BRIEF REPORT

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Memory B-cell like chronic lymphocytic leukaemia is associated with specific methylation profile of *WNT5A* promoter and undetectable expression of *WNT5A* gene

Lucie Poppova^{a,b*}, Sarka Pavlova (a^{a,b*}, Beatriz Gonzalez (a^c, Jana Kotaskova (a^{a,b}, Karla Plevova (a^{a,b,d}, Gabrijela Dumbovic (a^{c,e}, Pavlina Janovska (a^f, Vojtech Bystry (a^b), Anna Panovska (a^a, Lucie Bezdekova (a^a, Stanislava Maslejova^a, Yvona Brychtova (a^a, Michael Doubek (a^{a,b,d}, Marcela Krzyzankova^{a,b}, Marek Borsky (a^a, Jiri Mayer (a^{a,b,d}), Vitezslav Bryja (a^f, Sergio Alonso (a^c), and Sarka Pospisilova (a^{a,b,d})

^aDepartment of Internal Medicine – Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Brno and Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic; ^bCentral European Institute of Technology (CEITEC), Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic; ^cIGTP-PMPPC: Program of Predictive and Personalized Medicine of Cancer, Germans Trias I Pujol Research Institute, Badalona, Spain; ^dInstitute of Medical Genetics and Genomics, University Hospital Brno and Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic; ^eInstitute for Cardiovascular Regeneration, Center for Molecular Medicine, Goethe University Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; ^fDepartment of Experimental Biology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

ABSTRACT

Genome methylation profiles define naïve-like (n-CLL), memory-like (m-CLL), and intermediate (i-CLL) subsets of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL). The profiles can be easily determined by the analysis of the five-CpG signature. m-CLL, i-CLL, and n-CLL with the good, intermediate, and poor prognoses, respectively, differ by the somatic hypermutation status of the immunoglobulin heavy chain variable gene (IGHV), a widely used prognostic predictor in CLL. We have previously shown that the expression of WNT5A, encoding a ROR1 ligand, distinguishes patients with the worse outcome within the prognostically favourable IGHV-mutated subgroup. To analyse the mechanisms controlling WNT5A expression, we investigated the methylation status of 54 CpG sites within the WNT5A promoter and its relation to the WNT5A gene expression. In a cohort of 59 CLL patients balanced for combinations of IGHV and WNT5A statuses, we identified three promoter CpG sites whose methylation level correlated with the WNT5A expression within the IGHVmutated subgroup. Further, we complemented our data with the methylation status of the five-CpG signature. IGHV-mutated/WNT5A-negative and IGHV-mutated/WNT5A-positive cases overlapped with m-CLL and i-CLL methylation subgroups, respectively, while most IGHV-unmutated samples were assigned to n-CLL. Median methylation levels of all the three CpG sites in the WNT5A promoter were lowest in i-CLL. Finally, a detailed analysis of m-CLL and i-CLL showed that undetectable WNT5A expression predicts longer treatment-free survival with higher statistical significance than the classification according to the five-CpG signature. To conclude, a favourable m-CLL subgroup is associated with mutated IGHV and undetectable WNT5A expression due to its promoter methylation.

Background

DNA methylation plays a vital role during the maturation of B-cells, and it is crucial for their normal functioning [1–3]. During the maturation process, DNA undergoes global hypomethylation with local hypermethylation, and a similarly occurring process is even more pronounced in cells of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) [3,4]. Aberrant changes in the methylation profile

of CLL cells accumulate during early leukaemogenesis; afterwards, the overall profile remains more or less stable [1,3–6]. The methylation status of the five-CpG signature was shown to divide patients into subgroups based on their similarity to B-cell developmental stages [6]: (i) Naïve-like CLL (n-CLL) with a high methylation level, associated with a poor prognosis of the patients, (ii) memory-like CLL (m-CLL) with a low methylation

CONTACT Sarka Pospisilova pospisilova.sarka@fnbrno.cz Department of Internal Medicine – Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Brno and Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic; Central European Institute of Technology (CEITEC), Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic; Institute of Medical Genomics, University Hospital Brno and Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic; University Hospital Brno and Faculty of Medical Genomics, University Hospital Brno and Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic;

*Authors contributed equally

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ncnd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 22 April 2021 Revised 15 February 2022 Accepted 21 February 2022

KEYWORDS

WNT5A; chronic lymphocytic leukaemia; methylation; i-CLL; m-CLL



Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here

level, associated with a good prognosis, and (iii) intermediate-CLL (i-CLL) with both methylation and prognosis in-between [6,7]. These three methylation subgroups partially overlap with prognostic categories defined by the somatic hypermutation status of the immunoglobulin heavy chain variable gene (IGHV), an important prognostic predictor [8–11]. CLL patients with IGHV identity to germline \geq 98% (IGHV-unmutated) have a poor prognosis. However, even within the prognostic cally favourable IGHV-mutated subgroup, there is a subset of patients with an aggressive disease course [12,13].

