Addressing Drug-Related Side Effects in Children With Congenital Heart Disease: A Policy Brief

Global Pediatric Health Volume 11: 1–5 © The Author(s) 2024 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/2333794X241291398 journals.sagepub.com/home/gph



Esmaeel Toni, MSc100 and Haleh Ayatollahi, PhD1

Received June 18, 2024. Received revised September 2, 2024. Accepted for publication September 28, 2024.

Background

Congenital Heart Disease (CHD) impacts roughly 1% of newborns worldwide, necessitating multifaceted treatment strategies that often include medication therapy. However, dose-dependent medications in patients with CHD raise the risk of drug-related side effects, posing significant challenges to effective medication management. Common medications used in children with CHD include diuretics, anti-arrhythmic, ACE inhibitors, beta-blockers, and anticoagulants, each of these plays a crucial role in managing heart function, but also comes with potential side effects.

Diuretics like Furosemide help to manage fluid overload, but can also cause dehydration and electrolyte imbalances, leading to potential kidney issues. Anti-arrhythmic drugs such as Digoxin support heart function, but carry risks of toxicity, which can manifest as nausea, or vomiting. ACE inhibitors like Enalapril reduce blood pressure and heart strain, but may cause hypotension, kidney dysfunction, and hyperkalemia. Beta-blockers like Propranolol manage arrhythmias, but can lead to bradycardia, fatigue, respiratory issues, and limit physical activity. Anticoagulants such as Warfarin prevent clots, but increase bleeding risk, necessitating regular blood monitoring.^{3,4} These side effects can significantly impact a child's quality of life, leading to frequent hospital visits, stress, and reduced medication adherence. The need for ongoing monitoring and the potential for complications also place a substantial burden on healthcare systems, particularly in resource-limited settings.⁴ Addressing these drug-related side effects in children with CHD is critical. A comprehensive approach involving personalized dosing, careful monitoring, and patient education is essential to mitigate risks and improve outcomes, thereby reducing the overall strain on both families and healthcare systems.4

This policy brief helps to generate clinical protocols specifically for the pediatric patients with CHD. The target audiences are health managers, policy makers, and healthcare professionals involved in the care of these patients. By providing evidence-based recommendations tailored to the clinical settings, the brief aims to influence and enhance practices within healthcare institutions to ensure safer and more effective medication management.

The brief underscores the importance of addressing medication-related challenges in CHD management by highlighting the prevalence and impact of these issues. Effective medication management is paramount for improving outcomes and reducing complications in children with CHD. By presenting evidence-based policy options such as standardized protocols, electronic health record utilization, personalized dosing adjustments, patient education, and specialized care teams, policymakers can be more effective in implementing measures to enhance medication management and improve health outcomes for children with CHD.

Analysis

The analysis underpinning this policy brief is based on the evidence derived from a comprehensive scoping review,⁴ which focused on drug-related side effects in children with CHD. This scoping review was conducted using the framework proposed by Arksey and O'Malley⁵ and the PRISMA-ScR guidelines. The review aimed to investigate ADRs in children with CHD.

A systematic search was conducted without timeframe limitations, covering studies published up to November 1, 2023. Inclusion criteria were strictly set to capture studies reporting drug-related side effects in children with CHD,

¹Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding Author:

Haleh Ayatollahi, Health Management and Economic Reseach Center, Health Management Research Institute, Iran University of Medical Sciences, No. 4, Rashid Yasemi St. Vali–e Asr Ave, Tehran 1996713883, Iran.

Email: Ayatollahi.h@iums.ac.ir

2 Global Pediatric Health

and non-English studies, research focused on adults, and studies unrelated to CHD or drug side effects were excluded. The results of this review were critically analyzed to identify the key challenges and gaps in medication management for this vulnerable population.

The evidence derived from the scoping review directly informed the formulation of the policy options presented in this brief. The analysis underpinning this policy brief incorporates evidence from studies conducted across low, middle, and high-income countries. This global approach ensures that the findings and recommendations are applicable to a diverse range of healthcare settings. Each policy recommendation was derived from the identified drug-related side effects and their implications for health outcomes.

Policy Implications

To address the complexities of medication management for children with CHD, the following policy options are proposed to improve outcomes, reduce side effects, and enhance the overall quality of care. Each option is evaluated based on its expected impact, anticipated costs, and the pathways for successful implementation (Table 1). These options are critical to guiding health policymakers, hospital managers, and healthcare professionals in making informed decisions.

