

## 

**Citation:** Berdugo-Cely J, Valbuena RI, Sánchez-Betancourt E, Barrero LS, Yockteng R (2017) Genetic diversity and association mapping in the Colombian Central Collection of *Solanum tuberosum* L. Andigenum group using SNPs markers. PLoS ONE 12(3): e0173039. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0173039

**Editor:** Xiu-Qing Li, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, CANADA

Received: September 25, 2016

Accepted: February 14, 2017

Published: March 3, 2017

**Copyright:** © 2017 Berdugo-Cely et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** Support was provided by the Colombian Ministry of Agriculture (Ministerio de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural de Colombia).

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

Genetic diversity and association mapping in the Colombian Central Collection of *Solanum tuberosum* L. Andigenum group using SNPs markers

Jhon Berdugo-Cely<sup>1</sup>, Raúl Iván Valbuena<sup>1</sup>, Erika Sánchez-Betancourt<sup>1</sup>, Luz Stella Barrero<sup>1</sup>, Roxana Yockteng<sup>1,2</sup>\*

1 Colombian Agricultural Research Corporation (CORPOICA)-Mosquera, Cundinamarca, Colombia, 2 Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, UMR-CNRS 7205, Paris, France

\* ryockteng@corpoica.org.co

## Abstract

The potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) is the fourth most important crop food in the world and Colombia has one of the most important collections of potato germplasm in the world (the Colombian Central Collection-CCC). Little is known about its potential as a source of genetic diversity for molecular breeding programs. In this study, we analyzed 809 Andigenum group accessions from the CCC using 5968 SNPs to determine: 1) the genetic diversity and population structure of the Andigenum germplasm and 2) the usefulness of this collection to map qualitative traits across the potato genome. The genetic structure analysis based on principal components, cluster analyses, and Bayesian inference revealed that the CCC can be subdivided into two main groups associated with their ploidy level: Phureja (diploid) and Andigena (tetraploid). The Andigena population was more genetically diverse but less genetically substructured than the Phureja population (three vs. five subpopulations, respectively). The association mapping analysis of qualitative morphological data using 4666 SNPs showed 23 markers significantly associated with nine morphological traits. The present study showed that the CCC is a highly diverse germplasm collection genetically and phenotypically, useful to implement association mapping in order to identify genes related to traits of interest and to assist future potato genetic breeding programs.

### Introduction

*Solanum tuberosum* L. is a herbaceous species that reproduces mainly vegetatively by tubers, distributed from the Southwestern United States to South-central Chile, with centers of diversity located in Central Mexico and in the high Andes from Peru to Northwestern Argentina [1]. Potato is the fourth most important crop food in the world after corn, rice and wheat [2]. It is consumed by people worldwide either as a non-grain staple or as a vegetable. It has high nutrient value providing carbohydrates, proteins, vitamins and minerals [3]. *Solanum tubero-sum* contains two cultivar groups, the Chilotanum group comprising lowland tetraploid

Chilean landraces [4] and the Andigenum group comprising upland Andean genotypes. Andigenum group varies in its ploidy level, going from diploids with 24 chromosomes to hexaploids with 72 [4]. Within the Andigenum group, the most important potatoes are commonly known as "Andigenas", which are autotetraploid (2n = 4x = 48), highly heterozygous with tetrasomic inheritance, adapted to tuberization under short days and have tuber dormancy [5, 6]. In Andigenum, a group of diploids (2n = 2x = 24) known as "Phurejas" can also be distinguished. These potatoes have a short vegetative period, form small tubers and lack dormancy [5, 7]. They were cultivated from central Peru to Ecuador, Colombia, and Venezuela [8]. Another group in Andigenum, known as "Chauchas", are triploid potatoes (2n = 3x = 36) generated by natural hybridization between the species *S. tuberosum* subsp. *andigena* and *S. stenotonum*, and they are cultivated in Peru, with lower frequency in Bolivia, Ecuador and Colombia [8].

The conservation of cultivated potato species and their wild relatives in germplasm banks provides long-term availability of crop genetic diversity. The characterization of these collections are essential to identify alleles/genes associated with traits of interest for plant breeding such as resistance to pathogens and insect pests, tolerance of abiotic stresses (e.g. salinity and frost) and tuber quality [9, 10]. In Colombia, part of the diversity of potato genetic resources (2069 accessions) are maintained in the Potato Germplasm Bank located at the Colombian Agricultural Research Corporation (CORPOICA). Within this germplasm bank, a subset of potatoes (826 accessions) known as Colombian Central Collection (CCC), is recognized as one of the most diverse potato germplasm in the world, after the CIP (International Potato Center) collection that has over 6000 accessions including cultivated species and potato wild relatives [11, 12, 13]. The Universidad Nacional de Colombia conserves also a Phureja potato collection (Colombian Core Collection-CCC). Hence, the CCC-CORPOICA is a potential source of novel alleles of agronomic value that could help to generate new potato cultivars with increased productivity. However, the appropriate use of genetic resources conserved in the CCC, depends on the understanding of their phenotypic and genetic diversity.

Genetic diversity could be analyzed from agronomic traits data, but the results obtained are not always robust because the environment often affects phenotypic traits [14]. In addition, the phenotypic variability would be the result of the interaction and segregation of few major genes widely distributed in a germplasm collection. Rare alleles cannot be generally detected or preserved [13]. Therefore, the combination of phenotypic and molecular data could provide a better estimation of the genetic diversity [15]. Molecular markers have been successfully used in the analysis of genetic diversity and population structure, linkage disequilibrium and localization of monogenic or polygenic traits [16]. The genetic diversity in potato has been studied through different molecular markers as random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), inter simple sequence repeats (ISSR), and simple sequence repeat (SSR) [5, 7, 17]. So far, only one study using 42 SSRs, analyzed 97 diploid accessions (Phurejas) of the CCC-Universidad Nacional de Colombia has been reported [18]. However, the genetic diversity of Andigenum group of the CCC-CORPOICA has not been yet characterized with molecular markers.

Currently, two beadchips with SNP array technology for genotyping potato at high-density genome-wide level are available, the Infinium 8K potato SNP array [19] and the 20K SNP array [20]. The 8K SolCAP array contains a subset of 8303 SNPs selected from transcriptome data and Sanger EST (Expressed Sequence Tag) database with 69.011 high confidence SNPs identified among six North American cultivars [21]. The 8K array has been used to study the genetic diversity of American [9] and European potatoes [22], to infer phylogenetic relationship among species of *Solanum* section *Petota* [23] and to identify candidate genes through linkage mapping [19, 24, 25] and association mapping [26–28].

By combining molecular and morphological data from the potato germplasm of CCC is possible to map simple or complex traits and subsequently to identify candidate genes through Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) or Association mapping (AM). Such studies provide an efficient way to map quantitative trait loci (QTL) in natural populations or germplasm collections because they can detect historical recombination events and provide high mapping resolution [29–31]. The number of molecular markers required for implementing GWAS and the resolution for QTL mapping, is determined by the rate of LD decay between loci through the genome [32]. Although the LD decay in potato populations has been previously calculated, all reports differ: 265 bp (base pairs) [22], 1 cM (centiMorgan) [33], 5 cM [34] and 10 cM [35]. The incongruence between studies is probably due to differences in number, type and origin of samples and the type and number of molecular markers used. It is then necessary to calculate the LD background in this study.

In the present study, a genetic analysis of the CCC of *S. tuberosum* Andigenum group was conducted based on SNPs markers in order to evaluate its population structure and genetic diversity. Also, the extent of the linkage disequilibrium between pairs of SNPs markers was estimated in order to determine the utility of this germplasm and the molecular markers used to implement association-mapping studies. Accordingly, association mapping in tetraploid potatoes was conducted using morphological traits related with stem, berry, tuber and flower variables.

#### Materials and methods

#### Plant material

A total of 809 accessions (one clone randomly selected from 16 clones grown per accession) of the CCC-CORPOICA of *S. tuberosum* group Andigenum conserved under field conditions in Zipaquira, Cundinamarca, Colombia (5° 03" 34.36" N, 74° 03" 29.61 W, 2.950 m altitude, average temperature 15°C and relative humidity of 75%) were characterized. Six hundred seventy-five accessions are classified from passport data as Andigena (83.5%), 85 as Phureja (10.5%) and 49 as Chaucha (6.0%). Six hundred and sixteen accessions were collected from different Colombia regions (76.1%), 75 accessions from other countries (9.3%) and 118 accessions do not have passport data (14.6%) (Fig 1, Table 1). The information of each accession is presented in the S1 Table.

#### DNA extraction, genotyping and SNP markers selection

Fresh young leaves were collected from one plant randomly selected per accession. The material was lyophilized during two days at -50°C and 0.20 mBar. The genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). DNA concentration and quality were checked by visualization in a 1% (w/v) agarose gel and a NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, USA). Genotyping was performed using the array available in 2013, the Infinium 8303 potato SNP array [19, 21]. The array was read in the Illumina HiScan SQ system (Illumina, San Diego, CA) at CORPOICA. The software GenomeStudio version diploids and polyploids (Illumina, San Diego CA) was used to assign the genotype to each locus; five possible genotypes (AAAA, AAAB, AABB, ABBB or BBBB) in tetraploid potatoes and three possible genotypes (AA, AB and BB) in diploid potatoes. The assignation of samples as diploids through molecular markers was confirmed with the available information of cytogenetic analysis made in Phureja and Chaucha samples of the CCC reported by Guevara [36] and Uribe [37]. The SNPs that could not be called or were monomorphic were discarded. The remaining SNPs were filtered for up to 20% missing data and a Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) lower than 0.05. Genotypic data is provided in the S2 Table.