We have previously shown that the expression of *WNT5A*, a gene encoding a ligand activating WNT/ Planar Cell Polarity pathway via the ROR1 receptor, varies significantly among CLL patients, from high levels in some patients to undetectable levels in 50% of IGHV-unmutated and 85% of IGHV-mutated cases (marked as *WNT5A*-negative) [13]. *WNT5A* expression is a strong and overtime-stable prognostic predictor, distinguishing cases with worse prognosis within otherwise favourable IGHV-mutated CLL better than the percentage of IGHV identity [12,13].

Considering the absence of WNT5A expression in more than half of tested patients [13], together with reports of a correlation between the WNT5A expression and its promoter methylation in other cancer types [14–16], we hypothesized that the WNT5A expression might be regulated by methylation also in CLL. Further, taking advantage of the current understanding of the CLL methylation profiles, we explored how methylation within the WNT5A promoter correlates with the global methylation profile, IGHV status, and WNT5A expression.

Material and methods

Patient samples

CLL cells were isolated from peripheral blood of 59 CLL patients monitored and treated at the University Hospital Brno. All samples were obtained after written informed consent in concordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University Hospital Brno. B-cells from patient samples were separated using B-cell Enrichment RosetteSep kits (StemCell Technologies). The original cohort consisted of 39 patients; another 20 IGHV-mutated patients were added to refine the results. The assessment of *WNT5A* expression and IGHV gene mutational status were processed as previously described [13].

DNA isolation and bisulphite conversion

DNA was isolated on QIAcube (Qiagen) and dissolved in TE buffer with 0.1 M EDTA. DNA (200 ng) was treated with an EZ DNA MethylationTM kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's recommendation and eluted into 22 μ l of water.

Primer design for WNT5A promoter analysis

The sequences of three CpG Islands (CGI1–CGI3) located within the *WNT5A* promoter (Ensembl databases, version GRCh37.p13) were used for primer design. The sequences were modified to match bisulphite-converted DNA. The Primer3 tool (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/) was used for primer design. The parameters of the primers were tested with OligoAnalyzer 3.1 (https://eu.idtdna. com/calc/analyser) and ePCR (http://bisearch. enzim.hu/) tools. Primers were synthesized by Generi Biotech (Table S1). The location of the examined regions is illustrated in the scheme in Figure 1a.

PCR and sequencing

Bisulphite-treated DNA was amplified with PCR using HotStarTaq DNA Polymerase (Qiagen) in reaction conditions: 5 min 95°C, (30 sec 95°C, 30 sec 60°C, 1 min 72°C) x 35 cycles, 7 min 72°C. PCR products were sequenced either by GATC Biotech or in-house using ABI PRISM[®] 3700 Genetic Analyser according to the manufacturer's instructions (Big Dye terminator v1.1 kit, Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences for the *WNT5A* promoter regions are listed in Table S1; primers for the five-CpG signature analysis were used as published previously [6].

Statistical analyses

The following tests were used to verify distribution normality: Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, Shapiro–

Wilk test, or D'Agostino-Pearson normality test. Parametric and non-parametric tests (for normally and non-normally distributed variables, respectively) were used to evaluate the relationships between variables (unpaired t-test and Mann Whitney test) and correlation between two vari-(Pearson and ables Spearman correlation). Differences in survival were analysed by the logrank test. The level of statistical significance was set to P < 0.05. In multiple testing, the P-value was adjusted using the Holm-Bonferroni method. All assays were performed as two-tailed using GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and R program (http://www.r-pro ject.org; univariate and multivariate survival analysis). Within TFS, treatment or death due to CLL was treated as an event.

