Validation of the multimedia version of the RDC/ TMD axis II questionnaire in Portuguese

Ricardo Figueiredo CAVALCANTI¹, Luciana Moraes STUDART², Maurício KOSMINSKY³, Paulo Sávio Angeiras de GOES⁴

- 1- DDS, Dental School, University of Pernambuco, Camaragibe, PE, Brazil.
- 2- DDS, Graduate student in Science of the Language, Catholic University of Pernambuco, Camaragibe, PE, Brazil.
- 3- PhD, Senior Lecturer, Discipline of Restorative Dentistry, Dental School, University of Pernambuco, Camaragibe, PE, Brazil.
- 4- PhD, Senior Lecturer, Discipline of Public Health, Dental School, University of Pernambuco, Camaragibe, PE, Brazil.

Corresponding address: Prof. Dr. Paulo Sávio Angeiras de Góes - Faculdade de Odontologia de Pernambuco - Departamento de Odontologia Social - Av. Gen. Newton Cavalcanti, 1650 - Tabatinga - Camaragibe - PE - e-mail: psagoes@uol.com.br

Received: October 13, 2008 - Modification: February 16, 2010 - Accepted: March 19, 2010

ABSTRACT

bjective: The aim of the study was to validate the multimedia version of the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD) Axis II Questionnaire in Portuguese language. Material and methods: The sample comprised 30 patients with signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders (TMD), evaluated at the Orofacial Pain Control Center of the Dental School of the University of Pernambuco, Brazil, between April and June 2006. Data collection was performed using the following instruments: Simplified Anamnestic Index (SAI) and RDC/TMD Axis II written version and multimedia version. The validation process consisted of analyzing the internal consistency of the scales. Concurrent and convergent validity were evaluated by the Spearman's rank correlation. In addition, test and analysis of reproducibility by the Kappa weighted statistical test and Spearman's rank correlation test were performed. Results: The multimedia version of the RDC/TMD Axis II questionnaire in Portuguese was considered consistent (Crombrach alpha = 0.94), reproducible (Spearman 0.670 to 0.913, p<0.01) and valid (p<0.01). Conclusion: The questionnaire showed valid and reproducible results, and represents an instrument of practical application in epidemiological studies of TMD in the Brazilian population

Key words: Computer-assisted, diagnosis. Temporomandibular joint dysfunction syndrome. Validation studies.

INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular disorders (TMD) is a collective term embracing a number of clinical problems that involve the masticatory musculature, the temporomandibular joint or both¹¹. Most diagnosed patients suffer from muscle and/or joint pain on palpation and/or mandibular movements¹³.

Epidemiological studies on TMD have shown conflicting results regarding prevalence and incidence. Such findings could be attributed to different diagnostic criteria. Thus, a standardized methodology is necessary when comparing studies related to these diseases^{6,10}.

The contradictory findings may be attributed in part to the lack of standardized diagnostic criteria for defining clinical subtypes of TMD and for psychological assessment. In order to overcome this difficulty, Dworkin and LeResche² (1992) developed a set of diagnostic tools for TMD, namely the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD), including clinical aspects (Axis I) and psychological/psychosocial factors (Axis II). Axis I permits the reproducibility of the diagnostic criteria for research on TMD in their most common forms of muscle and joint involvement, while Axis II enables the physical diagnosis to be coordinated with an operational assessment of the psychological distress and psychological dysfunction associated with TMD^{2,3,12,17}.

The production of the RDC/TMD represented an advance in the study of this subject^{2,16}. Although RDC/TMD is a diagnostic system that evaluates only the most common forms of TMD14,16, it provides specifications for conducting a standardized clinical physical examination. It has been formally translated/back-translated into 20 languages and is the most common diagnostic method used by the 45-member consortium of RDC/TMD-based international researchers (International Consortium for RDC/TMD-based Research, 2004). Recent studies have demonstrated the validity and reliability of the clinical diagnosis of TMD^{8,13}. Furthermore, several studies of the validation of diagnosis in TMD have focused on RDC, comparing its consistency with that of other imaging techniques¹³.

