#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

#### Open Access

# Macrophagic control of the response to uropathogenic *E. coli* infection by regulation of iron retention in an IL-6-dependent manner

Nana Owusu-Boaitey<sup>1</sup>, Kyle A. Bauckman<sup>1</sup>, Tingxuan Zhang<sup>1</sup>, & Indira U. Mysorekar<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

#### Keywords

Ferritin, lipocalin 2, urinary tract infection

#### Correspondence

Indira U. Mysorekar, Departments of Obstetrics and Gynecology, and Pathology & Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110. Tel: +313-747-1329; Fax: +314-747-4150; E-mail: indira@wustl.edu

#### **Funding information**

This work was funded in part by T32 Al007172-35 (to NOB), T32 HD049305 (to KAB), and R01 DK100644 (to IUM).

Received: 21 April 2016; Revised: 26 July 2016; Accepted: 28 July 2016 Final version published online 28 August 2016.

*Immunity, Inflammation and Disease* 2016; 4(4): 413–426

doi: 10.1002/iid3.123

Nana Owusu-Boaitey and Kyle A. Bauckman contributed equally.

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC), the causative agent of over 85% of urinary tract infections (UTIs), elaborate a number of siderophores to chelate iron from the host. On the other hand, the host immune imperative is to limit the availability of iron to the bacteria. Little is known regarding the mechanisms underlying this host-iron-UPEC interaction. Our objective was to determine whether macrophages, in response to UPEC infection, retain extracellular siderophore-bound and free iron, thus limiting the ability of UPEC to access iron.

Methods: Quantitative PCR, immunoblotting analysis, and gene expression analysis of wild type and IL-6-deficient macrophages was performed.

**Results:** We found that (1) macrophages upon UPEC infection increased expression of lipocalin 2, a siderophore-binding molecule, of *Dmt1*, a molecule that facilitates macrophage uptake of free iron, and of the intracellular iron cargo molecule ferritin, and decreased expression of the iron exporter ferroportin; (2) bladder macrophages regulate expression of genes involved in iron retention upon UPEC infection; (3) IL-6, a cytokine known to play an important role in regulating host iron homeostasis as well as host defense to UPEC, regulates this process, in part by promoting production of lipocalin 2; and finally, (4) inhibition of IL-6 signaling genetically and by neutralizing antibodies against the IL-6 receptor, promoted intra-macrophagic UPEC growth in the presence of excess iron.

**Conclusions:** Together, our study suggests that macrophages retain siderophorebound and free iron in response to UPEC and IL-6 signaling is necessary for macrophages to limit the growth of UPEC in the presence of excess iron. IL-6 signaling and iron regulation is one mechanism by which macrophages may mediate UPEC clearance.

#### Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are one of the most common infections worldwide and are primarily caused by uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) [1]. Given the rising incidence of antibiotic resistance among uropathogens, there is an increasing need to better understand the host response to UPEC and to develop ways to harness the host innate immune response that clears infection. Macrophages are one such host defense entity. These innate immune cells are not only critical in response to pathogens, but also for maintaining host homeostasis [2].

Macrophages provide host cells with access to iron [2], a metal crucial for host redox reactions and for microbial survival [3–6]. In the absence of infection, macrophages contribute to tissue homeostasis by releasing iron [2].

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>© 2016</sup> The Authors. Immunity, Inflammation and Disease Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Macrophages take up extracellular iron bound to the cargo molecule transferrin, remove the iron from transferrin, and release this free iron into the extracellular space through the exporter ferroportin [2, 7, 8]. Iron regulatory proteins (IRPs), which regulate ferroportin, transferrin receptor (TFR1), and divalent metal transporter 1 (DMT1) expression, offer another layer of control over iron availability [9–11].

However, upon bacterial infection, macrophages retain iron intracellularly by taking up free iron via DMT1 and storing this free iron in a ferritin-bound state. Furthermore, macrophages limit the release of free iron by increasing expression of hepcidin, a negative regulator of ferroportin, and by decreasing ferroportin and TFR1 expression [2, 6, 12]. In addition, macrophages also produce lipocalin 2, which binds to extracellular bacterial siderophores and is taken up by macrophages thereby limiting extracellular iron available for bacterial growth [12-14]. While hepcidin and lipocalin 2 have been shown to be produced during the pathogenesis of upper UTIs [15, 16], UPEC have been shown to exploit host iron during bladder infection (cystitis) through elaborating lipocalin-2-resistant siderophores such as salmochelin [5, 15, 17] and accessing iron within bladder epithelial cells [18, 19]. However, whether and how macrophages are involved and responsive to UPEC remain unknown. UPEC infection induces macrophages and bladder epithelial cells to produce, as a first response, interleukin 6 (IL-6) [20, 21], a cytokine that promotes iron retention through inducing hepcidin production by liver cells [22-24]. However, the role of IL-6 signaling in macrophage iron regulation upon UPEC infection remains unknown.

We reasoned that modulation of iron availability could alter the macrophagic capacity to limit UPEC survival. We sought to test the model that macrophages mediate the inflammatory IL-6 response to UPEC infection, in part, by producing lipocalin 2, thereby limiting iron availability to the uropathogens. We also sought to investigate the macrophage iron retention response under normal and high iron conditions, as macrophages are exposed to different iron levels over the course of an in vivo infection [4]. We used primary peritoneal macrophages (pMacs) as a model to elucidate macrophage-iron-UPEC interactions. pMacs model monocyte-derived recruited macrophages [25, 26] and have been previously used to investigate host regulation of iron [27-29]. Here, we show that pMacs retain free iron in response to UPEC by decreasing ferroportin expression, increasing hepcidin production, and increasing Dmt1 expression. pMacs also take up extracellular siderophore-bound iron through production of lipocalin 2. We provide further evidence that, in response to UPEC, IL-6 signaling inhibits iron retention and promotes lipocalin 2 production by pMacs pre-exposed to high iron conditions. Inhibition of IL-6 signaling promotes UPEC survival within pMacs pre-exposed to high iron conditions, suggesting that macrophage IL-6 signaling is necessary to limit the ability of UPEC to access iron. Finally, we show that bladder tissue macrophages isolated from mice infected with UPEC upregulate expression of genes involved in iron retention upon UPEC infection. Together, our findings suggest macrophage IL-6 signaling and regulation of iron may limit UPEC growth.

