New Causes and Treatments for Infectious Diarrhea in Children

Jeffrey A. Rudolph, MD and Mitchell B. Cohen, MD*

Address

[°]Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, 3333 Burnet Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45229-3039, USA.

Current Gastroenterology Reports 1999, 1:238–244 Current Science Inc. ISSN 1522-8037 Copyright © 1999 by Current Science Inc.

Infectious diarrhea is a universal and important health problem in the pediatric population. An expanding number of potential viral, bacterial, and parasitic pathogens have been associated with diarrheal disease. However, the epidemiologic association of a microorganism with diarrhea is only one step in the process of identifying new pathogens. Once the virulence mechanisms of these organisms are elucidated, a causal relationship can be more readily defined. This article reviews the etiologic agents of diarrhea in the pediatric population and focuses on the newer treatment and prevention modalities, including probiotics and vaccinations, which are used increasingly to combat these diseases.

Introduction

Infectious diarrheal disease is one of the most common ailments in the pediatric population and plays a major role in pediatric mortality from a worldwide perspective. With the advent of rehydration therapy, the mortality rate from acute diarrhea has decreased sharply, although persistent diarrhea (>14 days) remains a significant health burden. In the United States and in developing countries, infectious diarrhea remains a cause of considerable morbidity and health care expenditure. Infectious diarrhea has been estimated to be the major complaint in up to 10% of pediatric outpatient visits, with a cost to society of between \$0.6 and \$1 billion each year in the United States alone [1••].

When an etiologic agent is sought, a microorganism is identified roughly half of the time. The epidemiology of these commonly found organisms has changed little over recent years. With the advent of more sophisticated technology (such as electron microscopy and immunologic antigen testing to identify new organisms), numerous potential pathogens have been implicated as factors in diarrhea. As modes of detection are becoming more advanced, identification of pathobiologic mechanisms is increasingly important because the presence of a microorganism in the stool does not prove a causal relationship to disease (diarrhea). Furthermore, the self-limiting nature of the majority of diarrheal diseases currently makes this expensive technology impractical for everyday use. Knowledge of the etiologic agents of infectious diarrhea and their pathologic mechanisms is also useful as new therapies in the treatment and prevention of diarrhea are developed. This review, though not intended to be all-inclusive, aims to address the causes of acute infectious diarrhea with emphasis on some of the more newly implicated pathogens and the therapeutic modalities involved in the treatment and prevention of these diseases.

Viral Gastroenteritis

Viruses are the major cause of acute diarrheal illness throughout the world. They remain the presumptive diagnosis of most episodes of short-lived gastroenteritis that are not assigned a specific etiology, either because laboratory evaluation is not performed or pathogens are not identified in the routine microbiology laboratory. With the identification of a viral agent in stools during a gastroenteritis outbreak in Norwalk, Ohio in 1969 (referred to as Norwalk virus) [2] and later identification of rotavirus particles by electron microscopy in biopsy specimens of children suffering from acute gastroenteritis in 1973 by Bishop [3], the search for viral pathogens was initiated. The known pathogens implicated in acute viral gastroenteritis and some of their distinguishing characteristics are listed in Table 1.

Rotavirus is a nonenveloped, double-stranded RNA virus surrounded by a protein capsid shell. It is the most common pathogen identified in most epidemiologic studies of infectious diarrhea worldwide. In the United States, the incidence of rotavirus infection peaks in the winter and early spring. It has a short incubation time and usually causes a nonbloody diarrhea and vomiting that last from 2 to 8 days. Transmission is usually by direct, person-to-person or person–fomite contact. The majority of severe illness occurs in children aged under 2 years, after which natural immunity usually occurs [4]. In addition, breastfed infants receive some degree of protection from factors in human milk. Diagnosis of rotavirus is usually made by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay detecting the capsid protein antigen of the most common disease-caus-

Virus	Family	Description	Seasonality	Incubation period	Duration of symptoms
Rotavirus	Reoviridae	ds-RNA	Winter-spring	2–3 days	2–8 days
Norwalk/SRSV	Caliciviridae	ss-RNA	Winter	1–2 days	1–2 days
Astrovirus	Astroviridae	ss-RNA	No seasonality	1–2 days	1–4 days
Adenovirus 40/41	Adenoviridae	ds-DNA	Summer	8–10 days	Up to 14 days
Torovirus	Coronaviridae	ss-RNA	No seasonality	Unknown	Unknown

ing strains (group A). This test has a sensitivity of approximately 90% [5].