Fig 1. Map of geographical distribution of potato accessions from the Colombian Central Collection with passport data. Each accession is represented by a circle in which color indicates their classification in a particular population based on the results of software Structure (Red: Phureja, Green: Andigena).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.g001

#### Population structure and genetic differentiation

The population structure analysis was performed using a Bayesian model implemented in the software Structure [38] without *a priori* population information using a tetraploid model

| Table 1. Summary of the 809 accessions of the Colombian Central Collection of | of <i>S. tuberosum</i> Andigenum group used in this study. |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| CCC                        | Country        | Department      | Number of accessions |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Andigena                   | Colombia       | Nariño          | 201                  |
|                            |                | Boyacá          | 110                  |
|                            |                | Cauca           | 63                   |
|                            |                | Cundinamarca    | 62                   |
|                            |                | Santander       | 21                   |
|                            |                | N. de Santander | 19                   |
|                            |                | V. del Cauca    | 16                   |
|                            |                | Antioquia       | 12                   |
|                            |                | Quindio         | 10                   |
|                            |                | Caldas          | 8                    |
|                            |                | Tolima          | 8                    |
|                            |                | Magdalena       | 1                    |
|                            |                | Unknown         | 25                   |
|                            | Peru           | -               | 39                   |
|                            | Bolivia        | -               | 11                   |
|                            | Ecuador        | -               | 11                   |
|                            | United States  | -               | 3                    |
|                            | Netherlands    | -               | 1                    |
|                            | Venezuela      | -               | 1                    |
|                            | Unknown        | -               | 53                   |
|                            | Total Andigena | 675             |                      |
| Chaucha                    | Colombia       | Nariño          | 32                   |
|                            | Peru           | -               | 1                    |
|                            | Unknown        | -               | 16                   |
|                            | Total Chaucha  | 49              |                      |
| Phureja                    | Colombia       | Nariño          | 40                   |
|                            |                | Cauca           | 6                    |
|                            |                | V. del Cauca    | 2                    |
|                            |                | Antioquia       | 1                    |
|                            |                | Boyacá          | 1                    |
|                            |                | Cundinamarca    | 1                    |
|                            |                | Quindio         | 1                    |
|                            |                | N. de Santander | 1                    |
|                            |                | Unknown         | 1                    |
|                            | Peru           | -               | 6                    |
|                            | Bolivia        | -               | 1                    |
|                            | United States  | -               | 1                    |
|                            | Unknown        | -               | 23                   |
|                            | Total Phureja  |                 | 85                   |
| TOTAL Colombian Central Co | ollection      |                 | 809                  |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.t001

(Andigena: 1 = AAAA, 2 = AAAB, 3 = AABB, 4 = ABBB, 5 = BBBB; Phureja: 1 = AA, 3 = AB, 5 = BB). The analyses were conducted by varying the number of possible subpopulations (K) from 1 to 10, with five independent repetitions, assuming an admixture model with correlated allele frequencies and a burn-in of 50.000 and 150.000 iterations. The optimal number of subpopulations was established using the Evanno method [39] in Structure Harvester [40]. The number of subpopulations was confirmed with a Discriminant Analysis of Principal Component (DAPC) [41] conducted in the package Adegenet [42] in the R software [43] and a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) in the Tassel software [44]. Coefficients of genetic differentiation among subpopulations ( $F_{ST}$ ) and population inbreeding ( $F_{TS}$ ) within subpopulations were estimated by an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) with 1023 permutations in the Arlequin software [45]. The gene flow or number of migrants (*Nm*) was estimated through the equation: *Nm* = (1- $F_{ST}$ )/4 $F_{ST}$ .

#### Genetic diversity and cluster analysis

The genetic indexes, Observed Heterozygosity (Ho) and Expected Heterozygosity (He) were calculated using Genalex software [46]. The Polymorphic Information Content (PIC) was calculated using PowerMarker software [47] and the deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was calculated using Genepop software [48]. Nei's distances matrices [49] were calculated using the package StAMPP [50] in the R software [43] and the dendograms were constructed using the software PHYLIP [51] selecting the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method with 1000 bootstrap replicates.

# Morphological characterization and correlations among morphological, geographical and genetic data

Phenotypic data from fifteen qualitative characteristics of stem, berry, tuber, and flower were used for the morphological analysis (Table 2). The Plant Genetics Resources team of COR-POICA recorded this information in eight different years (1995, 1996, 1997, 2004, 2006, 2009, 2010 and 2012) in 624 Andigena accessions using the descriptors of the CIP to characterize native potatoes [52]. The collection was evaluated in three different locations over field condition in Zipaquira (5° 03" 34.36" N, 74° 03" 29.61 W), Tibaitata (4° 41" 43.2" N, 74° 12" 13.3 W), and San Jorge (6° 01" 50.74" N, 74° 02" 40.65 W). Sixteen plants per accession were grown during eight months, time required to present structures to characterize. One plant per accession was randomly selected, and data was registered for each descriptor in five different berries, stems and flowers. Finally, tuber descriptors of five tubers per accession were registered after harvest. Phenotypic data for 624 Andigena accessions are presented in the S3 Table. The mode values of all variables for each accession were used to conduct a Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA) and a cluster analysis based on the Gower's distance and the Ward method implemented in the software InfoStat [53]. The available passport data of 691 accessions of the CCC was used to generate the geographical distances between accessions in the Geographical Distances Matrix Generator software (http://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org). The correlation between geographical, morphological and genetic distances was estimated by a Mantel test [54] with 1000 permutations in the software Genalex [46]. The correlations between morphological and genetic data were independently estimated for each variable. Subsequently, the global correlation was first calculated using the total of variables, and then using only positive and significant correlated variables.

#### Linkage disequilibrium

The linkage disequilibrium (LD) was calculated in each inferred population. The SNPs used presented the physical position (mapped) on the potato genome version 4.03 [55]. To include

| Variable                                       | Variable abbreviation | Coding | Multiple Corres        | Correlation with genetic distance |                        |                   |         |
|--|-----------------------|--------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|
|  |                       |        | Dimension 1<br>(4.59%) | Dimension 2<br>(4.18%)            | Dimension 3<br>(3.53%) | Percentage<br>(%) | p-value |
| Primary Flower Intensity Color                 | PFIC                  | 0–3    | 0.081                  | 0.155                             | 0.019                  | 18                | 0.001*  |
| Primary Flower Color                           | PFC                   | 1–8    | 0.084                  | 0.159                             | 0.016                  | 12.9              | 0.001*  |
| Primary Tuber Skin Intensity Color             | PTSIC                 | 0–3    | 0.166                  | 0.023                             | 0.001                  | 12.8              | 0.001*  |
| General Tuber Shape                            | GTS                   | 1–8    | 0.035                  | 0.018                             | 0.027                  | 9.4               | 0.001*  |
| Distribution of Secondary Flower<br>Color      | DSFC                  | 0—9    | 0.075                  | 0.119                             | 0.059                  | 9                 | 0.01*   |
| Primary Tuber Skin Color                       | PTSC                  | 1–9    | 0.128                  | 0.089                             | 0.086                  | 8.5               | 0.001*  |
| Distribution of Secondary Tuber<br>Skin Color  | DSTSC                 | 0–7    | 0.097                  | 0.023                             | 0.269                  | 7.5               | 0.001*  |
| Secondary Tuber Skin Color                     | STSC                  | 0–9    | 0.094                  | 0.059                             | 0.271                  | 7.1               | 0.002*  |
| Berry Color                                    | BC                    | 1–7    | 0.014                  | 0.056                             | 0.022                  | 5.7               | 0.001*  |
| Distribution of Secondary Tuber<br>Flesh Color | DSTFC                 | 0–7    | 0.024                  | 0.064                             | 0.023                  | -5.3              | 0.026*  |
| Stem Color                                     | ST                    | 1–7    | 0.094                  | 0.04                              | 0.112                  | -6.4              | 0.001*  |
| Secondary Tuber Flesh Color                    | STFC                  | 0–8    | 0.029                  | 0.082                             | 0.006                  | -8.4              | 0.003*  |
| Primary Tuber Flesh Color                      | PTFC                  | 1–8    | 0.005                  | 0.002                             | 0.033                  | 3.5               | 0.114   |
| Berry Shape                                    | BS                    | 1–7    | 0.003                  | 0.007                             | 0.014                  | 0.4               | 0.431   |
| Secondary Flower Color                         | SFC                   | 0–8    | 0.071                  | 0.103                             | 0.04                   | -0.8              | 0.425   |

#### Table 2. Results of morphological analysis of qualitative characters of Andigena population of the CCC.

#### \* Significance at p < 0.05

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.t002

all SNP dosage (heterozygous genotypes), diploid and tetraploid data were analyzed following the report by Vos et al. [56], using the Pearson correlation coefficient between each pair of SNP marker. The LD decay was estimated using a combination of SNP markers in significant correlation (p < 0.001) with a threshold of  $r^2$  that corresponded to 90<sup>th</sup> percentile [56] of pairwise correlations of each population.

#### Association mapping analyses

Phenotypic data corresponding to 15 qualitative variables (Table 2, S3 Table) of 466 tetraploid accessions of Andigena accessions were used to identify marker-trait association using Mixed Linear Model (MLMs) analyses accounting for the population structure and kinship as fixed effects using the package GWASpoly [27] in the R software [43]. Additionally, the Andigena genotypic data was filtered with the default parameters of GWASpoly [27] (5% of missing data and a MAF of 0.10). To identify the SNPs with significant associations, the *p* values were corrected with the Bonferroni method [57] at *p* values of 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001.

