

www.wileyonlinelibrary.com

Invited Article

Review of the current empirical literature on using videoconferencing to deliver individual psychotherapies to adults with mental health problems

Neil Thomas^{*1,2,3}, Caity McDonald², Kathleen deBoer², Rachel M. Brand^{2,4}, Maja Nedeljkovic^{1,2} and Liz Seabrook^{1,2}

¹National eTherapy Centre, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

²Centre for Mental Health, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

³Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁴School of Health and Behavioural Sciences, University of the Sunshine Coast, Sippy Downs, Qld, Australia

Abstract. Purpose. The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in a widespread adoption of videoconferencing as a communication medium in mental health service delivery. This review considers the empirical literature to date on using videoconferencing to deliver psychological therapy to adults presenting with mental health problems.

Method. Papers were identified via search of relevant databases. Quantitative and qualitative data were extracted and synthesized on uptake, feasibility, outcomes, and participant and therapist experiences.

Results. Videoconferencing has an established evidence base in the delivery of cognitive behavioural therapies for post-traumatic stress disorder and depression, with prolonged exposure, cognitive processing therapy, and behavioural activation non-inferior to inperson delivery. There are large trials reporting efficacy for health anxiety and bulimia nervosa compared with treatment-as-usual. Initial studies show applicability of cognitive behavioural therapies for other anxiety and eating disorders and obsessive–compulsive spectrum disorders, but there has yet to be study of use in severe and complex mental health problems. Therapists may find it more difficult to judge non-verbal behaviour, and there may be initial discomfort while adapting to videoconferencing, but client ratings of the therapeutic alliance are similar to in-person therapy, and videoconferencing may have

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*}Correspondence should be addressed to Neil Thomas, Centre for Mental Health, Swinburne University of Technology, PO Box 218, Hawthorn, Vic. 3122, Australia, (e-mail: neilthomas@swin.edu.au).

advantages such as being less confronting. There may be useful opportunities for videoconferencing in embedding therapy delivery within the client's own environment.

Conclusions. Videoconferencing is an accessible and effective modality for therapy delivery. Future research needs to extend beyond testing whether videoconferencing can replicate in-person therapy delivery to consider unique therapeutic affordances of the videoconferencing modality.

Practitioner points

- Videoconferencing is an efficacious means of delivering behavioural and cognitive therapies to adults with mental health problems.
- Trial evidence has established it is no less efficacious than in-person therapy for prolonged exposure, cognitive processing therapy, and behavioural activation.
- While therapists report nonverbal feedback being harder to judge, and clients can take time to adapt to
 videoconferencing, clients rate the therapeutic alliance and satisfaction similarly to therapy in-person.
- Videoconferencing provides opportunities to integrate therapeutic exercises within the person's dayto-day environment.

One of the notable impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on psychological therapy delivery has been the use of videoconferencing becoming widespread. Telehealth—the use of telecommunication technology to deliver services—includes a range of networked communication modalities, also including telephone, email, and text chat messaging. With improvements in online videoconferencing software and internet speeds, products such as Skype, FaceTime, and Zoom had already entered mainstream personal and business communication usage prior to the pandemic, and by incorporating video offer a close approximation to being in-person. While in-person services had been slow to adopt digital technologies prior to the pandemic, substantial potential was already seen in making services more accessible, and the necessity to minimize in-person interaction has catalysed the adoption of this technology in psychotherapy delivery (Chen et al., 2020; Shore, Schneck, & Mishkind, 2020; Torous, Myrick, Rauseo-Ricupero, & Firth, 2020; Wind, Rijkeboer, Andersson, & Riper, 2020).

Videoconferencing has been researched as a medium for therapy delivery over the past three decades, adopting contemporaneous communication technologies such as television-based telemedicine equipment, videophones, and Internet-based webcam systems (Simpson, 2009). While therapists report concerns about technical difficulties and the potential impact of videoconferencing on the therapeutic alliance (Connoly, Miller, Lindsay, & Bauer, 2020; Simpson & Reid, 2014), they make adaptations such as emphasizing their own non-verbal behaviour and clarifying the client's own responses, and overall are positive about the technology (Connoly et al., 2020). Backhaus et al. (2012) conducted a systematic review of 65 papers across a range of populations, concluding that videoconferencing was feasible, associated with good user satisfaction and similar clinical outcomes to in-person therapy delivery. Recent systematic reviews by Berryhill, Culmer, et al. (2019), and Berryhill, Halli-Tiemey, et al. (2019) have confirmed that there are significant post-therapy effects on the most commonly used outcome measures of anxiety and depressive symptoms aggregated across different clinical groups.

Findings of overall acceptability and efficacy of videoconferencing have informed the implementation of videoconferencing within services, particularly for programmes delivered to geographically isolated or dispersed individuals (Morriss et al., 2019; Muir et al., 2020; Varker, Brand, Ward, Terhaad, & Phelps, 2018). Now adoption is more

widespread, practitioners and clients may need to choose between video and in-person as more equally available options. To inform clinical decision-making, it is now important to consider when, and for whom, this mode of therapy delivery may be applicable. This is particularly important when weighing up choices against pandemic-related health risks associated with transit and in-person contact, which can be avoided by remote therapy delivery.

This review considers the literature to date on how videoconferencing can be utilized for the delivery of psychological therapy to adults presenting with mental health problems. Extending upon previous reviews, which have considered outcomes and satisfaction with videoconferencing across studies as a whole, we consider the following questions:

- For which mental health populations and psychological interventions is there current evidence for psychological therapy being acceptable and efficacious when delivered via videoconferencing?
- What are client and therapist experiences of psychological therapy delivered by videoconferencing, including perceived benefits, challenges and opportunities?

Methodology

Primary research studies were identified via the databases PubMed, Medline, PsycINFO, and EMBASE in July 2020. Search terms included combinations of the search terms videoconferencing, telehealth telemedicine, telemental health, telepsychology, telepsychiatry, telepsychotherapy, or telecounselling; psychological therapy, psychotherapy, counselling, psychological intervention, or cognitive behaviour; and mental disorder, mental health, mental illness, anorexia, anxiety, bipolar, bulimia, depression, eating disorder, mood disorder, obsessive–compulsive, personality disorder, post-traumatic stress, psychosis, and schizophrenia. Database searches were supplemented by review of reference lists of included papers and previous review papers.

Studies were included which focused on adult populations experiencing adult mental disorders or clinically significant symptoms of mental disorder. Studies were excluded that focused on children and/or adolescents; people with mental health problems secondary due to physical illness, substance abuse and/or addictions, neurocognitive disorders, learning difficulties, or intellectual disabilities; healthy populations at risk of developing mental health difficulties; and families of people with mental health difficulties. Studies were included that used one-to-one psychological interventions delivered via videoconferencing. This excluded group-based, couple or family interventions; simulated therapy sessions; self-help; general psychiatric care; asynchronous psychological therapy delivered via recorded video. Studies were included that reported quantitative or qualitative data relevant to understanding outcomes or experiences of therapy. Case studies were excluded, as were studies that did not disaggregate psychological therapy results from broader findings, but multiple baseline case series were included.

Abstracts were screened by CM, and full papers were independently reviewed against the inclusion criteria by CM and NT. Each author led extraction of data for a component of the review, with all data extraction checked and verified by NT. Data on outcomes and acceptability were extracted and considered within diagnostic groupings, with a main focus on randomized controlled trial findings, and pre-to-post studies and case series findings considered when they added to the trial literature. Client and practitioner experiences of videoconferencing were considered across the literature, prioritizing systematically collected data reported by papers, but also including anecdotal participant comments. A thematic synthesis (Lucas, Baird, Arai, Law, & Roberts, 2007) was conducted with a lens of identifying the prominent benefits, challenges, and considerations in delivery.

Results

The literature search identified 1637 papers once duplicates were removed, with a total of 69 papers reporting on 54 discrete studies meeting inclusion criteria (see Table 1). These included 21 randomized controlled trials (RCTs), 20 pre-to-post and non-randomized comparison trials, 6 case series, 4 stand-alone qualitative studies, and 3 studies examining rates of uptake. Of the RCTs, 7 examined efficacy compared with a non-therapy control, and 15 included a head-to-head comparison with in-person therapy, of which 9 conducted formal non-inferiority or equivalence analyses (detailed in Table 2). No studies contrasted videoconferencing with other remote communication modalities (e.g., telephone).

The most frequently studied diagnostic groups were post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD; 14 studies), and depression (10), for which there were a number of well-powered RCTs, followed by anxiety disorders (6), obsessive-compulsive spectrum disorders (6) and eating disorders (6). Twelve additional studies examined mixed diagnosis populations including a large RCT. Across these studies, a number examined implementations to specific populations, with a large number, particularly PTSD studies, conducted with veterans or military personnel, and others focusing on populations with difficulties attending clinic settings in person, including people with difficulties leaving the home, people living in rural or remote areas, prison inmates, and geographically dispersed members of migrant populations. Less than half of studies were conducted within the person's home/residence, with many especially older studies, involving visiting a local clinic using telehealth equipment to connect with a therapist in a different location. The types of technology used for videoconferencing included dedicated telemedicine hardware, analogue videophones, and, increasingly, using Internet-based videoconferencing software on computers or smartphones. Many studies provided participants with equipment such as laptop or tablet computers, but more recent studies have used participants' own devices.

Across the full range of studies, therapy was found feasible to deliver via videoconferencing, clients were satisfied with therapy, and expected improvements in targeted symptoms occurred. We consider the findings for specific populations in detail (summarized in Table 3), followed by broader findings about use of videoconferencing across all studies.

Application of videoconferencing with different populations

Post-traumatic stress disorder

PTSD was the most researched mental health diagnosis. In addition to small pre–post studies and pilot RCTs, the search identified 7 well-powered RCTs of videoconferencing therapy for PTSD, covering a range of treatment protocols, including cognitive processing therapy (CPT), prolonged exposure (PE), and behavioural activation.

Two trials examined the use of the eight-to-twelve session PE protocol to treat PTSD in veterans (Acierno et al., 2017, also reported on in Gros, Allan, Lancaster, Szafranski, & Acierno, 2018; Gros, Lancaster, López, & Acierno, 2018; and Yuen et al., 2015), and one

Table I. Include	ed studies									
Study	Population	Country	Design	Comparison	z	Therapy	Location	System	Primary outcomes	Acceptability and alliance measures
USTG										
Acierno et al. (2016), Strachan et al. (2012), Gros et al. (2012)	Veterans with PTSD	USA	RCT	IP (NI)	232	BA-TE	Home	Own device + provided software, or videophone (Viterion 500)	PCL-M, BDI	CPOSS, SDPQ
Aciento et al. (2017), Gros, Allan, et al. (2018), Gros, Lancaster, et al. (2018)	Veterans with comorbid PTSD and depression	USA	RCT	IP (N)	150	붠	Home	Own device + AK Summit software or provided tablet or videophone	CAPS, PCL-M, BDI	CPOSS, SDPQ
Franklin et al. (2017)	Veterans with PTSD	USA	RCT	TAU	27	H	Home or clinic	Computer + provided software, or iPhone + Tango	CAPS, PDS	Preferred therapy modality,
Germain et al. (2009), Germain et al. (2010), Marchand er al. (2011)	PTSD	Canada (rural)	NRCT	٩	68	СВТ	Clinic	Tandberg 2500 VC units	MPSS	WAI, SEQ, DCCS, VT-Q, VTS
Gros et al. (2011)	Veterans with PTSD	NSA	NRCT	Ð	89	PE	Clinic	Tandberg 1000 MXP VC units	PCL-M	IIRS
Hassija and Gray (2011)	Women with PTSD from domestic	USA (rural)	pre-post		15	PE or CPT	Clinic	Palycom VSX3000 VC units	PCL, CES-D	Satisfaction Questionnaire
Liu et al. (2019)	Veterans with PTSD, male	NSA	RCT	IP (NI)	207	СРТ	Clinic	Not stated	CAPS, PCL-S, PHQ-9	,
Luxton et al. (2015)	Active military and veterans with PTSD	NSA	pre-post		0	BA	Home	Laptop + Cisco Jabber	CAPS, PCL-M, BDI	TSC, CSQ
Maieritsch et al. (2016)	Veterans with PTSD	NSA	RCT	IP (E)	96	CPT	Clinic	Not stated	CAPS, PCL	WAI
										Continued

