

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. postoperative delirium incidence and severity: a prospective observational study. *Br J Anaesth* 2021; **126**: 458–66

10. Parker M, White M, Casey C, Kunkel D, Bo A, Blennow K, et al. Cohort Analysis of the Association of Delirium

Severity with Cerebrospinal Fluid Amyloid-Tau-Neurodegeneration Pathologies. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2021: glab203

doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2022.04.002 Advance Access Publication Date: 22 April 2022 © 2022 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Anaesthesia practice in the first wave of the COVID-19 outbreak in the United States: a population-based cohort study

Vassilis Athanassoglou¹, Haoyan Zhong², Jashvant Poeran³, Jiabin Liu^{2,4}, Crispiana Cozowicz⁵, Alex Illescas² and Stavros G. Memtsoudis^{2,4,*}

¹Nuffield Department of Anaesthesia, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK, ²Department of Anesthesiology, Critical Care & Pain Management, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY, USA, ³Institute for Healthcare Delivery Science, Department of Population Health Science & Policy/Department of Orthopedics, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA, ⁴Department of Anesthesiology, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA and ⁵Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative Medicine and Intensive Care Medicine, Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria

*Corresponding author. E-mail: MemtsoudisS@HSS.EDU

Keywords: anaesthesia practice; COVID-19; general anaesthesia; nerve block; regional anaesthesia

Editor—The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly impacted daily clinical practice. Numerous clinical practice recommendations were published during the first wave focusing on guidance to maximise patient and healthcare worker safety.^{1–3} However, many of these recommendations were not backed by rigorous evidence,^{4–7} sometimes leading to confusion. For example some experts suggested that use of tracheal intubation was preferable to supraglottic airway devices to create a closed system and minimise aerosolisation and environmental contamination, whereas others cautioned that airway instrumentation itself was aerosol generating.^{8 9}

It is unclear to what extent these, at times contradicting, recommendations impacted anaesthesia practice in the early stage of the pandemic. We therefore utilised a large national dataset to describe potential changes in practice in the USA, with a specific focus on anaesthesia practice in orthopaedic surgery. We deliberately set out to first pursue descriptive data to understand potential changes in patients served and anaesthesia practice. We hypothesised that in elective orthopaedic surgery during the first wave of the COVID-19 outbreak, use of anaesthetic techniques would differ compared with the year prior. Even though it generally takes years for practice changes to occur, we believe that the extraordinary nature of the pandemic may have warranted an exception to this general wisdom.

After institutional review board approval (IRB#2016-436), we retrospectively analysed patients captured in the Premier Healthcare database (Premier Healthcare Solutions, Inc., Charlotte, NC, USA) who underwent elective total knee or hip arthroplasty (TKA/THA) in the USA. We selected patients admitted during the initial surge of COVID-19 from March 1 to June 30, 2020, as these were the most recent data available to us at the time of analysis. In order to compare this cohort to controls, we selected patients admitted during the same time frame the year prior. TKA was defined based on *International Classification of Diseases*, 9th Revision (ICD-9) procedure code 81.54 or 10th Revision (ICD-10) procedure codes 0SRC0xx, 0SRD0xx. THA was defined based on ICD-9 procedures codes 81.51 or ICD-10 procedure codes 0SR90xx, 0SRB0xx. Exclusion criteria were: unknown sex (n=3), unknown discharge status (n=15), and outpatient procedures (n=7918).

The main outcome of interest was type of anaesthesia on the day of surgery, which was identified from billing codes as described¹⁰; this was as by general anaesthesia only, regional anaesthesia + general anaesthesia, or regional anaesthesia only. In addition to anaesthesia type, anaesthesia practice was also characterised by perioperative use of NSAIDs, cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors, and benzodiazepines. We compared anaesthesia practice before and during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Results are reported as counts and percentages, and presented in figures. Standardised differences were calculated to compare variables of interests before and during COVID-19. A P-value of >0.1 was considered to represent a meaningful group difference.¹¹ All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Overall, 87 122 and 13 920 TKA cases, and 64 352 and 11 011 THA cases were performed in the 2019 and 2020 periods of

