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## ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to investigate the antitumor effect of simultaneous inhibition of dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) enzyme. We designed some novel pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidines bearing different amino acid conjugates as efficient antifolate agents attributable to their structural similarity with methotrexate (MTX) and MTX-related antifolates. All compounds were tested to screen their enzymatic inhibition against DHFR compared with the reference drug MTX and for their in vitro antitumor cytotoxicity against six MTXresistant cancer cell lines. The flow cytometry indicated that the most potent compound $\mathbf{7 f}$ arrested MCF7 cells in the S-phase and induced apoptosis. Western blot for visualisation proved the ability of compound $\mathbf{7 f}$ to induce the expression of proapoptotic caspases and Bax proteins in MCF-7 breast cancer cell line beside its ability to diminish the expression of antiapoptotic Bcl-2 protein. Molecular modelling studies concluded that compound $\mathbf{7 f}$ displayed better binding energy than that of the normal ligand MTX.
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## HIGHLIGHTS

- New pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine derivatives 7a-m which are structurally similar to the classical methotrexate (MTX) and MTX-related antifolates were synthesised as antitumor agents.
- Novel $N$-acyl amino acid compound $7 f$ exhibited marked DHFR inhibition activity that are parralel to both the molecular docking results and cytotoxic activity.
- Compound 7 f could induce the expression of proapoptotic caspases and Bax proteins in MCF-7 breast cancer cell line beside its ability to diminish the expression of antiapoptotic $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ protein.
- All prepared compounds obey Lipinski rule of five except compound $7 \mathrm{7f}$.


## Introduction

Dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) is a critical enzyme in folic acid metabolism; it promotes catalysis for conversion of dihydrofolate (DHF) to tetrahydrofolate (THF), which is essential for purine de novo synthesis and thymidylate synthesis in cell proliferation ${ }^{1}$, therefore inhibition of DHFR enzyme exhibits great antitumor activity as it suppresses de novo nucleotide biosynthesis leading to an imbalance of purine and pyrimidine precursors and rendering cells incapable of undergoing a proper DNA replication ${ }^{2}$. The most common dihydrofolate reductase inhibitors (DHFRIs) are the classical antifolates such as methotrexate (MTX), aminopterine, pralatrexate and pemetrexed (PMX) ${ }^{3}$. The chemical structure of MTX prototype is composed of 3 main important pharmacophores: pteridine nucleus, 4 -amino benzoic acid and glutamic acid $^{4}$ (Figure 1). With mismatch, pemetrexed (PMX) elucidates ascendant DHFR inhibition effect although it accommodates pyr-rolo[2,3- $d$ ] pyrimidine nucleus instead of pteridine ${ }^{5}$ as well the furo[2,3-d]pyrimidine in analogue I was also reported as potential DHFR inhibitor ${ }^{6}$ as shown in Figure 1.

The previous findings encouraged us to synthesise novel pyra-zolo[3,4- $d$ ] pyrimidine derivatives incorporate a different series of amino acid conjugates $\mathbf{7 a - m}$ as shown in Scheme 2. Our aim is based on the isosteric replacement of the pteridine nucleus of MTX with pyrazolo[3,4- $d$ ] pyrimidine scaffold with the retention of 4-aminobenzoyl spacer and either glutamic acid or different series of amino acids to achieve a significant inhibitory level of DHFR enzyme as in Figure 2 and therefore, achieve considerable cytotoxic activities against different MTX-resistant cell lines. We selected different series of amino acid conjugates depending on their different hydrophilic or hydrophobic behaviours beside their different aliphatic or aromatic nature hoping to achieve superior DHFR inhibition activity that exceed classical antifolates and to treat the classical antifolates resistant cancer cell lines.

## Results and discussion

## Chemistry

Our starting synthetic material ethyl (ethoxymethylene)cyanoacetate 1 was cyclized with phenyl hydrazine to obtain ethyl 5-amino-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate $\mathbf{2}^{7}$ which underwent further cyclisation with formamide to afford the pyrazolo[3,4d] pyrimidinone compound $\mathbf{3}^{8}$ as shown in Scheme 1.

Compound 3 was chlorinated using phosphorous oxychloride to obtain 4-chloro-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine $\mathbf{4}^{9}$ (Scheme 1) which reacted with 4 -amino benzoic acid to give the key intermediate 4-\{(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino\}benzoic acid (5) ${ }^{10}$ as shown in Scheme 2.

Reaction of our key intermediate 5 with $1 H$-benzotriazole afforded the novel $N$-acyl benzotriazole compound 6 that was condensed with a series of different amino acids to obtain N -acyl amino acids compounds (7a-m) (Scheme 2).

The novel $N$-acyl benzotriazole derivative 6 was characterised using melting point and TLC techniques in different solvent systems. The structure of compound 6 was confirmed using ${ }^{1}$ HNMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ whereas its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ revealed the absence of any signals in aliphatic region however; it comprised numerous signals in aromatic region integrated into 15 aromatic protons which are divided into; 2 doublet signals at 7.69 and 8.62 ppm integrated for 8 protons, 2 triplet signals at 7.39 and 7.59 ppm integrated for 5 protons. In addition, the appearance of two characteristic singlet signals at 8.23 ppm confirm the presence of $3-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H}$ position of pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine nucleus. ${ }^{13}$ CNMR data of compound 6 elucidated 23 signals at aromatic region. Besides, the signal of carbonyl group carbon is at 161.09 ppm . Moreover, the chemical structures of 2-\{4-[(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino]benzamido\}alkanoic acid ( $7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}$ ) were confirmed with their elemental analysis, ${ }^{1}$ HNMR and ${ }^{13}$ CNMR. ${ }^{1}$ HNMR spectra clarified
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Figure 1. Structural requirements for DHFR inhibition activity.


Figure 2. The structural approach between the synthesised novel pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidines $\mathbf{7 a} \mathbf{- m}$ with MTX reference drug.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of 4-chloro-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine 4. Reagents and conditions: $\mathrm{A}=$ Phenyl hydrazine, ethanol, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{HCONH} 2,190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$. $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, 106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$.
that compounds $\mathbf{7 a} \mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{7 j}$ showed an apparent decrease in the number of aromatic signals to be integrated for 11 aromatic protons only; consequently, that explains the actual loss of 1 H benzotriazole as a good leaving group. In addition to, appearance of new signals in aliphatic region that vary from compound to the other. Compound 7a showed singlet signal in aliphatic region at 3.91 ppm integrated to 2 protons of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ of glycine, while compound $\mathbf{7 b}$ illustrated 2 significant signals; one doublet signal at 1.43 ppm integrated to 3 protons of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ group and the other
quartette signal at the range of $4.40-4.46 \mathrm{ppm}$ refer to 1 proton of (CH) at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ of alanine amino acid. Furthermore, compound 7c revealed 3 considerable signals in aliphatic region; at 0.96 ppm that represents a large doublet signal integrated to 6 protons of 2 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ groups, a multiplet signal from 1.17 to 1.25 ppm integrated to $\overline{1}$ proton corresponding to $(\mathrm{CH})$ at $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ of valine beside a doublet signal at 4.28 ppm indicates the proton of $(\mathrm{CH})$ at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. Beyond, compound 7d showed doublet signal at 0.93 ppm corresponding to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ group radiated from $\mathrm{C}_{3}$, a triplet signal at 1.31 ppm



