Data in brief 25 (2019) 104249

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib



Data Article

# Coreflooding data on nine sandstone cores to measure CO<sub>2</sub> residual trapping



Hailun Ni <sup>a, \*</sup>, Maartje Boon <sup>a</sup>, Charlotte Garing <sup>b</sup>, Sally M. Benson <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Energy Resources Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
<sup>b</sup> Department of Geology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 May 2019 Received in revised form 27 June 2019 Accepted 4 July 2019 Available online 12 July 2019

Keywords: CO<sub>2</sub> storage Residual trapping Multiphase flow Coreflooding experiment

## ABSTRACT

This data article provides detailed explanation and data on CO<sub>2</sub>/ water coreflooding experiments performed on nine sandstone rock cores. Refer to the research article "Predicting CO<sub>2</sub> Residual Trapping Ability Based on Experimental Petrophysical Properties for Different Sandstone Types" [1] for data interpretation. The reader can expect to find experimental conditions including temperature, pressure, fluid pair types, as well as flow rates. Furthermore, the raw CT images and the processed three-dimensional (3D) voxellevel porosity, permeability, and CO<sub>2</sub> saturation maps for each of the nine sandstone samples are also supplied.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## 1. Data

There are two parts to the data. The first part of the data includes Table 1, which describes the detailed experimental conditions. The second part of the data includes raw CT images and processed Matlab matrices that show 3D maps of experimental results. For each of the nine sandstone core samples, two 3D CO<sub>2</sub> saturation maps, one 3D porosity map, and one 3D permeability map are shared

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2019.04.024.

\* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104249

*E-mail addresses*: hni@stanford.edu (H. Ni), mmboon@stanford.edu (M. Boon), charlotte.garing@uga.edu (C. Garing), smbenson@stanford.edu (S.M. Benson).

<sup>2352-3409/© 2019</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Subject area	Climate science, geology, physics
More specific subject area	Multiphase flow in porous media, $CO_2$ residual trapping
Type of data	Table, figure, CT image, Matlab data file
How data was acquired	Medical CT scanner (General Electric Hi-Speed x-ray CT)
Data format	Raw and analyzed
Experimental factors	CO <sub>2</sub> /water drainage and imbibition experiments are conducted
Experimental features	CO <sub>2</sub> saturation, porosity, and permeability matrices are extracted
Data source location	Stanford, USA
Data accessibility	Repository name: Mendeley Data
	Data identification number: https://doi.org/10.17632/wrgdmhyrps.2
	Direct URL to data: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/wrgdmhyrps/2
Related research article	H. Ni, M. Boon, C. Garing, and S. M. Benson, Predicting $CO_2$ residual trapping ability based on experimental petrophysical properties for different sandstone types, Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control, 86 (2019) 158–176 [1].

#### Specifications Table

Value of the data

• The CO<sub>2</sub> saturation maps allow researchers to calculate and compare CO<sub>2</sub> residual trapping relationships for different sandstone types, and can serve as benchmarks.

- The porosity and the permeability maps can be used as digital core samples for carrying out various coreflooding simulation procedures.
- Analysis can be done on the entire dataset to explore how the underlying petrophysical properties affect the resulting CO<sub>2</sub> saturation maps and the residual trapping relationships.

with this article. The two  $CO_2$  saturation maps contain a post-drainage initial  $CO_2$  saturation map and a post-imbibition residual  $CO_2$  saturation map. Using these two  $CO_2$  saturation maps, residual trapping relationships can be calculated for all core samples provided. All of the 3D maps are illustrated in Fig. 1. Note that the permeability map of Fontainebleau2 is not as accurate as those of the other core samples, it is however still provided here for data completeness. For more information, see Ni et al. [1].

#### Table 1

Detailed ex	perimental	conditions f	or the	coreflooding	exp	eriments	performed	on	the i	nine	sandste	one	rock	cores
Dettanea en	bermenten	conditions i		corenoounng	- C11P	crincinco	periornica	~~~			ourreibe.			

Experiment name	Experimental temperature [°C]	Experimental pressure [psia]	Gas used	Water used	Initial scan flow rate [mL/min]	Residual scan flow rate [mL/min]	Conventional capillary number
Liver 1	50	1300	CO <sub>2</sub>	Pre-equilibrated deionized water	40	10	1.29E-06
Liver 2	50	1300	CO <sub>2</sub>	Pre-equilibrated deionized water	20	5	6.44E-07
Split 1	50	1300	CO <sub>2</sub>	Pre-equilibrated deionized water	20	6	7.73E-07
Split 2	50	1300	CO <sub>2</sub>	Pre-equilibrated deionized water	28	7	9.02E-07
Massillon	50	1300	CO <sub>2</sub>	Pre-equilibrated deionized water	50	15	1.93E-06
Bentheimer	50	1300	CO <sub>2</sub>	Pre-equilibrated deionized water	25	10	1.33E-06
Fontainebleau 1	50	1300	$N_2$	Nonequilibrated deionized water	5	5	6.65E-07
Fontainebleau2	50	1300	CO <sub>2</sub>	Pre-equilibrated deionized water	2	0.1	1.33E-08
Shezaf	50	1300	CO <sub>2</sub>	Pre-equilibrated deionized water	12	1	1.38E-07



Fig. 1. Illustration of the 3D porosity, permeability, and  $CO_2$  saturation maps available for the nine sandstone core samples. All voxels are coarsened and are about 2 mm  $\times$  2 mm in size.