#### Results

#### Genetic molecular analyses

The 809 accessions of the CCC were genotyped with 8303 SNPs using the Infinium SolCAP, 1584 markers were removed from the dataset since 1174 were monomorphic (14.1%), 405 SNPs could not be called (4.9%), and five presented more than 20% of missing data (0.1%). Genotype calling inferred 6719 high confidence SNPs (81%), from which 751 SNPs presenting a MAF less than 0.05, were also excluded, giving a total of 5968 useful markers (72%) (Table 3). Of these

| Population | Subpopulation | N   | Polymorphic markers | Ho (Mean +/- SD) | He (Mean +/- SD) | PIC (Mean +/- SD) | HWE         |
|------------|---------------|-----|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| CCC        | Phureja       | 133 | 3950 (66.2%)        | 0.194 (0.003)    | 0.167 (0.002)    | -                 | 266 (7.88%) |
|            | Andigena      | 676 | 5951 (99.7%)        | 0.516 (0.004)    | 0.337 (0.002)    | -                 | 73 (1.22%)  |
|            | Total         | 809 | 5968 (100%)         | 0.355 (0.003)    | 0.252 (0.002)    | 0.437 (0.191)     | -           |
|            |               |     |                     |                  |                  |                   |             |
| Phureja    | Phureja_1     | 90  | 2775 (99.86%)       | 0.387 (0.004)    | 0.339 (0.003)    | -                 | 5 (0.18%)   |
| -          | Phureja_2     | 19  | 1516 (54.55%)       | 0.545 (0.009)    | 0.272 (0.005)    | -                 | 0 (0%)      |
|            | Phureja_3     | 24  | 1064 (38.29%)       | 0.380 (0.008)    | 0.190 (0.005)    | -                 | 0 (0%)      |
|            | Total         | 133 | 2779 (100%)         | 0.437 (0.005)    | 0.267 (0.002)    | 0.279 (0.090)     | -           |
|            |               |     |                     |                  |                  |                   |             |
| Andigena   | Andigena_1    | 24  | 3742 (63.41%)       | 0.628 (0.006)    | 0.315 (0.003)    | -                 | 50 (0.84%)  |
|            | Andigena_2    | 64  | 5144 (87.17%)       | 0.498 (0.005)    | 0.304 (0.003)    | -                 | 1 (0.03%)   |
|            | Andigena_3    | 170 | 4670 (79.14%)       | 0.471 (0.005)    | 0.285 (0.003)    | -                 | 1 (0.03%)   |
|            | Andigena_4    | 138 | 5900 (99.98%)       | 0.601 (0.003)    | 0.389 (0.002)    | -                 | 14 (0.32%)  |
|            | Andigena_5    | 280 | 5758 (97.58%)       | 0.476 (0.004)    | 0.304 (0.002)    | -                 | 7 (0.15%)   |
|            | Total         | 676 | 5901 (100%)         | 0.535 (0.002)    | 0.319 (0.001)    | 0.269 (0.108)     | -           |

Table 3. Genetic diversity statistics of the Colombian Central Collection of S. tuberosum group Andigenum.

N: Number of samples, Ho: Observed Heterozygosity, He: Expected Heterozygosity, PIC: Polymorphic Index Content, HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium: SNPs not in HWE, SD: Standard deviation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.t003

markers, 5790 were mapped on 12 chromosomes of the potato genome and 97 mapped on unanchored scaffolds (Chr. 0). Therefore, an average of 483 markers mapped on potato chromosomes ranging from 347 markers for Chr. 12 to 646 for Chr. 4.

# Population structure and genetic diversity in the Colombian Central Collection

The population structure analysis of the CCC using the software Structure discriminated two main populations (K = 2) (Fig 2A, S1A Fig). The previous result was supported by Neighbor-Joining clustering analysis (Fig 2B) and the Principal Component Analysis in which 25.5% of variability was explained by the three first components (Fig 2C). The first population, named as Phureja, contains 133 accessions (16.4% of the CCC) from which 82 accessions have passport data and are classified as Phureja, two as Andigena (And\_4 and And\_183) and 49 as Chaucha. The majority of accessions of the CCC (83.6%) constituted the second population, named as Andigena, which regrouped 673 accessions with passport data of Andigena and three of Phureja (Phu\_47, Phu\_119 and Phu\_122) (Table 3, S1 Table). The percentage of polymorphic SNPs was 66.2% and 99.7% for Phureja and Andigena populations, respectively (Table 3).

The genetic differentiation between Phureja and Andigena populations was high ( $F_{ST} = 0.203$ , p = 0.000), and the percentage of genetic variation was higher within populations (81%) than among populations (19%) (Table 4). High values of genetic variation within populations imply high genetic diversity. The CCC presented an excess of heterozygosity ( $F_{IS} = -0.517$ , p = 1.000) and a low gene flow (Nm = 0.98) (Table 4). High genetic diversity was found in the CCC (Ho <sub>CCC</sub> = 0.355, He <sub>CCC</sub> = 0.252), where the genetic diversity was higher in Andigena (Ho = 0.516, He = 0.337) than Phureja (Ho = 0.194, He = 0.167) (Table 3). Observed population structure supported the passport data that differentiates two main groups, Andigena and Phureja. Samples included in the Phureja population were characterized by presenting a



Fig 2. Population structure of 809 accessions of *S. tuberosum* group Andigenum. (A) Clustering Structure analysis. (B) NJ-tree based on Nei's genetic distances. (C) Principal Component Analysis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.g002

chromosome number of 24 (2n = 2x) determinate previously by Guevara [36] and Uribe [37]. Because of the difference in ploidy level, the two populations were analyzed independently.

**Phureja population.** In the Phureja population, 2779 SNPs had a MAF value higher than 0.05 and passed missing data filters. The accessions of Phureja were clustered in three subpopulations (K = 3) (Phureja\_1, Phureja\_2 and Phureja\_3) (Fig 3A, S1B Fig). The simulations from the software Structure were consistent with the NJ-tree (Fig 3B) and the DAPC analysis (Fig 3C), where 43.5% of the variation was explained by the three first components of the PCA. The three Phureja subpopulations differed genetically among them (F<sub>ST</sub> = 0.225, *p* = 0.000), and were characterized by presenting an excess of heterozygotes (F<sub>IS</sub> = -0.342, *p* = 1.000) and low gene flow (*Nm* = 0.86) (Table 4). The genetic differentiation was supported by significant  $F_{ST}$  values (*p* = 0.000) observed among the subpopulations that ranged from 0.161 (Phureja\_1 vs. Phureja\_2) to 0.435 (Phureja\_2 vs. Phureja\_3) (S4 Table). The distribution of genetic variation within and among subpopulations estimated by AMOVA indicated that 77% of the total genetic variation was found within subpopulations and 23% among subpopulations (Table 4). The population Phureja presented high genetic diversity with an average Ho of 0.437, He of 0.267 and PIC of 0.279 (Table 3).

Andigena population. A total of 5901 SNPs (MAF > 0.05) were polymorphic in Andigena population and the analyses conducted on these data subdivided the Andigena population in five groups (K = 5) (Andigena\_1—Andigena\_5) (Fig 4 and S1C Fig). The inferred groups in the structure analysis were not clearly separated by the cluster analysis (Fig 4B) and the DAPC, where the three first components of the PCA only explained the 20.7% of the



| Population | Source of variation  | Degrees of<br>freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square | Variance<br>component | Percentage of variation (%) | F-statistics                    | p-value | Nm   |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|------|
| CCC        | Among<br>populations | 1                     | 99887.3        | 99887.3     | 94.295                | 19                          | <b>F</b> <sub>ST</sub> = 0.203  | 0.000   | -    |
|            | Within populations   | 1616                  | 1569442.1      | 917.1       | 1011.791              | 81                          | <b>F</b> <sub>IS</sub> = -0.517 | 1.000   | -    |
|            | Total                | 1617                  | 1669329.4      |             | 1106.086              | 100                         | -                               | -       | 0.98 |
| Phureja    | Among<br>populations | 2                     | 17322.3        | 8661.1      | 126.446               | 23                          | <b>F</b> <sub>ST</sub> = 0.225  | 0.000   | -    |
|            | Within populations   | 263                   | 114539.9       | 435.5       | 435.513               | 77                          | <b>F</b> <sub>IS</sub> = -0.342 | 1.000   | -    |
|            | Total                | 265                   | 131862.3       |             | 561.959               | 100                         | -                               | -       | 0.86 |
| Andigena   | Among<br>populations | 4                     | 66274.5        | 15639.3     | 65.7                  | 6.5                         | <b>F</b> <sub>ST</sub> = 0.06   | 0.000   | -    |
|            | Within populations   | 1161                  | 1240882.0      | 990.0       | 938.7                 | 93.5                        | <b>F</b> <sub>IS</sub> = -0.59  | 1.000   | -    |
|            | Total                | 1165                  | 1307156.5      |             | 1004.4                | 100                         | -                               | -       | 3.91 |

Table 4. Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) based on SNP markers for each population of *S. tuberosum* of the Colombian Central Collection.

Nm: Gene flow or Number of migrants.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.t004

variation. An unique subpopulation (Andigena\_1) was genetically differentiated of the other four subpopulations (Fig 4C; S2 Table). The AMOVA showed that genetic variation was higher within subpopulations (93.5%) than among subpopulations (6.5%), with a population with low genetic structure ( $F_{ST} = 0.06$ , p = 0.000), excess of heterozygosity ( $F_{IS} = -0.59$ , p = 1.000) and high gene flow (Nm = 3.91) (Table 4). The  $F_{ST}$  values (p = 0.000) of Andigena\_2 to Andigena\_5 subpopulations were low, ranging from 0.031 (Andigena\_3 vs. Andigena\_5) to 0.080 (Andigena\_2 vs. Andigena\_3), and high among these subpopulations with Andigena\_1 that ranged from 0.122 (Andigena\_1 vs. Andigena\_5) to 0.216 (Andigena\_1 vs. Andigena\_3) (S4 Table). The Andigena population presented a high genetic diversity with averages of Ho = 0.535, He = 0.319 and PIC = 0.269 (Table 3).

