LETTER TO THE EDITOR



Rapid increase in SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence during the emergence of Omicron variant, Finland

Maarit J. Ahava¹ · Hanna Jarva^{1,2} · Anne J. Jääskeläinen¹ · Maija Lappalainen¹ · Olli Vapalahti^{1,3,4} · Satu Kurkela¹

Received: 25 March 2022 / Accepted: 19 April 2022 / Published online: 28 April 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

The emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant (B.1.1.529) as of November 2021 changed the epidemiology of COVID-19 with rapid upsurge of cases globally [1, 2]. In Finland, the first patient case with Omicron variant was detected on 29 November 2021 [3]. In this study, we conducted a prospective seroepidemiological survey of SARS-CoV-2 in November 2021–March 2022 in the Greater Helsinki area, Finland. Our aim was to assess changes in exposure and prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection during the first months of emergence of Omicron variant.

The study was institutionally approved (HUS/56/2021). Altogether 1,600 serum specimens were analyzed with Abbott SARS-CoV-2 IgG II Quant (IgG antibodies to receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the S1 subunit of the S protein of SARS-CoV-2) and N antibodies with Abbott SARS-CoV-2 IgG (IgG antibodies to N protein of SARS-CoV-2) on the Alinity i analyzer [4, 5]. The sampling scheme included 100 specimens each week between weeks 46/2021 and 9/2022, from routine samples sent to HUS Diagnostic Center, Helsinki. The sampling frame consisted of the ca 17,000 serum specimens that were sent for routine diagnostic purposes to HUS Diagnostic Center for HIV screening between 15 November 2021 and 6 March 2022 and were negative for HIV Ag/Ab. Samples were stored according to date of specimen. To select samples for each calendar

Maarit J. Ahava maarit.ahava@hus.fi

- ¹ HUS Diagnostic Center, HUSLAB, Clinical Microbiology, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, P.O.B. 720 (Topeliuksenkatu 32), N00029 HUS Helsinki, Finland
- ² Translational Immunology Research Program and Department of Bacteriology and Immunology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- ³ Department of Virology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- ⁴ Department of Veterinary Biosciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

week, a random starting point was chosen, and specimens were systematically selected until 100 specimens plus 5 spare samples were reached: the chosen 100 specimens were analyzed for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. If the analysis failed or the specimen volume was not adequate, the sample was replaced by one of the spare samples of that calendar week.

The subgroups identified according to serostatus were (I) anti-N negative, anti-S1 negative: no serological evidence of vaccine immunization or previous infection; (II) anti-N negative, anti-S1 positive: seroresponse to vaccine immunization, no evidence of recent infection; (III) anti-N positive, anti-S1 positive: consistent with previous infection, vaccine immunization status unknown; (IV) anti-N positive, anti-S1 negative: recent infection possible, no evidence of vaccine immunization. The proportion of these subgroups was determined for each calendar week and statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS statistical program package, version 25. Visualization was done with GraphPad Prism 8.0.1.

The study subjects' age ranged from 11 months to 94 years (median 33 years; IQR 26–46 years), and the proportion of women was 55.2%. The baseline prevalence of N antibodies in the first five weeks of the study period (46–50/2021) was 5.2%, while in the final 5 weeks (5–9/2022) it was 28.2%. The proportion of seronegative samples for the corresponding time frames was 11.6% and 3.8%, and for anti-N-negative, anti-S1-positive samples 84.2% and 68.2%. Figure 1 depicts the moving average of the N antibody seroprevalence over the study period: the sharpest increase was observed in those aged < 30 years. In late 2021, the seroprevalence of N antibodies was consistently well below 10% but began a rapid incline as of week 1/2022 and surpassed 20% on week 3/2022.

Anti-N-positive samples that were anti-S1 negative began to appear on week 2/2022 and represented 0.9% (14/1600) of all analyzed samples, which may reflect a diminished or delayed seroresponse against S1 during Omicron infection. The increase in anti-N-positive samples (groups III and IV) Fig. 1 Proportion of N antibody-positive samples, 3-week moving average. **a** All samples from all age groups, N=1600. **b** Study subjects under 30 years, N=616. **c** Study subjects 30-45 years, N=580. **d** Study subjects over 45 years, N=404



was reflected as a decreasing proportion of seronegative samples (group I) towards the end of the study period. The proportions of subgroups (I–IV) per calendar week are presented in Fig. 2.