The Norwalk family of viruses, including the small, round, structured viruses (SRSV), is a heterogeneous group of viruses responsible for epidemic diarrhea in children and adults throughout the winter season. Viruses of this type are classified in the family Caliciviridae and are also RNA viruses surrounded by a protein capsid. Diseases caused by the Norwalk virus and related viruses tend to be less severe than rotaviral illness, lasting only 1 to 2 days. A relative of the Norwalk viruses that was initially classified as an SRSV is astrovirus, an RNA virus now given its own familial classification. Astrovirus infection appears to have no seasonality and may be the second most common cause of viral gastroenteritis. This virus has been studied in various outpatient settings including Thailand [6] and Chile [7] and was prevalent in 8% to 11% of infants and toddlers with diarrhea. In the Chilean study, astrovirus infection was a common copathogen, occurring with another infectious agent, mainly rotavirus and Giardia, approximately 50% of the time [7]. The cellular target of human astrovirus infection has not been elucidated, although it has been shown to be present in mature epithelial cells in lambs, where it causes a similar diarrheal illness [8].

Adenoviruses are a large group of viruses that are composed of 47 recognized serotypes. Of these, two enteric serotypes, HadV 40 and 41, are generally accepted as causing diarrheal disease. The incidence of adenovirus infection peaks in the summer months, and the duration of symptoms tends to be somewhat longer than that for other viral gastroenteritides.

Torovirus, a member of the family Coronaviridae, is a recently described pathogen related to the Breda virus that has been shown to invade intestinal epithelial crypt cells in calves [9]. Torovirus has been associated with pediatric diarrhea and found to affect older children, especially the immunocompromised, and as a nosocomial infection. There is a tendency for less vomiting with this infection, but there is an 11% incidence of bloody diarrhea [10]. Other members of the Coronavirus family have been implicated in gastrointestinal disease, although no compelling epidemiologic evidence or pathobiologic basis has been established.

Bacterial Enteritis

Bacterial pathogens continue to play an important role in infectious diarrhea and account for a significant proportion of serious disease. Campylobacter, Salmonella, and Shigella are the most common pathogens implicated in foodborne illnesses reported to the Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) branch of the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC) [11]. Other pathogens, including Yersinia, Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, and Vibrio species are found at a much lower rate. The major bacterial pathogens that cause diarrhea are summarized in Table 2.

E. coli are a diverse group of pathogenic and nonpathogenic commensal organisms. The disease-causing strains are organized into five major groups, largely by pathobiologic features inherent to their virulence. Enterotoxigenic E coli produces infant and traveler's diarrhea. Enterohemorrhagic E. coli, serotype O157:H7, is responsible for most instances of hemolytic-uremic syndrome and has been associated with outbreaks of infectious diarrhea in the United States. Enterohemorrhagic E. coli can also cause sporadic illness and may be the most common cause of infectious bloody diarrhea in North America [12,13]. Enteroinvasive E. coli has been identified as a cause of shigella-like diarrheal illness. Enteropathogenic E. coli is a common cause of acute and persistent diarrhea among infants in the developing world. The pathogenic features of this organism include localized enterocyte adherence, microvillus membrane effacement, and initiation of several signal transduction pathways leading to intestinal secretion [14]. Enteroaggregative E. coli and diffuse enteroadherent E. coli are described on the basis of their adherence patterns to tissue culture cells. Enteroaggregative E. coli have been associated with acute and chronic diarrhea in Western Europe [15], while diffuse enteroadherent *E. coli* have been suggested as a cause of diarrhea in Mayan children [16]. In a US multicenter study examining the etiology of outpatient nondysenteric diarrhea, the leading causes of bacterial disease were E. coli strains identified by a diffuse Hep-2 cell adherence pattern [1..]. Little is known regarding the pathologic mechanisms in which diffuse and enteroaggregative E. coli cause diarrhea. The epidemiologic association of these organisms with diarrhea has led to speculation that they are indeed pathogenic.

