



Systematic Review Risk of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes in Young Women with Thyroid Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Shinje Moon¹, Ka Hee Yi² and Young Joo Park ^{3,4,5,*}

- ¹ Department of Internal Medicine, Hallym University College of Medicine, Seoul 07440, Korea; sinjei1129@gmail.com
- ² Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul Metropolitan Government-Seoul National University Boramae Medical Center, Seoul 07061, Korea; khyi@snu.ac.kr
- ³ Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul 03080, Korea
- ⁴ Department of Molecular Medicine and Biopharmaceutical Sciences, Graduate School of Convergence Science and Technology, Seoul National University, Seoul 03080, Korea
- ⁵ Genomic Medicine Institute, Medical Research Center, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul 03080, Korea
- * Correspondence: yjparkmd@snu.ac.kr

Simple Summary: This meta-analysis of 22 articles investigated whether thyroidectomy or radioactive iodine treatment (RAIT) in patients with differentiated thyroid cancer was associated with an increase in adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as miscarriage, preterm delivery, and congenital malformations. The results of this meta-analysis suggest that thyroid cancer treatment, including RAIT, is not associated with an increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes, including miscarriage, preterm labor, and congenital anomalies.

Abstract: This meta-analysis investigated whether thyroidectomy or radioactive iodine treatment (RAIT) in patients with differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) was associated with an increase in adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as miscarriage, preterm delivery, and congenital malformations. A total of 22 articles (5 case-control and 17 case series studies) from 1262 studies identified through a literature search in the PubMed and EMBASE databases from inception up to 13 September 2021 were included. In patients with DTC who underwent thyroidectomy, the event rates for miscarriage, preterm labor, and congenital anomalies were 0.07 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.05-0.11; 17 studies), 0.07 (95% CI, 0.05–0.09; 14 studies), and 0.03 (95% CI, 0.02–0.06; 17 studies), respectively. These results are similar to those previously reported in the general population. The risk of miscarriage or abortion was increased in patients with DTC when compared with controls without DTC (odds ratio [OR], 1.80; 95% CI, 1.28–2.53; $I^2 = 33\%$; 3 studies), while the OR values for preterm labor and the presence of congenital anomalies were 1.22 (95% CI, 0.90–1.66; I² = 62%; five studies) and 0.73 (95% CI, 0.39–1.38; $I^2 = 0\%$; two studies) respectively, which showed no statistical significance. A subgroup analysis of patients with DTC according to RAIT revealed that the risk of miscarriage, preterm labor, or congenital anomalies was not increased in the RAIT group when compared with patients without RAIT. The results of this meta-analysis suggest that thyroid cancer treatment, including RAIT, is not associated with an increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes, including miscarriage, preterm labor, and congenital anomalies.

Keywords: thyroid cancer; radioactive iodine treatment; pregnancy outcomes; adverse effects

1. Introduction

According to recent cancer statistics, approximately 75% of differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) occurs in women, with the highest incidence found in those aged 50–59 years in the United States [1]. DTC is one of the most common cancers affecting women aged 15–39 years, and recent studies have shown an increase in the incidence of DTC in this



Citation: Moon, S.; Yi, K.H.; Park, Y.J. Risk of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes in Young Women with Thyroid Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 2382. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers14102382

Academic Editor: Kennichi Kakudo

Received: 27 February 2022 Accepted: 5 May 2022 Published: 12 May 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). population [2]. Most DTCs have a good prognosis with a 5-year survival rate of >98% [3,4]. Despite the low mortality, recurrence is relatively common [5].

A Korean nationwide study involving patients with DTC smaller than 2 cm reported overall 5- and 10-year recurrence rates of 4.5% and 9.2%, respectively [6]. A recent metaanalysis of 31 studies on low-risk DTC showed that the pooled 10-year recurrence rate was 9.0% in patients who underwent hemithyroidectomy and 7.4% in those who underwent total thyroidectomy [7]. Moreover, recurrence more frequently occurs in younger patients, although their survival is rarely affected [8,9]. Therefore, concerns regarding undesirable health outcomes related to DTC treatment modalities, particularly in younger patients, are increasing [10].

Thyroidectomy and radioactive iodine treatment (RAIT) are standard treatments for DTC [5]. All patients who undergo total thyroidectomy and more than half of patients who undergo less-than-total thyroidectomy receive thyroid hormone replacement therapy after thyroidectomy [5], and some of them require thyroid hormone suppression therapy. Thus, the adverse effects of thyroid hormone over- or under-replacement after thyroidectomy can last a lifetime [5]. The importance of adequate thyroid hormonal status, particularly in pregnant women, has been emphasized in association with pregnancy outcomes [11].

RAIT has been administered in 45–55% of all patients with DTC [12–14], and several adverse events have been reported [15,16], including temporary amenorrhea/oligomenorrhea, earlier onset of menopause, infertility, and adverse pregnancy outcomes [16–18]. A recent meta-analysis involving four studies reported that RAIT was not associated with a decreased birthrate; however, there was significant heterogeneity among the included studies [18,19]. Considering the progressively increasing incidence of DTC in younger women, the association of thyroid cancer treatment with adverse pregnancy outcomes and infertility is one of the important issues for women with DTC.

Several case-control [14,20] and case series [21,22] studies have attempted to investigate such associations; nonetheless, the evidence regarding adverse pregnancy outcomes in patients with DTC is still inconclusive [20]. Therefore, we aimed to evaluate the incidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes, including miscarriage, preterm delivery, and congenital malformations, in patients with thyroid cancer and to investigate whether patients with DTC had an increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes compared with those without DTC by conducting a meticulous meta-analysis and systematic review.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Search Strategy

The present study was registered in the "International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols" in 2022 (INPLASY202240075) and was conducted according to PRISMA guidelines.

A literature search was conducted according to the protocol recommended by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (Table S1). Two investigators (S.M. and Y.J.P.) refined data extraction tables prior to data extraction. These two investigators searched citation databases, including PubMed and EMBASE (from inception until 13 September 2021), and extracted data independently using the predefined tables for data extraction. Discrepancies were resolved by discussion with a third investigator (K.H.Y.). Search terms included combinations of the following: ("Pregnancy"), ("Infertility"), ("Birth"), ("abortion"), ("miscarriage"), ("preterm") OR ("ovary") AND ("thyroid cancer") in the title or abstract.

2.2. Study Selection

Studies with the following characteristics were included: (1) population: pregnant women aged ≥ 20 years; (2) intervention: total thyroidectomy with/without RAIT or subtotal thyroidectomy; (3) comparators: pregnant women without thyroid cancer in case-control studies (there were no comparators in case series studies); (4) outcomes: miscarriage

or abortion, preterm delivery, and congenital malformations; and (5) study design: casecontrol or case series designs using a registry of patients with thyroid cancer.

We excluded studies with the following characteristics: (1) articles on animal studies or in vivo experiments; (2) articles that included only abstracts; (3) non-original articles, including expert opinions or reviews; and (4) studies with insufficient information on adverse pregnancy outcomes.

2.3. Quality Assessment

The Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale was used to assess the methodological quality of case-control studies [23]. Based on eight items, a maximum of nine points were awarded to each study, categorized into three broad perspectives: selection, comparability, and exposure. Studies with a score of 7 or higher were defined as having a low risk of bias [24]; case series study designs were considered to have a high risk of bias owing to the lack of control data. Any discrepancies were resolved through a discussion with a third investigator (K.H.Y.).

2.4. Data Analyses and Statistical Methods

The event rate of studies was estimated based on the incidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes in patients with thyroid cancer. The pooled event rate was calculated for each adverse pregnancy outcome using a random-effects model. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence interval (CIs) were computed for each study using the Mantel–Haenszel method. Pooled ORs were calculated for each adverse pregnancy outcome based on thyroid cancer treatment using a random-effects model.

The heterogeneity among the studies was tested using Higgins' I² statistic, where $I^2 \ge 50\%$ indicated heterogeneity. Publication bias was tested using Egger's test and a funnel plot. In addition, to evaluate the effect of RAIT on adverse pregnancy outcomes, we conducted a subgroup analysis of studies that included patients who received RAIT. All statistical analyses and graphical presentations were conducted using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software version 3 (Biostat Inc., Englewood, NJ, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Study Characteristics

The literature search yielded 1262 studies (PubMed: 498, EMBASE: 764). Following the exclusion of 471 duplicate studies and 769 studies that did not meet the inclusion criteria or had insufficient data, a total of 22 studies [14,20–22,25–42] were finally included in the meta-analysis (Figure 1). The characteristics of each study are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Study characteristics of the included studies.

