

Efficacy of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus A protocol of systematic review and meta-analysis

Wei-wei Wei, MM^a, Xian-dong Fu, MM^a, De-wang Su, MM^a, De-zhi Ke, MB^a, Rong-rong Yao, MM^b, Ke-yan Chen, MM^c, Hao Tian, MM^{a,*}[®]

Abstract

Background: A numerous studies have reported that obese patients (OP) are easily to have type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Although a variety of managements are available to treat such disorder, their efficacy is still limited. Previous studies have reported that laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSGT) can benefit OP with T2DM. However, no study specifically and systematically explores this topic. Thus, this study will assess the efficacy and complications of LSGT for the management of OP with T2DM.

Methods: The search strategy will be performed in the electronic databases from inception to the March 31, 2020 without limitations of language and publication time: PUBMED, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL, AMED, WANGFANG, VIP, and CNKI. Two authors will independently identify the articles, collect the data, and assess the risk of bias using Cochrane risk of bias tool. We will invite a third author to solve any differences between two authors. We will use RevMan 5.3 software to investigate the statistical analysis.

Results: This study will supply a high-quality synthesis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on the analysis of LSGT for the management of OP with T2DM.

Conclusions: This study will help to build proposals that aim at providing high quality RCTs in the management of LSGT in OP with T2DM.

Systematic review registration: INPLASY202040128.

Abbreviations: CIs = confidence intervals, LSGT = Iaparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy, OP = obese patients, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Keywords: efficacy, laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy, type 2 diabetes mellitus

W-wW and X-dF contributed equally to this study.

This work was supported by the Heilongjiang Provincial Health and Family Planning Commission Scientific Research Project (2018-351; 2019-294). In this study, the funder just provided the financial support for this work, and did not involve in any parts of this study, such as design, writing, and publication. None of potential conflicts of funder existed with this work.

The authors have no conflicts of interests to disclose.

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

^a Department of General Surgery, ^b Department of Interventional Radiology, ^c Department of Endocrinology, First Affiliated Hospital of Jiamusi University, Jiamusi, China.

* Correspondence: Hao Tian, Department of General Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Jiamusi University, No.348 Dexiang Street, Xiangyang District, Jiamusi 154002, China (e-mail: haotian200305@outlook.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wei Ww, Fu Xd, Su Dw, Ke Dz, Yao Rr, Chen Ky, Tian H. Efficacy of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a protocol of systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine 2020;99:23(e20535).

Received: 26 April 2020 / Accepted: 1 May 2020 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000020535

1. Introduction

It is well known that obesity is one of the most common risk factors for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).^[1-4] A huge number of studies found that T2DM has very close association with obesity.^[5-8] Thus, obesity management is recommended as effective strategy for the prevention and treatment of T2DM.^[9,10] Although a variety of managements can help to treat T2DM, their efficacy is still far from satisfaction.^[11-13]

Published studies have reported laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSGT) can effectively mange OP with T2DM.^[14–22] However, its efficacy is still unclear at literature level. Thus, this systematic study will assess the efficacy and complications of LSGT for the management of OP with T2DM.

2. Methods

2.1. Study registration

The current protocol review has been registered through INPLASY202040128. It will be reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols guideline.^[23]

2.2. Inclusion criteria for study selection

2.2.1. *Types of studies.* This study will include randomized controlled trials (RCTs) alone that focusing on the efficacy and complications of LSGT for the management of OP with T2DM. We will exclude any other studies, such as animal studies, case reports, case series, reviews, comments, non-clinical trials, non-controlled trials, and quasi-RCTs.

2.2.2. Types of participants. Any participants who were clinically diagnosed as OP with T2DM will be considered for inclusion regardless their nationality, race, sex, and age.

2.2.3. Types of interventions. All participants in the experimental group received any forms of LSGT intervention.

In the control group, we will include participants who underwent any managements, but not LSGT.

2.2.4. Types of outcome measurements. The primary outcome is complete remission of T2DM (defined as blood hemoglobin A1C (HbA1c) <6% (42 mmol/mol)).

The secondary outcomes are body mass index, partial remission of T2DM (defined as blood HbA1c < 6.5% (48 mmol/mol)), lipids, high sensitivity C-reactive protein, quality of life (measured as any relevant scales), and complications.

2.3. Search methods for the identification of studies

2.3.1. Search electronic databases. The trials published will be carried out in electronic databases from inception to the March 31, 2020, which consist of PUBMED, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL, AMED, WANGFANG, VIP, and CNKI. We will not apply any limitations to the language and publication status. The detailed search strategy for PUBMED is presented in Table 1. Identical search strategies for other electronic databases will also be created.

2.3.2. Search for other resources. Besides, we will search other sources to avoid missing any potential trials, such as conference abstracts, dissertations, and reference lists of related reviews.