Organism	Major pathologic mechanisms	Duration	Blood in stool	Systemic complications
Salmonella	Invasion Intra-epithelial proliferation	Acute carrier state	Sometimes	Bacteremia
Shigella	Intracellular invasion Shiga toxin elaboration	Acute	Common	Seizures HUS
Campylobacter	Adherence Toxin production Invasion	Acute	Common	Bacteremia Guillain-Barré syndrome
Yersinia	Invasion of epithelium Accumulation in lymph nodes	Acute Persistent	Common	Arthritis Pseudoappendicitis, mesenteric adenitis
Aeromonas	Adherence Cytotoxin elaboration	Acute Persistent	Uncommon	No
EHEC	Adherence Elaboration of shiga-like toxins	Acute	Common	HUS Hemorrhagic colitis
EPEC	Adherence Microvilli effacement	Acute Persistent	Uncommon	No
ETEC	Heat labile, heat stable toxin production	Acute	No	No
EIEC	Invasion Toxin elaboration	Acute	Sometimes	No
EaggEC	Adherence in "stacked brick" configuration Pathogenicity poorly understood	Acute Persistent	Uncommon	Possible growth attenuation
DAEC	Diffuse adherence Pathogenicity poorly understood	Acute	No	No
Vibrio species	Toxin elaboration Adherence, mucinase production	Acute	No	Non-O1 vibrios: sepsis
Clostridium difficile	Toxin A, toxin B production	Acute	Sometimes	Pseudomembranous colitisitis, Recurrent infection

Table 2. The Major Bacterial Pathogens

DAEC—diffuse-adhering E. coli; EaggEC—enteroaggregative E. coli; EIEC—enteroinvasive E. coli; EHEC—enterohemorrhagic E. coli; EPEC enteropathogenic E. coli; ETEC—enterotoxigenic E. coli; HUS—hemolytic uremic syndrome.

Aeromonas species are gram-negative, oxidase-positive rods that have been associated with diarrheal disease. They have been found with a frequency of 2% or higher in children with diarrhea but not in asymptomatic controls [17,18]. Infection is more common in warmer months. The diarrhea is usually watery and self-limited, although bloody stools and persistent diarrhea may occur [17]. At least one human isolate of Aeromonas hydrophila has demonstrated a high degree of cytotoxicity [19•]. Pleisomonas shigelloides, a related organism once classified as an Aeromonas species, can also cause human diarrheal illness.

Bacteroides fragilis is an anaerobe that is found in normal intestinal flora. In 1984, an enterotoxic strain in animals that caused a diarrheal illness was described. Since that time, enterotoxigenic strains have been isolated from patients with diarrhea and from asymptomatic control subjects. Several descriptions have been given of an association between *B. fragilis* and diarrhea, the most recent showing a significant increase in stools from patients compared to control subjects aged over 1 year [20]. The significance was lost when children aged under 1 year were included. This circumstance suggests either asymptomatic carriage in the very young, as seen with *C. difficile* infections, or the presence of strain-specific virulence factors. Although this is an evolving story, there are not yet enough data to conclude that *B. fragilis* is a human enteropathogen.

On occasion, intestinal spirochetes have been implicated in colonic disease, resulting in abdominal symptoms and diarrhea. Spirochetes have been found in stools of healthy subjects and incidentally on colonic examination for other diseases. Human intestinal spirochetosis is usually caused by *Brachyspira aalborgi*, though little is known about this family of organisms. Recently, a case has been made for pathogenicity based on a series of three cases including the electron microscopic description of intestinal microvillus blunting and epithelial invasion of the spirochetes [21].