Results:

Using ENSEMBL GRCh37, we identified 54 CpG sites in three regions within the WNT5A promoter localized in three CpG Islands (CGI1-CGI3): region 1 (R1) in CGI1, region 2 (R2) in CGI2, and region 3 (R3) in CGI3 (Figure 1a). For the initial experiment, 39 previously untreated CLL patients were classified based on IGHV status and WNT5A expression: IGHV-mutated/ WNT5A-negative (MW-), IGHV-mutated /WNT5A-positive (MW+), IGHV-unmutated /WNT5A-negative (UW-), IGHV-unmutated /WNT5A-positive (UW+) (Figure S1 and numbered samples in Figure 1b). In separated B-cells, we explored the methylation status of the 54 individual CpG sites using bisulphite conversion (EZ DNA Methylation kit; Zymo Research) followed by Sanger sequencing. We used a more precise approach that provides information about the methylation level of individual CpG sites compared to other studies using methylation-specific PCR, providing only the overall methylation status of the whole measured locus [14–17]. We identified three CpG sites within R1 (further indicated as R1_CpG1, R1_CpG2, and R1_CpG3), whose methylation levels negatively correlated with WNT5A expression within the IGHV-mutated subset. We detected high methylation levels of these three CpG sites in MW-patients, while in MW+ patients, the methylation level decreased with increasing *WNT5A* expression. We extended the cohort of IGHV-mutated patients (10 MWand 10 MW+; Figure 1b) and confirmed significant differences in methylation of these three CpG sites (Figure 1c; R1_CpG1: P = 0.0014, R1_CpG2: P = 0.0001, R1_CpG3: P = 0.0005; Mann–Whitney test). We also confirmed the negative correlation between *WNT5A* methylation and expression (MW+ cohort; Figure 1d: R1_CpG1: P = 0.001, R1_CpG2: P = 0.0013, R1_CpG3: P = 0.0028; Spearman correlation).

We observed high methylation levels in UWpatients with a median methylation level being even higher than in MW-patients (Figure 1b and S2A). In contrast, we have not seen any specific dependency between WNT5A methylation and the expression levels in UW+ patients (Figure S2B). It implies that the WNT5A expression in the IGHVunmutated subset is driven by different mechanisms than mere WNT5A promoter methylation.

Furthermore, when we compared our classification based on the combination of IGHV status and WNT5A expression to the classification based on the five-CpG signature described by Queirós et al. [6], we found that both largely overlapped. The two prognostically distinct IGHV-mutated subgroups, MW- and MW+ that differed by WNT5A promoter methylation and WNT5A expression, corresponded to the memory-like (m-CLL) and intermediate (i-CLL) methylation subgroups, respectively (Figure 2a). In contrast, except for two patients belonging to i-CLL, all IGHVunmutated patients from our cohort were assigned to the naïve-like CLL methylation subgroup. In agreement with published data [6], we detected significant differences in the IGHV somatic hypermutation load. All n-CLL patients had IGHV identity above 99.1%, the two i-CLL IGHV-unmutated cases had identity below 99%, and the rest of i-CLL (18 of 20) and all m-CLL were IGHVmutated with identity below 98%; i-CLL patients had mostly borderline IGHV identity (Figure 2b). The WNT5A promoter methylation levels also significantly varied among individual methylation subgroups: m-CLL vs. i-CLL (P < 0.0001) and m-CLL vs. n-CLL (P = 0.0183) (Figure 2c-e). This data suggests that hypomethylation of CpG regions in the WNT5A promoter largely overlaps with i-CLL.



Figure 1. Methylation analysis of WNT5A promoter. (a) Schematic location of the regions R1, R2, R3 amplified with PCR within the CpG Islands CGI1/2/3 of the *WNT5A* promoter. (b) Heatmap displaying the results of the methylation analysis of the region R1 within CpG Island CGI3 in the total cohort of 59 CLL patients divided into four groups based on IGHV status and *WNT5A* expression. The initial cohort of 39 patients (described with numbers; see Figure S1 for initial analysis of regions R1, R2 and R3), was extended with 10 patients per MW- and MW+ cohorts each (described with letters). Arrows point to the three CpG sites R1_(3:55,521,124), R1_ (3:55,521,134), and R1_(3:55,521,145), the methylation status of which correlated with the *WNT5A* expression in the IGHV-mutated subset. *Sample taken in relapse after treatment. (c) Comparison of the methylation level between MW- and MW+ samples for all three CpG sites (Mann–Whitney test). (d) The negative correlation between the *WNT5A* expression and methylation status of the three CpG sites (Spearman correlation) within the IGHV-mutated subset.