#### Morphological characterization of Andigena population

The MCA based on morphological traits among 624 Andigena accessions showed that the total morphological variation was distributed in 73 dimensions, from which the three first dimensions explained the 12.3% of the variation (Table 2). The first dimension was provided by tuber variables as shape (GTS), color (PTSC) and primary skin intensity color (PTSIC). The second by berry color (BC), secondary color (STFC) and distribution of tuber flesh (DSTFC) and all variables related with flower (PFC, PFIC, SFC and DSFC). Finally, the primary tuber flesh color (PTFC), secondary color (STSC) and distribution of skin tuber (DSTSC) and variables related to stem color (SC) and berry shape (BS) contributed to the variation of the third dimension (Table 2).

The cluster analysis discriminated six morphological groups within the Andigena population (Fig 5). Although all the groups presented flesh tubers cream, in every group the largest proportion of accessions was characterized by specific tuber traits (S5 Table). Group 1 (108 accessions) is characterized to present compressed tubers with pale yellow skin and purple dots. Group 2 (59 accessions) had compressed tubers with dark purple skin, sometimes with scattered yellow spots and flesh cream color with secondary purple color distributed in narrow vascular ring. Group 3 (119 accessions) had compressed tubers with dark red skin. Group 4 (32 accessions) had compressed tubers with pale purple skin. Group 5 (159 accessions) had round tubers with dark purple skin with scattered yellow spots. Finally, compressed tubers with pale purple skin and yellow scattered spots are characteristics of group 6 (147 accessions). Group 4 presented white flowers while the other groups presented dark purple flowers.



Fig 3. Population structure of 133 diploid accessions (Phureja population) of Colombian Central Collection of *S. tuberosum*. (A) Clustering Structure analysis. (B) NJ-tree based on Nei's genetic distances. (C) Discriminant Analysis Principal Component.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.g003

#### Correlations among morphological, geographical and genetic data

The Mantel test showed no correlation between geographical distribution and morphological (1.2%, p = 0.311), and geographical distribution and genetic data (4.2%, p = 0.111). However, a low but significant correlation (13.2%, p = 0.001) was identified between all morphological variables analyzed and the genetic data (Table 5). Additionally, the correlation analysis was implemented for each morphological variable, independently. Within the 15 variables used, three (BS, PTFC and SFC) were not correlated (p > 0.05), three (SC, STFC and DSTFC) were negatively correlated (p < 0.05) and the remaining nine were positively correlated (p < 0.05). The variables with higher correlation were those related with flower variables (PFIC: 18.0%, PFC: 12.9%, DSFC: 12.8%), general tuber shape (GTS: 9.4%) and primary (PTSIC: 12.8%, PTSC: 8.5%) and secondary color of skin tuber (DSTSC: 7.5%, STSC: 7.1%) (Table 2). The global correlation between morphological and genetic data using only variables significantly correlated was of 21.6% (p = 0.001) (Table 5). Although this correlation was low, the subpopulations identified using molecular markers were characterized by presenting tuber traits in common. For instance, the tuber skin primary color of Group 1 and group 2 is dark purple, group 3 is pale



Fig 4. Population structure of 676 tetraploid accessions (Andigena population) of Colombian Central Collection of *S. tuberosum.* (A) Clustering Structure analysis. (B) NJ-tree based on Nei's genetic distance. (C) Discriminant Analysis Principal Component.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.g004

yellow, group 4 is pale purple and group 5 is dark red. However, morphological and genetic groups did not completely match.

#### Linkage disequilibrium

The linkage disequilibrium between pairwise SNPs was estimated for Phureja and Andigena populations; the analysis showed that the amount of SNPs in LD and the extent of LD differed among these.

**Linkage disequilibrium in Phureja.** The LD in Phureja was estimated using data from the entire population (133 accessions) and separately for the subpopulation Phureja\_1. The analysis was not conducted in the subpopulations Phureja\_2 and 3, because they presented a low number of samples. In this analysis the 2555 markers used, mapped on the 12 chromosomes of the genome, with a mean distance between markers of 22.7 Mb, ranging from 11.5 Mb (Chr. 2) to 34.2 Mb (Chr. 1). The Pearson  $r^2$  values for the 133 Phureja accessions were 0.463 for linked markers with 49.8% of the markers in significant LD. The  $r^2$  values ranged from 0.440 (Chr. 1) to 0.496 (Chr. 12) (Table 6). The pairwise correlations among linked markers in significant LD (p < 0.001) were used to assess the extension of LD decay. The threshold for  $r^2$  was 0.45 representing the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of all pairwise correlations in the Phureja population. Using this threshold, the LD declined to 3.5 Mb for linked markers in the population Phureja. For each chromosome of the potato genome the LD decay was estimated and ranged from 2 Mb (Chr. 1, 4, 11) to up to 9 Mb (Chr. 3, 12) (Table 6).



Fig 5. Dendrogram generated by the Ward method and Gower distances between 624 tetraploid accessions (population Andigena) of the Colombian Central Collection of *S. tuberosum* based on qualitative morphological data.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.g005

## Table 5. Correlations between genetic, geographical and morphological distances in populations of the Colombian Central Collection of *S. tuberosum*.

| Analyses  | Percentage of correlation (%) | p-value |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|
| Phenotypic_distance_Andigena/Geographic_distance_Andigena                     | 1.20                          | 0.311   |
| Genetic_distance_CCC/Geographic_distance_CCC                                  | 4.20                          | 0.111   |
| Genetic_distance_Andigena/Phenotypic_distance_Andigena (All variables)        | 13.2                          | 0.001*  |
| Genetic_distance_Andigena/Phenotypic_distance_Andigena (variables correlated) | 21.6                          | 0.001*  |

\* Significance at  $p \le 0.05$  at 1000 permutations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.t005

|                   | Phureja population   |                       |       |                                    |                               | Andigena population  |                       |       |                                    |                               |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Chr <sup>A</sup>  | Number of<br>Markers | Mean<br>distance (Mb) | r²    | Significant LD<br>(%) <sup>B</sup> | LD decay<br>(Mb) <sup>C</sup> | Number of<br>markers | Mean<br>distance (Mb) | r²    | Significant LD<br>(%) <sup>B</sup> | LD decay<br>(Mb) <sup>C</sup> |
| 1                 | 340                  | 34.2                  | 0.440 | 49.4                               | 2                             | 553                  | 31.6                  | 0.242 | 50.5                               | 0.8                           |
| 2                 | 192                  | 11.5                  | 0.464 | 49.2                               | 4                             | 403                  | 9.5                   | 0.257 | 50.3                               | 1.8                           |
| 3                 | 167                  | 21.7                  | 0.484 | 49.1                               | 9                             | 351                  | 11.9                  | 0.247 | 50.7                               | 1                             |
| 4                 | 354                  | 24.9                  | 0.446 | 49.4                               | 2                             | 537                  | 17                    | 0.234 | 50.7                               | 0.3                           |
| 5                 | 156                  | 21.6                  | 0.487 | 50.1                               | 5.5                           | 350                  | 16.5                  | 0.252 | 50.3                               | 0.4                           |
| 6                 | 225                  | 23.5                  | 0.486 | 50.2                               | 8                             | 411                  | 18.6                  | 0.280 | 50.0                               | 4                             |
| 7                 | 141                  | 28.2                  | 0.477 | 49.0                               | 4.5                           | 432                  | 23.5                  | 0.277 | 49.9                               | 4                             |
| 8                 | 186                  | 19.2                  | 0.469 | 49.2                               | 6                             | 349                  | 15.1                  | 0.283 | 50.4                               | 8                             |
| 9                 | 252                  | 24.8                  | 0.468 | 48.7                               | 7                             | 439                  | 20.3                  | 0.239 | 50.1                               | 0.4                           |
| 10                | 202                  | 23.3                  | 0.468 | 49.9                               | 3.5                           | 318                  | 16.6                  | 0.263 | 50.3                               | 1.7                           |
| 11                | 192                  | 17.8                  | 0.466 | 49.8                               | 2                             | 309                  | 13.1                  | 0.267 | 50.8                               | 0.8                           |
| 12                | 148                  | 21.4                  | 0.496 | 49.7                               | 9                             | 291                  | 21.6                  | 0.255 | 50.0                               | 0.5                           |
| Linked<br>markers | 2555                 | 22.7                  | 0.463 | 49.8                               | 3.5                           | 4743                 | 17.9                  | 0.256 | 50.1                               | 0.8                           |

| Table 6. | Linkage diseg | uilibrium in pop | ulations of the ( | Colombian Cen | tral Collection of | potato. |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|

<sup>A</sup> Chromosome Number

<sup>B</sup> Significant threshold is set to p < 0.001

<sup>C</sup> Threshold in 90<sup>th</sup> percentile (Phureja:  $r^2 = 0.45$ ; Andigena:  $r^2 = 0.25$ ).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.t006

Linkage disequilibrium in Andigena. The LD of the Andigena population was calculated using 4743 molecular markers distributed over the 12 chromosomes identified in 652 accessions corresponding to the Andigena subpopulations except for subpopulation Andigena\_1. In Andigena population the SNPs mapped on the chromosomes had a mean distance between markers of 17.9 Mb ranging from 9.5 Mb (Chr. 2) to 31.6 Mb (Chr. 1). The LD was not estimated for each subpopulation independently, because these groups did not differ genetically. The subpopulation Andigena\_1 was excluded of the analyses because it presented a high genetic differentiation from the others. In addition, the LD in this subpopulation was not independently assessed because it was represented by a low number of samples. The average Pearson  $r^2$  values obtained was 0.256 for linked markers with 50.1% combinations of markers in significant LD. The mean  $r^2$  value in the 12 chromosomes ranged from 0.234 (Chr. 4) to 0.283 (Chr. 8). To estimate the LD decay in the Andigena population, the  $r^2$  threshold was 0.25 representing the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of the all pairwise Pearson correlations. The extent of LD was 0.8 Mb in linked markers and every chromosome ranged from 0.3 Mb in chromosome 4 to 8 Mb in chromosome 8 (Table 6).

