

Original research

Computed tomographic measurements of orbital entrance dimensions in relation to age and gender in a sample of healthy Iranian population

Zoha Khademi*, Parvindokht Bayat

Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran

Received 2 December 2015; revised 8 March 2016; accepted 8 March 2016

Available online 2 April 2016

Abstract

Purpose: To determine the dimensions of orbital entrance in unaffected bony orbit by computed tomography (CT) in a sample of Iranian population.

Methods: Radiologic features of 120 patients were gathered using standardized skull protocol by CT scan. We measured the distance between anterior lacrimal crest and orbital border of zygomatic bone, termed *width*, and the distance between the plane passing through the anterior orbital entrance to optic canal, termed *depth*, using horizontal sections of skull base CT scan. Sagittal sections were used to demonstrate the *height*, the distance between frontal and maxillary bone. Orbital index ($\text{height/width} \times 100$) was then calculated.

Results: The mean values of orbital width, height, and depth were 28.49 ± 2.35 mm, 32.14 ± 1.57 mm, and 38.84 ± 3.90 mm, respectively. There was a significant difference in height ($P = 0.001$), depth ($P = 0.004$), and width ($P = 0.012$) between the right and left orbits. The mean value of the orbital index was 88.65 ± 8.90 mm in this population.

Conclusions: The orbital index of this sample Iranian people is Mesoseme according to our study results, the expected characteristic of the white race. The right orbits are determined to be larger than the left ones.

Copyright © 2016, Iranian Society of Ophthalmology. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Keywords: Orbital index; Computed tomography (CT) scan; Skull protocol; Orbit

Introduction

Blow out fracture is the result of globe trauma and causes an increase in dimensions of the orbital cavity. This increase may lead to diplopia and enophthalmos with functional or cosmetic abnormalities which are also complications of orbital reconstruction.^{1,2} Reconstruction of the bony orbit is a challenging issue in the management of post-traumatic orbital cavity as well as in the treatment of several pathologic conditions, such as decompression surgery in Graves' ophthalmopathy.³ Thus the measurement of the orbital cavity is a useful

tool for pre-operative planning and operation of orbital reconstruction.³

Several methods have been tried out to determine the dimensions of the orbit over the years.⁴ Choosing the preferred method depends on its speed, accuracy, reproducibility, and versatility.⁵ In the past, computer softwares have been developed that can segment the orbit (semi) automatically using computed tomography (CT) scan.^{6–9} Late generation CT scanners are able to demonstrate small differences in the contrast.¹⁰ Summation of pixel counts based on contrast (density) ranges can provide three dimensions of orbital structure.¹¹

The aim of this study was to measure the dimensions of the orbital cavity in the unaffected bony orbits in a sample of Iranian population by CT scan sections and investigate the relevant results in different ages and genders.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +989128651469; fax: +9833133147.

E-mail address: z.khademi@arakmu.ac.ir (Z. Khademi).

Peer review under responsibility of the Iranian Society of Ophthalmology.

Methods

In this retrospective study, CT scan data of both orbits of 120 patients were gathered from the Department of Radiology of Ayatollah Khansari Hospital, Arak, Iran. The orbital CT scan was part of a routine standardized protocol performed following intravenous contrast administration on Helical Volume X-ray CT Scanners (Toshiba X-vigor, Tokyo), by using acquisition parameters of 120 kV (peak) (kVp), 200 mA, 160-mm display FOV, and pitch of 0.8–1.0. The axial views were contiguous 3-mm sections parallel to the infraorbitomeatal line, and coronal views were reconstructed orthogonal to this plane.¹² This research was designed following indications of the ethics committee of Arak University of Medical Science. When available, a retrospective review was made of the patient's chart for clinical data. All CT examinations were reviewed retrospectively. Data were collected from individuals with normal orbits who were studied for suspicion of brain tumors. According to our exclusion criteria, neither of them had any underlying craniofacial anomaly, congenital malformations, local or systemic conditions that may affect the measurements such as hyperthyroidism, exophthalmos, orbital mass, etc.

