

What the HIV Pandemic Experience Can Teach the United States About the COVID-19 Response

*Steffanie A. Strathdee, PhD,^a Natasha K. Martin, DPhil,^a Eileen V. Pitpitan, PhD,^b
Jamila K. Stockman, PhD,^a and Davey M. Smith, MD^a*

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 epidemic that first began in China in early 2020 has quickly become a pandemic that is having a tremendous impact on the physical and mental health of populations and how they live, work, and socialize. The last time a respiratory virus caused a pandemic with such far-reaching consequences was in 1918, when H1N1 influenza killed nearly 50 million people worldwide.¹ However, since the early 1980s, the world has been dealing with another devastating pandemic, when the virus first known as Human T-cell Lymphotropic Virus III—and later HIV—was first identified as the causative agent responsible for AIDS.

By the end of 2018, 75 million people had been infected with HIV, of whom 32 million have died and 1.7 million people were newly infected that year.² The number of reported HIV cases in the United States overall is relatively low (1.2 million) and has been relatively stable for the past several years (13.3 per 100,000) relative to many low-income and middle-income countries, especially those in Africa, Central and Southeast Asia, and Eastern Europe. However, national HIV surveillance data mask disproportionate incidence among Blacks and Latinx, especially young men who have sex with men (MSM), and those living in southern states,³ as well as people who inject drugs (PWID) in many rural and semiurban areas.⁴ For more than 30 years, researchers, civil society, and policymakers have worked to prevent HIV transmission by developing and scaling up interventions aimed at individual-level and community-level behavior changes. International teams and networks of researchers, philanthropists, nongovernmental organizations, and pharmaceutical companies have also strived to develop efficacious antiviral treatments and ensure equitable access and are still pursuing efficacious preventive and therapeutic HIV vaccines.

For those on the frontlines of the HIV pandemic, the early days of the COVID-19 pandemic has brought a surreal sense of *déjà vu*. Although HIV and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) have different viral lineages and their transmission routes differ, the world's experience with the HIV pandemic can still offer insights about how we can improve our response to COVID-19. In their recent commentary, Hargreaves et al offered 3 lessons that the HIV epidemic has taught us to anticipate and address inequalities, create an enabling environment for behavior change, and launch a multidisciplinary effort to design and evaluate interventions that promote behavior change.⁵ We agree and offer additional lessons from the HIV epidemic that can help chart a path to reduce morbidity and mortality associated with COVID-19. We focus our discussion primarily on the United States, which has borne the greatest burden of reported COVID-19 cases to date.

Received for publication July 7, 2020; accepted September 17, 2020.

From the ^aDivision of Infectious Diseases and Global Public Health, Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA; and ^bSan Diego State University, School of Social Work, San Diego, CA.

All authors acknowledge support from NIH/NIAID Grant P30 AI036214. S.A.S. is also supported by NIH/NIDA Grants R37 DA019829 and R01 DA049644-01S1. E.V.P. is also supported by NIH/NIDA Grant R01 DA042666. J.K.S. is also supported by NIH/NIAID Grant R01 AI128803. N.K.M. is also supported by NIAID/NIDA Grant R01 AI147490 and has received unrestricted research grants unrelated to this work from Gilead and Merck.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Correspondence to: Steffanie A. Strathdee, PhD, Division of Infectious Diseases and Global Public Health, Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, MC0507 La Jolla, CA 92093 (e-mail: sstrathdee@health.ucsd.edu).

Copyright © 2020 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

THE IMPORTANCE OF SURVEILLANCE AND DIAGNOSTIC TESTING

Although the history of the HIV pandemic is well known to most *JAIDS* readers, it is helpful to review hallmarks of its epidemiology to compare with the recent COVID-19 pandemic. In 1981, it was first reported that young gay men were dying of rare illnesses associated with immune suppression in cities such as Los Angeles and New York,⁶ followed by similar observations among blood transfusion recipients and PWID. Health officials recognized that they were dealing with a new infectious disease that eventually spread to every continent through infected body fluids, predominantly infected blood and semen. However, it was not until 1984 that its etiology was linked to a novel virus: HIV.^{7,8}

Although it was determined years later that HIV had jumped from chimpanzees to humans in the 1950s and subsequently spread widely in the US gay male community in the 1970s,⁹ numerous conspiracy theories persisted that HIV was invented by the US government or the pharmaceutical industry, which at times has significantly hampered efforts to promote HIV prevention and treatment.^{10,11} Once the syndrome was described, scientists started work on identifying the etiology and developing a diagnostic test. Yet, it took almost 4 years from the first report of AIDS in the literature to the time when an HIV antibody test was available, and another 12 to develop a viral detection assay to diagnose acute HIV infection.¹²

In contrast to HIV, SARS-CoV-2 was detected relatively quickly. Astute health officials and epidemiologists identified new respiratory infections and deaths from an unknown cause in Wuhan, China, between November and December 2019, although some speculate it may have first appeared months earlier.¹³ Using next generation amplification and sequencing technologies that were not available in the 1980s, scientists worked quickly to identify the pathogen as a novel coronavirus that was eventually designated as SARS-CoV-2.¹⁴ Teams investigating the infection benefited from lessons learned from the relatively recent epidemics of related coronaviruses, SARS-CoV-1 that emerged in 2003¹⁵ and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) in 2012.¹⁶ Despite rumors that SARS-CoV-2 was genetically engineered in Wuhan or the United States, sequencing of the SARS-CoV-2 genome confirmed that it was not derived or purposefully manipulated in a laboratory.¹⁷ All 3 coronaviruses have been found to originate in animals, with SARS-CoV-1 being found in civets and MERS being traced to camels.¹⁸ SARS-CoV-2's genetic composition closely resembles that of bats, although some studies have implicated an intermediate host such as a pangolin.^{16,18}

With the sequence of the pathogen known, scientists quickly turned to develop polymerase chain reaction-based methods for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA. Because HIV is a chronic viral infection and SARS-CoV-2 is limited to an acute infection, their testing strategies are different. In general, any test that identifies the presence of HIV RNA or past infection with HIV through serology reflects that the person has been HIV infected, whereas only a test that can

detect the presence of virus such as a nucleic acid or antigen test is useful for identifying active (ie, acute) infection with SARS-CoV-2. The main advantage of serological testing for SARS-CoV-2 is epidemiologic surveillance, but because SARS-CoV-2 infection may cause disease long after active infection is resolved, antibody testing may be helpful in identifying the cause of associated symptoms, although specific treatment for such sequelae is currently lacking. Given strides in technology that were first developed for HIV, molecular SARS-CoV-2 assays were rapidly deployed around the world. Although the validity of many tests was initially suboptimal, they were sufficient for diagnosing most people who had become infected and provided alarming evidence that the scope of the epidemic was quickly expanding.^{19,20}

The first tests for HIV usually took weeks for results to return, and if the test was positive, confirmatory tests such as the western blot were required. This not only delayed diagnosis of persons with HIV but also implementation of contact tracing. New tests were eventually developed to reliably diagnose a person at point-of-care (POC), within minutes of testing.¹² These next generation POC tests allowed persons with HIV to immediately initiate life-saving antiretroviral treatment (ART).²¹