Due to the importance of psychosocial factors in the diagnosis of TMD, the time lag between the examination of the patient and the collection of data has proved to be a problem. With a view to solving this problem, Yap, et al. 18 (2001) developed an on-line computerized version of the RDC/ TMD questionnaire that, despite facilitating the diagnosis, encountered a number of operational problems involving the patient's difficulties in answering the questions and using the computer.

After the initial process, a final procedure of validation was carried out, as a result the RDC/ TMD PORTUGUESE VERSION became available to be used in studies conducted in Brazilian population.

The aim of this prospective study was to validate the Brazilian Portuguese-language multimedia version of the RDC/TMD Axis II Questionnaire, a standardized form of research on TMD, enhancing the interaction of clinicians and researchers.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present multimedia version of the Questionnaire Axis II of the RDC/TMD followed the written version of the questionnaire in Portuguese validated by Lucena, et al.⁹ (2006). It runs on Windows OS 2000 and XP and can exploit multimedia software and data storage bank

The questionnaire was designed in such a way that the patient could hear the video presentation and visualize the question, so that at the end of each presentation, the respondent could answer the question, replay it, or go back. The types of questions included yes/no questions and multiplechoice questions. The Graduated Chronic Pain Scale (GCPS) was used for the questions measuring the intensity of pain.

The study population consisted of patients with orofacial pain seeking treatment at the Orofacial Pain Control Center of the Dental School of the University of Pernambuco, Brazil, between April and June 2006. The ages of the subjects ranged from 19 to 55 years (mean = 39.5 years), 93.3% of whom were females and 6.7% males. All patients

had TMD. The inclusion criteria were no previous history of TMD treatment and a positive diagnosis of TMD, using the Simplified Anamnestic Index (SAI)⁵. The sociodemographic data of all the subjects with TMD are presented in Table 1.

The research project involving research on humans was submitted to the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Pernambuco after granting the permission from the Dental School. Only patients who agreed to participate and signed the informed consent form were enrolled in the studv.

The following questionnaires were answered by the participants: 1) SAI⁵ questionnaire, which verified the presence of signs and symptoms of TMD, enabling a prompt diagnosis. All patients were classified as having TMD. 2) Portugueselanguage written version of the RDC/TMD Axis II Questionnaire¹⁰, which consisted of 31 items, divided into sociodemographic, socioeconomic, psychological and psychosocial factors, signs and symptoms, and scale of limitation of mandibular function. 3) Portuguese-language multimedia version of the RDC/TMD Axis II Questionnaire.

The questionnaires were applied to the 30 participants one day after the initial interview as

Table 1- Sociodemographic data and pain-related statistics of TMD patients

DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLE	n	%
DEMOCITAL THE VARIABLE		/0
Gender		
Female	28	89.0
Male	2	11.0
Age (yrs)		
Mean	39.5	_
Standard Deviation	11.6	_
Marital status		
Married	16	53.3
Single	7	23.3
Cohabiting	4	13.3
Separated	3	10.0
Patients with complete	15	50.0
secondary education		
RDC/TMD diagnosis:		
Pain intensity and disability	0	0.7
Group I	2	6.7
Group II	10	33.3
Group III	18	0
Group IV	18	60.0
Depression Normal	8	26.7
Moderate	13	43.3
Severe	5	16.7
Severe	3	10.7

Table 2- Internal consistency of limitations related to mandibular functioning values of RDC/TMD Axis II, evaluated by testretest in 30 patients

LIMITATION RELATED TO MANDIBULAR FUNCTIONING RDC/TMD AXIS II	STATISTICAL PARAMETERS IF ITEM DELETED		
	Scale	Corrected	Alpha if Item
	Variance	Item-Total	Deleted
		Correlation	
Chewing	5.9	0.28	0.7
Drinking	4.86	0.61	0.64
Exercising	5.2	0.43	0.68
Eating hard foods	6.1	0.14	0.71
Eating soft foods	5.44	0.42	0.68
Smiling/laughing	5.63	0.35	0.69
Sexual activity	5.96	0.13	0.72
Cleaning teeth or face	4.99	0.53	0.66
Yawning	5.97	0.19	0.71
Swallowing	5.15	0.45	0.67
Talking	5.2	0.43	0.68
Having your usual facial appearance	4.99	0.53	0.66

