

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Perinatol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 August 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Perinatol. 2012 February ; 32(2): 103–110. doi:10.1038/jp.2011.64.

Breast Pump Suction Patterns that Mimic the Human Infant during Breastfeeding: Greater Milk Output in Less Time Spent Pumping For Breast Pump-Dependent Mothers with Premature infants

Paula P. Meier, DNSc, RN, FAAN^{1,2,3}, Janet L. Engstrom, PhD, RN, CNM, WHNP-BC^{1,4}, Judy E. Janes, BSN, RN³, Briana J. Jegier, PhD, CLE¹, and Fabiola Loera, CLE¹

¹ Department of Women, Children, and Family Nursing, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60612

² Department of Pediatrics, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60612

³ Women and Children's Nursing, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60612

⁴ Frontier School of Midwifery and Family Nursing, Hyden, KY, 41749

Abstract

Objective—This study compared the effectiveness, efficiency, comfort and convenience of newly designed breast pump suction patterns (BPSPs) that mimic sucking of the breastfeeding human infant during the initiation and maintenance of lactation.

Methods—105 mothers of premature infants 34 weeks of gestation were randomly assigned to 1 of 3 groups within 24 hours post-birth. Each group tested 2 BPSPs; an initiation BPSP was used until the onset of lactogenesis II (OOL-II) and a maintenance BPSP was used thereafter.

Results—Mothers who used the experimental initiation and the standard 2.0 maintenance BPSPs (EXP-STD group) demonstrated significantly greater daily and cumulative milk output, and greater milk output per minute spent pumping.

Conclusions—BPSPs that mimic the unique sucking patterns used by healthy term breastfeeding infants during the initiation and maintenance of lactation are more effective, efficient, comfortable and convenient than other BPSPs.

Introduction

Human milk from the infant's own mother reduces the risk of costly and handicapping morbidities in premature infants in a dose-response manner, with higher doses of human

Users may view, print, copy, download and text and data- mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use: http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

Corresponding Author: Paula_Meier@rush.edu.

Supplementary Information is available at the Journal of Perinatology's website.

Conflict of Interest: Dr. Meier and Dr. Engstrom have received research funding and honoraria for projects from Medela, Inc. Ms. Janes, Ms. Jegier, and Ms. Loera declare no potential conflict of interest.

milk providing the greatest protection.^{1,2} High doses of human milk are especially important during the first 28 days post-birth when feedings are introduced and advanced.^{2,3} However, mothers of premature infants are dependent on a breast pump for the initiation and maintenance of lactation, and many of these women experience problems with providing sufficient amounts of milk during this time.^{2,4,5} Despite the frequency of this problem, very few studies have examined features of breast pumps or breast pump suction patterns (BPSPs) in this population.⁶ This lack of research is especially concerning because mothers of premature infants are often breast pump-dependent for weeks or months before their infants can feed at breast and pumping is no longer necessary.

In mothers of healthy term infants, effective sucking and milk removal by the infant play a major role in regulating milk volume.⁷⁻¹¹ Thus, it seems logical that BPSPs for mothers of premature infants should mimic the sucking rates (number of sucks per minute) and rhythms (organization of burst-pause patterning) used by healthy term infants during the initiation and maintenance of lactation. One BPSP (Standard 2.0^{TM} ; Medela, Inc., McHenry, IL) has been developed based on extensive research of infant sucking patterns during established breastfeeding (e.g., after the completion of lactogenesis II).^{12,13} This BPSP has two phases, based on sucking patterns used by breastfeeding infants during a single feeding. The first (stimulation) phase mimics the rapid sucking rate used by the infant prior to milk ejection, whereas the second (expression) phase simulates the slower sucking rate that occurs after milk ejection.¹⁴ This two-phase BPSP was also demonstrated to be effective, efficient, comfortable and convenient in a randomized clinical trial of breast pump-dependent mothers of premature infants who had initiated lactation and established an adequate milk volume.⁶

However, in the first days post-birth, the healthy term infant does not suck in a biphasic pattern, because only minimal amounts of milk are available for removal prior to the onset of lactogenesis II.^{15,16} The infant responds to the limited availability and slow flow of milk with a rapid sucking rate and an irregular sucking rhythm^{14,17-19} yet no previous BPSP has attempted to simulate this unique sucking pattern. We hypothesized that this early "initiation" sucking pattern does more than just "get the milk out", and that its intense application to the mammary gland in the early days post-birth may play a role in programming the initiation and maintenance of an adequate milk volume. The purpose of this study was to compare the effectiveness, efficiency, comfort and convenience of new combinations of BPSPs designed to mimic the sucking patterns used by healthy term infants to initiate and maintain lactation.

