

# Knowledge and perception of human papilloma virus vaccine among the antenatal women in a Nigerian tertiary hospital

Teddy E. Agida, Godwin O. Akaba, Aliyu Y. Isah, Bissalla Ekele

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Abuja Teaching Hospital, Abuja, Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Cervical cancer is a major health problem globally, especially in sub-Saharan Africa, Nigeria inclusive. One of the preventive measures is the vaccination of teenagers against oncogenic human papilloma virus. The aim of this study was to find out the level of knowledge mothers possess about these vaccines and their willingness to administer vaccination to their teenage girls. **Materials and Methods:** This was a cross-sectional descriptive study of 255 consecutive women attending antenatal clinic at the University of Abuja Teaching Hospital, Abuja. They were given either a self-administered questionnaire or interviewer-administered questionnaire containing both closed and open-ended questions. Information recorded includes socio-demographic variables, knowledge of cervical cancer, knowledge of HPV/HPV vaccines and acceptance of these vaccines for their adolescent girls. The data was analysed using descriptive statistics. **Results:** The mean age of the respondents was 26.9 years. Over 90% had at least secondary education. A total of 102 (40%) had the knowledge of cancer of the cervix while 153 (60%) had never heard about it. Overall, 236 (92.5%) of them had no idea about the predisposing factors. The study showed that only 23 (9.0%) out of the total respondents had heard about human papilloma virus (HPV) infection. In the same vein, 20 (7.8%) had knowledge about HPV vaccine. Among the respondents, who had the knowledge of HPV and vaccination, 18.2% and 23.4% of them had secondary and tertiary levels of education respectively. Overall, 160 (62.8%) accepted that the vaccines could be administered to their teenage girls. **Conclusions:** Awareness of cervical cancer, HPV infections, and HPV vaccines is low among antenatal clinic attendees in Gwagwalada, Abuja. However, majority of them would want their girls vaccinated against HPV infections. There is a need for all stakeholders to step up awareness creation for improved HPV vaccination project in Nigeria.

**Key words:** Antenatal clinic attendees, awareness, cancer, cervical cancer, cervix, HPV infections, vaccines

### Address for correspondence:

Dr. Teddy E. Agida,  
Department of Obstetrics and  
Gynaecology, University of Abuja  
Teaching Hospital, Abuja, Federal  
Capital Territory, Nigeria.  
E-mail: etagida@yahoo.com

## INTRODUCTION

Cervical Cancer is a major public health problem globally. Over 560,000 new cases and about 275,000 deaths are recorded each year, with more than 55% occurring in developing countries.<sup>1</sup> It is the most common gynaecological cancer among women in sub-Saharan Africa.<sup>2</sup> It is estimated that 70,722 new cases of invasive cervical cancer occur annually in sub-Saharan Africa.<sup>3</sup>

Nigeria is the most populous country in Africa with approximately 173 million people.<sup>4</sup> The incidence rate of cervical cancer in Nigeria was reported to be 25/100,000 per year, which translates to a disease burden for an estimated 32 million women in 2005 to about 8000 cases per year.<sup>5</sup> Current estimates indicate that cervical cancer ranks as the second most frequent cancer among women in Nigeria.<sup>6</sup> Every year, 14089 women are diagnosed with cervical cancer.<sup>6</sup> High burden of cervical cancer has been reported in Nigeria's Federal Capital City, Abuja.<sup>7</sup>

Epidemiological, molecular and clinical evidences have shown that cervical cancer is caused by human papilloma virus, a sexually transmitted infection, especially serotype 6, 11, 16 and 18.<sup>8-15</sup> Human papilloma virus infection is common in Nigeria. A study in Ibadan showed an overall prevalence of 26.3% while the prevalence among women without cervical lesions was 24.8%.<sup>16</sup> Currently,