#### Association mapping analyses

The marker-phenotype association analysis was implemented using 4666 polymorphic SNPs of 463 tetraploid accessions of the CCC. A complete dataset of the phenotypic variables was available for these accessions. A total of 23 markers with  $\log_{10} (p$ -value) ranging between 4.6 for STFC (solcap\_snp\_c1\_12945) and 9.36 for PFC and PFIC (solcap\_snp\_c2\_43970), were significantly associated with 9 of the 15 evaluated variables (Table 7). In addition, seven markers presented significant p values less than 0.01 and four had p values less than 0.001. Of these four markers, three (solcap\_snp\_c2\_45693, solcap\_snp\_c2\_23347 and solcap\_snp\_c2\_43970) were associated with PFC and PFIC and one (solcap\_snp\_c2\_45235) with STFC (Table 7).

| Variable                                       | Variable abbreviation | Marker              | Chromosome | Position<br>(bp) | log <sub>10</sub> ( <i>p-</i><br><i>value</i> ) | Model <sup>1</sup> | Significance |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|------------------|---|--------------------|--------------|
| Stem Color                                     | ST                    | solcap_snp_c2_46710 | 7          | 4874743          | 5.16  | SD                 | *            |
|  |                       | solcap_snp_c2_36061 | 4          | 58752313         | 5.09  | DD                 | *            |
| Primary Tuber Skin Intensity Color             | PTSIC                 | solcap_snp_c2_21750 | 2          | 25053972         | 5.29  | AD                 | *            |
|  |                       | solcap_snp_c2_51533 | 7          | 53656225         | 5.05  | DD                 | *            |
| Distribution of Secondary Tuber<br>Skin Color  | DSTSC                 | solcap_snp_c2_45235 | 10         | 58437496         | 6.62  | AD                 | ***          |
| Primary Tuber Flesh Color                      | PTFC                  | solcap_snp_c2_12578 | 7          | 53077509         | 4.75  | SD                 | *            |
| Secondary Tuber Flesh Color                    | STFC                  | solcap_snp_c2_35705 | 2          | 47327646         | 5.33  | SD                 | **           |
|  |                       | solcap_snp_c1_12945 | 4          | 57899405         | 4.6   | SD                 | *            |
| Distribution of Secondary Tuber<br>Flesh Color | DSTFC                 | solcap_snp_c2_15070 | 2          | 45695772         | 4.64  | SD                 | *            |
| General Tuber Shape                            | GTS                   | solcap_snp_c2_26014 | 7          | 50155390         | 4.74  | DD                 | *            |
| Primary Flower Color                           | PFC                   | solcap_snp_c2_24563 | 12         | 243727           | 4.76  | SD                 | *            |
|  |                       | solcap_snp_c1_5388  | 3          | 3161621          | 4.94  | DD                 | *            |
| Primary Flower Color                           |                       | solcap_snp_c2_23355 | 7          | 42119766         | 5.5   | DD                 | **           |
|  |                       | solcap_snp_c2_46329 | 7          | 48224240         | 6.36  | DD                 | **           |
|  |                       | solcap_snp_c1_9878  | 7          | 50960978         | 5.86  | DD                 | **           |
|  |                       | solcap_snp_c2_45693 | 10         | 51357092         | 6.53  | DD                 | ***          |
|  |                       | solcap_snp_c1_16169 | 1          | 44194124         | 5.29  | DD                 | *            |
|  |                       | solcap_snp_c2_23347 | 7          | 42120645         | 7.01  | DD                 | ***          |
| Primary Flower Intensity Color                 | PFIC                  | solcap_snp_c1_4464  | 5          | 32104744         | 5.53  | DA                 | **           |
|  |                       | solcap_snp_c2_45701 | 3          | 43326802         | 5.19  | DD                 | *            |
|  |                       | solcap_snp_c2_42348 | 6          | 36881223         | 5.88  | DD                 | **           |
| Primary Flower Color / Primary                 | PFC/PFIC              | solcap_snp_c2_36468 | 3          | 38153740         | 5.87/4.85                                       | DA/SD              | **           |
| Flower Intensity Color                         |                       | solcap snp c2 43970 | 1          | 13545189         | 9.36/5.29                                       | DD                 | ***          |

<sup>1</sup> Model with the most significant marker is listed. AD = additive, SD = simplex dominant, DD = duplex dominant, DA = diplo—additive. Significant \* < 0.05

\*\* < 0.01

\*\*\* <0.001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173039.t007

#### Discussion

The growth in food demand and climate change raised the necessity to generate crop varieties having higher yield and adapted to a changing environment [58]. It is fundamental to plant breeding to characterize the genebank collections because the genetic improvement of economically important traits depends on the genetic diversity available within the crop species and its wild relatives [59, 60]. Modern elite gene pools could be created exploring the genetic resources conserved in large ex situ germplasm collections to identify genes of interest and allelic diversity [61, 62]. Highly polymorphic molecular markers could be identified in diverse germplasm that could be effectively used for mapping genes or QTLs [62] to assist plant breeding programs.

In Colombia, the CCC contains potato accessions coming from different Colombian regions and several countries. Researchers from CORPOICA had selected accessions from the CCC presenting valuable traits such as resistance to drought, to several diseases and to insect pests. Information about the genetic diversity and population structure of the CCC and the identification of molecular markers related to traits of interest for potato breeding could speed

up the selection for desirable traits. So far, only one study of the genetic diversity and population structure of the CCC-Universidad Nacional de Colombia has been published [18]. The analysis included only 97 diploid accessions, from which few are in common with the CCC-CORPOICA [18]. The accession numbers of the CCC-Universidad Nacional de Colombia were modified and do not correspond to the accessions numbers of the CCC-CORPOICA, difficulting the comparison between studies. The present study is the first report using the majority of accessions of the CCC to assess its genetic variability, population structure and linkage disequilibrium. The information obtained will allow the implementation of association-mapping studies to this collection.

#### Genetic analyses

The development of SNP arrays using high-throughput technology has allowed to genotype germplasm of crops such as potato [20, 19], tomato [63], barley [64], rice [65] among others. In this study, the Infinium SolCAP 8K was used to genotype accessions of the CCC, providing informative data with 72% of polymorphic loci. Previous studies in potato germplasm of other collections reported similar level of polymorphism using the same array: 77% [9], 74% [22], 61% [23], 67% [25], and 76% [66]. A degree of ascertainment bias could be expected when the SolCAP 8K is used to analyze populations such as the Colombian potato germplasm because it was designed based on transcriptome data and EST databases of North American cultivars [19, 21]. However, the high percentage of polymorphism suggested that the array provided enough markers representing the allelic composition of the CCC compared to previous works in other germplasm using the same array [22, 23]. A high number of polymorphic markers was expected due to the significant number of samples included [20].

This paper presents a robust analysis of the genetic diversity of CCC using a high number of molecular markers distributed on the 12 chromosomes of the potato genome. A previous genetic study using only 97 diploid accessions and 42 SSR covered a small amount of the potato genome, with a mean coverage of three markers per chromosome [18]. In general, the highest proportion of genetic studies in potato have used techniques that produced few molecular markers such as SSR [67–69], AFLPs [34, 59, 70] and RAPDs [71–73]. Each type of molecular marker provides information not always comparable because some have a biallelic and others a multiallelic nature [7, 74]. However, the estimation of the genetic variability of a population improves as the number of markers increase [75]; the SolCAP 8K could then provide a better assessment of the genetic variability of the CCC.

# Population structure and genetic diversity in the Colombian Central Collection

In this study, the molecular markers were useful to identify mislabeled accessions [7, 23]; some accessions of Andigena and Phureja did not clustered according to their passport data. The impossibility to identify two different populations of Phureja and Chaucha suggested an error of classification in the CCC. According to Guevara [36], accessions of the CCC labeled as Chaucha are not triploids as expected but diploids (2n = 2x = 24) as Phureja accessions [37]. Hence these accessions were probably misclassified as Chaucha, being in fact Phureja. The misclassification of accessions and errors in the assignment of samples to corresponding group in the CCC could have several explanations. The common name used by farmers for the same type of potato probably changes from region to region. For example, in the state of Nariño in Colombia farmers use the name Chauchas for potatoes similar to Phurejas. Another explanation could be a hybrid origin of these accessions; natural hybridization occurs between

varieties in cultivated areas because potato farmers do not cultivate the varieties separately [4, 76].