Methods

Design

Development of BPSPs—We sought to design BPSPs for breast pump-dependent mothers that mimicked those used by the healthy term infant during the initiation and maintenance of lactation. Thus, the BPSPs that were compared in this study were developed based upon classic research in the physiology of lactation as well as the physiology of nonnutritive and nutritive infant sucking. This research demonstrates that the healthy human infant adapts the sucking rate, rhythm, and pressure to the milk flow rate^{14,18-19}. Specifically, during nonnutritive sucking or when milk flows slowly, the infant sucks

rapidly, because little or no milk is extracted. Thus, the swallow-induced airway closure is infrequent and breathing is minimally affected^{14,18} However, as the milk flow rate increases, the infant must swallow the extracted milk and regulate the closure and re-opening of the airway to integrate swallowing and breathing^{14,18} As a result, the sucking rate slows considerably.^{14,17-19} Thus, during established lactation, a breastfeeding infant sucks rapidly prior to milk ejection and more slowly after milk ejection because of the extra time required for swallowing and breathing once milk begins to flow regularly.^{14,18}

The Standard BPSP: This two-phase BPSP (Standard 2.0^{TM} ; Medela, Inc., McHenry, IL) consists of an initial (2-minute) stimulation phase of rapid (120 per minute) suction events that correspond to sucking at the breast prior to milk ejection under low milk flow conditions. At the end of two minutes (or sooner if overridden by the breast pump user), this rate slows to approximately 60 events per minute to mimic nutritive sucking with regular milk flow. Both the stimulation and expression phases of the standard BPSP cycle continuously with no pause events. The standard BPSP was developed prior to its use in this investigation, and involved an exhaustive study of its effectiveness, efficiency, comfort and convenience in healthy term infants of mothers with an established milk supply.^{12,20,21} Similarly, a previously published randomized clinical trial demonstrated that it was effective, efficient, comfortable and convenient in breast pump-dependent mothers of premature infants with an adequate milk supply.⁶

The Experimental Initiation BPSP: (Preemie+TM, Medela, McHenry, IL). This new BPSP was designed to mimic the rapid sucking rate and irregular sucking rhythm used by healthy term infants during breastfeeding prior to the onset of lactogenesis II,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ when only small amounts of milk are available for removal.¹⁵ The new initiation BPSP included periods that mimic nonnutritive sucking (120 sucks per minute), low milk flow rate sucking (90 sucks per second), and average nutritive milk flow rate (60 sucks per second) sucking.¹⁹ These varying sucking rates and rhythms were interspersed with brief, unpredictable pauses in suction similar to those that occur during breastfeeding prior to the OOL-II.

Experimental Maintenance BPSP: This new BPSP began with a two-minute stimulation phase identical to the current Standard 2.0 (standard; STD) BPSP. However, the expression phase of this pattern incorporated a different suction curve in which the rate varied (35 to 54 cycles per minute) as a function of the amount of vacuum selected by the mother. The nadir of this vacuum curve was reached more quickly than with the STD BPSP, mimicking the sucking rate and rhythm of a "hungry" breastfeeding infant during conditions of rapid milk flow such as that which occurs immediately following milk ejection in mothers with an established milk supply.¹⁴ When the milk flow rate is rapid, infants exert less suction pressure and suck more slowly to swallow large boluses of milk and to reopen the airway to breathe.^{14,18} These infant sucking characteristics were programmed into the expression phase of the experimental maintenance BPSP.

The experimental initiation and the new maintenance BPSPs were developed and fieldtested over the course of 18 months by having breast pump-dependent mothers of premature infants systematically evaluate evolving versions of the BPSPs. This process was supervised by lactation research nurses and an engineer with expertise in BPSP programming. Suction

pressures, rates, rhythms, and other pumping characteristics were adjusted and evaluated until mothers consistently reported that the new BPSPs were effective, efficient, comfortable and convenient.