Scheme 2. Synthetic pathway for preparation of novel $N$-acyl amino acid conjugates 7a-m. Reagents and conditions: $\mathrm{A}=4$-aminobenzoic acid, isopropanol, heat, $16-18$ h. $B=1,2,3$ Benzotriazole, $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{DCM}$, room temp. $\mathrm{C}=$ Amino acid, TEA , Acetonitrile, heat $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
indicates the $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ group substituted at $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ of isoleucine, another multiplet signal at the range of $1.95-1.99 \mathrm{ppm}$ performs $(\mathrm{CH})$ at $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ beside a doublet signal at 4.38 ppm refer to $(\mathrm{CH})$ at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. Moreover, compound $\mathbf{7 e}$ exhibited a quartette signal at the range of $1.98-2.11 \mathrm{ppm}$ of aliphatic region due to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ at $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ of glutamic acid alongside a triplet signal at 2.40 ppm integrated to 2 protons of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ at $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and a triplet peak at 4.43 ppm due to $(\mathrm{CH})$ at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. As well, compound $\mathbf{7 f}$ revealed 3 signals indicate the $3\left(\overline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2}\right)$ groups of arginine amino acid, a multiplet signal appeared at $0.79-0.85 \mathrm{ppm}$ corresponding to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ at $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ besides, a quartette peak at $1.09-1.21 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ at $\mathrm{C}_{3}$, the triplet signal performs $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ at $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ of arginine become clear at 1.66 ppm . In addition to a triplet peak at 4.38 ppm corresponding to $(\mathrm{CH})$ at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ of arginine and an evident increase in the signals refer to $\overline{\mathrm{NH}}$ groups due to presence of guanidine moiety. Too, compound $\mathbf{7 g}$ demoed a doublet signal at 3.13 ppm integrated to 2 protons corresponding to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ at $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ of cysteine along with a triplet signal at 4.69 ppm due to $(\mathrm{CH})$ at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. Also, compound 7h displayed several peaks at aliphatic region; a quartette peak at 1.15-1.23 ppm corresponding to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ at $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ of methionine, singlet signal at 2.08 ppm due to $\left(-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ group and 2 triplet signals at 2.60 and 4.50 ppm represent the $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ at $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and ( CH$)$ at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ of methionine respectively. With a similar manner, compound $\mathbf{7 j}$ elucidated 4 significant signals at aliphatic region due to pyrrolidine ring of proline. These aliphatic signals appeared at the range of $1.18-1.28 \mathrm{ppm}$ and
$2.25-2.30 \mathrm{ppm}$ beside 3.40 ppm referring to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ of pyrrolidine ring at $C_{4}, C_{3}$ and $C_{5}$ respectively. Besides, a triplet peak at 4.42 ppm corresponding to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ of pyrrolidine. In contrast, compound $\mathbf{7 i}$ demonstrated an aromatic region with numerous signals integrated to 13 aromatic protons, this increase in the number of aromatic signals is owing to the presence of 2 singlet signals indicates $(\mathrm{CH})$ at $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ of imidazole ring of histidine at 6.91 and 7.92 ppm respectively. Remarkably, compounds $\mathbf{7 k} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{m}$ revealed another increase in the number of aromatic signals to be integrated to 16 aromatic protons in compounds $\mathbf{7 k}, \mathbf{l}$ and 15 aromatic protons in compound $\mathbf{7 m}$ that could be explained by removal of 1 H -benzotriazole and increment of an aromatic amino acid such as tryptophan, phenyl alanine and tyrosine. Interestingly, all synthesised novel $N$-acyl amino acids $\mathbf{7 a - m}$ show singlet signal represents the proton of $(\mathrm{COOH})$ group at a range of $10.51-10.86 \mathrm{ppm}$ except compound $\mathbf{7 e}$ that demoed 2 singlet signals at 10.51 and 12.48 ppm that demonstrates the presence of 2 carboxylic groups. Furthermore, ${ }^{13}$ CNMR spectrum of compounds $\mathbf{7 a} \mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{7 j}$ clarified a perspicuous decrease in the number of aromatic carbons to 17 carbons rather than the 23 carbon signals presented at N -acyl benzotriazole compound 6 that proves the replacement of 1 H benzotriazole by an aliphatic amino acid. In addition, an appearance of new signals in aliphatic region such as the signals appeared at 18.19 and 50.57 ppm of compound $\mathbf{7 b}$ representing $C_{3}$ and $C_{2}$ of alanine respectively. Moreover, compound 7e
exhibited 3 additional aliphatic signals at 26.95, 30.57 and 53.91 ppm corresponding to $\mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ of glutamic acid respectively. In contrast, compounds $\mathbf{7 k} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{m}$ showed a sudden increase in aromatic signals due to the addition of aromatic amino acid. Interestingly, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of all prepared compounds demoed 1 signal corresponding to one carbonyl group except compound $\mathbf{7 e}$ that exerts 2 signals at 175.47 and 176.74 ppm referring to 2 carbonyl groups that explain the presence of glutamic acid.

## Biological evaluation

## Cytotoxic activity of synthesised compounds

The SRB colorimetric assay was employed to assess the synthesized compounds' cytotoxic effects on several cancer cell lines (Table 1) ${ }^{11-14}$. The synthesized compounds especially $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{7 f}, \mathbf{7 i}, \mathbf{7 j}$ and $\mathbf{7 l}$ inhibited the cellular proliferation of cancer cell lines at lower $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. Compounds $\mathbf{7 e}, \mathbf{7 g}$ and $\mathbf{7 m}$ inhibited the proliferation of cancer cells at higher $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in compression to above compounds. The highest $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ to almost cancer cell lines were observed for compounds $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{7 h}$, $\mathbf{7 k}$ and $\mathbf{7 b}$. Noticeably, the lowest $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were observed on MCF7 breast cancer line when treated with the tested compounds, especially compounds $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{7 f}, \mathbf{7 i}, \mathbf{7 j}$ and $\mathbf{7 l}$. Furthermore, the effect of tested compounds was evaluated on the normal immortalized HPDE cell line. All synthesized compounds have no toxicity to the normal cells with higher $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ exceeding $5.61 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 1).

## Inhibitory effect of tested compounds on human DHFR enzyme

Compounds $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{7 f}, \mathbf{7 i}, \mathbf{7 j}$ and $\mathbf{7 I}$ inhibited DHFR at considerable low $\mathrm{IC}_{50}<1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in compression to MTX the reference drug ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=$ $5.61 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) (Table 1). Then compounds $\mathbf{7 e}, \mathbf{7 g}$ and $\mathbf{7 m}$ inhibited DHFR at $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range $1-5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ which is lower than observed with the MTX. The highest DHFR ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) was observed for all compounds $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{, ~ 7 a , ~} \mathbf{7 h}$, $\mathbf{7 k}$ and in particular 7b. Stimulatingly, the DHFR inhibition by compounds $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{7 f}, \mathbf{7 j}$ and $\mathbf{7 l}$ was in a great compliance with their cytotoxic effects on MCF-7 cancer cell line ${ }^{15}$. The efficient DHFR inhibition with considerable cytotoxic effects on cancer cell lines could elect the compound in order $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{7 f}, \mathbf{7 j}$ and $\mathbf{7 I}$ to be possible anticancer candidates. For more investigation, the inhibitory effects of compounds $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{7 f}, \mathbf{7 j}$ and $\mathbf{7 I}$ on the expression of DHFR in the MCF-7 cancer cell line were further western blot quantified (Figure 3). Obviously, the nominated compound especially the compound 7f significantly diminished the DHFR expression that attests their anti-DHFR activities.