By mid-December 2021, Omicron had become the primary variant in the Greater Helsinki area [3]. Soon after, our data show a rapid increase in the population level exposure to SARS-CoV-2. This indicates a high transmission rate and is in line with previous reports from elsewhere [6–8]. At the end of the study period (week 9/2022), 4% were seronegative in N and S antibody testing. Altogether, 78% (1241/1600) had S antibodies without N antibodies, suggesting vaccine immunization without recent COVID-19 infection. In the Greater Helsinki area, 79% had received at least one SARS-CoV-2 vaccine at the time of writing, including all age groups [9].

Our study design did not allow differentiation between those who had undergone COVID-19 with or without prior vaccine immunization. Also, protective immunity against SARS-CoV-2 cannot be determined by detection of antibodies to N or S antigen by enzyme immunoassays. As the purpose of this study was to provide real-time data, conducting neutralization assays was not feasible.

The present study showed a rapid increase in the N antibody prevalence, indicating that approximately 23% (comparing the first and last 5 weeks of the study period) of the

Fig. 2 Proportions of subgroups over the study period. The increase in N antibody–positive samples was reflected as a decreased proportion of both the group of completely seronegative samples and samples of immunized individuals



tested individuals had contracted SARS-CoV-2 infection during the emergence of Omicron variant. While our sampling frame does not perfectly reflect the general population, the data suggest that during the study period, well beyond 300,000 individuals in the Greater Helsinki area (population 1.5 million inhabitants) underwent COVID-19, while at the same time approximately 230,000 COVID-19 cases were officially diagnosed in Greater Helsinki [9]. The present study suggests that a substantial proportion of COVID-19 cases remained undiagnosed during the emergence of Omicron, probably due to subclinical infections and diminished RT-PCR testing.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Ms. Irina Leino and Ms. Merja Heikkinen (Department of Virology and Immunology, HUSLAB) for excellent technical assistance.

Author contribution MA: Maarit Ahava; HJ: Hanna Jarva; AJ: Annemarjut J Jääskeläinen; ML: Maija Lappalainen; OV: Olli Vapalahti; SK: Satu Kurkela. Conceptualisation: HJ, OV, SK. Data curation: MA, HJ, SK. Formal analysis: MA, HJ, SK. Investigation: MA, HJ, AJ, ML, OV, SK. Methodology: MA, AJ, SK. Project administration: HJ, SK. Resources: ML. Validation: MA, HJ, AJ, ML, OV, SK. Writing—original draft: MA, SK. Writing—review and editing: MA, HJ, AJ, ML, OV, SK. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding This study was funded by Helsinki University and Helsinki University Hospital, HUSLAB, Helsinki, Finland (TYH2021110, TYH2021343, Y780022023, and Y780022035).

Data availability The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not

permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- ECDC. Assessment of the further emergence and potential impact of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant of concern in the context of ongoing transmission of the Delta variant of concern in the EU/ EEA, 18th update. Published online 2021
- WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard. https://covid19.who. int/. Accessed March 23, 2022
- Vauhkonen H, Truong P, Kant R et al. Introduction and rapid spread of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant and the dynamics of its sub-lineages BA.1 and BA.1.1, December 2021, Finland, 23 March 2022, PREPRINT (Version 1) available at Research Square. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1480433/v1
- Harritshøj LH, Gybel-Brask M, Afzal S et al (2021) Comparison of 16 serological SARS-CoV-2 immunoassays in 16 clinical laboratories. J Clin Microbiol 59(5). https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM. 02596-20/
- Narasimhan M, Mahimainathan L, Araj E et al (2021) Clinical evaluation of the Abbott Alinity SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific quantitative IgG and IgM assays among infected, recovered, and vaccinated groups. J Clin Microbiol 59(7). https://doi.org/10. 1128/JCM.00388-21/
- Baker JM, Nakayama JY, O'Hegarty M et al (2022) SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 (Omicron) variant transmission within households four U.S. jurisdictions, November 2021–February 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 71(9):341–346. doi:https://doi.org/10. 15585/MMWR.MM7109E1 1
- Brandal LT, MacDonald E, Veneti L et al (2021) Outbreak caused by the SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant in Norway, November to December 2021. Eurosurveillance 26(50):2101147. https://doi. org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.50.2101147
- Song JS, Lee J, Kim M et al (2022) Serial intervals and household transmission of SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant, South Korea, 2021 - Volume 28, Number 3—March 2022 - Emerging Infectious Diseases journal - CDC. Emerg Infect Dis 28(3):756–759. https:// doi.org/10.3201/EID2803.212607
- THL, National Institute for Health and Welfare: National infectious disease register. https://sampo.thl.fi/pivot/prod/fi/epirapo/. Accessed March 23, 2022

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.