Options 1: Establishing Standardized Protocols

Standardized protocols are anticipated to significantly reduce medication errors, streamline care processes, and improve the consistency of care across different healthcare facilities. This will likely lead to better health outcomes and reduce complications for children with CHD. The initial costs will include the development of protocols, training of healthcare professionals, and ongoing updates to maintain protocol relevance. However, long-term cost savings are expected due to the reduction in complications and hospital readmissions. Successful implementation requires collaboration between healthcare organizations, professional bodies, and clinicians. These stakeholders should ensure that protocols are culturally sensitive and adaptable to local contexts. Regular monitoring and updates are essential to maintain the effectiveness of these protocols.

Options 2: Using EHRs

EHRs offer a centralized platform for tracking a child's medication use, interactions, and side effects. The use of EHRs will facilitate comprehensive tracking of medication use, interactions, and side effects, improve communication among healthcare providers and support making informed decisions. This is expected to reduce

medication-related errors and improve patient outcomes. Significant upfront investments are required for software development, implementation, information technology infrastructure, and staff training. Ongoing costs will include maintenance and data security measures. EHR implementation requires strong IT support and training programs to ensure that all healthcare providers can effectively use the system. Policymakers must address potential disparities in access to EHRs by ensuring that all healthcare facilities, regardless of resource availability, can implement and maintain these systems.

Options 3: Personalized Dosing Adjustments

Personalized dosing adjustments can significantly reduce drug-related side effects and improve treatment efficacy by tailoring medication dosages to individual patient needs. This approach is expected to enhance the precision of care and overall health outcomes. Personalized dosing requires increased monitoring, frequent consultations, and potentially higher initial costs. However, these costs may be offset by long-term savings from reduced side effects and hospitalizations. Implementation demands the integration of personalized dosing into routine clinical practice, supported by continuous training and updated clinical guidelines. Equity considerations must be addressed to ensure that all children with CHD, regardless of socioeconomic status, have access to necessary monitoring and personalized care.

Options 4: Patient Education and Shared Decision-Making

Educating patients and caregivers through patient education and shared decision-making will empower them to participate in medication management actively leading to better health outcomes. This collaborative approach is anticipated to reduce the burden on healthcare systems by minimizing unnecessary hospital visits. Developing and delivering educational programs require significant resources, including the creation of culturally and linguistically appropriate materials. The cost-effectiveness of this approach depends on the successful engagement of patients and caregivers. Healthcare professionals must integrate education into routine care, ensuring that materials are accessible and relevant to diverse patient populations. Collaboration with patient advocacy groups and the use of digital platforms can enhance the reach and impact of educational initiatives.

Options 5: Specialized Cardiology-Pharmacotherapy Teams

The formation of specialized cardiology-pharmacotherapy teams is expected to optimize medication management, reduce complications, and improve long-term

Table 1. Summary of the Policy Options.