Research Design: A randomized clinical trial design was used to compare the effectiveness, efficiency, comfort, and convenience of the new initiation and maintenance BPSPs with the standard BPSP in the Symphony breast pump in breast pump-dependent mothers of premature infants. A randomized block design was used to assure a representative sample of mothers with infants <27 and 27 weeks' gestation in each study group to reduce the potential that the degree of prematurity affected lactation outcomes.^{4,22} The randomized block design also ensured that within every block of three infants, one infant was randomly assigned to each group so that environmental and clinical conditions within the NICU were consistent among the groups.

Mothers were blinded to the assigned BPSPs. There were three study groups. The mothers in EXP-EXP used the experimental initiation BPSP until the OOL-II, and then switched to the experimental maintenance BPSP for the remainder of the study. Mothers in EXP-STD used the experimental initiation BPSP until the onset of the OOL-II, and then switched to the standard maintenance BPSP for the remainder of the study. Mothers in STD-STD used the standard BPSP for both the initiation and maintenance phases and served as the control group for this study.

All BPSPs were embedded in identical appearing cards that were coded only by number and inserted into the breast pump. All mothers were given an initiation card at the onset of the study, and all were switched to a maintenance card with the OOL-II,

Sample and Setting

This study was conducted in a Level III Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) in the Midwestern United States. Criteria for sample selection included infant gestational age 34 weeks, anticipated NICU stay of 15 days, and maternal decision to initiate lactation. All mothers who met the inclusion criteria were approached about the study and 128 mothers agreed to participate. No mothers were excluded on the basis of pre-existing medical conditions, perinatal complications or other lactation-related risk factors. Of the 128 mothers who were enrolled, 105 (82.0%) completed the study with usable data, defined as at least 9 consecutive days from the onset of the study of complete milk output records. Figure 1 (see Supplementary Information) details the study design, randomization, and completion rates of study subjects. The completion rate among the groups was not significantly different (EXP-EXP = 33/42 (78.6%); EXP-STD = 34/43 (79.1%); STD-STD = 38/43 (88.4%), $X^2 = 1.77$, df = 2; p=0.413). There were no statistically significant differences among the groups with respect to any maternal and infant characteristics that might have influenced the dependent variables in this study (Table 1). The project was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the research setting.

Measures

Effectiveness of the Breast Pump Suction Patterns—The effectiveness of BPSPs was evaluated by three variables: OOL-II; daily maternal milk output; and percentage of mothers that achieved a total daily milk output of 350 mL and 500 mL.

The OOL-II was defined as the time at the onset of the first of two consecutive pumping sessions for which the total milk output was 20 mL. Four characteristics of the OOL-II were measured: hours from the time of birth until OOL-II; hours from the first pumping until OOL-II; number of pumping sessions from birth until the OOL-II; and the total number of minutes spent pumping until OOL-II. These data were calculated from the mothers' daily milk output records.

Daily milk output was measured volumetrically and recorded by mothers for each pumping session during the study period using the *My Mom Pumps for Me!* milk output records which have been used in other BPSP studies.⁶

Daily milk outputs of 350 mL and 500 mL were calculated to determine the percentage of mothers who achieved the minimum output needed to achieve exclusive human milk feeding for premature infants at the time of NICU discharge (350 mL/day),²³ and a milk output that approximates that of a mother who exclusively breastfeeds a healthy term infant at 4-7 days post-birth (500 mL/day).^{5,11,23-25}

Efficiency of the Breast Pump Suction Patterns—The efficiency of the BPSPs was evaluated using three variables: number of pumping sessions; number of minutes spent pumping; and milk output per minute spent pumping (calculated from total milk output and total minutes spent pumping). These variables were obtained from the maternal milk output records for each study day.

Maternal Perceptions of Effectiveness, Efficiency, Comfort, and Convenience

-Maternal perceptions of effectiveness, efficiency, comfort and convenience were measured by questionnaires that contained Likert-type and multiple-choice items derived from previous studies of BPSPs.⁶ Each questionnaire contained 13 to 18 Likert-type and multiple-choice items. The Time 1 questionnaire measured mothers' perceptions of the initiation pattern, and was completed within 72 hours after giving birth. This questionnaire contained items such as "How do you rate the overall comfort of this pattern?", and "How do you rate the number of times that the pattern changes rhythm during each pumping?" The Time 2 questionnaire measured mothers' perceptions of the maintenance pattern as well as their perceptions of differences between the initiation and maintenance patterns. This questionnaire, which was completed within 48 hours after mothers changed from the initiation to the maintenance pattern, asked mothers how strongly they agreed with statements such as "The suction or pull of this pattern is better than the one before." and "The new pattern is better than the one before at getting my milk out quickly." The Time 3 questionnaire measured mothers' perceptions of the maintenance pattern, and was completed at the end of the study. This questionnaire asked mothers questions such as, "How easy is it to know when you have used the pumping pattern long enough to remove your milk?" and

"How do you rate the length of time that your nipple is pulled into the tunnel of the breast shield?".

