



Complete Genome Sequence of *Lactobacillus reuteri* PNW1, a Promising Probiotic Candidate

Kazeem A. Alayande,^{a,b} Olayinka A. Aiyegoro,^c  Collins N. Ateba^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Microbiology, North-West University, Mmabatho, South Africa

^bUnit for Environmental Sciences and Management, North-West University, Potchefstroom, South Africa

^cAgricultural Research Council, Animal Production Institute, Gastrointestinal Microbiology and Biotechnology Division, Irene, South Africa

ABSTRACT This study reports the whole-genome sequence of *Lactobacillus reuteri* PNW1 isolated from gastrointestinal tracts of weaned piglets of the indigenous South African Windsnyer pig breed. A total of 5.2 GB data comprising 8,209,104 paired-end reads were generated. The assembled genome is 2,430,215 bp long in 420 contigs with 39% G+C content.

Gastrointestinal tract infections have been identified as an important health disorder ravaging livestock farms and human well-being (1). Manipulation of the intestinal microbiota through the direct feeding of beneficial microorganisms in the form of probiotics may attenuate the enteric health challenge (2). Probiotics have been argued to fall into the class of the most popular bioactive and healthy functional foods (3). Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are reported to be the bacterial strains most commonly used as probiotics. Among many other direct beneficial effects to the host system, LAB have the ability to inhibit the growth of pathogens, reduce symptoms of lactose intolerance, and enhance the immune system. LAB have also demonstrated anticarcinogenic activity (4, 5). LAB are typically regarded as safe due to their long history of use as probiotics (6); however, every novel probiotic cannot be assumed to share the historical safety of the conventionally used strains (7). Just as occurs in other organisms, probiotics may possess undesirable properties. Thus, proper safety analysis is required (8).

The candidate probiotic bacterial strain was isolated from the gastrointestinal tracts of compassionately sacrificed weaned piglets of the indigenous South African Windsnyer pig breed. The organism was cultured in de Man-Rogosa-Sharpe broth under strict anaerobic conditions and incubated at 37°C for 24 h in an anaerobic jar provided with an AnaeroGen system (ThermoFisher, UK).

The bacterial genomic DNA was extracted with a DNA extraction kit (Zymo Research, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The genome was prepared using an Illumina Nextera DNA Flex library prep kit, and the run was performed on an Illumina MiSeq Platform1 system at the Agricultural Research Council, Biotechnology Platform (Pretoria, South Africa). A total of 5.2 GB data comprising 8,209,104 paired-end reads was generated, with a maximum length of 2×300 bp. The data were filtered for low-quality reads and adapter regions using Trimmomatic version 0.32 (9). The adapter sequences were clipped using a mismatch value of 2, a palindrome clip threshold of 30, and a simple clip threshold of 15. A draft genome assembly was constructed using SPAdes version 3.7.1 (10). Genome annotation was carried out by using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) and Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology (RAST) (11). The assembled genome is 2,430,215 bp long in 420 contigs (with protein-encoding genes [PEGs]) with final coverage of $1,248\times$. The N_{50} value is 28,048 bp, the number of contigs that are $\geq N_{50}$ is 24, and the average G+C content is 39%. The numbers of protein-coding sequences and structural RNAs are

Citation Alayande KA, Aiyegoro OA, Ateba CN. 2019. Complete genome sequence of *Lactobacillus reuteri* PNW1, a promising probiotic candidate. Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e00034-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00034-19>.

Editor John J. Dennehy, Queens College

Copyright © 2019 Alayande et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Collins N. Ateba, collins.ateba@nwu.ac.za.

Received 9 January 2019

Accepted 22 January 2019

Published 21 February 2019

2,581 and 79, respectively. *In silico* analyses of the genome sequence using Antibiotics and Secondary Metabolites Analysis SHell version 4.2.0 (12) identified seven different secondary metabolites on nine gene clusters. All software was employed with the default parameters. Further analysis is under way on detailed safety evaluation of the isolate.

The procedures involved in this study complied with all relevant legislation regarding the protection of animal welfare and were approved by the Agricultural Research Council, Animal Production Institute Ethics Committee (APIEC13/008).

Data availability. This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession number [RJWE00000000](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx319). The version described in this paper is version RJWE01000000. The SRA accession number is [PRJNA504734](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx319).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the Agricultural Research Council Biotechnology Platform Laboratory for providing sequencing services.

This work was supported in part by an Incentive Funding for Rated Researcher grant from the National Research Foundation, South Africa, that was awarded to O.A.A. as well as a research grant from the FNAS Research Committee of the North-West University awarded to C.N.A.

REFERENCES

- Koh SY, George S, Brözel V, Moxley R, Francis D, Kaushik RS. 2008. Porcine intestinal epithelial cell lines as a new *in vitro* model for studying adherence and pathogenesis of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. *Vet Microbiol* 130:191–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.12.018>.
- Celiberto LS, Bedani R, Rossi EA, Cavallini DCU. 2017. Probiotics: the scientific evidence in the context of inflammatory bowel disease. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 57:1759–1768. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2014.941457>.
- Bosnea LA, Moschakis T, Nigam PS, Biliaderis CG. 2017. Growth adaptation of probiotics in biopolymer-based coacervate structures to enhance cell viability. *LWT Food Sci Technol* 77:282–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2016.11.056>.
- de Moreno LA, LeBlanc JG. 2014. Effect of probiotic administration on the intestinal microbiota, current knowledge and potential applications. *World J Gastroenterol* 20:16518–16528. <https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i44.16518>.
- Plessas S, Nouska C, Karapetsas A, Kazakos S, Alexopoulos A, Mantzourani I, Chondrou P, Fournomiti M, Galanis A, Bezirtzoglou E. 2017. Isolation, characterization and evaluation of the probiotic potential of a novel *Lactobacillus* strain isolated from Feta-type cheese. *Food Chem* 226:102–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.01.052>.
- Tsai C, Leu S, Huang Q, Chou L, Huang C. 2014. Safety evaluation of multiple strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Pediococcus pentosaceus* in Wistar rats based on the Ames test and a 28-day feeding study. *Sci World J* 2014:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/928652>.
- Donohue DC. 2006. Safety of probiotics. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 15:563–569.
- Lee S, Lee J, Jin Y, Jeong J, Chang YH, Lee Y, Jeong Y, Kim M. 2017. Probiotic characteristics of *Bacillus* strains isolated from Korean traditional soy sauce. *LWT - Food Sci Technol* 79:518–524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2016.08.040>.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30:2114–2120. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170>.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* 19:455–477. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>.
- Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens R. 2014. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:206–214. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226>.
- Blin K, Wolf T, Chevrette MG, Lu X, Schwalen CJ, Kautsar SA, Suarez Duran HG, de Los Santos ELC, Kim HU, Nave M, Dickschat JS, Mitchell DA, Shelest E, Breitling R, Takano E, Lee SY, Weber T, Medema MH. 2017. antiSMASH 4.0: improvements in chemistry prediction and gene cluster boundary identification. *Nucleic Acids Res* 45:36–41. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx319>.