Figure 2. CLL patient classification into three methylation subgroups n-CLL, i-CLL, m-CLL, and treatment-free survival. (a) CLL patients were classified into the three subgroups based on the methylation profile of the five-CpG signature identified by Queirós et al. [6]. The three methylation subgroups were further investigated for the association with IGHV identity (b) and with the methylation levels of the three CpG sites in the *WNT5A* promoter (c-e). Treatment free-survival prediction in the cohort of m-CLL and i-CLL subgroups (N = 41 patients) according to the *WNT5A* expression (f) and the five-CpG signature (g).

Since the vast majority of m-CLL and i-CLL corresponded to IGHV-mutated CLL, we also decided to analyse treatment-free survival (TFS) and overall survival (OS) based on the *WNT5A* expression in the cohort consisting of m-CLL and i-CLL subgroups. Both the *WNT5A*-positivity (Figure 2f) and classification into i-CLL methylation subgroup (Figure 2g) distinguished patients with shorter TFS; the *WNT5A* positivity was a stronger prognostic factor than global epigenetics (i-CLL vs. m-CLL) (P = 0.0009 vs. P = 0.0311). Neither classification system showed

a significant difference in OS for these subgroups of patients (Figure S3).

Discussion

The Wnt5a ligand signals via the ROR1 receptor that is highly expressed on CLL cells [18–20]. The Wnt5a/ROR1 axis has been shown to regulate multiple aspects of CLL biology – including cell survival, migration, and proliferation [13,21–25]. Importantly, a high activity of the non-canonical Wnt pathway has been connected to the poor outcome of CLL patients [13,21,26]. Following our previous work, here we verified that the *WNT5A* expression is a robust prognostic marker distinguishing CLL patients with worse prognosis within the prognostically favourable subset of IGHV-mutated patients.

In CLL, multiple epigenetic changes have been associated with the Wnt pathway (for review, see [27]). Interestingly, there is no direct evidence for epigenetic silencing of 'activators' such as WNT ligands and FZD receptors. This contrasts with Wnt pathway inhibitors such as members of the SFRP family or WIF1 that have been found methylated in CLL [28-31]. Our study thus represents the first observation of the epigenetic control of a Wnt ligand in CLL as we demonstrated that the WNT5A expression is associated with DNA methylation changes of WNT5A promoter in a significant proportion of CLL patients. The methylation status of three CpG sites within the WNT5A promoter correlated with WNT5A expression only in the IGHVmutated but not in the IGHV-unmutated subgroup, suggesting that WNT5A expression in these two CLL subgroups is controlled via different mechanisms.

Furthermore, we confirmed that the five-CpG signature divides patients into the three prognostically distinct subgroups: m-CLL, i-CLL, and n-CLL [6,7]. The i-CLL epitype had been poorly characterized until recently when it was linked to specific biological and clinical features, namely the usage of IGLV3-21^{R110} [32,33], stereotyped BCR immunoglobulins (mainly of subset #2), increased frequency of SF3B1 and ATM mutations, and unfavourable prognosis [34,35]. Very recently published paper recognized WNT5A/B overexpression as a specific signature of patients carrying IGLV3-21^{R110} [35]; this corresponds to our results and our previous work showing that among IGHV-mutated patients, the WNT5A expression is high in patients with borderline number of IGHV somatic hypermutations and SF3B1 mutations and more aggressive disease compared to WNT5A-negative patients [13].

While the majority of patients with unmutated IGHV from our cohort were assigned to n-CLL, the *WNT5A* expression and methylation status of patients with mutated IGHV was strongly related to their distribution between the m-CLL and i-CLL

subgroups. In our study, the classification based on the *WNT5A* expression distinguished patients with shorter TFS with even a higher significance than their classification into the m-CLL and i-CLL subgroups defined by the five-CpG signature.

