Clinical, electromyographical, histopathological characteristics of COVID-19 related rhabdomyolysis

SAGE Open Medical Case Reports Volume 8: 1–4 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/2050313X20983132 journals.sagepub.com/home/sco



Chiara Rosato¹, Giuliano Bolondi¹, Emanuele Russo¹, Alessandro Oliva¹, Giovanni Scognamiglio¹, Emanuele Mambelli², Marco Longoni³, Giulio Rossi⁴ and Vanni Agnoletti¹

Abstract

Rhabdomyolysis is an uncommon complication of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection. Previous reports have described its management and treatment in medical units, but have not discussed confirmatory tests or differential diagnosis. We report a case of a 58 year-old male patient, who was admitted for COVID-19 pneumonia and subsequently developed severe weakness, inability to move limbs, acute renal failure, significantly elevated myoglobin and creatinine kinase, and was diagnosed with rhabdomyolysis. Continuous renal replacement therapy, the treatment modality of choice over hyperhydration due to ongoing mechanical ventilation, was effective in resolving symptoms. No direct viral invasion of muscles was noted on biopsy. Here, we describe his symptoms, electromyography, and muscular biopsy results, and further discuss the possible differential diagnoses. Neuromuscular symptoms related to COVID-19 require careful clinical analysis. In addition, detailed reports of patients' course of illness and diagnoses will assist in improving care for affected patients.

Keywords

Acute kidney injury, electromyography, biopsy, Guillain-Barré syndrome, critical illness, central nervous system, muscle weakness, intensive care units

Date received: 13 October 2020; accepted: 3 December 2020

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is caused by an infection with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). It was first diagnosed in late 2019; however, its clinical features are still being unveiled.

The general renal complications and their management in COVID-19 have recently been reviewed.¹ The first case of rhabdomyolysis associated with COVID-19 was reported in March 2020.²

SARS-CoV-2 attacks target cells of the body using angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptors³ including striated and cardiac muscle cells. Until recently, SARS-CoV-2 has primarily been investigated for its effects on cardiomyocytes, even though myalgia and profound asthenia are two of the most frequent symptoms among infected patients.⁴ The virus's affinity for striated muscles, and aggressive empirical treatment with toxic drugs, might explain the occurrence of rhabdomyolysis as an infrequent, severe complication of COVID-19. It may also present at the onset or course of the infection.^{5,6}

We reviewed 16 case reports of rhabdomyolysis in COVID-19 patients from existing literature. They primarily included pediatric and adult patients with only a single case series reported.⁷ Furthermore, previous reports have only described medical environments and treatment details, which included forced hyperhydration, alkalinization, and diuretics, but not second-line tests or plausible differential diagnoses.

¹Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, Ospedale Maurizio Bufalini, Cesena, Italy

²Nephrology Unit, Ospedale Maurizio Bufalini, Cesena, Italy
³Neurology Unit, Ospedale Maurizio Bufalini, Cesena, Italy
⁴Pathology Unit, Ospedale Santa Maria delle Croci, Ravenna, Italy

Corresponding Author:

Giuliano Bolondi, Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, Ospedale Maurizio Bufalini, Viale Ghirotti 286, 47521 Cesena, Italy. Email: med.bolondi@gmail.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). We describe a successfully treated clinical case, along with its electromyography (EMG), microscopic features, differential diagnoses, and etiologic analysis. These are important aspects for understanding the pathophysiologic mechanisms of organ damage underlying COVID-19.

Ethical approval was not required from our Institution as the described procedures fit with to-date best practice. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for anonymized publication of the report.