									Primary	Acceptability and alliance
Study	Population	Country	Design	Comparison	z	Therapy	Location	System	outcomes	measures
Morland et al. (2015)	Women with PTSD,	USA	RCT	IP (NI)	149	CPT	Clinic	Not stated	CAPS	WAI, CPOSS-VA, TSAS, TEQ
	civilians and veterans									
Olden et al. (2017)	PTSD in high-risk	USA	pre-post		=	ΡE	Home or clinic	Polycom VC units (clinic) or own device (home)	CAPS, PCL	WAI, CSQ, TSAS, ETO
Tuerk et al. (2010)	occupations Veterans with	USA V	NRCT	٩	47	ΡE	Clinic	Tandberg 1000 MXP VC units	PCL-M, BDI	
Yuen et al. (2015)	PTSD, combat	(rural) USA	RCT	IP (NI)	52	PE	Home	Own device/tablet + VC software or	CAPS, PCL-M	
Ziemba et al. (2014)	related PTSD	NSA	RCT	₫	18	с	Clinic	videophone Polycom VC units	CAPS	Satisfaction survey
Uepression Arnaert et al. (2007)	Older adults		qualitative		4	PST	Home	Videophone		Interviews
-	depression				<u>-</u>	100	ē			
Jang et al. (2014)	Korean migrants with	ASU	pre-post		17	EB CB	Place convenient to client'	Laptop + Vidyo	6-0H4	S
Lazzari, Egan, and	depression Depression	Australia	pre-post		m	BA	Clinic	Not stated	GDS	Satisfaction
Rees (2011) Choi, Hegel, et al. (2014), Choi, Marti, et al. (2014), Choi	Housebound adults over 50 with	USA	RCT	IP, TAU	158	PST	Home	Laptop + Skype	HRSD, WHODAS	questionnaire TEI, interviews
et al. (2013) Deen et al. (2013)	depression Primary care attendees with positive	NSA	uptake study		6/1	CBT	Home	Not stated	Uptake of therapy	
										Continued

(Continued)	
<u> </u>	
able	

Using videoconferencing to deliver psychological therapy 859

Study Fopulation Convert Design Non-production Section Netropy	Study Population depress screens Egede et al. (2015) Veterans										
Study Population County Design Comparison Nempty Location System Outcome System Outcome System Outcome System BOI, GGS Lebre et al. (2015) Verense with strenses USA RCT $P(N)$ 241 EA Home Vateophone BDI, GGS System with strenses with with ett. UK RCT $P(N)$ 121 EA Home Vateophone BDI, GGS System with ett. with ett. Not Represent Represent BDI, GGS System Verug station UK RCT TAU 23 EST Not stated Mone Lepter BDI, GGS (2019) Cereorbid UK RCT TAU 23 EST Not stated Mone Lepter ESD GDD (2019) Cereorbid UK RCT TAU 23 CBT Clinic Lepter ESD Hama Vateophone ESD ESD </th <th>Study Population depress screen Egede et al. (2015) Veterans</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>:</th> <th>ĺ</th> <th></th> <th>· · · · ·</th> <th>Primary</th> <th>Acceptability and alliance</th>	Study Population depress screen Egede et al. (2015) Veterans					:	ĺ		· · · · ·	Primary	Acceptability and alliance
depression terms with sederated (2015) depression terms with sederation depression should set al. (2015) depression terms with sederation should set al. (2015) depression terms with sederation should set al. (2015) depression terms with sederation should set al. (2015) EAC P(N) 211 EAC Home Videophone EDI, EDS (2017) meaning depression with set in mand and meaning terms with set in mand medicated (2016) U/K TAU 21 EA Home Videophone EDI, EDS SCD (2017) meaning pression mode U/K TAU 21 EA Home Videophone EDI, EDS ECD (2017) mone depression mode U/K TAU 22 EF Not started Mole phone or video ciling (WebS) EDI, EDS (2017) comobid U/K TAU 20 CBT Linker + Skype HSD, CSD (2017) comobid U/K TAU 20 CBT Linker + Skype HSD, CSD (2017) comobid U/K TAU 20 CBT CInke Conputer + Tandberg/Moreesing/Moreesing/Moresing/Moreesing	depress screen Egede et al. (2015) Veterans	u Co	untry	Design	Comparison	N	Therapy	Location	System	outcomes	measures
Egade et al. (2015) variation depression servession BC/I buscon et al. (2016) Value optione depression appression (2017) R.CT IP (N1) 241 BA Home Lapora + Casc Jabber BDI, GBS Smolenid et al. (2017) personal depression on additional depression and servesion UK RCT IP (N1) 241 BA Home Videophone BDI, GBS Smolenid et al. (2017) personal depression depression and servesion UK RCT TAU 23 BT Not rated Mode phone or video caling (WebS) BDI, GBS Supple et al. (2013) conorida depression and servesion UK RCT TAU 23 BT Not rated Mode phone or video caling (WebS) BDI Licktein et al. (2013) comorida depression and servesion UK RCT TAU 23 CBT Licktein et al. (2016) Mode phone or video caling (WebS) BDI Sogin et al. (2014) Comorida depression UK RCT TAU 24 Clinic Liptop + Skype HSLC.CSD. More et al. (2015) Comorida depression USK (rual) RCT <td< td=""><td>screen Egede et al. (2015) Veterans</td><td>sion</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	screen Egede et al. (2015) Veterans	sion									
		with US/	<	RCT	IP (NI)	241	BA	Home	Videophone	BDI, GDS,	
Total shorts Tarding et al. Cost Not individual and individua individua indindividual and individua indindindia individual and	depres	sion		L C T		-	× q				
(2017) with depression with set ham and depression with depression (2019) depression with set ham and depression UK RCT TAU 22 PST Not stated Mobile phone or video caling (WebeX) BD1 (2019) resenting with set ham and depression UK RCT TAU 22 PST Not stated Mobile phone or video caling (WebeX) BD1 Lichtrein etal.(2013) comorid USA (rural) RCT TAU 2 CBT Clinic Laptop + Skyte HSD, CSD. Scejin et al.(2014) comorid USA (rural) RCT TAU 40 CBT CBT Laptop + Skyte HSD, CSD. Scejin et al.(2016) comorid USA (rural) RCT TAU 40 CBT CInic Laptop + Skyte B1, GSD Anxlev disorders and insomia Not TAU 40 CBT CInic Tandberg 2500 VC system B1, GSD Anxlev disorders Ander at al.(2000) Paried disordery MCT PC CInic Camputer + Tandberg MYP software Not set IS, GSD Anxlev disorders Ander at al.(20	Luxton et al. (2010), Frintary Smolenski et al. personi	nel U.S.	¢			171	£		Laptop + Cisco Japper	גרום יורום	
(2019) depression presenting with seft with seft with seft with seft with seft RCT TAU 22 FST Not stared Mobile phone or video calling (Webe/) BDI Lichtetin etal.(2013) presenting depression depre	(2017), Pruitt et al. with										
Asyate ra. (2019) Tong addits UK KU1 TAU L F31 Not stated Proble phone or video caling (weeks) BU1 with seff. harm and berression with seff. harm and depression U Not setf. HSD. CSD. with seff. harm and depression USA (wral) RCT TAU 40 CBT Clinic Laptop + Skype HSD. CSD. Sogin et al. (2018) Comorbid USA (wral) RCT TAU 40 CBT Clinic Laptop + Skype HSD. CSD. action in somina in somina in somina U A A A A A A A A A A A A A B B B B B C CBT CBT CBT CBT CBT CBT A A A A A A B B B CBT A A A A A A A A A A	(2019) depress	sion	-	LO C		č	1-52	-		ŭ	
presentation between the set internand depression and sogin et al. (2018) comptet depression depression and insomnia respect solution and insomnia respect solution and insomnia HSD, CSD. Sogin et al. (2018) computed depression and insomnia USA (urual) RCT TAU 40 CBT Clinic Laptop + Skype HSD, CSD. IS, IS, IS, IS, IS, IS, IS, IS, IS, IS,	Sayal et al. (2019) Toung ad	ults UK	,	KCI	IAU	77	£	Not stated	Mobile phone or video calling (WebeX)	BUI	Interviews
International depression Use of the control depression Use of	present with sel	cing If-									
Lichstein etal. (2013)Genotid depression and insomiaUSApre-post re-postS CBTClinicLaptop + SkypeHSD, CSD, IS,Scogin et al. (2018)Comorbid depression insomiaUSA (tural)RCTTAU40CBTClinicLaptop + SkypeHSD, CSD, IS,Scogin et al. (2018)Comorbid depression andUSA (tural)RCTTAU40CBTClinicComputer + SkypeHSD, CSD, IS, SCDAnxiety disorders and matex et al. (2003)GADCanadaMBCS-5CBTClinicTandberg 2500 VC systemPSWQMatex et al. (2001)AndRCTIF (5)I15CBTClinicTandberg 2500 VC systemNotytetBuchard et al. (2004)Panic disorder/ agoraphobiaCanadaNRCTIF21CBTClinicTandberg 2500 VC systemNet<	harm ar	. P									
Lichstein etal. (2013) Comorbid USA pre-post - 5 CBT Clinic Laptop + Skype HRSD. C3D. depression insomid insomid UsA (urual) RCT TAU 40 CBT Clinic Laptop + Skype HRSD. C3D. Scogin et al. (2019) Comorbid USA (urual) RCT TAU 40 CBT Clinic Computer + Skype HRSD. CSD. Anxiety disorders and insomia E E Cinic Computer + Skype HSD. CSD. Anxiety disorders and insomia E E Cinic Computer + Skype HSD. CSD. Anxiety disorders And MES - 5 CBT Cinic Indberg 2500 VC system ISI. SCD. Anxiety disorder Anxiet al. (2003) Panic disorder Panic disorder ISI. SCD ISI. SCD Watts et al. (2004) Panic disorder Canda MCT IP (5) II (5) CBT Cinic Indberg 2500 VC system Notyet Buchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder Canda Pre-post -	depress	sion									
depression and insomia depression and insomia USA (tural) RCT TAU 40 CBT Clinic Computer + Skype HRSD, CSD. Anxiety disorders and insomia insomia Computer + Skype HRSD, CSD. Anxiety disorders and insomia insomia Computer + Skype HRSD, CSD. Anxiety disorders GD canada MBCS - 5 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PSWQ Anxiety disorder (2000) Panic disorder/ Canada Pre-post - 8 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system Noryet Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder/ Canada Pre-post - 8 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system Psaforder Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder/ Canada Pre-post - 8 Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system Psaforder	Lichstein et al. (z2013) Comorbic	P NS	<	pre-post		5	CBT	Clinic	Laptop + Skype	HRSD, CSD,	WAI, session
and insomnia Scogin et al. (2018) depression and misonnia Anxievy disorders Trièberge-Lapointe and misonnia Anxievy disorders Trièberge-Lapointe and misonnia Anxievy disorders Trièberge-Lapointe and misonnia Anxievy disorder Triàberge-Lapointe and misonnia Anxievy disorder Triàberge-Lapointe and misonnia MBCS Triàberge-Lapointe and misonnia MBCS Triàberge-Lapointe and misonnia MBCS Triàberge-Lapointe triànderge-Lapointe triànderge-Lapointe triànderge-Lapointe misonnia misonnia MBC Triàberge-Lapointe triànde	depress	sion								ISI,	ratings, feedback
Scogin et al. (2018) insomnia dand and insomnia RCT TAU 40 CBT Clinic Computer + Skype HRSD.CSD. Anxiety disorders and insomnia insomnia 0 CBT Clinic Computer + Skype HRSD.CSD. Anxiety disorders insomnia insomnia E E Clinic Computer + Skype HSD.CSD. Anxiety disorders insomnia MSC - 5 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PSWQ Anxiety disorder/ watts et al. (2000) GAD Canada RCT IP (5) I115 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system Not yet reported Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder/ asoraphobia Canada NCT IP 21 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PSA	and										survey
Scogin et al. (2018) Comorbid USA (tural) RCT TAU 40 CBT Clinic Computer + Siype HRSD.CSD. depression and and insomia bits SciD is SciD is SciD Anxiety disorders insomia Anxiety disorders Scogin et al. (2015) Computer + Siype HSSD.CSD. Anxiety disorders GAD Canada MBCS - 5 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PSWQ vatis et al. (2001) GAD Canada RCT IP (5) I15 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system Notyet Bouchard et al. (2000) Panic disorder/ Canada RCT IP (5) I15 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system Notyet Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder/ Canada NCT IP 21 CBI Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system	insom	a									
and insomnia Anxievy disorders Théberge-Lapointe GAD Canada MBCS - 5 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PSWQ et al. (2015) GAD Canada MBCS - 5 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PSWQ Watts et al. (2000) Panic disorder/ Canada RCT IP (S) 115 CBT Clinic Computer + Tandberg MXP software Not yet Bouchard et al. (2001) Panic disorder/ Canada pre-post - 8 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2000 VC system P8A Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder/ Canada NRCT IP 21 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system P8A	Scogin et al. (2018) Comorbic depress	d US <i>i</i> sion	A (rural)	RCT	TAU	40	CBT	Clinic	Computer + Skype	HRSD, CSD, ISI. SCID	WAI
Insomia Anxiejy disorders Thé berge-Lapointe GAD Canada MBCS - 5 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PSWQ et al. (2015) GAD Canada MBCS - 1 5 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PSWQ Watts et al. (2020) Panic disorder/ Canada RCT IP (5) 115 CBT Clinic Computer + Tandberg MXP software Not yet Bouchard et al. (2000) Panic disorder/ Canada pre-post - 8 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2000 VC system P8A Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder/ Canada NRCT IP 21 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system P8A	and										
Anxiety disorders Anxiety disorders ThébergeLapointe GAD Canada MBCS - 5 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PSWQ et al. (2015) GAD Canada MBCS - 115 CBT Clinic Candberg 2500 VC system PSWQ Watts et al. (2020) GAD Canada RCT IP (5) 115 CBT Clinic Computer + Tandberg MXP software Not yet Bouchard et al. (2000) Panic disorder/ Canada Pre-post - 8 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2000 VC system PRA Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder/ Canada NRCT IP 21 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PRA	insomn	ia.									
Théberge-Lapointe GAD Canada MBCS - 5 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PSWQ et al. (2015) GAD Canada RCT IP (5) I15 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system PSWQ wtats et al. (2020) GAD Canada RCT IP (5) I15 CBT Clinic Computer + Tandberg MXP software Notyet Bouchard et al. (2000) Panic disorder/ Canada Pre-post - 8 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2000 VC system Pan reported Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder/ Canada NRCT IP 21 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system	Anxiety disorders										
Watts et al. (2020) GAD Canada RCT IP (5) I15 CBT Clinic Computer + Tandberg MXP software Not yet Bouchard et al. (2000) Panic disorder/ Canada pre-post - 8 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2000 VC system P&A Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder/ Canada NRCT IP 21 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system P&A	Théberge-Lapointe GAD et al. (2015)	Car	nada	MBCS		5	CBT	Clinic	Tandberg 2500 VC system	PSWQ	
Bouchard et al. (2000) Panic disorder/ Canada pre-post - 8 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2000 VC system reported agoraphobia Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder/ Canada NRCT IP 21 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system	Watts et al. (2020) GAD	Car	nada	RCT	IP (S)	115	CBT	Clinic	Computer + Tandberg MXP software	Not yet	WAI
agoraphobia Bouchard et al. (2004) Pariodrofer (2010 Parioder 2500 VC system 2010 - 2010	Bouchard et al. (2000) Panic diso	irder/ Car	nada	pre-post		œ	CBT	Clinic	Tandberg 2000 VC system	P&A	WAI, session
Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic disorder/ Canada NRCT IP 21 CBT Clinic Tandberg 2500 VC system	agorapł	hobia									ratings
aaaaaabaahaa (aaaaaaa)	Bouchard et al. (2004) Panic diso	order/ Car	nada	NRCT	Ы	21	CBT	Clinic	Tandberg 2500 VC system		
agoraphoba (remote)	agorapł	hobia (I	remote)								