Finally, *Clostridium difficile* has been clearly identified as playing a causal role in diarrhea. *C. difficile* is a grampositive, spore-forming anaerobe that has been long known to be involved in antibiotic associated colitis. Infection with the spore, acquired through an oral–fecal route, may result in a range of clinical outcomes including asymptomatic carriage and a fulminant pseudomembranous colitis that can require surgical intervention. Normal colonic flora can suppress colonization with this microorganism, which is almost always associated with previous antibiotic therapy. C. difficile exerts its effect mainly through toxin production. Toxin A is thought to be the predominant mediator of human disease by binding to an enterocyte surface receptor and activating an intracellular G-protein-dependent signal transduction pathway. Toxin B is tested for the most frequently in routine microbiology laboratory procedures. Recently, it has been suggested that, in children, testing for toxin B alone will miss some toxigenic C. difficile. In one study, 18.5% of patients with C. difficile were positive for toxin A alone, and 48% were positive for toxin B alone [22•]. The frequency of misdiagnosis, therefore, decreases considerably when testing is performed for both toxins. Interestingly, 10% of term infants and 55% of neonates in intensive care units are C. difficile positive by cytotoxic assay and lack symptomatology [23]. It is possible that the infant intestine developmentally lacks the appropriate receptor or signaling pathway to mediate the toxic effect. The incidence of asymptomatic carriage in children aged over 2 years drops to approximately 3%, which is the colonization rate in adults. Symptoms, when they are present, usually include watery, nonbloody diarrhea accompanied by crampy abdominal pain and anorexia. In more severe cases this can be accompanied by systemic symptoms such as fever, leukocytosis, hypoalbuminemia, dehydration, and electrolyte imbalance. Occasionally, colitis develops and is characterized by bloody stools and the development of pseudomembranes. A fulminant colitis and toxic megacolon may then develop, ultimately requiring surgical intervention [24•]. Resolution of symptoms usually occurs within 3 days of initiating therapy.

Protozoa

Intestinal parasitism continues to play a role in infectious diarrhea in the United States, with protozoans causing the vast majority of infections and giardiasis constituting the majority of parasitic diarrhea. In an effort to document patterns of parasitism in the United States, results of 216,275 stool specimens collected by state laboratories were reviewed by the CDC. Giardia was present in 7.2% of stool specimens submitted to state diagnostic laboratories in 1987. This represents an almost twofold increase in the identification of Giardia lamblia over what was seen in 1979, with 40 states reporting increases [25]. More recently, in a limited multicenter epidemiologic study, Giardia was found in 22 of 147 pediatric patients (15%) with nondysenteric diarrhea, a finding that reflects a higher incidence in children [1••]. CDC's FoodNet identified an incidence rate of Cryptosporidium and Cyclospora infections at 2.8 and 0.3, respectively, per 100,000 population in 1997 [11]. Data on the long-term trends of these infections are pending and important because they may reflect isolated outbreaks of these parasites during this time period. Other known pathogens, including *Entamoeba histolytica, Isospora bella,* and *Balantidium coli,* occur much less frequently.

Dientamoeba fragilis, a parasite found only in its trophozoite form, colonizes the large intestine. It has a quoted prevalence of 2% to 4% in the general adult population and can be found more frequently in closed populations with poor living conditions. In a retrospective analysis in children, *D. fragilis* was found in 0.3% of all stool specimens over a 5-year period [26•]. In 10 of 11 cases, this parasite was the sole pathogen identified and usually presented as a persistent diarrhea with anorexia, abdominal pain, and vomiting. One case presented as an eosinophilic colitis that responded to therapy with iodoquinol. *D. fragilis* is generally not thought to invade intestinal epithelium, and its pathogenicity has been largely suggested by epidemiology alone.

Many other parasites have been isolated in human stools and implicated in human disease. A summary of intestinal parasites, including pathogenicity and treatment, is presented in Table 3.

Therapy

Fluid and nutritional support remains the mainstay of therapy for both viral and bacterial gastroenteritis. This is the case because no effective antiviral agents are available, and antibiotics, in most cases, will either not alter the course of diarrhea or will shorten the duration of the illness by only a small amount. Oral or intravenous fluid therapy is essential in the care of children with a potentially dehydrating illness. Oral rehydration fluids designed to replace the acute electrolyte and fluid losses in diarrhea have gained increasing acceptance. Attempts to modify the basic formula of electrolytes and a lowdextrose composition with supplements such as glycine [27] and alanine [28] to increase sodium absorption through the amino-acid-Na⁺ cotransporter have not resulted in improved clinical efficacy. After acute rehydration therapy, rapid reintroduction of the standard diet improves nutrition, promotes mucosal healing, and shortens the duration of illness.

