

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Oral bisphosphonate use and lung cancer incidence among postmenopausal women

M. H. Tao^{1*}, S. Chen¹, J. L. Freudenheim², J. A. Cauley³, K. C. Johnson⁴, X. Mai², G. E. Sarto⁵, H. Wakelee⁶, P. Boffetta⁷ & J. Wactawski-Wende²

¹Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth; ²Department of Epidemiology and Environmental Health, University at Buffalo, Buffalo; ³Department of Epidemiology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh; ⁴Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis; ⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Wisconsin, Madison; ⁶Division of Oncology, Department of Medicine, Stanford University and Stanford Cancer Institute, Stanford; ⁷Tisch Cancer Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA

*Correspondence to: Dr Meng-Hua Tao, Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of North Texas Health Science Center, 3500 Camp Bowie, Fort Worth, TX 76107, USA. Tel: +1-817-735-0520; Fax: +1-817-735-0446; E-mail: menghua.tao@unthsc.edu

Background: Bisphosphonates are common medications for the treatment of osteoporosis in older populations. Several studies, including the Women's Health Initiative (WHI), have found inverse associations of bisphosphonate use with risk of breast and endometrial cancer, but little is known about its association with other common malignancies. The objective of this study was to evaluate the association of bisphosphonate use on the incidence of lung cancer in the WHI.

Patients and methods: The association between oral bisphosphonate use and lung cancer risk was examined in 151432 postmenopausal women enrolled into the WHI in 1993–1998. At baseline and during follow-up, participants completed an inventory of regularly used medications including bisphosphonates.

Results: After a mean follow-up of 13.3 years, 2511 women were diagnosed with incident lung cancer. There was no evidence of a difference in lung cancer incidence between oral bisphosphonate users and never users (adjusted hazard ratio = 0.91; 95% confidence intervals, 0.80–1.04; P = 0.16). However, an inverse association was observed among those who were never smokers (hazard ratio = 0.57, 95% confidence interval, 0.39–0.84; P < 0.01).

Conclusion: In this large prospective cohort of postmenopausal women, oral bisphosphonate use was associated with significantly lower lung cancer risk among never smokers, suggesting bisphosphonates may have a protective effect against lung cancer. Additional studies are needed to confirm our findings.

Key words: lung cancer, bisphosphonates, postmenopausal women, epidemiology, Women's Health Initiative

Introduction

Lung cancer is the second most common cancer and the leading cause of cancer death among American women [1]. Although tobacco smoking is the leading cause, identifying other modifiable factors is important and may be useful in developing novel prevention strategies for this highly fatal disease.

Bisphosphonates are commonly prescribed medications for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis in older populations, particularly among postmenopausal women [2]. Experimental studies have shown that bisphosphonates have a range of direct and indirect antitumor effects, including inhibition tumor angiogenesis and cellular proliferation, prevention tumor cell adhesion and invasion, and induction of tumor cell apoptosis [3]; however, it is still unclear whether they play a role in primary prevention of cancer. A number of recent studies, including the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) have explored the relationships between bisphosphonate use and risk of breast [4–8], gastrointestinal tract [9–11], endometrial and ovarian cancer [12, 13]. A few studies, with inconsistent results have investigated the association of oral bisphosphonate use with lung cancer risk [14–17]. Nonsignificant inverse associations were observed in retrospective studies nested within the United Kingdom (UK) General Practice Research Database (GPRD) [hazard ratio (HR) = 0.85, 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.70–1.03 and odds ratio (OR) = 0.97, 95% CI, 0.88–1.08] [14, 15], while results from combined GPRD and practice-based QRsearch databases showed no association (OR = 1.04, 95% CI, 0.97–1.12) [15].

[©] The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Annals of Oncology

Results from retrospective studies using Taiwan health insurance system database were conflicting; one suggested no association (HR = 1.17, 95% CI, 0.05–1.43) [16], but another one found positive association (HR = 1.47, 95% CI, 1.00-2.17) [17]. However, these previous studies had very limited information on risk factors of lung cancer including smoking, and none of them explored whether the associations between bisphosphonate use and lung cancer vary by smoking status. Meanwhile, some but not all previous studies have observed associations between exposure to tobacco smoke or tobacco products and low bone mineral density [18-21] and some clinical studies reported that bisphosphonate treatment failure was associated with current smoking status among postmenopausal women with osteoporosis [22]. These findings suggest a potential interaction between bisphosphonate use and smoking. Therefore, we hypothesized that oral bisphosphonate use may have effects on lung carcinogenesis in older women, particularly among those who are never smokers.

To test these hypotheses, we evaluated the relationship between oral bisphosphonates use and lung cancer risk and its potential interaction with smoking status on lung cancer risk in the well-described WHI study, a large prospective cohort of postmenopausal women involving three randomized clinical trials (WHI-CTs) and a large observational study (WHI-OS).

Materials and methods

WHI overview

Details of the WHI study methods have been published elsewhere [23–25]. Briefly, WHI study enrolled 161 808 postmenopausal women in an observational study (WHI-OS) and three clinical trials (WHI-CTs) between 1993 and 1998 from 40 clinical centers across the United States. Eligible women for the WHI study were 50 to 79 years old at baseline with anticipated survival of at least 3 years, accessible for follow-up. Each WHI trial had its own set of eligibility criteria. WHI-OS participants were ineligible or unwilling to be included in a randomized trial. All participants provided informed consent, and the study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of all respective clinical centers.

Measurement of exposures

Standardized, self-administered questionnaires were completed by participants at the baseline visit, including queries regarding demographic factors, smoking history, medical history, alcohol intake, dietary intake, personal habits, and physical activity.

A medication inventory of all current, regularly used medications, including bisphosphonates, was taken at the baseline and at year 3 clinic visits for all WHI participants, and additionally at years 1 and 6 after random assignment for WHI-CT participants. Participants were instructed to bring all medication containers for those prescribed and over the counter medications taken at least twice per week during the previous 2 weeks. The medication information (type and frequency) was obtained directly from the medication containers and entered into the WHI database by trained research staff. Each medication was matched to the Medi-Span® (Indianapolis, IN) Master Drug Database to ascertain detailed ingredient information. For those reporting use of bisphosphonates, the type of the compound and the duration of use was recorded and validated by checking pill box labels. No information on dose was collected. A validity study was conducted among 223 WHI participants for whom we had bisphosphonate use information from both medication inventory and pharmacy records; the agreement between sources was high (current bisphosphonate use: sensitivity = 80%; duration of bisphosphonate use: $\kappa = 0.95$ [26].

Original article

Extensive information on smoking was collected at baseline in the WHI study; participants were asked whether they had ever smoked \geq 100 cigarettes in their lifetime and whether they smoked currently to identify current and former smokers. A woman was defined as former smoker if she did not smoke currently but had ever smoked \geq 100 cigarettes in the past. The number of cigarettes smoked per day and the number of years as a regular smoker were also queried. Never smokers were defined as participants who reported having ever smoked <100 cigarettes in their lifetime. The 10-year probability of hip fracture was calculated using a WHO developed fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX)[®] to evaluate a hip fracture risk [27]. It is based on individual patient models that integrate the risk associated with clinical risk factors measured at baseline [27].

Ascertainment of outcomes

Self-reported health outcomes, including lung cancer, were ascertained semiannually for WHI-CT or annually for WHI-OS using a health update questionnaire until 2005 and then annually for all WHI participants thereafter. Lung cancer diagnoses that were reported were confirmed and adjudicated using medical records and pathology reports reviewed by centrally trained study physicians blinded to study components, medication, or randomization assignments [24]. Tumor characteristics were coded according to the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) guidelines at the WHI Coordinating Center [24]. Follow-up was censored at the earliest of the following event: last known follow-up or 30 September 2013.

Study participants

We excluded participants who had not turned 50 years old at baseline, had a prior history of lung cancer at baseline, or had missing data on follow-up time, or lacked information of medication inventory at baseline and at year 3 for all participants and additionally at year 1 and 6 for WHI-CT participants. The current analyses finally included 151432 WHI participants.