860 Neil Thomas et al.

Table I. (Contin	(pən									
Study	Population	Country	Design	Comparison	z	Therapy	Location	System	Primary outcomes	Acceptability and alliance measures
									Daily diaries, ACG, BSQ, MI	WAI, treatment credibility
Morriss et al. (2019)	Health anxiety	ž	RCT	TAU	156	CBT	Clinic	WebeX or telephone	SHAI	
Yuen et al. (2013)	Social anxiety	NSA	pre-post		24	ABBT	Home	Own device + Skype	SPAI, LSAS, FNE	WAI, RTQ, therapist survey
Obsessive-Compulsive Fitt and Rees (2012)	OCD	Australia	MBCS		4	MCT	Clinic	Computer + Polycom PVX v 8.0.2	Y-BOCS	WAI
Himle et al. (2006)	OCD	NSA	MBCS		c	CBT	Not stated	Polycom Viewstation VC units	Y-BOCS	WAI, VTS,
										satisfaction questionnaire
Goetter et al. (2014)	OCD	NSA	pre-post		15	ERP	Home	Not stated	Y-BOCS	RTQ, WAI, CSS, VTS, PEAS
Vogel et al. (2014)	OCD	Norway	RCT	SH, TAU	30	ERP	Clinic	Computer/tablet + FaceTime	ADIS-IV, Y-	WAI, VTS
									VOCI VOCI	
Muroff and Steketee	Hoarding	NSA	case series		7	CBT	Home	Own device + VC software	HRS-I, SI-R	WAI
(2010) Lee et al. (2018)								Trichotillomania	NSA	RCT
TAU	22	ACT + HRT	Home	VSee software (device not stated)	SAH-HDM	WAI, CSQ				
Eating disorders										
Abrahamsson et al. (2018)	Binge eating disorder and	Sweden (rural)	case series		5	CBT	Not stated	Mobile device + VC software	Meal frequency	WAI, CSQ, SUS
	obesity								(EDE)	
Giel et al. (2015)	Anorexia nervosa	Germany	pre-post		16	Σ	Not stated	Laptop + Cisco VC software	BMI, SCID diagnosis, FDF	Satisfaction ratings
Hamatani et al. (2019)		Japan	pre-post		7	CBT	Home	Cisco WebEx (device not stated)	EDE	WAI
										Continued

Using videoconferencing to deliver psychological therapy 861

Table I. (Continu	ued)									
Study	Population	Country	Design	Comparison	z	Therapy	Location	System	Primary outcomes	Acceptability and alliance measures
	Bulimia nervosa or binge eating									
Mitchell et al. (2008); Ertelt et al. (2011); Marrone et al.	aisoraer Bulimia nervosa or EDNOS	USA	RCT	٩	128	СВТ	Clinic	Telemedicine equipment (model not specified)	EDE	WAI, HPRS
(2009) Simpson et al. (2005, 2006)	Bulimia nervosa or EDMOS	UK (remote)	case series		¢	CBT	Clinic	Sony 1600 VC units + VC software	SEDS, BEI-II, BITE	ARM, satisfaction survey, interview
Yu et al. (2020)	Binge eating disorder	USA	RCT	٩	8	СВТ	Not stated	Own device + Fruit Street	EQE, EAT, TFEQ, YFAS	Satisfaction ratings
Mixed diagnoses Brunnbauer et al. (2016)	Psychology clinic referrals, mixed ,	Australia	pre-post		20	Individualized	Not stated	Not stated	CORE-10, DASS	
Dunstan and Tooth (2012)	diagnoses Mood or anxiety	Australia	pre-post		æ	Individualized	Clinic	Video monitor + VC software	suds, dass, oq45	Interviews
Griffiths et al. (2006)	disorder Mood or anxiety	Australia (rural)	pre-post		15	CBT	Clinic	Computer + VC software	MHI, HoNOS	Satisfaction rating
Gonzalez and Brossart (2015)	uisoruer Rural residents, mixed	USA (rural)	pre-post		52	Individualized	Clinic	Not stated	CORE, PHQ- 9, SF-12	
Lindsay et al. (2015)	diagnoses	USA (rural)	qualitative		63	Individualized	Home	Not stated		

Continued

Table I. (Contin.	ued)									
Study	Population	Country	Design	Comparison	z	Therapy	Location	System	Primary outcomes	Acceptability and alliance measures
	Veterans, mixed diagnoses								Practitioner and client interviews	
Matsumoto et al. (2018, 2020)	Mixed diagnoses	Japan	pre-post		30	CBT	Home	iPad Mini + Cisco WebEx	Y-BOCS, PDSS, LSAS	WAI
Morgan et al. (2008)	People in	NSA	RCT	Ы	186	Individualized	Prison/	Not stated		WAI, SEQ, CSQ
	prison or secure						Hospital			
	psychiatric hospital,									
	mixed									
Simpson et al. (2001),	diagnoses People living in	UK (remote)	qualitative		01	Individualized	Clinic	Computer + VC software	Interview	PHAS
Simpson (2001)	a remote area, mixed									
Simpson et al. (2015)	diagnoses Psychology clinic	Australia	qualitative		9	CBT	Clinic	Computer + Cisco C20 endpoint	Interview, CORF	ARM
	referrals, mixed									
Stubbings et al. (2013)	diagnoses	Australia	RCT	₽	26	CBT	Clinic	Computer + iChat	DASS, QLESQ	WAI, CSQ, TSQ
										Constant of

\sim
5
۳ ۳
ē
5
5
Ŭ
J
•
_
Ð
Ť
9

Continued

Study	Population	Country	Design	Comparison	z	Therapy	Location	System	Primary outcomes	Acceptability and alliance measures
	Mood or anxiety disorder									
Valentine, Donofry, and Sexton (2020)	Veterans, mixed diagnoses	NSA	uptake/ retention		250	CBT	Clinic/ home	Not stated	Uptake of VC	
Yang et al. (2019)	Psychotherapy referrals with post- partum mood or anxiety disorder	Canada	uptake (RCT design)	٩	38	CBT	Home	Own device + VC software	Uptake of VC, EPDS	TSQ, patient reported costs

baseline case series; NRCT = non-randomized controlled trial; RCT = randomized controlled trial. Comparison: IP = in-person; IP (E) = in-person, including an equivalence analysis; IP (NI) = in-person, including a non-inferiority analysis. TAU = treatment-as-usual or enhanced treatment-as-usual condition; SH = self-flep. Therapies: ABBT = acceptance-based behaviour therapy; BA = behavioural activation; BA-TE = behavioural activation and therapeutic exposure; CPT = cognitive processing therapy; CT = cognitive therapy; HRT = habit reversal therapy; MM = Maudsley model; FE = prolonged exposure; ERP = exposure and response prevention; MCT = metacognitive therapy; FST = problem-solving therapy. Massures: ACQ = Agoraphobic Cognitions Questionnaire; ADIS-IV = Anxiety Disorders Interview for DSM-IV; BDI Beck = Depression Inventory; BHS = Beck Hopelessness Scale; BITE = Bulimic Investigatory Test; BMI = body mass index; BSQ = Body Sesation Questionnaire; CAPS = Clinical Administered PTSD Scale; CES-D = Centre for Epidemiology Scale for Depression; CORE = Clinical Outcomes Routine Evaluation; CPOSS = Charleston Psychiatric Outpatient Satisfaction Scale; CSD = Consensus Sleep Diary; CSQ = Client Satisfaction Questionnaire; CSS = Client Satisfaction Survey; DASS = Depression Anxiety Stress Scale; DCCS = Distance Communication Comfort Scale; EAT = Eating Attitude Test; EDE = fating Disorder Examination; EPDS = Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; ETO = Expectancy of Therapeutic Outcome; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; HPRS = Hill Process Rating System; HRSD = Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; HRS1 = Hoarding Rating Scale-Interview; IASMHS = Inventory of Attitudes Toward Seeking Mental Health Service; IS1 = Insomina Severity Index; LSAS = Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale; MGH-HPS = Massachusetts General Hospital Hair Pulling Scale; MHI = Mental harkentory; MI = Mobility Inventory for Agoraphobia; MPSS = Modified PTSD Symptom Scale; OQ45 = Outcome Questionnaire 45; P&A = Panic and Agoraphobia Scale, PCL PTSD Checklist (M Military version); PDS = Posttraumatic Diagnostic Scale; PDSS = Panic Disorder Sverity Scale; PEAS = Patient EXRP Adherence Scale; PHAS = Penn Helping Alliance Scale; PHQ: 9 = Patient Health Questionnaire for Depression; PSWQ = Penn State Worry Questionnaire; QLEQ = Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire-18 item; RTQ = Reaction to Treatment Questionnaire. SCID = Structured Clinical Interview for DSM; SDPQ = Service Delivery Perception Questionnaire; SEDS = Survey for Eating Disorders; SEQ = Session Evaluation Questionnaire; SHAI = Short Health Anxiety Inventory; SI-R = Saving Inventory Revised; SPAI = Social Phobia and Anxiety Inventory; SUDS = Subjective Units of Distress Scale; TEI = Treatment Evaluation Inventory; TEQ = Treatment Expectancy Questionnaire; TFEQ = Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire; TSAS = Telemedicine Satisfaction and Acceptance Scale; TSC = Treatment Session Checklist; TSQ = Teleheakth Satisfaction Questionnaire; VOCI = Vancouver Obsessional Compulsive Inventory; VTF = Videoconference Therapy Questionnaire; VTS = Videoconferencing Telepresence Scale; WAI = Working Alliance Inventory; WHODAS = World Health Organization Disability Scale; YAFS = Yale Food Addiction Scale; Y-BOCS = Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale.