NOTE: alpha $(\alpha) = 0.71$

standardized item alpha (α) = 0.70

follows: 15 were given the written questionnaire and 15 the multimedia questionnaire for the purpose of testing the validity by means of process test/retest the instrument. None of the patients had received any previous treatment for any associated comorbidity, any pharmacological or psychological therapy for TMD. All of them answered the SAI questionnaire.

The validation process consisted of verifying the internal consistency of the scales of limitation of mandibular function and psychological factors. In order, to have an acceptable internal consistency, a scale should present alpha values of at least 0.7. Convergent validity was simultaneously evaluated by Spearman's rank correlation test¹⁰. The reproducibility analysis used the weighted Kappa statistical test and Spearman's rank correlation test $(\mu>0.05)^{13}$. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows, Version 10, was used for descriptive and inferential analysis of the data. The level of statistical significance was set at 5%. Internal consistency was estimated by Cronbach's alpha test, values above 0.5 being considered satisfactory¹⁴.

RESULTS

The time taken by the patients who answered the multimedia questionnaire ranged from 15 to 40 min (mean time of 25 min). By comparing the information obtained from 30 patients submitted to evaluations by two exams with the two versions of the RDC/TMD questionnaire at different times (study test-retest), a consistent and reproducible instrument was identified for obtaining a diagnosis.

The analysis of the internal consistency of the limitation of mandibular function as a RDC/TMD Axis II diagnostic criterion identified 12 items that correlated with each other and with the overall result of the scale, comprising a true scale, with alpha=0.71 and standardized alpha=0.70 (Table

In addition to the internal consistency of the questions related to psychological factors, comprising 32 items, which also correlated with one another, since the alpha values exceeded 0.90 (alpha=0.94 and standardized alpha=0.94) (Table 3). Therefore, the alpha values for scale were not increased by the exclusion of any of the items tested.

The multimedia RDC/TMD Questionnaire proved to be reproducible, presenting correlations greater than μ >0.05, all of which showed statistical significance when evaluated at different times (Spearman 0.670 to 0.745, p<0.01) (Table 4).

The reproducibility of the different domains of RDC/TMD Axis II, considering the severity of chronic pain and the three subscales of psychological factors (depression, nonspecific physical symptoms with and without pain items), was analyzed. Considering the information obtained from the 30 patients (testretest study), there was a statistically significant diagnostic agreement in Axis II ($\mu \ge 0.7$; p<0.01) (Table 4).

Table 3- Internal consistency of the scale of psychological factors values of RDC/TMD Axis II, evaluated by test-retest in 30 patients

STATISTICAL PARAMETERS IF ITEM DELETED

PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS RDC/TMD AXIS II

NDO/TIND AXIO II	Variance	Corrected	Alpha if Item	
		Item-Total	Deleted	
		Correlation		
Headaches	572.3034	0.4383	0.9415	
Loss of sexual interest	563.6276	0.4343	0.9418	
Faintness/dizziness	569.6885	0.5012	0.9410	
Pains in the heart/chest	560.8609	0.5521	0.9405	
Feeling low in energy	549.9092	0.7662	0.9385	
Thoughts of dying	552.4609	0.6062	0.9400	
Poor appetite	562.5989	0.4672	0.9414	
Crying easily	551.4264	0.7208	0.9389	
Blaming yourself for things	557.1138	0.6077	0.9400	
Pains in the lower back	579.2920	0.2294	0.9436	
Feeling lonely	557.7885	0.5535	0.9405	
Feeling blue	557.0678	0.7068	0.9392	
Worrying too much about things	569.3161	0.5636	0.9406	
Feeling no interest in things	560.7828	0.5011	0.9410	
Nausea or upset stomach	582.7138	0.1726	0.9442	
Soreness of your muscles	559.5586	0.6221	0.9399	
Trouble falling asleep	549.7345	0.6501	0.9395	
Trouble getting your breath	557.7057	0.5270	0.9408	
Hot or cold spells	563.0586	0.5207	0.9408	
Numbness in parts of your body	561.7069	0.5444	0.9406	
A lump in your throat	557.4816	0.5913	0.9401	
Feeling hopeless about future	554.9023	0.6440	0.9396	
Feeling weak in parts of your body	553.0126	0.6473	0.9395	
Heavy feeling in your arms or legs	555.6276	0.7158	0.9391	
Thoughts of ending your life	568.5103	0.4836	0.9411	
Overeating	552.0230	0.5991	0.9400	
Awakening in the early morning	547.6506	0.7300	0.9387	
Sleep that is restless or disturbed	545.6828	0.7951	0.9381	
Feeling everything is an effort	562.4609	0.5544	0.9405	
Feelings of worthlessness	550.4644	0.6206	0.9398	
Feeling of being caught or trapped	565.0678	0.4864	0.9411	
Feelings of guilt	554.2862	0.5977	0.9400	