Study [Reference]	Region	Study Design	Participants	No. of Total Participants	No. of Patients with RAI	Age	Pregnant Outcomes
Liu et al. 2021 [42]	China	Case-control design	Data form the University Hospital in Beijing	562 women Cases: 154 women with thyroid cancer Controls: 308 matched controls without thyroid cancer	5 women	Median age at pregnancy: Patients with thyroid cancer: 33 Controls: 32	Pregnant women with thyroid cancer compared to those without thyroid cancer Preterm delivery: 5.84% vs. 7.47% Adjusted OR with 95% CI (Reference group: women without thyroid cancer) Preterm delivery: 0.73 (0.32–1.67)
Yasuoka et al. 2021 [21]	Yasuoka et al. 2021 Japan [21]		Data from major tertiary institutions in Japan	341 women with thyroid cancer	NA	NA	Miscarriage: 5 of 314 pregnancies Preterm delivery: 25 of 314 pregnancies

Study [Reference]	Region	Study Design	Participants	No. of Total Participants	No. of Patients with RAI	Age	Pregnant Outcomes
Kim et al. 2020 [20]	Korea	Case series design *	Data from Health Insurance Review and Assessment database	10,842 pregnancies in women with thyroid cancer Cases :4884 with RAITControls: 5958 without RAIT	4884 pregnancies	Mean (SD): 33.3 (4.4)	$\label{eq:regnant} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Pregnant women without RAI} \\ \mbox{compared to those with RAI} \\ \mbox{Abortion: 30.7\% vs. 32.1\%} \\ \mbox{Preterm deliveries:} \\ \mbox{12.8\% vs. 12.9\%} \\ \mbox{Congenital malformations:} \\ \mbox{8.9\% vs. 9.0\%} \\ \mbox{Adjusted OR with 95\% CI} \\ \mbox{(Reference group: patients with 95\% CI] } \\ \mbox{(Reference group: patients with RAI dose $\leq 1.11 (Bq) \\ \mbox{Abortion} \\ \mbox{1.12-3.7 GBq: 1.11 (0.91-1.36)} \\ \mbox{$\geq 3.8 GBq: 1.02 (0.85-1.22)$} \\ \mbox{Preterm delivery} \\ \mbox{1.12-3.7 GBq: 0.79 (0.58-1.08)} \\ \mbox{$\geq 3.8 GBq: 0.82 (0.63-1.08)$} \\ \mbox{Congenital malformation} \\ \mbox{1.12-3.7 GBq: 1.06 (0.72-1.56)$} \\ \mbox{$\geq 3.8 GBq: 1.08 (0.76-1.52)$} \\ \end{tabular}$
Nies et al. 2020 [22]	The Nether- lands	Case series design	A nationwide, long-term follow-up study on childhood differentiated thyroid cancer in the Netherlands	56 women with thyroid cancer (64 pregnancies)	56 women	Median age at first pregnancy (IQR) 25.5 (22.5–30.0)	Miscarriage: 8 of 56 women (64 pregnancies) after RAIT Congenital malformation: 7 of 45 pregnancies after RAIT
Blackburn et al. 2018 [25]	USA	Case-control design	The Utah Population Database, which links data from the Utah Cancer Registry	9753 women Cases: 1832 women with thyroid cancer Controls 7921 matched control without thyroid cancer)	947 women	Median age 36	Miscarriage: 25 of 1832 women by 1–5 years after thyroid cancer diagnosis and 63 of 7921 women in general population cohort Preterm deliveries: 57 of 1832 women by 1–5 years after thyroid cancer diagnosis and 175 of 7921 women in general population cohort
Beksaç et al. 2018 [26]	Turkey	Case series design	The clinical records of 8 pregnant women who received treatment for PTC before their pregnancy	8 women with thyroid cancer	8 women	Mean age 34.3 years	Miscarriage: 1 of 8 pregnancies after thyroid cancer treatment Preterm delivery: 1 or 7 pregnancies after thyroid cancer treatment Congenital malformation: 1 or 7 pregnancies after thyroid cancer treatment
Hartnett et al. 2017 [27]	USA	Case-control design	Cancer registries in the states of Georgia, North Carolina, and Tennessee	4,032,219 women Cases: 970 women with thyroid cancer Controls: 4,031,349 matched control without cancer	NA	NA	Adjusted risk ratio (95% CI) for preterm deliveries: 1.0 (0.8, 1.2)
Metallo et al. 2016 [28]	France	Case series design *	Data form the University Hospital in Nancy	45 women with thyroid cancer RAIT ≤3.85 GBq: 18 women >3.85 GBq: 27 women	45 women	Mean (SD) Patients with RAIT ≤3.85 GBq: 27.7 (6.7) >3.85 GBq: 36.1 (11.1)	Miscarriage: 1of 18 patients with RAIT ≤3.85 GBq and 5 of 27 patients with RAIT >3.85 GBq Congenital anomaly was not observed in this study.
Ko et al. 2016 [14]	Taiwan	Case series design *	The National Health Insurance Research Database in Taiwan	1491 women with thyroid cancer Cases: 775 patients with RAIT Controls: 716 without RAIT	775 women	NA	Abortion: 71 of 716 patients with RAIT and 85 of 775 patients without RAIT Preterm delivery: 29 of 716 patients with RAIT and 41 of 775 patients without RAIT Adjusted HR (95% CI) (patients without RAIT vs. patients with RAIT) Abortion: 0.67 (0.49–0.93) Preterm delivery: 1.32 (0.81–2.15)

Table 1. Cont.

Study [Reference]	Region	Study Design	Participants	No. of Total Participants	No. of Patients with RAI	Age	Pregnant Outcomes
Fard- Esfahani et al. 2009 [29]	Iran	Case series design *	Data from one institution in Iran	 227 pregnancies in women with thyroid cancer Cases: 126 pregnancies after RAIT Controls: 101 pregnancies before RAIT 	126 pregnancies in 100 women	NA	Miscarriage: 13 of 126 pregnancies after I ¹³¹ treatment (10/100 women) and 17 of 101 pregnancy before thyroid cancer treatment
Garsi et al. 2008 [30]	France and Italy	Case-control design	Data from three institutions in France and one institution in Italy	 2673 pregnancies in 1126 patients with thyroid cancer Cases: 595 pregnancies after thyroid cancer treatment Controls: 2078 pregnancies before thyroid cancer treatment, 	483 pregnancies	NA	Miscarriage: 193 of 1854 pregnancies before thyroid cancer treatment, 92 of 475 pregnancies after thyroid cancer treatment (75 of 389 pregnancies after 1 ¹³¹ treatment) Preterm delivery: 114 of 1633 live births before thyroid cancer treatment, 44 of 376 live births after thyroid cancer treatment (40 of 309 live births after 1 ¹³¹ treatment) Congenital malformation: 68 of 1633 live births before thyroid cancer treatment, 11 of 376 live births after thyroid cancer treatment (9 of 309 live births after 1 ¹³¹ treatment)
Brandao et al. 2007 [31]	Brazil	Case-control design	Data from three institutions in Brazil	126 pregnancies Cases: 66 pregnancies after RAIT Controls: 60 pregnancies in healthy women	66 pregnancies (48 women)	NA	Abortion: 6/66 pregnancies after RAIT and 7/60 pregnancies in healthy women Preterm delivery: 1/66 pregnancies after RAIT and 6/60 pregnancies in healthy women Congenital malformation: 1/66 pregnancies after RAIT. Congenital malformation was not observed in healthy women
Rosário et al. 2006 [32]	Brazil	Case series design	Data from one institution in Brazil	78 pregnancies after RAIT	78 pregnancies	NA	Miscarriage: 4 of 78 pregnancies after RAIT Preterm delivery: 3 or 78 pregnancies after RAIT Congenital malformation: 1 or 78 pregnancies after RAIT
Balenovic et al. 2006 [33]	Croatia	Case series design	Data from one institution in Croatia	26 women after RAIT (40 pregnancies)	26 women (40 pregnancies)	NA	Miscarriage: 2 of 26 women after RAIT (5 of 40 pregnancies) Congenital malformation was not observed (0/35 births)
Bal et al. 2005 [34]	India	Case series design	Data from one institution in India	50 pregnancies after RAIT in 40 women	50 pregnancies	NA	Miscarriage: 3 of 50 pregnancies after RAIT Preterm delivery: 1 or 50 pregnancies after RAIT Congenital malformation was not observed
Chow et al. 2004 [35]	China	Case series design *	Data from one institution in China	263 pregnancies in 104 women after thyroid cancer treatment Cases: 143 pregnancies after RAIT Controls: 110 pregnancies without RAIT	143 pregnancies	Mean age (SD) at pregnancy: No RAI: 26.5 (5.4) RAI scanning dose: 30.7 (4.7)RAI ablation does: 31.4 (4.6)	Miscarriage: 18 of 143 pregnancies with RAIT (13 of 116 pregnancies with I ¹³¹ ablative dose) and 7 of 110 pregnancies without RAIT Preterm delivery: 11 of 143 pregnancies with RAIT (7 of 116 pregnancies with I ¹³¹ ablative dose) and 1 of 110 pregnancies without RAITCongenital malformation was not observed
Vini et al. 2002 [37]	UK	Case series design	Data from one institution in UK	441 pregnancies after thyroid cancer treatment (276 women)	441 pregnancies (276 women)	NA	Miscarriage:14 of 441 pregnancies after RAIT Preterm delivery: 4 of 427 pregnancies after RAIT Congenital malformation: 0 of 427 pregnancies after RAIT

Table 1. Cont.