Table 1. (Continued)

comparisons with in	i-person therapy					
Study	Population	z	Therapy	Therapeutic alliance	Primary outcome	Dropout/satisfaction
Acierno et al. (2016)	PTSD and depression, veterans	232	BA-TE		PCL-M, BDI: VC non-inferior to IP at post-therapy, and 3 and 12 months	Rate of completion of both therapy and post-treatment assessment: no difference (VIC 02% LD 77%)
Acierno et al. (2017), Gros, Allan, et al. (2018)	PTSD and depression, veterans	150	뷥		PCL-M: VC non-inferior to IP at post- therapy, 3 months and 6 months; BDI: VC non-inferior to IP at 6 months, incondusive at post and 3 months	unitence (YC-02.6), 177.6) No difference in number of sessions attended (YC 7.6, IP 8, 6) or completion of a minimum dose of 6 sessions, but discontinuation occurred earlier in YC over sessions
Liu et al. (2019)	PTSD, veterans	207	CPT		CAPS: VC non-inferior to IP at 6 months, but not at post-therapy; PCL: VC non-inferior to IP at post and 6 months; PHQ-9; VC non-inferior to	I-8 No difference in study dropout (VC 23%, IP 28%)
Maieritsch et al. (2016)	PTSD, veterans	06	CPT	WAI client ratings show equivalence	CAPS, PCL: inconclusive but trend for	High rates of treatment dropout (43%
Morland et al. (2015)	PTSD. female. civilians and veterans	149	CPT	WAI client ratings: VC inferior to IP at session 2, but difference small ($d = -0.07$), and no difference at session 6 or 12; therapist ratings: no difference	equivalence between groups () < .10) CAPS: VC non-inferior to IP at post- treatment, 3 and 6 months.	overal) but no difference by group Therapy completion rate: no difference (≥ 10 sessions: VC 76%, IP 79%). Treatment expectations: no difference.
				at any time point. Homework completion: no difference (VC 77%, IP 80%)		Satisfaction ratings: both groups rated service highly on global ratings, with no difference, but VC inferior to IP on CPOSS ratings of broader service
Yuen et al. (2015)	PTSD, combat-related	52	H	No difference on ratings of how comfortable feel talking with therapist or orusility of communication	CAPS: VC non-inferior to IP; PCL: neither group superior but non- inferiority analysis inconclusive	denvery (0
Choi, Hegel, et al. (2014), Choi, Marti, et al. (2014)	Depression, housebound adults over 50	158	PST	-	HAMD: neither group superior at 12 or 24 weeks; VY Superior to IP at 36 weeks; WHODAS, neither	Treatment Evaluation Inventory: VC superior to IP
Egede et al. (2015)	Depression, veterans	241	BA	·	superior at any time point BDI, GDS, SCID: VC non-inferior at 4 weeks (mid), 8 weeks (post) and 3 months	No difference in full therapy completion rate (VC 81%, IP 79%)
Luxton et al. (2016)	Depression, military personnel	121	BA		B.D. montons. B.D. YC non-inferior to IP at mid- therapy and 12 weeks, but notat post- therapy; BHS: VC non-inferiority not	CSQ: high satisfaction, no difference between groups.

 Table 2.
 Between group differences in working alliance, primary outcomes, dropout, and satisfaction in large randomized controlled trials involving direct

Continued

Study	Population	N	Therapy	Therapeutic alliance	Primary outcome	Dropout/satisfaction
					established at any time point, and found to be inferior to IP at post- therapy.	Attitudes to seeking mental health treatment: no difference between groups. No difference in full therapy completion rate (VC 64%, IP 71%)
Watts et al. (2020)	Generalized anxiety disorder	115	CBT	WAI: Across 8 time points, VC superior to IP in client ratings; neither group superior on therapists' ratings.		
Mitchell et al. (2008), Ertett et al. (2011), Marrone et al. (2009)	Bulimia nervosa or EDNOS	128	CBT	WAI: no difference in client ratings, VC inferior to IP in therapist ratings.	EDE: neither group superior for abstinence from bingeing aud/or purging. VC inferior to IP for reduction in binge eating frequency across time points.	Client ratings of treatment suitability, client expectation of success, and number of sessions completed: no difference.
Morgan et al. (2008)	People in prison or secure forensic psychiatric hospital	186	Individualized therapy	WAI: no difference in client ratings.		No differences on CSQ, or ratings of session depth, smoothness, positivity or distress.

Table 2. (Continued)

CGI = Clinical Global Impression; CPOSS = Charleston Psychiatric Outpatient Satisfaction Scale; CSQ = Client Satisfaction Questionnaire; EDE = Eating Note:. Only includes randomized controlled trials sufficiently powered to detect large between group effects (N \ge 52 at 80% power). If no primary outcome specified, symptoms of target disorder listed. Superiority/inferiority refers to group differences observed versus a null hypothesis of no difference; non-inferiority (one tailed test) and equivalence (two-tailed test) refer to whether or not the confidence interval for the difference includes a null hypothesis of the groups differing by the solving therapy. Groups: IP = in-person; VC = videoconferencing. Measures: BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; CAPS = Clinician Administered PTSD Scale; Disorders Examination; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; HAMD = Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; SCID = Structured Clinical Interview for DSM minimum clinically significant difference. PTSD = post-traumatic stress disorder; EDNOS = Eating disorder not otherwise specified. Therapies: BA = behavioural activation; BA-TE = behavioural activation and therapeutic exposure; CBT = cognitive behavioural therapy; CPT = cognitive processing therapy; PST = problemdiagnosis; SCL-90R = Hopkins Symptom Checklist; SDPQ = Service Delivery Perceptions Questionnaire; SEQ = Session Evaluation Questionnaire; WAI = Working Alliance Inventory. trial combined behavioural activation with exposure therapy to treat both PTSD and depression (Acierno et al., 2016; Gros et al., 2012; Strachan, Gros, Ruggiero, Lejuez, & Acierno, 2012). All compared videoconferencing to in-person delivery and had samples that were over 90% male. Videoconferencing showed similar rates of therapy completion (Acierno et al., 2016, 2017; Yuen et al., 2015) and satisfaction (Gros, Allan, et al., 2018; Yuen et al., 2015) and was non-inferior to in-person for PTSD, depression, and anxiety (Acierno et al., 2016, 2017; Yuen et al., 2015).

Four trials examined CPT delivered by videoconferencing in comparison with in-person therapy (Glassman et al., 2019; Lui et al., 2019; Maieritsch et al., 2016; Morland et al., 2015). Participants were again predominantly veterans, with one study also including civilians (Morland et al., 2015), but females were better represented in CPT studies (Lui et al., 2019: 45% female, Morland et al., 2015: 100% female). Delivery by videoconferencing was found to be non-inferior to in-person in reducing PTSD symptoms in all studies other than Lui et al. (2019) who found that videoconferencing was inferior at post-treatment, but equivalent at 6-month follow-up. All studies found no significant differences in dropout or satisfaction between videoconferencing and in-person conditions.

Overall, the generally positive findings of acceptability and efficacy of videoconferencing for exposure-based therapies are noteworthy, suggesting this modality is able to support this emotionally challenging, experientially focused, treatment. It has also been observed that videoconferencing clients rate the therapeutic alliance as highly for exposure-based sessions as other CBT-based sessions (Germain, Marchand, Bouchard, Guay, & Drouin, 2010).

Depression

We identified 3 well-powered RCTs of videoconferencing therapy for depression, 3 smaller RCTs, and 4 studies using other designs. Two studies, including one of the RCTs (Yang, Vigod, & Hensel, 2019), primarily reported on uptake of videoconferencing. Intervention models included problem-solving therapy, behavioural activation and combined CBT protocols for depression with insomnia, and for depression with self-harm. Overall, results suggested participants were satisfied with therapy, and ratings of acceptability and efficacy appeared similar to in-person delivery.

Problem-solving therapy was examined in a three-arm RCT which compared videoconferencing or in-person delivery with a supportive weekly care-call control condition in 158 housebound adults over the age of 50 with depression (Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014; Choi, Marti, et al., 2014). On the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD), both videoconferencing and in-person problem-solving therapy were superior to the control condition at 12 weeks, 24 weeks, without differing from each other, and videoconferencing was superior to both conditions at 36 weeks (Choi, Marti, et al., 2014).

Videoconferencing-based behavioural activation has been examined in two RCTs, both conducted with veterans. Luxton et al. (2016) conducted an RCT of an 8-session behavioural activation intervention delivered by telehealth or in-person to 121 military personnel and veterans with depression. Both conditions showed significant post-treatment improvements on the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) as the primary outcome, and non-inferiority analyses showed videoconferencing was non-inferior at mid-treatment and 12-week follow-up, but not immediately post-therapy. Egede et al. (2015) obtained more conclusive results in a larger non-inferiority trial with 241 older veterans with major depression. Comparing videoconferencing delivery using a videophone system with in-person delivery, non-inferiority was established with no significant

	Therapy models found feasible to deliver using videoconferencing	Outcomes of videoconferencing delivery
PTSD	BA-based exposure therapy, CPT, prolonged exposure	6 of 7 RCTs found non-inferior to in-person therapy, with the other finding videoconferencing inferior at post-treatment and
Depression	BA, CBT, problem-solving therapy	non-inferior at follow-up I RCT found superior to routine care. 3 RCTs compared with in-person therapy, finding few differences between modalities and I trial
Anxiety disorders	CBT, including focused therapies for GAD, panic disorder, social anxiety and health anxiety	establishing non-inferiority. I RCT, with health anxiety, found superior to routine care. Pre-post studies show improvements following therapy for other
Obsessiv e -compulsive disorders	CBT, ERP; CBT for hoarding; habit reversal therapy for trichorillomania	anxiety disorders. No non-interiority trials conducted, but 1 small RCT (mixed diagnoses) found similar outcomes to in-person delivery. No fully powered RCTs. Pre-post studies show immervements following therapy
Eating disorders	CBT; Maudsley Model-based relapse prevention	I RCT (bulimia nervosa) comparing to in-person therapy, finding few differences. Pre-post improvements observed for both bulimia nervosa and anorexia nervosa
Psychotic disorders Bipolar disorder Personality disorders	No studies identified No studies identified No studies identified	
<i>Note</i> :: BA = behavioural activation: CBT =	cognitive behaviour therapy: $CPT = cognitive processing th$	erapy: ERP = exposure and response prevention:

Table 3. Summary of evidence for feasibility, acceptability, and efficacy by population

ŝ 0 20 5 άþγ, D 5 cogillu נ RCT = randomized controlled trial. differences observed in trajectories of improvement on the BDI and Geriatric Depression Scale, with rates of recovery similar between conditions.

Smaller studies have additionally demonstrated feasibility and acceptability of delivering CBT-based therapies via videoconferencing to specific populations such as women with post-partum depression or anxiety (Yang et al., 2019) and Korean migrants with depression (Jang et al., 2014). Among other notable studies, Scogin et al. (2018) conducted a small RCT of a 10-session CBT-based treatment for comorbid depression and insomnia delivered via Skype, which found superiority over usual care on a measure of insomnia, but not the HRSD. Finally, in treating self-harm, Sayal et al. (2019) commenced a small RCT (N = 22) of problem-solving therapy for young adults following presentation for self-harm and mild depression. However, this was discontinued due to recruitment difficulties (an analysis of which did not attribute these to the use of videoconferencing).