Procedure

Mothers were approached for inclusion in the study within 24 hours after birth if they and their infants met inclusion criteria. The study was explained and written informed consent was obtained. At the time of enrollment, mothers began breast pump use according to the randomization plan, and received standardized pumping instructions and guidance. All mothers were taught to use simultaneous (e.g., pumping both breasts at the same time) milk expression. Similarly, appropriate pumping pressures and correctly-fitted breast shields were individualized for each mother at this time. Mothers were instructed to use the breast pump 8 times daily for 15 minutes each pumping until the milk output was at least 20 mLs from the two breasts combined. Thereafter, they were instructed to pump until they no longer saw milk droplets for at least two consecutive minutes, ensuring the available milk had been removed as completely as possible. Mothers were taught to measure their pumped milk output volumetrically and were shown how to record these volumes in the milk output record. Mothers were given an initiation BPSP card to be used in the Symphony pump, according to their randomized group assignment. This card was used for all pumping sessions until the OOL-II.

Mothers completed the Time-1 questionnaire within 72 hours after enrollment. If mothers experienced the OOL-II prior to hospital discharge, they were given the maintenance card at that time. If mothers were discharged prior to the onset of lactogenesis II, they were provided with the maintenance card at the time of hospital discharge with specific instructions about changing from the initiation to the maintenance card once they had experienced two consecutive pumping sessions with a total milk output of 20 mL for each session. Mothers completed the Time-2 questionnaire within 96-hours of switching from the initiation to the maintenance were completed at the end of the study.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel (Redmond, WA) and SPSS (version 15.0, Chicago, IL). The data for each variable were examined using univariate analyses. Categorical data were compared using Chi-square analysis. Continuous, normally distributed data were compared using analysis of variance. A posteriori comparisons were performed on continuous data using Bonferroni tests. Ranked data and non-normally distributed data were compared using Kruskal-Wallis tests. A posteriori comparisons on these data were performed using Mann-Whitney tests. A Type I error of 5% was used for all tests of statistical significance.

Results

Effectiveness of the BPSPs

The Onset of Lactogenesis II—Of the 105 mothers, 3 (2 EXP-EXP and 1 STD-STD) failed to achieve the OOL-II by day 14, and were excluded from analyses related to the

OOL-II. None of the four measures for the OOL-II was statistically different among the groups (Figure 2). However, all four measures suggested an earlier and more efficient (e.g., fewer pumpings, fewer minutes spent pumping) OOL-II for the EXP-STD mothers.

Daily Maternal Milk Output—Mean daily maternal milk output is depicted in Figure 3-C. During the first five days of the study, there were no significant differences among the groups. However, starting on Day 4, mean daily milk output for EXP-STD mothers (335.4 mL) started to trend higher than for EXP-EXP (222.2 mL) and STD-STD mothers (268.3 mL). These differences became statistically significant starting on Day 6, and remained significantly higher through day 13. *A posteriori* comparisons, when significant, demonstrated that milk output for EXP-STD mothers was higher than for the other groups.

Mean cumulative maternal milk output for the study period is depicted in Figure 4-C. Starting on Day 4, mean cumulative milk output began to trend higher for EXP-STD mothers (575.8 mL), when compared to EXP-EXP (413.3 mL) and STD-STD (488.8 mL) mothers. Starting on Day 8, EXP-STD mothers demonstrated significantly greater cumulative milk output, and this difference continued through the remainder of the study.

Percentage of Mothers in Each Group with Daily Maternal Milk Output 350 mL and 500 mL per day—Figures 3-E and 3-F depict the percentage of mothers in each group with daily milk outputs 350 mL and 500 mL, respectively. A greater percentage of EXP-STD mothers achieved both the 350 mL and 500 mL thresholds when compared to EXP-EXP and STD-STD mothers. These differences were statistically significant for the 350 mL threshold on day 7, and for the 500 mL threshold on days 11, 12, and 14.