Study [Reference]	Region	Study Design	Participants	No. of Total Participants	No. of Patients with RAI	Age	Pregnant Outcomes
Lin et al. 1998 [38]	Taiwan	Case series design	Data from one institution in Taiwan	58 pregnancies after I ¹³¹ treatment (37 women)	58 pregnancies	Mean age at pregnancy (SD): 27.97 (3.49)	Miscarriage: 8 of 58 pregnancies after RAIT Preterm delivery: 3of 58 pregnancies after RAIT Congenital malformation: 1of 58 pregnancies after RAIT
Ayala et al. 1998 [39]	Spain	Case series design	Data from one institution in Spain	39 pregnancies after I ¹³¹ treatment (26 women)	39 pregnancies	Mean age at the time of the first pregnancy: 26.9	Miscarriage: 2 of 39 pregnancies after RAIT Congenital malformation: 4of 39 pregnancies after RAIT
Dottorini et al. 1995 [36]	Italy	Case series design *	Data from one institution in Italy	84 pregnancies in 64 women with thyroid cancer Cases: 65 pregnancies after RAIT Controls: 19 pregnancies without RAIT	65 pregnancies	NA	Miscarriage: 3 of 65 pregnancies with RAIT and 1 of 19 pregnancies without RAIT Preterm delivery: 2 of 65 pregnancies with RAIT and 0 of 19 pregnancies without RAIT Congenital malformation: 1 of 65 pregnancies with RAIT and 0 of 19 pregnancies without RAIT
Smith et al. 1994 [40]	USA	Case series design	Review of The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center Tumor Registry	69 pregnancies in 32 women after RAIT	69 pregnancies	Mean age at I ¹³¹ treatment: 18.3	Miscarriage: 3 of 69 pregnancies after RAIT Preterm delivery: 4 of 69 pregnancies after RAIT Congenital malformation: 2 of 69 pregnancies after RAIT
Casara et al. 1993 [41]	Italy	Case series design	Data from one institution in Italy	70 women with RAIT	70 women	Mean age (SD) at pregnancy: 29 (4.2)	Miscarriage: 2 of 75 pregnancies after RAIT Congenital malformation: 1 of 73 live births

Table 1. Cont.

* The study was classified as a case series design because one arm data of patients with thyroid cancer was used in the study.



Figure 1. Scheme of the search strategy.

3.2. Risk of Bias Assessment

The Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale for case-control studies revealed that four [25,27,30,42] out of five case-control studies had a low or moderate risk. (Table S2). One study [31] had a high risk of bias. Five studies classified as case series studies [14,20,29,35,36] were included in subgroup analysis, which compared the risk of adverse pregnant outcomes in patients with DTC according to RAIT. Two studies [14,20] had a low or moderate risk, and three studies [29,35,36] had a high risk of bias.

3.3. Thyroid Cancer Treatment and Risk of Miscarriage or Abortion

Nine studies [22,25,29,32–34,38,39,41] reported obstetric history of women who underwent thyroidectomy for thyroid cancer and revealed that 63 (2.8%) of 2253 women with DTC had at least one miscarriage in their lifetime. In 17 studies [21,22,26,28–41] involving 2337 cases of pregnancy after thyroid cancer treatment, 197 miscarriages (8.2%) were reported. The event rate for miscarriage among cases of pregnancy in the random effects model was 0.07 (95% CI, 0.05–0.11; $I^2 = 84.1\%$) (Figure 2A). In three case-control studies [25,30,31], the OR for miscarriage or abortion was 1.80 (95% CI, 1.28–2.53; $I^2 = 33\%$) in patients with DTC compared with controls (Figure 2B); however, significant publication bias was detected (Egger's test: p = 0.05).



Figure 2. Effect of treatment of differentiated thyroid cancer on miscarriage. (**A**) Event rate among cases of pregnancy and (**B**) the OR between patients with DTC and controls without differentiated thyroid cancer; * abortion. Studies referenced in the figure are: [21,22,25,26,28–37,39–42].

3.4. Thyroid Cancer Treatment and Preterm Labor

Five studies [14,25,32,34,38] reported the obstetric history of women who underwent thyroidectomy for thyroid cancer treatment and showed that 134 (3.9%) of 3466 women with DTC experienced preterm labor at least once in their lifetime. In 14 studies [20,21,26,27,30–32,34–38,40,42] with 10,237 cases of pregnancy after thyroid cancer treatment, 1167 instances of preterm labor (11.4%) were reported.

The event rate for preterm labor among cases of pregnancy in the random-effects model was 0.07 (95% CI, 0.05–0.09; $I^2 = 82.0\%$) (Figure 3A). Five case-control studies [25,27,30,31,42] were included to compare the risk of preterm labor associated with thyroid cancer treatment.

The OR for preterm labor was 1.22 (95% CI, 0.90–1.66; $I^2 = 62\%$) in patients with thyroid cancer when compared with those without thyroid cancer (Figure 3B), which was not significantly different. Publication bias was not detected (Egger's test: p = 0.56).

Study name		Stat	istics fo	r each s	tudy			Event r	ate and	95% CI
	Events	Sample size	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	<i>p</i> -Value	1			
Bal et al. 2005	1	47	0.021	0.003	0.136	0.000	1		•-	
Beksac et al. 2018	1	7	0.143	0.020	0.581	0.097				-
Brandao et al. 2007	2	60	0.033	0.008	0.124	0.000				
Chow et al. 2004	12	184	0.065	0.037	0.111	0.000				
Dottorini et al. 1995	2	84	0.024	0.006	0.090	0.000			•	
Garsi et al. 2008	44	376	0.117	0.088	0.154	0.000				
Hartnett et al. 2017	101	970	0.104	0.086	0.125	0.000				
Kim et al. 2020	956	7445	0.128	0.121	0.136	0.000				
Lin et al. 1998	3	45	0.067	0.022	0.187	0.000				
Liu et al. 2021	9	154	0.058	0.031	0.108	0.000				
Rosario et al. 2006	3	74	0.041	0.013	0.118	0.000			. ⊨-	
Smith et al. 1994	4	64	0.063	0.024	0.155	0.000			∎⊢	
Vini et al. 2002	4	427	0.009	0.004	0.025	0.000			•	
Yasuoka et al. 2021	25	336	0.074	0.051	0.108	0.000				
Random effect model <i>P</i> =82.0%	1167	10273	0.068	0.051	0.090	0.000	 _1.00	 -0.50	♦ 0.00	 0.50

В

A

E	Patients w	ith DTC	Control wi	ithout DTC					
Study name	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odd	Is Ratio with 95	%CI	OR	95%-CI
Blackburn et al. 2018 Brandao et al. 2007	57	1832	175	7921				1.42	[1.05; 1.93] [0.05; 1.45]
Garsi et al. 2008	44	376	114	1633		· [=		1.77	[1.22; 2.55]
Liu et al. 2017	101	970 154	389349 23	4031349 308				0.77	[0.88; 1.34] [0.35; 1.71]
Random effects mod Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 62$	el %	3398		4041271				1.22	[0.90; 1.66]
					0.1	0.5 1 2	10		

Figure 3. Effect of treatment of DTC on preterm labor. (A) Event rate among cases of pregnancy and (B) the OR between patients with DTC and controls without DTC. Studies referenced: [20,21,25–27,30–32,34–38,40,42].

3.5. Thyroid Cancer Treatment and Congenital Anomalies

In 17 studies [20,22,26,28–41] with 9129 cases of pregnancy after thyroid cancer treatment, 677 cases of congenital anomalies (7.4%) were reported. The event rate in the random-effects model was 0.03 (95% CI, 0.02–0.06; $I^2 = 72.7\%$) (Figure 4A). Two case-control studies [30,31] were included to compare the risk of congenital anomalies associated with thyroid cancer treatment (Figure 4B). The OR for the presence of congenital anomalies was 0.73 (95% CI, 0.39–1.38; $I^2 = 0\%$), which was not significantly different.

3.6. Effect of RAIT on Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In 15 studies [22,26,28–36,38–41] involving 1019 cases of pregnancy after RAIT, 97 miscarriages (9.5%) were reported. The event rate among cases of pregnancy in the randomeffects model was 0.09 (95% CI, 0.07–0.13; $I^2 = 56.3\%$) (Figure 5A). The OR for miscarriage or abortion was 1.08 (95% CI, 0.99–1.16; $I^2 = 0\%$) in patients who received RAIT, as compared with those without RAIT (Figure 5A), which was not significantly different [14,20,29,30,35,36]. Publication bias was not detected (Egger's test: p = 0.29).

