

Editorial



Clinical Impact of Beta-blockers in the Revascularization Era

Soo-Jin Kang , MD, PhD

Department of Cardiology, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Mar 18, 2020

Accepted: Mar 23, 2020

Correspondence to

Soo-Jin Kang, MD, PhD

Department of Cardiology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, 88, Olympic-ro 43-gil, Songpa-gu, Seoul 05505, Korea.

E-mail: sjkang@amc.seoul.kr

Copyright © 2020. The Korean Society of Cardiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Soo-Jin Kang 

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3719-4702>

Conflict of Interest

The author has no financial conflicts of interest.

The contents of the report are the author's own views and do not necessarily reflect the views of the *Korean Circulation Journal*.

► See the article “Clinical Impact of Beta Blockers in Patients with Myocardial Infarction from the Korean National Health Insurance Database” in volume 50 on page 499.

Current guidelines recommend oral beta-blockers for secondary prevention during and after hospitalization in all patients post-myocardial infarction (MI) without contraindications.^{1,2)} However, the effects of beta-blocker therapy on reducing infarct size, life-threatening arrhythmia and cardiac death were supported by the evidences in the pre-reperfusion era.^{3,4)} Most studies in the revascularization era have reported inconsistent results with respect to the long-term effects of beta-blocker use post-MI in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). In a prospective registry study, beta-blocker therapy at discharge significantly improved 1-year survival in ST-elevation MI patients treated with primary PCI.⁵⁾ Conversely, a recent meta-analysis including 16 observational studies showed no significant association between beta-blockers and all-cause mortality following MI.⁶⁾ Moreover, the influence of time point or duration of beta-blocker prescription on future outcomes still remains unclear. Although early beta-blocker use was associated with a 29% reduced mortality risk in patients with acute MI, clinical benefits of beta-blocker therapy beyond 1 year have not yet been established.^{7,8)} There was high clinical heterogeneity among studies with regard to the sample size, presentation types of MI, degree of cardiovascular risks, revascularization strategies, and combined medications. Previous analyses in majority focused on the effect of beta-blockers prescribed at discharge, not through the overall follow-up period.

Won et al. recently reported the clinical benefits of beta-blockers in a total of 81,752 acute MI patients who were treated by PCI and regularly prescribed with the medication possession ratio $\geq 80\%$ during 2-year follow-up.⁹⁾ Using the large cohort from the Korean national health insurance service claims database, this all-comer based analysis demonstrated that regular use of beta-blockers was independently associated with a reduction in the risk of composite adverse events including all-cause death and MI, which supported the relevance of the current guidelines for the recommendation of beta-blockers. With a high rate of drug-eluting stent implantation (96%), and frequent use of dual anti-platelets (91%), renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system blockers (78%) and statin (82%), the data reflecting the contemporary real-world practice indicated that longer-term use of beta-blocker may have survival benefits until at least 2 years, regardless of revascularization or medical treatment strategies.

Nonetheless, the routine use of long-term beta-blockers should be recommended with caution. With a retrospective design, this current study might contain potential selection or

confounding bias even after propensity score-matching. Because beta-blockers tend to be given in lower-risk patients with less severe symptoms, the cause and effect relationship is uncertain. In addition, there is lack of information about specific types and dose of beta-blockers, left ventricular ejection fraction, and time point of primary PCI in cases with ST-elevation MI. Adverse cardiac events were not centrally adjudicated, and clinical outcome data beyond 2 years were not provided. Thus, randomized-controlled trials are necessary to clarify more the clinical impact of long-term beta-blockers post-MI, especially in relatively low-risk patients undergoing revascularization.

REFERENCES

1. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;61:e78-140.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:119-77.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Bangalore S, Makani H, Radford M, et al. Clinical outcomes with β -blockers for myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Am J Med* 2014;127:939-53.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Freemantle N, Cleland J, Young P, Mason J, Harrison J. β Blockade after myocardial infarction: systematic review and meta regression analysis. *BMJ* 1999;318:1730-7.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Yang JH, Hahn JY, Song YB, et al. Association of beta-blocker therapy at discharge with clinical outcomes in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2014;7:592-601.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Dahl Aarvik M, Sandven I, Dondo TB, et al. Effect of oral β -blocker treatment on mortality in contemporary post-myocardial infarction patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother* 2019;5:12-20.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Park JJ, Kim SH, Kang SH, et al. Effect of β -blockers beyond 3 years after acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2018;7:e007567.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
8. Puymirat E, Riant E, Aissaoui N, et al. β blockers and mortality after myocardial infarction in patients without heart failure: multicentre prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2016;354:i4801.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
9. Won H, Suh Y, Kim GS, Ko YG, Hong MK. Clinical impact of beta blockers in patients with myocardial infarction from the Korean National Health Insurance Database. *Korean Circ J* 2020;50:499-508.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)