Efficiency of the BPSPs

Daily Minutes Spent Pumping—There were no statistically significant differences among the groups in either the mean daily number of pumpings or in the minutes spent pumping (Figures 3-A, 3-B) or in the mean cumulative number of pumpings or minutes spent pumping (Figure 4-A, 4-B) throughout the study. However, the STD-STD mothers trended consistently toward more daily and cumulative minutes spent pumping than did the EXP-EXP or EXP-STD mothers.

Milk Output per Minute of Pumping Time—This measure was calculated by dividing the total daily milk output by the total daily minutes spent pumping. Milk output per minute of pumping time was higher for EXP-STD mothers than for EXP-EXP or STD-STD mothers, a trend that emerged on Day 4 post-birth and was significantly higher on Day 13 (Figure 3-D). Cumulative milk output per minute of pumping time demonstrated a similar trend (Figure 4-D), and was significantly higher for Days 8 through 14.

Mothers' Perceptions of Effectiveness, Efficiency, Comfort and Convenience

The only statistically significant difference in the Time-1 questionnaires revealed that STD-STD mothers perceived that the initiation BPSP they used did not have enough changes in rhythm when compared to EXP-EXP and EXP-STD mothers. For the Time-2 questionnaire, eight statistically significant differences were noted, all of which indicated that EXP-EXP

mothers did not perceive the new experimental maintenance BPSP as "comfortable", especially when compared to the experimental initiation BPSP that these mothers had used. Only one statistically significant difference was noted in the Time-3 questionnaires, which revealed that EXP-EXP mothers did not like the "suction strength" of the new experimental maintenance BPSP.

Discussion

Mothers who are breast pump-dependent must substitute the sucking stimulus and milk removal functions of the healthy term infant with a breast pump. This is the first study to compare the effectiveness, efficiency, comfort and convenience of combinations of BPSPs that mimic human infant sucking patterns during the immediate post-birth period as well as later in lactation. The findings of this study indicate that the combination of the EXP-STD BPSPs is superior to the other combinations of BPSPs for breast pump-dependent mothers with premature infants. We hypothesize that the new EXP initiation BPSP provides uniquely human sucking stimulation to the mammary gland during the critical post-birth period when lactation is initiated. This stimulatory effect is optimized when followed by the STD maintenance BPSP, which was developed and tested extensively for its effectiveness, efficiency, comfort and convenience after the OOL-II.

The complex transition from the initiation to the maintenance of lactation is a critical period and its successful completion has been linked to subsequent milk output and/or duration of lactation in human and animal studies.^{11,26-29} The primary trigger for lactogenesis II for all mammals is the withdrawal of circulating progesterone following the birth of the placenta, because progesterone inhibits prolactin-regulated milk synthesis.^{16,26} Thereafter, prolactin release by the anterior pituitary is suckling-induced, and prolactin concentrations are directly related to the intensity of the suckling stimulus.²⁶

The mammary gland is extremely sensitive to the effects of prolactin in the early post-birth period.^{26,29,30} During this critical window, prolactin upregulates genes that promote rapid proliferation and differentiation of the secretory cells in the mammary gland, prevents apoptosis of secretory cells, and stimulates closure of the tight junctions in the mammary epithelium.^{16,26,29-31} While these processes are known to be essential for the transition from the initiation to the maintenance of lactation, recent evidence suggests that they also play a programming role with respect to long-term milk output.²⁹⁻³² Several studies in dairy cows have clearly demonstrated this programming mechanism by randomly assigning animals to either frequent (4 times daily) or control (2 times daily) milking during the first three weeks post-birth. After the three-week period, both groups of cows were milked twice daily. Results revealed that animals in the early frequent milking group produced significantly greater milk throughout lactation.²⁹⁻³¹ Laboratory findings demonstrated that frequent milking during this critical period resulted in higher concentrations of prolactin and greater secretory cell number and differentiation, optimizing milk yield throughout lactation.²⁹⁻³¹

In our blinded, randomized clinical trial, the three groups of mothers and infants did not differ significantly on any of the characteristics that might potentially influence maternal milk output, such as parity, delivery mode, infant gestational age, previous breastfeeding

experience or presence of maternal medical complications. Similarly, the three groups of mothers received identical pumping instructions, lactation care and access to nonpharmacologic interventions, such as skin-to-skin care, from the same set of clinicians. The findings also reveal that the three groups of women also pumped a similar number of times and minutes daily. Thus, common extraneous variables cannot explain the greater maternal milk output noted in the EXP-STD mothers.