1.0

Study name		Statis	stics for	each st	udy			Event	rate and	95% CI	
	Events	Sample size	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	<i>p</i> -Value					
Ayala et al. 1998	4	37	0.108	0.041	0.255	0.000		1	∎-	- 1	1
Bal et al. 2005	0	47	0.010	0.001	0.146	0.001			• •		
Balenovic et al. 2006	0	35	0.014	0.001	0.187	0.003			- •		
Beksac et al. 2018	1	7	0.143	0.020	0.581	0.097				-	
Brandao et al. 2007	1	60	0.017	0.002	0.109	0.000			_ ⊨ _		
Casara et al. 1993	1	73	0.014	0.002	0.091	0.000			_ ⊨ -		
Chow et al. 2004	0	184	0.003	0.000	0.042	0.000			•		
Dottorini et al. 1995	1	84	0.012	0.002	0.080	0.000			_ ∔ -		
Fard-Esfahani et al. 200	09 3	93	0.032	0.010	0.095	0.000					
Garsi et al. 2008	11	376	0.029	0.016	0.052	0.000			-		
Kim et al. 2020	634	7445	0.085	0.079	0.092	0.000					
Lin et al. 1998	1	45	0.022	0.003	0.142	0.000			_ ⊨ _		
Metallo et al. 2016	0	33	0.015	0.001	0.196	0.003			- -		
Nies et al. 2020	7	45	0.156	0.076	0.292	0.000			- 1-	-	
Rosario et al. 2006	1	74	0.014	0.002	0.090	0.000			_ ⊨ -		
Smith et al. 1994	2	64	0.031	0.008	0.117	0.000					
Vini et al. 2002	0	427	0.001	0.000	0.018	0.000			•		
Random effect model <i>P</i> =72.7%	677	9129	0.033	0.019	0.056	0.000			•		
							-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.0
<u>P</u>	atients	without I	<u>) DTC</u>	ntrol wit	hout DT	<u>c</u>					
Study name	Even	ts Tota	I	Events	Total	Odds Ra	tio with	95% CI	OR	95	%-CI
Brandao et al. 2007		1 6	6	0	60				- 2.77	[0.11; 6	9.331
Garsi et al. 2008		11 37	6	68	1633		-		0.69	[0.36;	1.32]
Random effects models Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0$	del		442		1693		÷+		0.73	[0.39; 1	.38]

Figure 4. Effect of treatment of DTC on congenital anomalies. (**A**) Event rate among cases of pregnancy and (**B**) the OR between patients with DTC and controls without DTC. Studies referenced: [20,22,26,28–41].

0.1 0.51 2 10

In 10 studies [20,26,30–32,34–36,38,40] with 3842 cases of pregnancy after thyroid cancer treatment, 461 instances of preterm labor (12.0%) were reported. The event rate among cases of pregnancy in the random-effects model was 0.08 (95% CI, 0.05–0.11; $I^2 = 56.8\%$) (Figure 5B). The OR for preterm labor was 1.09 (95% CI, 0.86–1.38; $I^2 = 16\%$) in patients who received RAIT when compared with those without RAIT (Figure 5B), which was not significantly different [14,20,30,35,36]. Publication bias was not detected (Egger's test: p = 0.16).

In 16 studies [20,22,26,28–36,38–41] with 4201 cases of pregnancy after thyroid cancer treatment, 309 cases of congenital anomalies (7.3%) were reported. The event rate among cases of pregnancy in the random-effects model was 0.04 (95% CI, 0.02–0.06; $I^2 = 60.0\%$) (Figure 5C). The risk of the presence of congenital anomalies was not increased in patients with thyroid cancer who received RAIT, as compared with patients who did not receive RAIT (OR, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.87–1.20; $I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 5C) [20,29,30,35,36]. Publication bias was not detected (Egger's test: p = 0.69).

The subgroup analysis of studies that included patients with an interval of 1 year or more between conception and RAIT revealed that the risk of miscarriage or abortion, preterm labor, and congenital anomalies did not differ between patients who were treated with RAIT and those who were not (Figure 6). Α.

Study name		Stat	istics fo	r each :	study			Event ra	ate and 95	5% CI		Study name	Patents w Events	ith RAIT Total	Events	rithout R Total	AIT	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI
	Events	Sample size	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	p-Value						Charriet al. 2004	12	116	7	110			1 06	[0 71: 4 94]
Ayala et al. 1998 Bal et al. 2005 Bekancie et al. 2016 Bekance et al. 2016 Brandao et al. 2016 Dotorini et al. 2004 Dotorini et al. 2004 Dotorini et al. 2005 Lin et al. 1998 Metallo et al. 2016 Nies et al. 2020 Smith et al. 2020 Smith et al. 2020 Smith et al. 2020	2 3 5 1 2 2 13 3 29 5 3 9 6 8 4 3 97	39 50 49 8 66 82 116 65 126 109 58 40 64 78 69 1019	0.051 0.060 0.102 0.125 0.030 0.024 0.112 0.046 0.103 0.211 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.051 0.021	0.013 0.019 0.043 0.017 0.008 0.006 0.066 0.015 0.061 0.144 0.083 0.069 0.064 0.019 0.014 0.019	0.183 0.170 0.223 0.537 0.113 0.092 0.183 0.134 0.170 0.296 0.272 0.296 0.231 0.129 0.125	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	1.00 -	-0.50	■ ■- ■- ■- ■- ■- ■- ■- ■- ■- ■- ■- ■-	0.50	1.00	Chow et al. 2004 Dottorni et al. 195 Fard-Esshani, et al. 2008 Kim et al. 2020 Kim et al. 2020 Roadon effects model Heterogeneity: $\rho^{2} = 0\%$	13 3 13 23 1568 85	116 68 126 109 4884 775 6078	7 1 10 69 1829 71	110 20 366 5958 716 7270	0.1	0.5 1 2	1.86 - 0.88 1.04 1.15 1.07 1.12 1.08 -	[0,71; 4,84] (0,09; 8,42] (0,43; 2,47] (0,68; 1,95] (0,98; 1,16] (0,80; 1,56] [0,99; 1,16]
B. Study name		Stat	tistics fo	r each s	study_		E	Eventr	rate and s	95% CI	L	Study name Chow et al. 2004	<u>Patients</u> Even	s with R/	AIT <u>Contro</u> al Eve	ol withou Ints To	rt RAIT ttal	Codds Ratio	0	R 95%-CI
Bai et al. 2005 Beksac et al. 2018 Brandao et al. 2007 Chow et al. 2000 Dotorini et al. 2008 Kim et al. 2020 Lin et al. 1998 Rosario et al. 2008 Smith et al. 1994 Random effect model <i>P=56.8%</i>	Events 1 1 2 7 2 11 427 3 3 4 461	Sample size 47 7 60 78 86 3316 45 74 64 3842	Event rate 0.021 0.143 0.033 0.090 0.031 0.128 0.129 0.067 0.041 0.063 0.077	Lower limit 0.003 0.020 0.008 0.043 0.043 0.043 0.072 0.118 0.022 0.013 0.024 0.052	Upper limit 0.136 0.581 0.124 0.176 0.115 0.216 0.141 0.181 0.155 0.118 0.155	β -Value 0.000 0.097 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	Chow with a dist 1 1995. Dominin with a distribution of the distr	4.	2 (1 11 8 27 33 41 7 432	55 36 16 75 20	0 37 529 4 29 52 52	19 290 129 716 235	0.1 0.51 2 10		(0.07; 33.36) (0.49; 2.06) (0.49; 2.06) (0.88; 1.15) (0.88; 1.15) (0.86; 1.38)
C.													Patients	with RA	IT Contro	lwithou	t RAIT			
Study name		S	tatistics	for each	study			Event	t rate and s	95% CI		Study name	Event	s Tota	Even	ts Tot	al	Odds Ratio	0	95%-CI
Study name Ayala et al. 1998 Bal et al. 2005 Baknovic et al. 2018 Brandao et al. 2010 Casara et al. 1993 Chow et al. 2004 Fard-Esfahani et al. 1998 Metalo et al. 2016 Kim et al. 1998 Metalo et al. 2020 Rosario et al. 2020 Smith et al. 1994 Anadon effectimede Are0.0%	4 06 07 1 7 1 2009 2 285 1 2285 1 7 7 1 2 285 0 7 7 1 2 285 1 305	Samp size 37 47 35 7 60 78 116 65 93 316 45 3316 45 3316 45 3316 45 4201	tatistics le Eve 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0	for each nt Low ve lim 08 0.0 10 0.0 11 0.0 12 0.0 13 0.0 14 0.0 13 0.0 14 0.0 15 0.0 22 0.0 25 0.0 26 0.0 15 0.0 15 0.0 16 0.0 32 0.0 34 0.0 35 0.0 36 0.0 37 0.0	study or Upper 41 0.25: 01 0.144 01 0.144 02 0.58 02 0.002 02 0.08 002 0.08 002 0.08 010 0.144 01 0.190 002 0.08 002 0.09 003 0.144 010 0.199 010 0.199 02 0.09 03 0.144 010 0.199 02 0.09 03 0.141 010 0.199 02 0.09 03 0.11 123 0.06	p -Valu 5 0.000 6 0.011 7 0.033 1 0.097 9 0.000 5 0.000 5 0.000 5 0.000 5 0.000 5 0.000 5 0.000 5 0.000 0 0.000 2 0.000 0 0.000 0 0.000 0 0.000 0 0.000	e -1.00	Event	t rate and s	- 0.50	1.00	Chow et al. 2004 Dottorni et al. 2004 Dottorni et al. 1995 Fard-Esfahani, et al. 2006 Kirm et al. 2008 Random effects model Heterogeneity: $P^2 = 0%$	285	s 100 1 6 3 9 2 8 5 331 363	11 Even 15 13 16 1 6 34 8	ts lot. 0 { 0 29 9 412 460	ai 19 19 34 90 29 13 	0.1 1 10	- 1.0 - 0.9 - 0.5 0.6 1.0 1.0 100	(95%-C1 (0.02; 53.00) (0.04; 23.17) (0.33; 128.42) (0.14; 3.10) (0.88; 1.20) (0.87; 1.20]

Figure 5. Effect of RAIT on adverse pregnancy outcomes. (A) Miscarriage or abortion, (B) preterm labor, and (C) congenital anomalies. * The study was classified as a case series design because one arm data of patients with thyroid cancer was used in the study. Studies referenced: [14,20,22,26,28-36,38-41].