Table 1 Search strategy applied in PUBMED.	
1	diabetes mellitus
2	diabetes
3	type 2
4	obese
5	obesity
6	overweight
7	Or 1–6
8	surgery
9	gastrectomy
10	laparoscopic sleeve
11	operation
12	Or 8–11
13	randomly
14	random
15	controlled trial
16	case-control study
17	blind
18	placebo
19	control
20	Or 13–19
21	7 and 12 and 20

2.4. Study selection and data extraction

2.4.1. Study selection. All identified literatures will be imported to the citation management software (EndNote 7.0), and duplicates will be excluded. Two authors will independently select studies by screening the titles/abstracts of all records based on the inclusion criteria, and non-clinical trials will be removed. Potential eligible trials will be read by full-text to further check if they meet all eligible criteria. In the case of divergences between 2 authors, we will invite a third author to judge and to make a final decision. We will demonstrate the whole process in a flow diagram. All excluded records will be noted with reasons.

2.4.2. Data extraction and management. Two authors will independently perform data extraction according to the predefined standard data extraction sheet. If any different opinions occur between 2 authors, we will ask for a third author help to make a consistent decision. The extracted information comprises of study basic information (such as title, authors, year of publication), study population, sample size, eligibility criteria, diagnostic criteria, randomization, blind, interventions, comparators, outcomes, safety, follow-up information, funding, conflict of interest and any other relevant details.

2.4.3. Dealing with missing data. If we identify any insufficient or missing information, we will request them from primary authors by email. If we can not receive such information, we will only perform obtainable data, and will discuss its potential impacts to the conclusions.

2.4.4. *Risk of bias in included trials.* Risk of bias assessment will be evaluated by two independent authors using Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool for RCTs, which comprises of 7 aspects. Three different grades (high risk of bias, unclear risk of bias, and low risk of bias) will be utilized to check each aspect for all included RCTs. Any discrepancies between two authors will be resolved by a third author through discussion.

2.5. Statistical analysis

2.5.1. Data synthesis. We will apply RevMan 5.3 software to carry out statistical analysis. Dichotomous variables such as incidence of complications will be presented as risk ratio and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Continuous variables such as complete remission of T2DM, body mass index, partial remission of T2DM, lipids, high sensitivity C-reactive protein, and quality of life will be calculated as mean difference or standardized mean difference and 95% CIs. Heterogeneity will be checked using I^2 statistic test. $I^2 \leq 50\%$ will be regarded as low heterogeneity, and a fixed-effect model will be applied for data pooling. In addition, when necessary, meta-analysis will be conducted if sufficient data on the similar characteristics of study and participant, interventions, comparators, and outcomes are obtained. $I^2 > 50\%$ will be considered as obvious heterogeneity and a random-effect model will be utilized. Additionally, we will undertake subgroup analysis to investigate possible causes for obvious heterogeneity. If there is still obvious heterogeneity after subgroup analysis, we will not pool the data, and a narrative synthesis of findings will be conducted. We will compare each outcome in OP with T2DM between patients who received LSGT and those who did not. In case of missing essential data from included trials, we will try our best to obtain it by contacting primary study authors. When it is not possible, we will discuss its potential impacts of missing data on the results.

2.5.2. Unit of analysis. We will only extract and analyze data from the first study period if cross-over trials are included in this study.

2.5.3. Subgroup analysis. We will run a subgroup analysis according to the different study characteristics, population characteristics, details of interventions and comparators, and outcome measurements.

2.5.4. Sensitivity analysis. We will carry out sensitivity analysis to identify the stability and robustness of the findings by excluding trials with high risk of bias.

2.5.5. Reporting bias. When at least 10 included trials are included, we will plan to perform Funnel plot, Egger linear regression test to find any reporting biases exist.^[24,25]

2.5.6. Overall quality of evidence. We will utilize the GRADEpro Guideline Development Tool to evaluate the quality of study findings. Two authors will independently appraise the quality of evidence, and any disagreements between 2 authors will be solved by a third author through consultation.

2.6. Dissemination and ethics

We will disseminate this study on a peer-reviewed journal or a conference meeting. This study will not need ethic approval, because it will not use individual patient data and privacy.

3. Discussion

Presently, with increasing number of studies focusing on the efficacy and complications of LSGT for the management of OP with T2DM, evidence-based medicine literature is very necessary to elaborate its efficacy and safety. In addition, no such study has been published before. Therefore, in this study, we aim to summarize most recent high quality studies to explore the efficacy and efficacy of LSGT for the management of OP with T2DM. This study may yield evidence for reference to both clinician and health-related policy maker.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: De-zhi Ke, Rong-rong Yao, Hao Tian.

Data curation: Wei-wei Wei, De-wang Su, Hao Tian.

- Formal analysis: Wei-wei Wei, Xian-dong Fu, De-zhi Ke, Ke-yan Chen.
- Funding acquisition: Hao Tian.
- Investigation: Hao Tian.
- Methodology: Wei-wei Wei, Xian-dong Fu, De-wang Su, De-zhi Ke, Ke-yan Chen.

Project administration: Hao Tian.

- Resources: Wei-wei Wei, Xian-dong Fu, De-wang Su, De-zhi Ke, Rong-rong Yao, Ke-yan Chen.
- Software: Wei-wei Wei, Xian-dong Fu, De-wang Su, Rong-rong Yao.