We conclude that the mechanism for the greater effectiveness, efficiency, comfort and convenience in the EXP-STD mothers is the combination of the two BPSPs used by these women. Specifically, the EXP initiation BPSP provided an intensive rapid-rate stimulus and burst-pause pattern that is uniquely human, since other infant mammals do not demonstrate this rapid nonnutritive sucking pattern.³³ Additionally, the EXP initiation BPSP mimics sucking that occurs during early breastfeeding, but not bottle-feeding in healthy term infants.^{18,19} Once the "milk comes in" infants change the sucking pattern to reflect the availability of milk. Specifically, they suck rapidly until milk ejection occurs, and then switch to a slower, more rhythmic suck in order to accommodate swallowing and breathing after milk ejection.^{14,18,19} This biphasic pattern is replicated in the standard BPSP, and was used by EXP-STD mothers in our study after the OOL-II.

By 4-7 days post-birth, exclusively breastfed infants consume approximately 500-600 mL of milk daily.^{11,24,25,28} In our study, EXP-STD mothers achieved a comparable mean daily milk output by day 6 and maintained it through day 14, whereas mean milk output for EXP-EXP and STD-STD mothers did not reach 500 mL per day during the entire 14-day study period. Additionally, the EXP-STD mothers achieved a mean daily milk output that was considerably higher than that previously reported for breast pump-dependent mothers of premature infants in early lactation.^{4,28}

In summary, this research suggests that the use of BPSPs that mimic the sucking patterns of healthy term infants during the initiation and maintenance of lactation are more effective, efficient, comfortable and convenient in breast pump-dependent mothers with premature infants. Additionally, these findings add to the anatomical and biochemical evidence that the initial post-birth sucking patterns may serve a function beyond extracting milk, and appear to play a role in the programming of critical processes during the transition from the initiation to the maintenance of lactation.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Partially supported by Medela, Inc. (McHenry, IL) Partially supported by NIH Grant NR0100009

References

1. Patel AL, Meier PP, Engstrom JL. The evidence for use of human milk in very low-birthweight preterm infants. NeoReviews. 2007; 8(11):e459–66.