Α.

Study name	Events	Stat Sample size	istics fo Event rate	Lower	study Upper limit	p-Value	E	vent rat	e and 9	95% CI		<u>Study name</u> Chow et al. 2004 Dottorini et al. 1995 Kim et al. 2020*	Patients wi Events 13 3 1137	th RAIT Total 101 34 3816	Control v Events 7 1 1829	vithout Total 110 15 5958	RAIT	Odds Ratio		OR 2.17 1.35 0.96	[0.83; [0.13; [0.88;	95%-CI 5.69] 14.20] 1.05]
Ayala et al. 1998	2	33	0.061	0.015	0.212	0.000	1	1	-	1	1	Random effects model		3951		6083		-		1.15	[0.69;	1.91]
Bal et al. 2005	3	47	0.064	0.021	0.180	0.000						Heterogeneity. 7" = 30%					_					
Beksac et al. 2018	1	8	0.125	0.017	0.537	0.069			- I								01	0512	10			
Brandao et al. 2007	1	51	0.020	0.003	0.126	0.000			- I-								0,1	0.0 1 2				
Casara et al. 1993	2	82	0.024	0.006	0.092	0.000			•													
Chow et al. 2004	13	101	0.129	0.076	0.209	0.000																
Lin et al. 1998	8	58	0.138	0.071	0.252	0.000			_ ∎	· -												
Rosario et al. 2006	4	78	0.051	0.019	0.129	0.000																
Smith et al. 1994	3	67	0.045	0.015	0.130	0.000			- b -													
Random effect model <i>F</i> =39.8%	37	525	0.072	0.046	0.111	0.000	 -1.00	 -0.50	♦ 0.00	 0.50	 1.00											

Figure 6. Cont.

Β.

													Patients w	ith RAI	T Contro	d witho	ut RAIT	1						
Study name		Stati	stics for	each stu	ldy		Even	t rat	e and	95%	CI	Study name	Events	s Tota	l Even	ts Tot	al	Od	ds Ratio		OR		,	95%-C
	Events	Sample size	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	p-Value						Chow et al. 2004 Dottorini et al. 1995 Kim et al. 2020	6 0 351	6: 3- 256	2 4 0 52	1 1 0 -	81 15 29		- -	_	8.57 0.43 1.08	[1.0 [0.0 [0.0	00; 01; .94:	73.17
Bal et al. 2005	1	47	0.021	0.003	0.136	0.000	1	1	÷	1	1	Random effects model		2656	5	423	25		÷		1.68	ĮO.	40;	6.98
Beksac et al. 2018	1	7	0.143	0.020	0.581	0.097				-		Heterogeneity: /* = 47%						-	+					
Brandao et al. 2007	2	46	0.043	0.011	0.158	0.000			- b - 1								0.01	0.1	1 1	0 10	D			
Chow et al. 2004	6	62	0.097	0.044	0.199	0.000																		
Kim et al. 2020	351	2560	0.137	0.124	0.151	0.000																		
Lin et al. 1998	3	45	0.067	0.022	0.187	0.000			- -															
Rosario et al. 2006	3	74	0.041	0.013	0.118	0.000			- Je -															
Smith et al. 1994	4	62	0.065	0.024	0.160	0.000																		
Random effect model	371	2903	0.077	0.047	0.123	0.000			•															
£=57.3%							-1.00 - 0	50	0.00	0.50	1.00													
C. Study name		Stati	stics for	each stu	dy		Even	t rate	and 9	5% CI		Study name	Patient	s with ents 1	RAIT Co Total E	vents	ithout Total	RAIT	Odds R	atio		OR	9	95%-CI
	Events	Sample size	Event rate	Lower	Upper	p-Value						Chow et al. 2004 Dottorini et al. 1995 Kim et al. 2020		0 1 230 2	62 34 2560	0	81 15 4129	-	-		_	1.31 [1.39 [1.07	0.03; 0 0.05; 0 10.90	36.90]
Avala et al. 1998		22	0.030	0.004	0.186	0.001	1	I	b	1	1	Random effects mod	el		656		4225		<u>è</u>			1.07	(0.90;	1.27]
Bal et al. 2005	0	33	0.011	0.001	0.154	0.002			÷			Heterogeneity. 7" = 0%	>											
Beksac et al. 2018	1	7	0.143	0.020	0.581	0.097				-								0	1 0.5 3	2 10				
Brandao et al. 2007	0	46	0.011	0.001	0.149	0.001			÷															
Casara et al. 1993	1	78	0.013	0.002	0.085	0.000			+															
Chow et al. 2004	0	62	0.008	0.000	0.115	0.001			÷															
Kim et al. 2020	230	2560	0.090	0.079	0.102	0.000																		
Lin et al. 1998	1	45	0.022	0.003	0.142	0.000																		
Rosario et al. 2006	1	74	0.014	0.002	0.090	0.000			•															
Smith et al. 1994	o	62	0.008	0.000	0.115	0.001			÷															
Random effect model	235	3011	0.026	0.011	0.062	0.000	100 0	50	•															

Figure 6. The risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in patients with an interval of 1 year or more between conception and RAIT. Effect of RAIT on adverse pregnancy outcomes. (**A**) Miscarriage or abortion, (**B**) preterm labor, and (**C**) congenital anomalies. * The study was classified as a case series design because one arm data of patients with thyroid cancer was used in the study. Studies referenced: [14,20,22,26,28–36,38–41].

4. Discussion

In this meta-analysis, the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes, including miscarriage, preterm delivery, and congenital anomalies, did not differ between pregnant women with or without thyroid cancer. In the subgroup analysis, RAIT did not increase the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in patients with DTC treated with RAIT when compared with those who did not receive RAIT.

In the treatment of DTC, thyroidectomy and RAIT are applied as standard treatments [5]. Total thyroidectomy can lead to postoperative hypothyroidism. In addition, postoperative hypothyroidism reportedly occurs in approximately 30% of patients even after subtotal thyroidectomy [43]. Considering that many patients with DTC undergo thyroid hormone suppression therapy, these patients may experience various thyroid functional statuses (euthyroid, subclinical/overt, hyperthyroid, or hypothyroid) according to the individual thyroid-stimulating hormone target or compliance with levothyroxine [44].

Based on studies emphasizing that subclinical hyperthyroidism is not associated with maternal or neonatal complications, the American Thyroid Association recommends that patients with thyroid cancer maintain the same thyroid-stimulating hormone goal before and during pregnancy [5]. Nevertheless, epidemiological studies on the effects of thyroid dysfunction caused by thyroid hormone suppression therapy or thyroidectomy in terms of adverse pregnancy outcomes are lacking [45].

This meta-analysis with case-control studies demonstrated an increased risk of miscarriage or abortion, and this result may be biased because of the small number of studies and considering the potentially significant publication bias. In addition, although this meta-analysis showed that thyroid cancer treatment did not increase the risk of preterm labor, significant heterogeneity was noted among the included studies. Two studies showed a higher risk of miscarriage and preterm labor in patients with DTC [25,30]. Blackburn et al. reported a higher incidence of miscarriage and preterm labor in patients with DTC.

However, the hazard ratio was not significant after adjusting for comorbidities [25]. Garsi et al. also reported that patients with DTC had a significantly higher risk of mis-

carriage and preterm labor after receiving treatment for DTC than before treatment [30]. Considering the advanced age after treatment compared with that before treatment, the higher incidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes after DTC treatment may be the effect of advanced maternal age [30]. This meta-analysis provides data on the event rates in patients with DTC.

The event rate for miscarriage was 0.07 in patients with DTC, which is similar to those in the general population from national representative data (0.01–0.18) [46–48]. Four European case series studies [22,33,39,41] and one Indian case series study [34], which reported the obstetric history of women with DTC, showed that the prevalence of miscarriage at least once in their lifetime was similar to that in the general population in the EPIC study [49] or general Indian population [50]. The events rates for preterm labor were 0.07 in patients with DTC, which were similar to those in the general population (0.06–0.23) [51–54].

The event rates for congenital anomalies were 0.03 in patients with DTC, which were similar to those in the general population (0.01–0.03) [51,52,55,56]. Nonetheless, a large population-based study conducted by Kim et al. revealed a higher risk of congenital anomalies in women with DTC compared with that in the general population from the Korean National Health Insurance Service. The study by Kim et al. included more pregnant women aged >35 years than the study on the general population (34% vs. 15.9%), which could have resulted in a higher risk [20,56]. Although we could not perform subgroup analysis according to thyroid functional status, the present study provides substantial evidence that thyroid cancer treatment does not increase the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes compared to women without DTC.