Statistical analysis

We estimated HRs and 95% CIs using Cox proportional hazards regression models [28] to examine the associations between time since initiation of oral bisphosphonate use and lung cancer incidence. Oral bisphosphonate use was, in general, dichotomized as a time-varying never/ever variable by updating baseline use at years 1, 3 or 6 clinic visits among women at risk of lung cancer in the CT women and year 3 in the OS women (nonusers could become ever users over time). Therefore, nonusers in the Cox regression model referred to women who never reported oral bisphosphonate use during those follow-up visits before the occurrence of lung cancer. Quartile distributions among oral bisphosphonate users were used to categorize total duration of use. In the age-adjusted analyses, the Cox proportional hazards models were adjusted for age and stratified on WHI study component and for those in the WHI-CT's randomization arm. In more general multivariate analyses, the Cox regression models were adjusted for covariates measured at baseline, including age, ethnicity, education, smoking status, number of cigarettes smoked per day, total years of smoking, alcohol use status, physical activity, body mass index (BMI), personal prior hormone therapy use, statin use, total calcium intake, and total vitamin D intake. Previous studies have shown significant correlation between calculated hip fracture risk score with BMD [8]. Therefore, in current study, we also assessed potential confounding by 10-year probability of hip fracture and history of bone fracture after age 54 years, and these two factors did not alter point estimates by $\geq 10\%$ and were excluded from final models.

Lung tumor histology, stage, and grade were compared between users of any type of oral bisphosphonate and nonusers with χ^2 tests. Tumors with missing information were not included in these analyses.

Multiplicative interactions between oral bisphosphonate use and other covariates of interest measured at baseline, including smoking status and continuous total calcium intake, were tested using the Wald test. All statistical tests were based on two-sided probability. Statistical analyses were conducting using SAS, Version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

Oral bisphosphonate use at baseline was uncommon (n = 3146 users, 2.1%) but had increased to 9.7% (14721 users) by year 6 (Table 1). About 72.3% of baseline users of bisphosphonate reported a physician diagnosis of osteoporosis compared with only 6.6% of nonusers. Compared to nonusers, baseline users were older, more likely to be white, had higher educational level, and substantially higher estimated 10-year probability of hip fracture. Baseline users also reported higher physical activity, had lower BMI, higher total calcium and vitamin D intakes, were less likely to be current smokers, have less total pack-years of smoking and were less likely to use hormone therapy before enrollment than nonusers (Table 1). Moreover, baseline characteristics of WHI study participants by their smoking status are presented in Table A1.

After 13.3 years' (mean) cumulative follow-up, 2511 women were diagnosed with incident lung cancer (2257 nonusers and 254 ever users of oral bisphosphonates) (Table 2). The crude incidence of lung cancer was similar among nonusers and women reporting any type of oral bisphosphonates use. Based on the multivariable-adjusted model, the HR for use of any type of oral bisphosphonates compared with never use was not significantly different (HR = 0.91, 95% CI, 0.80–1.04, P = 0.16). The magnitude of the associations was stronger for long-term users of bisphosphonate than for short-term users, particularly for 1.50-2.99 years use (HR = 0.65, 95% CI, 0.46–0.93; P = 0.02); however, there was no dose-response relationship for duration of use (*P* for trend = 0.25). Alendronate was the most common type of oral bisphosphonate in the study population during the followup (>90% of users); however, risk estimates for risedronate and other types of bisphosphonate use were not very precise. Additionally, we conducted sensitivity analyses by further excluding lung cancer cases diagnosed within one year of enrollment of the WHI, and similar results were observed (data not shown).

Two subgroup analyses were carried out (Table 3). We found that the association patterns with bisphosphonate use differed in never smokers and ever smokers (*P* interaction = 0.02). Among never smoking women, oral bisphosphonate use was inversely associated with lung cancer risk in the multivariate adjusted models (HR = 0.57, 95% CI, 0.39–0.84, P < 0.01); the association was stronger for total duration of use \geq 1.5 years (HR = 0.36, 95% CI, 0.18–0.73). Among ever smokers, bisphosphonate use was not related to lung cancer risk. The reduced risk associated with oral bisphosphonate use was stronger among women with lower baseline total calcium intake, but the multiplicative interaction test was not statistically significant (*P* interaction = 0.13).

The incidences of small cell lung cancer and nonsmall-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) were similar in bisphosphonate users. However, among never smoking women, oral bisphosphonate use was inversely associated with NSCLC risk (HR = 0.62, 95% CI, 0.41-0.92; P = 0.02). Similarly, a nonsignificant inverse association

with adenocarcinoma was found among never smokers (HR = 0.70, 95% CI, 0.46–1.07; P = 0.10). No association of bisphosphonate use with NSCLC was found in ever smokers (data not shown). There were no apparent differences in the stage or grading of lung cancer in oral bisphosphonate users (Table 4).

Discussion

We did not find statistically significant association between ever use oral bisphosphonate and lung cancer risk in this large prospective cohort study of postmenopausal women. However, an inverse association of oral bisphosphonate use with lung cancer risk was found among never smokers. Furthermore, our results suggested associations between longer duration of use and reduced lung cancer risk.

Our results are consistent with those from Cardwell et al. [14], where a nonsignificant inverse association was observed (HR = 0.85, 95% CI, 0.70-1.03). However, there are some differences between their analyses and ours. WHI had larger sample size (2511 lung cancer cases among 151 432 postmenopausal women at risk), confirmed bisphosphonate use, type and duration through assessments of medication packages, and collected detailed information on risk factors for lung cancer and other potential confounding factors. Cardwell et al. [14] determined bisphosphonate use from prescription or medical claim records, which limited potential recall bias. However, their pharmacy databases had limited ability to adequately control for confounding such as smoking habits. Moreover, their analysis may have failed to estimate an association among women alone due to limited sample size.

Usage of oral alendronate, a nitrogen-binding bisphosphonate and subsequent lung cancer risk has been evaluated among osteoporosis patients based on a population-based health insurance system database of Taiwan [16, 17]. Chiang et al. [16] reported no association between oral alendronate and risk of lung cancer among postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. In the same database, a later report included both male and female osteoporosis patients, and found a positive association with risk of lung cancer among osteoporosis patients in Taiwan, and the association was stronger among patients with higher dosage of alendronate (≥ 1.0 g/year) [17]. The inconsistent results from the same database may be partially due to sample differences in the two analyses. The studies from Taiwan only adjusted for age and sex due to little information on possible confounding factors in their health insurance databases.

In our study, a reduction in lung cancer risk was seen in women using bisphosphonate for ≥ 1.5 year, particularly for use of 1.50– 2.99 years. This finding is consistent with previous reports from UK, which reported a nonsignificant inverse association after 1 year of use (HR = 0.86, 95% CI, 0.63–1.17) [14]. Although no information was collected on dose of the medication in the WHI Study prevented us to explore the dose–response relationship for cumulative prescribed intakes, the likelihood ratio test suggested different effects of long-term use. Consistent with our hypothesis, we firstly found that reduction in lung cancer risk with bisphosphonate use tended to be limited to never smoking women, those who have an overall lower risk of developing lung cancer in this study. However, relative small number of incident

Table 1. Baseline characteristics by oral bisphosphonate use in the WHI study, 1993-2013