- 2. Meier PP, Engstrom JL, Patel AL, Jegier BJ, Bruns N. Improving the use of human milk during and after the NICU stay. Clinics in Perinatol. 2010; 37(1):217–45.
- Taylor SN, Basile LA, Ebeling M, Wagner CL. Intestinal permeability in preterm infants by feeding type: Mother's milk versus formula. Breastfeed Med. 2009; 4(1):11–15. [PubMed: 19196035]
- Cregan MD, De Mello TR, Kershaw D, McDougall K, Hartmann PE. Initiation of lactation in women after preterm delivery. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2002; 81(9):870–877. [PubMed: 12225305]
- Hill PD, Aldag JC, Chatterton RT, Zinaman M. Comparison of milk output between mothers of preterm and term infants: The first 6 weeks after birth. J Hum Lact. 2005; 21(1):22–30. [PubMed: 15681632]
- 6. Meier PP, Engstrom JL, Hurst NM, et al. A comparison of the efficiency, efficacy, comfort, and convenience of two hospital-grade electric breast pumps for mothers of very low birthweight infants. Breastfeed Med. 2008; 3(3):141–150. [PubMed: 18778208]
- Cregan MD, Mitoulas LR, Hartmann PE. Milk prolactin, feed volume and duration between feeds in women breastfeeding their full-term infants over a 24 h period. Exp Physiol. 2002; 87(2):207–214. [PubMed: 11856965]
- Ramsay DT, Hartmann PE. Milk removal from the breast. Breastfeed Rev. 2005; 13(1):5–7. [PubMed: 15981348]
- Daly SE, Kent JC, Owens RA, Hartmann PE. Frequency and degree of milk removal and the shortterm control of human milk synthesis. Exp Physiol. 1996; 81(5):861–875. [PubMed: 8889483]
- Daly SE, Owens RA, Hartmann PE. The short-term synthesis and infant-regulated removal of milk in lactating women. Exp Physiol. 1993; 78(2):209–220. [PubMed: 8471241]
- Neville M, Keller R, Seacat J, et al. Studies in human lactation: Milk volumes in lactating women during the onset of lactation and full lactation. Am J Clin Nutr. 1988; 48:1375–1386. [PubMed: 3202087]
- Kent JC, Ramsay DT, Doherty D, Larsson M, Hartmann PE. Response of breasts to different stimulation patterns of an electric breast pump. J Hum Lact. 2003; 19(2):179–86. [PubMed: 12744535]
- 13. Kent JC, Mitoulas LR, Cregan MD, et al. Importance of vacuum for breastmilk expression. Breastfeed Med. 2008; 3(1):11–19. [PubMed: 18333764]
- Mizuno K, Ueda A. Changes in sucking performance from nonnutritive sucking to nutritive sucking during breast- and bottle-feeding. Pediatr Res. 2006; 59(5):728–731. [PubMed: 16627890]
- Santoro W Jr, Martinez FE, Ricco RG, Jorge SM. Colostrum ingested during the first day of life by exclusively breastfed healthy newborn infants. J Pediatr. 2010; 156(1):29–32. [PubMed: 19783000]
- Neville MC, Morton J, Umemura S. Lactogenesis. the transition from pregnancy to lactation. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2001; 48(1):35–52. [PubMed: 11236732]
- Drewett RF, Woolridge M. Sucking patterns of human babies on the breast. Early Hum Dev. 1979; 3(4):315–321. [PubMed: 535550]
- Mathew OP, Bhatia J. Sucking and breathing patterns during breast- and bottle-feeding in term neonates. effects of nutrient delivery and composition. Am J Dis Child. 1989; 143(5):588–592. [PubMed: 2718995]
- Bowen-Jones A, Thompson C, Drewett RF. Milk flow and sucking rates during breast-feeding. Dev Med Child Neurol. 1982; 24(5):626–633. [PubMed: 7141119]
- Mitoulas LR, Lai CT, Gurrin LC, Larsson M, Hartmann PE. Effect of vacuum profile on breast milk expression using an electric breast pump. J Hum Lact. 2002; 18(4):353–360. [PubMed: 12449051]
- Mitoulas LR, Lai CT, Gurrin LC, Larsson M, Hartmann PE. Efficacy of breast milk expression using an electric breast pump. J Hum Lact. 2002; 18(4):344–352. [PubMed: 12449050]
- Henderson JJ, Hartmann PE, Newnham JP, Simmer K. Effect of preterm birth and antenatal corticosteroid treatment on lactogenesis II in women. Pediatrics. 2008; 121(1):e92–100. [PubMed: 18166549]
- 23. Meier PP, Engstrom JL. Evidence-based practices to promote exclusive feeding of human milk in very low-birthweight infants. NeoReviews. 2007; 8(11):e467–77.

- 24. Ingram JC, Woolridge MW, Greenwood RJ, McGrath L. Maternal predictors of early breast milk output. Acta Paediatr. 1999; 88(5):493–499. [PubMed: 10426170]
- 25. Chen DC, Nommsen-Rivers L, Dewey KG, Lonnerdal B. Stress during labor and delivery and early lactation performance. Am J Clin Nutr. 1998; 68(2):335–344. [PubMed: 9701191]
- Neville MC, Morton J. Physiology and endocrine changes underlying human lactogenesis II. J Nutr. 2001; 131(11):3005S–8S. [PubMed: 11694636]
- 27. Hurst NM. Recognizing and treating delayed or failed lactogenesis II. J Midwifery Womens Health. 2007; 52(6):588–594. [PubMed: 17983996]
- Hill PD, Aldag JC. Milk volume on day 4 and income predictive of lactation adequacy at 6 weeks of mothers of nonnursing preterm infants. J Perinat Neonatal Nurs. 2005; 19(3):273–282. [PubMed: 16106236]
- Wall EH, Crawford HM, Ellis SE, Dahl GE, McFadden TB. Mammary response to exogenous prolactin or frequent milking during early lactation in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 2006; 89(12):4640– 4648. [PubMed: 17106096]
- Wall EH, McFadden TB. The milk yield response to frequent milking in early lactation of dairy cows is locally regulated. J Dairy Sci. 2007; 90(2):716–720. [PubMed: 17235148]
- Hale SA, Capuco AV, Erdman RA. Milk yield and mammary growth effects due to increased milking frequency during early lactation. J Dairy Sci. 2003; 86(6):2061–2071. [PubMed: 12836942]
- Morton J, Hall JY, Wong RJ, Thairu L, Benitz WE, Rhine WD. Combining hand techniques with electric pumping increases milk production in mothers of preterm infants. J Perinatol. 2009; 29(11):757–764. [PubMed: 19571815]
- 33. Wolff PH. Sucking patterns of infant mammals. Brain Behav Evol. 1968; 1:354–367.

