

Research

Open Access

## Mechanical properties of femoral trabecular bone in dogs

Thomas Pressel\*<sup>1</sup>, Anas Bouguecha<sup>2</sup>, Ute Vogt<sup>3</sup>, Andrea Meyer-Lindenberg<sup>3</sup>, Bernd-Arno Behrens<sup>2</sup>, Ingo Nolte<sup>3</sup> and Henning Windhagen<sup>1</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Hannover Medical School, Anna-von-Borries-Str. 1-7, 30625 Hannover, Germany, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Metal Forming and Metal Forming Machine Tools, University of Hannover, Schönebecker Allee 2, 30823 Garbsen, Germany and <sup>3</sup>Clinic for Small Domestic Animals, School of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Bischofsholer Damm 15, 30173 Hannover, Germany

Email: Thomas Pressel\* - thomas.pressel@gmx.de; Anas Bouguecha - bouguecha@ifum.uni-hannover.de; Ute Vogt - ute.vogt@tiho-hannover.de; Andrea Meyer-Lindenberg - andrea.meyer-lindenberg@tiho-hannover.de; Bernd-Arno Behrens - behrens@ifum.uni-hannover.de; Ingo Nolte - inolte@klt.tiho-hannover.de; Henning Windhagen - windhagen@annastift.de

\* Corresponding author

Published: 17 March 2005

Received: 15 December 2004

*BioMedical Engineering OnLine* 2005, 4:17 doi:10.1186/1475-925X-4-17

Accepted: 17 March 2005

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedical-engineering-online.com/content/4/1/17>

© 2005 Pressel et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Abstract

**Background:** Studying mechanical properties of canine trabecular bone is important for a better understanding of fracture mechanics or bone disorders and is also needed for numerical simulation of canine femora. No detailed data about elastic moduli and degrees of anisotropy of canine femoral trabecular bone has been published so far, hence the purpose of this study was to measure the elastic modulus of trabecular bone in canine femoral heads by ultrasound testing and to assess whether assuming isotropy of the cancellous bone in femoral heads in dogs is a valid simplification.

**Methods:** From 8 euthanized dogs, both femora were obtained and cubic specimens were cut from the centre of the femoral head which were oriented along the main pressure and tension trajectories. The specimens were tested using a 100 MHz ultrasound transducer in all three orthogonal directions. The directional elastic moduli of trabecular bone tissue and degrees of anisotropy were calculated.

**Results:** The elastic modulus along principal bone trajectories was found to be  $11.2 \text{ GPa} \pm 0.4$ ,  $10.5 \pm 2.1 \text{ GPa}$  and  $10.5 \pm 1.8 \text{ GPa}$ , respectively. The mean density of the specimens was  $1.40 \pm 0.09 \text{ g/cm}^3$ . The degrees of anisotropy revealed a significant inverse relationship with specimen densities. No significant differences were found between the elastic moduli in x, y and z directions, suggesting an effective isotropy of trabecular bone tissue in canine femoral heads.

**Discussion:** This study presents detailed data about elastic moduli of trabecular bone tissue obtained from canine femoral heads. Limitations of the study are the relatively small number of animals investigated and the measurement of whole specimen densities instead of trabecular bone densities which might lead to an underestimation of Young's moduli. Publications on elastic moduli of trabecular bone tissue present results that are similar to our data.

**Conclusion:** This study provides data about directional elastic moduli and degrees of anisotropy of canine femoral head trabecular bone and might be useful for biomechanical modeling of proximal canine femora.

## Background

The mechanical properties of canine trabecular bone in the femoral head are important for a better understanding of normal biomechanics of the bone and are needed for assessing changes occurring under pathological conditions like osteoarthritis of the hip, osteonecrosis or Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease of the femoral head. Particularly the elastic moduli are important for finite element modeling of the proximal femur. Several methods have been used in the literature for identifying the elastic modulus of bone, such as mechanical testing [1-4], combinations of micro-computed tomography and finite element modeling [4-11], and ultrasonography [12] including acoustic microscopy [13]. Some studies have investigated canine bone [13-19], but to our knowledge no publication has presented any details about directional elastic moduli of canine femoral heads including degrees of anisotropy. Neither is it clear whether assuming isotropy on the tissue level is a justified simplification for trabecular bone in canine femoral heads as Kabel et al. [9] reported in a study of whale bone specimens. Therefore, in this study we determined Young's moduli of trabecular bone obtained from healthy canine femoral heads by ultrasonography. We then calculated degrees of anisotropy and used statistical testing in order to estimate whether assuming isotropy of the trabecular tissue might be a valid simplification.