Anxiety disorders

Anxiety disorders have been less fully studied than depression. Nonetheless, anxiety disorders feature as a major group in a number of mixed diagnosis studies, which have demonstrated that CBT-based therapies can be satisfactorily delivered (e.g., Brunnbauer et al., 2016; Dunstan & Tooth, 2012; Griffiths, Blignault, & Yellowlees, 2006; Matsumoto et al., 2018, 2020; Stubbings et al., 2013). Among these, an RCT design was used by Stubbings, Rees, Roberts, and Kane (2013) in a study of 26 people with mainly anxiety disorders. Reductions on all subscales of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS) were observed following videoconferencing CBT, and, while underpowered, no differences in the magnitude of effect were observed between videoconferencing to deliver therapies to other specific populations is indicated by the following, mainly small, studies.

Generalized anxiety disorder (GAD)

A multiple baseline case series by Théberge-Lapointe, Marchand, Langlois, Gosselin, and Watts (2015) showed evidence for successful cognitive behavioural treatment of GAD, with five participants no longer meeting diagnostic criteria post-therapy and 3 months later, and this outcome persisting to 12 months after treatment in all but one case. At the time of writing, initial results from a large RCT of CBT for GAD (N = 115), focusing on working alliance, have been reported by Watts et al. (2020), with clients rating the working alliance more highly for videoconferencing than in-person therapy across time points, although therapists rated both modes of delivery similarly.

Panic disorder and agoraphobia have only been studied in small pre-to-post studies, all of CBT. Bouchard et al. (2000) found significant improvements across all measures, reporting that five out of the eight participants no longer experienced panic attacks after the 12-week treatment. Bouchard et al. (2004) delivered the same intervention to a further 10 videoconferencing cases, compared with a non-randomized in-person delivery group. Nearly all participants achieved remission at the end of treatment, maintained six months later, a similar to in-person delivery. Matsumoto et al. (2018) also found significant reductions in panic symptoms among 10 participants with panic disorder in their pre-to-post study of CBT.

870 Neil Thomas et al.

Social anxiety. Modality of delivery is of particular interest for social anxiety, where communication itself is a source of anxiety. Yuen et al. (2013) examined 12 sessions of acceptance-based behaviour therapy for 24 individuals with SAD. Therapists rated the use of videoconferencing as feasible, and there were post-therapy improvements on several questionnaire measures of social anxiety, maintained and at the 3-month follow-up, as well as changes on observer-rated social behaviour; participants indicated that they were satisfied with the treatment. Likewise, Matsumoto et al. (2018) found reductions in social anxiety following videoconferencing-based CBT in their small sample of 10 social anxiety participants.

Health anxiety. The largest study for a specific anxiety disorder has been for health anxiety: Morris et al. (2019) conducted an RCT comparing CBT delivered via videoconferencing or telephone with routine care in 156 participants. Supporting the use of videoconferencing, health anxiety was reduced in the therapy group relative to routine care at 6-, 9-, and 12-month time points.

Obsessive-compulsive and related disorders

Research into videoconferencing-delivered psychological treatments in obsessive– compulsive and related disorders was limited, with studies limited to case series and small sample single-arm open trials and pilot RCTs. Nevertheless, there is an emerging support for the acceptability and effectiveness of videoconferencing for a range of intervention types across OCD, hoarding and trichotillomania.

Matsumoto et al. (2018) reported on a standard 16-week CBT treatment for their 10 OCD patients. Symptom reduction pre–post treatment, strong therapeutic alliance, high rates of satisfaction with treatment, and 100% retention, supported the effectiveness and feasibility of the intervention. Further, two studies (Goetter, Herbert, Forman, Yuen, & Thomas, 2014; Vogel et al., 2014) successfully used exposure and response prevention (ERP) to treat OCD via videoconferencing, with post-treatment symptom reductions. Vogel et al. (2014) noted high engagement with treatment, an ability to observe exposure exercises as they occur in participants' natural environments, and an opportunity to involve family members and carers, thus addressing family accommodation to rituals where appropriate.

Emerging investigations in hoarding and trichotillomania provide support for its effectiveness, feasibility, and that it provides additional benefits when compared to existing treatments. Muroff and Steketee (2018) delivered a structured CBT treatment for seven patients with hoarding. Six of the seven patients experienced improvements in symptoms post-treatment, with five maintaining the gains at 3-month follow-up. The ability to use portable devices to move around rooms was noted as an important facilitator in the treatment. In relation to trichotillomania, Lee, Haeger, Levin, Ong, and Twohig (2018) conducted an RCT comparing videoconferencing-based ACT-enhanced Habit Reversal Therapy to waitlist control in 22 trichotillomania patients. The study had high retention rates with only one dropout in each condition, and high levels of participant satisfaction and therapeutic alliance. Statistically and clinically significant improvements in trichotillomania symptoms were noted among the treatment group.

Eating disorders

In the treatment of eating disorders, there has been a single large RCT, which examined CBT for bulimia nervosa and related disorders (Ertelt et al., 2011; Marrone, Mitchell, Crosby, Wonderlich, & Jollie-Trottier, 2009; Mitchell et al., 2008). Although bulimia symptoms reduced for both videoconferencing and in-person delivery, and rates of abstinence from bingeing and/or purging showed were similar, the reduction in binge eating frequency was less for videoconferencing participants across multiple time points (Mitchell et al., 2008). Working alliance was rated similarly by clients for each of the conditions, but therapists rated the alliance less strongly in the videoconferencing condition (Ertelt et al., 2011).

Most other studies identified by the search examined smaller single group samples for bulimia and related disorders, reporting reductions in bulimic symptoms (Abrahamssom, Ahlund, Ahrin, & Alfonsson, 2018; Hamatani et al., 2019; Simpson et al., 2006) and satisfaction with the online modality (Abrahamssom et al., 2018; Simpson et al., 2005).

For anorexia nervosa, Giel et al. (2015) conducted a single group pilot study examining a relapse prevention intervention based on the Maudsley model (Schmidt, Magill, & Renwick, 2015) in 16 individuals. Eight sessions were delivered via videoconferencing, bookended by two in-person sessions. Three-quarters of participants completed therapy, rating high satisfaction, and at post-intervention body mass index had increased by an average of 1.1 points, eating concerns were reduced, and two participants were in complete remission.

Other populations

No studies were identified providing data on videoconferencing therapy delivery to persons with psychotic disorders, bipolar disorder, or personality disorder.

Client and practitioner experience

Overall acceptability

Every RCT comparing at-home videoconferencing with in-person delivery at a clinic reported no group differences on questionnaire measures of satisfaction (see Table 2). Differences in satisfaction or dropout were only seen in two studies overall, both delivering interventions within the same environment: Morland et al. (2015) reported lower satisfaction ratings primarily related to negative experiences of the clinic setting that was attended for videoconferencing (also used in the in-person condition), suggesting specificity to the potentially impersonal experience of attending a clinic for a video-based appointment. Conversely, Choi, Hegel, et al. (2014) found that housebound people with depression receiving in-home therapy via videoconferencing were more satisfied than those being visited by a therapist. Overall, this demonstrates that satisfaction with videoconferencing-based therapy is as high as traditional forms of delivery.

In terms of therapy dropout, nearly all comparisons with in-person therapy revealed no group differences (see Table 2). An exception was a follow-up analysis of discontinuation in the trial by Acierno et al. (2017) reported that early dropout tended to arise more often with videoconferencing (Gros, Allan, et al., 2018), even though overall session attendance rates were similar. While dropout seems to only arise in a small number of people, other studies report discomfort with videoconferencing being cited by participants as a reason for dropout, so this may be an issue with a small number of people, although at this stage

there is a lack of information on what contributes to this (Germain, Marchand, Bouchard, Drouin, & Guay, 2009; Lichstein et al., 2013; Simpson, Bell, Knox, Mitchell, & Eating, 2005).

It should be noted that individual comments expressing a preference for in-person therapy were often noted from videoconferencing participants (Choi, Wilson, Sirrianni, Marinucci, & Hegel, 2013; Lichstein et al., 2013; Simpson et al. 2005, 2006). Among qualitative client reports, a period of early discomfort and adaptation to using videoconferencing technology was also an experience reported by participants across studies (Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014; Dunstan & Tooth, 2012; Fitt & Rees, 2012; Germain et al., 2009; Lichstein et al., 2013; Simpson et al., 2005, 2006; Simpson et al., 2015; Yuen et al., 2015). For some participants, attitudes towards videoconferencing (including scepticism, anxiety, unfamiliarity) were linked to the experiences of discomfort in early sessions (Arnaet, Klooster, & Chow, 2007; Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014; Fitt & Rees, 2012; Simpson et al., 2005, 2006). For most, this early discomfort was reduced over time, as participants got more comfortable with the technology (Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014; Dunstan & Tooth, 2012; Simpson et al., 2005, 2006; Simpson et al., 2015) or their interactions with their therapist became more 'natural' (Yuen et al., 2015), although this did not always occur (Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014; Choi et al., 2013; Lichstein et al., 2013; Simpson et al., 2005). Therapists reported similar experiences of initial apprehension and discomfort, before becoming more confident in using videoconferencing technology and adapting to the modality (Dunstan & Tooth, 2012; Michell et al., 2008). This is balanced by other reports of participants embracing the novelty and use of technology in therapy delivery (e.g., Aranaet et al., 2007; Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014; Choi et al., 2013; Dunstan & Tooth, 2012). It should be noted that many of these studies were conducted before the widespread day-today use of videoconferencing platforms, and less adaptation may be required in the 2020s.

Facilitating access

One of the presumed benefits of videoconferencing is that it facilitates access. As shown in Table 1, many of the studies reviewed targeted participants in *rural or geographically remote areas*, and some involved applications to potentially *isolated groups* (e.g., housebound older adults; victims of domestic violence, migrants). Participant reports indicated that many people receiving videoconferencing therapy would otherwise have been unable to access any therapy (Choi et al., 2013; Hassija & Gray, 2011), while others included references to challenges of *travel distance* and its associated *financial impact* (Abrahamsson et al., 2018; Simpson et al., 2005; Simpson et al. 2015). Some studies also referred to the opportunity to provide *specialist services* for a specific issue to people over a broad area (Hassija & Gray, 2011; Lee et al., 2018).

Even when not an absolute barrier, the increased accessibility appeared valued. The post-partum mental health study by Yang et al. (2019) examined uptake when the option to use videoconferencing in place of in-person psychotherapy sessions was offered: 74% used videoconferencing for at least one therapy session, with 21% doing all therapy via video; *Time and cost savings* were identified, and participants reported being *able to attend* more frequently. In other studies, participants spoke of *convenience*, such as fitting therapy into busy life schedules (Abrahamsson et al., 2018; Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014; Choi et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2018; Yuen et al., 2015), and being able to access therapy from home (Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014). *Continuity of care* independent of location was also highlighted, both in relation to moving house (Simpson et al., 2005, 2006), and being released from prison (Morgan, Patrick, & Magaletta, 2008).

Symptoms of *anxiety*, concerns about *stigma*, and negative thought processes also featured as potential barriers to accessing in-person services that videoconferencing was able to circumvent (Abrahamsson et al., 2018; Bouchard et al., 2000; Simpson, Guerrini, & Rochford, 2015). For example, in the trichotillomania study by Lee et al. (2018), 40% of participants reported that they would not have entered treatment in an in-person setting due to shame. *Privacy* for persons in small or rural communities was also referred to (Simpson et al., 2005; Simpson et al., 2015). Nonetheless, privacy was not always assured by videoconferencing with concerns about *privacy from others within the person's own home* being raised by some participants (Abrahamsson et al., 2018; Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014; Franklin, Cuccurullo, Walton, Arseneau, & Petersen, 2017). Notably, concerns about privacy from use of networked digital technology did not tend to be reported.

Client factors predicting uptake and satisfaction

Studies of client variables predicting uptake, engagement and completion of therapy have identified relatively few predictors. In considering predictors of uptake among American primary care attendees with a positive depression screen, Deen, Fortney, and Schroeder (2013) found that uptake of videoconferencing-based CBT was predicted by perceiving illness to be persisting, believing that treatment would be effective, and reporting *geographic barriers* to attending; Time barriers, financial barriers, perceived stigma, and other beliefs about depression were unrelated to uptake. In a mixed diagnosis veteran sample offered therapy, Valentine et al. (2020) found that videoconferencing therapy uptake, and sessions completed, were each unrelated to age, race, gender, and marital status.