RAIT is known to be able to affect gonadal tissues [16,17,42]. In men, an association between RAIT and a transient reduction in sperm count, elevated follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) levels, and testicular damage have been reported [16,57]. A recent longitudinal prospective study revealed a statistically significant increase in the number of chromosomal abnormalities in sperm at 3 and 13 months after RAIT with 100 mCi [58]. Therefore, contraception is usually recommended at least for 3 months in men after RAIT [5]. In addition, high radioactive iodine (RAI) activities of 500–800 mCi increased the risk of sustained elevation of FSH [5].

Therefore, the American Thyroid Association (ATA) recommends sperm banking for men who need cumulative RAI activities greater than 400 mCi [5,59]. Proper hydration, frequent urination, and avoidance of constipation may also be helpful in reducing radiation exposure to the gonads [60]. In women, RAIT has been reported to be associated with oligomenorrhea, transient secondary amenorrhea, and premature menopause [5].

About 12–31% of menstrual irregularities and 8–16% of amenorrhea [17] or a significant decrement of anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) [18] in the first year after RAIT have been reported. Although, many previous epidemiologic studies have not found conclusive evidence for decreased fertility in these women [18,30,34,35,61], there is significant heterogeneity between studies. Research reported that RAIT was associated with delayed childbearing and reduced birthrates in a specific population of advanced age (>35 years) [19]. Therefore, in women over 35 years of age with low-risk DTC, RAIT should be carefully considered when planning pregnancy [19,62].

These women should be informed and counseled about the potential deleterious effects on fertility and fertility [63]. AMH measurement is suggested as a good option to estimate ovarian reserve for fertility patients in RAIT decision-making process, although it cannot fully estimate the risk of infertility [62]. As suggested by the American Society of Clinical Oncology, interventions for preserving fertility, including oocyte cryopreservation, may be useful particularly in women with a limited ovarian reserve [63,64], although its evidence in women with RAIT remains lacking. Further studies are warranted.

The ATA recommends that reproductive-age women receiving RAIT should undergo negative screening evaluation for pregnancy and should avoid pregnancy for 6–12 months after receiving RAI [5]. Despite these recommendations, RAI may inadvertently be administered to pregnant women because of a clinician's negligence or false-negative pregnancy

test results [65]. The effects of inadvertent exposure on embryos and fetuses vary depending on the pregnancy stage and absorbed RAI dose [65]. Exposure to RAI during the very early stage of pregnancy may result in cellular damage and embryo death although it is unlikely to induce congenital anomalies in the surviving embryos [66].

At 3–7 weeks after conception, exposure to RAI can lead to congenital anomalies, such as microcephaly, cleft palate, and genital deformities [66]. Considering that the thyroid gland is formed by 10–12 weeks of gestation, exposure to RAI after 10 weeks of gestation can result in fetal thyroid ablation [67–69]. Exposure after 8 weeks of gestation can impair the central nervous system. In particular, mental retardation has been frequently reported with exposure at 8–25 weeks after conception [70,71]. Additionally, exposure to RAI can increase the risk of some cancers, such as leukemia, skin cancer, lung cancer, breast cancer, and thyroid cancer [71,72].

When inadvertent exposure occurs, potassium iodide can be helpful in reducing fetal exposure to RAI within 12 h of RAI administration [65,73]. However, data on therapeutic abortion are limited [74]. During pregnancy, congenital anomalies should be closely monitored. Levothyroxine supplementation should be considered to maintain maternal thyroid hormone levels at the high end of the normal range. For neonates, thyroid function should be evaluated, and levothyroxine supplementation should be initiated to prevent any neurological impairment [65].

This meta-analysis provides data on the event rates in patients who received RAIT. The event rates in patients receiving RAIT were 0.09, 0.08, and 0.04 for miscarriage, preterm labor, and congenital anomalies, respectively, which were similar to those observed in the general population [46–48,51–56]. In addition, this meta-analysis with case-control studies showed that RAIT did not increase the risk of miscarriage, preterm labor, and congenital anomalies without significant heterogeneity among the included studies compared with those with DTC who did not receive RAIT.

The strengths of this study include the collection of evidence through a rigorous systematic review and meta-analysis. However, the present study has certain limitations. We could not adjust for the complications of DTC treatment, including hyperparathyroidism, the stage of DTC, and recurrence, because corresponding data were unavailable. In addition, a subgroup analysis according to total thyroidectomy or hemilobectomy, thyroid functional status, and RAIT dosage was not conducted due to the lack of data.

5. Conclusions

The meta-analysis results suggest that thyroid cancer treatment is not associated with an increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes. In particular, RAIT after thyroidectomy was not found to increase the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in patients with DTC compared with those with DTC who did not receive RAIT.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/cancers14102382/s1, Table S1. PRISMA checklist; Table S2. Quality of the included studies according to the Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.M. and Y.J.P.; Methodology, S.M. and Y.J.P.; Software, S.M. and Y.J.P.; Validation, S.M., K.H.Y. and Y.J.P.; Formal analysis, S.M.; Investigation, S.M.; Resources, S.M.; Data curation, S.M.; Writing—original draft preparation, S.M. and Y.J.P.; Writing—review and editing, S.M., K.H.Y. and Y.J.P.; Visualization, S.M.; Supervision, K.H.Y. and Y.J.P.; Project administration, Y.J.P.; Funding acquisition, Y.J.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by a research grant from Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea (grant number: 2019–1544).