The structure activity relationship clarified that the isosteric replacement of pteridine ring in MTX by pyrazolo[3,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine nucleus improve the DHFR inhibition activity in the majority of the prepared compounds. Consequently, compound $\mathbf{7 e}$ is considered the direct isostere of MTX with retention of 4 -aminobenzoyl and glutamic acid moieties exhibits superior DHFR inhibition activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.83 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ comparable to MTX $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=5.57 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ (Figure 4).

In a strangest manner, hydrophilic and hydrophobic nature of the conjugated amino acid is insignificant parameter as both compounds 7d with hydrophobic (Ile) amino acid and $7 \mathbf{f}$ with hydrophilic (Arg) amino acid elucidated near considerable DHFR inhibition activities ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.43$ and $0.31 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), respectively and near cytotoxic activities against different cell lines. In addition, the aliphatic and aromatic nature of the inserted amino acid also has no remarkable effect on the DHFR inhibition activities since both compounds 7 c and $7 \mathbf{7}$ have approximate $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.61 and $0.66 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively although compound 7c possess (Val) aliphatic amino acid while compound $\mathbf{7 I}$ enclose (Phe) aromatic one and with also approximate cytotoxic activities as in (Figure 5). In consequence, the solubility parameter beside the aliphatic and aromatic nature of the inserted amino acid is not important for DHFR inhibition activity in compounds bearing pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine ring instead of pteridine. However, the isosteric replacement of pteridine ring by pyrazolo[3,4- $d$ ] pyrimidine revealed a considerable increase in the DHFR inhibition activity.

## Apoptosis induction in cancer cells

Induction of cancer cells apoptosis play the crucial role in diminishing their proliferation and metastasis, and so the anti-apoptotic effect indicates a possible anticancer activity ${ }^{16,17}$. Apoptotic process involves diverse metabolic reactions that can be activated or inhibited. It is well documented that suppression of cancer antiapoptotic proteins as well as activation proapoptotic proteins indicates efficient antitumor activity ${ }^{11-14}$. In this direction, the most efficient anti-DHFR compound with the least cytotoxicity on MCF-7 cells "compound 7f" was selected for further anti-apoptotic activity evaluation.

## Compound $7 f$ induces the expression of proapoptotic proteins in MCF-7 breast cancer cell line

Caspases as well as Bax are proapoptotic proteins that are regularly triggered during apoptosis, and up-regulation of their expression in cancer cells indicates antitumor activity ${ }^{11,14}$. In this context, the effect of $7 \mathbf{f}$ at $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ on the levels of caspase $3 / 7$ and Bax proteins was evaluated. In dose dependent manner, the compound 7 ff significantly

Table 1. $I C_{50}$ values of compound 6 and novel $N$-acyl amino acid conjugates 7 a-m in different cell lines.

| Compounds | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HeLa | PC-3 | HCT-116 | BxPC-3 | HepG-2 | MCF-7 | DHFR | HPDE |
| 6 | $15.11 \pm 1.21$ | $14.91 \pm 1.24$ | $17.26 \pm 2.18$ | $14.21 \pm 1.36$ | $12.98 \pm 1.86$ | $11.91 \pm 1.10$ | $7.99 \pm 0.16$ | $>50$ |
| 7a | $19.45 \pm 1.21$ | $23.03 \pm 1.03$ | $28.24 \pm 1.93$ | $19.45 \pm 1.56$ | $15.45 \pm 0.96$ | $15.29 \pm 1.29$ | $13.27 \pm 0.24$ | $>50$ |
| 7b | $29.21 \pm 1.90$ | $34.24 \pm 2.61$ | $29.56 \pm 1.91$ | $31.23 \pm 2.80$ | $19.23 \pm 1.87$ | $35.67 \pm 2.09$ | $42.49 \pm 0.78$ | $>50$ |
| 7c | $9.39 \pm 0.25$ | $11.25 \pm 0.34$ | $10.60 \pm 1.10$ | $10.25 \pm 1.01$ | $12.25 \pm 1.14$ | $6.56 \pm 0.18$ | $0.61 \pm 0.01$ | $>50$ |
| 7d | $8.09 \pm 0.51$ | $9.99 \pm 0.81$ | $10.09 \pm 1.01$ | $11.19 \pm 0.41$ | $9.09 \pm 0.71$ | $5.51 \pm 0.28$ | $0.43 \pm 0.01$ | $>50$ |
| 7e | $13.11 \pm 1.01$ | $13.81 \pm 1.14$ | $15.26 \pm 1.18$ | $15.21 \pm 1.15$ | $15.98 \pm 0.80$ | $11.91 \pm 1.10$ | $1.83 \pm 0.04$ | $>50$ |
| 7f | $6.99 \pm 1.11$ | $6.29 \pm 0.56$ | $5.48 \pm 0.04$ | $7.09 \pm 0.09$ | $5.12 \pm 0.01$ | $4.65 \pm 0.17$ | $0.31 \pm 0.01$ | $>50$ |
| 7 g | $12.29 \pm 1.20$ | $13.21 \pm 1.52$ | $12.21 \pm 1.20$ | $15.21 \pm 0.22$ | $10.21 \pm 0.92$ | $10.78 \pm 1.10$ | $1.28 \pm 0.03$ | $>50$ |
| 7h | $18.01 \pm 1.20$ | $17.01 \pm 2.02$ | $19.34 \pm 0.85$ | $17.87 \pm 1.03$ | $16.99 \pm 0.92$ | $19.98 \pm 2.01$ | $8.47 \pm 0.18$ | $>50$ |
| 7i | $10.91 \pm 1.99$ | $12.78 \pm 2.01$ | $13.04 \pm 1.01$ | $10.54 \pm 3.01$ | $9.26 \pm 1.56$ | $13.21 \pm 1.21$ | $0.82 \pm 0.02$ | $>50$ |
| 7J | $9.29 \pm 0.20$ | $11.17 \pm 0.70$ | $8.10 \pm 0.20$ | $6.19 \pm 0.43$ | $10.29 \pm 1.20$ | $8.19 \pm 1.20$ | $0.54 \pm 0.01$ | $>50$ |
| 7k | $19.53 \pm 1.10$ | $19.88 \pm 1.35$ | $18.02 \pm 1.23$ | $19.08 \pm 1.23$ | $25.54 \pm 0.14$ | $28.90 \pm 1.91$ | $7.00 \pm 0.17$ | $>50$ |
| 71 | $9.05 \pm 1.20$ | $10.08 \pm 0.90$ | $12.99 \pm 0.89$ | $11.45 \pm 0.76$ | $13.19 \pm 1.29$ | $10.67 \pm 1.09$ | $0.66 \pm 0.01$ | $>50$ |
| 7m | $11.81 \pm 1.13$ | $12.72 \pm 1.87$ | $13.32 \pm 2.21$ | $11.99 \pm 1.14$ | $14.25 \pm 0.98$ | $11.30 \pm 1.28$ | $1.34 \pm 0.03$ | $>50$ |
| Doxorubicin | $5.57 \pm 0.51$ | $9.01 \pm 0.09$ | $5.52 \pm 0.13$ | $7.23 \pm 0.10$ | $4.6 \pm 0.44$ | $4.25 \pm 0.15$ | - | $>50$ |
| Methotrexate | $294.77 \pm 0.51$ | $42.33 \pm 7.25$ | $99.98 \pm 0.22$ | $29.11 \pm 0.31$ | $800.24 \pm 0.16$ | $66.11 \pm 0.15$ | $5.61 \pm 0.11$ | >50 |