#### Results

#### pMacs retain iron and limit UPEC survival

UPEC exploits host iron for its survival [5, 15, 17], including by chelating iron from within bladder epithelial cells [18, 19]. We recently showed that high iron levels promote UPEC growth within bladder epithelial cells [19]. UPEC can also persist within macrophages [30, 31]. It remains unclear, however, whether UPEC exploits macrophages as a source of iron under high iron conditions and homeostatic iron conditions that mimic in vivo infection [4]. We therefore sought to investigate the macrophage response to UPEC in the context of homeostatic levels of iron ("control") or following iron supplementation ("iron supplemented") resulting from the addition of ferric ammonium citrate (FAC) as a source of iron at a concentration consistent with previous publications [7, 32]. We infected control and ironsupplemented peritoneal macrophages (pMacs) with UPEC for 3 and 6 h (see schematic in Fig. 1A) and measured intracellular and extracellular bacterial CFUs. Interestingly, there was no significant difference in intracellular UPEC load within control pMacs in comparison to iron-supplemented pMacs (Fig. 1B), nor was there a significant difference in extracellular UPEC load (data not shown). In addition, UPEC had no significant effect on pMac survival at these time-points (data not shown). We have previously shown that iron alone promotes UPEC growth in minimal media and in bladder epithelial cells [19]; thus, the UPEC growth restriction observed in macrophages is attributable to macrophage function.

We then sought to examine whether pMacs limited the ability of UPEC to exploit extra-macrophagic free iron. To investigate this, we infected pMacs in the presence or absence of iron supplementation during the infection course. There were once again no notable differences in intracellular UPEC load within control pMacs in comparison to iron-supplemented pMacs (Fig. 1C), nor was there a significant difference in extracellular UPEC load (data not shown). Together, this indicates that pMacs successfully prevent UPEC from exploiting free iron for their survival.



**Figure 1.** pMacs retain iron in response to UPEC, and limit UPEC utilization of iron for UPEC survival. (A) Summary of procedure for infecting pMacs with UPEC. Peritoneal macrophages ("pMacs") were pre-treated for 24 h with or without ferric ammonium citrate (FAC) as a source of iron for iron supplementation. The pMacs were infected with UTI89 at an MOI of 0.1 for 3 or 6 h. Intracellular CFU analysis in pMacs uninfected or infected with UTI89 at an MOI of 0.1 for 3 or 6 h. Intracellular CFU analysis in pMacs uninfected or infected with UTI89 at an MOI of 0.1 for 3 or 6 h. Intracellular CFU analysis in pMacs uninfected or infected with UTI89 at an MOI of 0.1 for 3 or 6 h. Intracellular CFU analysis on mRNA expression of for lipocalin 2 (D), TFR1 (E), *Dmt1* (F), ferroportin (G), and hepcidin (H). Western Blots for ferritin and actin (I), IRP1, IRP2, and actin (J) in pMacs (three biological replicates; qPCR values relative to uninfected pMacs without iron supplementation; compared by ANOVA; bars represent ± SEM, \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001).

We next sought to investigate the mechanisms by which the pMacs limited the ability of UPEC to access iron. To determine this, we supplemented pMacs with iron followed by infection with UPEC for 3 and 6 h and assayed for iron retention marker expression upon each treatment. We found that iron supplementation alone did not significantly affect expression of lipocalin 2 (Fig. 1D), indicating that iron supplementation alone does not cause

the pMacs to increase uptake of extracellular siderophorebound iron. However, upon UPEC infection, both control and iron-supplemented pMacs increased expression of lipocalin 2 (Fig. 1D), suggesting that the infected pMacs increased uptake of extracellular siderophore-bound iron.

On the other hand, we found that iron supplementation alone reduced expression of TFR1 and UPEC infection induced a further decrease in expression (Fig. 1E), suggesting that the pMacs may normally limit the release of free iron from its transferrin-bound and infected pMacs further limit this release. Next, we examined Dmt1 expression and found that iron supplementation had no significant effect while infection increased Dmt1 expression (Fig. 1F), implying that infected pMacs took up more free iron via DMT1 in response to infection. Finally, iron supplementation alone increased expression of ferroportin (Fig. 1G), but not hepcidin (Fig. 1H), a negative regulator of ferroportin. Both control and iron-supplemented pMacs retained free iron and limited its release in response to UPEC, as reflected in decreased ferroportin expression (Fig. 1G), increased hepcidin expression (Fig. 1H). To confirm that these expression patterns were not specific to pMacs, we repeated our experiments in bone marrow derived macrophages and observed similar patterns (data not shown). To demonstrate that the decrease in transferrinbound iron uptake did not suggest any defect in the pMac ability to store intracellular iron, we examined production of the iron cargo molecule ferritin. Both iron supplementation and UPEC infection increased ferritin production (Fig. 1I), suggesting that the ironsupplemented pMacs were retaining more free iron in a ferritin-bound state in response to UPEC. Consistent with this finding, we found that iron supplementation led to reduction in IRP1 expression, which is known to regulate ferritin production (Fig. 1J). Taken together, our data show that pMacs are adept at limiting the ability of UPEC to access host iron stores, even in the presence of excess iron.

# pMacs activate IL-6 production to regulate iron stores in response to UPEC

Host iron homeostasis is known to be regulated by the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6, which induces hepcidin production by liver cells [23], thereby promoting iron retention. We reasoned that pMacs may use IL-6 signaling in response to UPEC to regulate iron availability, since macrophages and bladder epithelial cells produce IL-6 as a first response to UPEC infection [20, 21]. We therefore infected iron-supplemented and control pMacs with UPEC and determined IL-6 production. We found, as expected, that UPEC induced *Il*-6

mRNA expression (Fig. 2A), IL-6 protein production (Fig. 2B), and IL-6 protein export (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, we found that iron supplementation was sufficient to activate IL-6 production even in the absence of a bacterial stimulus (Fig. 2B), consistent with previously published data [33]. However, iron supplementation did not augment IL-6 export (Fig. 2C). Taken together, these data suggests that iron promotes the IL-6 production pathway in response to UPEC.