Population structure and genetic diversity in Phureja and Andigena populations. The two inferred populations of CCC present high genetic diversity and were genetically differentiated with low gene flow among them, probably due to the difference in ploidy level [35]. The SolCAP array was also able to differentiate European [22] and American [23] potatoes by their ploidy level. The diploid population (Phureja) had high genetic differentiation, all the multivariate analyses supported the presence of the three subgroups and genetic admixture was no identified. In fact, the results showed a low gene flow, suggesting a strong genetic differentiation, given that Nm is inversely proportional to the genetic differentiation among populations [77]. Human selection (e.g. breeders, farmers) to color and quality of tuber probably played an important role shaping the current population structure of group Phureja. However, it is necessary to conduct a morphological evaluation of Phureja potatoes of the CCC in order to support this hypothesis. The results obtained from Phureja population contrasted to the reported in the study of Juyó et al. [18], who identified a moderate population structure ( $F_{ST} = 0.09$ ), a high gene flow (Nm = 1.61) and only 9.64% of the variation among populations in diploid accessions of CCC-Universidad Nacional de Colombia. These two studies differed in the molecular markers (number and type) and samples (number and origin) evaluated. Samples analyzed in the two works were not exactly the same. Although the CCC-Phureja from the Universidad Nacional de Colombia conserves part of the accessions of the CCC-CORPOICA, the ID numbers did not match. In addition, some accessions of the CCC-Universidad Nacional were recently collected. Juyó et al. [18] used SSR markers, which are considered more efficient than SNP markers to identify subpopulations, because they are neutral and more alleles can be identified [78-79]. However, the high number of SNPs markers used in this study allowed to identify three populations in Phureja accessions. The population structure is influenced by the joint effects of many factors including the mating system, natural and artificial selection, mutation, migration and dispersal mechanism, drift, etc. [80, 81]. In potato, the selection of potatoes by farmers and breeders presenting characteristics such as high yield, large tubers, low glycoalkaloid levels, desirable flavor, short cooking times and high nutritional value could affect the genetic structure [82-84].

Andigena population presents a genetic admixture supported by a high gene flow among populations [85]. The lack of population structure in tetraploid potatoes has been previously reported in other studies [35, 86–88] and has been explained by sexual polyploidization, intervarietal introgressive hybridization and long-distance dispersion [5, 89]. Although the whole Andigena population did not show a population structure, a cluster (Andigena\_1) with samples probably belonging to the Tuberosum group could be identified. The *S. tuberosum* group *tuberosum* of CCC were probably originated from landraces and breeding material from United States and Europe [12]. Tuberosum potatoes differentiate from other Andigena potatoes by the formation of tubers in long days and by their adaptation of medium altitudes and subtropical weather from Europe, United States and Asia [8, 90].

High genetic diversity was found in both populations according with other studies [18, 68, 89]. In this work, the observed heterozygosity was higher than expected heterozygosity. Potato is an outcrossing species thus the proportion of inbreeding is expected to be low, thus the heterozygosity is higher than expected. The high diversity in potato is explained by its evolution shaped by selection, migration, mutation, hybridization, polyploidization and introgression. In the case of diploid potatoes, wild and cultivated species are often self-incompatible (SI) [91, 92]. Thus, potato genetics allow the production of heterozygote plants increasing the genetic variability [1, 35, 81]. The PIC values suggested that the SNPs of SolCAP are useful to analyze diploid and tetraploid accessions and could support the suggestion that genetic diversity in

tetraploid potatoes has not been narrowed in spite of the commercial breeding efforts [10, 34]. Based on PIC values, the CCC (PIC = 0.437) is more diverse than European potatoes (PIC = 0.35), supporting the idea that South American potato populations are more diverse than European potatoes reported by Bornet et al. [93] and Esfahani et al. [94]. According to these results, the CCC has a broad genetic basis with alleles that could be profitable for plant breeding [21]. In fact, studies in diploid accessions of the CCC-Universidad Nacional de Colombia have already detected markers related to resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* [95], sugar content and frying color [96].

#### Morphological characterization of Andigena population

The accessions of Andigena population showed wide phenotypic diversity based on fifteen morphological traits, in which shape, skin color and color intensity of tuber and flower attributes were the most informative variables to discriminate the six groups of Andigena. Previous works reported that the same variables were useful to differentiate potato accessions [97–99]. Variables describing the tuber are the most useful descriptors to select potatoes for breeding programs [100]. The dark color in skin and flesh tuber is an indicator of the presence of phenolic compounds which are considered health-promoting phytochemicals because of their antioxidant properties [101]. The CCC presents a wide variability in tuber colors indicating a potential source of accessions with high phenolic compounds levels; further characterization of content of biochemical compounds of the CCC is needed. Previously, Bernal et al. [97] analyzed morphologically 464 accessions of the CCC of potato. They found seven different groups instead of six groups and they identified higher morphological variability than the present study. However, the same traits were reported as informative in the two studies, and the samples were regrouped based on the same characters of tuber and flower. The difference in results between studies could be due to a smaller number of variables used in this study. Additionally, the data analysis made by Bernal et al. [97] was based on one year of morphological records. In contrast, the present study used morphological data recorded on eight different years. Our analyses identified that some descriptors changed over the years such as color and intensities of tubers and flowers. The lack of stability of morphological characters has been also reported in the evaluation of the CIP collection [5] suggesting that the selection of potato materials could not be only based on morphological data. The characterization and selection of potato accessions should be complemented with molecular data, reported to be more informative and neutral than phenotypic traits in establishing potato relationships [62].

#### Correlations among morphological, geographical and genetic data

In this work, geographic distance was not correlated between genetic and morphological distances. Similar results were obtained in previous studies using potato collections for morphological data [102, 103] and molecular data [7, 104–106]. The lack of correlation is probably the result of tuber transportation by humans [107], caused by historical migrations of wild potato germplasm away from their regions of origin [23]. Morphological and genetic data were weakly correlated; similar results were found in other populations of potato [108–110]. The low correlation between genetic and morphological data is probably due to differences in selection pressure. Non-adaptive molecular markers are usually not subjected to natural or artificial selection while phenotypic characters are subjected to selection pressure and influenced by the environment [106, 111]. This result could explain why groups identified through molecular and morphological markers did not match.

### Linkage disequilibrium and association mapping analyses

The linkage between molecular markers and phenotypic polymorphisms is required for the association mapping of genes or QTLs underlying traits of interest [112]. The extent of LD can be affected by factors such as genetic drift, population structure and selection [113]. In association mapping studies, a key factor is to know the population structure in order to improve the statistical power and decrease the false positive rate in gene discovery [76]. The analysis of LD was independently conducted for Phureja and Andigena, where the LD levels varied between these. High levels of  $r^2$  and SNP pairs with significant LD in Phureja and Andigena were identified. These results contrasted with the study of Juyó et al. [18] in diploid potatoes in which no molecular markers in significant LD were detected, probably due to the number and type of markers used (SSRs). Additionally, the number of linked markers in LD was higher than unlinked markers as expected, thus physical linkage strongly influences LD. The results indicate that molecular markers found in CCC in this study are suitable for an association analysis [114].

To estimate the LD decay in Phureja and Andigena populations, a  $r^2$  threshold of 0.45 (Phureja) and of 0.25 (Andigena) were used. Those values corresponded to the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of the distribution of all pairwise Pearson correlation in each population. Vos et al. [56] found that percentiles of 90 or 95 are useful to estimate the LD in potato. The difference in cutoff used in previous studies ( $r^2 = 0.1$ ) did not allow the comparison among studies [22, 34, 35, 95]. However, the LD decay values obtained in this work in tetraploid potatoes were similar to the reported in the potato germplasm (0.6–1.5 Mb) analyzed by Vos et al. [56]. The  $r^2$  values and extent of LD through the genome differ among studies because of differences in population size, number and type of markers [115] and the regression methods used to measure the LD [116]. The polyploidy and outcrossing species generally exhibit low LD because of the recombination events, which occur more frequently in large and highly heterozygous populations [117]. In contrast, the self-pollinated crops usually display LD over larger distances as a consequence of their mating system [34]. Based on its LD value, potato behaves as a self-pollinated crop even if it is an outcrossing species. The clonal propagation of potato limits the number of meiotic generations and in consequence the recombination events [33-35, 118]. The LD in Andigena and Phureja decayed slowly, previous works also reported a slow LD decay for potato populations: 1 cM [33], 10 cM [35] and 5 cM [34]. It is not rare to found differences in values of LD decay among populations that have suffered different breeding history and human selection [119, 120].

The LD decay value is useful to design future GWAS studies; it makes possible to estimate the minimum number of SNPs required to have a successful GWAS [115]. Since Phureja and Andigena populations have a long range LD through the genome, with a physical genome length of 844 Mb [121, 122] and a genetic map length of 800 cM [123], association studies can be performed with a modest number of markers per unit of genetic distance, this inference in potato has been reported previously by D'hoop et al. [34] and Simko et al. [35]. The inferences about the association mapping in the CCC of potato were validated with the identification of molecular markers associated with the morphological traits. In a GWAS analyzing North American potatoes using the same array, molecular markers with minor effects were identified to be related to morphological data such as total yield, eye depth, tuber shape and tuber length [27]. In the present work, four of 23 associated markers presented *p* values less than 0.001. Of these four markers, three were associated with flower primary color and one with secondary color distribution in tuber skin. The marker solcap\_snp\_c2\_45235 (Chr. 10, position: 58437496) was associated to secondary color and was mapped to the gene *Sotub10g021050.1.1* (PGSC0003DMG400008137) which has a glucosyltransferase function. Some

glucosyltransferase enzymes are implicated in the production of anthocyanin, pigment compound of skin and flesh tubers [124]. In addition, the same SNP (solcap\_snp\_c2\_45235) is located closed to two genes (PGSC0003DMG400013965, PGSC0003DMG400012891) associated to skin and flesh color of potato tuber, reported recently by Endelman and Jansky [125].

