 6 (9.4) 1 (1.3) Medial only 3 (4.7) 6 (7.8) Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Lateral and central 3 (4.7) 6 (7.8) Lateral and central 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Lateral and central 1 (1.6) 1 (1.6) III	II		2(16.7)		5 (29.4)
III 8 (66.7) 4 (23.5) IV 1 (8.3) 4 (23.5) V 1 (1.4) 0 (0.0) I 1 (1.4) 0 (0.0) III 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 1 (1.4) 0 (0.0) III 1 (1.4) 0 (0.0) III 1 (1.4) 3 (4.6) III 1 (1.4) 3 (4.6) III 54 (73.0) 48 (72.7) III 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) Patela 1 2 (3.0) Patela 1 1 (1.3) Chondral lesion present ⁶ 0 65 (66.3) 0 Chondral lesion and entral 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Catral only 2 (3.0) 31 (4.0) Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Catral only 3 (4.7) 6 (7.8) Medial and central 1 (0.0) 0 (0.0) II 0 (0.0) 1 (1.4) III 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) Iateral and entral 1 (2.5) 1 (3.9) Catral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Catral and central 1 (2.1) <t< td=""><td>II-III</td><td></td><td>1 (8.3)</td><td></td><td>1 (5.9)</td></t<>	II-III		1 (8.3)		1 (5.9)
III-IV 0 0.0 1 (5.9) IV 1 (8.3) 4 (23.5) Grade of medial chondral lesion 0 0 I 1 (1.4) 0 (0.0) IH 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) IH 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 1 (1.4) 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) III-IV 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) Patella 1 1 (1.3) Chondral lesion present* 0 65 (66.3) 0 7 (84.8) She of chondral lesion 1 1 (1.3) 3 (3.9) 1 (14.3) Medial only 8 (12.5) 10 (13.0) 1 (14.3) 3 (3.9) Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) Lateral and eentral 7 (10.9) 1 (14.3) 1 (40.3) Lateral and eentral 1 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) IL 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) ILATERTION 1 (1.4) 1 (1.4) 1 (14.2) 1 (14.2) 1 (1.4)	III		8 (66.7)		4(23.5)
IV 1 (8.3) 4 (23.5) Grade of medial chondral lesion 0 0 I 1 (1.4) 0 (0.0) II 11 (1.4) 0 (0.0) II 11 (1.4) 11 (1.6.7) II 11 (1.4) 3 (4.6) III 11 (1.4) 3 (4.6) III 11 (1.4) 3 (4.6) III 54 (73.0) 48 (72.7) III 54 (73.0) 48 (72.7) III 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) V 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) Patella 1 2 (3.0) Chondral lesion present ² 0 65 (66.3) 0 78 (84.8) Site of chondral lesion 1 1 (1.3) 1 (1.3) Lateral only 8 (12.5) 10 (13.0) 3 (3.9) Central only 8 (12.5) 10 (13.0) 3 (3.9) Central only 2 (53.9.1) 3 (14.0.3) 1 (14.0.3) Lateral and medial 1 (1 (2.9) 1 5 (19.5) Grade of lateral chondral lesion 1 0 0 (0.0) I II 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I II 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (14.3) I III 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) <	III-IV		0 (0.0)		1 (5.9)
Grade of medial chondral lesion 0 0 I 1(1.4) 0(0.0) 0(0.0) II 0(0.0) 0(0.0) 0(0.0) II 11(14.9) 11(16.7) 11(16.7) III 1(1.4) 3(4.6) 3(4.6) III 54(73.0) 48(72.7) 11(16.7) III 3(4.1) 2(3.0) 2(3.0) Patella 1 1 2(3.0) Site of chondral lesion present" 0 6(9.4) 1(1.3) Medial only 8(12.5) 10(13.0) 14(0.3) Lateral and medial 1(1.6) 3(3.9) 11(16.3) Lateral and central 3(4.47) 6(7.5) Medial and central 14(21.9) 15(19.5) Grade of lateral chondral lesion 1 0(0.0) 0(0.0) I 0(0.0) 0(0.0) 0(0.0) 1(14.4) I 0(0.0) 0(0.0) 0(0.0) 0(0.0) II 1(4.4) 0(0.0) 0(0.0) 1(4.0)	IV		1 (8.3)		4(23.5)
I 1(14) 0(0.0) I-II 0(0.0) 0(0.0) II 11 (14.9) 11 (16.7) II-II 11 (14.9) 11 (16.7) III-IV 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) III-IV 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) IV 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) Patella	Grade of medial chondral lesion	0		0	
I-II $0(0.0)$ $0(0.0)$ II 11 (14.9) 11 (16.7) I-III 11 (14.9) 11 (16.7) IIII 11 (14.9) 11 (16.7) IIII 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) Patella 2 (3.0) 2 (3.0) Patella 1 1.13) Media only 6 (9.4) 1 (1.3) Media only 8 (12.5) 10 (13.0) Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Central only 25 (39.1) 31 (40.3) Lateral and central 3 (4.7) 6 (7.8) Media and central 14 (21.9) 11 (15.9) Grade of lateral chondral lesion 1 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1H 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1H 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1H 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1H 0 (0.0) 2 (8.7) 1 (4.4) 0 (0.0) IH 0 (0.0) 2 (5.1) <td>Ι</td> <td></td> <td>1 (1.4)</td> <td></td> <td>0 (0.0)</td>	Ι		1 (1.4)		0 (0.0)