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Characteristic at baseline	Oral bisphosphonate use					
(n = 148.280) (n = 136.413) (n = 136.413) (n = 147.21) Age at screening (year) -50-59 446.61 (32.8) 406 (12.9) ² 46.22 (33.9) 209 (13.0) 0.0 0.00 0.00 (14.2) 1462 (44.3) 0.00 (12.4) 4256 (51.2) Non-stigganic white 123.276 (83.3) 2837 (03.3) ² 112.66 (62.8) 131.86 (89.8) Non-stigganic white 123.376 (83.3) 2837 (03.3) ² 112.66 (62.8) 131.86 (89.8) Back/Affican American 133.30 (3) 44 (1.4) 13.156 (12.8) 356 (22.5) American Inclain 0.32 (04.4) 140 (45.8) 31.10 (12.8) 260.22 Assent/Pacific bander 20.32 (1.1) 38.10 (13.1) 118.31 (13.1) 118.31 (13.1) Education (%)		At baseline	At baseline		At baseline, year 1, 3 or 6 ^a		
< 5059 44.681 (2)8) 40.61 (2)8 ⁶ 44.29 (45.2) 50.70 (44.7) 7256 (49.8) 70-79 33.61 (27.0) 1.278 (46.6) 92.910 (21.4) 4556 (63.2) Rac-athricing (%) 113.380 (01) 44.1 (1.4) 133.20 (20.3) 356 (2.2) Back/African American 13.380 (01) 44.1 (1.4) 133.20 (20.3) 356 (2.2) Asian/Pacific Isandar 35.30 (2.4) 1.10.03 607 (0.4) 26.02 Asian/Pacific Isandar 35.30 (2.4) 1.10.03 607 (0.4) 26.02 Asian/Pacific Isandar 35.30 (2.2) 26.41 (1.4) 31.20 (2.2) 55.60 (3.6) 26.02 College degree or higher 33.16 (2.2) 66.6 (19.4) ⁶⁹ 30.80 (2.20) 28.44 (1.5) School after high school 55.16 (3.8) 1.023 (3.6) 51.963 (3.8) 6667 (4.7) Mesing 1.033 1.033 (2.0) 1.481 (47.5) 52.060 (3.8) 6607 (4.7) Missing 1.033 1.033 (2.0) 1.042 (3.20) 1.660 (5.50) ⁶ 69.121 (5.1) 77.780 (55.6) Former scoclar 1.000 (2							
< 5059	Age at screening (years) (%)						
70-79 32 612 (22.0) 1278 (40.6) 29 201 (21.4) 4596 (31.2) Race ethnicity (%) 1 123 275 (83.3) 2837 (90.3) ⁶ 112 666 (82.8) 15 186 (89.8) Black/African American 133 380 (1) 44 (1.4) 13120 (90.3) 376 (2.6) American Indian 63 50 (0) 1 (0.3) 607 (0.4) 56 (5.7) Asam/Facilic Isabrder 357 (2.4) 140 (4.5) 3126 (2.3) 585 (4.0) Charam/Facilic Isabrder 37 80 (0.2) 30 (1.3) 187 (1.1) 183 (1.0) Education (%) 2023 (1.1) 38 (1.1) 187 (1.1) 183 (1.0) Education (%) 51 66 (35.6) 66 (1.5), ⁶ 52 606 (32.8) 203 (3.5) 56 (36.4.8) College degree on higher 74 302 (50.9) 1660 (53.6), ⁶ 68 12 (1.51.8) 77 98 (3.5) Smoking value (%) 74 302 (50.9) 1660 (55.0), ⁶ 68 12 (1.51.8) 77 98 (3.5) Current smoker 2020 (32.1) 103 (3.1) 910 (3.0) 113 91 (1.4) 1930 (1.4)		48 681 (32.8)	406 (12.9) ^b	46 242 (33.9)	2799 (19.0)		
Face-Enhicity (%) 123.276 (83.3) 28.07 (90.37) 112.666 (82.20) 13.168 (09.6) Bick/African American 13.380 (91.1) 44 (1.4) 13.026 (96.6) 33.76 (2.6) Hispanic/Latino 53.99 (2.7) 66 (2.7) 51.15 (3.8) 365 (2.5) American Indian 63.22 (0.4) 1.00.31 60.70 (0.4) 26 (0.2) Asian/Pacht: Islander 20.20 (1.1) 38 (1.1) 1.93 (1.1) 1.93 (1.1) 1.93 (1.1) Education (%) 1.93 (1.1)	60–69	66 993 (45.2)	1462 (46.5)	60 970 (44.7)	7326 (49.8)		
$\begin{split} & \text{NormHisphic white} & 12 327 (63.3) & 2337 (60.3)^{6} & 112 66 (62.8) & 13 166 (69.8) \\ & \text{Black/African American Indian} & 13 380 (61) & 44 (1.4) & 13 026 (9.6) & 376 (2.4) \\ & \text{Hspanif-Latino} & 53 (90.4) & 100.3) & 607 (9.4) & 206 (0.2) \\ & \text{American Indian} & 632 (0.4) & 100.3) & 607 (9.4) & 206 (0.2) \\ & \text{Ataur/Saft (Islander)} & 203 (1.1) & 38 (1.1) & 187 3 (1.1) & 183 (1.0) \\ & Charlow Norm ONE OF Control (1.6) & 100 (1.6) & 1$	70–79	32 612 (22.0)	1278 (40.6)	29 201 (21.4)	4596 (31.2)		
Black/African American 13 380 (9.1) 44 (1.4) 13 002 (9.0) 376 (2.0) Hispanic/Latino 5399 (3.7) 86 (2.7) 5115 (3.8) 365 (2.5) American Indian 5370 (2.4) 140 (4.5) 3136 (2.3) 585 (4.0) Other/Unknown 2023 (1.1) 38 (1.1) 1873 (1.1) 183 (1.1) Education (%) 586 (3.8) College degree or higher 57 880 (39.3) 1431 (47.5) 52 660 (38.6) 667 (45.7) Missing 1033 30 984 125 Smoking datus (%) 1000 (4.2) 9730 (6.3) 674 (5.1) Never 4300 (50.8) 1600 (53.6) ^b 68121 (51.6) 7789 (53.6) Current smoker 62 603 (42.4) 13006 (42.1) 5720 (42.5) 6000 (41.3) Missing 1909 46 1760 190 749 (5.1) Missing 1909 46 1760 190 747 (5.1) Never 7430 (52.7) 1600 (5	Race-ethnicity (%)						
Hispanic/atino 599 (37) 86 (27) 5115 (3.6) 365 (25) American Indian 632 (0.4) 1 (0.03) 607 (0.4) 26 (0.2) Asian/Partific Islander 3376 (2.4) 140 (1.5) 3126 (2.3) 585 (4.0) Other/Unknown 2023 (1.1) 38 (1.1) 11973 (1.1) 1183 (1.0) High school or liss 53 (6.0) 102 (3.0) 51 (93.8) 506 (64.8) School after high school 56 (54 (3.8) 102 (3.0) 51 (93.8) 6607 (65.7) Missing 1088 (9.2) 1660 (35.6) fr 681 (21 (50.6) 77.99 (53.6) Never 74 302 (20.8) 1660 (35.6) fr 681 (21 (50.6) 77.99 (53.6) Never 74 302 (20.8) 1660 (55.0) fr 681 (21 (51.8) 77.99 (53.6) Never 74 302 (20.8) 1660 (55.0) fr 681 (21 (51.8) 77.99 (53.6) Never 74 302 (20.8) 1600 (55.0) fr 681 (21 (51.8) 77.99 (54.9) < Solo 20 20 66 (14.4) 134 (4.3) 33.93 (6.9) 742 (51.1) Never 74 302 (20.8)	Non-Hispanic white	123 276 (83.3)	2837 (90.3) ^b	112 666 (82.8)	13 186 (89.8)		
American Indian632 (2.4)1 (0.03)607 (0.4)26 (0.2)Asiar/Yacific Islander3376 (2.4)140 (4.5)3126 (2.3)585 (4.6)Other/Unknown2023 (1.1)187 (1.1)183 (1.0)Education (%)	Black/African American	13 380 (9.1)	44 (1.4)	13 026 (9.6)	376 (2.6)		
AsinPacific Islander 3576 (2.4) 140 (4.5) 3126 (2.3) 585 (4.0) Other/Unknown 2023 (1.1) 38 (1.1) 1673 (1.1) 183 (1.0) High school or less 33 169 (2.2.5) 605 (19.4) ^A 30 800 (2.2.6) 2843 (19.5) School after, high school 65 154 (38.2) 1023 (30.0) 15 903 (33.4) 506 (34.6) School after, high school 55 180 (39.3) 1481 (47.5) 52 606 (38.6) 6667 (45.7) Missing 1083 30 984 125 5300 (41.3) Sinoking status (%)	Hispanic/Latino	5399 (3.7)	86 (2.7)	5115 (3.8)	365 (2.5)		
Other/Linknown 2023 (1.1) 38 (1.1) 1873 (1.1) 183 (1.0) Education (%) High school or less 33 169 (22.5) 666 (19.44) ^b 30 860 (22.8) 5086 (34.9) College degree or higher 55 154 (38.2) 1029 (33.0) 51 963 (38.4) 5086 (34.9) Missing 1083 30 98.4 125 Smoking status (%)	American Indian	632 (0.4)	1 (0.03)	607 (0.4)	26 (0.2)		
Education (%) High school or less School after high school High school or less School after high school School after high	Asian/Pacific islander	3576 (2.4)	140 (4.5)	3126 (2.3)	585 (4.0)		
High school or less $33 169 (22.5)$ $606 (19.4)^{b}$ $30 080 (22.8)$ $283 (195)$ School after high school $56 154 (32.9)$ $141 (47.5)$ $57 060 (38.8)$ $6667 (45.7)$ College degree or higher $73 080 (32.8)$ 1003 30 984 125 Smoking status (%)new $74 302 (50.8)$ $1660 (53.6)^{b}$ $68 121 (50.6)$ $7739 (53.6)$ Former smoker $62 063 (42.4)$ $1306 (42.1)$ $57 220 (42.5)$ $6000 (41.3)$ Current Smoker $10101 (58)$ $134 (4.3)$ $933 (0.6)$ $742 (5.1)$ Missing 1909 46 1760 190 Packyears of smoking (%)new $74 302 (52.0)$ $6660 (55.0)^{b}$ $68 121 (51.8)$ $7739 (54.9)$ <5	Other/Unknown	2023 (1.1)	38 (1.1)	1873 (1.1)	183 (1.0)		
School after high school 56 154 (8.2) 10.29 (3.0) 51 963 (8.4) 5086 (4.8) College degree or higher 57 880 (9.3) 1481 (47.5) 52 606 (38.8) 6667 (45.7) Missing 1083 30 994 125 Smoking status (%) Never 74 302 (50.8) 1660 (53.6) ⁶ 681 21 (50.6) 7789 (53.6) Former smoker 10017 (6.8) 134 (4.3) 9330 (6.9) 742 (5.1) Missing 1909 46 1760 190 Packyears of smoking (%) Never 74 302 (52.0) 1660 (55.0) ⁶ 68 121 (51.8) 7789 (54.9) <5	Education (%)						