Supervision: Hao Tian.

- Validation: Wei-wei Wei, Xian-dong Fu, Rong-rong Yao, Hao Tian.
- Visualization: Wei-wei Wei, De-wang Su, De-zhi Ke, Rong-rong Yao, Hao Tian.

Writing – original draft: Wei-wei Wei, Xian-dong Fu, De-wang Su, De-zhi Ke, Rong-rong Yao, Ke-yan Chen, Hao Tian.

Writing – review & editing: Wei-wei Wei, De-wang Su, De-zhi Ke, Ke-yan Chen, Hao Tian.

References

- Whitlock G, Lewington S, Sherliker P, et al. Prospective Studies Collaboration. Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900 000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. Lancet 2009; 373:1083–96.
- [2] Huang YS, Zheng Q, Yang H, et al. Efficacy of intermittent or continuous very low-energy diets in overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analyses. J Diabetes Res 2020;2020:4851671.
- [3] Liu W, Zhou X, Li Y, et al. Serum leptin, resistin, and adiponectin levels in obese and non-obese patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus: a population-based study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2020;99: e19052.
- [4] Bhatt M, Nahari A, Wang PW, et al. The quality of clinical practice guidelines for management of pediatric type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review using the AGREE II instrument. Syst Rev 2018; 7:193.
- [5] Chantrapanichkul P, Indhavivadhana S, Wongwananuruk T, et al. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus compared between lean and overweight/obese patients with polycystic ovarian syndrome: a 5-year follow-up study. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2020;301:809–16.
- [6] Mandal A. Study of prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension in overweight and obese people. J Family Med Prim Care 2014;3:25–8.
- [7] Zhao MH, Wang JH, Zhi XY, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of type 2 diabetes mellitus in adult obese population in Tianjin. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi 2010;31:1130–4.
- [8] Daousi C, Casson IF, Gill GV, et al. Prevalence of obesity in type 2 diabetes in secondary care: association with cardiovascular risk factors. Postgrad Med J Apr 2006;82:280–4.
- [9] American Diabetes AssociationObesity management for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes. Diabetes Care 2019;42(Suppl 1):S81–9.
- [10] Brown A, Guess N, Dornhorst A, et al. Insulin-associated weight gain in obese type 2 diabetes mellitus patients: What can be done? Diabetes Obes Metab 2017;19:1655–68.
- [11] Derosa G, D'Angelo A, Salvadeo SA, et al. Sibutramine effect on metabolic control of obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with pioglitazone. Metabolism 2008;57:1552–7.
- [12] Apovian C, Palmer K, Fain R, et al. Effects of lorcaserin on fat and lean mass loss in obese and overweight patients without and with type 2 diabetes mellitus: the BLOSSOM and BLOOM-DM studies. Diabetes Obes Metab 2016;18:945–8.
- [13] Ji L, Gao Z, Shi B, et al. Safety and efficacy of high versus standard starting doses of insulin glargine in overweight and obese Chinese individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled on oral antidiabetic medications (beyond vii): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Adv Ther 2018;35:864–74.
- [14] Tang W, Chen Y, Pan M, et al. Nutrition management in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy. Zhonghua Wei Chang Wai Ke Za Zhi 2017;20:411–6.
- [15] Palikhe G, Gupta R, Behera BN, et al. Efficacy of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and intensive medical management in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Obes Surg 2014;24:529–35.
- [16] Desiderio J, Trastulli S, Scalercio V, et al. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and medical management for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus in non-morbidly obese patients: a single-center experience. Diabetes Technol Ther 2013;15:281–8.
- [17] Nocca D, Guillaume F, Noel P, et al. Impact of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and laparoscopic gastric bypass on HbA1c blood level and pharmacological treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus in severe or morbidly obese patients. Results of a multicenter prospective study at 1 year. Obes Surg 2011;21:738–43.
- [18] Gagner M. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy with ileal interposition (SGIT): a modified duodenal switch for resolution of type 2 diabetes mellitus in lesser obese patients (BMI < 35). World J Surg 2011;35: 109–10.

- [19] Shah S, Shah P, Todkar J, et al. Prospective controlled study of effect of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy on small bowel transit time and gastric emptying half-time in morbidly obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2010;6:152–7.
- [20] Shah PS, Todkar JS, Shah SS. Effectiveness of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy on glycemic control in obese Indians with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2010;6:138–41.
- [21] Todkar JS, Shah SS, Shah PS, et al. Long-term effects of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in morbidly obese subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2010;6:142–5.
- [22] Li XX, Rosenthal RJ, Zheng CZ. Efficacy of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy on morbidly obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Zhonghua Wei Chang Wai Ke Za Zhi 2009;12:269–72.
- [23] Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4:1.
- [24] Sutton AJ, Duval SJ, Tweedie RL, et al. Empirical assessment of effect of publication bias on meta-analyses. BMJ 2000;320:1574–7.
- [25] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629–34.