II 11 (14.9) 11 (16.7) II-III 11 (16.7) 3 (4.1) 3 (4.6) III 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) Patella 2 (3.0) 2 (3.0) Patella 2 (3.0) 2 (3.0) Chondral lesion present ⁶ 0 65 (66.3) 0 78 (84.8) Site of chondral lesion 1 1 1 1 Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) 3 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Contral only 25 (39.1) 3 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Contral and central 3 (4.7) 6 (7.8) Medial and central 3 (4.7) 6 (7.8) Medial and central 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Carade of lateral chondral lesion 0 0 (0.0) I-I 0 (0.0) 1 (4.0) I-I 0 (0.0) 1 (4.0) I-I 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I-I 0 (0.0)<	I-II		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
III 1(14) 3 (4.6) III 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) Patella 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) Patella 0 65 (66.3) 0 78 (84.8) Site of chondral lesion present" 0 65 (66.3) 0 78 (84.8) Site of chondral lesion 1 1 1 1 Lateral only 6 (9.4) 1 (1.3) 3 (3.0) 3 (4.0) 3 (4.7) 6 (7.8) Medial only 25 (39.1) 31 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.3) 1 (40.0)	II		11 (14.9)		11 (16.7)
III 54 (73.0) 48 (72.7) III-IVV 3 (4.1) 2 (3.0) Patella 2 (3.0) Patella 2 (3.0) Chondral lesion present ⁶ 0 65 (66.3) 0 78 (84.8) Site of chondral lesion 1 1 1 1 Lateral only 6 (9.4) 1 (1.3) 3 (3.9) 3 (3.9) Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) 3 (40.3) 3 (4.0) <t< td=""><td>II-III</td><td></td><td>1 (1.4)</td><td></td><td>3 (4.6)</td></t<>	II-III		1 (1.4)		3 (4.6)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	III		54 (73.0)		48 (72.7)
IV 3 (4,1) 2 (3.0) Patella (1) (1) Chondral lesion present ⁶ 0 65 (66.3) 0 78 (84.8) Site of chondral lesion 1 (1.3) (1.3) Medial only 6 (9.4) 1 (1.3) Medial only 8 (12.5) 10 (13.0) Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Central only 25 (39.1) 31 (40.3) Lateral and central 7 (10.9) 11 (14.3) Lateral and central 7 (10.9) 10 (0.0) Grade of lateral chondral lesion 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) II 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 3 (13.0) 3 (12.0) 11 (4.0) III-IV 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 17 (73.9) 21 (84.0) 0 (0.0) III 12 (2.7) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) IV 2 (3.7) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 13 (6.1) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 13 (6.3) 28 (71.8) 0 (0.0) IIII <td< td=""><td>III-IV</td><td></td><td>3 (4.1)</td><td></td><td>2(3.0)</td></td<>	III-IV		3 (4.1)		2(3.0)
Patella Norder lesion present 0 65 (66.3) 0 78 (84.8) Chondral lesion 1 1 1 1 1 Lateral only 6 (9.4) 1 (1.3) Medial only 3 (3.9) 31 (40.3) Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) 31 (40.3) 14 (40.3) 14 (40.3) Lateral and central 3 (4.7) 6 (7.8) 15 (19.5) 15 (19.5) Grade of lateral de central 7 (10.9) 11 (14.3) 15 (19.5) Lateral, medial, and central 14 (21.9) 15 (19.5) 15 (19.5) Grade of lateral chondral lesion 1 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I-I 0 (0.0) 10 (0.0) 0 (0.0) IH 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 10 (0.0) 12 (84.0) IH 17 (73.9) 21 (84.0) 10 (0.0) IW 1 (4.4) 0 (0.0) 14 (0.0) IV 1 (4.4) 0 (0.0) 12 (8.1) IH 1 (1.6) 2 (7.1) 0 (0.0) IH 1 (3.6	IV		3 (4.1)		2(3.0)
Chondral lesion present ^e 0 65 (66.3) 0 78 (84.8) Site of chondral lesion 1 1 1 Lateral only 6 (9.4) 1 (1.3) Medial only 8 (12.5) 10 (13.0) Lateral ad medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Central only 25 (39.1) 31 (40.3) Lateral ad central 3 (4.7) 6 (7.8) Medial and central 7 (10.9) 11 (14.3) Lateral ad central 7 (10.9) 11 (14.3) Lateral chondral lesion 0 0 (0.0) I 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) II 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) II 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 0 (0.0) 2 (8.7) 1 (4.0) III 0 (0.0) 2 (8.7) 1 (4.0) III 0 (0.0) 2 (5.1) 0 (0.0) III 18 (64.3) 28 (7.1) 0 (0.0) III 13 (3.6) 0 (0.0) 2 (5.1) <	Patella				
Site of chondral lesion 1 1 Lateral only 6 (9.4) 1 (1.3) Medial only 8 (12.5) 10 (13.0) Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Central only 25 (39.1) 31 (40.3) Lateral and neutral 3 (4.7) 6 (7.8) Medial and central 14 (21.9) 15 (19.5) Lateral, medial, and central 14 (21.9) 0 (0.0) Lateral, medial, and central 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 11 (14.3) Lateral chondral lesion 1 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I-II 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I-II 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III-IV 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III-IV 2 (8.7) 1 (4.0) 0 (0.0) II 4 (14.3) 9 (25.1) 1 (4.0) III-IV 0 (0.0) 2 (5.1) 1 (4.0) III 3 (10.7) 0 (0.0) 2 (5.1) III	Chondral lesion $present^c$	0	65 (66.3)	0	78 (84.8)
