© 2010 EMBO and Macmillan Publishers Limited All rights reserved 1744-4292/10

www.molecularsvstemsbiologv.com

molecular

CORRESPONDENCE

Pre-steady and stable morphogen gradients: can they coexist?

Molecular Systems Biology 6: 428; published online 16 November 2010; doi:10.1038/msb.2010.86

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial Share Alike 3.0 Unported License, which allows readers to alter, transform, or build upon the article and then distribute the resulting work under the same or similar license to this one. The work must be attributed back to the original author and commercial use is not permitted without specific permission.

In our recent paper (de Lachapelle and Bergmann, 2010), we studied two systems properties of early Drosophila embryo development: using staining images for three gap genes and the pair-rule gene Eve that were produced in an earlier study (Bergmann et al, 2007), we investigated precision and scaling of their expression domains. These images were taken not only for wild-type embryos, but also for embryos with single and quadruple dosage of maternal bicoid mRNA, inducing shifted expression domains. Interestingly, our careful quantification of precision and scaling indicates that these features are position-dependent more than gene-dependent. Indeed, when expression domains are shifted due to altered bicoid dosage, their precision and scaling properties seem to change according to their new position. In our view, this suggests that precision and scaling are, at least in part, already achieved at the level of the Bicoid gradient itself and then passed on to its target genes. Investigating models that can reproduce the position-dependent signatures of precision and scaling at the gradient level, we identify two necessary ingredients: it is essential to include nuclear trapping and an external presteady-state morpohogen gradient to achieve both maximal precision at mid-embryo and almost perfect scaling away from

In his correspondence, Johannes Jaeger (Jaeger, 2010) raises concerns about the validity of our study. He questions whether measuring the target gene properties at cycle 14 can teach us anything about the morphogen gradient, which provides positional information to the target genes much earlier. He consequently argues that the conclusions from our modeling approach are invalid, declaring pre-steady state as 'incorrect' for the Bcd gradient.

There is indeed evidence that the initial gene expression pattern continues to evolve due to gap-gene interactions, which contribute to the robustness of the final pattern (Jaeger et al, 2004, 2007; Manu et al, 2009). Our measurements of the target genes therefore provide indirect information on the properties of the Bicoid gradient. To account for this, we assessed statistically the possible impact of such drifts using the data from Surkova et al (2008) for the maximal drift within cycle 14 (Supplementary Text S1 and Figure S4 in the study by de Lachapelle and Bergmann, 2010). As our images were mostly taken during the earlier time classes, we likely overestimated the effect of these drifts. Nevertheless, we cautioned that there is a chance of about one in five (P < 0.2)

that the decrease of precision toward the posterior pole is an artifact. Yet, we find it very unlikely that this is also the case for the observed decrease toward the anterior pole (P < 0.002). Importantly, within our modeling framework, this alone favors that the external Bicoid gradient is at pre-steady state at the decoding time, as we could only reproduce this result with a pre-steady-state gradient and assuming noise in either the production or nuclear trapping rates.

The other argument in favor of the validity of our indirect measurements of precision is that the observed positional profile for the different downstream genes (for varying bicoid dosage) is similar to that measured directly for the Bicoid gradient (Gregor et al, 2007a). Thus, there is a clear need for models that give rise to maximal precision at mid-embryo.

Any modeling approach needs to make simplifications and thus provides at best an approximate description of the real system with all its complexities. Modeling of the gap gene dynamics so far has used additive models for Bicoid activation and gap genes mutual repression, and requires fitting many parameters (Jaeger et al, 2004; Manu et al, 2009). These and other simplifications may be the reason why these models still have difficulties in explaining some observations, such as the shifts of the gap gene expression domains induced by altered bicoid dosage (Bergmann et al, 2007). We thus believe that it is legitimate to model only the gradient evolution and assume a simple French-flag decoding when trying to qualitatively reproduce systems properties, such as the position-dependent behavior of precision and scaling. At the same time, we agree that our proposed model should be tested using positional expression data from earlier embryos and further quantification of the Bicoid gradient. For this end, it would be very useful to make publicly available the raw image data, as we have done in our study. In particular, this is necessary to validate our observation that domain positions retain their proportions across embryos of different lengths (except for the most anterior part of the embryo). Our proposed position-dependent measure of scaling would in fact also allow to assess the scaling behavior of the Bicoid gradient itself when applied to a sufficient number of unnormalized expression profiles.