The SNP dataset produced in this study and the germplasm analyzed would allow the implementation of association-mapping studies and to detect markers or genes associated to traits of interest useful for potato breeding such as resistance to pathogens and insect pests, tolerance of abiotic stresses and tuber quality. The function of the associated markers should be validated through genetic transformation. Additionally, conventional potato plant breeding programs could be supported using the genetic information through marker-assisted selection (MAS) and genomic selection (GS), and thus to accelerate the selection of potato materials and reduce the cost and time to develop new potato varieties.

#### Conclusion

The present study is the first report of phenotypic and genotypic evaluations of the Colombian Central Collection of *Solanum tuberosum* using morphological and SNP molecular markers. The study identified high levels of genetic diversity and genetic differentiation in diploid and tetraploid potatoes. CCC constitutes a potential source of variable traits useful for a genetic breeding program. Additionally, the linkage disequilibrium study of the CCC indicated that the genomes of Phureja and Andigena presented an elevated number of SNP pairs in significant LD and a slow LD decay, suggesting that with a modest number of molecular markers, a marker-phenotype association could be detected. The information obtained in this work allowed to conclude that the CCC is a germplasm with a broad genetic base and is useful to conduct association mapping studies suitable for the identification of QTLs/genes associated to quality traits and biotic and abiotic stress tolerance traits.

#### **Supporting information**

**S1 Fig. Delta K inferred in each analyzed population.** (A) Overall Colombian Central Collection. (B) Phureja population. (C) Andigena Population. (TIF)

S1 Table. List of accessions of the Colombian Central Collection of *S. tuberosum* group Andigenum and information of sample collection sites. (DOC)

S2 Table. Genotypic data of 809 accessions of Colombian Central Collection of *S. tubero-sum* group Andigenum obtained through Infinium technology. (XLSX)

S3 Table. Phenotypic data of Colombian Central Collection of *S. tuberosum* group Andigenum (Andigena population). (XLSX)

S4 Table. Pairwise genetic differentiation  $(F_{ST})$  values between populations of *S. tuberosum* in the Colombian Central Collection. (DOC)

S5 Table. Summary of characteristics for the six groups identified by the morphological analysis in tetraploid accessions of the Colombian Central Collection of *S. tuberosum*. (DOC)

#### Acknowledgments

We thank the Potato Germplasm Bank of CORPOICA for providing the germplasm material and associated information of the CCC used in this study. The authors thank Ivania Cerón for assistance in revising the final version of the manuscript. This study was funded by the Colombian Ministry of Agriculture.

#### **Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** JB-C L-SB RY.

Data curation: JB-C RIV.

Formal analysis: JB-C.

Investigation: JB-C RY RIV.

Methodology: JB-C ES-B.

Project administration: JB-C RY L-SB.

Supervision: RY.

Validation: JB-C.

Visualization: JB-C.

Writing - original draft: JB-C RY.

Writing - review & editing: JB-C RY RIV ES-B L-SB.

#### References

- Spooner DM, Gavrilenko T, Jansky SH, Ovchinnikova A, Krylova E, Knapp S, et al. Ecogeography of ploidy variation in cultivated potato (*Solanum* sect. *Petota*). Am. J. Bot. 2010; 97(12):2049–2060. doi: 10.3732/ajb.1000277 PMID: 21616851
- FAOSTAT. 2016. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistics Division. In: http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx. Accessed March 2016.
- Burlingame B, Mouillé B, Charrondière R. Nutrients, bioactive non-nutrients and anti-nutrients in potatoes. J. Food Compost. Anal. 2009; 22(6):494–502.
- 4. Spooner DM, Rodríguez F, Polgár Z, Ballard HE, Jansky SH. Genomic Origins of Potato Polyploids: GBSSI Gene Sequencing Data. Crop Sci. 2008; 48(S1):S27–S36.
- Spooner DM, Núñez J, Trujillo G, Herrera M, Guzmán F, Ghislain M. Extensive simple sequence repeat genotyping of potato landraces supports a major reevaluation of their gene pool structure and classification. PNAS. 2007; 104:19398–19403. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0709796104 PMID: 18042704
- 6. Huamán Z, Spooner DM. Reclassification of landrace populations of cultivated potatoes (*Solanum* sect. *Petota*). Am. J. Bot. 2002; 89(6):947–965. doi: 10.3732/ajb.89.6.947 PMID: 21665694
- Ghislain M, Andrade D, Rodríguez F, Hijmans RJ, Spooner DM. Genetic analysis of the cultivated potato *Solanum tuberosum* L. Phureja Group using RAPDs and nuclear SSRs. Theor. Appl. Genet. 2006; 113(8):1515–1527. doi: 10.1007/s00122-006-0399-7 PMID: 16972060
- 8. Hawkes J.G. 1990. The potato: Evolution, biodiversity and genetic resources. Belhaven Press, London, 259 pp.
- Hirsch CN, Hirsch CD, Felcher K, Coombs J, Zarka D, Van Deynze A, et al. Retrospective view of North American potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) breeding in the 20th and 21<sup>st</sup> centuries. G3. 2013; 3:1003–1013. doi: 10.1534/g3.113.005595 PMID: 23589519
- 10. Pavek JJ, Corsini DL. Utilization of potato genetic resources in variety development. Am. J. Pot. 2001; 78:433–441.
- Milbourne D, Pande B, Bryan G. Potato. In: Kole, C. editor. Genome mapping and molecular breeding in plants. Volume 3. Pulses sugar and tuber crops. Berlin: 2007. pp. 206.

- 12. Moreno J, Valbuena I. Colección central colombiana de papa: riqueza de variabilidad genética para el mejoramiento del cultivo. Corpoica cienc. tecnol. agropecu. 2006; 4(4):1–9.
- Jansky SH, Dawson J, Spooner DM. How do we address the disconnect between genetic and morphological diversity in germplasm collections? Am. J. Bot. 2015; 102(8):1213–1215. doi: 10.3732/ajb. 1500203 PMID: 26290545
- Ammar MH, Alghamdi SS, Migdadi HM, Khan MA, El-Harty EH, Al-Faifi SA. Assessment of genetic diversity among faba bean genotypes using agro-morphological and molecular markers. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 2015; 22(3):340–350. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2015.02.005 PMID: 25972757
- 15. Patwardhan A, Ray S, Roy A. Molecular Markers in Phylogenetic Studies-A Review. J. Phylogenetics Evol. Biol. 2014; 2(2):131.
- **16.** Reid A, Hof L, Felix G, Rucker B, Tams S, Milczynska E, et al. Construction of an integrated microsatellite and key morphological characteristic database of potato varieties on the EU common catalogue. Euphytica. 2011; 182:239–249.
- McGregor CE, Lambert CA, Greyling MM, Louw JH, Warnich L. A comparative assessment of DNA fingerprinting techniques (RAPD, ISSR, AFLP and SSR) in tetraploid potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) germoplasm. Euphytica. 2000; 113:135–144.
- Juyó D, Sarmiento F, Álvarez M, Brochero H, Gebhardt C, Mosquera T. Genetic Diversity and Population Structure in Diploid Potatoes of *Solanum tuberosum* Group Phureja. Crop Sci. 2015; 55(2):760– 769.
- Felcher KJ, Coombs JJ, Massa AN, Hansey CN, Hamilton JP, Veilleux RE, et al. Integration of Two Diploid Potato Linkage Maps with the Potato Genome Sequence. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7(4):e36347. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036347 PMID: 22558443
- Vos PG, Uitdewilligen JG, Voorrips RE, Visser RG, van Eck HJ. Development and analysis of a 20K SNP array for potato (*Solanum tuberosum*): an insight into the breeding history. Theor. Appl. Genet. 2015; 128(12):2387–401. doi: 10.1007/s00122-015-2593-y PMID: 26263902
- Hamilton JP, Hansey CN, Whitty BR, Stoffel K, Massa AN, Van Deynze A, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphism discovery in elite North American potato germplasm. BMC Genomics. 2011; 12:302. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-302 PMID: 21658273
- 22. Stich B, Urbany C, Hoffmann P, Gebhardt C. Population structure and linkage disequilibrium in diploid and tetraploid potato revealed by genome-wide high-density genotyping using the SolCAP SNP array. Plant Breeding. 2013; 32:718–724.
- Hardigan MA, Bamberg J, Buell RC, Douches DS. Taxonomy and Genetic Differentiation among Wild and Cultivated Germplasm of *Solanum* sect. *Petota*. Plant Genome. 2014; 8(1):1–16.
- Massa AN, Manrique-Carpintero NC, Coombs JJ, Zarka DG, Boone AE, Kirk WW, et al. Genetic Linkage Mapping of Economically Important Traits in Cultivated Tetraploid Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). G3. 2015;14, 5(11):2357–64. doi: 10.1534/g3.115.019646 PMID: 26374597
- Hackett CA, McLean K, Bryan G. Linkage Analysis and QTL Mapping Using SNP Dosage Data in a Tetraploid Potato Mapping Population. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8(5):e63939. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0063939 PMID: 23704960
- 26. Mosquera T, Álvarez MF, Jiménez-Gómez JM, Muktar MS, Paulo MJ, Steinemann S, et al. Targeted and Untargeted Approaches Unravel Novel Candidate Genes and Diagnostic SNPs for Quantitative Resistance of the Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) to *Phytophthora infestans* Causing the Late Blight Disease. PLoS ONE. 2016; 11(6):e0156254. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0156254 PMID: 27281327
- Rosyara UR, De Jong WS, Douches DS, Endelman JB. Software for Genome-Wide Association Studies in Autopolyploids and Its Application to Potato. Plant Genome. 2016; 9:2.
- Lindqvist-Kreuze H, Gastelo M, Perez W, Forbes GA, de Koeyer D, Bonierbale M. Phenotypic stability and genome-wide association study of late blight resistance in potato genotypes adapted to the tropical highlands. Phytopathology. 2014; 104(6):624–633. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-10-13-0270-R PMID: 24423400
- Ruggieri V, Francese G, Sacco A, D'Alessandro A, Rigano MM, Parisi M, et al. An association mapping approach to identify favourable alleles for tomato fruit quality breeding. BMC Plant Biology. 2014; 14:337. doi: 10.1186/s12870-014-0337-9 PMID: 25465385
- Urbany C, Stich B, Schmidt L, Simon L, Berding H, Junghans H, et al. Association genetics in *Solanum tuberosum* provides new insights into potato tuber bruising and enzymatic tissue discoloration. BMC Genomics. 2011; 12:7. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-7 PMID: 21208436
- Rafalski JA. Association genetics in crop improvement. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2010; 13(2):174–180. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2009.12.004 PMID: 20089441
- **32.** Mackay I, Powell W. Methods for linkage disequilibrium mapping in crops. Trends Plant Sci. 2007; 12 (2):53–63.