In recent years, many new modalities have been developed to decrease the morbidity of the infectious diarrheas. In 1985, Gorbach [29] identified a lactobacillus organism as a result of screening bacteria present in fermented milk products thought to be beneficial to human health. This lactobacillus species was acid- and bile-resistant, adhered to human intestinal epithelial cells, and had growth characteristics necessary for commercial development. This strain, identified as Lactobacillus GG, is one of several probiotics, or nonpathogenic organisms, used to benefit human

Parasite	Pathogen	Drug of choice	Pediatric dosage
Giardia	Yes	Metronidazole	15 mg/kg/dose divided 3 times daily for 5–7 days.
		Furazolidone	6 mg/kg/dose divided 4 time: daily for 7–10 days.
Cryptosporidium	Self-limited in immunocompetent patients	Uncertain	
Cyclospora	Yes	TMP-SMX	TMP 5 mg/kg dose divided 2 times daily for 7 days.
Isospora bella	Yes	TMP-SMX	TMP 5 mg/kg dose divided 2 times daily for 7 days.
Balantidium coli	Yes	Tetracycline	40 mg/kg dose divided 4 time: daily for 10 days.
		lodoquinol	40 mg/kg dose divided 3 time: daily for 20 days.
		Metronidazole	35–50 mg/kg dose divided 3 times daily for 5 days.
Dientamoeba fragilis	Yes	lodoquinol	40 mg/kg dose divided 3 time: daily for 20 days.
Blastocystis hominis	Maybe*	Metronidazole	15 mg/kg dose divided 3 times daily for 5–7 days.
Entamoeba histolytica	Yes	Metronidazole	35–50 mg/kg dose divided 3 times daily for 10 days.
Entamoeba hartmanni	No		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Entamoeba polecki	No		
Enatamoeba coli	No		
Endolimax nana	No		
lodamoeba butshlii	No		

TMP-SMX—trimethoprin-sulfame thoxazole.

health by improving microbial balance [29]. Following this discovery, multiple candidate microorganisms were developed to aid in human disease. Of these organisms, Lactobacillus GG remains the most popular strain to be tested in controlled trials. The reader is referred to review articles by Vanderhoof et al. [30••] and Golden [31] for a more comprehensive discussion of probiotics in pediatric disease. Lactobacillus has been used to study the prevention of diarrheal illness in undernourished infants in Peru. [32]. Lactobaccillus GG used daily for a period of 6 to 7 days over a 15month period has been shown to reduce the occurrence of acute diarrhea in undernourished, non-breast-fed infants aged 18 to 29 months but did not significantly affect younger infants or infants receiving breast milk. In addition, Lactobacillus GG has been shown to decrease the incidence of traveler's diarrhea when given as a prophylactic measure [33]. Lactobacillus was an adjunctive therapy in the treatment of rotavirus diarrhea in a study of 71 Finnish children [34]. Results were modest, including decreased duration of diarrhea by approximately 1 day. Lactobacillus has been successful in the treatment of recurrent C. difficile diarrhea after

standard therapy in four of five adults [35] and four children [36]. In the latter study, retreatment was necessary in two children after stools became toxin positive. Long-term follow-up demonstrated no relapse after 8 to 18 months. As our experience grows, probiotics are likely to find a place in the treatment of specific problems related to diarrheal illness.

Another new modality used in infectious diarrhea is enteral immunoglobulin. This strategy, while very expensive, has been successful in treatment of children with prolonged rotaviral illness [37]. In general, this strategy is likely to be reserved for immunodeficient children with protracted diarrhea and has limited value in the treatment of classical rotavirus infection.