A similar testing process is happening with the COVID-19 pandemic, albeit at a faster pace. Encouraged by diagnostic companies, next-generation diagnostics are materializing, including POC viral nucleic acid or antigen tests and serologies. Once available, POC tests can be rapidly deployed to diagnose persons with SARS-CoV-2, enabling people to learn when they should self-isolate and allowing health officials to use rapid contact tracing. Such procedures would greatly enhance prevention measures.¹⁹ Because of ongoing delays in the scale up of SARS-CoV-2 testing in the United States, health officials are also turning to pooling approaches that proved to be cost-effective and scalable earlier in the HIV epidemic and in resource-limited settings.^{22–24}

HIV AND COVID-19 DISPROPORTIONATELY AFFECT DISADVANTAGED POPULATIONS AND COMMUNITIES OF COLOR

Since the beginning of the HIV epidemic, communities of color and disadvantaged populations have been disproportionately affected. As of 2018, Black and Latinx populations in the United States accounted for 69% of new HIV infections.³ Compared with Whites, Blacks and Latinx have an 8.2 and 3.4 times greater HIV incidence, respectively. HIV mortality is also higher for Blacks and Latinx with rates being 6.5 and 1.8 times greater than Whites,³ respectively. Globally, other populations that have disproportionately high burdens of HIV infection are those with unequal opportunities and/or social exclusion, including sex workers,²⁵ MSM,²⁶ PWID,²⁷ prisoners,²⁸ and transgender persons.²⁹ Racial and ethnic minorities and disadvantaged populations also have poor outcomes along the HIV care continuum, including lower rates of linkage and retention in care, later initiation of ART, and lower adherence leading to suboptimal viral suppression.^{30,31}

Existing surveillance data on COVID-19 similarly indicate a disproportionate burden of morbidity and mortality is borne among communities of color.^{32,33} Racial/ethnic minorities are more likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2 than Whites.³⁴ Blacks account for 22% of infections, yet comprise 13% of the US population; Latinx account for 33% of infections but 18% of the population.³⁵ Blacks are almost 3 times more likely to be hospitalized for COVID-19 than Whites.³⁶ Across the United States, COVID-19 mortality rates are 80% higher for Blacks and over 50% higher for Latinx relative to Whites.³⁷ COVID-19 data for disadvantaged populations are currently limited to outbreaks in concentrated settings, but these highlight emerging disparities among people who are incarcerated and unstably housed or homeless.^{38–40}

SOCIAL AND STRUCTURAL FACTORS ARE POTENT EPIDEMIC DRIVERS

Social and structural determinants are those that are exogenous to the individual, which can fuel disparities in viral transmission and disease among communities of color and disadvantaged populations. For example, stigma and discrimination can increase HIV risk and undermine HIV prevention and treatment, especially among racial and ethnic minorities and socially marginalized populations. HIV stigma is grounded in the intersectionality of HIV infection, racism, homophobia, and sexism^{41,42}; stigmatization often manifests into fear of and experience of discrimination in health care settings.⁴³

In the beginning of the HIV epidemic, MSM were singled out for abuse as they were blamed for spreading HIV. AIDS was initially referred to as “Gay-Related Infectious Disease”,⁴⁴ a label that was seized on by sensational media outlets that published headlines referring to the “gay plague.” Human rights violations, stigma, and discrimination perpetuated against sexual minorities, and PWID continue to be major barriers to accessing HIV prevention interventions, testing, and ART.^{45,46} Mistrust in the health care system consistently translates to reduced engagement in HIV prevention behaviors^{47,48} and poor retention in HIV care and medication adherence.^{49,50} Fear of discrimination due to testing positive has been documented among people living with HIV who delay testing.

Comparable stigmatization in communities of color stemming from systemic racism and discrimination has already influenced the COVID-19 pandemic. When the origin of the outbreak was reported in China, Asians and Asian Americans were targeted and labelled by key figures, including the President of the United States who referred to SARS-COV-2 as the “Chinese virus” and COVID-19 as “Kung Flu.” People of Chinese and other Asian descent became victims of physical and verbal abuse. Whereas HIV was used as justification to uphold homophobic legislation, COVID-19 is being used as an excuse to promote racism and social exclusion, further inciting racial tensions.^{51,52} When repercussions exist for testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, such as lost wages or being shunned by peers, it should be anticipated that some people will delay testing and/or hide their positive test results.

The physical environment in which people live, work, and spend time can confer HIV risk or protection. For example, among PWID, physical HIV risks include drug-injecting locations, jails, and prisons as well as drug trafficking routes.⁴⁶ Among sex workers, establishments such as brothels and bars sometimes offer free access to condoms and therefore pose a lower risk of HIV transmission than sex work that occurs in the street.^{53,54} Racial and ethnic minorities are also burdened by an increased risk of HIV because of their living conditions, including racial residential segregation, whereas residing in densely populated areas and multigenerational households⁵⁵ can increase the risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2. Residing in homeless shelters, overcrowding, poor ventilation, close habitation, or dormitory-style housing and poor personal hygiene due to restrictions on soap, cleaning supplies, and hand sanitizer⁵⁶ pose additional risks. Residing in high-poverty areas where there is limited access to health care likely contributes to delayed COVID-19 testing and presenting with more advanced disease.

Economic factors, policies, and politics are also potent drivers underlying infectious disease transmission. During government-mandated stay-at-home orders, most critical essential workers (eg, service industry, agriculture, nursing, and correctional staff) are racial and ethnic minorities, rendering them more likely to interact with someone infected with SARS-CoV-2.⁵⁵ Racial and ethnic minorities are more likely to be employed in minimum wage jobs where they lack paid sick leave and experience unsafe or limited workplace protections,⁵⁷ which creates pressure to work while sick or to avoid advocating for a safer workplace.

In the early 1980s, then US President Ronald Reagan was criticized for his silence on the HIV/AIDS epidemic; he did not publicly refer to AIDS or use the word “condom” until 1985. During the COVID-19 epidemic, President Donald Trump derided face masks and until recently, refused to wear one. As a result of these leadership failures, both diseases and the prevention strategies needed to mitigate them have been undermined because they have become intensely politicized.