Figure 3. Western blot quantification anti-DHFR effects of compounds $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{7 f}, \mathbf{7 j}$ and 7 ll in MCF-7 breast cancer cell.
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Figure 4. Isosteric approach of compound 7 e and MTX along with DHFR inhibition $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ values.
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Figure 5. The effect of the structural differences between compounds $7 \mathrm{c}, 7 \mathrm{~d}, 7 \mathrm{f}$ and 7 I on their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ values.
surge the release of caspase $3 / 7$ and Bax proteins (Figure 6A). For further testing the colorimetric assays of caspases or Bax proteins, a western blot was performed to visualise and quantify their expressions. Compound $7 \mathrm{7f}$ significantly increased the expression of both caspase 3 and Bax proteins (Figure 6 B ). The $\beta$-actin ( 42 KDa ) was used as internal reference to validate the western blots. The levels of the expressed $\beta$-actin remain unaffected in the presence of different tested compounds (Supporting Information).

## Compound 7 f diminishes the expression of antiapoptotic Bcl 2 protein

 B-cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2) protein regulates the apoptosis; it is an antiapoptotic protein to facilitate the cancer cells survival under stressful circumstances via averting the proapoptotic signals ${ }^{11,14}$. To attest the compound $\mathbf{7 f}$ apoptotic effect, Bcl-2 level was quantified in MCF-7 breast cancer cell line. Compound 7 f significantlyreduced the Bcl-2 production in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 7 A ). Furthermore, the effect of compound 7 f at $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ on the expression of Bcl-2 was visualised and quantified using western blot. Compound $\mathbf{7 f}$ significantly down-regulated the expression of Bcl-2 (Figure 7B). The $\beta$-actin ( 42 KDa ) was used as internal reference to validate the western blots. The levels of the expressed $\beta$-actin remain unaffected in the presence of different tested compounds (Supporting Information).

## Flow cytometric cell cycle analysis

Cell cycle analysis was done for the compound 7 f against MCF-7 breast cancer cell line ${ }^{11,14}$. Clearly, compound $7 f$ enhanced the accumulation proportion of cells at the pre-G1 phase from 2.19 to $41.36 \%$, and the accumulation percentages in S phase from 31.87 to $46.36 \%$. The decrease in the accumulation percentage of cells


Figure 6. The compound 7 f increases the levels of caspases in breast cancer cell line MCF-7. (A) Coulometric assay of caspase $3 / 7$ and Bax levels, the data are presented as percentage change from untreated control. (B) Western blot gel of caspase-3 and Bax and quantification of the intensities of the bands in western blot.


Figure 7. (A) The compound 7f decreases the level of Bacl2 in breast cancer cell line MCF-7. (A) ELISA assay of Bcl2 level, the data are presented as percentage change from untreated control. (B) Western blot gel of Bcl 2 and quantification of the intensities of the bands in western blot.
at G2/M phase from 12.01 to $1.5 \%$ after treatment with the compound indicated that the compound 7 f arrested cell cycle at S phase (Table 2). Moreover, the percentage of cell apoptosis was markedly increased from $0.13 \%$ for control MCF-7 cells to $21.31 \%$ in treated cells (Table 3; Figure 8). The proportion of late apoptosis is greater than that of early, which evidences that compound 7f irreversibly induced apoptosis.

## Molecular modeling

The original ligand methotrexate (MTX) was extracted and docked into the active site of DHFR enzyme (pdb ID: 1U72) ${ }^{18}$. Validation of docking algorithm was achieved by re-docking MTX into the active site of DHFR, which was found to retrieve the reported X-ray crystal structure binding mode of MTX, with root mean square difference (RMSD) between the top docking pose and original crystallographic geometry of $1.263 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$. A stick representation of side-chain residues lle60, Leu22, Arg70, Val115, Phe31, Phe34 and Gln35 of DHFR (pdb code ID: 1U72) in contact with MTX normal ligand as showed in (Figure 9). The crucial binding interactions attributable to MTX were; an important (HBD) effect due to 4 -amino group of pteridine moiety with Val115 residue, besides the arene-H interaction of pteridine ring with Thr56 residue. In addition, there is a significant salt bridge caused by the $\gamma$-glutamate moiety of MTX with Arg70 accompanied with the (HBA) effect of both carbonyl and anionic carboxylate oxygens with Gln35 and Lys68 respectively. Unfortunately, previous studies of hDHFR have shown that certain mutations at Leu22, Phe31, Phe34, Gln35 and Arg70

Table 2. Cell cycle analysis and apoptosis detection of the compound 7 f .

| Compound | \%G0-G1 | \%S | \%G2/M | \%Pre-G1 | Comment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7f/MCF7 | 52.11 | 46.39 | 1.5 | 41.36 | Cell growth arrest at S |
| Cont.MCF7 | 56.12 | 31.87 | 12.01 | 2.19 |  |

Table 3. Apoptotic assay of the compound 7 f .

|  | Apoptosis |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Total | Early | Late | Necrosis |
| 7f/MCF7 | 41.36 | 14.12 | 21.31 | 5.93 |
| Cont.MCF7 | 2.19 | 0.66 | 0.13 | 1.4 |

residues could yield catalytically-active MTX-resistant mutants ${ }^{19}$. From this point, we investigated pyrazolo[3,4- $d$ ] pyrimidine derivatives $\mathbf{6}$ and 7a-m and subsequently flexibly docked them into the binding site of DHFR compared with MTX as mentioned in Table 4.