# IL-6 signaling is required for promoting iron retention in response to UPEC

Next, we sought to determine if IL-6 signaling plays a key role in regulating pMac iron retention in response to UPEC. To determine this, we infected control and ironsupplemented pMacs with UPEC for 3 and 6 h. During both iron supplementation and infection, we treated the pMacs with either a control antibody or a monoclonal antibody against the IL-6 receptor- $\alpha$  subunit [34, 35], to inhibit IL-6 signaling. We found that anti-IL-6Ra treatment did not significantly affect Il-6 mRNA expression in the context of UPEC infection (Fig. 3A). However, inhibition of IL-6 signaling led to IL-6 protein accumulation (Fig. 3B) and a corresponding decrease in IL-6 protein export (Fig. 3C). We found that anti-IL-6Ra treatment did not significantly affect total STAT3 levels but decreased p-STAT3 production (Fig. 3B). However, iron supplementation not only decreased p-STAT3 production but also increased total STAT3 levels (Fig. 3B), suggesting that iron supplementation inhibited UPEC-induced IL-6 signaling.

Next, we determined whether inhibition of IL-6 signaling altered pMac iron retention. We found that inhibiting IL-6 signaling limited UPEC-induced expression of lipocalin 2 in iron-supplemented pMacs, but not control pMacs (Fig. 3D), suggesting that IL-6 signaling promotes uptake of extracellular siderophore-bound iron in iron-supplemented pMacs only. Furthermore, anti-IL6R $\alpha$  treatment increased ferritin production (Fig. 3B), increased TFR1 expression (Fig. 3E), decreased ferroportin expression (Fig. 3G), and increased hepcidin expression (Fig. 3H) in infected iron-supplemented pMacs. On the other hand, in infected control pMacs, anti-IL-6Ra treatment did not significantly affect TFR1 expression (Fig. 3E) or ferroportin expression (Fig. 3G), suggesting that IL-6 signaling may be limiting iron retention. This inhibition of iron retention is not due to reduction in free iron uptake via DMT1, since inhibiting IL-6 signaling did not significantly affect expression of Dmt1 in either infected control pMacs or infected ironsupplemented pMacs (Fig. 3F). Together, our data indicate that, in UPEC-infected pMacs exposed to high



**Figure 2.** Iron and UPEC together activate induce IL-6 production in pMacs. qPCR for *II*-6 relative to uninfected pMacs without iron supplementation (A), Western Blot for IL-6, ferritin, and actin, (B), and an ELISA for IL-6 (C) in pMACs infected with UPEC after iron supplementation (three biological replicates; qPCR values relative to uninfected pMacs without iron supplementation; compared by ANOVA; bars represent  $\pm$  SEM, \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001).

iron conditions, IL-6 signaling promotes uptake of extracellular siderophore-bound iron, limits release of extracellular free iron from transferrin, and inhibits iron retention.

#### pMacs require IL-6 signaling to prevent UPEC from exploiting excess iron

We next sought to determine if pMacs required IL-6 signaling in order to limit the ability of UPEC to exploit iron. To investigate this, we infected control and iron supplemented pMacs with UPEC for 3 and 6 h; during both iron supplementation and infection, we treated the pMacs with either a control antibody or anti-IL-6R $\alpha$ . We observed that inhibiting IL-6 signaling increased

the bacterial load in control pMacs, but not ironsupplemented pMacs (Fig. 4A). We then determined whether IL-6 signaling was required for pMacs to limit the ability of UPEC to exploit extra-macrophagic free iron by treating pMacs in the presence or absence of iron supplementation during the infection course, with a control antibody or anti-IL-6R $\alpha$  added during infection. We found that inhibition of IL-6 signaling significantly increased UPEC load only within the iron-supplemented pMacs (Fig. 4B).

To determine if this would be the case in a background of genetic loss of function of IL-6, we isolated pMacs from IL-6 knockout mice (IL- $6^{-/-}$ ). We found, as expected, that WT (IL- $6^{+/+}$ ) pMacs, but not IL $6^{-/-}$  pMacs, released IL-6 in response to UPEC infection (Fig. 4C). However, at early as



**Figure 3.** IL-6 signaling inhibits iron retention and promotes lipocalin 2 production in UPEC infected pMacs. (A) qPCR for *II*-6 at 3 h postinfection (hpi) in pMacs pre-treated with or without anti-IL-6R $\alpha$ , supplemented with iron and infected with UPEC . (B) Western Blot for IL-6, p-STAT3, STAT3, ferritin, and actin, (C) ELISA for IL-6 at 3 hpi, and (D) qPCR for lipocalin 2, (E) TFR1, (F) *Dmt1*, (G) ferroportin, and (H) hepcidin at 3 pi for pMacs pre-treated with anti-IL-6R $\alpha$  and iron, followed by infection with UPEC (three biological replicates; qPCR values relative to uninfected pMacs without iron supplementation; compared by ANOVA; bars represent  $\pm$  SEM, \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001).



**Figure 4.** Iron-supplemented pMacs use IL-6 signaling to limit UPEC utilization of iron. Intracellular CFUs at 3 hpi in pMacs treated with anti-IL-6R $\alpha$  and supplemented with iron before infection (A) or during infection (B). (C) ELISA for IL-6 release by IL-6<sup>-/-</sup> (WT) or IL-6<sup>-/-</sup> (KO) pMacs supplemented with iron before infection (D) Intracellular CFUs in WT and KO pMacs supplemented with iron during infection (A and B: three biological replicates; C and D: two biological replicates; compared by ANOVA; bars represent ± SEM, \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < .001).

3 h post-infection (hpi), we observed increased bacterial loads in  $IL6^{-/-}$  pMacs (Fig. 4D). Furthermore, we determined that iron supplementation during the infection course also increased the bacterial load in  $IL6^{-/-}$  pMacs, although there was no significant difference in the absence of iron supplementation (Fig. 4D), consistent with the results we observed with anti-IL6R $\alpha$  treatment. Thus, together our data show that IL-6 signaling limits the ability of UPEC to survive in pMacs under homeostatic iron levels as well as under conditions of high extra-macrophagic iron.