SYNDEMICS EXACERBATE POOR HEALTH OUTCOMES

People who are at risk for or are living with HIV often live in the margins of society. It is in these margins that individuals are more likely to experience a multitude of negative conditions that interact to produce and sustain poor health.⁵⁸ This phenomenon has been termed “syndemics,” a combination of the words “synergy” and “epidemics.”⁵⁹ Many marginalized and disadvantaged individuals experience high rates of substance use (eg, alcohol and drug use),⁶⁰ adverse mental health (eg, depression and post traumatic stress disorder),⁶¹ and violence (eg, police, community, and intimate partner violence).^{62,63} The factors described above contribute toward a multitude of negative syndemic conditions that co-occur and that are additively associated with increased risks for acquiring HIV, such as condomless sex, multi-person use of syringes among PWID, and poor engagement in HIV care.^{59,64–66} These conditions give rise to a number

of other overlapping epidemics, such as those caused by hepatitis B and C viruses, sexually transmitted infections, and tuberculosis.⁶⁷

The same syndemic conditions that fuel HIV transmission and their root causes have played a role in the COVID-19 pandemic. For example, just as food insecurity can be a potent driver of survival sex work in low-income and high-income settings,^{68,69} it is also one reason why some people in low-income and middle-income countries seek out bushmeat in jungles or wet markets, which can spark zoonotic infections, such as HIV, SARS-CoV-1, and SARS-CoV-2.⁷⁰

Structural and individual factors contribute to syndemics among disadvantaged and marginalized communities, particularly communities of color, and will exacerbate the likelihood of experiencing the negative and serious health consequences of COVID-19, as well as “deaths of despair”^{72,73} from substance use (eg, drug overdose, alcohol-related liver disease, and suicide). Systemic or structural factors contributing to syndemics include residential segregation, which is associated with a shortage of mental health professionals available to provide support⁷⁴ and higher rates of substance use.^{75,76} At an individual level, people of color experience everyday violence and discrimination that can proliferate stress, affect immune function, and increase susceptibility to illness and poor health outcomes.^{77,78} Alcohol and/or drugs may be used as a coping mechanism for discrimination and the stress that culminates from poor life circumstances.⁷⁹ As a result, top-down processes (ie, from broader institutional and social structures down to individual-level outcomes and behaviors) may function to produce higher rates of comorbidities. The process is likely cyclical, with bottom-up processes functioning to sustain the (inequitable) status quo. For instance, Black and Latinx youth who engage in substance use and experience poor mental health may face worse academic outcomes, contributing further to economic racial/ethnic disparities.⁸⁰

THE IMPORTANCE OF STRUCTURAL INTERVENTIONS

Early in the HIV epidemic, prevention scientists focused on understanding and changing individual-level determinants of poor health behavior and HIV.^{82,83} This approach neglected root causes. Now that scientists have begun to recognize the importance of social determinants, they are turning to multilevel approaches and those directed at HIV and accompanying syndemics (eg, mental health disorders, addiction, gender-based violence, homelessness, and food insecurity).⁸⁴⁻⁹⁰

Some HIV prevention programs have successfully used community mobilization by enlisting stakeholders in their development and implementation. For example, to counter stigma and discrimination among MSM in the early 1980s, gay communities created nongovernmental organizations such as ACT UP, the Treatment Action Group, and Gay Men’s Health Crisis to provide prevention advice, HIV care, and advocacy to promote compassionate access to experi-

mental treatments.⁹¹ The American Foundation for AIDS Research was founded in 1983, followed by the International AIDS Society in 1988, which promoted advocacy, networking, and sharing of scientific data. Elsewhere, community mobilization and advocacy were responsible for monumental victories among disadvantaged populations, such as the provision of ART to all people living with HIV in Brazil,⁹² approvals for generic nevirapine for HIV-positive pregnant women,⁹³ and expansion of voluntary medical male circumcision among primarily Black men in sub-Saharan Africa.⁹⁴

Although it is early in the COVID-19 pandemic, some survivors have begun to organize. Such grassroots efforts may be needed not just for social support but to advocate for health care reforms because millions of people who have become unemployed during the epidemic have lost employer-subsidized health insurance. COVID-19 patients who suffer long-term health sequelae could also be excluded from health insurance programs on the basis of having “pre-existing conditions.”^{96,97} To reduce morbidity and mortality related to COVID-19, community leaders should be enlisted to disseminate education, promote testing, and public health prevention strategies (eg, social distancing and facemasks) through social networking, shown to be successful in HIV prevention efforts in communities of color.^{98,99} Because the ongoing Black Lives Matter protests have highlighted broader social and structural inequalities, there is due diligence to leverage community involvement to support collaborative public health responses that will ultimately bridge access to adequate health care. By demanding equity in the Black community, it may be possible to leverage Black Lives Matter protests as an opportunity to *reduce* racial health disparities. For example, at a time when SARS-CoV-2 is surging in many US states, a federal mandate requiring facemasks in public could reduce stigma, backlash, and violence against Black men who continue to be disproportionately targeted by police. Reports of increases in police arrests of homeless people who cannot adhere to shelter-in-place orders could be addressed by police education programs that bundle occupational safety with harm reduction, as has been conducted for HIV.¹⁰⁰

Historically, the disease-specific response and resources dedicated to addressing the HIV epidemic were known as AIDS exceptionalism.¹⁰¹ This concept began as a Western response to HIV and its disproportionate impact on specific groups, in which HIV was positioned as not only a health condition but also a social issue that required a political, as well as a medical, response.¹⁰¹ Through AIDS exceptionalism, vertical programming for HIV care and treatment was established; however, horizontal programming efforts—community-based or patient-centered paradigms of care—have evolved as an optimal solution to addressing HIV prevention and treatment and comorbidities among disproportionately affected populations.^{102,103} At the beginning of the COVID-19 epidemic, vertical programming was initiated, whereby a significant proportion of resources were directed toward testing and treatment. However, there is a critical need for the integration of surveillance, testing, and treatment of COVID-19 into programming efforts for other syndemics that affect the same communities. Integrated programming efforts that are community based and patient centered are essential

given scarce allocation of medical resources during the COVID-19 epidemic,¹⁰⁴ especially those that address underlying social and structural drivers among disproportionately affected populations.

Structural interventions also include policy changes. In 1990, the US Congress passed a bill enacting the Ryan White AIDS program that became the largest federal-funded program in the United States for people living with HIV, increasing access to ART for disadvantaged populations.¹⁰⁵ On the other hand, the United States was much slower than the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, and Western Europe in adopting legislation to allow federal support for needle exchange programs that can significantly reduce the risk of needle sharing and HIV incidence among PWID. Yet, when the US Congressional ban on the use of federal funds to support needle exchange programs was finally overturned in December 2015 after an HIV outbreak among PWID in rural Indiana, it had bipartisan support.¹⁰⁶

In US states that did not endorse the Affordable Care Act (ACA), low-income people without health insurance may lack access to COVID-19 testing and care. However, by intervening at a macrolevel, structural interventions may not only reduce incidence and deaths from HIV and COVID-19 but also “deaths of despair.” By integrating free testing and contact tracing programs for multiple infections of public health importance (eg, HIV, hepatitis C virus, sexually transmitted infections, and SARS-CoV-2), it could be possible to simultaneously test for all infections and coordinate contact tracing when necessary, which would also reduce costs and stigma.¹⁰⁷ Macrolevel policy changes (expanding access to ACA marketplaces) can provide more immediate critical assistance with COVID-19 testing and care to individuals who have lost their jobs and health insurance.⁹⁷ Beyond COVID-19, the ACA was shown to improve timely diagnosis and care in HIV and chronic conditions, medication adherence, and overall health for low-income individuals.^{108–110} Other structural approaches that could have a more immediate impact on curbing SARS-CoV-2 community transmission include ensuring paid sick leave, extending moratoriums on rental and mortgage payments, providing housing for individuals who are homeless, and providing hand-washing stations in areas with a higher concentration of people experiencing homelessness.^{97,111–114}