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: <a href="http://www.nigeriamedj.com">www.nigeriamedj.com</a>
	DOI: 10.4103/0300-1652.149166

it is estimated that about 23.7% of women in general population in Nigeria harbour cervical HPV infection at a time.<sup>6</sup>

In view of the high burden of cervical cancer, various means of prevention should be encouraged. One way of primary prevention is through vaccination against oncogenic HPV types.<sup>9,14,17,18</sup> Currently, there are two vaccines that have been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). These are the bivalent HPV vaccine (Cervarix) and the quadrivalent HPV vaccine (Gardasil).<sup>18</sup> Both have been found to be nearly 100% effective in preventing cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2 (CIN 2), CIN 3, and condylomatous vulvar disease related to the HPV genotypes covered by the vaccines.<sup>19,22</sup> The vaccines are approved for administration to females aged 9-26 years.<sup>11,14,18</sup>

The vaccines were licensed and introduced in Nigeria in 2009, but they are being utilised by a few privileged population.<sup>23,24</sup> Studies have shown that the knowledge of HPV infection and vaccine against the infection is quite low and if available, the cost is beyond the reach of average Nigerians.<sup>23,25-27</sup>

Despite the high prevalence of cervical cancer and HPV infection in Nigeria, utilisation of HPV vaccine, which is one of the cardinal preventive measures is low.<sup>23-26</sup> Whereas majority of the studies on the knowledge and perception of HPV vaccine were from the southern part of Nigeria,<sup>23-26</sup> but there is a need to find out how much knowledge mothers have about HPV infection and immunisation against it in Nigeria's Federal Capital Territory. This is necessary since the vaccine should be administered from the age of 9 years. Parents therefore, need to be informed about the features of each vaccine so that the decision to choose one over the other is made with informed consent.<sup>28</sup> Mothers may express fears about safety of the vaccines and so may not provide written consent for their daughters to have the vaccine.<sup>29</sup>

This study, therefore, was aimed at finding out how much knowledge mothers have about these vaccines and the acceptance for their adolescent girls to be vaccinated since the mothers are close to the girls at this stage and can help in decision-making for them.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a cross-sectional descriptive study of 255 consecutive women attending antenatal clinic at the University of Abuja Teaching Hospital, Abuja. The hospital is a 350-bedded referral Federal Government tertiary.

Data was collected between the months of March and April 2013. Approval for the study was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the Hospital. Informed consent was obtained from the women and they were given

self-administered, 18-item questionnaire with both closed and open-ended questions. For those who were unable to understand the questionnaire very well or illiterate, the interviewer-administered questionnaire was used. The questionnaire had four main sections: Socio-demographic variables, knowledge of cervical cancer, knowledge of HPV/HPV vaccines and acceptance of these vaccines for their adolescent girls. The data was analysed using descriptive statistics.

## RESULTS

There were 255 respondents recruited for the study. Their mean age was 26.9 years. Table 1 shows there were more respondents within the age bracket of 25-29 years. Majority of the respondents (32.2%) were civil servants, whereas 22.3% of them were full-time housewives (they were not involved in any gainful employment). Majority (74.3%) were multiparous women of paras 1-4. About 89.4% of the respondents had at least secondary level of education (30.6% secondary, 58.8% tertiary).

One hundred and two (40%) had knowledge about cancer of the cervix while 153 (60%) had never heard about the vaccine [Table 2]. Table 2 also shows that overall, 236 (92.5%) of the respondents had no idea about the predisposing factors while

**Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics**

Age group (yrs)	Age group distribution	
	Frequency	%
15-19	20	7.8
20-24	76	29.8
25-29	81	31.8
30-34	49	19.2
35-39	25	9.8
40-44	4	1.6
Total	255	100
Occupation		
House wife	57	22.3
Civil servant	82	32.2
Business	41	16.1
Contractors	15	5.9
Petty trading	40	7.8
Others	20	100
Total	255	100
Parity		
0	43	16.9
1	53	20.8
2	75	29.4
3	43	16.9
4	19	7.4
≥5	22	8.6
Educational Status		
None	7	2.8
Primary	20	7.8
Secondary	78	30.6
Tertiary	150	58.8
Total	255	100

19 (7.5%) could mention one or more predisposing factors. This section of respondents comprise to 18.6% who had the knowledge of cervical cancer.

