Does the co-morbidity burden contribute to suboptimal immunological responses to COVID-19 vaccination in people living with HIV?

- 3
- 4 Maria Vittoria Cossu¹, Davide Mileto², Andrea Giacomelli^{3*}, Letizia Oreni³, Fiorenza Bracchitta²,
- 5 Martina Pellicciotta¹, Federica Salari², Francesco Petri¹, Paola Meraviglia¹, Spinello Antinori^{3,4},
- 6 Giuliano Rizzardini¹ and Anna Lisa Ridolfo³.
- ⁷ ¹I Division of Infectious Diseases, ASST Fatebenefratelli Sacco, Luigi Sacco Hospital, Milan, Italy
- ⁸ ²Laboratory of Clinical Microbiology, Virology and Bioemergency Diagnostics, ASST Fatebenefratelli
- 9 Sacco, Luigi Sacco Hospital, Milan, Italy
- ³III Division of Infectious Diseases, ASST Fatebenefratelli Sacco, Luigi Sacco Hospital, Milan, Italy
- ⁴Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy
- 12
- 13 Corresponding author:
- 14 Andrea Giacomelli, MD
- 15 III Infectious Diseases Unit,
- 16 L. Sacco Hospital,
- 17 Via G.B. Grassi 74,
- 18 20157 Milano,
- 19 Italy
- 20 Tel. +39.02.50319761; Fax +39.02.50319758;
- 21 E-mail dott.giacomelli@gmail.com; andrea.giacomelli@asst-fbf-sacco.it
- 22 ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3685-4289
- 23

24 Running head: BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccination in PLWH

- 25
- 26 Key words: HIV; SARS-CoV-2; antibody; decline; anti-S.
- 27 Dear Editor,

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com This article is published and distributed under the terms of the Oxford University Press, Standard Journals Publication Model (https://academic.oup.com/journals/pages/open_access/funder_policies/chorus/standard_publication_model)

1 We were very interested to read the paper by Lapointe *et al.* [1] regarding the ability of people with 2 HIV (PWH) to mount an efficient antibody response to COVID-19 vaccine. The authors did not find any significant difference in humoral responses to primary vaccination between adult PWH with well-3 controlled viral loads and preserved CD4+ T cell counts and HIV-negative controls, a finding in line 4 5 with data from other recent studies [2-4]. However, the multivariate analyses provided by Lapointe et 6 al. show that advanced age and the presence of chronic co-morbidities are associated with a poorer humoral response one and three months after a second vaccine dose, an observation that seems to be 7 supported by our analysis of PWH enrolled during the first days of a COVID-19 vaccination campaign 8 that prioritized frail target population groups at our HIV clinical center in Milan, Italy. 9

We analyzed the humoral responses of 53 PWH and 34 healthy healthcare workers (HCWs) to two 10 doses of the BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine administered 21 days apart; none of the subjects had a 11 history of COVID-19. The LIAISON® SARS-CoV-2 TrimericS IgG assay (DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy) 12 was used to detect the level of IgG antibodies against the viral S1 spike protein (anti-S IgG) elicited by 13 14 COVID-19 vaccine in plasma samples collected on the day of the first dose, and after 21 days (the day of the second dose), 51 days and four months ±14 days only for HCWs. A final sample was collected 15 from the PWH and HCWs seven months ± 14 days and 10 months ± 14 days after the first dose. The 16 samples were also screened for antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein (anti-N IgG), 17 18 using Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 (Roche Diagnostics International AG, Rotkreuz, Switzerland), to detect possible asymptomatic infections. The cut-off values defining serological positivity were ≥ 1 COI 19 for the anti-N IgG assay and \geq 33.8 BAU/mL for the anti-S IgG assay. Multilevel linear regression was 20 21 used to compare post-vaccination anti-S IgG levels in the two groups, with age, sex at birth, and time 22 as co-variates in the final model.

1 The PWH were older (median age 55 years, inter-quartile range [IQR] 52-62 vs 41 years, IQR 32-53; 2 p < 0.001) and more frequently males (75.5% vs 23.5%; p < 0.001). All but two of the PWH were Caucasian and 31 (59.6%) had a history of AIDS; the overall median nadir CD4+ cell count was 175 3 cells/mm³ (IOR 58-279). All PWH were receiving antiretroviral treatment (ART), and the median time 4 on ART was 20 years (IQR 9-24). At the time of the first vaccine dose, 98.1% of PWH were 5 virologically suppressed (HIV-RNA <50 cp/mL), the median CD4+ cell count was 570 /mm³ (IQR 6 445-839), with 17 (32%) having a count of <500/mm³. Thirty-eight (72%) of the PWH had at least one 7 co-morbidity: dyslipidemia (22, 41.5%), hypertension (19, 35.8%), cardiovascular disease (9, 17%), 8 diabetes (6, 11.3%), cirrhosis (5, 9.4%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (4, 7.5%), and obesity 9 (3, 5.7%); moreover, seven (13.2%) had a history of solid neoplasms and four (7.5%) a history of 10 hematological neoplasms; one was undergoing immunosuppressive treatment at the time of the first 11 vaccine dose. 12

Figure 1 shows the dynamics of post-vaccination anti-S IgG titers in the two groups. The multilevel linear regression model showed that being a PWH was associated with a lower mean anti-S IgG titre (-601 BAU/mL, standard error [SE] 127]; p<0.0001) and this was confirmed by the multivariable model (-463 BAU/mL, SE 169; p=0.0075).