## Methods

Eight dogs (weight 30–63 kg) were selected that had been euthanized for several medical reasons. From each dog both femora were obtained and were examined by a veterinarian for signs of metastatic malignant disease, Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease, osteoarthritis of the hip or bone necrosis. An x-ray of the whole femur was obtained with the femoral head and the intertrochanteric region placed directly on the film, and the main pressure and tensile trajectories were marked on the image. The bones were kept moist, wrapped in plastic bags and stored at  $-21^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Each bone was placed on the x-ray image and the direction of tension and pressure trajectories were marked on the bone according to the x-ray template. An orthogonal coordinate system was defined (Fig. 2). The positive x-axis was oriented along the main pressure trajectories and the y-axis was aligned with the main tension trajectories. One cubic specimen of  $10 \times 10 \times 10$  mm was cut (Fig. 1) from each frozen femoral head using a precision bone saw (Exakt Makro 310 CP, EXAKT Apparatebau, Norderstedt, Germany). The edges of the cubes were cut parallel to the x, y and z axes of the coordinate system. The cubic specimens were weighed using a laboratory scale (Acculab ALC-110.4, Acculab Europe, Göttingen, Germany).

For sonographic testing, a specially designed device with a custom-made ultrasound transducer (Institute of Materi-




**Figure 1**  
Cubic specimen cut from one canine femoral head.



**Figure 2**  
Schematic representation of femoral head and neck. The main tensile and compressive trajectories and the orientation of the cubic specimen and coordinate system are shown.

als Science, University of Hannover) was used. An ultrasound frequency of over 2 MHz was chosen for measuring the material properties of trabecular bone tissue [20]. The ultrasound frequency was adjusted so that a clear signal could be detected by the ultrasound receiver. A frequency of 100 MHz was chosen because ultrasound signals remained undetectable when using lower frequencies



**Figure 3** Degrees of anisotropy in dependence of specimen density. Correlation coefficients are listed in the symbol legend.

even with maximum power. The cubic specimens were placed in a container after thawing and immersed in standard Ringer's solution at room temperature. An ultrasound receiver was placed at the surface of the cube opposite the transducer which was also directly touching the specimen surface, and the runtime through the bone material of each bone cube (n = 16) was recorded ten times in all three orthogonal directions. The edge lengths of each cube were measured using the digital image analysis system IMAGE C® (IMTRONIC GmbH, Berlin, Germany), and the specimen volumes were calculated. Specimen densities were determined by equation (1):

$$\rho = \frac{m}{V} \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho$  is specimen density,  $m$  is specimen mass and  $V$  is the specimen volume

The ultrasound wave runtimes were processed by excluding the minimum and maximum results of the ten subsequent measurements, and the average of the remaining results was calculated. The transmission velocity was calculated by equation (2) and the elastic modulus was determined using equation (3).

$$c_{long} = \frac{s}{t_1 - t_0} \quad (2)$$

where  $c_{long}$  is the transmission velocity,  $s$  denotes the edge length of the specimen and equals the distance between ultrasound transmitter and receiver which are placed in direct contact with the opposing specimen surfaces,  $t_1$  and  $t_0$  the time at reception and sending of the ultrasound wave, respectively.

$$E_{x,\gamma,z} = \rho \cdot c_{long}^2 \quad (3)$$

**Table 1: Minimum, maximum and mean values for sample densities and directional Young's moduli Moduli are arranged according to testing direction (along x, y and z axes, see fig. 2).**

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation
Density [g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	1.19	1.51	1.40	0.09
E <sub>x</sub> [MPa]	10600	11760	11217	376
E <sub>y</sub> [MPa]	6283	14285	10459	2071
E <sub>z</sub> [MPa]	6832	13097	10506	1839

**Table 2: Degrees of anisotropy The minimum and maximum degrees of anisotropy (range), the mean values, standard deviations (SD) and the correlation coefficients (r) indicating correlation of the respective degree of anisotropy with specimen density ρ. p designates the significance probability (p-value).**

	Range	Mean	SD	r	p
E <sub>x</sub> /E <sub>z</sub>	0.89–1.59	1.10	0.18	-0,93	0.000
E <sub>z</sub> /E <sub>y</sub>	0.92–1.11	1.01	0.05	-0,58	0.009
E <sub>x</sub> /E <sub>y</sub>	0.82–1.73	1.11	0.22	-0,96	0.000

where E<sub>x,y,z</sub> is Young's modulus along x, y and z axes and ρ is the specimen density calculated from equation (1). C<sub>long</sub> is the ultrasound velocity calculated from specimen edge length and transmission time (see equation (2))

Mean density and mean Young's moduli of the specimens and standard deviations were determined using the statistical software package SPSS 12.0 (SPSS, Chicago, USA). Strong correlations between density and elastic moduli could be expected from equation (3), nonetheless Pearson correlations were calculated for confirmation. The directional elastic moduli were checked for significant differences using one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). The degrees of anisotropy [4,21](E<sub>x</sub>/E<sub>z</sub>, E<sub>x</sub>/E<sub>y</sub>; E<sub>z</sub>/E<sub>y</sub>) were calculated and subsequently checked for a significant relationship with specimen density using Pearson correlations.