## 2. Experimental design, materials and methods

Steady-state CO<sub>2</sub>/water coreflooding experiments at reservoir conditions have been conducted on nine sandstone rock samples. The samples come from the Berea, Massillon, Bentheimer, Fontainebleau, and the Shezaf sandstone formations. The nine core samples also have a wide range of heterogeneity and internal features. The experiments contain both drainage and imbibition stages. The CT scans with the highest post-drainage CO<sub>2</sub> saturation are selected as the initial scans and the corresponding CT scans after 100% water imbibition are selected as the residual scans to be presented with this article. Both the CO<sub>2</sub> saturation maps and the porosity maps are directly obtainable through manipulating CT images, whereas the permeability maps are calculated through an extensive iterative procedure involving reservoir simulation [2–6]. For details regarding the experimental procedure and data processing, refer to Ni et al. [1].

Table 1 lists all the experimental conditions used for the coreflooding experiments performed on the nine sandstone cores, including experimental temperature, pressure, fluid types used, flow rates, and the conventional capillary numbers. The conventional capillary numbers reported here are achieved during 100% water imbibition stages. The following properties are used to calculate the conventional capillary number for all experiments. At a pressure of 1300 psia, CO<sub>2</sub>/water interfacial tension  $\sigma = 35$  mN/m and water viscosity  $\mu = 5.4843 \times 10^{-4}$  Pa s at 50 °C [7–10]. The equation for conventional capillary number is v $\mu/\sigma$ , where v is the Darcy velocity.

Fig. 1 illustrates all the 3D maps provided with this data article. Each column of subplots shows the four 3D maps available for each of the nine sandstone core samples. The first row of subplots shows the porosity maps. The second row shows the permeability maps. The third row shows the initial CO<sub>2</sub> saturation maps and the fourth row shows the residual CO<sub>2</sub> saturation maps. All CO<sub>2</sub> saturation data provided is steady-state result and the processed data has been averaged over three independent CT scans. For exact voxel sizes, refer to Ni et al. [1]. For more details on CT scan data processing, CT scan precision, and data uncertainty analysis, see Ni et al. [1] and its supplementary material.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the following funding sources, the Center for Nanoscale Controls on Geologic  $CO_2$  (grant number DE-AC02-05CH11231), BHP Billiton's GeoCquest project, the Stanford University's Global Climate & Energy Project, and the Stanford Center for Carbon Storage.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## References

- H. Ni, M. Boon, C. Garing, S.M. Benson, Predicting CO2 residual trapping ability based on experimental petrophysical properties for different sandstone types, Int. J. Greenh. Gas Contr. 86 (2019) 158–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2019. 04.024.
- [2] M.H. Krause, S.M. Benson, Accurate determination of characteristic relative permeability curves, Adv. Water Resour. 83 (2015) 376–388. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.07.009.
- [3] M. Krause, S. Krevor, S.M. Benson, A procedure for the accurate determination of sub-core scale permeability distributions with error quantification, Transp. Porous Media 98 (2013) 565–588. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-013-0161-y.
- [4] M. H. Krause, Modeling and Investigation of the Influence of Capillary Heterogeneity on Multiphase Flow of CO2 and Brine, Stanford University, 2012.
- [5] M. Krause, Modeling and investigation of the influence of capillary heterogeneity on relative permeability, in SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, October (2012) 8–10. http://www.onepetro.org/doi/10.2118/160909-STU
- [6] S. Akin and A. R. Kovscek, Computed tomography in petroleum engineering research, Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 215 (2003) 23–38. http://sp.lyellcollection.org/lookup/doi/10.1144/GSLSP.2003.215.01.03
- [7] R. Pini, S.C.M. Krevor, S.M. Benson, Capillary pressure and heterogeneity for the CO2/water system in sandstone rocks at reservoir conditions, Adv. Water Resour. 38 (2012) 48–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.12.007.
- [8] P. Chiquet, J.-L. Daridon, D. Broseta, S. Thibeau, CO2/water interfacial tensions under pressure and temperature conditions of CO2 geological storage, Energy Convers. Manag. 48 (2007) 736-744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2006.09.011.
- [9] A. Georgiadis, G. Maitland, J.P.M. Trusler, A. Bismarck, Interfacial tension measurements of the (H 2 O + CO 2) system at Elevated pressures and temperatures, J. Chem. Eng. Data 55 (2010) 4168–4175. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ je100198g.
- [10] E. W. Lemmon, M. O. McLinden, and D. G. Friend, Thermophysical Properties of Fluid Systems, NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69. https://doi.org/10.18434/T4D303, 2018 (accessed 15 September, 2018).