The aim of this study was determination of orbital entrance dimension. To calculate the volume, three dimensions (width, height, and depth of the orbit) are required to be defined first. In horizontal sections of skull base CT scan images, we measure¹: the distance between anterior lacrimal crest and orbital border of zygomatic bone, called the width of orbit and²: the distance between the plane passing across the orbital entrance to optic canal (orbital apex), called the depth of orbit. Sagittal sections of CT scan demonstrate the distance between frontal and maxillary bones, called the height of the orbit. From these indicated quantities, orbital index was determined by the following simple formula:

$$I = H/W * 100$$

where “dI” is the orbital index, “H” the height of the orbit and “W” its width. Orbital index has been categorized as three types¹³: 1) “microseme”: having broad orbits, with orbital index below 83, the characteristic of yellow race; 2) “mesoseme”: having neither broad nor narrow orbits, with medium orbital index between 83 and 89, the characteristic of white race; 3) “megaseme”: having narrow orbits with orbital index of 89 or more, the characteristic of black race.

Determination of the width, height, and depth of bony orbit was done for all 240 normal cases with DOSISOFTWARE ISOGRAY (version 4.1) based on the definition of orbital distances introduced above. Limitations were due to observer error in determining the borders and overlapping contrast ranges of the borders.

The results of this study were analyzed in IBM SPSS Statistics (version 19.0; IBM Corp., USA). The orbital dimensions such as width, height, depth, and orbital index were inserted, and descriptive indices, including minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated.

Table 1
Comparison of left and right bony orbital distances.

	Mean measurement (mm)	P value
Pair1 Width of right orbit	38.73 ± 0.55	0.012
Width of left orbit	38.60 ± 0.08	
Pair2 Depth of right orbit	44.66 ± 0.25	0.004
Depth of left orbit	44.58 ± 0.13	
Pair3 Height of right orbit	33.33 ± 1.70	0.001
Height of left orbit	32.67 ± 1.53	

The correlation between the calculated dimensions of the right and left orbits was analyzed using mean difference, SD and sig. 2-tailed (*P* Value). Finally, simple scatter plot graphs of width, height, and depth of bony orbit and age set markers by sex were taken to correlate significantly orbital distances with age.

Results

CT scans of 53 women and 67 men, ranging age from 9 to 22 (mean, 55.06 ± 19.50 mm) were assessed. The mean measurements, median grading score for orbital width, height, and depth, were 28.49 ± 2.35 mm (range: 24.00–34.80 mm), 32.14 ± 1.57 mm (range: 27.90–37.50 mm), and 38.84 ± 3.90 mm (range: 26.40–49.00 mm), respectively. There was a significant difference between the orbital height (*P* = 0.001), depth (*P* = 0.004), and width (*P* = 0.012) of the right and left eyes (Tables 1 and 2). Mean measurements of bony orbital index (H/W *100) was 88.65 ± 8.90. Linear measurements clarified the age-dependent correlation of the width, height, and depth of the orbit in both genders (Fig. 1). The orbital width and height decrease while its depth increases with age in both genders.

Discussion

Despite so many studies evaluating the bony orbital content to optimize the pre-operative planning, to our knowledge, the acute measurement of orbital parameters for all kinds of races has not been previously investigated. The purpose of this study was to assess the orbital entrance of unaffected bony orbits in Iranian population for the first time. There is a wide variation in size of healthy individuals' bony orbits. The variation has been seen not only between males and females, but also in both right and left orbits in different ages. The most significant positive relationship was seen between the width and the depth of the orbit. There was a significant negative correlation

Table 2
Correlations of bony orbital distances.

	Width of orbit	Depth of orbit	Height of orbit
Width of orbit		.423 ^a	-.161 ^b
Depth of orbit	.423 ^a		-.208 ^a
Height of orbit	-.161 ^b	-.208 ^a	

^a Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

^b Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).




Fig. 1. Linear measurements of width, depth and height of bony orbit and age set markers by sex.

between the height and both the depth and the width. Negative correlation of width and height has been seen in people having transversely broad orbits (width > height, yellow race) or having transversely narrow orbits (height > width, black race). It is obvious that increased orbital dimensions can lead to higher bony orbital volume. The largest dimension of orbital cavity in Iranian population CT images is the depth, and the height of cavity is larger than its width. The right orbit was larger than the left one as shown in the previous study.¹⁴ The enlargement of right orbit could be attributable to lateral dominance of the left cerebral hemisphere. Relevant articles showed the dominance of left cerebral hemisphere over the right hemisphere.¹² Present study showed that the prevalent orbital class among the Iranian population is mesoseme, having medium-width orbits which is the characteristic of