In our study PWH showed a reduced antibody response to BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccination in comparison with the healthy HCWs although most of the PWH had a CD4+ cell count of >500 cells/mm³. This apparently conflicts with the findings of recent studies showing comparable vaccine efficacy in subjects with well-controlled HIV infection and CD4+ cell counts of >350-500/mm³ and controls [1-4]. However, PWH are a very heterogeneous population whose immunological competence may not be restricted to current or previous CD4+ cell counts. One possible reason for their poorer humoral response may have been their burden of co-morbidities and cancer, the prevalence of which was much higher than that reported by Lapointe *et al.* (respectively 70% *vs* 45% and 19.7% *vs* 4%)
even though the median age of the PWH in the two studies was comparable (55 *vs* 54 years). It has
recently been suggested that the comorbidity burden may contribute to hypo-responsiveness to
COVID-19 vaccination in older general populations [5] and, given the complexity and heterogeneity of
aging PWH, more specific population studies are warranted.

References

2	1.	Lapointe HR, Mwimanzi F, Cheung PK, et al. People with HIV receiving suppressive
3		antiretroviral therapy show typical antibody durability after dual COVID-19 vaccination, and
4		strong third dose responses [published online ahead of print, 2022 Jun 7]. J Infect Dis.
5		2022;jiac229.
6	2.	Frater J, Ewer KJ, Ogbe A, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19
7		(AZD1222) vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 in HIV infection: a single-arm substudy of a phase
8		2/3 clinical trial. Lancet HIV 2021;8(8):e474-e485.
9	3.	Madhi SA, Koen AL, Izu A, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19
10		(AZD1222) vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 in people living with and without HIV in South
11		Africa: an interim analysis of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1B/2A
12		trial [published correction appears in Lancet HIV. 2022 May 18;:]. Lancet HIV.
13		2021;8(9):e568-e580.
14	4.	Antinori A, Cicalini S, Meschi S, et al. Humoral and cellular immune response elicited by
15		mRNA vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 in people living with HIV (PLWH) receiving
16		antiretroviral therapy (ART) according with current CD4 T-lymphocyte count [published
17		online ahead of print, 2022 Apr 2]. Clin Infect Dis. 2022;ciac238.
18	5.	Søgaard OS, Reekie J, Johansen IS, et al. Characteristics associated with serological COVID-
19		19 vaccine response and durability in an older population with significant comorbidity: the
20	V	Danish Nationwide ENFORCE Study [published online ahead of print, 2022 Mar 11]. Clin
21	F	Microbiol Infect. 2022;S1198-743X(22)00142-2.
22		

1 Notes

- *Authors' contributions.* MVC, DM and ALR designed the study; LO made the statistical
 analyses and DM, FB, FS and MP the laboratory analyses; MVC, AG, ALR, and PM enrolled
 the subjects; SA, GR and ALR supervised the project; AG drafted the letter. All of the authors
 critically reviewed and approved the final version.
- *Potential conflicts of interest*. None of the authors have any conflict of interest related to the
 manuscript, and all of them have submitted an ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential
 Conflicts of Interest.
- 9 *Financial support.* Unrestricted free donation received by the Infectious Disease Department
- 10 during the COVID-19 pandemic.

1 Figure legend

Figure 1. Anti-S IgG antibody titres in PWH and healthy HCWs over time. There was no between-2 group difference in mean anti-S IgG titres 21 days after the first vaccine dose (444 BAU/mL, standard 3 deviation [SD] 665 vs 478 BAU/mL, SD 353; p=0.785), but the PWH had a lower mean anti-S IgG 4 titre (1551 BAU/mL, SD 1093 vs 2275 BAU/mL, SD 994; p=0.002) 51 days after the first dose. After 5 seven months, the PWH had a mean anti-S IgG titre of 309 BAU/mL (SD 216), whereas the mean anti-6 7 S IgG titers of the HCW after four and 10 months were respectively 1218 BAU/mL (SD 649) and 361 BAU/mL (SD 368). Three PWH (5.5%) had an anti-S IgG titer of <33.8 BAU/mL after seven months. 8 List of abbreviations: SD: standard deviation; HCW: healthcare workers; PWH: people living with 9 HIV; anti-S: antibodies against the viral S1 spike protein. 10

11