#### Bladder macrophages upregulate markers of iron retention during a UTI

We next investigated whether the macrophage iron retention response occurs in vivo during a UTI. This is of particular importance since inflammatory macrophages are recruited to the infected bladder mucosa upon UPEC infection [36, 37]. In order to characterize the bladder macrophage compartment before and during UPEC infection, we isolated bladders from PBS-treated control mice and mice infected with UPEC, and analyzed the bladder macrophages by flow cytometry. Bladders were isolated 6 or 24 h post-infection (hpi) during the acute infection phase, or 72 hpi during the tissue repair phase. Macrophages were defined as granular cells positive for the hematopoietic cell marker CD45, the phagocytic receptor CD64 [37, 38], the integrin CD11b [37, 39], and the mature macrophage marker F4/80 [36–40] (Fig. 5A). Though a relatively small number of bladder macrophages were present before infection, the number of macrophages increased over the course of infection (Fig. 5B), consistent with previously published data [41].

Next, to examine whether UPEC infection regulates iron retention by macrophages, we performed microarray analysis



**Figure 5.** Bladder macrophages express markers of iron retention during a UTI. (A) Gating strategy innate immune cells (CD45<sup>+</sup>CD11b<sup>+</sup>) and macrophages (CD45<sup>+</sup>CD11b<sup>+</sup>CD64<sup>+</sup>F4/80<sup>+</sup>). (B) Flow cytometric analysis of the number of macrophages from uninfected mouse bladders, and UTI89-infected mouse bladders at 6, 24, or 72 h post-infection. (C) Microarray analysis for hepcidin (*Hamp1*), *Dmt1*, lipocalin 2 (*Lcn2*), *II*6, and *II1b* for macrophages from uninfected (0 hpi) and UTI89-infected mouse bladders. The analysis depicts fold change in gene expression relative to uninfected 0 hpi samples (B and C: two biological replicates, five mouse bladders pooled per group per experiment; compared by ANOVA; bars represent  $\pm$  SEM, \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001; C: cutoff at fold change >2 between 0 hpi and 24 hpi macrophage samples).

on isolated bladder macrophages. We found that UPEC infection led to upregulation of *Dmt1* and lipocalin 2 (*Lcn2*), markers involved in iron retention (Fig. 5C). UPEC infection did not significantly affect hepcidin (*Hamp1*) expression in these macrophages (Fig. 5C). However, bladder macrophages exhibited increased expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines that can promote iron retention, as evidenced by increased expression of IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$  upon infection (Fig. 5C). Together, these results indicate that bladder macrophages upregulate markers of iron retention in response to UPEC infection in vivo; thereby limiting iron availability for UPEC to exploit.

## Discussion

Here, we show that macrophages normally limit UPEC survival by restricting UPEC access to intra- and

extra-macrophagic iron stores. We also show that IL-6 signaling is necessary for UPEC-induced macrophage uptake of extracellular iron through lipocalin 2 production, while IL-6 signaling negatively regulates UPEC-induced macrophage iron retention. Furthermore, IL-6 signaling is necessary for macrophages to limit UPEC survival under iron rich conditions. We propose a model wherein macrophages retain iron in response to UPEC, thereby keeping iron away from UPEC (see model in Fig. 6). Macrophages retain free iron by upregulating expression of Dmt1, an importer of extracellular free iron, thus allowing macrophages to take up free iron from the extracellular milieu and store the iron in a ferritin-bound state. Macrophages, in response to UPEC, also negatively regulate expression of the iron exporter ferroportin and promote expression of hepcidin, a negative regulator of ferroportin, further limiting



Figure 6. Model of macrophage iron retention during UPEC infection.

the ability of UPEC to access extracellular free iron. Furthermore, our data show that in response to UPEC, macrophages reduce expression of TFR1, a receptor that facilitates the release of iron from the extracellular cargo molecule transferrin. Reducing macrophage TFR1 expression thus furthers limit bacterial access to extracellular free iron.

Our findings are consistent with previous data showing that *C. pneumoniae* infection reduces macrophage expression of TFR1 [42], while macrophages also increase *Dmt1* expression in response to other pathogens, such as *F. tularensis* [43]. However, *F. tularensis* drives macrophage iron uptake in order to access iron within the macrophage and promote *F. tularensis* intra-macrophagic survival [43]. UPEC also exploits a number of mechanisms in order to persist within macrophages [30, 31]. This contrasts with our model, in which macrophages limit the ability of UPEC to access iron both inside and outside of the macrophage. In further support of our model, we show that macrophages limit the ability of UPEC to use iron to promote their survival within macrophages.

A number of other mechanisms are known to govern macrophage iron regulation. Previous data suggest that the inflammatory cytokine IL-6 regulates host iron retention [23] and the host-response to UPEC [20, 21]. Our data show that IL-6 signaling did not significantly affect ferroportin-mediated iron release by macrophages preexposed to homeostatic iron levels. This is consistent with previous work showing that, under homeostatic iron conditions, IL-6 deficiency did not significantly affect alveolar macrophage expression of hepcidin and ferroportin in response to lipopolysaccharide [44]. However, our data suggests that IL-6 signaling inhibits ferritin-mediated iron retention and promotes ferroportin-mediated iron release in macrophages pre-exposed to high iron levels. This did not promote UPEC survival, since IL-6 signaling inhibited UPEC survival within macrophages pre-exposed to high iron levels. IL-6 signaling instead limited UPEC access to extracellular iron by promoting lipocalin 2 production by iron-supplemented macrophages, in agreement with previous data showing that lipocalin 2 reduces survival of *M. tuberculosis* and *C. pneumoniae* within macrophages [42, 45].

Macrophages pre-exposed to homeostatic iron levels or high iron levels, produced lipocalin 2 and retained free iron in response to UPEC. This indicated that macrophages could perform their anti-microbial iron regulation functions under a range of iron levels. This is especially relevant in vivo, since macrophages may be exposed to different concentrations of iron over the course of an infection [4]. Interestingly, the host epithelial-iron interactions have the opposite effect on bacterial survival, as our previous work has shown that UPEC is able to access iron within bladder epithelial cells via ferritinophagy, thereby promoting UPEC survival and growth within these cells [19]. We suggest that in the bladder mucosa, UPEC survival and growth within the bladder epithelium may be mitigated by the bladder macrophagic anti-microbial iron regulation response, consistent with previous data showing increased bladder macrophage counts up to 48 hpi with UPEC [40]. Our data strongly suggest that macrophages limit the ability of UPEC to access iron in vitro and that bladder macrophages produce key iron regulators such as lipocalin 2 in vivo upon UPEC infection. In line with this, previous studies have shown that loss of lipocalin 2 and hepcidin resulted in increased rates of pyelonephritis in mice and women [46-48]. Further work is required to show that bladder macrophages guard against UTIsby limiting the ability of UPEC to access host iron.