As pathogenicity becomes better-elucidated and antigenic proteins or portions of proteins are identified, immunization becomes a feasible means of preventing diarrheal disease. A new addition to the armamentarium against rotaviral induced disease is the rotavirus vaccine. The antigenic proteins located on the viral capsid are classified as rotaviruses. Group A rotavirus is generally thought to cause the majority of human disease. Within this group are four serotypes based on the inner capsid protein, VP7. These four serotypes are the basis of the rhesus-reassortment virus tetravalent oral vaccine recently approved for use in children aged 2, 4, and 6 months. Multiple trials in developed countries have assessed the efficacy and safety of this vaccine [38, 39•,40,41]. These studies have demonstrated an approximately 50% efficacy against all episodes of rotavirus diarrhea, a decrease in over 80% of serious rotaviral disease, and complete protection against dehydration. The protection rates observed after three doses of vaccine are comparable to observed rates of protection after natural rotavirus infection in children aged under 2 years [42]. Because the vaccine causes a low-grade fever, and increased reactogenicity occurs when immunizations are begun past the age of 6 months, immunization is currently not recommended beyond this age. A recent costeffectiveness analysis has determined that a national immunization program would be beneficial from both a health care and a socioeconomic perspective [43••]. In addition to the rotavirus vaccine, immunization strategies are being developed or have been developed for numerous enteric pathogens.

The ways in which vaccines are developed is also evolving. The hypothesis that an immune response can be invoked by antigens found in foodstuffs, along with the technology of plant recombinant genetics, have led to the concept of transgenic foods as vehicles for active immunization. Fourteen human volunteers in a preliminary trial were asked to eat a potato product transformed with the B subunit of heat labile toxin of enterotoxigenic E. coli. This activity resulted in mucosal and serologic immune responses similar to those induced by the organism itself [44••]. In addition, several animal studies have validated the concept of using plant products transformed with the Norwalk virus capsid protein as potent vaccines [45]. Human trials with this immunization strategy are pending. The use of transgenic foodstuffs as immunogens against enteric pathogens is in its infancy but shows great potential. These vaccines could be produced inexpensively and in bulk for use in developing areas and thus have significant impact on pediatric diarrheal disease.

Conclusions

A working knowledge of the enteric pathogens, the biological mechanisms they utilize to infect the intestine, and the way in which the host responds to their presence has proven valuable in our understanding of how infectious diarrhea is perceived. These diseases have significant morbidity and mortality. An understanding at a molecular level has expanded the emphasis of treatment to include prevention as an effective modality. New strategies must be developed with both efficacy and economic feasibility in mind in order to have a global impact.

References and Recommended Reading

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance
- 1.•• Caeiro JP, Mathewson JJ, Smith MA, et al.: Etiology of outpatient pediatric nondysenteric diarrhea: a multicenter study in the United States. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1999, 18:94–97.

This article reports on a multicenter epidemiologic survey of the major causes of pediatric outpatient diarrhea in the United States. This study recognizes diarrheagenic *E. coli* as an important and emerging pathogen in nonbloody diarrhea.