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. United States Cancer Statistics: Data Visualizations—Demographics. Available online: https://gis.cdc.gov/Cancer/USCS/#/ Demographics/ (accessed on 16 December 2021).
- Scott, A.R.; Stoltzfus, K.C.; Tchelebi, L.T.; Trifiletti, D.M.; Lehrer, E.J.; Rao, P.; Bleyer, A.; Zaorsky, N.G. 2020 Trends in Cancer Incidence in US Adolescents and Young Adults, 1973–2015. *JAMA Netw. Open* 2020, 3, e2027738. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. United States Cancer Statistics: Data Visualizations—Trends. Available online: https://gis.cdc.gov/Cancer/USCS/#/Trends/ (accessed on 16 December 2021).
- Choi, Y.M.; Kim, T.Y.; Jang, E.K.; Kwon, H.; Jeon, M.J.; Kim, W.G.; Shong, Y.K.; Kim, W.B. Standardized Thyroid Cancer Mortality in Korea between 1985 and 2010. *Endocrinol. Metab.* 2014, 29, 530–535. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Haugen, B.R.; Alexander, E.K.; Bible, K.C.; Doherty, G.M.; Mandel, S.J.; Nikiforov, Y.E.; Pacini, F.; Randolph, G.W.; Sawka, A.M.; Schlumberger, M.; et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: The American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid* 2016, 26, 1–133. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hwangbo, Y.; Kim, J.M.; Park, Y.J.; Lee, E.K.; Lee, Y.J.; Park, D.J.; Choi, Y.S.; Lee, K.D.; Sohn, S.Y.; Kim, S.W.; et al. Long-Term Recurrence of Small Papillary Thyroid Cancer and Its Risk Factors in a Korean Multicenter Study. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2017, 102, 625–633. [CrossRef]
- Chan, S.; Karamali, K.; Kolodziejczyk, A.; Oikonomou, G.; Watkinson, J.; Paleri, V.; Nixon, I.; Kim, D. Systematic Review of Recurrence Rate after Hemithyroidectomy for Low-Risk Well-Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Eur. Thyroid J.* 2020, *9*, 73–84. [CrossRef]
- 8. Redlich, A.; Luster, M.; Lorenz, K.; Lessel, L.; Rohrer, T.R.; Schmid, K.W.; Frühwald, M.C.; Vorwerk, P.; Kuhlen, M. Age, American Thyroid Association Risk Group, and Response to Therapy Are Prognostic Factors in Children With Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2022, 107, e165–e177. [CrossRef]
- Rangel-Pozzo, A.; Sisdelli, L.; Cordioli, M.I.V.; Vaisman, F.; Caria, P.; Mai, S.; Cerutti, J.M. Genetic Landscape of Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma and Nuclear Architecture: An Overview Comparing Pediatric and Adult Populations. *Cancers* 2020, 12, 3146. [CrossRef]
- 10. Crawshaw, M. Psychosocial oncofertility issues faced by adolescents and young adults over their lifetime: A review of the research. *Hum. Fertil. Camb* **2013**, *16*, 59–63. [CrossRef]
- Alexander, E.K.; Pearce, E.N.; Brent, G.A.; Brown, R.S.; Chen, H.; Dosiou, C.; Grobman, W.A.; Laurberg, P.; Lazarus, J.H.; Mandel, S.J.; et al. 2017 Guidelines of the American Thyroid Association for the Diagnosis and Management of Thyroid Disease During Pregnancy and the Postpartum. *Thyroid* 2017, 27, 315–389. [CrossRef]
- Kim, K.J.; Song, J.E.; Kim, J.Y.; Bae, J.H.; Kim, N.H.; Yoo, H.J.; Kim, H.Y.; Seo, J.A.; Kim, N.H.; Lee, J.; et al. Effects of radioactive iodine treatment on cardiovascular disease in thyroid cancer patients: A nationwide cohort study. *Ann. Transl. Med.* 2020, *8*, 1235. [CrossRef]
- 13. Orosco, R.K.; Hussain, T.; Noel, J.E.; Chang, D.C.; Dosiou, C.; Mittra, E.; Divi, V.; Orloff, L.A. Radioactive iodine in differentiated thyroid cancer: A national database perspective. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **2019**, *26*, 795–802. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Ko, K.Y.; Yen, R.F.; Lin, C.L.; Cheng, M.F.; Huang, W.S.; Kao, C.H. Pregnancy Outcome After I-131 Therapy for Patients With Thyroid Cancer: A Nationwide Population-Based Cohort Study. *Medicine* **2016**, *95*, e2685. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ahn, J.; Jeon, M.J.; Song, E.; Kim, T.Y.; Kim, W.B.; Shong, Y.K.; Kim, W.G. Quality of Life in Patients with Papillary Thyroid Microcarcinoma According to Treatment: Total Thyroidectomy with or without Radioactive Iodine Ablation. *Endocrinol. Metab.* 2020, 35, 115–121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Van Nostrand, D. The benefits and risks of I-131 therapy in patients with well-differentiated thyroid cancer. *Thyroid* **2009**, *19*, 1381–1391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Sawka, A.M.; Lakra, D.C.; Lea, J.; Alshehri, B.; Tsang, R.W.; Brierley, J.D.; Straus, S.; Thabane, L.; Gafni, A.; Ezzat, S.; et al. A systematic review examining the effects of therapeutic radioactive iodine on ovarian function and future pregnancy in female thyroid cancer survivors. *Clin. Endocrinol.* **2008**, *69*, 479–490. [CrossRef]
- Piek, M.W.; Postma, E.L.; van Leeuwaarde, R.; de Boer, J.P.; Bos, A.M.E.; Lok, C.; Stokkel, M.; Filipe, M.D.; van der Ploeg, I.M.C. The Effect of Radioactive Iodine Therapy on Ovarian Function and Fertility in Female Thyroid Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Thyroid* 2021, *31*, 658–668. [CrossRef]
- 19. Wu, J.X.; Young, S.; Ro, K.; Li, N.; Leung, A.M.; Chiu, H.K.; Harari, A.; Yeh, M.W. Reproductive outcomes and nononcologic complications after radioactive iodine ablation for well-differentiated thyroid cancer. *Thyroid* **2015**, 25, 133–138. [CrossRef]
- 20. Kim, H.O.; Lee, K.; Lee, S.M.; Seo, G.H. Association Between Pregnancy Outcomes and Radioactive Iodine Treatment After Thyroidectomy Among Women With Thyroid Cancer. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **2020**, *180*, 54–61. [CrossRef]
- Yasuoka, T.; Iwama, N.; Ota, K.; Harada, M.; Hasegawa, J.; Yaegashi, N.; Sugiyama, T.; Suzuki, N.; Osuga, Y. Pregnancy outcomes in children, adolescents, and young adults that survived cancer: A nationwide survey in Japan. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res.* 2021, 47, 3352–3361. [CrossRef]
- Nies, M.; Cantineau, A.E.P.; Arts, E.G.J.M.; van den Berg, M.H.; van Leeuwen, F.E.; Muller Kobold, A.C.; Klein Hesselink, M.S.; Burgerhof, J.G.M.; Brouwers, A.H.; van Dam, E.W.C.M.; et al. Long-Term Effects of Radioiodine Treatment on Female Fertility in Survivors of Childhood Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma. *Thyroid* 2020, 30, 1169–1176. [CrossRef]

- Wells, G.A.S.B.; O'Connell, D.; Peterson, J.; Welch, V.; Losos, M.; Tugwell, P. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for Assessing the Quality of Nonrandomised Studies in Meta-Analyses. Available online: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/ oxford.asp (accessed on 16 December 2021).
- Solmi, M.; Firth, J.; Miola, A.; Fornaro, M.; Frison, E.; Fusar-Poli, P.; Dragioti, E.; Shin, J.I.; Carvalho, A.F.; Stubbs, B.; et al. Disparities in cancer screening in people with mental illness across the world versus the general population: Prevalence and comparative meta-analysis including 4,717,839 people. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020, 7, 52–63. [CrossRef]
- Blackburn, B.E.; Ganz, P.A.; Rowe, K.; Snyder, J.; Wan, Y.; Deshmukh, V.; Newman, M.; Fraser, A.; Smith, K.; Herget, K.; et al. Reproductive and gynecological complication risks among thyroid cancer survivors. J. Cancer Surviv. 2018, 12, 702–711. [CrossRef]
- 26. Beksaç, K.; Aktoz, F.; Örgül, G.; Çelik, H.T.; Özgü-Erdinç, A.S.; Beksaç, M.S. Pregnancy in papillary thyroid cancer survivors. *J. Turk. Ger. Gynecol. Assoc.* **2018**, *19*, 94–97. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Hartnett, K.P.; Ward, K.C.; Kramer, M.R.; Lash, T.L.; Mertens, A.C.; Spencer, J.B.; Fothergill, A.; Howards, P.P. The risk of preterm birth and growth restriction in pregnancy after cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* **2017**, *141*, 2187–2196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Metallo, M.; Groza, L.; Brunaud, L.; Klein, M.; Weryha, G.; Feigerlova, E. Long-Term Quality of Life and Pregnancy Outcomes of Differentiated Thyroid Cancer Survivors Treated by Total Thyroidectomy and I(131) during Adolescence and Young Adulthood. *Int. J. Endocrinol.* 2016, 2016, 7586482. [CrossRef]
- Fard-Esfahani, A.; Hadifar, M.; Fallahi, B.; Beiki, D.; Eftekhari, M.; Saghari, M.; Takavar, A. Radioiodine treatment complications to the mother and child in patients with differentiated thyroid carcinoma. *Hell. J. Nucl. Med.* 2009, 12, 37–40.
- Garsi, J.P.; Schlumberger, M.; Rubino, C.; Ricard, M.; Labbé, M.; Ceccarelli, C.; Schvartz, C.; Henri-Amar, M.; Bardet, S.; de Vathaire, F. Therapeutic administration of 131I for differentiated thyroid cancer: Radiation dose to ovaries and outcome of pregnancies. J. Nucl. Med. 2008, 49, 845–852. [CrossRef]
- Brandão, C.D.; Miranda, A.E.; Corrêa, N.D.; Sieiro Netto, L.; Corbo, R.; Vaisman, M. Radioiodine therapy and subsequent pregnancy. Arq. Bras. Endocrinol. Metabol. 2007, 51, 534–540. [CrossRef]
- do Rosário, P.W.; Barroso, A.L.; Rezende, L.L.; Padrão, E.L.; Borges, M.A.; Purisch, S. Malformations in the offspring of women with thyroid cancer treated with radioiodine for the ablation of thyroid remnants. *Arq. Bras. Endocrinol. Metabol.* 2006, 50, 930–933. [CrossRef]
- Balenović, A.; Vlasić, M.; Sonicki, Z.; Bodor, D.; Kusić, Z. Pregnancy outcome after treatment with radioiodine for differentiated thyroid carcinoma. *Coll. Antropol.* 2006, 30, 743–748.
- Bal, C.; Kumar, A.; Tripathi, M.; Chandrashekar, N.; Phom, H.; Murali, N.R.; Chandra, P.; Pant, G.S. High-dose radioiodine treatment for differentiated thyroid carcinoma is not associated with change in female fertility or any genetic risk to the offspring. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2005, 63, 449–455. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chow, S.M.; Yau, S.; Lee, S.H.; Leung, W.M.; Law, S.C. Pregnancy outcome after diagnosis of differentiated thyroid carcinoma: No deleterious effect after radioactive iodine treatment. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2004, 59, 992–1000. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dottorini, M.E.; Lomuscio, G.; Mazzucchelli, L.; Vignati, A.; Colombo, L. Assessment of female fertility and carcinogenesis after iodine-131 therapy for differentiated thyroid carcinoma. *J. Nucl. Med.* 1995, 36, 21–27. [PubMed]
- Vini, L.; Hyer, S.; Al-Saadi, A.; Pratt, B.; Harmer, C. Prognosis for fertility and ovarian function after treatment with radioiodine for thyroid cancer. *Postgrad. Med. J.* 2002, 78, 92–93. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lin, J.D.; Wang, H.S.; Weng, H.F.; Kao, P.F. Outcome of pregnancy after radioactive iodine treatment for well differentiated thyroid carcinomas. J. Endocrinol. Investig. 1998, 21, 662–667. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Ayala, C.; Navarro, E.; Rodríguez, J.R.; Silva, H.; Venegas, E.; Astorga, R. Conception after iodine-131 therapy for differentiated thyroid cancer. *Thyroid* **1998**, *8*, 1009–1011. [CrossRef]
- 40. Smith, M.B.; Xue, H.; Takahashi, H.; Cangir, A.; Andrassy, R.J. Iodine 131 thyroid ablation in female children and adolescents: Long-term risk of infertility and birth defects. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* **1994**, *1*, 128–131. [CrossRef]
- Casara, D.; Rubello, D.; Saladini, G.; Piotto, A.; Pelizzo, M.R.; Girelli, M.E.; Busnardo, B. Pregnancy after high therapeutic doses of iodine-131 in differentiated thyroid cancer: Potential risks and recommendations. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med.* 1993, 20, 192–194. [CrossRef]
- 42. Liu, D.; Wei, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Li, R.; Yan, J.; Qiao, J. Obstetric outcomes in thyroid cancer survivors: A retrospective cohort study. *Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet.* **2021**, *155*, 119–124. [CrossRef]
- 43. Li, Z.; Qiu, Y.; Fei, Y.; Xing, Z.; Zhu, J.; Su, A. Prevalence of and risk factors for hypothyroidism after hemithyroidectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Endocrine* **2020**, *70*, 243–255. [CrossRef]
- 44. McGriff, N.J.; Csako, G.; Gourgiotis, L.; Lori, C.G.; Pucino, F.; Sarlis, N.J. Effects of thyroid hormone suppression therapy on adverse clinical outcomes in thyroid cancer. *Ann. Med.* **2002**, *34*, 554–564. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Krassas, G.E.; Pontikides, N.; Kaltsas, T.; Papadopoulou, P.; Paunkovic, J.; Paunkovic, N.; Duntas, L.H. Disturbances of menstruation in hypothyroidism. *Clin. Endocrinol.* **1999**, *50*, 655–659. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Bérard, A.; Abbas-Chorfa, F.; Kassai, B.; Vial, T.; Nguyen, K.A.; Sheehy, O.; Schott, A.M. The French Pregnancy Cohort: Medication use during pregnancy in the French population. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0219095. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Gindler, J.; Li, Z.; Berry, R.J.; Zheng, J.; Correa, A.; Sun, X.; Wong, L.; Cheng, L.; Erickson, J.D.; Wang, Y.; et al. Folic acid supplements during pregnancy and risk of miscarriage. *Lancet* 2001, *358*, 796–800. [CrossRef]
- 48. Okui, T. An Analysis of the Trend of Fetal Mortality Rates among Working and Jobless Households in Japan, 1995–2019. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 4810. [CrossRef]