Table 3 shows that 23 (9.0%) responders have heard about HPV infection while 232 (91%) had not. Out of the 23 respondents, 19 had knowledge that it is sexually transmitted, while 4 had heard that it can cause cancer of the cervix. Overall, 7.5% and 5.5% of the total respondents knew that it is sexually transmitted and can cause cancer of the cervix respectively. Only 20 (7.8%) out of the total respondents had knowledge about HPV vaccine.

When educational status was compared with knowledge of HPV and vaccination amongst the respondents, 18.2% respondents comprised of those who had the knowledge of cancer of the cervix with no formal education and those who had no knowledge with primary level of education and 23.4% of respondents of those with secondary and tertiary level of education had the knowledge of HPV infection and the vaccines.

When asked if they would recommend the vaccines to be given to their daughters as shown in Table 4, 160 (62.8%) said *yes*, 48 (18.8%) said *no* while 47 (18.4%) were indifferent. Those who said *no* gave reasons bothering on ignorance, fear of side effects and possibility of the vaccines affecting future fertility.

**Table 2: Knowledge of cervical cancer**

Ever heard of cancer of cervix?		
Yes	102	40%
No	153	60%
Total	255	100%
Idea about predisposing factor(s)		
Yes	19	7.5%
No	236	92.5%
Total	255	100%

**Table 3: Knowledge of HPV/HPV vaccine**

Knowledge of HPV		
Knowledge	Frequency	%
Yes	23	9.0
No	232	91.0
Total	255	100
Awareness of the vaccine		
Awareness		
Yes	20	7.8
No	235	92.2
Total	255	100

**Table 4: Recommend it to your daughter?**

Recommendation	Frequency	%
Yes	160	62.8
No	48	18.8
Do not know	47	18.4
Total	255	100

## DISCUSSION

This study highlights the awareness and perception of mothers about HPV/HPV vaccination in our community. The fact that about 30.6% of the respondents had secondary school education and 58.8% had tertiary level of education meant that their literacy level was high. In spite of this, awareness of cancer of cervix and HPV infection amongst them was low. This finding is similar to that from a community based pilot survey in Gwagwalada Area Council in the Federal Capital Territory, Abuja, where very small proportion of respondents knew about the disease.<sup>27</sup> However, about 63% of the participants in that study were educated up to primary school level, whereas about 90% of the respondents in this study had secondary and tertiary levels of education. One would have thought that awareness of this disease should have been higher amongst them. This is in contrast to community studies carried out in Lagos and Ibadan, respectively, wherein it was discovered that awareness of cancer of cervix was very high.<sup>23,25</sup> While awareness of HPV disease in the Ibadan study was very high,<sup>23</sup> in Lagos, it was very low.<sup>25</sup> In a similar study in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, over 50% of mothers were aware of cancer of cervix and HPV diseases.<sup>29</sup>

The implication of this is that if mothers who are educated lack adequate knowledge of a disease that is very prevalent in our community, then a lot has to be done to enlighten them to talk about prevention. Health promotion strategies to educate the public about prevention of STIs of public health significance can be effective in preventing genital HPV infection.<sup>17</sup>

Primary prevention of cervical cancer can be achieved through prevention and control of genital infection with oncogenic HPV types.<sup>17</sup> One of the methods of prevention is by vaccinating teenage girls with HPV vaccine. Mothers play crucial roles in making informed decision for their daughters. This study and similar studies from this country have shown that awareness of HPV vaccine is very low.<sup>23,25,26</sup> This is worrisome because, for there to be effective coverage of HPV vaccination for the teenage groups, we need parental acceptance of the vaccines.<sup>10,14,28</sup> There cannot be parental acceptance if the mothers don't have adequate knowledge and are not aware of it.