- Adler JL, Zickl R: Winter vomiting disease. J Infect Dis 1969, 199:668–673.
- 3. Bishop RF, Davidson GP, Holmes IH, *et al.*: Virus particles in epithelial cells of duodenal mucosa from children with acute non-bacterial gastroenteritis. *Lancet* 1973, **2**:1281–1283.
- 4. Yolken RH, Wyatt RG, Zissis G, et al.: Epidemiology of human rotavirus types 1 and 2 as studied by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. N Engl J Med 1978, 299:1156–1161.
- Christy C, Vosefski D, Madore HP: Comparison of three enzyme immunoassays to tissue culture for the diagnosis of rotavirus in infants and young children. *J Clin Microbiol* 1990, 28:1428–1430.
- Hermann JE, Taylor DN, Echeverria PE, Blacklow NR: Astrovirus as a cause of gastroenteritis in children. N Engl J Med 1991, 324: 1757–1769.
- Gaggero A, O'Rayn M, Noel JS, et al.: Prevalence of astrovirus infection among Chilean children with acute gastroenteritis. J Clin Microbiol 1998, 36:3691–3693.
- Snodgrass DR, Angus KW, Gray EW, et al.: Pathogenesis of diarrhoea caused by astrovirus infection in lambs. Arch Virol 1979, 60:217–226.
- Woode GN, Reed DE, Runnels PL, et al.: Studies with an unclassified virus isolated from diarrheic calves. Vet Microbiol 1982, 7:221–240.
- Jamieson JF, Wang EL, Bain C, et al.: Human torovirus: a new nosocomial gastrointestinal pathogen. J Infect Dis 1998, 178:1263–1269.
- Center for Disease Control: Incidence of Foodborne Illnesses—FoodNet, 1997. MMWR CDC Surveill Summ 1998; 47:782–786.
- Roels TH, Proctor ME, Robinson LC, et al.: Clinical features of infections due to Escherichia coli producing heat-stable toxin during an outbreak in Wisconsin: a rarely suspected cause of diarrhea in the United States. Clin Infect Dis 1998, 26:898–902.
- Slustker LA, Ries AA, Greene KD, et al.: Escherichia coli O157:H7 diarrhea in the United States: clinical and epidemiologic features. Ann Int Med 1997, 126:505–513.
- 14. Donnenberg MS, Kaper JB: Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli. Infect Immun 1992, 60:3953–3961.
- 15. Huppertz HI, Rutkowski S, Aleksic S, *et al.*: Acute and chronic diarrhoea and abdominal colic associated with enteroaggregative Escherichia coli in young children living in western Europe. *Lancet* 1997, **349**:1660–1662.
- Girón JA, Jones T, Millán-Velasco F, et al.: Diffuse-adhering Escherichia coli (DAEC) as a putative cause of diarrhea in Mayan children in Mexico. J Infect Dis 1991, 163:507–513.
- Rautelin H, Sivonen A, Kuikka A, et al.: Role of Aeromonas isolated from feces of Finnish patients. Scand J Infect Dis 1995, 27:207–210.
- Gracey M, Burke V, Robinson J: Aeromonas-associated gastroenteritis. Lancet 1982, 2:1304–1306.
- 19.• Kuhn I, Albert MJ, Ansaruzzaman M, *et al.*: Characterization of Aeromonas species isolated from humans with diarrhea, from healthy controls, and from surface water in Bangladesh. *J Clin Microbiol* 1997, **35**:369–373.

This article reports on a controlled study of Aeromonas species from patients with diarrhea and species isolated from surface water that identified hemolytic cytotoxic strains common in diarrheal disease.

- San Joaquin VH, Griffis JC, Lee C, Sears CL: Association of Bacteroides fragilis with childhood diarrhea. Scand J Infect Dis 1995, 27:211–215.
- Padmanabhan V, Dahlstrom J, Maxwell L, et al.: Invasive intestinal spirochetosis: a report of three cases. Pathology 1996, 28:283–286.
- 22.• Kader HA, Piccoli DA, Jawad AF, et al.: Single toxin detection is inadequate to diagnose Clostridium difficile diarrhea in pediatric patients. *Gastroenterology* 1998, 115:1329–1334.

This article emphasizes that the detection toxins A and B in combination will substantially increase the positive identification of toxigenic *C. difficile.*

- 23. Donta ST, Myers MG: Clostridium difficile toxin in asymptomatic neonates. J Pediatr 1992, 100:431–434.
- 24.• Cleary RK: Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea and colitis: Clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and treatment. Dis Colon Rectum 1998, 41:1435–1449.

This is a comprehensive review of C. difficile disease and its treatment, including the use of probiotics to treat recalcitrant disease and operative indications. The article is accompanied by an extensive bibliography.

- 25. Kappus KD, Lundgren RG Jr, Juranek DD, *et al.*: Intestinal parasitism in the United States: update on a continuing problem. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1994, **50**:705–713.
- Cuffari C, Oligny L, Seidman EG: Dientamoeba fragilis masquerading as allergic colitis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1998, 26:16–20.

A retrospective review of 11 cases of D. fragilis–associated diarrhea that describes the disease's common clinical features. Included is a case report of an infant with hemorrhagic colitis that responded to therapy for D. fragilis.