Parallel to the in vitro DHFR enzyme assay screening $\left(I_{50}\right)$ results, compound $\mathbf{7 f}$ energy minimised pose elucidated binding energy of ( S $=-9.2584 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) that is better than that of the normal ligand MTX ( $\mathrm{S}=-8.3951 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) due to presence of $\pi-\Pi^{*}$ stacking interaction between pyrazole ring of pyrazolo[3,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine nucleus and Phe31 residue and arene-H interaction between 1-phenyl ring with the same Phe31 amino acid with bond lengths of 3.10 and $3.25 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$ respectively, as well 2 (HBD) interactions were demonstrated by 2 NH groups of guanidine moiety with Ala9 residue and the key Val115 residue separately with bond energies of -0.8 and $-1.4 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ successively. Moreover, the docking results of the most stable conformer of


Figure 8. Flow cytometric cell cycle analysis upon treatment of MCF-7 breast cancer cell line with the compound 7f.
compound 7d revealed scoring function of ( $-8.6795 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) that also exceed MTX as a result of considerable salt bridge between carboxylate group and the crucial amino acid $\operatorname{Arg} 70\left(2.49 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}\right)$ with binding energy from ( -1.9 to $-7.6 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), also there were 2 arene-H interactions between 2 phenyl rings with Gly17 and Ile60 beside, an important (HBA) interaction of carbonyl group with Asn64 (2.04 A $)$ through bonding energy of $-1.8 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Interestingly, the energy minimised conformer of compound $7 \mathbf{I}$ established 2 binding interactions ( $\mathrm{S}=-$ $8.7263 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) one of them as arene-H bond between 1-phenyl ring and Gly 17 with bond length of ( $3.23 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$ ) and the other as the imperative salt bridge of the carboxylate moiety with the key Arg70 residue (bond energy from -0.8 to $-7.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). In atypical manner, compound $\mathbf{7 k}$ showed docking scoring function of ( $-9.0760 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) that is better than that of MTX normal ligand ( $-8.3951 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) due to the dual interaction effect of the carboxylate moiety with Arg70 residue by salt bridge and with Gln 35 by (HBA) effect ( $-1.8 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), beside the arene-H interaction arise between phenyl ring and lle60 ( $-0.7 \mathrm{Kcal} /$ mol), however it exhibited inferior in vitro $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $7 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{MTX}=$ $5.57 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) as presented in Figure 9.

In addition, the parameters of Lipinski rule were calculated for all prepared novel compounds 6, 7a-m. Profitability, all prepared compounds obey Lipinski rule of five except compounds 7 f due to presence of guanidine moiety of arginine amino acid that increase the number of (HBD) groups into 7 and the number of (HBA) groups into 12 as predicted in Table 4.

## Conclusions

It can be concluded that new pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidines bearing different amino acid conjugates ( $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7 a} \mathbf{- m}$ ) were prepared as efficient antifolate agents due to their structural similarities with methotrexate (MTX). It was found that pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidines $\mathbf{7 c}, \mathbf{7 d}, \mathbf{7 f}, \mathbf{7 i}, \mathbf{7 j}$ and $\mathbf{7 I}$ inhibited DHFR at considerable lower $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values than MTX reference drug beside their significant cytotoxic effects on many MTX-resistant cancer cell lines. Pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine $\mathbf{7 f}$ bearing arginine amino acid is the most active antitumor agent against MCF-7 breast cancer cell line, DHFR inhibitor, as well it could arrest MCF-7 cells in the S-phase and induce apoptosis. Compound 7 f was proven to induce the expression of proapoptotic caspases and Bax proteins in MCF-7 breast cancer cell
line beside its ability to diminish the expression of antiapoptotic Bcl-2 protein. Molecular modelling studies revealed that compound 7 f displayed binding energy of ( $\mathrm{S}=-9.2584 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) that is better than that of the normal ligand MTX ( $\mathrm{S}=-8.3951 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol). Finally, compound $\mathbf{7 f}$ require further future investigation as antitumor drug against MTX-resistant cancers because of its potential DHFR inhibitory activity.

## Experimental

## Chemistry

All starting materials were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany). Melting points ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were determined with a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus (London, UK), and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ were performed in NMR department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura City, Egypt. ${ }^{1}$ HNMR spectra were recorded on Varian Mercury-500 ( 500 MHz ) (Palo Alto, CA, USA), Varian Gemini-300BB ( 300 MHz ) (Foster City, CA, USA), and Bruker 400 MHz AV III ( 400 MHz ) (Biocity's, CA, USA) using dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ as a solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard (chemical shift in $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ). ${ }^{13}$ CNMR spectra were recorded on Varian Gemini-300BB ( 100 MHz ) (Foster City, CA, USA). All reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using Silica gel 60 GF254 (E-MerckGermany) and were visualised by iodine vapours or by UV-lamp at wavelength $\lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}$.

General procedure for synthesis of \{1H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazol-1$y l\}\{4-[(1-p h e n y l-1 H-p y r a z o l o-[3,4-d] p y r i m i d i n-4-y l)$-amino]phenyl\}methanone (6)
To ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) benzotriazole dissolved in $(50 \mathrm{ml}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $(0.26 \mathrm{ml}) \mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min , followed by the addition of the carboxylic acid containing compound $5(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction was allowed to stir for an additional 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was dried, then dissolved in ethyl acetate followed by washing using dilute saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium


7 k
Figure 9. Two-dimensional (2 D ) and three-dimensional (3D) binding modes and residues involved in the energy minimised docking pose of the novel compounds 7 f , 7d, 7I, 7k and MTX against DHFR (pdb ID: 1U72).
sulphate. Hexane ( 50 ml ) was added to the filtrate, and then the solid obtained was dried under vacuum to give the $N$-acyl benzotriazole 6.

Yield: $92 \%$. m.p. $307-309^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ : $4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$,

Ar-H), 7.69 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 5H, Ar-H), 8.23 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 8.62 (d, $J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \quad \delta$ : 100.31(Ar-C), 113.85(Ar-C), 118.18(2 Ar-C), 119.87(Ar-C), 121.26(2 ArC), $\quad 124.76(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), \quad 126.57(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), \quad 127.60(2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), \quad 128.39(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C})$, 129.36(3 Ar-C), 136.28(Ar-C), 137.49(Ar-C), 139.42(Ar-C), 143.01(Ar-

Table 4. Docking scores ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and calculated parameters of Lipinski rule of prepared compounds 6, 7a-m and methotrexate (MTX).

| Compound | S | MW | Log P | nHBD | nHBA | druglike |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | -7.9944 | 432.4470 | 3.6975 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| 7a | -7.7926 | 387.3790 | 1.5905 | 3 | 9 | 1 |
| 7b | -8.1547 | 401.4060 | 2.1735 | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| 7c | -8.2306 | 429.4600 | 3.1455 | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| 7d | -8.6795 | 443.4870 | 3.5875 | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| 7e | -8.5288 | 458.4340 | 1.9885 | 2 | 11 | 1 |
| 7f | -9.2584 | 487.5240 | 1.9135 | 7 | 12 | 0 |
| 7g | -8.0664 | 433.4720 | 2.2835 | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| 7h | -8.2034 | 461.5260 | 2.8285 | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| 7i | -8.5619 | 467.4690 | 1.4045 | 3 | 11 | 1 |
| 7J | -8.0525 | 428.4520 | 2.5615 | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| 7k | -9.0760 | 516.5410 | 4.0745 | 3 | 10 | 1 |
| 7l | -8.7263 | 477.5040 | 3.7085 | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| 7m | -9.0747 | 493.5030 | 3.4005 | 3 | 10 | 1 |
| MTX | -8.3951 | 439.4120 | -0.5874 | 7 | 13 | 0 |

C), $\quad 144.73(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), \quad 152.58(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), \quad 152.89(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), \quad 154.70(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C})$, 161.09 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) ppm. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 66.66 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.73$; N, 25.91 Found: C, 66.74; H, 3.65; N, 26.07.