ACCELERATING THE PACE OF EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENTS AND VACCINES

When faced with a new infectious disease that has both high incidence and mortality, scientists face dual pressure to develop treatments to reduce morbidity as well as vaccines that can prevent infection. With the AIDS death toll mounting in the 1980s and activists placing pressure on governments, scientists needed substantial infrastructure and funding. The first drug that showed efficacy against HIV, zidovudine, was a re-purposed cancer drug.¹¹⁶ When it was clear that zidovudine would not be enough, a large clinical trials group was established by the National Institute of Health in 1987 to partner with pharmaceutical companies to assist in the development and validation of new antiretroviral agents specifically developed for HIV, the AIDS Clinical Trials

Group (ACTG). This group has pioneered the rigorous evaluation of all currently available HIV medications, culminating in the one-pill once-a-day regimens we have now.¹¹⁷ The ACTG was later, followed by the HIV Prevention Trials Network and the HIV Vaccine Trials Network, and Centers for AIDS Research at multiple US universities, which were also funded by the National Institute of Health.¹¹⁸

Similar efforts are taking place for COVID-19, with a drug initially developed for SARS-CoV-1 and MERS, remdesivir, being re-purposed to treat SARS-CoV-2.¹¹⁹ Other drugs used to treat a variety of other diseases that had potential activity against SARS-CoV-2 were also tested, including hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, ritonavir, and lopinavir.^{120,121} Initially, only remdesivir has showed efficacy for the treatment of COVID-19,¹¹⁹ but in June 2020, a clinical trial reported that a relatively inexpensive steroid, dexamethasone, reduced mortality among severely ill COVID-19 patients but not in patients with milder infections.¹²² The next generation of anti-SARS-CoV-2 agents are already being developed and tested, including viral enzyme inhibitors, small interfering RNA agents, and monoclonal antibodies. These classes of agents also have a history in the treatment of HIV.¹²³ Similarly, they are being rigorously tested in clinical trials groups with partnerships from pharmaceutical companies, including the ACTG.¹²⁴ These trials have benefited from the existing infrastructure developed to respond to the HIV pandemic, as well as adaptive study designs that allow multisite clinical trials to nimbly test numerous therapies using the same control group.

Like HIV, there is no vaccine available for SARS-CoV-2. It was widely touted in 1982 by Margaret Heckler that there would be an HIV vaccine within a year,¹²⁵ and yet after almost 4 decades, the world is still waiting. Similar remarks are being made about a vaccine for SARS-CoV-2.¹²⁶ Soon after SARS-CoV-2 was discovered, open source sequences for numerous isolates were shared on the internet, enabling unprecedented collaborations between scientists around the world that is accelerating the pace of innovation.¹²⁷ Although it is remarkable that 38 SARS-CoV-2 vaccines were undergoing evaluation in human clinical trials by September 2020, 3 of which had entered phase III, SARS-CoV-2 vaccines face considerable challenges, suggesting that expectations should be tempered. Even in the absence of an HIV vaccine, great strides have been made in our understanding of the immune responses needed to protect against HIV infection, and such knowledge will greatly assist in the development of a vaccine for SARS-CoV-2. Efforts are needed now to address growing levels of vaccine hesitancy and mistrust associated with the anti-vax movement, which is already unraveling decades' worth of public health efforts to achieve herd immunity for other infectious diseases, such as measles, pertussis, and diphtheria.¹²⁸ Social media is an under-used tool that could be harnessed to promote science communication and to counteract misinformation about vaccines (ie, “infodemics”).¹²⁹

THE IMPORTANCE OF EPIDEMIOLOGIC DATA TO INFORM POLICY

Epidemic and economic modeling are critical tools to inform policy responses and resource allocation during the

HIV and COVID-19 pandemics. At best, models can help understand epidemic drivers, predict and assess intervention impact, and optimally allocate funding.^{130,131} However, they can also be inaccurate, which can lead to erroneous policy decisions. An analysis of 10 HIV models for South Africa¹³² found that all projected lower prevalence compared with a subsequent household survey in 2012 and may have been overly optimistic about the impact of ART on HIV transmission. This led to an underestimation of resources needed for HIV treatment and prevention in South Africa, which continues to experience some of the highest HIV incidence rates in the world. Compared with previous models, however, these models performed better at capturing the overall magnitude and age distribution of the epidemic as well as mortality changes, which was attributed to investments in surveillance data informing the models' assumptions.

Three key lessons emerge from these and other modeling successes and missteps. First, good data are essential; good models cannot compensate for bad or missing data. Especially for emerging infectious diseases, rigorous population-based epidemiological studies are required to obtain unbiased estimates of critical parameters, such as prevalence, rates of asymptomatic disease, hospitalization, and mortality. In India, sentinel surveillance among pregnant women and high-risk groups led to estimations that India had the highest burden of HIV in the world, but subsequent general population surveys found previous estimates were overestimated by 2–3 fold.¹³³

In the United States., the lack of widespread SARS-CoV-2 testing and the failure to quickly establish population-based and sentinel surveillance systems and reporting requirements led to a major failure in case ascertainment and contact tracing and undermined the ability to obtain rigorous epidemiological data. Some counties and states delayed reporting key data, such as hospitalizations and deaths, hampering monitoring and modeling based on these key metrics. Meaningful investments in surveillance and epidemiological data collection are essential and are urgently needed to understand the epidemic and inform modeling. It is also imperative to maintain transparency in SARS-CoV-2 testing, hospitalization, and mortality data to enable timely epidemiologic analysis and modeling to inform policy. When data are uncertain or unavailable, sensitivity analyses are critical to understanding how different assumptions may change model outcomes and can help prioritize data collection.

Second, models need to be validated against real data to verify predictive performance and iteratively refined with new data. For example, modeling groups in the United States and elsewhere developed online interactive “dashboards” as part of the public health resource. In some cases, however, these models relied on data from settings not generalizable to the United States or made incorrect assumptions¹³⁴ about the impact of social distancing interventions and led to frustration, confusion, and inappropriate messaging around the importance of social distancing and likelihood of resurgence after relaxation of stay-at-home orders.

Third, models incorporating historical context, structural drivers, and disparities are essential to informing the

tailored prevention responses needed. A “one-size-fits-all” response ignores local complexity in structural risks and drivers, population heterogeneity in risk and behavior, disparities, and differential epidemic stages. Strategies required for ending the HIV epidemic in Atlanta, where the most vulnerable to HIV infection are young Black MSM will differ from rural US counties hard hit by rising rates of injecting drug use and HIV.^{130,135} Disparities in health care access by race will continue to fuel disparities in HIV incidence unless directly addressed.¹³⁰ Decentralized and integrated HIV prevention and care can increase access to services among underserved populations and provide synergistic benefits.¹³⁶

Similarly, the surge of COVID-19 in rural US settings after a decline in New York and other northeastern cities¹³⁷ and the disparate approaches to social distancing across states¹³⁸ emphasize the need for local perspectives. The disproportionate number of racial and ethnic minorities living in densely populated housing areas (due to historical housing segregation and regulation) that confer a higher risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission should be considered in a local context. Furthermore, the over-representation of Blacks in essential worker occupations and resulting higher risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection and mortality¹³⁹ should be incorporated into models that examine how to reduce disparities. Explicit consideration of these structural factors and disparities that shape risk and outcomes, informed by data, is needed for models to accurately inform effective local strategies.

