

Corrigendum: The solvation of electrons by an atmospheric-pressure plasma

Paul Rumbach, David M. Bartels, R. Mohan Sankaran & David B. Go

Nature Communications 6:7248 doi: 10.1038/ncomms8248 (2015); Published 19 Jun 2015; Updated 6 Jun 2016

We have discovered an error in the original data analysis of our publication, which significantly impacts the model parameters derived from experiment. Specifically, the correction changes our estimations of the average penetration depth l and scavenging rate constants, but these new estimates do not change the overall physics conveyed in the Article.

In Supplementary Notes 4 and 5, we reported that the lock-in amplifier measures the AC amplitude of the absorbance signal as the difference between the high and low current states of the plasma (that is, the peak-to-peak amplitude). However, we have now discovered that the lock-in amplifier actually measures the RMS amplitude, which reduces our measurements of the absorbance signal by a factor of $1/(2\sqrt{2})$. Furthermore, our signal is essentially a square wave, so the peak amplitude of the first Fourier mode (measured by the lock-in detector) is a factor $4/\pi$ greater than the peak amplitude of the square wave.

When accounting for both of these, our equation for the measured amplitude, Supplementary Equation 13, was missing a factor of $\sqrt{2}/\pi$. With this correction, Supplementary Equations 13 and 15 should be

$$\frac{\Delta I}{I_0} = \frac{4\epsilon\sqrt{l}}{\pi\sqrt{2}\sin\theta} \frac{\sqrt{i_{\text{high}}} - \sqrt{i_{\text{low}}}}{\sqrt{qN_A k_2 \pi \sigma_p^2 \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_x^2}{2\sigma_p^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_y^2}{2\sigma_p^2}\right)}}; \quad (13)$$

$$\sqrt{l} = \left(\frac{\Delta I}{I_0}\right) \frac{\pi\sqrt{2}\sin\theta}{4\epsilon} \frac{\sqrt{qN_A k_2 \pi \sigma_p^2 \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_x^2}{2\sigma_p^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_y^2}{2\sigma_p^2}\right)}}{\sqrt{i_{\text{high}}} - \sqrt{i_{\text{low}}}}. \quad (15)$$

Solving for the average penetration depth l , we now estimate a value of $l = 12.3 \pm 4.9$ nm, which is a factor of $\pi^2/4 \approx 2.47$ larger than the originally reported value of 2.5 nm.

Similarly, this affects the calculation of rate constants for scavenger reactions, and Supplementary Equation 21 should be

$$k_S = \left(\frac{4\epsilon}{\pi\sqrt{2}\sin\theta}\right) \frac{1}{qN_A m} \frac{i_{\text{high}} - i_{\text{low}}}{\pi\sigma_p^2 \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_x^2}{2\sigma_p^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_y^2}{2\sigma_p^2}\right)}}. \quad (21)$$

Thus, the 'Measured k ' values in Table 1 should be reduced by a factor of $\sqrt{2}/\pi$. The correct table appears below.

Table 1 | Measured rate constants extrapolated from absorption measurements of solvated electrons at the plasma-solution interface.

Reaction	Measured k ($10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Published k ($10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Published $k_{\text{cor. for } l}$ ($10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$)
$(e^-)_{\text{aq}} + (\text{NO}_2^-)_{\text{aq}} \rightarrow (\text{NO}_2^{2-})_{\text{aq}}$	2.3 ± 0.8	4.1	9.7
$(e^-)_{\text{aq}} + (\text{NO}_3^-)_{\text{aq}} \rightarrow (\text{NO}_3^{2-})_{\text{aq}}$	3.2 ± 1.2	9.7	17.9
$(e^-)_{\text{aq}} + (\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)_{\text{aq}} \rightarrow (\text{OH})_{\text{aq}} + (\text{OH}^-)_{\text{aq}}$	6.3 ± 1.4	11.0	11.0

Literature values for bulk reactions obtained from pulse radiolysis experiments as well as values corrected for the ionic strength of our solutions are also shown.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>