General procedure for synthesis of 2-\{4-[(1-Phenyl-1H-pyra-zolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino]-benzamido\}-alkanoic acid (7a-m) Suitable amino acid ( 0.55 mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 2 ml ) using 0.13 ml triethyl amine ( 0.9 mmol ), then it is added portionwise to the solution of synthesised novel N -acyl benzotriazole 6 ( 0.2 g , 0.5 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(15 \mathrm{ml})$. The mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4-6 h until complete consumption of compound 6 as monitored by TLC. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was then washed by $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{ml})$, water ( 10 ml ) and filtered then dried to give the purposed compounds $\mathbf{7 a} \mathbf{a}$.

2-\{4-[(1-Phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino]benzamido\}acetic acid (7a)
Yield: $82 \%$. m.p. $302-304{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 1.92$ (s, 1H, NH), $3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.03(\overline{\mathrm{~d}}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), $8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 10.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 43.27\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 100.31 (Ar-C), 120.48 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 121.26 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 126.57 ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}$ ), $1 \overline{27} .43$ (2 Ar-C), 128.70 (Ar-C), 129.33 (2 Ar-C), 136.28 (Ar-C), 139.42 (Ar-C), 144.43 (Ar-C), 152.58 (Ar-C), 152.89 (Ar-C), 154.70 (Ar-C), 169.25 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 172.44$ (COOH). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 61.85 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.15; N, 21.64 Found: C, 61.97; H, 4.22; N, 21.80.

## 2-\{4-[(1-Phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino]benzami-

 do\}propanoic acid (7b)Yield: $89 \%$. m.p. ${ }^{311-313}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta: 1.43$ (d, J=4.0 Hz, 3H, CH3 C $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ), $1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, 4.40-4.46 ( $\mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \overline{\mathrm{~Hz}}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), $7.38\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{-} J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\overline{\mathrm{H}}\right)$, 7.57 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.02 (d, J=8.0 Hz $4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.21 ( s , $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.63$ (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 10.51 (s, 1H, COOH) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 18.19\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 50.57\left(\overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, 100.33 (Ar-C), 119.92 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 121.25 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\overline{\mathrm{C}}$ ), 126.52 ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 127.45 (2 Ar-C), 128.39 (Ar-C), 129.37 (2 Ar-C), 136.26 (Ar-C), 139.40 (Ar-C), 144.89 (Ar-C), 152.56 (Ar-C), 152.87 (Ar-C), 154.71 (Ar-C), 167.71(C=O), $175.52(\mathrm{COOH})$ ppm. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}$, 62.68; H, 4.51; N, 20.88 Found: C, 62.51; H, 4.71; N, 20.62.

3-Methyl-2-\{4-[(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino]benzamido\} butanoic acid (7c)
Yield: $80 \%$. m.p. $324-326^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta: 0.96$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=24.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.17-1.25 (m, 1H, CH $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}^{-}-\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 7.38(\mathrm{t}$, $J \equiv 14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\overline{\mathrm{H}}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \overline{\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d},}$ $J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ArH), 10.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ : $19.03\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.88\left(\mathrm{C} \overline{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 59.66\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 100.35(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), 119.90$ (2 Ar-C), $\overline{121} .26(2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), 126.55$ (Ar-C), $\overline{1} 27.47$ (2 Ar-C), 128.34 (Ar-C), 129.37 (2 Ar-C), 136.25 (Ar-C), 139.40 (Ar-C), 144.90 (Ar-C), 152.55 (Ar-C), 152.87 (Ar-C), 154.72 (Ar-C), $167.85(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 176.55$ (COOH) ppm. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 64.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.15 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.52$ Found: C, 64.33; H, 5.22; N, 19.60.

3-Methyl-2-\{4-[(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino]benzamido\}pentanoic acid (7d)
Yield: $74 \%$. m.p. $338-3406{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}_{;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 0.93$ (d, J=24.0 Hz, 3H, CH3 C3), 1.31 (t, J=4.0 Hz, 3H, CH $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ), 1.50-1.56 (m, 2H, CH 2 ), $1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.95-1.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \overline{\mathrm{CH}} \mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4 . \overline{38}\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \overline{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=\overline{8.0 ~ H z}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.59^{(\mathrm{t}}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.05^{-}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, $8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, $10.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 11.56$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 16.27\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 24.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 35.26\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 58.62(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}$, $\left.\overline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}\right), 100.31(\mathrm{Ar}-\overline{\mathrm{C}}), 121.24(2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), 121.55(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), 122.25(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C})$, 126.58 (Ar-C), 126.83 (Ar-C), 127.68 (Ar-C), 129.26 (2 Ar-C), 136.25 (Ar-C), 138.68 (Ar-C), 139.44 (Ar-C), 142.57 (Ar-C), 152.54 (Ar-C), 152.86 (Ar-C), 154.76 (Ar-C), $167.54(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 176.17(\mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 64.85; H, 5.44; N, 18.91 Found: C, 65.09; H, 5.58; N, 19.11.

## 2-\{4-[(1-Phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino]benzami-

 do\}pentanedioic acid (7e)Yield: $89 \%$. m.p. $375-377^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left.(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \text { DMSO-d })_{6}\right) \delta: 1.22$ ( $s, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $1.98-2.11\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 2.40(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{4}\right), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.43\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=\overline{12} .0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 7.40$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), $8.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), 10.51 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH}$ ), $12.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: \overline{2} 6.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 30.57\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 53.91(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), 100.31 ( $\left.\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}\right), 119.95(2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), 121.23(2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}), 126.52$ ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 127.44 (2 Ar-C), 128.35 (Ar-C), 129.30 ( 2 Ar-C), 136.25 (Ar-C), 139.40 (Ar-C), 144.86 (Ar-C), 152.55 (Ar-C), 152.87 (Ar-C), 154.66 (Ar-C), $167.80(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 175.47\left(\mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 176.74\left(\mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, $60.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.38 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.25$ Found: C, 60.17; H, 4.52; N, 18.40 .

## 5-Guanidino-2-\{4-[(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)aminolbenzamido\}pentanoic acid (7f)

Yield: $78 \%$. m.p. $404-406{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}_{;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left.(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \text { DMSO-d })_{6}\right) \delta: 0.36$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ guanidine), $0.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ guanidine), 0.79-0.85 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 1.09-1.21\left(\mathrm{q}, J=16.0 \overline{\mathrm{~Hz}}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 1.66(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=18 . \overline{0 H} \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ guanidine $), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \overline{\mathrm{H}}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.38\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4 . \overline{0} \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), \overline{7.37}(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}, \quad \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}),{ }^{-} 7.57 \quad(\mathrm{t}, \quad J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \overline{\operatorname{Ar}}-\mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.06$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.19$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ArH), 8.21 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.64 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 10.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, COOH) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 25.83\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$,
$29.53\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 41.88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 55.18\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 100.31$ ( $\left.\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}\right)$, 119.90 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 121.25 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 126.53 (Ar-C), 127.47 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 128.35 (Ar-C), 129.28 ( 2 Ar-C), 136.29 (Ar-C), 139.40 (Ar-C), 144.88 (Ar-C), 152.55 (Ar-C), 152.88 (Ar-C), 154.73 (Ar-C), 157.16 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NH}$ ), $167.80(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 175.47(\mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 59.13; H, 5.17; N, 25.86 Found: C, 59.30; H, 5.12; N, 25.99.