Several studies have examined predictors of differential satisfaction with, or dropout from, videoconferencing therapy. In most studies, completion of therapy appears unrelated to baseline demographic (age, gender, ethnicity, income) and clinical variables (Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014; Germain et al. 2009; Luxton et al., 2016; Watts et al., 2020), although unreplicated findings reported by single studies include greater completion rates for mood rather than anxiety disorders (Valentine et al., 2018), lower baseline PTSD and absence of disability status (Gros, Allan, et al., 2018), and, among veteran samples, being an older, Vietnam-era veteran (Gros, Yoder, Tuerk, Lozano, & Acierno, 2011). Pruitt et al. (2019) also found that satisfaction with therapy was higher for older military, although, in their sample, this was confounded with serving vs veteran status, with active military needing to travel off base to access videoconferencing facilities. Analyses of predictors of outcome have been limited, but in a military sample, Smolenski, Pruitt, Vuletic, Luxton, and Gahm (2017) found greater baseline anxiety and loneliness predicted participants having a better outcome from in-person than from videoconferencing-based therapy.

Among their participants with depression, Choi, Hegel, et al. (2014) found no relationship between ratings of treatment acceptability and computer/Internet ownership, or network quality. Similarly, in an analysis of PTSD trial data, Price and Gros (2014) observed that outcome of PTSD treatment via telehealth was unrelated to prior experience with, or expressed comfort with, telehealth at the outset of treatment. This suggests that prior experience is not a requirement to benefit. However, *prior experience of therapy* appears to predict completion. In their study of uptake, Deen et al. (2013) found predictors of treatment completion were different from those for uptake, and completion was most related to engagement with other treatments: receipt of prior

counselling and being prescribed antidepressant medication. Watts et al. (2020) also found prior therapy experience predicted completion.

Technical issues

Most studies referred to technical issues as an experience impacting on the delivery of therapy. These included difficulties establishing connection, disconnection, suboptimal audio and visual quality, and bandwidth and connection stability issues resulting in lag and frozen images. Participants considered minor disruptions such as lag as a frustrating and distracting disadvantage of videoconferencing, but, overall, this did not negatively impact on participant engagement (Abrahamsson et al., 2018; Choi et al., 2013; Dunstan & Tooth, 2012; Lichstein et al., 2013). Studies resolved these issues through in-session troubleshooting or reconnection. Severe technical issues (e.g., disconnection and inability to re-establish connection) were managed by postponing or cancelling scheduled sessions, or by utilizing a back-up communication method (e.g., telephone) (Abrahamsson et al., 2018; Germain et al., 2010; Hassija and Gray, 2011; Lee et al., 2018; Luxton, Pruitt, O'Brien, & Kramer, 2015; Olden et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2020; Vogel et al., 2014; Watts et al., 2020).

To proactively manage technical issues, test calls or in-person training were often provided to therapists to resolve potential technical issues at the outset (Acierno et al., 2016, 2017; Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014; Choi, Marti, et al., 2014; Goetter et al., 2014; Gros, Allan, et al., 2018; Gros, Lancaster, et al., 2018; Luxton et al., 2015, 2016; Yuen et al., 2013, 2015), and many studies arranged for technical support to be available as part of the study design (Acierno et al., 2016, 2017; Germain et al., 2009, 2010; Liu et al., 2019; Olden et al., 2017; Scogin et al., 2018; Watts et al., 2020; Yuen et al., 2013). Yuen et al. (2013, 2015) observed that technical difficulties reduced over the course of the study, in part due to participants becoming proficient at troubleshooting. Overall, while technical issues were encountered in most studies, participant feedback and reports from the study authors indicate that disruptions were not sufficiently impactful to detract from therapy.

Therapy relationship and process

On both formal measures and in qualitative reports, studies consistently reported that the videoconferencing clients were typically able to develop a positive connection with the therapist (Simpson et al., 2005, 2006; Simpson et al., 2015; Choi et al., 2013; Dunstan & Tooth, 2012; Fitt & Rees, 2012; Yuen et al., 2015), although some individual reports found a reduced sense of the therapist's presence (e.g., Arnaet et al., 2007; Choi, Marti, et al., 2014). Furthermore, nearly all well-powered RCTs that directly compared client ratings of the therapeutic relationship with in-person delivery found no significant differences (Table 2), consistent with observations in smaller studies (e.g., Morgan et al., 2008; Scogin et al., 2018). Additionally, in an analysis of equivalence, Maieritsch et al. (2016) found confidence intervals for the working alliance fell within a priori bounds of equivalence in their trial of CPT. An exception to these findings is the CPT trial by Morland et al., (2015), which found statistically, but marginally, lower ratings for videoconferencing in the second session, with no differences at later time points. It is notable that, mirroring the adaptation to discomfort reported in some studies, some studies have also observed that videoconferencing clients rate a stronger alliance as sessions progress (Ertelt et al., 2011; Germain et al., 2010).

The converse finding of a stronger alliance in the videoconferencing condition by Watts et al. (2020) corresponds to qualitative comments in other studies to there being potential advantages of videoconferencing for the therapeutic relationship. Participants discussed finding therapy easier through having a greater sense of control (i.e., of emotion, of context, of the ability to leave) and the creation of a less intense therapy environment (Dunstan & Tooth, 2012; Fitt & Rees, 2012; Simpson, 2001; Simpson, Deans, & Brebner, 2001, Simpson et al. 2005; Simpson et al. 2006; Simpson et al. 2015). Participants discussed the ability to 'talk more freely', being less self-conscious, finding it easier to communicate and feeling less pressured or intimidated in videoconferencing than they might be in-person (Fitt & Rees, 2012; Simpson et al., 2005, 2015; Yuen et al., 2015).

Two of the three well-powered studies that included both client and therapist ratings (Ertelt et al., 2011; Morland et al., 2015; Watts et al., 2020) identified differences of perspective: videoconferencing clients rated a stronger alliance than in-person clients, while therapists rated the conditions the same (Watts et al., 2020), or clients rated the conditions similarly when therapists rated videoconferencing as inferior (Ertelt et al., 2011). These quantitative findings correspond to therapist reports of some difficulties in detecting emotion and ability to read body language through videoconferencing (Dunstan & Tooth, 2012; Simpson et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2020; Yuen et al., 2013). This highlights that therapists and clients may have discrepant experiences of videoconferencing therapy and that therapists can find the process of therapy more challenging, without that necessarily being reflected in client experience.

Adaptations of therapy

To deliver therapy via videoconferencing, several studies reported adaptations to therapy protocols. Most commonly, the practical logistic changes to how components of therapy were delivered involved using other technologies to share documents (e.g., mailing, faxing, emailing, or screen sharing worksheets and homework) (Gros et al., 2011; Himle et al., 2006; Lindsay et al., 2017; Luxton et al., 2015; Matsumoto et al., 2018; Turek, Yoder, Ruggiero, Gros, & Acierno, 2010). Clinical variations included removing situation-specific in vivo exposure exercises from videoconferencing sessions and asking participants to complete exposure as homework only (Gros et al., 2011; Yuen et al., 2013), or creatively adapting or restricting exposure exercises so that they would be suitable for delivery within the virtual environment (e.g., talking on the phone to someone; Yuen et al., 2013). While feasible, for some clients, exposure tasks via videoconferencing were perceived to be less real and less engaging when compared with in-person (Yuen et al., 2013). Conversely, where videoconferencing was delivered by smartphone, opportunities were identified in using the portability of the device to observe and conduct exposure activities within the person's environment (Franklin et al., 2017; Turek et al., 2010; Vogel et al., 2012, Vogel et al., 2014).

Clients also reported that some activities translated less well such as meditation (Linsday et al., 2017) and use of imagery (Simpson et al., 2005) and that sensitive topics may be easier to discuss in person than over videoconferencing (Lindsay et al., 2017). It is notable that the studies surveyed used primarily behavioural models of treatment, so more reflective therapies are relatively less tested.

In addition to facilitating exposure tasks, the opportunity to see the person in their home environment was mentioned by some practitioners as helpful in contextualizing the person's experiences (Lindsay et al., 2017) and potentially altering the power balance by

seeing the person in their own territory (Simpson et al., 2005). However, environmental distractions arose more frequently within the home environment were also noted, and some clients treated the session less formally (e.g., smoking, attending wearing pyjamas), sometimes requiring boundary setting to maintain focus (Franklin et al., 2016; Lindsay et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2020). When contrasting with therapy delivered in-person within the home, even greater distractions were noted when the therapist is in the home environment, and videoconferencing was noted to help to formalize the interaction and help clients stay focused (Choi, Hegel, et al., 2014).

Discussion and conclusions

The literature to date shows consistent positive findings about the suitability of the videoconferencing modality for delivery of psychological therapies, with consistent findings that videoconferencing does not differ from in-person therapy on outcome, satisfaction, therapy completion, and client experiences of the therapeutic alliance. Advantages include accessibility, particularly to persons without local in-person services, but also in terms of convenience, reducing time and financial costs, and circumventing stigma, self-consciousness and privacy concerns. Disadvantages include therapists finding it harder to judge body language, both clients and therapists experiencing initial discomfort with the modality while adapting to it, and interruptions arising from inevitable technical issues. However, it appeared that these concerns became less as clients and therapists adjusted: It may be that these issues become less of a concern as familiarity with videoconferencing grows across the population.

In considering the clinical populations for whom videoconferencing-based therapy is most evidenced, conclusions primarily reflect the availability of trial data, rather than patterns of superior or inferior efficacy being observed. That withstanding, the videoconferencing modality has its most established evidence base in the delivery of cognitive behavioural therapies for PTSD and depression, where multiple trials have determined non-inferiority to in-person therapy. Across anxiety, obsessive–compulsive spectrum and eating disorders, there is also emergent evidence supporting use. Notably, there was a lack of study of videoconferencing therapy delivery to people with psychosis (although see Santestaban-Echarri et al., 2020, for findings of broader service delivery, such as psychiatry appointments, being acceptable to this population).

Among therapy modalities, CPT, PE, and behavioural activation all have evidence of non-inferiority, so can be considered the best supported for delivery in this modality. It is notable that trauma-focused therapies, as some of the most confronting therapeutic approaches, are the best evidenced, which suggests that an in-room presence is not required to deliver quite challenging therapies. Nonetheless, studies with available data have primarily utilized behavioural therapies. This leaves it unknown how therapies that use greater Socratic dialogue and reflection may operate within a videoconferencing environment, where some of the technical issues such as lag may prove more interruptive to the therapy process. Likewise, it is not known whether therapies for complex presentations, such as personality disorder and psychosis, which require more careful monitoring of in-session rapport, would be equally successful.

A further caveat is that most large-scale studies have been conducted in American veteran/military populations, with predominantly male participants, raising questions of generalizability. Of note, the clearest finding of videoconferencing having slightly poorer outcomes than in-person therapy was in a trial for bulimia nervosa with a predominantly

female group (although also using older telemedicine technology). On the other hand, the female-only study by Morland et al. (2015) also found non-inferiority and broadly similar results and observed that outcomes were in fact better among civilians compared with veterans. Nonetheless, these limitations in the available data urge some caution in assuming that videoconferencing therapies will function as well as in-person therapy with all therapies and populations. It should also be noted that we did not aggregate and meta-analyse mean scores for variables across studies, so there may be small between group differences that individual trials were not powered to detect.

In considering areas for further research, it is notable that since the COVID-19 pandemic, new questions have emerged as in-person therapy has not always been the most important reference point. We did not identify any studies that contrasted videoconferencing with telephone as the other widely accessible communication modality. While, in a non-clinical client group, Day and Schnier (2002) failed to find any advantages of video counselling over telephone on process or outcome measures, considering the contrasting uses of these two widely accessible platforms, and understanding whether visual non-verbal feedback facilitates maintaining rapport, is needed in clinical populations. Further study also needs to be directed at examining the opportunities that may be presented by using videoconferencing as a therapeutic medium. Observations suggest there are particular affordances of video, allowing access to the home environment and potentially for portability, which may have advantages in better connecting with the person's daily life, and developing more ecologically valid therapeutic exercises. Rather than considering videoconferencing second to a presumed gold standard of in-person therapy, attention to these affordances is needed to evolve videoconferencing-based therapy practice in its own right.

Acknowledgements

This research received no specific funding.