Collega degree or higher 57 880 (9.3) 1481 (47.5) 52 206 (38.8) 6667 (45.7) Missing 1083 30 984 125 Smoking status (%) 1 500 (35.6) ⁶ 68 121 (50.6) 7789 (53.6) Former smoker 26 054 (24.4) 1366 (24.1) 57 202 (42.5) 6000 (41.3) Current smoker 10012 (6.8) 134 (4.3) 9330 (6.9) 742 (5.1) Missing 1909 46 1760 1896 (54.9) Pack-years of smoking (%) 19161 (14.6) 1949 (13.7) 1946 (14.8) 1949 (13.7) 5-20 20 662 (14.4) 413 (13.7) 19060 (14.5) 1983 (14.0) 2471 (17.4) S-20 20 72 187 (19.1) 555 (18.4) 25 186 (19.1) 2471 (17.4) Missing 52 927 127 4885 25 927 Physical activity (MET/week) (%) - - - 300.4 (12.5) 350 (24.5) >3.00 44 80 (31.7) 940 (03.1) 411 26 (3.7) 4582 91.0) 250 (24.5) >3.10 / 21.5 5523 (39.6)		33 169 (22.5)	606 (19.4) ^b	30 860 (22.8)	2843 (19.5)		
Missing 1083 30 984 125 Smoking status (%)	School after high school	56 1 54 (38.2)	1029 (33.0)	51 963 (38.4)	5086 (34.8)		
Smoking status (%) Never 74 302 (50.8) 1660 (53.6) ^b 68 121 (50.6) 77.89 (53.6) Former smoker 10 012 (6.8) 134 (4.3) 9330 (6.9) 742 (5.1) Missing 1909 46 1760 190 Pack-years of smoking (%) 1909 46 1760 190 Never 74 302 (52.0) 1660 (55.0) ^b 68 121 (51.8) 77.89 (54.9) <5	College degree or higher	57 880 (39.3)	1481 (47.5)	52 606 (38.8)	6667 (45.7)		
Never 74 302 (50.8) 1660 (42.1) 57 202 (42.5) 6000 (41.3) Former smoker 10012 (6.8) 134 (4.3) 57 202 (42.5) 6000 (41.3) Missing 1909 46 1760 190 Pack-years of smoking (8) Never 74 302 (52.0) 1660 (55.0) ⁵ 68 121 (51.8) 7789 (54.9) <5	5	1083	30	984	125		
Former smoker 62 063 (42,4) 1306 (42,1) 57 202 (42,5) 6000 (41,3) Current smoker 10012 (6,8) 134 (4,3) 9330 (6,9) 742 (5,1) Missing 1909 46 1760 190 Pack-years of smoking (%) Never 74 302 (52.0) 1660 (55.0) ¹⁶ 68 121 (51.8) 7789 (54.9) < > <25	Smoking status (%)						
Current smoker10012 (6.8)134 (4.3)9330 (6.9)742 (5.1)Missing1909461760190Packyears of smoking (%)1190190<	Never	74 302 (50.8)	1660 (53.6) ^b	· ,	7789 (53.6)		
Missing1909461760190Pack-years of smoking (%) 74302 (52.0)1660 (55.0b°68121 (51.8)7789 (54.9)<5	Former smoker	62 063 (42.4)	1306 (42.1)	57 202 (42.5)	6000 (41.3)		
Pack-years of smoking (%) Vever 74 302 (52.0) 1660 (55.0) ^b 68 121 (51.8) 7788 (94.9) <5	Current smoker	10 012 (6.8)	134 (4.3)	9330 (6.9)	742 (5.1)		
Never74 302 (52.0)1660 (55.0) ^b 68 121 (51.8)7789 (54.9)<5	Missing	1909	46	1760	190		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Pack-years of smoking (%)						
	Never	74 302 (52.0)		68 121 (51.8)	7789 (54.9)		
				19161 (14.6)			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } Missing & 5297 & 127 & 4885 & 529 \\ Physical activity (MET/week) (%) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$							
$\begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	_						
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline 0-3.00 & 40 623 (28.7) & 692 (22.2)^b & 37 712 (29.0) & 3502 (24.5) \\ > 3.00-<11.75 & 44 801 (31.7) & 940 (30.1) & 41 126 (31.7) & 4529 (31.6) \\ \geq 11.75 & 55 923 (39.6) & 1488 (47.7) & 51 036 (39.3) & 6284 (43.9) \\ Missing & 6939 & 26 & 6539 & 406 \\ \hline \\ Alcohol intake (%0) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	3	5297	127	4885	529		
			()h				
≥ 11.75 55 923 (39.6) 1488 (47.7) 51 036 (39.3) 6284 (43.9) Missing 6939 26 6539 406 Alcohol intake (%) Never 15 843 (10.8) 397 (12.7) ^b 14 667 (10.8) 1558 (10.7) Past drinker 2776 (18.9) 541 (17.3) 25 892 (19.1) 2366 (16.2) < 1 drink per month 18331 (12.4) 287 (9.2) 16 963 (12.5) 1612 (11.0) < 1 drink per week 30 217 (20.5) 675 (21.6) 27 736 (20.5) 3102 (21.2) 1-<7 drinks per week 37 818 (25.7) 827 (26.5) 34 444 (25.4) 4121 (28.2) ≥7 drinks per week 17 224 (11.7) 393 (12.6) 15714 (11.6) 1859 (12.7) Missing 0 77 26 997 00 BMI, kg/m² (%) < 25 50435 (34.3) 1714 (54.9) ^b 44563 (33.0) 7480 (51.2) 25-30 51 162 (34.8) 1000 (32.0) 47 212 (34.9) 4843 (33.2) ≥30 45 398 (30.9) 410 (13.1) 43 456 (32.1) 2271 (15.6) Missing 1291 22 1182 127 Hormone-therapy use (%) Never used 61 746 (41.6) 1472 (46.8) ^b 55 976 (41.0) 7099 (48.2) < 5 years 32 788 (22.1) 702 (22.3) 30 241 (22.2) 3195 (21.7) 5 to <10 years 19560 (13.2) 34187 (23.1) 631 (20.1) 31 977 (23.4) 2774 (18.8) Missing 2 0 5 0 75 (11.9) ^b 10608 (7.8) 1312 (8.9)					. ,		
Missing6939266539406Alcohol intake (%) $ -$ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Alcohol intake (%)15 843 (10.8)397 (12.7)b14 667 (10.8)1558 (10.7)Past drinker27 776 (18.9)541 (17.3)25 892 (19.1)2366 (16.2)<1 drink per month	_						
Never15 843 (10.8) $397 (12.7)^{b}$ 14 667 (10.8)1558 (10.7)Past drinker27 776 (18.9)541 (17.3)25 892 (19.1)2366 (16.2)<1 drink per month	5	6939	26	6539	406		
Past drinker27776 (18.9)541 (17.3)25 892 (19.1)2366 (16.2)<1 drink per month		15 0 42 (10 0)	207 (127)b	14667 (100)			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							
<1 drink per week $30217 (20.5)$ $675 (21.6)$ $27736 (20.5)$ $3102 (21.2)$ $1 - <7$ drinks per week $37818 (25.7)$ $827 (26.5)$ $34444 (25.4)$ $4121 (28.2)$ ≥ 7 drinks per week $17224 (11.7)$ $393 (12.6)$ $15714 (11.6)$ $1859 (12.7)$ Missing 1077 26 997 103 BMI, kg/m² (%) $<2550435 (34.3)1714 (54.9)^{b}44563 (33.0)7480 (51.2)25 - 3051162 (34.8)1000 (32.0)47212 (34.9)4843 (33.2)\geq 3045398 (30.9)410 (13.1)43456 (32.1)2271 (15.6)Missing1291221182127Hormone-therapy use (%)11472 (46.8)^{b}55976 (41.0)7099 (48.2)<5 years32788 (22.1)702 (22.3)30241 (22.2)3195 (21.7)5 to <10 years$							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
Missing BMI, kg/m2 (%)107726997103 <25 $50435(34.3)$ $1714(54.9)^{b}$ $44563(33.0)$ $7480(51.2)$ $25-30$ $51162(34.8)$ $1000(32.0)$ $47212(34.9)$ $4843(33.2)$ ≥ 30 $45398(30.9)$ $410(13.1)$ $43456(32.1)$ $2271(15.6)$ Missing 1291 22 1182 127 Hormone-therapy use (%) V V V V Never used $61746(41.6)$ $1472(46.8)^{b}$ $55976(41.0)$ $7099(48.2)$ <5 years $32788(22.1)$ $702(22.3)$ $30241(22.2)$ $3195(21.7)$ 5 to <10 years $19560(13.2)$ $341(10.8)$ $18214(13.4)$ $1653(11.2)$ ≥ 10 years $34187(23.1)$ $631(20.1)$ $31977(23.4)$ $2774(18.8)$ Missing 2 0 5 0 Statin use (%) $11567(7.8)$ $375(11.9)^{b}$ $10608(7.8)$ $1312(8.9)$. ,			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	1077	20	997	105		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		50 425 (24 2)	1714 (54 O)b	11562 (220)	7400 (51 2)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
Missing1291221182127Hormone-therapy use (%)Never used61 746 (41.6)1472 (46.8)b55 976 (41.0)7099 (48.2)<5 years							
Hormone-therapy use (%)Never used $61746(41.6)$ $1472(46.8)^{b}$ $55976(41.0)$ $7099(48.2)$ <5 years $32788(22.1)$ $702(22.3)$ $30241(22.2)$ $3195(21.7)$ 5 to <10 years $19560(13.2)$ $341(10.8)$ $18214(13.4)$ $1653(11.2)$ ≥ 10 years $34187(23.1)$ $631(20.1)$ $31977(23.4)$ $2774(18.8)$ Missing 2 0 5 0 Statin use (%) $11567(7.8)$ $375(11.9)^{b}$ $10608(7.8)$ $1312(8.9)$	—						
Never used 61746 (41.6) 1472 (46.8) ^b 55 976 (41.0) 7099 (48.2) <5 years		1221	22	TTOZ	127		
<5 years		61 7/6 (/1 6)	1472 (16 8)b	55 976 (41 0)	7000 (18 2)		
5 to <10 years							
≥10 years 34 187 (23.1) 631 (20.1) 31 977 (23.4) 2774 (18.8) Missing 2 0 5 0 Statin use (%) 11 567 (7.8) 375 (11.9) ^b 10 608 (7.8) 1312 (8.9)							
Missing 2 0 5 0 Statin use (%) 11567 (7.8) 375 (11.9) ^b 10608 (7.8) 1312 (8.9)							
Statin use (%) 11 567 (7.8) 375 (11.9) ^b 10 608 (7.8) 1312 (8.9)							
	-						
Continued		11.507 (7.3)	575 (11.2)	10 000 (7.0)			