3-Mercapto-2-\{4-[(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)aminolbenzamido\}propanoic acid (7g)
Yield: $90 \%$. m.p. $348-350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}_{;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 1.18$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SH}$ ), 1.93 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 3.13 (d, J= $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ ), 4.01 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.69\left(\mathrm{t}, J=12.0 \overline{\mathrm{H}}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=\overline{8.0} \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\mathrm{H}), 7 . \overline{57}(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8 . \overline{0} 1(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.20$ (s, 2H, Ar-H), 8.62 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 10.54 (s, 1H, COOH) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta: 28.23\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 57.11(\mathrm{C} \overline{\mathrm{H}}$,
 127.43 (2 Ar-H), 128.38 (Ar-H), 129.37 (2 Ar-H), 136.25 (Ar-H), 139.40 (Ar-H), 144.87 (Ar-H), 152.54 (Ar-H), 152.87 (Ar-H), 154.70 (Ar-H), $167.80(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 176.32(\mathrm{COOH})$ ppm. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 58.05 ; H, 4.18; N, 19.34 Found: C, 58.14; H, 4.32; N, 19.49.

4-(Methylthio)-2-\{4-[(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)aminolbenzamido $\}$ butanoic acid (7h)
Yield: $83 \%$. m.p. $344-346^{\circ} \mathrm{C}_{;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ : $1.15-1.23$ ( $q, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ ), 1.93 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 2.08 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.60\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \overline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.50(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.\left.J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 7.43 \frac{2}{(\mathrm{t},} J=24.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\overline{\mathrm{H}}\right), 7.58(\mathrm{t}$, $J=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\overline{\mathrm{H}}$ ), 8.04 (d, $J=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.22 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), $8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 10.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 14.64\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.91\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 31.61$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 53.61\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 100.34(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 119.97(\overline{2} \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 121.28$ ( $\overline{2} \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 126.53 (Ar-H), 127.47 (2 Ar-H), 128.35 (Ar-H), 129.38 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), 136.34 (Ar-H), 139.45 (Ar-H), 144.93 (Ar-H), 152.58 (Ar-H), 152.91 (Ar-H), 154.72 ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $167.80(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 175.47$ (COOH) ppm. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 59.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.79 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.17$ Found: C, 59.89; H, 4.90; N, 18.39.

3-(1h-Imidazol-4-yl)-2-\{4-[(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino]benzamido\}-propanoic acid (7i)
Yield: $82 \%$. m.p. $381-383{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}_{;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 1.16$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ imidazole), 1.93 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 3.13 (d, $J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.62\left(\mathrm{t}, J=12.0 \overline{\mathrm{~Hz}}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \overline{\mathrm{CH}}$, imidazole $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), \overline{7} .37(\mathrm{t}, J=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), \overline{7} .57(\mathrm{t}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \overline{\mathrm{H}}$, Ar-H), 7.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$, imidazole $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), $8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, 8.21 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $8 . \overline{64}\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 10.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH})$ ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 29 . \overline{09}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 50.83(\overline{\mathrm{C}} \overline{\mathrm{H}}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), 100.31 (Ar-C), 118.23 (imidazole $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ), 119.99 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 121.26 ( $2 \overline{\mathrm{Ar}}$ H), 126.59 (Ar-H), 127.49 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 128.37 (Ar-H), 129.34 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 131.23 (imidazole $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ ), 134.60 (imidazole $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), 136.33 (Ar-H), 139.47 (Ar-H), 144.91 (Ar-H), 152.53 (Ar-H), 152.89 (Ar-H), 154.72 (Ar-H), 167.80 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 174.39(\mathrm{COOH})$ ppm. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 61.53$; H, 4.30; N, 23.92 Found: C, 61.42; H, 4.21; N, 23.88.

## 1-\{4-[(1-Phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino]benzoyl\} pyrrolidine-2-carboxylic acid (7j)

Yield: $77 \%$. m.p. $342-344{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}\right) ~ \delta$ : 1.18-1.28 (m, 2H, CH2, C4 pyrrolidine), $2.25-2.30(q, 2 H, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}$ pyrrolidine), $3.40\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\right.$ pyrrolidine), 4.01 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.42\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right.$ pyrrolidine $), 7.39(\mathrm{t}$,
$J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ArH), 10.57 (s, 1H, COOH) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 24.63$ ( $C_{4}$, pyrrolidine), $29.0 \overline{6}$ ( $C_{3}$, pyrrolidine), 45.62 ( $C_{5}$, pyrrolidine), 61.85 ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, pyrrolidine), 100.31 (Ar-H), 119.65 (2 Ar-H), 121.28 (2 Ar-H), 126.58 (Ar-H), 127.40 (2 Ar-H), 129.36 (2 Ar-H), 129.72 (Ar-H), 136.38 (Ar-H), 139.40 (Ar-H), 144.40 (Ar-H), 152.58 (Ar-H), 152.86 (Ar-H), 154.76 (ArH), $170.60(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 175.14$ (COOH) ppm. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 64.48; H, 4.71; N, 19.62 Found: C, 64.56; H, 4.86; N, 19.79.

## 3-(1h-Indol-3-yl)-2-\{4-[(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-

 yl)amino]benzamido\}propanoic acid (7k)Yield: $87 \%$. m.p. $398-400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ ) $\delta: 1.18$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=40.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.69$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4 . \overline{0} \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), \overline{7} .38(\mathrm{t}$, $J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $7.59(\mathrm{t}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), 8.04 (d, J=12.0 Hz, $4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.22 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.65 (d, $J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 10.56$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ indole), 10.86 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH})$ ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \bar{\delta}: 28.42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 51.98(\underline{\mathrm{C}} \overline{\mathrm{H}}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), $100.32(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 108.54$ (indole $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ), $111.57(\overline{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{H}), 119.49(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, 119.99 (2 Ar-H), 120.12 (Ar-C), 121.24 (2 Ar-H), 121.69 (Ar-H), 123.42 (indole $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), 126.52 (Ar-H), 127.47 (2 Ar-H), 127.95 (Ar-H), 128.37 (Ar-H), 129.37 (2 Ar-H), 136.28 (Ar-H), 137.29 (Ar-H), 139.40 (Ar-H), 144.94 (Ar-H), 152.56 (Ar-H), 152.90 (Ar-H), 154.73 (Ar-H), 167.79 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 174.39(\mathrm{COOH})$ ppm. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 67.30$; H, 4.48; N, 18.94 Found: C, 67.44; H, 4.60; N, 19.09.

3-Phenyl-2-\{4-[(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino]benzamido\}propanoic acid (7l)
Yield: $84 \%$. m.p. $387-389^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 1.92$ (s, 1H, NH), $3.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.60$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8 . \overline{0} \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\overline{\mathrm{H}}), 7.26$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar-H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.58 \quad(\mathrm{t}, \quad J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}, \quad$ Ar-H),$\quad 8.01 \quad(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad$ Ar-H), 8.07 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.21 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.23 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.62 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.67 (d, J = $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 10.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, COOH) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 37.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, 55.97 ( $\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), 100.35 (Ar-H), 119.94 (2 Ar-H), $121.28^{-}$( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 126.55 (Ar-H), 127.15 (Ar-H), 127.51 (2 Ar-H), 128.37 (Ar-H), 129.05 (2 Ar-H), 129.34 (2 Ar-H), 129.38 (2 Ar-H), 136.28 (Ar-H), 137.68 (ArH), 139.42 (Ar-H), 144.90 (Ar-H), 152.54 (Ar-H), 152.92 (Ar-H), $154.70(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 167.82(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 174.49(\mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 67.77 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.63 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.56$ Found: C, 67.90; H, 4.86; N, 17.70.