CONCLUSIONS

At the time of writing in October 2020, the United States accounted for one fifth of the world's reported COVID-19 cases, SARS-CoV-2 incidence reached record levels in 20 states and the national 7-day daily average for new cases and deaths was approximately 70,000 and 800, respectively. Despite this, there is still no national mandate for basic prevention precautions, such as facemasks or social distancing. Although the United States response to the HIV epidemic has had its flaws, HIV/AIDS prevention and treatment improved after the implementation of national AIDS strategies, and the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Research became known as a global leader in scaling up HIV treatment in lower-income and middle-income countries. By contrast, the United States response to COVID-19 has been an unmitigated disaster mired in testing delays, shortages of personal protective equipment, uneven reporting, highly politicized public health recommendations, and a continued lack of a national plan to mitigate ongoing transmission.

Decisive leadership that develops policies grounded in scientific evidence is key to charting a path forward. The proposed United States withdrawal from the World Health Organization will only weaken attempts for a coordinated, multilateral response to this pandemic and could have a ripple effect on others, such as antimicrobial resistance. Already it is anticipated that COVID-19 will erase 20 years' worth of prevention gains for HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria.¹⁴⁰ In July 2020, the United States bought the world stockpile of remdesivir, one of the only drugs shown to have antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2, leaving the rest of the world

reeling. Experts fear that the US federal government’s plan to select promising SARS-CoV-2 vaccine candidates and bring them to scale by the end of 2020 (“Operation Warp Speed”) are intended only for Americans and may overlook promising candidates because the operation excludes those developed in China.¹²⁶ The COVID-19 pandemic will not end if the United States or other countries take an ethnocentric approach by stockpiling essential medicines, vaccines, or personal protective equipment. Instead, all countries need to recognize that the sustainability of civilization is inexorably linked to that of inequitable and unsustainable resource utilization, a core tenet of planetary health.¹⁴¹ Decisions about access to future life-saving treatments and vaccines should be made jointly and with transparency through a process that includes representatives from affected communities and based on ethical principles, such as health as a human right.

COVID-19 has exposed the “fault lines” in our society.¹⁴² It is a national tragedy that the window of opportunity to prevent a widespread COVID-19 epidemic in the United States has already closed, but it is not too late to implement a mitigation strategy that can save thousands of lives. The question is whether the country is prepared to learn from its past successes and mistakes with the HIV epidemic and develops a nation-wide plan that puts politics aside and prioritizes saving lives.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge helpful discussions with Thomas L. Patterson and Sharon Park for manuscript preparation. This paper is dedicated to all essential workers on the frontlines who have faced considerable health risks that are directly or indirectly associated with COVID-19.

REFERENCES

1. Nickol ME, Kindrachuk J. A year of terror and a century of reflection: perspectives on the great influenza pandemic of 1918-1919. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2019;19:117.
2. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). *UNAIDS Data 2019.* 2019. Available at: <https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2019/2019-UNAIDS-data>. Accessed July 2, 2020.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). *HIV Surveillance Report.* Vol. 31. 2018. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance.html>. Accessed July 2, 2020.
4. Strathdee SA, Kuo I, El-Bassel N, et al. Preventing HIV among people who inject drugs in the United States Plus ça change, Plus ça Mème Chose. *AIDS.* 2020;30:1997–2005.
5. Hargreaves J, Davey C, Group for lessons from pandemic HIVpftC-r. Three lessons for the COVID-19 response from pandemic HIV. *Lancet HIV.* 2020;7:e309–e311.
6. Gottlieb M, Schanker H, Fan P, et al. Pneumocystis pneumonia—Los Angeles. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 1981;30:1–3.
7. Montagnier L, Chermann JC, Barre-Sinoussi F, et al. Lymphadenopathy associated virus and its etiological role in AIDS. *Princess Takamatsu Symp.* 1984;15:319–331.
8. Broder S, Gallo RC. A pathogenic retrovirus (HTLV-III) linked to AIDS. *N Engl J Med.* 1984;311:1292–1297.
9. Worobey M, Watts TD, McKay RA, et al. 1970s and “patient 0” HIV-1 genomes illuminate early HIV/AIDS history in North America. *Nature.* 2016;539:98–101.
10. Cargill VA, Stone VE. HIV/AIDS: a minority health issue. *Med Clin North Am.* 2005;89:895–912.

11. Nattress N. Understanding the origins and prevalence of AIDS conspiracy beliefs in the United States and South Africa. *Sociol Health Illn.* 2013;35:113–129.
12. Alexander TS. Human immunodeficiency virus diagnostic testing: 30 years of evolution. *Clin Vaccin Immunol.* 2016;23:249–253.
13. Bisanzio D, Kraemer MU, Bogoch II, et al. Use of twitter social media activity as a proxy for human mobility to predict the spatiotemporal spread of COVID-19 at global scale. *Geospat Health.* 2020;15:15.
14. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382:1708–1720.
15. Tsang KW, Ho PL, Ooi GC, et al. A cluster of cases of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348:1977–1985.
16. Junejo Y, Ozaan M, Safdar M, et al. Novel SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19: origin, pathogenesis, genes and genetic variations, immune responses and phylogenetic analysis. *Gene Rep.* 2020;20:100752.
17. Andersen KG, Rambaut A, Lipkin WI, et al. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Med.* 2020;26:450–452.
18. Cui J, Li F, Shi ZL. Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2019;17:181–192.
19. Babiker A, Myers CW, Hill CE, et al. SARS-CoV-2 testing. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2020;153:706–708.
20. Tang YW, Schmitz JE, Persing DH, et al. Laboratory diagnosis of COVID-19: current issues and challenges. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2020;58:e00512–e00520.
21. Mateo-Urdiales A, Johnson S, Smith R, et al. Rapid initiation of antiretroviral therapy for people living with HIV. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2019;6:CD012962.
22. Sherlock CH, Strathdee SA, Le T, et al. Use of pooling and outpatient laboratory specimens in an anonymous seroprevalence survey of HIV infection in British Columbia, Canada. *AIDS.* 1995;9:945–950.
23. Boobalan J, Dinesha T, Gomathi S, et al. Pooled nucleic acid testing strategy for monitoring HIV-1 treatment in resource limited settings. *J Clin Virol.* 2019;117:56–60.
24. Mandavilli A. *Federal Officials Turn to a New Testing Strategy as Infections Surge.* The New York Times. 2020. Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/01/health/coronavirus-pooled-testing.html>. Accessed July 6, 2020.
25. Shannon K, Crago AL, Baral SD, et al. The global response and unmet actions for HIV and sex workers. *Lancet.* 2018;392:698–710.
26. Beyrer C, Baral SD, Collins C, et al. The global response to HIV in men who have sex with men. *Lancet.* 2016;388:198–206.
27. Stockman JK, Strathdee SA. HIV among people who use drugs: a global perspective of populations at risk. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2010;55(suppl 1):S17–S22.
28. Dolan K, Wirtz AL, Moazen B, et al. Global burden of HIV, viral hepatitis, and tuberculosis in prisoners and detainees. *Lancet.* 2016;388:1089–1102.
29. Poteat T, Scheim A, Xavier J, et al. Global epidemiology of HIV infection and related syndemics affecting transgender people. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2016;72(suppl 3):S210–S219.
30. Dasgupta S, Oster AM, Li J, et al. Disparities in consistent retention in HIV care—11 states and the District of Columbia, 2011–2013. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016;65:77–82.
31. Crepaz N, Dong X, Wang X, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities in sustained viral suppression and transmission risk potential among persons receiving HIV care—United States, 2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2018;67:113–118.
32. Gold JAW, Wong KK, Szablewski CM, et al. Characteristics and clinical outcomes of adult patients hospitalized with COVID-19—Georgia, March 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69:545–550.
33. Webb Hooper M, Napoles AM, Perez-Stable EJ. COVID-19 and racial/ethnic disparities. *JAMA.* 2020;323:2466–2467.
34. Rentsch CT, Kidwai-Khan F, Tate JP, et al. COVID-19 by race and ethnicity: a national cohort study of 6 million United States Veterans. *medRxiv.* 2020;5:12.
35. Stokes EK, Zambrano LD, Anderson KN, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 case surveillance—United States, January 22–May 30, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69:759–765.