Total knee arthroplasty Total hip arthroplasty Year Year 2019 2020 Stdiff 2019 2020 Stdiff* (%) (%) (%) (%) n n n n Type of anaesthesia General anaesthesia 37 536 43.1 5859 42 1 0.02 30 532 81 9 8909 80.9 0.06 Regional anaesthesia 21 064 24.2 3449 24.8 0.01 11 618 18 1 2102 191 0.03 Block only 9746 11.2 1371 98 0.04 4398 68 556 50 0.08 General + block 683 0.09 1010 153 1.4 0.01 6222 7.1 4.9 1.6 Perioperative use of NSAIDs 57 080 65 5 8800 63.2 0.05 40 388 62.8 6625 60.2 0.05 COX-2 inhibitors 45 046 517 7045 50.6 0.02 34 330 533 5587 507 0.05 77.7 Benzodiazepines 67 702 10116 727 0.12 47 548 73.9 7712 70.0 0.09

Table 1 Anaesthesia type comparison before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *A standardised difference >0.1 represents a meaningful group difference. COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2.

study, respectively, indicating a sharp decrease in elective cases performed.¹² All patient characteristics were comparable between time cohorts, except for slightly fewer TKA patients having Medicare insurance, and fewer TKAs performed in the Midwest and Northeast regions (Supplementary Table S1).

There was no clinically meaningful observable change in overall practice of anaesthesia between 2019 and 2020 in either the TKA or the THA cohort. Moreover, there were no meaningful changes in terms of perioperative NSAID and COX-2 inhibitor use; benzodiazepine use was slightly lower during the COVID-19 period among TKA patients (from 77.7% to 72.3%; standardised difference=0.12) (Table 1).

Despite a significant decrease in overall volume, characteristics of patients admitted for elective orthopaedic surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic were similar to the year prior.

These observations raise various questions, including those related to the risks of performing general anaesthesia and airway instrumentation rather than avoiding it during the COVID-19 pandemic. This is especially interesting as at the time practitioners did not know much about the pathogen, its mode of transmission, and the morbidities and mortality associated with infection. There were also no meaningful differences in use of simple analgesics during the pandemic. Although the number of patients undergoing TKA or THA surgery dropped by almost 70%, the characteristics of patients undergoing either procedure was stable during the 2 yr, signifying that for those orthopaedists who continued to operate in the USA, patient selection did not change. However, perioperative care might have changed. Zhong and colleagues¹³ found a higher readmission risk during the pandemic, and suggested that patients were discharged home earlier to mitigate the risk of COVID-19 transmission during institutionalised care, possibly compromising rehabilitation. In this context, it is concerning that we saw reduced use of regional anaesthetic techniques as they might be associated with poorer postoperative mobilisation and rehabilitation. Furthermore, higher opioid use in the setting of no regional anaesthesia might be associated with increased airway compromise necessitating emergent airway management.

Our analysis is limited by various factors. First, potential confounding in terms of a change in patient characteristics in 2020 (compared with 2019), although we did not observe meaningful group differences that could have explained differences in anaesthesia practice. Second, we did not have access to data beyond those reported here and therefore cannot make any further comparisons to observe whether a longer term change of practice occurred. Third, we studied select aspects of anaesthetic practice. There may have been changes in anaesthetic practice that were not covered by the scope of this study. Fourth, we used a database covering a broad spectrum of hospitals; some interinstitutional differences in reporting and coding for anaesthetic practice cannot be excluded with certainty.

In conclusion, despite the recommendations from worldwide airway experts to avoid airway instrumentation during the period of the COVID-19 pandemic, our data showed that anaesthetic practice in the USA did not change with regard to the conduct of general and regional anaesthesia. In conjunction with previous studies showing worse patient outcomes, this questions the decision to favour general over regional anaesthesia. Further research is warranted to investigate if these recommendations had lasting consequences beyond the initial pandemic period.

Declarations of interest

SGM is a one-time consultant for Sandoz Inc. and Teikoku. He is an owner of a US Patent for a Multicatheter Infusion System. US-2017-0361063. He is owner of SGM Consulting, LLC and coowner of FC Monmouth, LLC. None of the above relations influenced the conduct of the present study. All other authors declare no competing interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Research and Education Fund, Department of Anesthesiology, Critical Care & Pain, Hospital for Special Surgery

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2022.04.003.