## 3-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-2-\{4-[(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino]benzamido\}-propanoic acid (7m)

Yield: $80 \%$. m.p. $401-403{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) ~ \delta: 1.24$ (s, 1H, OH), $1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}),-4.43\left(\mathrm{t}, J=16.0 \overline{\mathrm{~Hz}}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=\overline{8.0} \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), $7 . \overline{07}(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.48$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.94$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), $8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 37.07\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 55.99\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}{ }^{-} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 100.35$ (Ar-H), 115.90 (2 Ar-H), 119.97 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\overline{\mathrm{H}}$ ), 121.24 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 126.52 ( $\mathrm{Ar}-$ H), 127.49 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 128.37 (Ar-H), 129.29 ( $2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 129.74 (Ar-H), 130.62 ( 2 Ar-H), 136.25 (Ar-H), 139.39 (Ar-H), 144.93 (Ar-H), 152.54 (Ar-H), 152.88 (Ar-H), 154.67 (Ar-H), 155.93 (Ar-H), 167.80 (C = O),
174.49 (COOH) ppm. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 65.58; H, 4.48; N, 16.99 Found: C, 65.69; H, 4.63; N, 17.14.

## Biological evaluation

## Cytotoxicity screening using SRB assay

Sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay was employed to assay in-vitro antitumor activities of all the synthesised compounds as describer previously ${ }^{11-14}$. Six human cancer cell lines, human prostate cancer (PC3), pancreatic human cancer cell lines (BxPC-3), colorectal carcinoma (HCT-116), human hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG-2), cervical carcinoma (HeLa), and mammary gland breast cancer (MCF-7), besides normal immortalised pancreatic cell line (HPDE) were used in the evaluation of antitumor activity. The cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, USA).

## Elisa assay of human DHFR enzyme

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) assay technique was used to evaluate the anti-DHFR effects of all the synthesised compounds on as described previously ${ }^{15}$. The DHFR ELISA kit (MyBioSource, MBS9312476 San Diego, CA, USA) was used according to the manufacturer instructions. Methotrexate (MTX) was used as positive control, and a standard curve was plotted to detect $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$. The test was repeated in triplicate, and the significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey's posttest, where $p<0.05$ was considered significance.

## Molecular modeling

Molecular modelling calculations were carried out using molecular operating environment MOE version 2014.0901 (Chemical Computing Group Inc. software). The most stable conformers of newly synthesised pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine derivatives 6 and 7a-m were docked into the binding pocket of DHFR-binding domain which is in tertiary complex with dihydro-nicotinamide-adenine-dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) and methotrexate (MTX), (pdb code ID: 1U72) ${ }^{18}$. The three-dimensional structures of the novel prepared pyrazolo[3,4-d] pyrimidine derivatives, in their neutral forms, were built using the builder interface of the MOE software. Besides the referred enzymes were obtained from the research collaborator for structural bioinformatics Protein Data Bank (RCSB-PDB), where the hydrogens were added after releasing the backbone and side chain of amino acid residues into the secondary structures, and then the enzymes structure was subjected to a refinement protocol by automatic connect and type to correct the loosed bonds during X-ray crystallography followed by protein potential fixation where the constraints on the enzyme were gradually removed and minimised until the RMSD gradient was $0.01 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{\circ}$ as shown in Figure 10. The active site of the utilised enzymes in this study was detected using a radius of $10.0 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$ around MTX. Conformational analysis of the newly synthesised pyra-zolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine compounds was performed using MMFF94 force field with root mean square (RMS) gradient of $0.01 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ $\mathrm{A}^{\circ}$. The flexible alignment of our novel compounds was done using the flexible alignment tool of the MOE program adjusting the energy cut off to $15 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and RMSD tolerance to 0.5 . A complete study was done for each ligand to check its fitting in the active site of the enzyme and to identify the different binding interactions involved in its fitting using MOE program software.

## Cell apoptosis assay

Flow cytometric analysis using Annexin-V-fluoresce in isothiocyanate (FITC) and propidium iodide (PI) staining kit (BD Pharmingen,


Figure 10. Chain A of DHFR enzyme with (green) methotrexate/NADPH complex ligand in the active site.

San Diego, USA) was performed to assess the apoptosis. At $70 \%$ confluence, the cells were treated with compound $\mathbf{7 f}$ at different concentrations of ( $0-8 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) for 24 h . Then after trypsinization of cells, washing with PBS and centrifugation, the cells were mixed with FITC and PI in binding buffer. Becton Dickinson (Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) was used to do the flow cytometry analysis ${ }^{16,17}$.

## Assessment of the compound 7f effect on the caspase-3/7, Bax and $\mathrm{Bc} / 2$ activities

The apoptotic effect of compound $\mathbf{7 f}$ on breast cancer cell line MCF-7 were evaluated by quantification of Bax, caspase 3/7, and Bcl-2 using Bax ELISA Kit ab199080 (ABCAM, Cambridge, UK), Caspase-Glo $3 / 7$ assay kits (Promega, Fitchburg, MA, USA), and Bcl-2 ELISA Kit ab119506 (ABCAM, Cambridge, UK), according the instructions of manufacturers ${ }^{11,14}$. The cells were treated with compounds $7 \mathrm{f}\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$, or $\left.2 \times \mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$, and the activities were shown as a percentage change from the untreated control. The experiments were performed in triplicate applying two-way ANOVA test followed by Bonferroni posttest to evaluate the significance ( $p<0.05$ ).

## Western blotting

The effect of compound $\mathbf{7 f}$ on the expression of DHFR, Casp3, Bax, and Bcl-2 proteins in MCF-7 cancer cells was evaluated by western blotting as previously performed ${ }^{20-22}$. The cancer cells treated or untreated were collected were harvested and blotted on SDS-polyacrylamide gel and separated by Cleaver electrophoresis unit (Cleaver, UK), transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, USA) for 30 min using a Semi-dry Electroblotter (Biorad, USA) at 2.5 A and 25 V for 30 min . The membranes were blocked with $5 \%$ non-fat dry milk in TBS-T for 2 h at RT and then incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with anti-DHFR, anti-Bax, anti-Bcl-2, and anti- $\beta$-actin primary antibodies (abcam, Cambridge, UK). Finally, the horse radish peroxidase (HRP)-linked secondary antibody (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was added for 1 h at room temperature. After washing, the chemiluminescent Western ECL substrate (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) was applied to
the blot and then incubated for 1 min with a mixture of equal volumes from ECL solution A and ECL solution B. The chemiluminescent signals were captured using a CCD camera-based imager (Chemi Doc imager, Biorad, USA), and the bands intensities were then measured by Image J software program. Protein-sized markers were used in all gels to localise the gel transfer regions for specific proteins and determine the transfer efficiency. The experiments were conducted in triplicate. For colorimetric assays two-way ANOVA test followed by Bonferroni posttest were used, while for quantification expression on western blot, student-t-test was used (significance $p<0.05$ ).
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