Lateral only 6 (9.4) 1 (1.3) Medial only 8 (12.5) 10 (13.0) Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Central only 25 (39.1) 31 (40.3) Lateral and central 7 (10.9) 11 (14.3) Lateral and central 7 (10.9) 11 (14.3) Lateral medial, and central 14 (21.9) 0 (0.0) Grade of lateral chondral lesion 0 0 I 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I-H 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 12.0) I-H 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 12.0) I-H 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 12.0) I-H 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 14.20) I-H 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 12.0) I-H 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 14.43) 0 (0.0) I-H 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 14.43) 9 (23.1) I-H 0 (0.0) 2 (5.1) 0 (0.0) 14.43) 9 (23.1) I-H 0 (0.0) 2 (5.1) 0 (0.0) 12.53.1 14.53.66.13) 28 (71.8) <t< td=""><td>Site of chondral lesion</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></t<>	Site of chondral lesion	1		1	
	Lateral only		6 (9.4)		1(1.3)
Lateral and medial 1 (1.6) 3 (3.9) Central only 25 (39.1) 31 (40.3) Lateral and central 7 (10.9) 11 (14.3) Medial and central 7 (10.9) 11 (14.3) Lateral, medial, and central 14 (21.9) 0 (0.0) I 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I-II 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I-II 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) II 3 (13.0) 3 (12.0) II-III 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 11 (4.4) 0 (0.0) III 14 (4.4) 0 (0.0) III 14 (4.4) 0 (0.0) IV 2 (7.1) 0 (0.0) IV 2 (7.1) 0 (0.0) II 0 (0.0) 2 (5.1) III-IV 0 (0.0) 2 (5.1) III-IV 13 (6.3) 28 (71.8) III-IV 13 (6.1) 0 (0.0) IV 3 (3.6.1) 0 (0.0) III 3 (3.6.1) 0 (0.0) IV 3 (3.6.1) 0 (0.0) IV 3 (3.6.1) 0 (0.0) <td>Medial only</td> <td></td> <td>8 (12.5)</td> <td></td> <td>10 (13.0)</td>	Medial only		8 (12.5)		10 (13.0)
$\begin{array}{cccc} {\rm Central only} & 25 (39.1) & 31 (40.3) \\ {\rm Lateral and central} & 3 (4.7) & 6 (7.8) \\ {\rm Medial and central} & 14 (21.9) & 11 (14.3) \\ {\rm Lateral, medial, and central} & 10 & 0 & 0 \\ {\rm I} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm I} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm I} & 3 (13.0) & 3 (12.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 17 (73.9) & 21 (84.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 17 (73.9) & 21 (84.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IV} & 2 (8.7) & 0 & 1 (4.0) \\ {\rm Grade of medial chondral lesion^c} & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ {\rm I} & 2 (7.1) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm III} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIII} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIII} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIII} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIII} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIII} & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIIII & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) & 0 (0.0) \\ {\rm IIIIII & 0 (0$	Lateral and medial		1 (1.6)		3 (3.9)
Lateral and central $3 (4.7)$ $6 (7.8)$ Medial and central $7 (10.9)$ $11 (14.3)$ Lateral, medial, and central $14 (21.9)$ 0 I $0 (0.0)$ $0 (0.0)$ I-II $0 (0.0)$ $0 (0.0)$ IH $3 (13.0)$ $3 (12.0)$ II-III $0 (0.0)$ $0 (0.0)$ III $0 (0.0)$ $0 (0.0)$ IV $2 (7.1)$ $0 (0.0)$ II $0 (0.0)$ $0 (0.0)$ II $0 (0.0)$ $2 (5.1)$ III $0 (0.0)$ $2 (5.1)$ III 13.6 $0 (0.0)$ IV $3 (10.7)$ $0 (0.0)$ III $3 (6.1)$ $0 (0.0)$ III $3 (6.1$	Central only		25 (39.1)		31 (40.3)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Lateral and central		3 (4.7)		6 (7.8)
Lateral, medial, and central 14 (21.9) 15 (19.5) Grade of lateral chondral lesion 1 0 I 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I-HI 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) II-II 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) II-III 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III-III 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) III 17 (73.9) 21 (84.0) II-IV 1 (4.4) 0 (0.0) IGrade of medial chondral lesion ^c 2 0 I 2 (7.1) 0 (0.0) I-II 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I-III 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) I-III 0 (0.0) 0 (23.1) I-III 0 (0.0) 2 (5.1) III 1 (3.6) 0 (0.0) III 1 (3.6) 0 (0.0) IV 3 (6.1) 0 (0.0) IV 3 (6.1) 0 (0.0) I-II 3 (6.1) 0 (0.0) I-II 3 (6.1) 0 (0.0) I-III 0 (0.0) 1 (1.6) I-III 0 (0.0) 1 (1.6)	Medial and central		7 (10.9)		11 (14.3)