Continued

Table 1. Continued

Characteristic at baseline	Oral bisphospho	nate use			
	At baseline		At baseline, year 1, 3 or 6 ^a		
	No (<i>n</i> = 148 286)	Yes (n = 3146)	No (n = 136 413)	Yes (n = 14721)	
History of osteoporosis (%)	9602 (6.6)	2251 (72.3) ^b	7975 (5.9)	3849 (26.5)	
10-year probability of hip fracture (%)					
<0.53	48 881 (31.6)	270 (8.6) ^b	45 022 (33.0)	2089 (14.2)	
0.53–1.68	49 782 (33.6)	752 (23.9)	45 867 (33.6)	4557 (31.0)	
≥1.69	51 623 (34.8)	2124 (67.5)	45 524 (33.4)	8075 (54.8)	
Total calcium intake (supplements and diet) (mean \pm SD, mg/day)	1171.8 ± 741.0	1585.8 ± 867.5 ^b	1162.7 ± 741.4	1345.2 ± 771.1	
Total vitamin D intake (supplements and diet) (mean \pm SD, U/day)	370.7 ± 278.4	500.2 ± 314.2^{b}	367.8 ± 277.6	424.8 ± 294.9	
WHI study component (%)					
OS	84 511 (57.0)	2312 (73.5)	79 428 (58.2)	7285 (49.5)	
Hormone CT	25 071 (16.9)	298 (9.5)	22 372 (16.4)	2898 (19.7)	
Dietary modification CT	46 076 (31.1)	585 (18.6)	41 372 (30.3)	5181 (35.2)	
Calcium/vitamin D CT	34 250 (23.1)	354 (11.3)	30 736 (22.5)	3778 (25.7)	
Enrollment in WHI hormone CT (%)					
No	123 215 (83.1)	2848 (90.5)	114 041 (83.6)	11 823 (80.3)	
E-alone placebo group	5067 (3.4)	53 (1.7)	4584 (3.4)	523 (3.6)	
E-alone active group	4960 (3.3)	45 (1.4)	4562 (3.3)	418 (2.8)	
E+P placebo group	7361 (5.0)	103 (3.3)	6317 (4.6)	1124 (7.6)	
E+P active group	7683 (5.2)	95 (3.0)	6909 (5.1)	833 (5.7)	
Bisphosphonate type (%)					
Alendronate sodium	-	2913 (92.6)	-	13 467 (91.5)	
Risedronate sodium	-	13 (0.4)	-	898 (6.1)	
Others ^c	-	220 (7.0)	-	356 (2.4)	
Duration of bisphosphonate use (mean \pm SD, years)		1.2 ± 1.7		2.5 ± 3.0	

^aIncluding participants remaining at risk of lung cancer at year 6.

 $^{b}\chi^{2}$ tests for categorical variables and Student's *t*-tests for continuous variables were used to compare oral bisphosphonate users with nonusers. *P* value < 0.01.

^cOthers include etidronate disodium, tiludronate disodium, pamidronate disodium and zoledronic acid.