References

1. Chen X, Liu Y, Gong Y, et al. Perioperative management of patients infected with the novel coronavirus:

recommendation from the joint task force of the Chinese society of anesthesiology and the Chinese association of anesthesiologists. *Anesthesiology* 2020; **132**: 1307–16. Published correction appears in Anesthesiology 2020; **133**: 693

- 2. Patel V, Jimenez E, Cornwell L, et al. Cardiac surgery during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: perioperative considerations and triage recommendations. *J Am Heart* Assoc 2020; 9: e017042
- Zheng H, Hébert HL, Chatziperi A, et al. Perioperative management of patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19: review and recommendations for perioperative management from a retrospective cohort study. Br J Anaesth 2020; 125: 895–911
- 4. Cook TM, El-Boghdadly K, McGuire B, McNarry AF, Patel A, Higgs A. Consensus guidelines for managing the airway in patients with COVID-19: guidelines from the difficult airway society, the association of anaesthetists the intensive care society, the faculty of intensive care medicine and the royal college of anaesthetists. *Anaesthesia* 2020; **75**: 785–99
- Patwa A, Shah A, Garg R, et al. All India difficult airway association (AIDAA) consensus guidelines for airway management in the operating room during the COVID-19 pandemic. Indian J Anaesth 2020; 64(Suppl. 2): S107–15
- Sullivan EH, Gibson LE, Berra L, Chang MG, Bittner EA. Inhospital airway management of COVID-19 patients. Crit Care 2020; 24: 292

- Rong LQ, Audisio K, O'Shaughnessy SM. Guidelines and evidence-based recommendations in anaesthesia: where do we stand? Br J Anaesth 2022; 128: 903–8
- Cook TM. Personal protective equipment during the COVID-19 pandemic: a narrative review. Anaesthesia 2020; 75: 920–7
- Wax RS, Christian MD. Practical recommendations for critical care and anesthesiology teams caring for novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) patients. Can J Anaesth 2020; 67: 568–76
- Poeran J, Cozowicz C, Zubizarreta N, et al. Modifiable factors associated with postoperative delirium after hip fracture repair: an age-stratified retrospective cohort study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2020; 37: 649–58
- Austin PC. An introduction to propensity score methods for reducing the effects of confounding in observational studies. Multivariate Behav Res 2011; 46: 399–424
- Pirracchio R, Mavrothalassitis O, Mathis M, Kheterpal S, Legrand M. Response of US hospitals to elective surgical cases in the COVID-19 pandemic. Br J Anaesth 2020; 126: e46–8
- Zhong H, Poeran J, Liu J, Sites BD, Wilson LA, Memtsoudis SG. Elective orthopedic surgery during COVID-19. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2021; 46: 825–7

doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2022.04.003 Advance Access Publication Date: 15 April 2022 © 2022 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Use of sildenafil in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonitis

Charles McFadyen^{1,2,*}, Ben Garfield^{1,3}, Jennifer Mancio¹, Carole A. Ridge⁴, Tom Semple⁴, Archie Keeling⁴, Stephane Ledot¹, Brijesh Patel^{1,5}, Chinthaka B. Samaranayake⁶, Colm McCabe^{3,7}, S. John Wort^{3,7}, Susanna Price^{1,3} and Laura C. Price^{3,7}

¹Adult Intensive Care Unit, Royal Brompton Hospital, Guy's & St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK, ²Bloomsbury Institute for Intensive Care Medicine, University College London, London, UK, ³National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK, ⁴Radiology Department, Royal Brompton Hospital, Guy's & St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK, ⁵Anaesthetics, Pain Medicine & Intensive Care, Surgery & Cancer Division, Imperial College London, London, UK, ⁶Department of Respiratory Medicine, Royal Brompton Hospital, Guy's & St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK and ⁷National Pulmonary Hypertension Service, Royal Brompton Hospital, Guy's & St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

*Corresponding author. E-mail: charles.mcfadyen@nhs.net

Keywords: acute respiratory distress syndrome; COVID-19; extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; pulmonary hypertension; right ventricular failure; sildenafil

Editor—Patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection develop pulmonary vascular dysfunction with immunothrombosis, endotheliitis, pulmonary embolism, and neoangiogenesis of larger vessels.^{1–3} These changes contribute to dead-space and shunt, increased pulmonary vascular resistance, and right ventricular (RV) dysfunction,⁴ and can be improved by therapies modulating endothelial function. Of these, inhaled nitric oxide $(NO)^5$ has pulmonary vasodilating, antiinflammatory, and potential antiviral properties.⁶ The phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor sildenafil increases endogenous NO, is well tolerated in patients with lung fibrosis,^{7,8} but may worsen shunt in acute respiratory