Even though these vaccines were licensed and introduced into this country in 2009,<sup>23</sup> they were only launched by the Federal Government in 2011 and only 6 pilot centres are currently commissioned to give HPV vaccines, apart from that offered by private facilities.<sup>25</sup> These facilities will be under utilised when there is low awareness about this preventive measure among those who are supposed to make decisions on behalf of the teenage girls.

Many parents think that HPV vaccination is not needed and are concerned about safety and adverse reactions.<sup>30</sup>

Some parents also have concern that HPV vaccination may cause an increase in sexual activity among adolescents.<sup>18</sup> Adequate information therefore needs to be provided to the parents to dispel all these concerns.

Despite the low awareness of these vaccines, acceptability for their daughters to be vaccinated was high. Other studies from this country and Malaysia showed similar findings.<sup>23,25,29</sup>

According to the CDC, if healthcare providers increase HPV vaccination coverage to 80%, it is estimated that an additional 53,000 cases of cervical cancer could be prevented during the life time of those younger than 12 years.<sup>31</sup> Furthermore, for every year that coverage does not increase, an additional 4,400 women will develop cervical cancer.<sup>31</sup> These data highlight the overwhelming importance of HPV vaccination efforts, including discussions with parents of children and adolescents about the benefits of HPV immunisation for cancer prevention.<sup>31</sup>

In conclusion, awareness of cancer of the cervix, HPV infections and HPV vaccine is low among antenatal clinic attendees in Gwagwalada, Abuja. In addition to screening, HPV vaccination of our young girls will go a long way in prevention. Since the acceptability of the vaccines for their adolescent girls is high amongst the respondents, scaling up of nationally organised HPV vaccination and low cost screening programmes subsidised by funding from government and donor agencies are key to this intervention.<sup>32,33</sup> One way of getting subsidy is through the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunizations (GAVI) projects.<sup>33-35</sup> It is estimated that if subsidised by the GAVI Alliance, the vaccine could reach over 80% of the countries in sub-Saharan Africa.<sup>2</sup>

Effective awareness creation amongst the parents especially mothers, is therefore, very germane in HPV vaccination project in Nigeria.

### Limitations

Explaining HPV infection to the few who were non-literate was quite a challenge. However, a good number of them had knowledge about other STIs, but when the explanation was zeroed down to HPV, they simply said they had never heard of it.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We appreciate the efforts put in by the respondents in responding to the self-administered or the interviewer-administered questionnaires. We also thank Mrs Edith Akanya for the secretarial work.

### REFERENCES

1. Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008. GLOBOCAN 2008. *Int J Cancer* 2010;127:2893-917.