WHI, Women's Health Initiative; CT, clinical trials; OS, observational study; E, estrogen; E + P, estrogen plus progestin; SD, standard deviation.

lung cancer cases among never smokers limited our ability to further explore precise dose-response relationship for duration of use. Further studies are needed to evaluate different patterns of bisphosphonate use on lung cancer risk and to better understand the underlying mechanisms of bisphosphonate use on lung carcinogenesis among never smokers. Also, we found an inverse association between oral bisphosphonate use and lung cancer risk among postmenopausal women with low baseline calcium intake. Previous studies suggested that calcium intake interferes with bisphosphonate absorption and can interplay with bisphosphonate to impact efficacy of osteoporosis treatment [29]. It is possible that bisphosphonate may interact with homeostasis of calcium to influence proliferation of lung cancer cells. Further studies are needed to elucidate the potential interactions between bisphosphonate and calcium on lung carcinogenesis, and to better understand the possible molecular mechanisms.

Preclinical and substantial emerging clinical evidence have indicated that bisphosphonates have antitumor activities against a broad range of cancers including breast and prostate cancers

[30, 31]. Preclinical studies have shown that bisphosphonates can induce apoptosis, and inhibit cell invasion and tumor angiogenesis [30, 32, 33] through their activation of gamma delta T cells, induction cytokines production, and contribution to immune response to tumor cells [31, 34, 35]. In vitro studies showed that zoledronic acid, a third generation bisphosphonate, inhibits the prenylation of small G-proteins such as Ras, reduces the signals and prevents the growth of lung cancer cells [36, 37]. In vivo studies also revealed that bisphosphonates show the antitumor and antiangiogenic effects through their ability to inhibit tumor cell growth and vascular endothelial growth factor release in NSCLC cell lines [38, 39]. Furthermore, bisphosphonates were shown to inactive human epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFRs) in both NSCLC cell lines and in mice with NSCLC [36, 40, 41]. The EGFR pathway is particularly relevant to progression of lung adenocarcinoma [42]. It is possible that bisphosphonates may have a protective effect on NSCLC, especially adenocarcinoma, through inactivation of EGFR pathways. In our study, bisphosphonate use was found to be associated with reduced risk of NSCLC in never smokers. More studies with larger sample size

Oral bisphosphonate use ^a	No. of lung cancer	Person years	Incidence (% per year)	HR (95% CI) ^b	Ρ	HR (95% CI) ^c	Р
Any use							
Never	2257	1 811 696	0.125	Ref.		Ref.	
Ever	254	200 060	0.127	0.89 (0.78–1.02)	0.08	0.91 (0.80-1.04)	0.16
Duration of use, years ^d							
Never	2257	1 811 696	0.125	Ref.		Ref.	
<0.67	65	51 991	0.125	0.90 (0.70-1.15)	0.39	0.91 (0.78–1.17)	0.45
0.67–1.49	76	48 844	0.156	1.11 (0.88–1.39)	0.39	1.18 (0.94–1.49)	0.15
1.50–2.99	32	35 918	0.089	0.63 (0.45-0.90)	0.01	0.65 (0.46-0.93)	0.02
≥3.00	81	63 308	0.128	0.87 (0.70-1.09)	0.22	0.86 (0.69–1.08) ^e	0.19
Туре							
Never	2257	1 811 696	0.125	Ref.		Ref.	
Alendronate sodium	227	183 344	0.124	0.87 (0.76-1.00)	0.05	0.89 (0.77-1.02)	0.09
Risedronate sodium	13	12 236	0.106	0.73 (0.42-1.26)	0.26	0.78 (0.45–1.35)	0.37
Others ^f	14	4480	0.313	2.16 (1.28–3.66)	0.01	2.02 (1.19-3.42)	0.01

^aUsers reported at least 2 weeks of use; nonusers included never users and those who used for <2 weeks. Baseline oral bisphosphonate use was updated at years 1, 3 and 6 for women in the WHI-CT and at year 3 for women in the WHI-OS.

^bAdjusted for age and WHI study component.

^cAdjusted for baseline age, ethnicity, education, smoking status, number of cigarettes per day, duration of regular smoking in years, alcohol use status, BMI, physical activity, total calcium intake, total vitamin D intake, statins use, and hormone treatment status and stratified on WHI study component. ^dQuartile cutoff points for total duration of oral bisphosphonate use among ever user (years): 0.67, 1.5 and 3.0.

eLikelihood ratio tests were used to test difference between different strata of duration of bisphosphonate use. P value < 0.05.

^fOthers include etidronate disodium, tiludronate disodium, pamidronate disodium, and zoledronic acid.

Table 3. Association between oral bisphosphonate use and lung cancer by selected baseline characteristic factors (1993–2013)

Parameter	Oral bisphosphonate use				HR (95% CI)	P for interaction
	Never		Ever ^a			
	Cases	Person years	Cases	Person years		
Smoking						
Never	366	913 445.0	29	106 658.0	0.57 (0.39–0.84) ^b *	0.02
Ever	1860	877 056.6	220	90 969.5	0.96 (0.83−1.11) ^c	
Former smokers	1233	763 562.6	162	81 502.8	1.05 (0.89−1.24) ^c	
Current smokers	627	113 494.0	58	9466.7	0.85 (0.64–1.12) ^c	
Total calcium intake (mg/day)						0.13
<800	887	626 538.2	68	50 092.0	0.73 (0.57–0.95) ^{d‡}	
800-1200	513	446 347.3	54	45 243.3	0.97 (0.72–1.29) ^d	
≥1200	857	738 810.2	132	102 724.9	1.00 (0.83–1.20) ^d	

^aUsers reported at least 2 weeks of use; nonusers included never users and those who used for <2 weeks. Baseline oral bisphosphonate use was updated at years 1, 3 and 6 for women in the WHI-CT and at year 3 for women in the WHI-OS.

**P*≤0.01;

 $^{*}P = 0.02.$

^bAdjusted for baseline age, ethnicity, education, alcohol use status, BMI, physical activity, total calcium intake, total vitamin D intake, statins use, and hormone treatment status and stratified on WHI study component.

^cAdditionally adjusted number of cigarettes per day, duration of regular smoking in years among smokers.

^dAdjusted for baseline age, ethnicity, education, smoking status, number of cigarettes per day, duration of regular smoking in years, alcohol use status, BMI, physical activity, total vitamin D intake, and hormone treatment status and stratified on WHI study component.

Table 4. Lung cancer tumor characteristics by oral bisphosphonate use (1993-2013)

Characteristics	Oral bisphosphonat	e use	P value ^b	HR (95% CI) ^c
	Never (%)	Ever (%) ^a		
Histological type ^d				
Small cell	214 (10.4)	26 (11.1)	0.49	1.10 (0.72–1.67)
Nonsmall-cell	1844 (89.6)	209 (88.9)		0.90 (0.78-1.04)
Adenocarcinoma	1112 (49.5)	140 (55.1)		0.97 (0.81-1.17)
Squamous cell carcinoma	315 (14.0)	31 (12.2)		0.88 (0.61-1.29)
SEER stage				
Localized	572 (25.5)	80 (31.5)	0.22	1.16 (0.91–1.48)
Regional	550 (24.5)	63 (24.8)		0.93 (0.71-1.22)
Distant	839 (37.4)	87 (34.2)		0.83 (0.66-1.04)
Unknown	284 (12.6)	24 (9.5)		-
Grading				
Well differentiated	221 (9.8)	31 (12.2)	0.44	1.20 (0.82-1.77)
Moderately differentiated	409 (18.2)	53 (20.9)		1.06 (0.79–1.42)
Poorly differentiated	459 (20.4)	54 (21.3)		1.00 (0.75-1.34)
Anaplastic	108 (4.8)	11 (4.3)		0.84 (0.45-1.58)
Unknown	1050 (46.7)	105 (41.3)		-

^aUsers reported at least 2 weeks of use; nonusers included never users and those who used for <2 weeks. Baseline oral bisphosphonate use was updated at years 1, 3 and 6 for women in the WHI-CT and at year 3 for women in the WHI-OS.

 ${}^{\rm b}\chi^2$ tests are for categorical variables comparing oral bisphosphonate users with nonusers.

^cAdjusted for baseline age, ethnicity, education, smoking status, number of cigarettes per day, duration of regular smoking in years, alcohol use status, BMI, physical activity, total calcium intake, total vitamin D intake, statins use, and hormone treatment status and stratified on WHI study component.