Grade of lateral chondral lesion 1 0 I 0(0.0) 0(0.0) I-II 0(0.0) 0(0.0) II 3(13.0) 3(12.0) II-III 0(0.0) 0(0.0) III 0(0.0) 0(0.0) III 0(0.0) 0(0.0) III 0(0.0) 0(0.0) III-IV 1(4.4) 0(0.0) IV 2(8.7) 1(4.0) Grade of medial chondral lesion ^e 2 0 I 2(7.1) 0(0.0) I-II 0(0.0) 2(5.1) III 0(0.0) 2(5.1) III-IV 1(3.6) 0(0.0) II-IV 1(3.6) 0(0.0) IV 3(6.1) 0(0.0) IV 3(6.1) 0(0.0) IV 30(61.2) 43(70.5) II-II 30(61.2) 43(70.5) II-IV 0(0.0) 1(1.6) III 30(61.2) 43(70.5) II-IV 0(Lateral, medial, and central		14 (21.9)		15 (19.5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Grade of lateral chondral lesion	1		0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ι		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	I-II		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II		3 (13.0)		3 (12.0)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-III		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III		17 (73.9)		21 (84.0)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-IV		1 (4.4)		0 (0.0)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IV		2(8.7)		1 (4.0)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Grade of medial chondral lesion ^c	2		0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	I		2(7.1)		0 (0.0)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	I-II		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II		4 (14.3)		9 (23.1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-III		0 (0.0)		2(5.1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III		18 (64.3)		28(71.8)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-IV		1 (3.6)		0 (0.0)
	IV		3(10.7)		0 (0.0)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Grade of central chondral lesion ^e	0		2	
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \mathrm{I-II} & & 0 (0.0) & & 0 (0.0) \\ \mathrm{II} & & 15 (30.6) & & 17 (27.0) \\ \mathrm{II-III} & & 0 (0.0) & & 1 (1.6) \\ \mathrm{III} & & 30 (61.2) & & 43 (70.5) \\ \mathrm{III-IV} & & 1 (2.1) & & 0 (0.0) \\ \mathrm{IV} & & 0 (0.0) & & 1 (1.6) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Trochlea} & & & & & \\ \mathrm{Chondral lesion present} & & 0 & & 48 (49.0) & 0 & & 48 (52.2) \\ \mathrm{Site of chondral lesion} & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & 0 & & \\ \end{array}$	I		3 (6.1)		0 (0.0)
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \text{II} & 15 (30.6) & 17 (27.0) \\ \text{II-III} & 0 (0.0) & 1 (1.6) \\ \text{III} & 30 (61.2) & 43 (70.5) \\ \text{III-IV} & 1 (2.1) & 0 (0.0) \\ \text{IV} & 0 (0.0) & 1 (1.6) \\ \hline \text{Trochlea} & & & \\ \hline \text{Chondral lesion present} & 0 & 48 (49.0) & 0 & 48 (52.2) \\ \hline \text{Site of chondral lesion} & 4 & 0 \\ \hline \text{Lateral only} & 2 (4.6) & 0 (0.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$	I-II		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{II-III} & & 0 (0.0) & & 1 (1.6) \\ \text{III} & & 30 (61.2) & & 43 (70.5) \\ \text{III-IV} & & 1 (2.1) & & 0 (0.0) \\ \text{IV} & & 0 (0.0) & & 1 (1.6) \\ \end{array}$ Trochlea Trochlea Chondral lesion present 0 48 (49.0) 0 Site of chondral lesion 4 Lateral only 2 (4.6)	II		15 (30.6)		17 (27.0)
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathrm{III} & & 30(61.2) & & 43(70.5) \\ \mathrm{III-IV} & & 1(2.1) & & 0(0.0) \\ \mathrm{IV} & & 0(0.0) & & 1(1.6) \\ \\ \mathrm{Trochlea} & & & & \\ & & & \\ \mathrm{Chondral\ lesion\ present} & & 0 & & 48(49.0) & 0 & & 48(52.2) \\ \mathrm{Site\ of\ chondral\ lesion} & & 4 & & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 1 \\ \mathrm{Lateral\ only} & & & & & & & 2(4.6) & & & & 0(0.0) \end{array}$	II-III		0 (0.0)		1 (1.6)
$\begin{array}{cccc} III-IV & 1 (2.1) & 0 (0.0) \\ IV & 0 (0.0) & 1 (1.6) \\ Trochlea & & & & \\ Chondral lesion present & 0 & 48 (49.0) & 0 & 48 (52.2) \\ Site of chondral lesion & 4 & 0 & \\ \hline Lateral only & 2 (4.6) & 0 (0.0) \\ \end{array}$	III		30 (61.2)		43 (70.5)
IV 0 (0.0) 1 (1.6) Trochlea -	III-IV		1 (2.1)		0 (0.0)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	IV		0 (0.0)		1 (1.6)
Chondral lesion present 0 48 (49.0) 0 48 (52.2) Site of chondral lesion 4 0 0 Lateral only 2 (4.6) 0 (0.0)	Trochlea				
Site of chondral lesion 4 0 Lateral only 2 (4.6) 0 (0.0)	Chondral lesion present	0	48 (49.0)	0	48 (52.2)
Lateral only 2 (4.6) 0 (0.0)	Site of chondral lesion	4		0	
	Lateral only		2 (4.6)		0 (0.0)