**Results**

The edge lengths of the bone specimens varied by ± 1 % (± 0.1 mm). Elastic moduli in the bone specimens ranging from 6.3 to 14.3 GPa were found. The elastic moduli in X, Y and Z direction were 11.2 ± 0.4 GPa, 10.5 ± 2.1 GPa and 10.5 ± 1.8 GPa, respectively. Minimum, maximum, mean values and standard deviations of bone sample density and directional Young's moduli are listed in Table 1. Pearson correlations between density and directional Young's moduli (E<sub>x</sub>, E<sub>y</sub> and E<sub>z</sub>) were significant as could be expected from equation (3) (p < 0.005). The degrees of anisotropy ranged from 0.82 to 1.59 and were signifi-

cantly correlated with specimen density (Table 2, Figure 3).

**Discussion**

This study presents detailed data about mechanical properties of canine femoral trabecular bone tissue and degrees of anisotropy. Despite the strengths of our work, some limitations have to be noted.

The correct calculation of the sample volume depends on exactly cubic specimens, but deviations might occur due to errors in the sawing technique. We found a maximum variation of edge length of ± 0.1 mm (1%) in our specimens so this error appears to be negligible.

The apparent densities of the specimens were calculated by weighing whole samples and measuring sample volumes instead of calculating the densities of ashed samples[2,19] or cleaning the bone marrow out of the specimens using water jets prior to measurement. According to Rho [20] ultrasonic waves at frequencies of >2 MHz travel along the trabecular material and allow calculation of the elasticity of the trabecular bone material rather than the elasticity of whole specimens which is investigated by conventional compression testing. Considering this statement, in our study using a 100 MHz ultrasound transducer the application of apparent densities rather than the densities of the trabecular bone material might lead to an conspicuous underestimation of the elastic modulus (equation (3)) because it is expected that the density of

the trabecular bone tissue is higher than the density of whole specimens. However, Kang et al. [22] measured densities of cylindrical trabecular bone specimens from canine femoral heads and reported a mean density of  $1.17 \pm 17 \text{ g/cm}^3$  for whole bone cylinders after cleaning and  $0.65 \pm 0.09 \text{ g/cm}^3$  for ashed samples which is much lower than our results. The lower densities might be caused by the specimen volume that was used by the authors: they geometrically measured the volume of the cylindrical bone specimens so that a considerable intertrabecular volume is included and bone tissue densities are underestimated according to equation (1). Hence the results of Kang's publication can not be used for comparison or correction of our density data.

It is noticeable that in our study we found a small relative standard deviation (SD/mean) in apparent densities and Young's modulus in x direction (6.6 % and 3.3 %) although we used femora from a heterogeneous selection of different breeds. However, the relative SD in y and z directions was computed to be 19.5 % and 17.5 %, respectively. It is not clear whether the broader range of elastic moduli in these directions reflects real differences or whether it might be caused by a less accurate positioning of the saw when cutting the specimens from the femoral heads.

The significant relationship between the specimen density  $\rho$  and directional elastic moduli found in our study was to be expected because Young's modulus was calculated from  $\rho$ . This significant relationship was also described in a study using compression testing of bone cubes from human donors ( $0.74 \leq r \leq 0.84$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) [2].

No significant differences were found between directional elastic moduli ( $p = 0.34$ ). This result could support the concept of an "effective" isotropic elastic tissue modulus as described by Kabel et al.[9].

Several works have been published concerning elastic moduli of canine or human bone. Studies that investigated the apparent elastic modulus of human bone specimens using mechanical testing and finite element models found much lower elastic moduli[4,21] than we did in our work. Kang et al. [22] reported elastic moduli of trabecular specimens from canine femoral heads of  $428 \pm 237 \text{ MPa}$  which is also much lower than what we observed in our study; their results were obtained by conventional compression testing. Several other studies have been carried out investigating elastic moduli of canine trabecular bone specimens[14,16,19]. Those results are not comparable with our data because the authors measured elastic moduli of whole specimens rather than Young's moduli on the tissue level. Additionally, Odgaard et al. reported that conventional compression testing underestimates

Young's modulus by about 20%[23]. Keaveny et al. [24] found a percentage difference in modulus when using platens compression testing of up to 86%. They recommend using the endcap technique for obtaining more accurate data, which however restricts testing to one direction. Jacobs et al. [25] investigated porous samples made from bone cement. Using finite element modeling and mechanical testing, they found that the mean error when using parallel platen compression testing was 8 or 15% depending on FE mesh size and was reduced to 2 or 0.5% with the endcap technique.