^dHistologic subtypes were classified according to International Classification of Disease for Oncology and WHO Classification of Tumors for tumors of the lung. Nonsmall-cell lung cancer includes squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, large cell carcinoma, sarcomatoid carcinoma and pleomorphic carcinoma.

of NSCLC cases, particularly adenocarcinoma, are needed to help confirm this association.

The strengths of the study include prospective cohort design, the large study population of postmenopausal women, comprehensive measurements of lung cancer risk factors, detailed information on oral bisphosphonate use, the standardized central adjudication of lung cancers, and a relatively large number of incident lung cancer cases allowing subgroup analyses by smoking status and total calcium intake. Nevertheless, several issues regarding the current study should be noted. First, this analytic study design is an observational analysis. Lung cancer was not a predefined study outcome in the WHI. Since the protocol did not require participants to undergo chest radiology imaging tests, some cancers may have been missed. Therefore, nondifferential misclassification of the outcome is still possible. In addition, and perhaps more importantly, there were substantial differences in the characteristics including smoking status between oral bisphosphonate users and nonusers. Although we adjusted for many possible factors that could confound the association, we were unable to eliminate possible residual confounding. Secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure at home and at work since age 18 years was collected only among the WHI-OS participants at the baseline, so we were unable to eliminate potential confounding from SHS exposure. When limiting our analyses in the WHI-OS and additionally adjusting of SHS exposure in the multivariable-adjusted models, we found similar but attenuated

results. Subgroup analyses limited to WHI-OS participants who were never smokers generated similar findings. WHI started enrollment before the time bisphosphonates began being widely prescribed; only women enrolled toward the end of the recruitment had the opportunity to use bisphosphonate at baseline. Therefore, the usage was low at baseline (2%). Although the prevalence of bisphosphonate use increased during follow-up, half of these women used bisphosphonates for less than 1.5 years and majority of users took alendronate. No information on dose of medications prevented us to further evaluate the dose-response relationship between oral bisphosphonate use and lung cancer risk. The relative small number of lung cancer cases still limited our ability to explore the duration-response relationship, associations for other bisphosphonate types, associations in different ethnicity groups, or heterogeneity in associations according to histological type of lung cancer. Finally, as the WHI study enrolled volunteer postmenopausal women who may have led a healthier lifestyle than women who were nonvolunteers and over 80% of participants were white, we may have limited ability to generalize our findings to the general US population of postmenopausal women [43].

In this large population of postmenopausal women, oral bisphosphonate use was associated with lower lung cancer risk among never smokers. These observational study findings need to be confirmed. As the U.S. Food and Drug Administration issued safety announcements related to potential risk of long-

Annals of Oncology

term bisphosphonates use [44, 45], further studies are warranted to investigate how duration of bisphosphonate use may influence risk of lung cancer and evaluate optimal dose of oral bisphosphonates for lung cancer prevention in older women. Further research to explore the mechanisms by which risk is reduced is warranted.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the dedicated efforts of investigators and staffs at the WHI clinical centers, the WHI Clinical Coordinating Center, and the Nation Hear, Lung and Blood program office (listing available at http://www.whi.org). We also recognize the WHI participants for their extraordinary contributions to the WHI program. The study sponsor had no role in the design and conduct of the study, the data collection, analyses, and interpretations, the writing of the article, or the decision to submit for publication. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the NCI.

Funding

This work was primarily supported by a grant from the National Cancer Institute at the National Institutes of Health (R03CA184327 to MHT). The WHI program is funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services through contracts HHSN268201100046C, HHSN26820110000 1C, HHSN268201100002C, HHSN268201100003C, HHSN268 201100004C and HHSN271201100004C.

Disclosure

HW received research grant support from ACEA Biosciences (Inst), AstraZeneca/MedImmune (Inst), Bristol-Myers Squibb (Inst), Celgene (Inst), Clovis Oncology (Inst), Exelixis (Inst), Eli Lilly (Inst), Genentech/Roche (Inst), Gilead Sciences (Inst), Novartis (Inst), Pfizer (Inst), Parmacyclics (Inst) and Xcovery (Inst). HW has served a consultant to Peregrine, ACEA Biosciences, Pfizer, Genentech/Roche, Helsinn Therapeutics. XM is an employee of and holds stock in United Health Group. All remaining authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin 2017; 67(1): 7–30.
- Whitaker M, Guo J, Kehoe T, Benson G. Bisphosphonates for osteoporosis–where do we go from here? N Engl J Med 2012; 366(22): 2048–2051.
- Van Acker HH, Anguille S, Willemen Y et al. Bisphosphonates for cancer treatment: mechanisms of action and lessons from clinical trials. Parmacol Ther 2016; 158: 24–40.
- Rennert G, Pinchev M, Rennert HS. Use of bisphosphonates and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28(22): 3577–3581.
- Newcomb PA, Trentham-Dietz A, Hampton JM. Bisphosphonates for osteoporosis treatment are associated with reduced breast cancer risk. Br J Cancer 2010; 102(5): 799–802.

Original article

- Liu Y, Zhao S, Chen W et al. Bisphosphonate use and the risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis of published literature. Clin Breast Cancer 2012; 12(4): 276–281.
- Chlebowski RT, Col N. Bisphosphonates and breast cancer incidence and recurrence. Breast Dis 2011; 33(2): 93–101.
- Chlebowski RT, Chen Z, Cauley JA et al. Oral bisphosphonate use and breast cancer incidence in postmenopausal women. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28(22): 3582–3590.
- Oh YH, Yoon C, Park SM. Bisphosphonate use and gastrointestinal tract cancer risk: meta-analysis of observational studies. World J Gastroenterol 2012; 18(40): 5779–5788.
- Passarelli MN, Newcomb PA, LaCroix AZ et al. Oral bisphosphonate use and colorectal cancer incidence in the Women's Health Initiative. J Bone Miner Res 2013; 28(9): 2043–2048.
- 11. Rennert G, Pinchev M, Rennert HS, Gruber SB. Use of bisphosphonates and reduced risk of colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29(9): 1146–1150.
- Newcomb PA, Passarelli MN, Phipps AI et al. Oral bisphosphonate use and risk of postmenopausal endometrial cancer. J Clin Oncol 2015; 33(10): 1186–1190.
- Rennert G, Rennert HS, Pinchev M, Lavie O. The effect of bisphosphonates on the risk of endometrial and ovarian malignancies. Gynecol Oncol 2014; 133(2): 309–313.
- 14. Cardwell CR, Abnet CC, Veal P et al. Exposure to oral bisphosphonates and risk of cancer. Int J Cancer 2012; 131(5): E717–E725.
- Vinogradova Y, Coupland C, Hippisley-Cox J. Exposure to bisphosphonates and risk of common non-gastrointestinal cancers: series of nested case-control studies using two primary-care databases. Br J Cancer 2013; 109(3): 795–806.
- Chiang CH, Huang CC, Chan WL et al. Oral alendronate use and risk of cancer in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis: a nationwide study. J Bone Miner Res 2012; 27(9): 1951–1958.
- Lee WY, Sun LM, Lin MC et al. A higher dosage of oral alendronate will increase the subsequent cancer risk of osteoporosis patients in Taiwan: a population-based cohort study. PLoS One 2012; 7(12): e53032. [doi: 53010.51371/journal.pone.0053032].
- Ayo-Yusuf OA, Olutola BG. Epidemiological association between osteoporosis and combined smoking and use of snuff among South African women. Niger J Clin Pract 2014; 17(2): 174–177.
- Quandt SA, Spangler JG, Case LD et al. Smokeless tobacco use accelerates age-related loss of bone mineral density among older women in a multiethnic rural community. J Cross Cult Gerontol 2005; 20(2): 109–125.
- 20. Lucas R, Fraga S, Ramos E, Barros H. Early initiation of smoking and alcohol drinking as a predictor of lower forearm bone mineral density in late adolescence: a cohort study in girls. PLoS One 2012; 7(10): e46940.
- Waugh EJ, Lam MA, Hawker GA et al. Risk factors for low bone mass in healthy 40–60 year old women: a systematic review of the literature. Osteoporos Int 2009; 20(1): 1–21.
- 22. Cairoli E, Eller-Vainicher C, Ulivieri FM et al. Factors associated with bisphosphonate treatment failure in postmenopausal women with primary osteoporosis. Osteoporos Int 2014; 25(4): 1401–1410.
- Anderson GL, Manson J, Wallace R et al. Implementation of the Women's Health Initiative study design. Ann Epidemiol 2003; 13(9 Suppl): S5–17.
- 24. Curb JD, Mctiernan A, Heckbert SR et al. Outcomes ascertainment and adjudication methods in the women's health initiative. Ann Epidemiol 2003; 13(9 Suppl): S122–S128.
- Hays J, Hunt JR, Hubbell FA et al. The women's health initiative recruitment methods and results. Ann Epidemiol 2003; 13(9 Suppl): S18–S77.
- 26. Drieling RL, LaCroix AZ, Beresford SA et al. Validity of self-reported medication use compared with pharmacy records in a cohort of older women: findings from the women's health initiative. Am J Epidemiol 2016; 184(3): 233–238.
- 27. FRAX[®] Fracture Risk Assessment Tool. http://www.shef.ac.uk/FRAX (1 February 2017, date last accessed).
- 28. Cox DR. Regression models and life-tables (with discussion). J R Statist Soc B 1972; 34: 187–220.