Our search for models was guided by simplicity, but also took into account the results from Gregor et al (2007b) who showed that nuclear Bicoid concentrations remain constant from cycle 10 on. Yet, as we argued previously (Bergmann et al, 2008), this is fully consistent with, and actually requires, an evolving external gradient. Thus, nuclear trapping slows down the evolution of the external gradient, which remains longer at pre-steady state, while the divisions and changing sizes of the nuclei effectively stabilize the nuclear concentrations. Interestingly, this intriguing combination might actually yield an increase in overall pattern robustness, as the external superexponentially decaying profile is more robust to fluctuations in the production, degradation and nuclear trapping rates (Bergmann et al, 2007; de Lachapelle and Bergmann, 2010), while stabilized nuclear concentrations allow for longer averaging times, thus reducing read-out stochastic noise (Saunders and Howard, 2009). Coexistence of an external pre-steady-state gradient and stabilized nuclear concentrations might therefore be the start to robust patterning in Drosophila early embryo development.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Aitana Morton de Lachapelle and Sven Bergmann Department of Medical Genetics, Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

References

Bergmann S, Sandler O, Sberro H, Shnider S, Scheiter E, Shilo B-Z, Barkai N (2007) Pre-steady-state decoding of the Bicoid morphogen gradient. PLoS Biol 5: e46

- Bergmann S, Tamari Z, Scheiter E, Shilo B-Z, Barkai N (2008) Re-examining the stability of the Bicoid morphogen gradient. Cell 132: 15-17
- de Lachapelle AM, Bergmann S (2010) Precision and scaling in morphogen gradient read-out. Mol Syst Biol 6: 351
- Gregor T, Tank DW, Wieschaus EF, Bialek W (2007a) Probing the limits to positional information. Cell 130: 153-164
- Gregor T, Wieschaus EF, McGregor AP, Bialek W, Tank DW (2007b) Stability and nuclear dynamics of the bicoid morphogen gradient. Cell 130: 141-152
- Jaeger J (2010) A matter of timing and precision. Mol Syst Biol 6: 427 Jaeger J. Sharp DH, Reinitz J (2007) Known maternal gradients are not sufficient for the establishment of gap domains in Drosophila melanogaster. Mech Dev 124: 108-128
- Jaeger J, Surkova S, Blagov M, Janssens H, Kosman D, Kozlov KN, Manu, Myasnikova E, Vanario-Alonso CE, Samsonova M, Sharp DH, Reinitz J (2004) Dynamic control of positional information in the early *Drosophila* embryo. *Nature* **430:** 368–371
- Manu, Surkova S, Spirov AV, Gursky VV, Janssens H, Kim A-R, Radulescu O, Vanario-Alonso CE, Sharp DH, Samsonova M, Reinitz J (2009) Canalization of gene expression and domain shifts in the Drosophila blastoderm by dynamical attractors. PLoS Comput Biol 5: e1000303
- Saunders T, Howard M (2009) When it pays to rush: interpreting morphogen gradients prior to steady-state. Phys Biol 6: 046020
- Surkova S, Kosman D, Kozlov K, Manu, Myasnikova E, Samsonova AA, Spirov A, Vanario-Alonso CE, Samsonova M, Reinitz J (2008) Characterization of the Drosophila segment determination morphome. Dev Biol 313: 844-862

Molecular Systems Biology is an open-access journal published by European Molecular Biology Organization and Nature Publishing Group. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.