	Debridemer	nt (n = 98)	No Debridem	ent $(n = 92)$
Arthroscopic Findings	Missing, n	n (%)	Missing, n	n (%)
Medial only		4 (9.1)		3 (6.2)
Lateral and medial		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
Central only		26 (59.1)		35(72.9)
Lateral and central		1(2.3)		2(4.2)
Medial and central		4 (9.1)		2(4.2)
Lateral, medial, and central		7 (15.9)		6(12.5)
Grade of lateral chondral lesion	1		0	
Ι		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
I-II		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
II		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
II-III		0 (0.0)		2(25.0)
III		5 (55.6)		5(62.5)
III-IV		1 (11.1)		0 (0.0)
IV		3 (33.3)		1(12.5)
Grade of medial chondral lesion	0		0	
I		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
I-II		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)
II		2(13.3)		1 (9.1)
II-III		0 (0.0)		1 (9.1)
III		9 (60.0)		6 (54.6)
III-IV		2(13.3)		0 (0.0)
IV		2(13.3)		3(27.3)
Grade of central chondral lesion	0		0	
Ι		2(5.3)		1 (2.2)
I-II		0 (0.0)		1(2.2)
II		5(13.2)		6 (13.3)
II-III		0 (0.0)		1 (2.2)
III		27 (71.1)		29 (64.4)
III-IV		3 (7.9)		4 (8.9)
IV		1 (2.6)		3 (6.7)