Case report

A 58-year-old man with a medical history of hypertension, who was overweight (body mass index [BMI] 28 kg/m²), developed respiratory symptoms and fever on 23 February 2020. After testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 on a nasopharyngeal swab polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test, he was admitted to our hospital on 29 February with severe hypoxia and tachypnea. On presentation, the patient did not have any neurological or muscular symptoms. Continuous positive airway pressure, high flow nasal cannula respiratory support, and 7 days of lopinavir/ritonavir, hydroxychloroquine, and methylprednisolone were initiated based on our hospital protocol. On 2 March, he experienced severe hypoxia and tachypnea, and was put on mechanical ventilation following intensive care unit (ICU) admission. Sedation was reduced on 12 March following percutaneous tracheostomy. On 13 March, a septic episode related to a lower respiratory tract infection was diagnosed based on culture sensitivity, and 5 days of piperacillin-tazobactam was administered for multi-sensitive Enterobacter cloacae.

On 14 March the patient recovered from 2 days of sedation with generalized muscular weakness, including a lack of diaphragmatic activity and respiratory trigger, and was placed under pressure support ventilation. We initially suspected critical illness myopathy (CIM); however, during the ongoing COVID-19 emergency, no physiotherapy support was available. Thus, passive mobilization was performed by an ICU nurse. On 16 March, the patient suddenly developed acute kidney injury (AKI) and became oligo-anuric with the following peak plasmatic levels: creatinine 310.35 µmol/L (n.r. 2.5-7.1 mmol/L), myoglobin 3,322 nmol/L (n.r. 1.3-3.7 nmol/L), and creatine kinase (CK) 3,309 U/L (n.r. 30-150 U/L).

We suspected rhabdomyolysis; however, the hyperhydration strategy recommended by Jin and Tong² in a similar case could have been potentially detrimental given the patient was on mechanical ventilation. Thus, continuous veno-venous hemodiafiltration (CVVHDF) was the strategy of choice. Depending on its availability in the hospital, the patient was maintained on an HF1400 Prismaflex hemofilter with a polyarylethersulfone membrane (Baxter International, Deerfield, Illinois, USA). After 140 hours of CVVHDF, an additional 50 hours of continuous veno-venous hemofiltration (CVVH) was performed to improve the convective performance. The prescribed effluent dose remained over 30 mL/kg/h and a progressive normalization of biomarkers was obtained. After the treatment, the following plasmatic values were detected: creatinine 124.7 μ mol/L, urea 22.9 mmol/L, CK 271 U/L, myoglobine 159.4 mmol/L and adequate urine output (more than 1500 mL per day) restarted on date 28 March with continuous infusion of furosemide 120 mL per day.

The antibiotic regimen was changed to 7 days of meropenem on 19 March, following a second septic episode and detection of Enterobacter cloacae-produced extended-spectrum beta-lactamase from bronchoaspiration sample. Nonetheless, absence of muscular recovery persisted, and we continued investigating the underlying cause. On 27 March, an EMG and subsequent muscle biopsy yielded negative results for myopathy with no signs of neuronal damage. The EMG reported: "normal nervous conduction, reduced compound muscle action potential of the motor nerves, likely compatible with the observed clinical myopathy." The lack of axonal damage and the late onset with respect to the viral infection made Guillain-Barré syndrome unlikely. A biopsy might have been of scientific interest to investigate viral presence in the kidney; however, it was clinically inappropriate given the elevated chances of complications and progressive improvement of renal function. The muscle biopsy showed foci of cytolysis involving striated muscle fibers, isolated CD3-positive T-lymphocytes, and no signs of apoptosis (Figure 1(a)). These results were consistent with rhabdomyolysis. Both real-time reverse transcription PCR and immunohistochemistry techniques on paraffin-embedded tissue for viral myositis (Figure 1(b)), were negative. The patient was discharged to a rehabilitation hospital on 15 May, with spontaneous breathing, slow recovery of distal muscle activity, deglutition, and phonation, and complete recovery of renal function.