Host iron homeostasis is known to be regulated by IL-6, which induces hepcidin production by liver hepatocytes [22–24]. In response to UPEC infection, both macrophages and bladder epithelial cells produce IL-6 [20, 21]. Bladder macrophages are thought to prevent subclinical UPEC colonization from transitioning into a pathological UTI [30, 36, 37]. We therefore posit that macrophage IL-6 signaling might play a crucial role in the macrophage anti-microbial iron regulation response in infected tissues, since IL-6 signaling both limited UPEC survival within macrophages pre-exposed to high levels of iron and promoted lipocalin 2 expression by these macrophages. Bladder IL-6 levels may regulate liver hepcidin production and subsequently alter the resident bladder macrophage response, thus allowing for increased iron sequestration during a UTI and limiting ferroportin expression in an autocrine fashion.

To overcome IL-6-induced lipocalin 2 defense, UPEC may elaborate lipocalin-2-resistant siderophores such as salmochelin [47], and inhibit IL-6 production [49, 50] in order to limit lipocalin 2 production. In addition to promoting lipocalin 2 expression, IL-6 signaling inhibited TFR1 expression by macrophages pre-exposed to high iron levels, thereby limiting the liberation of free iron from transferrin. This may provide another explanation of why UPEC limits innate immune cell production of IL-6: limiting IL-6 production provides UPEC with access to free iron released from transferrin. Given this, activators of IL-6 signaling could be a useful tool for modulating iron-dependent host immune responses during UPEC infection. Together, our data provide new insights into how the innate immune system limits UPEC survival by limiting the ability of UPEC to access host iron. We propose that modulating host iron regulation response may provide an effective treatment for treatment of the UTIs caused by UPEC.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Mice

All protocols were approved by the animal studies committee of the Washington University School of Medicine (Animal Welfare Assurance #A-3381-01). Seven to nine-week-old C57BL/6 mice were used for studies involving wild type mice, and 7 to 9-week-old IL-6<sup>tm1Kopf</sup>/J (the Jackson Laboratory) were used for IL-6 knockout experiments.

#### Isolation of murine peritoneal macrophages (pMacs) and bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDMs)

Bone marrow derived macrophages from wild type mice were isolated using previously published protocols [51]. For pMac isolation, a 3.85% of thioglycollate (Difco, BD Bioscience Diagnostics) was made according to the manufacturer's protocol. Mice were injected intraperitoneally with 1 mL of 3.85% thioglycollate and allowed to rest for four days. Mice were sacrificed, and 3 mL of culture media (DMEM containing 10% FBS, 1% Glutamax, 1% penicillin/ streptomycin; all from Gibco, Life Technologies), and 1% sodium pyruvate (Corning) was injected into the mouse peritoneal cavity. pMacs were then isolated using previously published protocols [25, 26, 52]. Cells were centrifuged at  $460 \times g$  for 5 min at 4°C, resuspended in culture media, counted, and plated at a density of 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells/mL.

#### Bacteria

Luria Broth media (Sigma) was inoculated with the UPEC clinical cystitis isolate UTI89 and grown as previously described [51].

#### Cell culture

pMacs in a 24-well tissue culture plate ( $\sim 5 \times 10^5$  cells/well, 1 mL culture media/well) were treated for 24 h with "infection medium," which was culture medium lacking penicillin/streptomycin, with or without 250  $\mu$ M ferric ammonium citrate (66  $\mu$ g/mL, FAC, Sigma–Aldrich, CAS: 1185-57-5) and with or without 125 ng/mL anti-IL-6R $\alpha$ (R&D Systems, AF1830) or normal goat IgG isotype control antibody (R&D Systems, AB-108-C). Cells were infected with UTI89 in 1 mL of new infection media.

## Quantitative PCR (qPCR)

Following gentamicin treatment, infected pMacs were removed from the tissue culture plate using CellStripper (Corning), followed by trypsin treatment (Gibco, Life Technologies). The pMacs were then washed in 5% FBS, transferred to buffer RLT with β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma, M6250), and frozen at  $-80^{\circ}$ C, per manufacturer's protocols (RNeasy Mini kit, Qiagen). The samples were then thawed and RNA was isolated from the cells per manufacturer's protocols (RNeasy Mini kit, Qiagen). cDNA was then generated according the manufacturer's protocol, (First-Strand cDNA synthesis Using SuperScript II RT, Life Technologies; with DNase treatment). qPCR was performed per manufacturer's protocols (SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix, BIO-RAD, 1725274) using the following primers, with *Rn18s* serving as the control: *Hamp1* (hepcidin): 5'-GCAGAAGAGAAGGAAGAGAGACACC-3' and 5'-TGTAGAGAGGTCAGGATGTGGCTC-3';

*Fpn1* (ferroportin): 5'-TGGAACTCTATGGAAACAGCC T -3' and 5'-TGGCATTCTTATCCACCCAGT-3'; *Slc11a2* (*Dmt1*): 5'-AACCAACAAGCAGGTGGTTGA-3' and 5'-CCT TGTAGATGTCCACAGCCAGAGT-3'; *Tfr1*: 5'-GTGGAG-TATCACTTCCTGTCGC-3' and 5'-CCCCAGAAGATATGT CGGAAAGG-3'; *Lcn2*: 5'-TGGCCCTGAGTGTCATGTG-3' and 5'-CTCTTGTAGCTCATAGATGGTGC-3'; *Il-6*: 5'-CCA GAAACCGCTATGAAGTTCCT-3' and 5'-CACCAGCAT-CAGTCCCAAGA-3'; *Rn18s* (18S): 5'-CGGCTACCACATC-CAAGGAA-3' and 5'-GCTGGAATTACCGCGGCT-3'.