Meier et al.




Figure 1. Study Design and Randomization Plan (Available in Supplementary Information)




Figure 2. Measures of the Onset of Lactogenesis II

Meier et al.




Figure 3. Daily Measures of Effectiveness and Efficiency

Meier et al.




Figure 4. Cumulative Measures of Effectiveness and Efficiency

Description of the Study Sample

Table 1

		Stu	Study Group		
Variable	EXP-EXP		EXP-STD	STD-STD	ANOVA
	$\mathbf{M} \pm \mathbf{SD}$ (n = 33)	 	$\mathbf{M} \pm \mathbf{SD}$ (n = 34)	$\mathbf{M} \pm \mathbf{SD}$ ($\mathbf{n} = 38$)	<i>p</i> -value
Maternal age (yr)	30.4 ± 8.0		28.6 ± 6.7	27.9 ± 6.0	0.275
Maternal pre-pregnancy weight (lb)	158.9 ± 40.3		159.0 ± 34.6	165.6 ±47.2	0.732
Maternal height (in)	63.7 ± 3.0	_	64.5 ± 2.5	63.5 ± 2.7	0.274
Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI	27.5 ± 6.3	—	26.9 ± 5.4	28.9 ± 6.7	0.377
Infant gestational age (wk)	28.8 ± 2.9	—	29.3 ± 2.8	28.8 ± 3.0	0.746
Infant birth weight ^a (g)	1204.6 ± 458.8		1407.4 ± 450.3	1221.9 ± 453.0	0.080
Variable	n (%)	(%) u	n (%)	Chi-Square	
Matemal race Cancasian – Non-Hisnanic	(0.4.0)	7 (20 6)	5 (13 2)		
	12 (36.4)	11 (32.4)	16 (42.1)	0.811	
Hispanic Other	11 (33.3) 2 (6.1)	15 (44.1) 1 (2.9)	14 (36.8) 3 (7.9)		
Education					
Less than high school	9 (27.3)	7 (20.6)	5 (13.2)		
High school graduate or GED	1 (3.0)	7 (20.6)	10 (26.3)	0.231	
Some college or technical school College graduate or higher	12 (36.4) 11 (33.3)	10 (29.4) 10 (29.4)	12 (31.6) 11 (28.9)		

Author Manuscript

Variable	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	Chi-Square
< \$20,000 \$20,000 - \$49,999	11 (33.3) 6 (18.2)	16 (51.6) 10 (32.3)	14 (38.9) 11 (30.6)	
50,000	16 (48.5)	5 (16.1)	11 (30.6)	
WIC eligible				
Not eligible	15 (46.9)	7 (21.9)	10 (27.0)	0.074
Eligible	17 (53.1)	25 (78.1)	27 (73.0)	
Parity				
Nulliparous	13 (39.4)	14 (41.2)	18 (47.4)	0.772
Multiparous	20 (60.6)	20 (58.8)	20 (52.6)	
Multiple gestation				
Singleton	26 (78.8)	28 (82.4)	29 (76.3)	0.820
Multiple gestation	7 (21.2)	6 (17.6)	9 (23.7)	
Delivery method				
Vaginal	4 (12.1)	8 (23.5)	12 (31.6)	0.149
Cesarean	29 (87.9)	26 (76.5)	26 (68.4)	
Breastfeeding experience				
No experience	20 (60.6)	22 (64.7)	27 (71.1)	0.645
Previously breastfed	13 (39.4)	12 (35.3)	11 (28.9)	
Pumping experience				
No experience	26 (78.8)	28 (82.4)	27 (71.1)	0.503
Previously mumbed	1010	6176	11 /08 00	

J Perinatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 August 01.

 a Numbers of infants exceed numbers of mothers due to inclusion of infants from multiple gestations. Numbers of infant in each group were as follows: (EXP-EXP n = 40; EXP-STD n = 42; STD-EXP n = 46)