To summarize, the level of WNT5A expression has been associated with CLL clinical behaviour [13,35]. Our current findings shed light on the interconnection of the varying WNT5A expression with the methylation profile of IGHV-mutated patients, prevalently comprising the m-CLL and i-CLL subsets as based on the five-CpG signature. While the methylated CpG sites in the WNT5A promoter of the m-CLL subtype patients correlated with undetectable WNT5A expression, the demethylation of these WTN5A promoter sites was shown in the i-CLL subset and associated with the high WNT5A expression. Together with previously published observations, our present results could serve for future designing better stratification models distinguishing patients with different prognoses.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Francesco Muto for language editing and Lenka Radova and Karol Pal for help with figures. The internship of L.P. in Barcelona was funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union. V.B. and P.J. were supported by European Structural and Investment Funds, Operational Programme Research, Development and Education- "Preclinical Progression of New Organic Compounds with Targeted Biological Activity" (Preclinprogress) - CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_025/ 0007381.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This work was supported by the Ministerstvo Zdravotnictví České Republiky [MH CR - DRO (FNBr,65269705)]; Ministerstvo Školství, Mládeže a Tělovýchovy [MUNI/A/ 1330/2021; EATRIS-CZ research infrastructure LM2018133; CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_025/0007381]; Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain [PI18/01484; PI21/01766]; Fundación Mutua Madrileña, Spain [AP174232020]; Grantová Agentura Šeské Republiky [GA19-11299S].

Data availability statement

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary materials.

ORCID

Sarka Pavlova 💿 http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1528-9743 Beatriz Gonzalez D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3699-9392 Jana Kotaskova D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1672-7346 Karla Plevova D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6148-8877 Gabrijela Dumbovic D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1278-0273 Pavlina Janovska D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9871-0876 Vojtech Bystry D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5278-0801 Anna Panovska D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4955-3039 Lucie Bezdekova D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2123-5226 Yvona Brychtova D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1038-870X Michael Doubek D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1269-6282 Marek Borsky D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5803-5941 Jiri Mayer (http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0567-9887 Vitezslav Bryja D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9136-5085 Sergio Alonso D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6497-892X Sarka Pospisilova 💿 http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7136-2680

References

- [1] Oakes CC, Seifert M, Assenov Y, et al. DNA methylation dynamics during B cell maturation underlie a continuum of disease phenotypes in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Nat Genet. 2016;48(3):253–264.
- [2] Kulis M, Merkel A, Heath S, et al. Whole-genome fingerprint of the DNA methylome during human B cell differentiation. Nat Genet. 2015;47(7):746–756.
- [3] Kulis M, Heath S, Bibikova M, et al. Epigenomic analysis detects widespread gene-body DNA hypomethylation in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Nat Genet. 2012;44(11):1236–1242.
- [4] Kretzmer H, Biran A, Purroy N, et al. Preneoplastic alterations define CLL DNA methylome and persist through disease progression and therapy. Blood Cancer Discov. 2021;2(1):54–69.
- [5] Mansouri L, Wierzbinska JA, Plass C, et al. Epigenetic deregulation in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: clinical and biological impact. Semin Cancer Biol. 2018;51: 1–11.
- [6] Queirós AC, Villamor N, Clot G, et al. A B-cell epigenetic signature defines three biologic subgroups of chronic lymphocytic leukemia with clinical impact. Leukemia. 2015;29(3):598–605.
- [7] Wojdacz TK, Amarasinghe HE, Kadalayil L, et al. Clinical significance of DNA methylation in chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients: results from 3 UK clinical trials. Blood Adv. 2019;3(16):2474–2481.

- [8] Damle RN, Wasil T, Fais F, et al. Ig V gene mutation status and CD38 expression as novel prognostic indicators in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood. 1999;94 (6):1840–1847.
- [9] Hamblin TJ, Davis Z, Gardiner A, et al. Unmutated Ig V(H) genes are associated with a more aggressive form of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood. 1999;94 (6):1848–1854.
- [10] Hallek M, Cheson BD, Catovsky D, et al. iwCLL guidelines for diagnosis, indications for treatment, response assessment, and supportive management of CLL. Blood. 2018;131(25):2745–2760.
- [11] Eichhorst B, Robak T, Montserrat E, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol. 2021;32(1):23–33.
- [12] Hamblin TJ, Davis ZA, Oscier DG. Determination of how many immunoglobulin variable region heavy chain mutations are allowable in unmutated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia - long-term follow up of patients with different percentages of mutations. Br J Haematol. 2008;140(3):320–323.
- [13] Janovska P, Poppova L, Plevova K, et al. Autocrine signaling by Wnt-5a deregulates chemotaxis of leukemic cells and predicts clinical outcome in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Clin Cancer Res. 2016;22 (2):459–469.
- [14] Roman-Gomez J, Jimenez-Velasco A, Cordeu L, et al. WNT5A, a putative tumour suppressor of lymphoid malignancies, is inactivated by aberrant methylation in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Eur J Cancer. 2007;43 (18):2736–2746.
- [15] Ying J, Li H, Yu J, et al. WNT5A exhibits tumor-suppressive activity through antagonizing the Wnt/beta-catenin signaling, and is frequently methylated in colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14(1):55–61.
- [16] Martín V, Valencia A, Agirre X, et al. Epigenetic regulation of the non-canonical Wnt pathway in acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Sci. 2010;101(2):425–432.
- [17] Li J, Ying J, Fan Y, et al. WNT5A antagonizes WNT/βcatenin signaling and is frequently silenced by promoter CpG methylation in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Biol Ther. 2010;10(6):617–624.
- [18] Baskar S, Kwong KY, Hofer T, et al. Unique cell surface expression of receptor tyrosine kinase ROR1 in human B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14(2):396–404.
- [19] Daneshmanesh AH, Mikaelsson E, Jeddi-Tehrani M, et al. Ror1, a cell surface receptor tyrosine kinase is expressed in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and may serve as a putative target for therapy. Int J Cancer. 2008;123(5):1190–1195.
- [20] Fukuda T, Chen L, Endo T, et al. Antisera induced by infusions of autologous Ad-CD154-leukemia B cells identify ROR1 as an oncofetal antigen and receptor for Wnt5a. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(8):3047–3052.