white race. In the literature, Malawian orbital index was measured 96.03 for women and 94.35 for men by Igbigbi and Ebite showing megaseme.¹⁵ Nigerian height and width of the orbital cavity were shown to be 40.6 mm and 44.5 mm, respectively, so African orbital index was evaluated in the megaseme category.¹⁶ The results revealed that orbital index was significantly related to race. The different orbital volume in males and females was found in all previous papers,^{10,17} as was in ours. The overall dimension was larger in men compared to women. The orbital width and height decrease with age in both genders while its depth increases. The increments were larger in women than in men. Statistical analysis shows that the mean measurements of width, height, and depth of unaffected bony orbits were 28.49 ± 2.35 mm, 32.14 ± 1.57 mm, and 38.84 ± 3.90 mm, respectively, but the

previous studies^{10,18} of bony orbit resulted in different measurements which indicate the correlation of these measurements with age, gender and race by CT scans. At the end we supposed to explain that this study has several limitation such as a small sample size and lack of reproducibility. It is recommended to perform further studies to find out different orbital measurements in other ethnic groups in the Iranian population in order to be able to determine the orbital dimensions of the entire Iranian population.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. Ali Rahbari, and Arak University of Medical Sciences (grant number: 737) for their precious supports.

References

1. Koo L, Hatton MP, Rubin PA. When is enophthalmos “significant”? *Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2006;22:274–277.
2. Migliori ME, Gladstone GJ. Determination of the normal range of exophthalmometric values for black and white adults. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1984;98:438–442.
3. Jansen J, Schreurs R, Dubois L, Maal TJ, Gooris PJ, Becking AG. Orbital volume analysis: validation of a semi-automatic software segmentation method. *Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg.* 2015.
4. Osaki TH, de Castro DK, Yabumoto C, et al. Comparison of methodologies in volumetric orbitometry. *Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2013; 29:431–436.
5. Bijlsma WR, Mourits MP. Radiologic measurement of extraocular muscle volumes in patients with Graves' orbitopathy: a review and guideline. *Orbit.* 2006;25:83–91.
6. Deveci M, Öztürk S, Şengezer M, Pabuşcu Y. Measurement of orbital volume by a 3-dimensional software program: an experimental study. *J Oral Maxillofacial Surg.* 2000;58:645–648.
7. Koppel DA, Foy RH, McCaul JA, Logan J, Hadley DM, Ayoub A. The reliability of “Analyze” software in measuring orbital volume utilizing CT-derived data. *J Cranio-Maxillofacial Surg.* 2003;31: 88–91.
8. Regensburg NI, Kok PH, Zonneveld FW, et al. A new and validated CT-based method for the calculation of orbital soft tissue volumes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2008;49:1758–1762.
9. Strong EB, Fuller SC, Chahal HS. Computer-aided analysis of orbital volume: a novel technique. *Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2013;29: 1–5.
10. Forbes G, Gehring DG, Gorman CA, Brennan MD, Jackson IT. Volume measurements of normal orbital structures by computed tomographic analysis. *Am J Neuroradiol.* 1985;6:419–424.
11. Rosenfeld A, Kak AC. *Digital Picture Processing.* Elsevier; 2014.
12. Nugent RA, Belkin RI, Neigel JM, et al. Graves orbitopathy: correlation of CT and clinical findings. *Radiology.* 1990;177:675–682.
13. Harper WR, Clarke AH, eds. *Notes on the Measurements of the Tasmanian Crania in the Tasmanian Museum, Hobart. Papers & Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania.* 1897.
14. McGurk M, Whitehouse RW, Taylor PM, Swinson B. Orbital volume measured by a low-dose CT scanning technique. *Dento maxillo Facial Radiol.* 1992;21:70–72.
15. Igbigbi PS, Ebite LE. Orbital index of adult Malawians. *Anil Aggrawal's Internet J Forensic Med Toxicol.* 2010;11.
16. Fawehinmi H, Ligha A, Chikwu P. Orbital dimensions of Nigerian adults. *Jobiomed Afr.* 2008;6:1–2.
17. Furuta M. Measurement of orbital volume by computed tomography: especially on the growth of the orbit. *Jpn J Ophthalmol.* 2001;45: 600–606.
18. Kwon J, Barrera JE, Jung T-Y, Most SP. Measurements of orbital volume change using computed tomography in isolated orbital blowout fractures. *Arch Facial Plast Surg.* 2009;11:395–398.