36. Azar KM, Shen Z, Romanelli RJ, et al. Disparities in outcomes among COVID-19 patients in a large health care system in California. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2020;39:1253–1262.
37. Goldstein JR, Atherwood S. Improved measurement of racial/ethnic disparities in COVID-19 mortality in the United States. *medRxiv*. 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.21.20109116 [eprint ahead of print].
38. Wallace M, Hagan L, Curran KG, et al. COVID-19 in correctional and detention facilities—United States, February–April 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69:587–590.
39. Wallace M, Marlow M, Simonson S, et al. Public health response to COVID-19 cases in correctional and detention facilities—Louisiana, March–April 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69:594–598.
40. Tobolowsky FA, Gonzales E, Self JL, et al. COVID-19 outbreak among three affiliated homeless service sites—King County, Washington, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69:523–526.
41. Katz IT, Ryu AE, Onuegbu AG, et al. Impact of HIV-related stigma on treatment adherence: systematic review and meta-synthesis. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2013;16(suppl 2):18640.
42. Mahajan AP, Sayles JN, Patel VA, et al. Stigma in the HIV/AIDS epidemic: a review of the literature and recommendations for the way forward. *AIDS*. 2008;22(suppl 2):S67–S79.
43. Larney S, Mathers BM, Poate T, et al. Global epidemiology of HIV among women and girls who use or inject drugs: current knowledge and limitations of existing data. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;69(suppl 2):S100–S109.
44. Altman LK. New homosexual disorder worries health officials. *The New York Times*. May 11, 1982;Section C.
45. Quinn KG, Voisin DR. ART adherence among men who have sex with men living with HIV: key challenges and opportunities. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2020;17:290–300.
46. Strathdee SA, Hallett TB, Bobrova N, et al. HIV and risk environment for injecting drug users: the past, present, and future. *Lancet*. 2010;376:268–284.
47. Bogart LM, Ransome Y, Allen W, et al. HIV-related medical mistrust, HIV testing, and HIV risk in the national survey on HIV in the Black community. *Behav Med*. 2019;45:134–142.
48. Tekeste M, Hull S, Dovidio JF, et al. Differences in medical mistrust between Black and white women: implications for patient-provider communication about PrEP. *AIDS Behav*. 2019;23:1737–1748.
49. Kalichman SC, Eaton L, Kalichman MO, et al. Race-based medical mistrust, medication beliefs and HIV treatment adherence: test of a mediation model in people living with HIV/AIDS. *J Behav Med*. 2016;39:1056–1064.
50. Dale SK, Bogart LM, Wagner GJ, et al. Medical mistrust is related to lower longitudinal medication adherence among African-American males with HIV. *J Health Psychol*. 2016;21:1311–1321.
51. Devakumar D, Shannon G, Bhopal SS, et al. Racism and discrimination in COVID-19 responses. *Lancet*. 2020;395:1194.
52. Chen HA, Trinh J, Yang GP. Anti-Asian sentiment in the United States—COVID-19 and history. *Am J Surg*. 2020;220:556–557.
53. Azim T, Bontell I, Strathdee SA. Women, drugs and HIV. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2015;26(suppl 1):S16–S21.
54. Larios SE, Lozada R, Strathdee SA, et al. An exploration of contextual factors that influence HIV risk in female sex workers in Mexico: the Social Ecological model applied to HIV risk behaviors. *AIDS Care*. 2009;21:1335–1342.
55. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). *COVID-19 in Racial and Ethnic Minority Groups*. 2020. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/racial-ethnic-minorities.html>. Accessed July 2, 2020.
56. Montoya-Barthelemy AG, Lee CD, Cundiff DR, et al. COVID-19 and the correctional environment: the American prison as a focal point for public health. *Am J Prev Med*. 2020;58:888–891.
57. Kantamneni N. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on marginalized populations in the United States: a research agenda. *J Vocat Behav*. 2020;119:103439.
58. Singer M, Bulled N, Ostrach B, et al. Syndemics and the biosocial conception of health. *Lancet*. 2017;389:941–950.
59. Singer M, Clair S. Syndemics and public health: reconceptualizing disease in bio-social context. *Med Anthropol Q*. 2003;17:423–441.
60. McNaughton CC. Transitions through homelessness, substance use, and the effect of material marginalization and psychological trauma. *Drugs Educ Prev Policy*. 2008;15:177–188.
61. Seng JS, Lopez WD, Sperlich M, et al. Marginalized identities, discrimination burden, and mental health: empirical exploration of an interpersonal-level approach to modeling intersectionality. *Soc Sci Med*. 2012;75:2437–2445.
62. Jacobs D, O'Brien RM. The determinants of deadly force: a structural analysis of police violence. *Am J Sociol*. 1998;103:837–862.
63. Malik S, Sorenson SB, Aneshensel CS. Community and dating violence among adolescents: perpetration and victimization. *J Adolesc Health*. 1997;21:291–302.
64. Bulled N, Singer M. Syringe-mediated syndemics. *AIDS Behav*. 2011;15:1539–1545.
65. Tsai AC, Burns BF. Syndemics of psychosocial problems and HIV risk: a systematic review of empirical tests of the disease interaction concept. *Soc Sci Med*. 2015;139:26–35.
66. Friedman MR, Stall R, Silvestre AJ, et al. Effects of syndemics on HIV viral load and medication adherence in the multicentre AIDS cohort study. *AIDS*. 2015;29:1087–1096.
67. Singer MC, Erickson PI, Badiane L, et al. Syndemics, sex and the city: understanding sexually transmitted diseases in social and cultural context. *Soc Sci Med*. 2006;63:2010–2021.
68. Footer KH, White RH, Park JN, et al. Entry to sex trade and long-term vulnerabilities of female sex workers who enter the sex trade before the age of eighteen. *J Urban Health*. 2020;97:406–417.
69. Fiorella KJ, Desai P, Miller JD, et al. A review of transactional sex for natural resources: under-researched, overstated, or unique to fishing economies? *Glob Public Health*. 2019;14:1803–1814.
70. Rock M, Buntain BJ, Hatfield JM, et al. Animal-human connections, “one health,” and the syndemic approach to prevention. *Soc Sci Med*. 2009;68:991–995.
71. Petrikova I, Cole J, Farlow A. COVID-19, wet markets, and planetary health. *Lancet Planet Health*. 2020;4:e213–e214.
72. Case A, Deaton A. Mortality and morbidity in the 21st century. *Brookings papers on economic activity. Brookings Pap Econ Act*. 2017;2017:397–476.
73. Stein EM, Gennuso KP, Ugboaja DC, et al. The epidemic of despair among white Americans: trends in the leading causes of premature death, 1999–2015. *Am J Public Health*. 2017;107:1541–1547.
74. Dinwiddie GY, Gaskin DJ, Chan KS, et al. Residential segregation, geographic proximity and type of services used: evidence for racial/ethnic disparities in mental health. *Soc Sci Med*. 2013;80:67–75.
75. Galea S, Nandi A, Vlahov D. The social epidemiology of substance use. *Epidemiol Rev*. 2004;26:36–52.
76. Cooper HL, Friedman SR, Tempalski B, et al. Residential segregation and injection drug use prevalence among black adults in US metropolitan areas. *Am J Public Health*. 2007;97:344–352.
77. Gee GC, Walsemann KM, Brondolo E. A life course perspective on how racism may be related to health inequities. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102:967–974.
78. Dowd JB, Palermo T, Chyu L, et al. Race/ethnic and socioeconomic differences in stress and immune function in the national longitudinal study of adolescent health. *Soc Sci Med*. 2014;115:49–55.
79. Gerrard M, Stock ML, Roberts ME, et al. Coping with racial discrimination: the role of substance use. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2012;26:550–560.
80. Cox RG, Zhang L, Johnson WD, et al. Academic performance and substance use: findings from a state survey of public high school students. *J Sch Health*. 2007;77:109–115.
81. D'Amico EJ, Tucker JS, Miles JN, et al. Alcohol and marijuana use trajectories in a diverse longitudinal sample of adolescents: examining use patterns from age 11 to 17 years. *Addiction*. 2016;111:1825–1835.
82. Fisher JD, Fisher WA. Changing AIDS-risk behavior. *Psychol Bull*. 1992;111:455–474.
83. Albarracín D, Johnson BT, Fishbein M, et al. Theories of reasoned action and planned behavior as models of condom use: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Bull*. 2001;127:142–161.
84. Pitpitan EV, Patterson TL, Abramovitz D, et al. Policing behaviors, safe injection self-efficacy, and intervening on injection risks: moderated mediation results from a randomized trial. *Health Psychol*. 2016;35:87–91.