#### Intracellular CFU analysis

pMacs were infected with UTI89 for 2 h in infection media and then washed in PBS. This was followed by either 100  $\mu$ g/mL gentamicin for 1 h, or 100  $\mu$ g/mL gentamicin for 1 h followed by 10  $\mu$ g/mL gentamicin for 3 h. The pMacs were then washed in PBS. UPEC-infected pMacs were then lysed in 1 mL of 0.1% Triton X-100 (Ricca Chemical Company) for 10 min with moderate shaking. The bottom of the plate was then vigorously scraped with a 1 mL micropipette tip, and 5  $\mu$ L samples of serially diluted lysate were plated on LBagarose plates. The plates were incubated 37°C overnight and colonies were counted the next day.

#### **Extracellular CFU analysis**

pMacs were infected for either 3 or 6 h with UTI89 in 1 mL of infection media. A total of 5  $\mu$ L of serially diluted media from these infected pMacs was then plated on LB-agarose plates. The plates were incubated 37°C overnight, and colonies were counted the next day.

#### ELISA

Supernatants were harvested from macrophage cultures after the indicated stimulations. IL-6 was quantified using a DuoSet IL-6 ELISA kit (R&D Systems) per the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Western blots

pMac cell lysates were electrophoresed on 4–20% Pierce Precise Protein Gels (Bio-Rad) and transferred to PVDF membranes. The membranes were treated with the following antibodies at the following dilutions: FTH1 (ferritin) rabbit polyclonal (1:1000; Cell Signaling, D1D4), total STAT3 (1:250; Cell Signaling, D3Z2G), pSTAT3 Tyr705 (1:100; Cell Signaling, D3A7), IL-6 (1:100; Cell Signaling, D5W4V, Mouse Specific), and beta-actin (1:500; Cell Signaling, 8H10D10).

#### In vivo infection

Mice were briefly anesthetized with isoflurane. The mice were then transurethrally injected with  $50 \,\mu\text{L}$  of PBS or  $50 \,\mu\text{L}$  of UTI89 by using a 1 mL syringe and a catheter with a

26G needle. After 6, 24, or 72 h, mice were euthanized by exposure to isoflurane followed by cervical dislocation.

#### Isolation of murine bladder macrophages

Bladders from euthanized mice were removed and briefly washed in PBS. Individual bladders were then placed into 1 mg/mL collagenase (Sigma) and 22  $\mu$ g/mL DNAse (Sigma) in PBS or RPMI at 37°C. The bladders were subsequently shaken at 37°C for 30 min to 1 h, processed into a single-cell suspension by using a 1 mL syringe plunger, and passed through a 70  $\mu$ m filter. Bladder cells from the same treatment group were then pooled.

#### Flow cytometry

Bladder macrophages were treated with TruStain fcX (antimouse CD16/32; BioLegend) in 5% FBS (Gibco, Life Technologies) for 20 min. Cells were then washed, treated with the appropriate fluorescent antibodies and isotype control antibodies at the noted concentrations (see below) at 4°C for 20 min, and then washed with 5% FBS. Samples containing a biotin-conjugated antibody were subsequently treated with the appropriate streptavidin-conjugate at 4°C for 20 min. Cells were then washed and analyzed on a BD LSR II flow cytometer. The following antibodies from eBioscience were used at 1:50: CD11b-APC (17-0112-83) and F4/80-PE (12-4801-82). The following antibodies from BioLegend were used at 1:50: CD11b-biotin (101204), CD64-PE-Cy7 (139314), and CD45-Per-CP-Cy5 (103132). The following streptavidin conjugates from Life Technologies were used at 1:15: Strepatividin-Alexa405 and Streptavidin-PacificOrange.

#### Cell sorting

A single-cell suspension of bladder macrophages was stained per the above flow cytometry procedure, except 5% FBS with 25 mM HEPES substituted for 5% FBS. Cells were then isolated using a BD FACS Aria flow cytometer.

#### **Microarray analysis**

Sorted bladder macrophages were transferred to buffer RLT with  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol (Sigma, M6250), with at least  $6 \times 10^3$  cells being per sorted bladder cell population. RNA was then isolated from the cells and frozen, per the manufacturer's protocols (RNeasy Mini kit, Qiagen), and assessed for quality using the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent). With the Sigma WTA2 RNA amplification kit, 1.0 ng of total RNA were amplified and then 3  $\mu$ g of cDNA were chemically labeled with Kreatech ULS Fluorescent Labeling Kit for

Agilent arrays (Kreatech Diagnostics). Finally, 1.7 µg of labeled cDNAs were subsequently hybridized onto Agilent Mouse Gene Expression  $4 \times 44$  K Microarrays (cat. G4122F-014868). Slides were scanned on an Agilent C-class Microarray scanner to detect Cy5 fluorescence, according to manufacturer's specifications. Gridding and analysis of images was performed using Feature Extraction (v11.5.1.1, Agilent Technologies). The data was then analyzed, and normalized heat maps were generated, using the R software package, with a cutoff of  $P \leq 0.05$  and fold change of >2.

#### Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software. All results are expressed as means  $\pm$  S.E. Groups were compared by paired Student's *t* test or two-way analysis of variance as appropriate. A value of  $P \le 0.05$  was considered significant.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Joel Schilling, Caihong Wang, and Deborah Frank for technical advice and comments. This work was funded in part by T32 AI007172-35 (to NOB), T32 HD049305 (to KAB), and R01 DK100644 (to IUM).

## **Conflict of Interest**

None declared

#### References

- 1. Foxman, B. 2010. The epidemiology of urinary tract infection. Nat. Rev. Urol. 7:653–660.
- Cairo, G., S. Recalcati, A. Mantovani, and M. Locati. 2011. Iron trafficking and metabolism in macrophages: contribution to the polarized phenotype. Trends Immunol. 32:241–247.
- Bauckman, K. A., E. Haller, I. Flores, and M. Nanjundan. 2013. Iron modulates cell survival in a Ras- and MAPKdependent manner in ovarian cells. Cell. Death. Dis. 4:e592.
- 4. Nairz, M., A. Schroll, T. Sonnweber, and G. Weiss. 2010. The struggle for iron—a metal at the host-pathogen interface. Cell. Microbiol. 12:1691–1702.
- Garcia, E. C., A. R. Brumbaugh, and H. L. Mobley. 2011. Redundancy and specificity of *Escherichia coli* iron acquisition systems during urinary tract infection. Infect. Immun. 79:1225–1235.
- 6. Cassat, J. E., and E. P. Skaar. 2013. Iron in infection and immunity. Cell Host Microbe. 13:509–519.
- Wardrop, S. L., and D. R. Richardson. 2000. Interferongamma and lipopolysaccharide regulate the expression of Nramp2 and increase the uptake of iron from low relative

molecular mass complexes by macrophages. Eur. J. Biochem. 267:6586–6593.