Only one study investigating elastic moduli of canine trabecular bone tissue is available to our knowledge: Jorgensen and Kundu [13] used a 1 GHz acoustic microscope for examining a trabecular strut obtained from a canine distal femur. They computed a mean Young's modulus of  $19.9 \pm 2.5 \text{ GPa}$  which is higher than our results; this could be caused by a different trabecular structure and higher bone volume fraction in the distal femur. The authors state that anisotropy was clearly detected at micrometer level, but no further quantification is given.

More studies are available reporting mechanical properties of human trabecular bone. Rho [20] found an elastic modulus of  $14.9 \pm 1.7 \text{ GPa}$  in trabecular bone specimens from human tibiae using a 2.25 MHz transducer. This modulus is higher than in our data but within the same order of magnitude. Ashman and Rho [26] measured elastic moduli from three human trabecular bone specimens using an ultrasonic technique and found a mean elastic modulus of  $13.0 \text{ GPa}$  which is about 30% higher than in our study of canine bone. This observation is supported by Kuhn's [19] assertion that elastic moduli are higher in human trabecular bone than in canine bone. Our results are further supported by Zysset et al. [27] who used a nanoindentation technique and found average elastic moduli in trabecular lamellae of human femoral necks of  $11.4 \pm 5.6 \text{ GPa}$ .

## Conclusion

Our study provides detailed data about elastic moduli and degrees of anisotropy of canine femoral bone tissue. No significant differences between directional Young's moduli were found indicating that the concept of an effective isotropy of trabecular bone in canine femoral heads might be a justified simplification. The degrees of anisotropy were highly correlated with specimen densities. The results of elastic moduli are comparable to similar studies of canine and human trabecular bone tissue [13,20,26,27].

## Authors' contributions

TP carried out the statistical analysis of the results, took part in the detailed design of the study and prepared the

manuscript. AB designed the study, prepared the bone specimens, conducted the ultrasound measurements and calculated the elastic moduli. UV and AML obtained the canine femora, examined the bone for exclusion criteria and prepared the bone specimens. BAB, IN and HW designed the study concept from a technical and medical perspective, respectively, and corrected the manuscript.

### Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the Institute of Materials Science, University of Hannover, for providing the experimental setup of the ultrasound tests. This study was supported by a grant from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Sonderforschungsbereich 599, project D6). We also thank Dr. Hoy, Biometrical Institute, Hannover Medical School for his advice concerning statistical testing.