85. Muthoni CN, Kneipp SM, Gichane MW, et al. A systematic review of HIV interventions for young women in sub-Saharan Africa. *AIDS Behav*. 2020. doi: 10.1007/s10461-020-02914-1 [epub ahead of print].
86. Pitpitian EV, Kalichman SC. Reducing HIV risks in the places where people drink: prevention interventions in alcohol venues. *AIDS Behav*. 2016;20(suppl 1):S119–S133.
87. Chikovore J, Pai M, Horton KC, et al. Missing men with tuberculosis: the need to address structural influences and implement targeted and multidimensional interventions. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2020;5:e002255.
88. Ritchwood TD, He J, Smith MK, et al. “Getting to zero” among men who have sex with men in China: a review of the HIV care continuum. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2019;16:431–438.
89. Kaufman MR, Cornish F, Zimmerman RS, et al. Health behavior change models for HIV prevention and AIDS care: practical recommendations for a multi-level approach. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(suppl 3):S250–S258.
90. Blankenship KM, Friedman SR, Dworkin S, et al. Structural interventions: concepts, challenges and opportunities for research. *J Urban Health*. 2006;83:59–72.
91. Gould DB. *Moving Politics: Emotion and ACT UP’s Fight against AIDS*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press; 2009.
92. Ford N, Wilson D, Costa Chaves G, et al. Sustaining access to antiretroviral therapy in the less-developed world: lessons from Brazil and Thailand. *AIDS*. 2007;21(suppl 4):S21–S29.
93. World Health Organization (WHO). *Prevention of Mother-To-Child Transmission of HIV: Selection and Use of Nevirapine: Technical Notes*. 2001. Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/66808/WHO_HIV_AIDS_2001.3.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y.
94. World Health Organization (WHO). *New Data on Male Circumcision and HIV Prevention: Policy and Programme Implications*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2007. Available at: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43751>. Accessed October 26, 2020.
95. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), World Health Organization (WHO). *A Framework for Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision: Effective HIV Prevention and a Gateway to Improved Adolescent Boys’ and Men’s Health in Eastern and Southern Africa by 2021—Policy Brief*. Available at: <https://www.who.int/hiv/pub/malecircumcision/vmmc-policy-2016/en/>. Accessed October 26, 2020.
96. Rushe D, Holpuch A. *Covid-19 Survivors Could Lose Health Insurance if Trump Wins Bid to Repeal Obamacare*. London, United Kingdom: The Guardian; 2020. Available at: <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2020/jun/27/obamacare-trump-covid-health-insurance>. Accessed October 26, 2020.
97. King JS. COVID-19 and the need for health care reform. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:e104.
98. Latkin CA, Davey-Rothwell MA, Knowlton AR, et al. Social network approaches to recruitment, HIV prevention, medical care, and medication adherence. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(suppl 1):S54–S58.
99. Latkin CA, Knowlton AR. Social network assessments and interventions for health behavior change: a critical review. *Behav Med*. 2015;41:90–97.
100. Strathdee SA, Arredondo J, Rocha T, et al. A police education programme to integrate occupational safety and HIV prevention: protocol for a modified stepped-wedge study design with parallel prospective cohorts to assess behavioural outcomes. *BMJ Open*. 2015;5:e008958.
101. Smith JH, Whiteside A. The history of AIDS exceptionalism. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2010;13:47.
102. Byrd KK, Hardnett F, Clay PG, et al. Retention in HIV care among participants in the patient-centered HIV care model: a collaboration between community-based pharmacists and primary medical providers. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2019;33:58–66.
103. Byrd KK, Hardnett F, Hou JG, et al. Improvements in retention in care and HIV viral suppression among persons with HIV and comorbid mental health conditions: patient-centered HIV care model. *AIDS Behav*. 2020. doi: 10.1007/s10461-020-02913-2 [epub ahead of print].
104. Kirkpatrick JN, Hull SC, Fedson S, et al. Scarce-resource allocation and patient triage during the COVID-19 pandemic: JACC review topic of the week. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;76:85–92.
105. Cahill SR, Mayer KH, Boswell SL. The Ryan White HIV/AIDS program in the age of health care reform. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105:1078–1085.
106. Knight V. *Needle Exchanges Find New Champions Among Republicans*. Kaiser Health News. July 7, 2019. Available at: <https://khn.org/news/needle-exchanges-find-new-champions-among-republicans/>. Accessed July 7, 2020.
107. Zang X, Krebs E, Chen S, et al. The potential epidemiological impact of COVID-19 on the HIV/AIDS epidemic and the cost-effectiveness of linked, opt-out HIV testing: A modeling study in six US cities. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020.
108. Satre DD, Altschuler A, Parthasarathy S, et al. Implementation and operational research: affordable care act implementation in a California health care system leads to growth in HIV-positive patient enrollment and changes in patient characteristics. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;73:e76–e82.
109. Wherry LR, Miller S. Early coverage, access, utilization, and health effects associated with the affordable care act Medicaid expansions: a quasi-experimental study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;164:795–803.
110. Sommers BD, Maylone B, Blendon RJ, et al. Three-year impacts of the affordable care act: improved medical care and health among low-income adults. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2017;36:1119–1128.
111. Heymann J, Raub A, Waisath W, et al. Protecting health during COVID-19 and beyond: a global examination of paid sick leave design in 193 countries. *Glob Public Health*. 2020;15:925–934.
112. Tsai J, Wilson M. COVID-19: a potential public health problem for homeless populations. *Lancet Public Health*. 2020;5:e186–e187.
113. Rogers D, Power E. Housing policy and the COVID-19 pandemic: the importance of housing research during this health emergency. *Int J Housing Policy*. 2020;20:177–183.
114. D’Alessandro D, Gola M, Appolloni L, et al. COVID-19 and Living space challenge. Well-being and public health recommendations for a healthy, safe, and sustainable housing. *Acta Biomed*. 2020;91:61–75.
115. DiGiuseppi G, Corcoran C, Cunningham T, et al. Mobilizing a community-academic partnership to provide DIY handwashing stations to skid row residents during COVID-19. *Health Promot Pract*. 2020;22:1524839920953092.
116. Fischl MA, Richman DD, Grieco MH, et al. The efficacy of zidovudine (AZT) in the treatment of patients with AIDS and AIDS-related complex. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *N Engl J Med*. 1987;317:185–191.
117. AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG). *About the ACTG: Groundbreaking Research Results*. Available at: <https://actgnetwork.org/about-the-actg/#1571861093379-c30642cd-6c40>. Accessed October 26, 2020.
118. HIV Prevention Trial Network (HPTN). *About the HPTN*. Available at: <https://www.hptn.org/about>. Accessed July 2, 2020.
119. Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the treatment of COVID-19—preliminary report. *N Engl J Med*. 2020. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2007764 [epub ahead of print].
120. Geleris J, Sun Y, Platt J, et al. Observational study of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:2411–2418.
121. Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A trial of lopinavir-ritonavir in adults hospitalized with severe COVID-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:1787–1799.
122. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson J, et al. Effect of dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: preliminary report. *medRxiv*. 2020. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2021436 [epub ahead of print].
123. Bar KJ, Sneller MC, Harrison LJ, et al. Effect of HIV antibody VRC01 on viral rebound after treatment interruption. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375:2037–2050.
124. National Institutes of Health (NIH). *Accelerating COVID-19 Therapeutic Interventions and Vaccines (ACTIV)*. 2020. Available at: <https://www.nih.gov/research-training/medical-research-initiatives/activ>. Accessed July 2, 2020.
125. Markel H. The search for effective HIV vaccines. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;353:753–757.
126. Cohen JU. “Warp speed” vaccine effort comes out of the shadows. *Science*. 2020;368:692–693.
127. Thanh Le T, Andreadakis Z, Kumar A, et al. The COVID-19 vaccine development landscape. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2020;19:305–306.
128. Cohen E. Fauci says COVID-19 vaccine may not get US to herd immunity if too many people refuse to get it. CNN. 2020. Available at: <https://www.cnn.com/2020/06/28/health/fauci-coronavirus-vaccine-contact-tracing-aspen/index.html>. Accessed July 2, 2020.