2. Louie KS, de Sanjose S, Mayaud P. Epidemiology and prevention of human papilloma virus and cancer in sub-Saharan African: A comprehensive review. *Trop Med Int Health* 2009;14:1287-302.
3. Parkin DM, Sitas F, Chirenje M, Stein L, Abratt R, Wabinga H. Cancer in Indigenous Africans-burden, distribution and trends. *Lancet Oncol* 2008;9:683-92.
4. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Population Division (2013). *World Population Prospect: The 2012 Revision*.
5. Adewole IF, Benedet JL, Crai BT, Follen M. Evolving a strategic approach to cervical cancer control in Africa. *Gynecol Oncol* 2005;99:S209-12.
6. Human Papilloma Virus and Related Diseases Report-Nigeria. WHO/ICOInformation Centre on HPV and Cancer 2014. Available from: [www.hpvcentre.net](http://www.hpvcentre.net) [Last assessed on 2014 Mar 15].
7. Umezulike AC, Tabansi SN, Ewunonu HA, Nwana EJ. Epidemiological characteristics of carcinoma of the cervix in the Federal Capital Territory of Nigeria. *Niger J Clin Pract* 2007;10:143-6.
8. Prat J. Pathology of cancers of the female genital tract. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2012;119:S137-50.
9. Stanley M. Human papilloma vaccines versus cervical cancer screening. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2008;20:388-94.
10. Steller MA. Cervical cancer: A vaccine-preventable malignancy. *Female Patient* 2006;31:9-10.
11. Erickson BK, Avarez RD, Huh WK. Human papilloma virus: What every provider should know. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2013;208:169-75. Available from: [www.AJOG.org](http://www.AJOG.org) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog> [Last accessed on 2012 Sept 7].
12. Haefner HK. Update on human papilloma virus. *Supplement to SRM*, Nov 2008:15-6.
13. Paavonen J. Human papillomavirus infection and the development of cervical cancer and related genital neoplasia. *Int J Infect Dis* 2007;11 Suppl 2:S3-9.
14. Escobar PF, Orr JW. The human papilloma virus vaccine: Current status. *Female Patient* 2008;33:18-22. Available from: [www.femalepatient.com](http://www.femalepatient.com) [Last accessed on 10<sup>th</sup> March, 2014].
15. Patanwala IY, Bauer HM, Miyamoto J, Park IU, Huchko MJ, Smith-McCune KK. A systematic review of randomized trials assessing human papillomavirus testing in cervical screening. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2013;208:343-53. Available from: [www.AJOG.org](http://www.AJOG.org) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog> [Last accessed on 2012 Nov 13].
16. Thomas JO, Herrero R, Omigbodun AA, Ojemakinde K, Ajayi IO, Fawole A, *et al.* Prevalence of human papilloma virus infection in women in Ibadan, Nigeria: A population-based study. *Br J Cancer* 2004;90:638-45.
17. Franco EL, Harper DM. Vaccination against human papilloma virus infection: A new paradigm in cervical cancer control. *Vaccine* 2005;23:2388-94. Available from: [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com) doi:10.1016/j.vaccine [Last accessed on 2005 Jan 16].
18. Human papilloma virus vaccination. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists Committee Opinion no. 588. *Obstet Gynecol* 2014;123:712-8.
19. Harper DM, Franco EL, Wheeler C, Ferris DG, Jenkins D, Schuind A, *et al.*; GlaxoSmithKline HPV Vaccine Study Group. Efficacy of bivalent L1 virus-like particle vaccine in prevention of infection with human papilloma virus types 16 and 18 in young women: A randomized control trial. *Lancet* 2004;364:1757-65.
20. Paavonen J, Naud P, Salmeron J, Wheeler CM, Chow SN, Apter D, *et al.* Efficacy of human papilloma virus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine against cervical infection and pre-cancer caused by oncogenic HPV types (PATRICIA): Final analysis of a double-blind, randomized study in young women. *HPV PATRICIA Study Group. Lancet* 2009;374:301-14.
21. Munaz N, Kjaer SK, Sigurdsson K, Iversen OE, Hernandez-Avila M, Wheeler CM, *et al.* Impact of human papilloma virus