- [21] Kaucká M, Plevová K, Pavlová S, et al. The planar cell polarity pathway drives pathogenesis of chronic lymphocytic leukemia by the regulation of B-lymphocyte migration. Cancer Res. 2013;73(5):1491–1501.
- [22] Hasan MK, Yu J, Chen L, et al. Wnt5a induces ROR1 to complex with HS1 to enhance migration of chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells. Leukemia. 2017;31(12): 2615–2622.
- [23] Hasan MK, Ghia EM, Rassenti LZ, et al. Wnt5a enhances proliferation of chronic lymphocytic leukemia and ERK1/
 2 phosphorylation via a ROR1/DOCK2-dependent mechanism. Leukemia. 2021;35(6):1621–1630.
- [24] Yu J, Chen L, Cui B, et al. Wnt5a induces ROR1/ROR2 heterooligomerization to enhance leukemia chemotaxis and proliferation. J Clin Invest. 2016;126(2):585–598.
- [25] Zhang Q, Wang HY, Liu X, et al. Cutting edge: ROR1/ CD19 receptor complex promotes growth of mantle cell lymphoma cells independently of the B cell receptor-BTK signaling pathway. J Immunol. 2019;203(8):2043–2048.
- [26] Cui B, Ghia EM, Chen L, et al. High-level ROR1 associates with accelerated disease progression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood. 2016;128(25):2931–2940.
- [27] Bennett LB, Taylor KH, Arthur GL, et al. Epigenetic regulation of WNT signaling in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Epigenomics. 2010;2(1):53–70.
- [28] Rahmatpanah FB, Carstens S, Guo J, et al. Differential DNA methylation patterns of small B-cell lymphoma

subclasses with different clinical behavior. Leukemia. 2006;20(10):1855-1862.

- [29] Rahmatpanah FB, Carstens S, Hooshmand SI, et al. Large-scale analysis of DNA methylation in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Epigenomics. 2009;1(1):39–61.
- [30] Liu TH, Raval A, Chen SS, et al. CpG Island methylation and expression of the secreted frizzled-related protein gene family in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Cancer Res. 2006;66(2):653–658.
- [31] Chim CS, Fung TK, Wong KF, et al. Infrequent Wnt inhibitory factor-1 (Wif-1) methylation in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Leuk Res. 2006;30(9):1135–1139.
- [32] Maity PC, Bilal M, Koning MT, et al. is an inherited risk factor for CLL through the acquisition of a single-point mutation enabling autonomous BCR signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(8):4320–4327.
- [33] Minici C, Gounari M, Übelhart R, et al. Distinct homotypic B-cell receptor interactions shape the outcome of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15746.
- [34] Giacopelli B, Zhao Q, Ruppert AS, et al. Developmental subtypes assessed by DNA methylation-iPLEX forecast the natural history of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood. 2019;134(8):688–698.
- [35] Nadeu F, Royo R, Clot G, et al. IGLV3-21R110 identifies an aggressive biological subtype of chronic lymphocytic leukemia with intermediate epigenetics. Blood. 2021;137(21):2935–2946.