Conflict of interest

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

Neil Thomas (Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Supervision; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing) Caity McDonald (Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing) Kathleen de Boer (Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing) Rachel M. Brand (Investigation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing) Maja Nedeljkovic (Investigation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing) Liz Seabrook (Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing).

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

- Abrahamsson, N., Ahlund, L., Ahrin, E., & Alfonsson, S. (2018). Video-based CBT-E improves eating patterns in obese patients with eating disorder: A single case multiple baseline study. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 61, 104–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbte p.2018.06.010
- Acierno, R., Gros, D.F., Ruggiero, K.J., Hernandez-Tejada, B.M.A., Knapp, R.G., Lejuez, C.W., ... Tuerk, P.W. (2016). Behavioral activation and therapeutic exposure for posttraumatic stress disorder: A noninferiority trial of treatment delivered in person versus home-based telehealth. *Depression and Anxiety*, 33, 415–423. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22476
- Acierno, R., Knapp, R., Tuerk, P., Gilmore, A.K., Lejuez, C., Ruggiero, K., ... Foa, E.B. (2017). A noninferiority trial of Prolonged Exposure for posttraumatic stress disorder: In person versus homebased telehealth. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 89, 57–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat. 2016.11.009
- Arnaert, A., Klooster, J., & Chow, V. (2007). Attitudes toward videotelephones: an exploratory study of older adults with depression. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 33, 5–13. https://doi.org/ 10.3928/00989134-20070901-02
- Backhaus, A., Agha, Z., Maglione, M.L., Repp, A., Ross, B., Zuest, D., ... Thorp, S.R. (2012). Videoconferencing psychotherapy: a systematic review. *Psychological Services*, 9, 111–131. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027924
- Berryhill, M.B., Culmer, N., Williams, N., Halli-Tiemey, A., Betancourt, A., Roberts, H., & King, M. (2019). Videoconferencing psychotherapy and depression: a systematic review. *Telemedicine* and e-Health, 25, 435–446. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2018.0058
- Berryhill, M.B., Halli-Tiemey, A., Culmer, N., Williams, N., Betancourt, A., King, M., & Ruggles, H. (2019). Videoconferencing psychological therapy and anxiety: systematic review. *Family Practice*, 36, 53–63. https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmy072
- Bouchard, S., Paquin, B., Payeur, R., Allard, M., Rivard, V., Fournier, T., ... Lapierre, J. (2004). Delivering cognitive-behavior therapy for panic disorder with agoraphobia in videoconference. *Telemedicine Journal and e-Health*, 10, 13–25. https://doi.org/10.1089/ 153056204773644535
- Bouchard, S., Payeur, R., Rivard, V., Allard, M., Paquin, B., Renaud, P., & Goyer, L. (2000). Cognitive behavior therapy for panic disorder with agoraphobia in videoconference: preliminary results. *Cyberpsychology and Behavior*, *3*, 999–1007. https://doi.org/10.1089/109493100452264
- Brunnbauer, L., Simpson, S., & Balfour, C. (2016). Exploration of client profile and clinical outcome in a university psychology clinic. *Australian Psychologist*, 51, 442–452. https://doi.org/10. 1111/ap.12143.
- Chen, J.A., Chung, W.-J., Young, S.K., Tuttle, M.C., Collins, M.B., Darghouth, S.L., ... Huffman, J.C. (2020). COVID-19 and telepsychiatry: Early outpatient experiences and implications for the future. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 66, 89–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2020. 07.002
- Choi, N.G., Hegel, M.T., Marti, N., Marinucci, M.L., Sirrianni, L., & Bruce, M.L. (2014). Telehealth problem-solving therapy for depressed low-income homebound older adults. *The American Journal of Geriatric*, 22, 263–271. https://doi.org/10.1097/JGP.0b013e318266b356
- Choi, N.G., Marti, C.N., Bruce, M.L., Hegel, M.T., Wilson, N.L., & Kunik, M.E. (2014). Six-month postintervention depression and disability outcomes of in-home telehealth problem-solving therapy for depressed, low-income homebound older adults. *Depression and Anxiety*, 31, 653– 661. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22242

- Choi, N.G., Wilson, N., Sirrianni, L., Marinucci, M.L., & Hegel, M.T. (2013). Acceptance of homebased telehealth problem-solving therapy for depressed, low-income homebound older adults: qualitative interviews with the participants and aging-service case managers. *The Gerontologist*, 54, 704–713. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnt083
- Connolly, S.L., Miller, C.J., Lindsay, J.A., & Bauer, M.S. (2020). A systematic review of providers' attitudes toward telemental health via videoconferencing. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 27, e12311. https://doi.org/10.1111/cpsp.12311
- Day, S.X., & Schneider, P.L. (2002). Psychotherapy using distance technology: a comparison of faceto-face, video, and audio treatment. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 49, 499–503. https:// doi.org/10.1037//0022-0167.49.4.499
- Deen, T.L., Fortney, J.C., & Schroeder, G. (2013). Patient acceptance of and initiation and engagement in telepsychotherapy in primary care. *Psychiatric Services*, 64, 380–384. https:// doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201200198
- Dunstan, D.A., & Tooth, S.M. (2012). Treatment via videoconferencing: a pilot study of delivery by clinical psychology trainees. *Australian Journal of Rural Health*, 20, 88–94. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1440-1584.2012.01260.x
- Egede, L.E., Acierno, R., Knapp, R.G., Lejuez, C., Hernandez-Tejada, M., Payne, E.H., & Frueh, B.C. (2015). Psychotherapy for depression in older veterans via telemedicine: a randomised, openlabel, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Psychiatry*, *2*, 693–701. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366 (15)00122-4
- Ertelt, T.W., Crosby, R.D., Marino, J.M., Mitchell, J.E., Lancaster, K., & Crow, S.J. (2011). Therapeutic factors affecting the cognitive behavioral treatment of bulimia nervosa via telemedicine versus face-to-face delivery. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 44, 687–691. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/eat.20874
- Fitt, S., & Rees, C. (2012). Metacognitive therapy for obsessive compulsive disorder by videoconference: A preliminary study. *Behaviour Change*, 29, 213–229. https://doi.org/10. 1017/bec.2012.21
- Franklin, C.L., Cuccurullo, L.-A., Walton, J.L., Arseneau, J.R., & Petersen, N.J. (2017). Face to face but not in the same place: A pilot study of prolonged exposure therapy. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, 18, 116–130. https://doi.org/10.1080/15299732.2016.1205704
- Germain, V., Marchand, A., Bouchard, S., Drouin, M.-S., & Guay, S. (2009). Effectiveness of cognitive behavioural therapy administered by videoconference for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 38, 42–53. https://doi.org/10.1080/16506070802473494
- Germain, V., Marchand, A., Bouchard, S., Guay, S., & Drouin, M.S. (2010). Assessment of the therapeutic alliance in face-to-face or videoconference treatment for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior and Social Networking*, 13, 29–35. https://doi.org/10. 1089/cyber.2009.0139
- Giel, K.E., Leehr, E.J., Becker, S., Herzog, W., Junne, F., Schmidt, U., & Zipfel, S. (2015). Relapse prevention via videoconference for anorexia aervosa – Findings from the RESTART pilot study. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 84, 381–383. https://doi.org/10.2307/48515993
- Glassman, L. H., Mackintosh, M.-A., Talkovsky, A., Wells, S. Y., Walter, K. H., Wickramasinghe, I., & Morland, L. A. (2019). Quality of life following treatment for PTSD: Comparison of videoconferencing and in-person modalities. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 25, 123– 127. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X17740610
- Goetter, E.M., Herbert, J.D., Forman, E.M., Yuen, E.K., & Thomas, J.G. (2014). An open trial of videoconference-mediated exposure and ritual prevention for obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 28, 460–462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2014.05.004
- Gonzalez, Jr, G.E., & Brossart, D.F. (2015). Telehealth videoconferencing psychotherapy in rural primary care. *Journal of Rural Mental Healtb*, *39*, 137–152. https://doi.org/10.1037/rmh0000037
- Griffiths, L., Blignault, I., & Yellowlees, P. (2006). Telemedicine as a means of delivering cognitivebehavioural therapy to rural and remote mental health clients. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 12, 136–140. https://doi.org/10.1258/135763306776738567

- Gros, D.F., Allan, N.P., Lancaster, C.L., Szafranski, D.D., & Acierno, R. (2018). Predictors of treatment discontinuation during prolonged exposure for PTSD. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 46, 35–49. https://doi.org/10.1017/S135246581700039X
- Gros, D.F., Lancaster, C.L., López, C.M., & Acierno, R. (2018). Treatment satisfaction of home-based telehealth versus in-person delivery of prolonged exposure for combat-related PTSD in veterans. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 24, 51–55. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1357633X16671096
- Gros, D.F., Price, M., Strachan, M., Yuen, E.K., Milanak, M.E., & Acierno, R. (2012). Behavioral activation and therapeutic exposure: an investigation of relative symptom changes in PTSD and depression during the course of integrated behavioral activation, situational exposure, and imaginal exposure techniques. *Behavior Modification*, 36, 580–599. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0145445512448097
- Gros, D.F., Yoder, M., Tuerk, P.W., Lozano, B.E., & Acierno, R. (2011). Exposure therapy for PTSD delivered to veterans via telehealth: predictors of treatment completion and outcome and comparison to treatment delivered in person. *Behavior Therapy*, 42, 276–283. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.beth.2010.07.005
- Hamatani, S., Numata, N., Matsumoto, K., Sutoh, C., Ibuki, H., Oshiro, K., ... Shimizu, E. (2019). Internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy via videoconference for patients with bulimia nervosa and binge-eating disorder: Pilot prospective single-arm feasibility trial. *JMIR Formative Research*, *3*, e15738. https://doi.org/10.2196/15738
- Hassija, C.M., & Gray, M.J. (2011). The effectiveness and feasibility of videoconferencing technology to provide evidence-based treatment to rural domestic violence and sexual assault populations. *Telemedicine and e-Healtb*, 17, 309–315. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2010.0147
- Himle, J.A., Fischer, D.J., Muroff, J.R., Van Etten, M.L., Lokers, L.M., Abelson, J.L., & Hanna, G.L. (2006). Videoconferencing-based cognitive-behavioral therapy for obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 44, 1821–1829. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat. 2005.12.010
- Jang, Y., Chiriboga, D.A., Molinari, V., Roh, S., Park, Y., Kwon, S., & Cha, H. (2014). Telecounseling for the linguistically isolated: A pilot study with older Korean immigrants. *The Gerontologist*, 54, 290–296. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gns196
- Lazzari, C., Egan, S.J., & Rees, C.S. (2011). Behavioral activation treatment for depression in older adults delivered via videoconferencing: A pilot study. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 18, 555–565. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2010.11.009
- Lee, E.B., Haeger, J.A., Levin, M.E., Ong, C.W., & Twohig, M.P. (2018). Telepsychotherapy for trichotillomania: A randomized controlled trial of ACT enhanced behavior therapy. *Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders*, 18, 106–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocrd. 2018.04.003
- Lichstein, K.L., Scogin, F., Thomas, S.J., DiNapoli, E.A., Dillon, H.R., & McFadden, A. (2013). Telehealth cognitive behavior therapy for co-occurring insomnia and depression symptoms in older adults. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 69, 1056–1065. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp. 22030
- Lindsay, J.A., Kauth, M.R., Hudson, S., Martin, L.A., Ramsey, D.J., Daily, L., & Rader, J. (2015). Implementation of video telehealth to improve access to evidence-based psychotherapy for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Telemedicine Journal and e-Healtb*, 21, 467–472. https://doi. org/10.1089/tmj.2014.0114
- Lindsay J. A., Hudson S., Martin L., Hogan J. B., Nessim M., Graves L., Gabriele J., White D. (2017). Implementing video to home to increase access to evidence-based psychotherapy for rural veterans. *Journal of Technology in Behavioral Science*, 2, 140–148. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s41347-017-0032-4
- Liu, L., Thorp, S.R., Moreno, L., Wells, S.Y., Glassman, L.H., Busch, A.C., ... Agha, Z. (2019). Videoconferencing psychotherapy for veterans with PTSD: Results from a randomized controlled non-inferiority trial. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 26, 507–519. https:// doi.org/10.1177/1357633X19853947