NOTE: alpha (α) = 0.942 standardized item alpha (α) = 0.943

DISCUSSION

Patients younger than 18 years were excluded from the study because many questions are difficult for them to understand or inappropriate and because the RDC/TMD has been calibrated with data only on patients over the age of 18 years¹⁸.

The size of the study sample was based on

validation and cultural adaptation studies, using groups with 10 to 37 patients^{1,9,15}.

The Portuguese-language written version of the RDC/TMD Axis II Questionnaire was validated by Lucena, et al.9 (2006) using criteria established in similar studies^{1,3,7}, generating a reliable and reproducible instrument, as estimated by the Kappa statistical test and consistent on Cronbach's alpha

Table 4- Reproducibility of the questions and of established diagnostic procedures in RDC/TMD Axis II, evaluated by testretest of 30 patients

REPRODUCIBILITY FROM RDC/TMD	STATISTICAL TESTS	INTERPRETATION
Axis II – Questions	Spearman's Correlation (μ)	p value
Degree of satisfaction with one's general health	0. 670	< 0.01
Degree of satisfaction with one's oral health	0.741	< 0.01
Orofacial pain at the time of the study	0.708	< 0.01
Worst orofacial pain in the last six months	0.745	< 0.01
Diagnosis from RDC/TMD		
Pain intensity and disability	0.883	< 0.01
Depression	0.913	< 0.01
Nonspecific physical symptoms		
pain items included	0.715	< 0.01
pain items excluded	0.706	< 0.01

reliability test.

The mean age of the patients in this study was 39.5 years, which corresponds to that found in most studies on TMD^{3,4,8-10,16-19}. With regard to gender, in the studied population, 28 (93.3%) were females and 2 (6.7%) males. Despite the fact that several studies report a predominance of females with TMD, this predominance in our group was above average^{3,4,8-10,16-19}.

In this study the assessment of the internal consistency of the scales of limitation of mandibular function and psychological factors presented an overall alpha index of 0.71 and 0.94, respectively. The lowest value used as baseline in clinical studies is 0.7^{13} . Thus, the internal consistency of the scales was valid, resulting in a true scale. Spearman's ranks test demonstrated that the proposed version of the instrument generally had a positive correlation, indicating that the validated version is indeed measuring what it was intended to measure14.

This study represents an important step for research on TMD in Brazil in several aspects. Firstly, it represents an advance in relation to the method of research previously reported¹⁵ in the study of the TMD; in addition, it will facilitate future population studies involving TMD. As a result, the multimedia version will reduce the cost of printing, making copies of the questionnaire and storing patient data.

The present multimedia questionnaire also permits greater speed in the collection of patient data, storage and statistical analysis, with information being simultaneously entered in a database while the research is being conducted. It also has the advantage of eliminating any bias on the part of the interviewer when dealing with sensitive questions. However, results must be interpreted considering its limitations, as it has been carried out in a specialized pain clinic and with a limited sample of patients. Future investigation in a broad epidemiological survey should confirm the results.