### References

- Ciarelli TE, Fyhrie DP, Schaffler MB, Goldstein SA: **Variations in three-dimensional cancellous bone architecture of the proximal femur in female hip fractures and in controls.** *J Bone Miner Res* 2000, **15**:32-40.
- Kaneko TS, Bell JS, Pejic MR, Tehranzadeh J, Keyak JH: **Mechanical properties, density and quantitative CT scan data of trabecular bone with and without metastases.** *J Biomech* 2004, **37**:523-530.
- Majumdar S, Kothari M, Augat P, Newitt DC, Link TM, Lin JC, Lang T, Lu Y, Genant HK: **High-resolution magnetic resonance imaging: three-dimensional trabecular bone architecture and biomechanical properties.** *Bone* 1998, **22**:445-454.
- Ulrich D, Hildebrand T, Van RB, Muller R, Rueggsegger P: **The quality of trabecular bone evaluated with micro-computed tomography, FEA and mechanical testing.** *Stud Health Technol Inform* 1997, **40**:97-112.
- Cody DD, Gross GJ, Hou FJ, Spencer HJ, Goldstein SA, Fyhrie DP: **Femoral strength is better predicted by finite element models than QCT and DXA.** *J Biomech* 1999, **32**:1013-1020.
- Bayraktar HH, Keaveny TM: **Mechanisms of uniformity of yield strains for trabecular bone.** *J Biomech* 2004, **37**:1671-1678.
- Homminga J, McCreddie BR, Weinans H, Huiskes R: **The dependence of the elastic properties of osteoporotic cancellous bone on volume fraction and fabric.** *J Biomech* 2003, **36**:1461-1467.
- Kabel J, Van RB, Odgaard A, Huiskes R: **Constitutive relationships of fabric, density, and elastic properties in cancellous bone architecture.** *Bone* 1999, **25**:481-486.
- Kabel J, Van RB, Dalstra M, Odgaard A, Huiskes R: **The role of an effective isotropic tissue modulus in the elastic properties of cancellous bone.** *J Biomech* 1999, **32**:673-680.
- Kinney JH, Ladd AJ: **The relationship between three-dimensional connectivity and the elastic properties of trabecular bone.** *J Bone Miner Res* 1998, **13**:839-845.
- Ladd AJ, Kinney JH, Haupt DL, Goldstein SA: **Finite-element modeling of trabecular bone: comparison with mechanical testing and determination of tissue modulus.** *J Orthop Res* 1998, **16**:622-628.
- Pithioux M, Lasaygues P, Chabrand P: **An alternative ultrasonic method for measuring the elastic properties of cortical bone.** *J Biomech* 2002, **35**:961-968.
- Jorgensen CS, Kundu T: **Measurement of material elastic constants of trabecular bone: a micromechanical analytic study using a 1 GHz acoustic microscope.** *J Orthop Res* 2002, **20**:151-158.
- Acito AJ, Kasra M, Lee JM, Gryn timer MD: **Effects of intermittent administration of pamidronate on the mechanical properties of canine cortical and trabecular bone.** *J Orthop Res* 1994, **12**:742-746.
- Day JS, Ding M, Bednarz P, van der Linden JC, Mashiba T, Hirano T, Johnston CC, Burr DB, Hvid I, Sumner DR, Weinans H: **Bisphosphonate treatment affects trabecular bone apparent modulus through micro-architecture rather than matrix properties.** *J Orthop Res* 2004, **22**:465-471.
- Gryn timer MD, Acito A, Kasra M: **Effect of pamidronate on the mechanical properties of canine bone.** *Ann Oncol* 1994, **5 Suppl 7**:S49-S51.
- Gryn timer MD, Kasra M, Dumitriu M, Nespeca R, Very JM, Mertz BP: **Recovery from pamidronate (APD): a two-year study in the dog.** *Calcif Tissue Int* 1994, **55**:288-294.
- Hu JH, Ding M, Soballe K, Bechtold JE, Danielsen CC, Day JS, Hvid I: **Effects of short-term alendronate treatment on the three-dimensional microstructural, physical, and mechanical properties of dog trabecular bone.** *Bone* 2002, **31**:591-597.
- Kuhn JL, Goldstein SA, Ciarelli MJ, Matthews LS: **The limitations of canine trabecular bone as a model for human: a biomechanical study.** *J Biomech* 1989, **22**:95-107.
- Rho JY: **Ultrasonic characterisation in determining elastic modulus of trabecular bone material.** *Med Biol Eng Comput* 1998, **36**:57-59.
- Newitt DC, Majumdar S, van RB, von IG, Harris ST, Genant HK, Chesnut C, Garnero P, MacDonald B: **In vivo assessment of architecture and micro-finite element analysis derived indices of mechanical properties of trabecular bone in the radius.** *Osteoporos Int* 2002, **13**:6-17.
- Kang Q, An YH, Friedman RF: **Mechanical properties and bone densities of canine trabecular bone.** *J Mater Sci Mater Med* 1998, **9**:263-267.
- Odgaard A, Linde F: **The underestimation of Young's modulus in compressive testing of cancellous bone specimens.** *J Biomech* 1991, **24**:691-698.
- Keaveny TM, Pinilla TP, Crawford RP, Kopperdahl DL, Lou A: **Systematic and random errors in compression testing of trabecular bone.** *J Orthop Res* 1997, **15**:101-110.
- Jacobs CR, Davis BR, Rieger CJ, Francis JJ, Saad M, Fyhrie DP: **NACOB presentation to ASB Young Scientist Award: Post-doctoral. The impact of boundary conditions and mesh size on the accuracy of cancellous bone tissue modulus determination using large-scale finite-element modeling.** *North American Congress on Biomechanics.* *J Biomech* 1999, **32**:1159-1164.
- Ashman RB, Rho JY: **Elastic modulus of trabecular bone material.** *J Biomech* 1988, **21**:177-181.
- Zysset PK, Guo XE, Hoffer CE, Moore KE, Goldstein SA: **Elastic modulus and hardness of cortical and trabecular bone lamellae measured by nanoindentation in the human femur.** *J Biomech* 1999, **32**:1005-1012.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:  
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\_adv.asp