- Lucas, P.J., Baird, J., Arai, L., Law, C., & Roberts, H.M. (2007). Worked examples of alternative methods for the synthesis of qualitative and quantitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 7, 4. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-7-4
- Luxton, D.D., Pruitt, L.D., O'Brien, K., & Kramer, G. (2015). An evaluation of the feasibility and safety of a home-based telemental health treatment for posttraumatic stress in the U.S. military. *Telemedicine Journal and e-Health*, 21, 880–886. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2014.0235
- Luxton, D.D., Pruitt, L.D., Wagner, A., Smolenski, D.J., Jenkins-Guarnieri, M.A., & Gahm, G. (2016). Home-based telebehavioral health for U.S. military personnel and veterans with depression: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 84, 923–934. https://doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000135
- Maieritsch, K.P., Smith, T.L., Hessinger, J.D., Ahearn, E.P., Eickhoff, J.C., & Zhao, Q. (2016). Randomized controlled equivalence trial comparing videoconference and in person delivery of cognitive processing therapy for PTSD. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 22, 238–243. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X15596109
- Marchand, A., Beaulieu-Prévost, D., Guay, S., Bouchard, S., Drouin, M.S., & Germain, V. (2011). Relative efficacy of cognitive-behavioral therapy administered by videoconference for posttraumatic stress disorder: a six-month follow-up. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma, 20*, 304–321. https://doi.org/10.1080/10926771.2011.562479
- Marrone, S., Mitchell, J.E., Crosby, R., Wonderlich, S., & Jollie-Trottier, T. (2009). Predictors of response to cognitive behavioral treatment for bulimia nervosa delivered via telemedicine versus face-to-face. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 42, 222–227. https://doi.org/10.1002/ eat.20603
- Matsumoto, K., Hamatani, S., Nagai, K., Sutoh, C., Nakagawa, A., & Shimizu, E. (2020). Long-term effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of videoconference-delivered cognitive behavioral therapy for obsessive-compulsive disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder in japan: One-year follow-up of a single-arm trial. *JMIR Mental Health*, 7, e17157. https://doi.org/10.2196/17157
- Matsumoto, K., Sutoh, C., Asano, K., Seki, Y., Urao, Y., Yokoo, M., ... Shimizu, E. (2018). Internetbased cognitive behavioral therapy with real-time therapist support via videoconference for patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder: Pilot single-arm trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 20, e12091. https://doi.org/10.2196/ 12091
- Mitchell, J.E., Crosby, R.D., Wonderlich, S.A., Crow, S., Lancaster, K., Simonich, H., ... Cook Myers, T. (2008). A randomized trial comparing the efficacy of cognitive – behavioral therapy for bulimia nervosa delivered via telemedicine versus face-to-face. *Behavior Research and Therapy*, 46, 581–592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2008.02.004
- Morgan, R.D., Patrick, A.R., & Magaletta, P.R. (2008). Does the use of telemental health alter the treatment experience? Inmates' perceptions of telemental health versus face-to-face treatment modalities. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 76, 158–162. https://doi.org/10. 1037/0022-006X.76.1.158
- Morland, L.A., Mackintosh, M.-A., Rosen, C.S., Willis, E., Resick, P., Chard, K., & Frueh, B.C. (2015). Telemedicine versus in-person delivery of cognitive processing therapy for women with posttraumatic stress disorder: A randomized noninferiority trial. *Depression and Anxiety*, 32, 811–820. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22397
- Morriss, R., Patel, S., Malins, S., Guo, B., Higton, F., James, M., ... Tyrer, H. (2019). Clinical and economic outcomes of remotely delivered cognitive behaviour therapy versus treatment as usual for repeat unscheduled care users with severe health anxiety: A multicentre randomised controlled trial. *BMC Medicine*, 17, 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1253-5
- Muir, S., de Boer, K., Thomas, N., Seabrook, L., Nedeljkovic, M., & Meyer, D. (2020). Implementation of videoconferencing psychotherapy in the public sector: a synthesis and model for implementation. *JMIR Mental Health*, 7, e14996. https://doi.org/10.2196/14996
- Muroff, J., & Steketee, G. (2018). Pilot trial of cognitive and behavioral treatment for hoarding disorder delivered via webcam: Feasibility and preliminary outcomes. *Journal of Obsessive Compulsive and Related Disorders*, 18, 18–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocrd.2018.05.002

- Olden, M., Wyka, K., Cukor, J., Peskin, M., Altemus, M., Lee, F.S., ... Difede, J. (2017). Pilot study of a telehealth-delivered medication-augmented exposure therapy protocol for PTSD. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 205, 154–160. https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.00000000000563
- Price, M., & Gros, D.F. (2014). Examination of prior experience with telehealth and comfort with telehealth technology as a moderator of treatment response for PTSD and depression in veterans. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 48, 57–67. https://doi.org/10.2190/PM.48.1.e
- Pruitt, L.D., Vuletic, S., Smolenski, D.J., Wagner, A., Luxton, D.D., & Gahm, G.A. (2019). Predicting post treatment client satisfaction between behavioural activation for depression delivered either in-person or via home-based telehealth. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 25, 460–467. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X18784103
- Santesteban-Echarri, O., Piskulic, D., Nyman, R.K., & Addington, J.M. (2020). Telehealth interventions for schizophrenia-spectrum disorders and clinical high-risk for psychosis individuals: a scoping review. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 26, 14–20. https://doi. org/10.1177/1357633X18794100
- Sayal, K., Roe, J., Ball, H., Atha, C., Kaylor-Hughes, C., Guo, B., ... Morriss, R. (2019). Feasibility of a randomised controlled trial of remotely delivered problem-solving cognitive behaviour therapy versus usual care for young people with depression and repeat self-harm: lessons learnt (e-DASH). *BMC Psychiatry*, 19, 42. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-018-2005-3
- Schmidt, U., Magill, N., Renwick, B. et al (2015). The Maudsley Outpatient Study of Treatments for Anorexia Nervosa and Related Conditions (MOSAIC): Comparison of the Maudsley Model of Anorexia Nervosa Treatment for Adults (MANTRA) with specialist supportive clinical management (SSCM) in outpatients with broadly defined anorexia nervosa: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *83*, 796–807. https://doi.org/ 10.1037/ccp0000019.
- Scogin, F., Lichstein, K., DiNapoli, E.A., Woosley, J., Thomas, S.J., LaRocca, M.A., ... Geyer, J.D. (2018). Effects of integrated telehealth-delivered cognitive-behavioral therapy for depression and insomnia in rural older adults. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*, 28, 292–309. https:// doi.org/10.1037/int0000121
- Shore, J.H., Schneck, C.D., & Mishkind, M.C. (2020). Telepsychiatry and the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: current and futre outcomes of the rapid virtualization of psychiatric care. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 77, 1211–1212. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.1643
- Simpson, S. (2001). The provision of a telepsychology service to Shetland: client and therapist satisfaction and the ability to develop a therapeutic alliance. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 7 (Suppl. 1), 35–36. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633x010070s114
- Simpson, S. (2009). Psychotherapy via videoconferencing: A review. British Journal of Guidance and Counselling, 37, 271–286. https://doi.org/10.1080/03069880902957007
- Simpson, S., Bell, L., Britton, P., Mitchell, D., Morrow, E., Johnston, A.L., & Brebner, J. (2006). Does video therapy work? A single case series of bulimic disorders. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 14, 226–241. https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.686
- Simpson, S., Bell, L., Knox, J., Mitchell, D., Eating, P., & Service, D (2005). Therapy via videoconferencing: A route to client empowerment? *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 165, 156–165. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.436
- Simpson, S., Deans, G., & Brebner, E. (2001). The delivery of a tele-psychology service to Shetland. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 8, 130–135. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.279
- Simpson, S., Guerrini, L., & Rochford, S. (2015). Telepsychology in a university psychology clinic setting: A pilot project. *Australian Psychologist*, 50, 285–291. https://doi.org/10.1111/ap.12131
- Simpson, S., & Reid, C.L. (2014). Therapeutic alliance in videoconferencing psychotherapy: A review. Australian Journal of Rural Health, 22, 280–299. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajr.12149.
- Smolenski, D.J., Pruitt, L.D., Vuletic, S., Luxton, D.D., & Gahm, G. (2017). Unobserved heterogeneity in response to treatment for depression through videoconference. *Psychiatric Rebabilitation Journal*, 40, 303–308. https://doi.org/10.1037/prj0000273
- Strachan, M., Gros, D.F., Ruggiero, K.J., Lejuez, C.W., & Acierno, R. (2012). An integrated approach to delivering exposure-based treatment for symptoms of PTSD and depression in OIF/OEF

veterans: preliminary findings. *Behavior Therapy*, 43, 560–569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth. 2011.03.003

- Stubbings, D.R., Rees, C.S., Roberts, L.D., & Kane, R.T. (2013). Comparing in-person to videoconference-based cognitive behavioral therapy for mood and anxiety disorders: randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 15, e258. https://doi.org/ 10.2196/jmir.2564
- Théberge-Lapointe, N., Marchand, A., Langlois, F., Gosselin, P., & Watts, S. (2015). Efficacy of a cognitive-behavioural therapy administered by videoconference for generalized anxiety disorder. *European Review of Applied Psychology*, 65, 9–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erap. 2014.10.001
- Torous, J., Myrick, K.J., Rauseo-Ricupero, N., & Firth, J. (2020). Digital mental health and COVID-19: using technology today to accelerate the curve on access and quality tomorrow. *JMIR Mental Health*, 7, e18848. https://doi.org/10.2196/18848
- Tuerk, P.W., Yoder, M., Ruggiero, K.J., Gros, D.F., & Acierno, R. (2010). A pilot study of prolonged exposure therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder delivered via telehealth technology. *Journal* of *Traumatic Stress*, 23, 116–123. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.20494
- Valentine, L.M., Donofry, S.D., & Sexton, M.B. (2020). Demographic and psychiatric predictors of engagement in psychotherapy services conducted via clinical video telehealth. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 26, 113–118. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633x18801713
- Varker, T., Brand, R., Ward, J., Terhaad, S., & Phelps, A. (2018). Efficacy of synchronous telepsychology interventions for people with anxiety, depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, and adjustment disorder: a rapid evidence assessment. *Psychological Services*, 16, 621–635. https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000239
- Vogel, P.A., Solem, S., Hagen, K., Moen, E.M., Launes, G., Håland, A.T., ... Himle, J.A. (2014). A pilot randomized controlled trial of videoconference-assisted treatment for obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 63, 162–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2014. 10.007
- Watts, S., Marchand, A., Bouchard, S., Gosselin, P., Langlois, F., Belleville, G., & Dugas, M.J. (2020). Telepsychotherapy for generalized anxiety disorder: Impact on the working alliance. *Journal of Psychotherapy Integration*, 30, 208–225. https://doi.org/10.1037/int0000223
- Wind, T.R., Rijkeboer, M., Andersson, G., & Riper, H. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic: the 'black swan' for mental healthcare and a turning point for e-health. *Internet Interventions*, 20, 100317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.invent.2020.100317

Yang, R., Vigod, S.N., & Hensel, J.M. (2019). Optional web-based videoconferencing added to officebased care for women receiving psychotherapy during the postpartum period: Pilot randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 21, e13172. https://doi.org/10.2196/13172

- Yu, Z., Roberts, B., Snyder, J., Stuart, K., Wilburn, J., Pudwill, H., & Cortazzo, K. (2020). A pilot study of a videoconferencing-based binge eating disorder program in overweight or obese females. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2020.0070
- Yuen, E.K., Gros, D.F., Price, M., Zeigler, S., Tuerk, P.W., Foa, E.B., & Acierno, R. (2015). Randomized controlled trial of home-based telehealth versus in-person prolonged exposure for combatrelated ptsd in veterans: Preliminary results. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 71, 500–512. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22168
- Yuen, E.K., Herbert, J.D., Forman, E.M., Goetter, E.M., Juarascio, A.S., Rabin, S., ... Bouchard, S. (2013). Acceptance based behavior therapy for social anxiety disorder through videoconferencing. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 27, 389–397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ja nxdis.2013.03.002
- Ziemba, S.J., Bradley, N.S., Landry, L.-A.-P., Roth, C.H., Porter, L.S., & Cuyler, R.N. (2014). Posttraumatic stress disorder treatment for Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom combat veterans through a civilian community-based telemedicine network. *Telemedicine Journal and e-Health*, 20, 446–450. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2013.0312

Received 5 November 2020; revised version received 2 January 2021