Centralized platform for tracking a rialiors medication dosage to individual originative communication among healthcare comprehensive data originary with EHRs - Potential dispartities in access to EHR - Socioeconomic dispartities conditional materials should be implication for all children with CHD - Healthcare comprehensive data originates and caregivers and systems across different facilities, access to necessary monitoring and equate training for providers than late dequate training to utilize EHRs effectively with CHD - Healthcare organizations must medication regimens to utilize EHRs effectively with a medication of development and maintain EHR raining to utilize EHRs effectively	Policy options					
ors child's nedication use, interactions, patient reads, reducing aid effects and numagement, leading to better medication among healthcare communication among healthcare communication among healthcare communication among healthcare conditions and decisions. Streamline care coordination? Socioeconomic dispartice may impact care additional morterial submittenance additional materials and coordinations and expertise from the care strong	Criteria	Establishing standardized protocols	Using EHRs	Personalized dosing adjustments	Patient education and shared decision- making	Specialized cardiology- pharmacotherapy teams
- High upfront costs for software, implementation, and maintenance of robust Infrastructure and evelopment, implementation, and evelopment in implementation, and evelopment evelopment, implementation, and maintain EHRs are responsible for tailoring to utilize EHRs effectively - High neceptability varies based on adequate training for providers time and expertise in every evelopment from the evelopment and maintain EHRs - Potential disparities in access to elecasary monitoring and evelopment from the evelopment and maintain EHRs - Potential disparities in access to every evelopment from the evelopment and maintain EHRs - Healthcare professionals require surfavore professionals are responsible for tailoring evelopment and maintain EHRs evelopment and an evelopment and maintain EHRs eve	Main advantages	- Provides consistent care across healthcare facilities minimizes errors and improves the identification and management of side effects - Reduces variability in treatment approaches, enhancing the overall quality of care ⁶	ing a tions, are ed	- Tailors medication dosage to individual patient needs, reducing side effects and improving treatment effectiveness - Enhances the precision of care by considering patient-specific factors ⁸	- Empowers patients and caregivers to actively participate in medication management, leading to better medication adherence and improved health outcomes - Encourages shared responsibility in care?	- Combines the expertise of cardiologists and pharmacotherapists to provide comprehensive care and optimize medication management for children with CHD - Facilitates multidisciplinary collaboration ¹⁰
- High initial cost for software development, implementation, and development, implementation, and development, implement and development and delivering and development and developm	Main disadvantages	- Requires initial investment in development and training - Limited flexibility for individual variations, potentially restricting personalized care - May require ongoing updates to maintain relevance ⁸	e ation	- Requires increased monitoring and potentially more frequent consultations, leading to higher costs initially - Demands more time and expertise from healthcare providers - Socioeconomic disparities may impact access to necessary monitoring tests ⁸	- Developing educational programs requires significant resources - Effectiveness depends on the age and understanding of the child - Language barriers and accessibility of resources pose additional challenges ¹²	
- Potential disparities in access to EHR - Socioeconomic disparities could impact systems across different facilities, access to necessary monitoring and especially in resource-limited settings personalized care - Must ensure comprehensive data inclusion for all children with CHD, regardless of the facility they visit implement and maintain EHR evalthcare professionals require systems - Healthcare professionals require patients and caregivers access to necessary monitoring and eacessary monitoring and eaces to necessary monitoring and educations and inalized materials should be culturally sensitive and available in multiple languages to ensure inclusivity - Healthcare organizations must end medication regimens to individual systems educations patients and caregivers and caregivers end and disseminate materials, participate in the educational resources enducations education regimens to individual expensionals require patients and caregivers eval access to educational resources educational resources entrangement and materials should be culturally sensitive and available in multiple languages to ensure inclusivity enducation needs, with active involvement from systems and education and disseminate materials, participate in multiple languages to ensure inclusive professionals are responsible for tailoring enducation and disseminate materials, participate in multiple languages to ensure inclusive professionals are responsible for delivering education education and disseminate materials, participate in multiple languages to ensure inclusive professionals are responsible for delivering education education and disseminate materials, participated in multiple languages to ensure inclusive professionals are responsible for delivering education education and disseminate materials, participated in multiple languages to ensure inclusive professionals are responsible for delivering education educat	Cost and feasibility of implementation	- Requires upfront costs for protocol creation, training, and implementation - Long-term savings are possible due to improved outcomes and reduced complications - High acceptability among healthcare providers due to the clear benefits	, and ilability d	- Costs for additional monitoring and consultations may be offset by long-term savings from reduced side effects - High acceptability among healthcare providers, though it requires significant time and expertise	- Costly in terms of developing and delivering educational materials and programs - High acceptability among families, but effectiveness may be hampered by language barriers and disparities in access to resources	High initial investment in establishing teams, training, supporting, and ongoing operations Long-term cost savings is anticipated through improved care and reduced complications Feasibility depends on strategic placement to ensure geographical accessibility
 Healthcare organizations and ries professionals are responsible for tailoring are responsible for tailoring responsible for tailoring responsible for tailoring are responsible for tailoring responsible for delivering education for protocol development and systems dissemination Healthcare professionals are responsible for tailoring education regimens to individual responsible for delivering education regimens are taked regimens and disseminate materials, with internation regimens are tasked training to utilize EHRs effectively 	Equity considerations	Ensures consistent care across all populations, with attention to cultural sensitivity and inclusivity in protocol development and implementation	- Potential disparities in access to EHR systems across different facilities, especially in resource-limited settings - Must ensure comprehensive data inclusion for all children with CHD, regardless of the facility they visit	- Socioeconomic disparities could impact access to necessary monitoring and personalized care - Policies need to be designed with an emphasis on accessibility for all children with CHD	- Socioeconomic factors and language barriers may limit access to educational resources - Educational materials should be culturally sensitive and available in multiple languages to ensure inclusivity	Ensures access to specialized care regardless of the child's background, but requires careful planning for team placement to ensure equitable geographical reach
	Stakeholders' responsibilities	- Healthcare organizations and professional are responsible for protocol development and dissemination - Healthcare professionals are tasked with integrating these protocols into practice	>	Physicians are responsible for tailoring medication regimens to individual needs, with active involvement from patients and caregivers	- Healthcare professionals are responsible for delivering education - Patient advocacy groups should develop and disseminate materials, while families must actively participate in the educational process	- Healthcare organizations are responsible for establishing specialized teams - Cardiologists and pharmacotherapists provide the necessary care and coordinate efforts within the team

4 Global Pediatric Health

outcomes for children with CHD by combining the expertise of cardiologists and pharmacotherapists. The formation of these teams involves significant initial investment in training and ongoing support. However, long-term savings are expected through the reduction of complications and hospital readmissions. The success of this policy option hinges on the strategic placement of teams across various regions to ensure equitable access. Healthcare organizations must invest in the formation and continuous support of these teams, with a focus on ensuring accessibility for all children with CHD, regardless of geographic or socioeconomic barriers.