- (HPV)-6/11/16/18 vaccine on all HPV-associated genital diseases in young women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2010;102:325-39.
22. Donovan B, Franklin N, Guy R, Grulich AE, Regan DG, Ali H, *et al.* Quadrivalent human papilloma virus. Vaccination and trends in genital warts in Australia: Analysis of national sentinel surveillance data. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2011;11:39-44.
  23. Odetola TD, Ekpo K. Nigerian Women's perceptions about human papilloma virus immunizations. *J Community Med Health Educ* 2012;2:191.
  24. Ezem BU. Awareness and uptake of cervical cancer screening in Owerri, South-Eastern Nigeria. *Ann Afr Med* 2007;6:94-8.
  25. Ezenwa BN, Balogun MR, Okafor IP. Mothers' human papilloma virus knowledge and willingness to vaccinate their adolescent daughters in Lagos, Nigeria. *Int J Womens Health* 2013;5:371-7.
  26. Makwe CC, Anorlu RI. Knowledge of and attitude towards human papilloma virus infection and vaccines among females nurses at a tertiary hospital in Nigeria. *Int J Womens Health* 2011;3:313-7.
  27. Nnodu O, Erinosho L, Jamda M, Olaniyi O, Adelaiye R, Lawson L, *et al.* Knowledge and attitudes towards cervical cancer and human papilloma virus: A Nigerian Pilot Survey. *Afr J Reprod Health* 2010;14:95-108.
  28. Chase DM, Tewari KS. Update on cervical cancer screening. *Female Patient* 2010;35:16-21.
  29. Ezat SW, Hod R, Mustafa J, Dali AZ, Sulaiman AS, Azman A. National HPV Immunization Programme: Knowledge and acceptance of mothers attending an obstetrics clinic at a teaching hospital, Kuala Lumpur. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2013;14:2991-9.
  30. Darden PM, Thompson DM, Roberts JR, Hale JJ, Pope C, Naifeh M, *et al.* Reasons for not vaccinating adolescents: National Immunization Survey of Teens, 2008-2010. *Paediatrics* 2013;131:645-51.
  31. Human Papilloma Virus vaccination coverage among adolescent girls, 2007-2012, and post licensure vaccine safety monitoring, 2006-2013, United States. Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). *MMWR Morb Mort Wkly Rep* 2013;62:591-5.
  32. Iyoke CA, Ugwu GO. Burden of gynaecological cancers in developing countries. *World J Obstet Gynecol* 2013;2:1-7.
  33. Omolara KA. Feasible Cancer Control Strategies for Nigeria: Mini-Review. *Am J Trop Med Public Health* 2011;1:1-10. Available from: [www.sciencedomain.org](http://www.sciencedomain.org) [Last accessed on 15<sup>th</sup> April, 2014].
  34. Adanu RM, Boama V, Guinto VT, Sosa CG. Contemporary issues in women's health. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2013;121:199-201. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2013.03.002> [Last accessed on 2nd May, 2014].
  35. Levin CE, Van Minh H, Odaga J, Rout SS, Ngoc DN, Menezes L, *et al.* Delivery cost of human papillomavirus vaccination of young adolescent girls in Peru, Uganda and Viet Nam. *Bull World Health Organ* 2013;91:585-92.

**How to cite this article:** Agida TE, Akaba GO, Isah AY, Ekele B. Knowledge and perception of human papilloma virus vaccine among the antenatal women in a Nigerian tertiary hospital. *Niger Med J* 2015;56:23-7.

**Source of Support:** Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

### Author Help: Online submission of the manuscripts

Articles can be submitted online from <http://www.journalonweb.com>. For online submission, the articles should be prepared in two files (first page file and article file). Images should be submitted separately.

1) **First Page File:**

Prepare the title page, covering letter, acknowledgement etc. using a word processor program. All information related to your identity should be included here. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files.

2) **Article File:**

The main text of the article, beginning with the Abstract to References (including tables) should be in this file. Do not include any information (such as acknowledgement, your names in page headers etc.) in this file. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files. Limit the file size to 1024 kb. Do not incorporate images in the file. If file size is large, graphs can be submitted separately as images, without their being incorporated in the article file. This will reduce the size of the file.

3) **Images:**

Submit good quality color images. Each image should be less than **4096 kb (4 MB)** in size. The size of the image can be reduced by decreasing the actual height and width of the images (keep up to about 6 inches and up to about 1800 x 1200 pixels). JPEG is the most suitable file format. The image quality should be good enough to judge the scientific value of the image. For the purpose of printing, always retain a good quality, high resolution image. This high resolution image should be sent to the editorial office at the time of sending a revised article.

4) **Legends:**

Legends for the figures/images should be included at the end of the article file.