- Wardrop, S. L., C. Wells, T. Ravasi, D. A. Hume, and D. R. Richardson. 2002. Induction of Nramp2 in activated mouse macrophages is dissociated from regulation of the Nramp1, classical inflammatory genes, and genes involved in iron metabolism. J. Leukoc. Biol. 71:99–106.
- Anderson, C. P., M. Shen, R. S. Eisenstein, and E. A. Leibold. 2012. Mammalian iron metabolism and its control by iron regulatory proteins. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1823:1468–1483.
- Muckenthaler, M. U., B. Galy, and M. W. Hentze. 2008. Systemic iron homeostasis and the iron-responsive element/ iron-regulatory protein (IRE/IRP) regulatory network. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 28:197–213.
- Wang, J., C. Fillebeen, G. Chen, A. Biederbick, R. Lill, and K. Pantopoulos. 2007. Iron-dependent degradation of apo-IRP1 by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Mol. Cell. Biol. 27:2423–2430.
- Ong, S. T., J. Z. Ho, B. Ho, and J. L. Ding. 2006. Ironwithholding strategy in innate immunity. Immunobiology 211:295–314.
- Gammella, E., P. Buratti, G. Cairo, and S. Recalcati. 2014. Macrophages: central regulators of iron balance. Metallomics 6:1336–1345.
- Devireddy, L. R., C. Gazin, X. Zhu, and M. R. Green. 2005. A cell-surface receptor for lipocalin 24p3 selectively mediates apoptosis and iron uptake. Cell 123:1293–1305.
- 15. Subashchandrabose, S., and H. L. Mobley. 2015. Back to the metal age: battle for metals at the host-pathogen interface during urinary tract infection. Metallomics 7:935–942.
- Choi, S. Y., and I. H. Chang. 2015. Molecular defense mechanisms during urinary tract infection. Urogenital Tract Infection 10:57–66.
- 17. Reigstad, C. S., S. J. Hultgren, and J. I. Gordon. 2007. Functional genomic studies of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and host urothelial cells when intracellular bacterial communities are assembled. J. Biol. Chem. 282:21259–21267.
- Dikshit, N., P. Bist, S. N. Fenlon, N. K. Pulloor, C. E. Chua, M. A. Scidmore, J. A. Carlyon, B. L. Tang, S. L. Chen, and B. Sukumaran. 2015. Intracellular uropathogenic *E. coli* exploits host rab35 for iron acquisition and survival within urinary bladder cells. PLoS Pathog. 11:e1005083.
- Bauckman, K. A., and I. U. Mysorekar. 2016. Iron-mediated autophagy drives Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* persistence in bladder epithelial cells. Autophagy 12(5):850–863. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2016.1160176
- Schilling, J. D., M. A. Mulvey, C. D. Vincent, R. G. Lorenz, and S. J. Hultgren. 2001. Bacterial invasion augments epithelial cytokine responses to *Escherichia coli* through a lipopolysaccharide-dependent mechanism. J. Immunol. 166: 1148–1155.
- 21. Mysorekar, I. U., M. A. Mulvey, S. J. Hultgren, and J. I. Gordon. 2002. Molecular regulation of urothelial renewal and

host defenses during infection with uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. 277:7412–7419.

- 22. Silva, B., and P. Faustino. 2015. An overview of molecular basis of iron metabolism regulation and the associated pathologies. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1852:1347–1359.
- 23. Michels, K., E. Nemeth, T. Ganz, and B. Mehrad. 2015. Hepcidin and host defense against infectious diseases. PLoS Pathog. 11:e1004998.
- 24. Drakesmith, H., and A. M. Prentice. 2012. Hepcidin and the iron-infection axis. Science 338:768–772.
- 25. Weber, K., and J. D. Schilling. 2014. Lysosomes integrate metabolic-inflammatory cross-talk in primary macrophage inflammasome activation. J. Biol. Chem. 289:9158–9171.
- Weber, K., and J. D. Schilling. 2014. Distinct lysosome phenotypes influence inflammatory function in peritoneal and bone marrow-derived macrophages. Int. J. Inflam. 2014: 154936.
- Vazquez, D. E., D. F. Nino, A. De Maio, and D. M. Cauvi. 2015. Sustained expression of lipocalin-2 during polymicrobial sepsis. Innate. Immun. 21:477–489.
- Guo, H., D. Jin, and X. Chen. 2014. Lipocalin 2 is a regulator of macrophage polarization and NF-kappaB/STAT3 pathway activation. Mol. Endocrinol. 28:1616–1628.
- 29. Layoun, A., and M. M. Santos. 2012. Bacterial cell wall constituents induce hepcidin expression in macrophages through MyD88 signaling. Inflammation 35:1500–1506.
- Bokil, N. J., M. Totsika, A. J. Carey, K. J. Stacey, V. Hancock, B. M. Saunders, T. Ravasi, G. C. Ulett, M. A. Schembri, and M. J. Sweet. 2011. Intramacrophage survival of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*: differences between diverse clinical isolates and between mouse and human macrophages. Immunobiology 216:1164–1171.
- Mavromatis, C. H., N. J. Bokil, M. Totsika, A. Kakkanat, K. Schaale, C. V. Cannistraci, T. Ryu, S. A. Beatson, G. C. Ulett, M. A. Schembri, et al. 2015. The co-transcriptome of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*-infected mouse macrophages reveals new insights into host-pathogen interactions. Cell. Microbiol. 17:730–746.
- 32. Rook, G. A., J. Steele, M. Ainsworth, and B. R. Champion. 1986. Activation of macrophages to inhibit proliferation of Mycobacterium tuberculosis: comparison of the effects of recombinant gamma-interferon on human monocytes and murine peritoneal macrophages. Immunology 59:333–338.
- 33. Wang, L., E. E. Johnson, H. N. Shi, W. A. Walker, M. Wessling-Resnick, and B. J. Cherayil. 2008. Attenuated inflammatory responses in hemochromatosis reveal a role for iron in the regulation of macrophage cytokine translation. J. Immunol. 181:2723–2731.
- Guptarak, J., S. Wanchoo, J. Durham-Lee, Y. Wu, D. Zivadinovic, A. Paulucci-Holthauzen, O. Nesic. 2013. Inhibition of IL-6 signaling: a novel therapeutic approach to treating spinal cord injury pain. Pain 154:1115–1128.

