

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

The importance of adaptive coping, peer support, psychological input, team resilience, and supportive management among fire and rescue service workers in maintaining wellbeing throughout the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional survey

Nicola Cogan, Amy MacDonald*

Abstract

Background Identifying wellbeing concerns among fire and rescue service workers (FRSWs) during COVID-19 is crucial to ensuring a safe and effective service is provided by these keyworkers. FRSWs are known to be at risk of developing traumatic stress-related conditions; however, little is known about the effects of COVID-19 stressors on the mental wellbeing of FRSWs. We tested two hypotheses: (1) COVID-19-related stressors (ie, risk perception, stress, burnout, and post-traumatic stress disorder [PTSD]) will predict decreased mental wellbeing and (2) protective factors will mediate the relationship between COVID-19 stressors and mental wellbeing.

Methods The study consisted of a cross-sectional online survey exploring the effects of COVID-19 on the mental wellbeing of FRSWs (n=555) in the UK, using data collected during third lockdown (between Jan 25 and March 31, 2021). The survey, advertised on social media and fire and rescue service intranets, included the COVID-19 Perceived Risk Scale, Stress Measure, Burnout Scale, PTSD Checklist–Civilian Version, and the Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale. FRSWs' perspectives on what they found helpful in maintaining their wellbeing throughout the pandemic were also explored. Quantitative data were assessed via a regression model and mediation analysis, and content analysis was done on the qualitative data collected through open-ended questions asking FRSWs about the effects on COVID-19 on their mental wellbeing and their support needs. The institutional ethics committee granted ethical approval and participants gave informed consent.

Findings Negative associations between lower scores on mental wellbeing and higher scores on COVID-19 risk factors were found for COVID-19-related stress (r=-0.49, p<0.0001) and burnout (r=-0.62, p<0.0001) scores. The independent variables, COVID-19 risk perception, stress, burnout, and PTSD outcomes, accounted for 50.90% of variance (F=118.00 [5–543], p<0.0001) and were each significant in contributing to the model. The overall mediation model was significant (F=341.14 [1–553], p<0.001) explaining 38.50% of the variance, with team resilience and adaptive coping significantly contributing towards the model. Qualitative data analysis showed that FRSWs' emphasised the need for psychological input, peer support, supportive management, and visible leadership when adapting to the challenges of the pandemic and maintaining wellbeing.

Interpretation Risks to FRSWs' mental wellbeing associated with COVID-19 stressors might be buffered by fostering team-based resilience, adaptive coping, and peer support. Offering psychological input for FRSWs who need it and adopting a trauma-informed work environment is encouraged. Supportive management and visible leadership could help FRSWs to adapt to the constant change and challenges associated with COVID-19 and in preparedness for transitioning from the response to the recovery phase of the pandemic.

Funding None.

Copyright © 2021 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contributors

All authors contributed to the study conception, design, material preparation, data collection, and data analysis. All authors approved the final version of the abstract.

Declaration of interests

We declare no competing interests.

Published Online November 26, 2021 *Early career researcher

School of Psychological Sciences & Health, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK (N Cogan PhD, A MacDonald MSc)

Correspondence to: Dr Nicola Cogan, School of Psychological Sciences and Health, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 10E, UK nicola.cogan@strath.ac.uk