- 49. Braem, M.G.; Onland-Moret, N.C.; Schouten, L.J.; Kruitwagen, R.F.; Lukanova, A.; Allen, N.E.; Wark, P.A.; Tjønneland, A.; Hansen, L.; Braüner, C.M.; et al. Multiple miscarriages are associated with the risk of ovarian cancer: Results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e37141.
- 50. Paul, P.J. Maternal Age at Marriage and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes: Findings from the India Human Development Survey, 2011–2012. *Pediatr. Adolesc. Gynecol.* 2018, *31*, 620–624. [CrossRef]
- 51. World Health Organization Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health. Available online: https://www.who.int/ reproductivehealth/topics/best_practices/globalsurveyoriginal/en/ (accessed on 16 December 2021).
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Monthly Vital Statistics Report. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/ mvsr/supp/mv43_05s.pdf (accessed on 16 December 2021).
- 53. Statistics Korea. Available online: https://kostat.go.kr/portal/korea/kor_nw/1/2/3/index.board?bmode=read&bSeq=&aSeq= 377055&pageNo=2&rowNum=10&navCount=10&currPg=&searchInfo=&sTarget=title&sTxt=) (accessed on 16 December 2021).
- Kyozuka, H.; Yasuda, S.; Murata, T.; Fukuda, T.; Yamaguchi, A.; Kanno, A.; Sato, A.; Ogata, Y.; Hosoya, M.; Yasumura, S.; et al. Adverse obstetric outcomes in early-diagnosed gestational diabetes mellitus: The Japan Environment and Children's Study. J. Diabetes Investig. 2021, 12, 2071–2079. [CrossRef]
- 55. An Official Website of the European Union. EUROCAT. Available online: https://eu-rd-platform.jrc.ec.europa.eu/eurocat/ eurocat-data/prevalence/export_en (accessed on 16 December 2021).
- Seo, G.H.; Kim, T.H.; Chung, J.H. Antithyroid Drugs and Congenital Malformations: A Nationwide Korean Cohort Study. Ann. Intern. Med. 2018, 168, 405–413. [CrossRef]
- 57. Sawka, A.M.; Lea, J.; Alshehri, B.; Straus, S.; Tsang, R.W.; Brierley, J.D.; Thabane, L.; Rotstein, L.; Gafni, A.; Ezzat, S.; et al. A systematic review of the gonadal effects of therapeutic radioactive iodine in male thyroid cancer survivors. *Clin. Endocrinol.* 2008, 68, 610–617. [CrossRef]
- Bourcigaux, N.; Rubino, C.; Berthaud, I.; Toubert, M.E.; Donadille, B.; Leenhardt, L.; Petrot-Keller, I.; Brailly-Tabard, S.; Fromigué, J.; de Vathaire, F.; et al. Impact on testicular function of a single ablative activity of 3.7 GBq radioactive iodine for differentiated thyroid carcinoma. *Hum. Reprod.* 2018, 33, 1408–1416. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Hyer, S.; Vini, L.; O'Connell, M.; Pratt, B.; Harmer, C. Testicular dose and fertility in men following I(131) therapy for thyroid cancer. *Clin. Endocrinol.* **2002**, *56*, 755–758. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Mazzaferri, E.L. Gonadal damage from 1311 therapy for thyroid cancer. Clin. Endocrinol. 2002, 57, 313–314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Anderson, C.; Engel, S.M.; Weaver, M.A.; Zevallos, J.P.; Nichols, H.B. Birth rates after radioactive iodine treatment for differentiated thyroid cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* 2017, 141, 2291–2295. [CrossRef]
- 62. Yaish, I.; Azem, F.; Gutfeld, O.; Silman, Z.; Serebro, M.; Sharon, O.; Shefer, G.; Limor, R.; Stern, N.; Tordjman, K.M. A Single Radioactive Iodine Treatment Has a Deleterious Effect on Ovarian Reserve in Women with Thyroid Cancer: Results of a Prospective Pilot Study. *Thyroid* **2018**, *28*, 522–527. [CrossRef]
- Navarro, P.; Rocher, S.; Miró-Martínez, P.; Oltra-Crespo, S. Radioactive iodine and female fertility. *Sci. Rep.* 2022, 12, 3704. [CrossRef]
- Oktay, K.; Harvey, B.E.; Partridge, A.H.; Quinn, G.P.; Reinecke, J.; Taylor, H.S.; Wallace, W.H.; Wang, E.T.; Loren, A.W. Fertility Preservation in Patients With Cancer: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Update. J. Clin. Oncol. 2018, 36, 1994–2001. [CrossRef]
- 65. Iijima, S. Effects of fetal involvement of inadvertent radioactive iodine therapy for the treatment of thyroid diseases during an unsuspected pregnancy. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* **2021**, 259, 53–59. [CrossRef]
- 66. International Commission on Radiological Protection. Radiation effects in the embryo and fetus. In *ICRP Publication* 103; Valentin, J., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2007; Volume 37, p. 57.
- 67. Wassner, A.J.; Smith, J.R. Hypothyroidism. In *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics*, 21st ed.; Kliegman, R.M., St. Geme, J.W., III, Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; pp. 2914–2922.
- 68. Berg, G.E.; Nyström, E.H.; Jacobsson, L.; Lindberg, S.; Lindstedt, R.G.; Mattsson, S.; Niklasson, C.A.; Norén, A.H.; Westphal, O.G. Radioiodine treatment of hyperthyroidism in a pregnant woman. *J. Nucl. Med.* **1998**, *39*, 357–361.
- Berg, G.E.; Jacobsson, L.; Nyström, E.; Gleisner, K.S.; Tennvall, J. Consequences of inadvertent radioactive treatment of Graves' disease and thyroid cancer in undiagnosed pregnancy. Can we rely on routine pregnancy testing? *Acta Oncol.* 2008, 47, 145–149. [CrossRef]
- Otake, M.; Schull, W.J. Radiation-related brain damage and growth retardation among the prenatally exposed atomic bomb survivors. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 1998, 74, 159–171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Radiation and Pregnancy: A Fact Sheet for Clinicians. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/radiation/emergencies/prenatalphysician.htm (accessed on 11 April 2022).
- 72. Buls, N.; Covens, P.; Nieboer, K.; Van Schuerbeek, P.; Devacht, P.; Eloot, L.; de Mey, J. Dealing with pregnancy in radiology: A thin line between science, social and regulatory aspects. *JBR BTR* **2009**, *92*, 271–279. [PubMed]
- 73. Radiation Protection of Pregnant Women in Nuclear Medicine. IAEA Radiation Protection of Patients (RPOP). Available online: https://www.iaea.org/resources/rpop/health-professionals/nuclear-medicine/pregnant-women (accessed on 11 April 2022).
- International Commission on Radiological Protection. Pregnancy and medical radiation. In *ICRP Publication 84*; Valentin, J., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2000; Volume 30, pp. 1–43.