- 35. Nordan, R. P., and M. Potter. 1986. A macrophage-derived factor required by plasmacytomas for survival and proliferation in vitro. Science 233:566–569.
- 36. Schiwon, M., C. Weisheit, L. Franken, S. Gutweiler, A. Dixit, C. Meyer-Schwesinger, J. M. Pohl, N. J. Maurice, S. Thiebes, K. Lorenz, et al. 2014. Crosstalk between sentinel and helper macrophages permits neutrophil migration into infected uroepithelium. Cell 156:456–468.
- Mora-Bau, G., A. M. Platt, N. van Rooijen, G. J. Randolph, M. L. Albert, and M. A. Ingersoll. 2015. Macrophages subvert adaptive immunity to urinary tract infection. PLoS Pathog. 11:e1005044.
- Molawi, K., Y. Wolf, P. K. Kandalla, J. Favret, N. Hagemeyer, K. Frenzel, A. R. Pinto, K. Klapproth, S. Henri, B. Malissen, et al. 2014. Progressive replacement of embryo-derived cardiac macrophages with age. J. Exp. Med. 211:2151–2158.
- 39. Engel, D. R., J. Maurer, A. P. Tittel, C. Weisheit, T. Cavlar, B. Schumak, A. Limmer, N. van Rooijen, C. Trautwein, F. Tacke, et al. 2008. CCR2 mediates homeostatic and inflammatory release of Gr1(high) monocytes from the bone marrow, but is dispensable for bladder infiltration in bacterial urinary tract infection. J. Immunol. 181:5579–5586.
- Ingersoll, M. A., K. A. Kline, H. V. Nielsen, and S. J. Hultgren. 2008. G-CSF induction early in uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* infection of the urinary tract modulates host immunity. Cell. Microbiol. 10:2568–2578.
- 41. Engel, D., U. Dobrindt, A. Tittel, P. Peters, J. Maurer, I. Gutgemann, B. Kaissling, W. Kuziel, S. Jung, and C. Kurts. 2006. Tumor necrosis factor alpha- and inducible nitric oxide synthase-producing dendritic cells are rapidly recruited to the bladder in urinary tract infection but are dispensable for bacterial clearance. Infect. Immun. 74:6100–6107.
- Bellmann-Weiler, R., A. Schroll, S. Engl, M. Nairz, H. Talasz, M. Seifert, and G. Weiss. 2013. Neutrophil gelatinaseassociated lipocalin and interleukin-10 regulate intramacrophage Chlamydia pneumoniae replication by modulating intracellular iron homeostasis. Immunobiology 218:969–978.
- Pan, X., B. Tamilselvam, E. J. Hansen, and S. Daefler. 2010. Modulation of iron homeostasis in macrophages by bacterial intracellular pathogens. BMC Microbiol. 10:64.
- Nguyen, N. B., K. D. Callaghan, A. J. Ghio, D. J. Haile, and F. Yang. 2006. Hepcidin expression and iron transport in alveolar macrophages. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol. 291:L417–L425.
- 45. Johnson, E. E., C. V. Srikanth, A. Sandgren, L. Harrington, E. Trebicka, L. Wang, N. Borregaard, M. Murray, and B. J. Cherayil. 2010. Siderocalin inhibits the intracellular replication of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in macrophages. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 58:138–145.
- Houamel, D., N. Ducrot, T. Lefebvre, R. Daher, B. Moulouel, M. A. Sari, P. Letteron, S. Lyoumi, S. Millot, J. Tourret, et al. 2016. Hepcidin as a major component of renal antibacterial

defenses against uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 27(3):835–846. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2014101035

- 47. Steigedal, M., A. Marstad, M. Haug, J. K. Damas, R. K. Strong, P. L. Roberts, S. D. Himpsl, A. Stapleton, T. M. Hooton, H. L. Mobley, et al. 2014. Lipocalin 2 imparts selective pressure on bacterial growth in the bladder and is elevated in women with urinary tract infection. J. Immunol. 193:6081–6089.
- Paragas, N., R. Kulkarni, M. Werth, K. M. Schmidt-Ott, C. Forster, R. Deng, Q. Zhang, E. Singer, A. D. Klose, T. H. Shen, et al. 2014. Alpha-Intercalated cells defend the urinary system from bacterial infection. J. Clin. Invest. 124: 2963–2976.
- Bhushan, S., H. Hossain, Y. Lu, A. Geisler, S. Tchatalbachev, Z. Mikulski, G. Schuler, J. Klug, A. Pilatz, F. Wagenlehner, et al. 2011. Uropathogenic *E. coli* induce different immune response in testicular and peritoneal macrophages:

implications for testicular immune privilege. PLoS ONE 6:e28452.

- Bhushan, S., S. Tchatalbachev, J. Klug, M. Fijak, C. Pineau, T. Chakraborty, and A. Meinhardt. 2008. Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* block MyD88-dependent and activate MyD88-independent signaling pathways in rat testicular cells. J. Immunol. 180:5537–5547.
- 51. Symington, J. W., C. Wang, J. Twentyman, N. Owusu-Boaitey, R. Schwendener, G. Nunez, J. D. Schilling, and I. U. Mysorekar. 2015. ATG16L1 deficiency in macrophages drives clearance of uropathogenic *E. coli* in an IL-1beta-dependent manner. Mucosal Immunol. 8(6):1388–1399. doi: 10.1038/ mi.2015.7
- 52. Wu, X., L. M. Yung, W. H. Cheng, P. B. Yu, J. L. Babitt, H. Y. Lin, and Y. Xia. 2012. Hepcidin regulation by BMP signaling in macrophages is lipopolysaccharide dependent. PLoS ONE 7:e44622.