Discussion

Here, we describe the differential diagnosis discernment that guided our clinical, diagnostic and therapeutic choices. Rhabdomyolysis can be caused due to concurrent bacterial or viral infections. Underlying metabolic myopathies were excluded by the clinical anamnesis, while possible pharma-cologic-causative agents were stopped a few days before the onset of rhabdomyolysis. The abrupt onset of extremely elevated CK and myoglobin levels, areas of muscular necrosis and inflammation, absence of apoptosis, EMG results, and positive clinical outcome suggest an infection-induced rhabdomyolysis, limiting the likelihood of CIM.⁸

The negative results of viral detection might have been caused by the late stage of disease when the biopsy was effectuated, and viral clearance had probably already occurred. Myalgia is a known symptom even in mild forms of COVID-19,⁴ indicating potential direct or indirect virus-related muscle damage. No precise description of muscular symptoms related to COVID-19 has been provided to date.⁹



Figure 1. Microscopic analysis of muscle biopsy of the patient suffering rhabdomyolysis associated with SARS-Co-2 infection. (a) Striated muscle tissue with spots of cytolysis and no signs of apoptosis of the muscle fibers (hematoxylin-eosin stain). (b) Negative stain with anti-SARS Nucleocapsid Protein (polyclonal antibody NB100-56576, immunohistochemistry).

Guillain-Barré syndrome was recently described in COVID-19 patients.¹⁰ However, the lack of axonal impairment and the onset of symptoms 23 days after viral infection were not consistent with this diagnosis. The involvement of the central nervous system has also been described,¹¹ but our patient was alert and conscious after the cessation of sedation.

The existing literature on rhabdomyolysis in COVID-19 patients mainly describes the medical environment and common first-line treatments. Similar to earlier reports, our patient experienced an abrupt dramatic increase of CK and myoglobin, with consequent renal impairment. However, our patient was admitted for respiratory insufficiency and subsequently developed rhabdomyolysis and AKI requiring CRRT. Myoglobin and CK are heavy molecules (16.7 kDa and 81 kDa, respectively); myoglobin is only partially removed with convection techniques (CVVH) or with a high dose of convection (reinfusion) during CVVHDF treatment. Thus, the rationale of CVVHDF in this patient was mainly to manage AKI and avoid hydro-electrolytic complications (especially fluid overload and hyperkalemia), rather than myoglobin removal.

Conclusion

We intend to expand the understanding of COVID-19 associated complications by describing COVID-19 associated rhabdomyolysis, its management with CVVHDF, and differential diagnoses. Direct viral damage to myocytes was not detected, although an undetected dysregulated immune-response could be a plausible cause of muscle damage. The neuromuscular symptoms related to COVID-19 require careful clinical analysis and targeted treatments. Further studies on this complex and intricate scenario are warranted.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethical approval

Our institution does not require ethical approval for reporting individual cases or case series representing to-date best clinical practice.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Informed consent

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for their anonymized information to be published in this article.

ORCID iD

Giuliano Bolondi D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5627-8445

References

- Ronco C, Reis T and Husain-Syed F. Management of acute kidney injury in patients with COVID-19. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; 8: 738–742.
- Jin M and Tong Q. Rhabdomyolysis as potential late complication associated with COVID-19. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2020; 26: 1618–1620.
- Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 565–574.
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 497–506.
- Rivas-García S, Bernal J and Bachiller-Corral J. Rhabdomyolysis as the main manifestation of coronavirus disease 2019. *Rheumatology* 2020; 59: 2174–2176.

- 6. Valente-Acosta B, Moreno-Sanchez F, Fueyo-Rodriguez O, et al. Rhabdomyolysis as an initial presentation in a patient diagnosed with COVID-19. *BMJ Case Rep* 2020; 13: e236719.
- Singh B, Kaur P, Mechineni A, et al. Rhabdomyolysis in COVID-19: report of four cases. *Cureus* 2020; 12: e10686.
- Z'Graggen W and Tankisi H. Critical illness myopathy. J Clin Neurophysiol 2020; 37: 447–448.
- Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, et al. Neurologic manifestations of hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Neurol* 2020; 77: 683–690.
- Toscano G, Palmerini F, Ravaglia S, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome associated with SARS-CoV-2. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 2574–2577.
- 11. Paniz-Mondolfi A, Bryce C, Grimes Z, et al. Central nervous system involvement by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). *J Med Virol* 2020; 99: 699–702.