Annals of Oncology

- 29. Boonen S, Bouillon R, Haentjens P, Vanderschueren D. Optimizing the benefits of bisphosphonates in osteoporosis: the importance of appropriate calcium intake. Treat Endocrinol 2006; 5(6): 375–383.
- Neville-Webbe HL, Holen I, Coleman RE. The anti-tumour activity of bisphosphonates. Cancer Treat Rev 2002; 28(6): 305–319.
- 31. Coleman R, Cook R, Hirsh V et al. Zoledronic acid use in cancer patients: more than just supportive care? Cancer 2011; 117(1): 11–23.
- 32. Fournier P, Boissier S, Filleur S et al. Bisphosphonates inhibit angiogenesis in vitro and testosterone-stimulated vascular regrowth in the ventral prostate in castrated rats. Cancer Res 2002; 62: 6538–6544.
- Winter MC, Holen I, Coleman RE. Exploring the anti-tumour activity of bisphosphonates in early breast cancer. Cancer Treat Rev 2008; 34(5): 453–475.
- 34. Meraviglia S, Eberl M, Vermijlen D et al. In vivo manipulation of Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells with zoledronate and low-dose interleukin-2 for immunotherapy of advanced breast cancer patients. Clin Exp Immunol 2010; 161: 290–297.
- 35. Castella B, Riganti C, Fiore F et al. Immune modulation by zoledronic acid in human myeloma: an advantageous cross-talk between Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells, alphabeta CD8+ T cells, regulatory T cells, and dendritic cells. J Immunol 2011; 187(4): 1578–1590.
- 36. Xie F, Li P, Gong J et al. The bisphosphonate zoledronic acid effectively targets lung cancer cells by inhibition of protein prenylation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2015; 467(4): 664–669.

- Yuasa T, Kimura S, Ashihara E et al. Zoledronic acid a multiplicity of anti-cancer action. Curr Med Chem 2007; 14: 2126–2135.
- Di Salvatore M, Orlandi A, Bagalà C et al. Anti-tumour and antiangiogenetic effects of zoledronic acid on human non-small-cell lung cancer cell line. Cell Prolif 2011; 44(2): 139–146.
- Li YY, Chang JW, Chou WC et al. Zoledronic acid is unable to induce apoptosis, but slows tumor growth and prolongs survival for non-smallcell lung cancers. Lung Cancer 2008; 59(2): 180–191.
- Yuen T, Stachnik A, Iqbal J et al. Bisphosphonates inactive human EGFRs to exert antitumor actions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014; 111(50): 17989–17994.
- Stachnik A, Yuen T, Iqbal J et al. Repurposing of bisphosphonates for the prevention and therapy of nonsmall cell lung and breast cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014; 111(50): 17995–18000.
- 42. Sholl LM. Biomarkers in lung adenocarcinoma: a decade of progress. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2015; 139(4): 469–480.
- 43. Langer RD, White E, Lewis CE et al. The Women's Health Initiative Observational Study: baseline characteristics of participants and reliability of baseline measures. Ann Epidemiol 2003; 13(9): S107–S121.
- 44. Jha S, Wang Z, Laucis N, Bhattacharyya T. Trends in media reports, oral bisphosphonate prescriptions, and hip fractures 1996–2012: an ecological analysis. J Bone Miner Res 2015; 30(12): 2179–2187.
- 45. Kim SC, Kim DH, Mogun H et al. Impact of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's Safety-related announcements on the use of bisphosphonates after hip fracture. J Bone Miner Res 2016; 31(8): 1536–1540.

Appendix

Table A1. Baseline characteristics by smoking status in the WHI study, 1993–2013

	Baseline smoking status ^a	
Characteristic at baseline	Never (<i>n</i> = 75 962)	Ever (<i>n</i> = 73 515)
Age at screening (years) (%)		
<50-59	23 644 (31.1)	24 974 (34.0) ^b
60–69	33 832 (44.5)	33 773 (45.9)
70–79	18 486 (24.3)	14 768 (20.1)
Race-ethnicity (%)		
Non-Hispanic white	62 075 (81.9)	62 532 (85.3) ^b
Black/African American	6485 (8.6)	6661 (9.1)
Hispanic/Latino	3354 (4.4)	2036 (2.8)
American Indian	297 (0.4)	320 (0.4)
Asian/Pacific islander	2643 (3.5)	1050 (1.4)
Other/Unknown	1108 (1.2)	916 (1.0)
Education (%)	× ,	
High school or less	18 094 (24.0)	15 223 (20.9) ^b
School after high school	27 464 (36.4)	28 970 (39.7)
College degree or higher	29 849 (39.6)	28 797 (39.4)
Physical activity (MET/week) (%)		
0–3.00	21 062 (29.0)	19 783 (28.2) ^b
>3.00-<11.75	23 627 (32.5)	21 637 (30.8)
>11.75	27 926 (38.5)	28 845 (41.0)
Alcohol intake (%)		
Never	13 896 (18.4)	2241 (3.1) ^b
Past drinker	13 096 (17.4)	14 917 (20.4)
<1 drink per month	10 300 (13.6)	8174 (11.2)
<1 drink per week	16015 (21.2)	14 544 (20.0)
1–<7 drinks per week	16 795 (22.3)	21 382 (29.2)
≥7 drinks per week	5391 (7.1)	11 972 (16.4)
BMI, kg/m ² (%)		
<25	26 352 (35.0)	25 138 (34.5)
25–30	26 030 (34.6)	25 454 (34.9)
>30	22 947 (30.5)	22 261 (30.6)
– Hormone-therapy use (%)		
Never used	32 496 (42.8)	29 853 (40.6) ^b
<5 years	16 455 (21.7)	16 608 (22.6)
5 to <10 years	9676 (12.7)	10 016 (13.6)
>10 years	17 333 (22.8)	17 036 (23.2)
Statin use (%)	5749 (7.6)	6019 (8.2) ^b
10-year probability of hip fracture (%)		
<0.53	23 727 (31.2)	22 902 (31.2) ^b
0.53–1.68	24 829 (32.7)	25 074 (34.1)
≥1.69	27 406 (36.1)	25 539 (34.7)
Total calcium intake (supplements and diet) (mean \pm SD, mg/day)	1187.7 ± 772.1	1174.4 ± 719.7 ^b
Total vitamin D intake (supplements and diet) (mean ± SD, U/day)	376.8 ± 280.7	370.4 ± 278.9^{b}
Oral bisphosphonate use (%)	1660 (2.2%)	1440 (2.0%) ^b
Duration of bisphosphonate use ^{c} (mean ± SD, years)	1.22 ± 1.6	1.21 ± 1.8

^aMissing value were not included in the table.

 ${}^{b}\chi^{2}$ tests for categorical variables and Student's *t*-tests for continuous variables were used to compare oral bisphosphonate users with nonusers. *P* value < 0.01.

^cAmong baseline bisphosphonate users.