Further Important Considerations and Research Recommendations

Important considerations for the implementation of policy options to improve medication management for children with CHD include robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to track implementation effectiveness, identifying barriers and facilitating factors, establishing a timeline for updates, and planning for next steps such as the development of additional educational resources. Monitoring should focus on key performance indicators like adherence to standardized protocols and utilization of EHRs. Overcoming barriers like resource constraints and disparities in access requires strategies like stakeholder engagement and cultural competence training. Regular updates to the policy brief ensure relevance and responsiveness to evolving healthcare landscapes.

Gaps in knowledge underscore the need for further research to inform evidence-based practice in CHD care. Studies should explore personalized dosing strategies, the potential of analyzing personal health records, and the long-term effects of chronic medication use. Additionally, investigating the role of advanced technologies, such as mobile health applications, could enhance adherence and monitoring. These efforts will collectively improve medication management, ultimately benefiting the health and well-being of children with CHD. Overall, systematic implementation coupled with ongoing adaptation and collaboration among stakeholders will maximize the impact of policy options on improving medication management and health outcomes for children with CHD.

Author Contributions

Esmaeel Toni: Writing original draft, Review & Editing. Haleh Ayatollahi: Writing, Review & Editing, Supervision.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research was funded by the Health Management and Economics Research Center, Health Management Research Institute, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (Grant Number:1402-2-113-26934).

ORCID iD

Esmaeel Toni https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5156-2853

References

- Su Z, Zou Z, Hay SI, et al. Global, regional, and national time trends in mortality for congenital heart disease, 1990-2019: an age-period-cohort analysis for the global burden of disease 2019 study. *EClinical Medicine*. 2022;43:101249.
- Leopoldino RD, Santos MT, Costa TX, Martins RR, Oliveira AG. Risk assessment of patient factors and medications for drug-related problems from a prospective longitudinal study of newborns admitted to a neonatal intensive care unit in Brazil. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(7):e024377.
- 3. Dabbagh A, Talebi Z, Rajaei S. Cardiovascular pharmacology in pediatric patients with congenital heart disease. In: Dabbagh A, Hernandez Conte A, Lubin LN, eds. Congenital Heart Disease in Pediatric and Adult Patients: Anesthetic and Perioperative Management. Springer International Publishing; 2023:91-152.
- Toni E, Ayatollahi H, Abbaszadeh R, Fotuhi Siahpirani A. Adverse drug reactions in children with congenital heart disease: a scoping review. *Paediatr Drugs*. 2024;26(5):519-553.
- Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol*. 2005;8(1):19-32.
- Marino BS, Tabbutt S, MacLaren G, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation in infants and children with cardiac disease. *Circulation*. 2018;137(22):e691-e782.
- Padovani P, Singh Y, Pass RH, Vasile CM, Nield LE, Baruteau AE. E-Health: a game changer in fetal and neonatal cardiology? *J Clin Med*. 2023;12(21):6865.
- Chowdhury D, Johnson JN, Baker-Smith CM, et al. Health care policy and congenital heart disease: 2020 focus on our 2030 future. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2021;10(20):e020605.
- Acuña Mora M, Saarijärvi M, Sparud-Lundin C, Moons P, Bratt E-L. Empowering young persons with congenital heart disease: using intervention mapping to develop a transition program – The STEPSTONES project. J Pediatr Nurs. 2020;50:e8-e17.
- Lopez KN, Allen KY, Baker-Smith CM, et al. Health equity and policy considerations for pediatric and adult congenital

Toni and Ayatollahi 5

- heart disease care among minoritized populations in the United States. *J Cardiovasc Dev Dis.* 2024;11(2):e012049.
- 11. McClain MR, Hokanson JS, Grazel R, et al. Critical congenital heart disease newborn screening implementation: lessons learned. *Matern Child Health J.* 2017;21(6):1240-1249.
- 12. Babar SH, Areesh B, Shazia M, et al. Recommendations for developing effective and safe paediatric and congenital heart disease services in low-income and middle-income countries: a public health framework. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2023;8(5):e012049.