- 27. Santosham M, Burns BA, Reid R, et al.: Glycine-based oral rehydration solution: reassessment of safety and efficacy. J Pediatr 1986, 109:795–801.
- Ribeiro Junior H, da C, Lifshitz F: Alanine-based oral rehydration therapy for infants with acute diarrhea. J Pediatr 1991, 118:S86–S90.
- Gorbach SL: The discovery of Lactobacillus GG. Nutrition Today 1996, 31:2S-4S.
- Vanderhoof JA, Young RJ: Use of probiotics in childhood gastrointestinal disorders. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1998, 27:323–332.

This article presents a comprehensive review of the use of probiotics in pediatric disease including infectious diarrhea. It is accompanied by a referenced list of human trials with probiotics, including descriptions of diseases, agents used, and outcomes.

- 31. Goldin BR: Health benefits of probiotics. *Brit J Nutr* 1998, 80:S203–S207.
- 32. Oberhelman RA, Gilman RH, Sheen P, et al.: A placebo-controlled trial of Lactobacillus GG to prevent diarrhea in undernourished Peruvian children. J Pediatr 1999, 134:15–20.

- Hilton E, Kolakowski P, Singer C, Smith M: Efficacy of Lactobacillus GG as a diarrheal preventative in travelers. *J Travel Med* 1997, 4:41–43.
- 34. Isolauri E, Juntunen M, Rautanen T, *et al.*: A human Lactobacillus Strain (L. casei sp strain GG) promotes recovery from acute diarrhea in children. *Pediatrics* 1991, **88**:90–97.
- 35. Gorbach SL, Chang T, Goldin B: Successful treatment of relapsing Clostridium difficile colitis with Lactobacillus GG. *Lancet* 1987, **2**:1519.
- 36. Biller JA, Katz AJ, Flores AF, et al.: Treatment of recurrent Clostridium difficile colitis with Lactobacillus GG. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1995, 21:224–226.
- Guarino A, Canani RB, Russo S, et al.: Oral immunoglobulins for the treatment of acute rotaviral gastroenteritis. *Pediatrics* 1994, 93:12–16.
- Bernstein DI, Glass RI, Rogers G, et al.: Evaluation of the rhesus rotavirus monovalent and tetravalent reassortant vaccines in US children. JAMA 1995, 273:1191–1196.
- 39.• Rennels MB, Glass RI, Dennehy PH, *et al.*: Safety and efficacy of high-dose rhesus-human reassortant rotavirus vaccines: report of the national multicenter trial. *Pediatrics*. 1996, **97**:7–13.

This article presents results of the US multicenter trial of a monovalent and tetravalent reassortant rotavirus vaccine that demonstrates efficacy in the prevention of rotavirus illness and dehydration.

- 40. Joensuu J, Koskenniemi E, Pang X-L, et al.: A randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial of rhesus-human reassortant rotavirus vaccine for the prevention of severe rotavirus gastroenteritis. Lancet 1997, 350:1205–1209.
- Santosham M, Moulton LH, Reid R, et al.: Efficacy and safety of high-dose rhesus-human reassortant virus vaccine in the native American population. J Pediatr 1997, 131:632–638.
- 42. Velazquez FR, Matson DO, Calva JJ, *et al.*: **Rotavirus infections in infants as protection against subsequent infections**. *N Engl J Med* 1996, **335**:1022–1028.
- 43.•• Tucker AW, Haddix AC, Bresee JS, et al.: Cost-effectiveness analysis of a rotavirus immunization program for the United States. JAMA 1998, 279:1371–1376.

This analysis reviews the outcomes of the four major rotavirus vaccination trials and argues for the development of a national immunization program as a cost-effectiveness measure.

44.•• Tacket CO, Mason HS, Losonsky, et al.: Immunogenicity in humans of a recombinant bacterial antigen delivered in a transgenic potato. *Nature Med* 1998, 4:607–609.

This study presents the first human trial of transgenic foodstuffs that confer immunity against an enteropathogen. Volunteers showed both a serologic and mucosal response to the immunogen delivered in the potato. The response was similar to that of the immunogen alone.

45. Mason HS, Ball JM, Shi J, et al.: Expression of Norwalk virus capsid protein in transgenic tobacco and potato and its oral immunogenicity in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1996, 93:5335–5340.