129. Ratzan SC, Sommariva S, Rauh L. Enhancing global health communication during a crisis: lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic. *Public Health Res Pract.* 2020;30:e3022010.
130. Nosyk B, Zang X, Krebs E, et al. Ending the HIV epidemic in the USA: an economic modelling study in six cities. *Lancet HIV.* 2020;7:E491–E503.
131. Bernard CL, Owens DK, Goldhaber-Fiebert JD, et al. Estimation of the cost-effectiveness of HIV prevention portfolios for people who inject drugs in the United States: a model-based analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2017;14:e1002312.
132. Eaton JW, Bacaer N, Bershteyn A, et al. Assessment of epidemic projections using recent HIV survey data in South Africa: a validation analysis of ten mathematical models of HIV epidemiology in the antiretroviral therapy era. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2015;3:e598–e608.
133. Mudur G. India reduces estimated count of people with HIV. *BMJ.* 2007;335:67.
134. Holmdahl I, Buckee C. Wrong but useful—what COVID-19 epidemiologic models can and cannot tell us. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;384:303–305.
135. Gonsalves GS, Crawford FW. Dynamics of the HIV outbreak and response in Scott County, in, USA, 2011–15: a modelling study. *Lancet HIV.* 2018;5:e569–e577.
136. Cepeda JA, Borquez A, Magana C, et al. Modelling integrated antiretroviral treatment and harm reduction services on HIV and overdose among people who inject drugs in Tijuana, Mexico. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2020;23(suppl 1):e25493.
137. Thebault R, Hauslohner A. *A Deadly “checkerboard”: Covid-19’s New Surge Across Rural America.* Washington, DC: Washington Post; 2020. Available at: <https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/05/24/coronavirus-rural-america-outbreaks/?arc404=true>. Accessed July 3, 2020.
138. Unacast. *Covid-19 Social Distancing Scoreboard.* 2020. Available at: <https://www.unacast.com/covid19/social-distancing-scoreboard>. Accessed July 3, 2020.
139. Rogers TN, Rogers CR, VanSant-Webb E, et al. Racial disparities in COVID-19 mortality among essential workers in the United States. *World Med Health Policy.* 2020;12:311–327.
140. The Global Fund. *Mitigating the Impact of COVID-19 on Countries Affected by HIV, Tuberculosis, and Malaria.* 2020; Available at: https://www.theglobalfund.org/media/9819/covid19_mitigatingimpact_report_en.pdf. Accessed July 2, 2020.
141. Horton R, Beaglehole R, Bonita R, et al. From public to planetary health: a manifesto. *Lancet.* 2014;383:847.
142. Choo EK. COVID-19 fault lines. *Lancet.* 2020;395:1333.