TABLE A2 (continued)

^aCategories are not mutually exclusive.

^bCategories are not mutually exclusive. One patient had 2 chondral lesions on the lateral aspect of the tibia, which were counted as separate lesions: one lesion was grade III, and the other was grade IV.

 $^{c}P < .05$. All P values were obtained from the chi-square or Fisher exact test.

 d Categories are not mutually exclusive. One patient had 2 chondral lesions on the lateral aspect of the tibia, which were counted as separate lesions, and both were grade IV.

 e Categories are not mutually exclusive. One patient had 2 chondral lesions on the central aspect of the patella, which were counted as separate lesions, and both were grade II.



Figure A1. Coronal image on the left depicts no bone marrow lesions along the medial femoral condyle and tibial plateau. Sagittal image on the right depicts no bone marrow lesions along the medial femoral condyle and tibial plateau.



Figure A2. Coronal image on the left depicts a subchondral bone marrow lesion confined underneath the meniscus along the medial femoral condyle and tibial plateau. Sagittal image on the right depicts a subchondral bone marrow lesion confined underneath the meniscus along the medial femoral condyle.



Figure A3. Coronal image on the left depicts a subchondral bone marrow lesion extending beyond the meniscus along the medial femoral condyle, with no associated bone marrow lesions along the medial tibial plateau. Sagittal image on the right depicts a subchondral bone marrow lesion extending beyond the meniscus along the medial femoral condyle, with no associated bone marrow lesions along the medial tibial plateau.



Figure A4. Coronal image on the left depicts a subchondral bone marrow lesion extending beyond the meniscus along the medial tibial plateau. Sagittal image on the right depicts a subchondral bone marrow lesion extending beyond the meniscus along the medial tibial plateau.