CONCLUSION

The process of validating the Portuguese multimedia version of the RDC/TMD Axis II Questionnaire followed the methodology proposed in the literature and resulted in a reproducible and readily applicable instrument, valid for the Brazilian population, making it possible to introduce an innovative standardized method in epidemiological studies on TMD in Brazil.

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was supported by PIBIC/CNPq.

REFERENCES

- 1- Ciconelli RM, Feraz MB, Santos W, Meinão I, Quaresma MR. Tradução para a língua portuguesa e validação do questionário genérico de avaliação de gualidade de vida SF-36 (Brasil SF-36). Rev Bras Reumatol. 1999;39(3):143-50.
- 2- Dworkin SF, LeResche L. Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: review, criteria, examinations and specifications, critique. J Craniomand Disord. 1992;6(4):301-55.
- 3- Dworkin SF, Sherman J, Mancl L, Ohrbach R, LeResche L, Truelove E. Reliability, validity and clinical utility of the research diagnostic criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders Axis II Scales: depression, non-specific physical symptoms, and graded chronic pain. J Orofacial Pain. 2002;16(3):207-20.
- 4- Emshoff R, Rudisch A. Validity of clinical diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders; clinical versus magnetic resonance imaging diagnoses of temporomandibular joint internal derangement and ostheoarthrosis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2001;91(1):50-5.
- 5- Fonseca DM, Bonfante G, Valle Al, Freitas SFT. Diagnóstico pela anamnese da disfunção craniomandibular. RGO. 1994;42(1):23-8. 6- Guillemin F, Bombardier C, Beaton D. Cross-cultural adaptation of health-related quality of life measures: literature review and proposed guidelines. J Clin Epidemiol. 1993;46(12):1417-32.

- 7- John MT, Dworkin SF, Mancl LA. Reliability of clinical temporomandibular disorder diagnoses. Pain. 2005;118(1-2):61-9.
- 8- List T, Dworkin SF. Comparing TMD diagnoses and clinical findings at Swedish and US TMD centers using research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders. J Orofac Pain. 1996;10(3):240-53.
- 9- Lucena LB, Kosminsky M, Costa LJ, Góes PS. Validation of the Portuguese version of the RDC/TMD Axis II questionnaire. Braz Oral Res. 2006;20(4):312-7.
- 10- McNeill C. Temporomandibular disorders: guidelines for classification, assessment and management. The American Academy of Orofacial Pain. 2nd ed. Chicago: Quintessence; 1993. p.11-3.
- 11- Pereira LJ, Pereira-Cenci T, Pereira SM, Cury AA, Ambrosano GM, Pereira AC, et al. Psychological factors and the incidence of temporomandibular disorders in early adolescence. Braz Oral Res. 2009;23(2):155-60.
- 12- Schmitter M, Ohlmann B, John MT, Hirsch C, Rammelsberg P. Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: a calibration and reliability study. Cranio. 2005;23(3):212-8.

- 13- Streiner DL, Norman GR. Health measurement scales. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford Press; 1995.
- 14- Svenson P. Orofacial musculoskeletal pain. In: Giamberardino MA. Pain 2002: an updated review: refresher course syllabus. Seattle: IASP Press; 2002. p.447-58.
- 15- Tosato Jde P, Caria PH. Electromyographic activity assessment of individuals with and without temporomandibular disorder symptoms J Appl Oral Sci. 2007;15(2):152-5.
- 16- Yap AU, Chua EK, Dworkin SF, Tan HH, Tan KB. Multiple pains and psychosocial functioning/ psychologic distress in TMD patients. Int J Prosthodont. 2002;15(5):461-6.
- 17- Yap AU, Tan KB, Hoe JK, Yap RH, Jaffar J. On-line computerized diagnosis of pain-related disability and psychological status of TMD patients: a pilot study. J Oral Rehabil. 2001;28(1):78-87.
- 18- Yap AU, Tan KB, Chua EK, Tan HH. Depression and somatization in patients with temporomandibular disorders. J Prosthet Dent. 2002;88(5):479-84.