- 33. Gebhardt C, Ballvora A, Walkemeier B, Oberhagemann P, Schuler K. Assessing genetic potential in germplasm collections of crop plants by marker-trait association: a case study for potatoes with quantitative variation of resistance to late blight and maturity type. Molecular Breeding. 2004; 13:93.
- 34. D'hoop BB, Paulo MJ, Kowitwanich K, Sengers M, Visser RG, van Eck HJ, et al. Population structure and linkage disequilibrium unravelled in tetraploid potato. Theor. Appl.
- Simko I, Haynes KG, Jones RW. Assessment of Linkage Disequilibrium in Potato Genome With Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Markers. Genetics. 2006; 173(4):2237–2245. doi: <u>10.1534/genetics.106</u>. 060905 PMID: 16783002
- 36. Guevara. Determinación y comprobación del nivel de ploidía y conteo de cromosomas en cincuenta accesiones de papa chaucha (Solanum tuberosum grupo Phureja), procedentes del banco de germoplasma vegetal que administra corpoica. Agricultural Engineering Thesis, Universidad de Cundinamarca. 2011.
- **37.** Uribe F. Comprobación del nivel de ploidía en acceciones de papa criolla (Solanum tuberosum) grupo Phureja, pertenecientes al banco de germoplasma vegetal de corpoica. Agricultural Engineering Thesis, Universidad de Cundinamarca. 2011.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics. 2000; 155(2):945–59. PMID: 10835412
- Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. Mol. Ecol. 2005; 14(8):2611–2620. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005. 02553.x PMID: 15969739
- Earl DA, vonHoldt BM. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for visualizing STRUC-TURE output and implementing the Evanno method. Conserv. Genet. Resour. 2012; 4:359.
- **41.** Jombart T. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. Bioinformatics. 2008; 24(11):1403–1405. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129 PMID: 18397895
- Jombart T, Ahmed I. adegenet 1.3–1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP data. Bioinformatics. 2011; 27(21):3070–1. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr521 PMID: 21926124
- R Core Team. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL http://www.R-project.org/.
- Bradbury PJ, Zhang Z, Kroon DE, Casstevens TM, Ramdoss Y, Buckler ES. TASSEL: software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. Bioinformatics. 2007; 23(19):2633–5. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm308 PMID: 17586829
- 45. Excoffier L, Lischer HEL. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Mol. Eco. Resour. 2010; 10(3):564–567.
- Peakall R, Smouse PE. GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research–an update. Bioinformatics. 2012; 28(19):2537–9. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts460 PMID: 22820204
- Liu K, Muse SV. PowerMarker: an integrated analysis environment for genetic marker analysis. Bioinformatics. 2005; 21(9):2128–9. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti282 PMID: 15705655
- Rousset F. GENEPOP'007: a complete reimplementation of the GENEPOP software for Windows and Linux. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 2008; 8(1):103–106. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01931.x</u> PMID: 21585727
- 49. Nei M. Genetic Distance between Populations. Am. Nat. 1972; 106(949):283-292.
- Pembleton LW, Cogan NO, Forster JW. StAMPP: an R package for calculation of genetic differentiation and structure of mixed-ploidy level populations. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 2013; 13(5):946–52. doi: 10. 1111/1755-0998.12129 PMID: 23738873
- **51.** Felsenstein J. 2013. PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package) version 3.695. Distributed by the author. Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle.
- 52. Gómez R. 2006. Guía para las caracterizaciones morfológicas básicas en colecciones de papas nativas. En manual para caracterización in situ de cultivos nativos. Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Extensión Agraria-INIEA. pp. 26–50.
- Di Rienzo J, Casanoves F, Balzarini M, Gonzalez L, Tablada M, Robledo C. 2014. InfoStat version 2014. Group InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina, URL <u>http://www.infostat.com.ar</u>
- 54. Mantel N. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. Cancer Res. 1967; 27(2):209–20. PMID: 6018555
- 55. Sharma SK, Bolser D, de Boer J, Sonderkaer M, Amoros W, Carboni MF, et al. Construction of reference chromosome-scale pseudomolecules for potato: integrating the potato genome with genetic and physical maps. G3. 2013: 3(11);2031–2047. doi: 10.1534/g3.113.007153 PMID: 24062527

- 56. Vos PG, Paulo MJ, Voorrips RE, Visser RG, van Eck HJ, van Eeuwijk FA. Evaluation of LD decay and various LD-decay estimators in simulated and SNP-array data of tetraploid potato. Theor Appl Genet. 2017; 130(1):123–135. doi: 10.1007/s00122-016-2798-8 PMID: 27699464
- Aickin M, Gensler H. Adjusting for multiple testing when reporting research results: the Bonferroni vs Holm methods. Am. J. Public Health. 1996; 86(5):726–8. PMID: 8629727
- Keilwagen J, Kilian B, Özkan H, Babben S, Perovic D, Mayer KFX, et al. Separating the wheat from the chaff-a strategy to utilize plant genetic resources from ex-situ genebanks. Sci. Rep. 2014; 4:5231. doi: 10.1038/srep05231 PMID: 24912875
- 59. Hong L, Huachun G. Using SSR to Evaluate the Genetic Diversity of Potato Cultivars from Yunnan Province (SW China). Acta Biol. Cracov. Ser. Bot. 2014; 56(1):16–27.
- Nunziata A, Ruggieri V, Greco N, Frusciante L, Barone A. Genetic Diversity within Wild Potato Species (Solanum spp.) Revealed by AFLP and SCAR Markers. Am. J. Plant Sci. 2010; 1(2):95–103.
- Sehgal D, Vikram P, Sansaloni CP, Ortiz C, Pierre CS, Payne T, et al. Exploring and Mobilizing the Gene Bank Biodiversity for Wheat Improvement. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(7):e0132112. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0132112 PMID: 26176697
- Carputo D, Alioto D, Aversano R, Garramone R, Miraglia V, Villano C, Frusciante L. Genetic diversity among potato species as revealed by phenotypic resistances and SSR markers. Plant Genet. Resour. 2013; 11(2):131–139.
- Sim SC, Durstewitz G, Plieske J, Wieseke R, Ganal MW, Van Deynze A, et al. Development of a Large SNP Genotyping Array and Generation of High-Density Genetic Maps in Tomato. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7(7):e40563. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0040563 PMID: 22802968
- Close TJ, Bhat PR, Lonardi S, Wu Y, Rostoks N, Ramsay L, et al. Development and implementation of high-throughput SNP genotyping in barley. BMC Genomics. 2009; 10:582. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2164-</u> 10-582 PMID: 19961604
- Chen H, Xie W, He H, Yu H, Chen W, Li J, et al. A high-density SNP genotyping array for rice biology and molecular breeding. Mol. Plant. 2014; 7(3):541–53. doi: 10.1093/mp/sst135 PMID: 24121292
- 66. Obidiegwu JE, Sanetomo R, Flath K, Tacke E, Hofferbert HR, Hofmann A, et al. Genomic architecture of potato resistance to *Synchytrium endobioticum* disentangled using SSR markers and the 8.3k Sol-CAP SNP genotyping array. BMC Genetics. 2015; 16:38. doi: <u>10.1186/s12863-015-0195-y</u> PMID: 25887883
- Solano J, Mathias M, Esnault F, Brabant P. Genetic diversity among native varieties and commercial cultivars of *Solanum tuberosum* ssp. tuberosum L. present in Chile. Electron. J. Biotechnol. 2013; 16 (6).
- Sharma V, Nandineni MR. Assessment of genetic diversity among Indian potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) collection using microsatellite and retrotransposon based marker systems. Mol. Phylogenet Evol. 2014; 73:10–17. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2014.01.003 PMID: 24440815
- Galani YJH, Pooja HG, Nilesh JP, Avadh KS, Rajeshkumar RA, Jayantkumar GT. Molecular Characterization of Indian Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Varieties for Cold-Induced Sweetening Using SSR Markers. J. Plant Sci. 2015; 3(4):191–196.
- Akkale C, Yildirim Z, Yildirim MB, Kaya C, Öztürk G, Tanyolaç B. Assessing genetic diversity of some potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) genotypes grown in Turkey by using AFLP marker technique. Turk. J. Field Crops. 2010; 15(1):73–78.
- Das AB, Mohanty IC, Mahapatra D, Mohanty S, Ray A. Genetic variation of Indian potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) genotypes using chromosomal and RAPD markers. Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnol. 2010; 10(3):238–246.
- 72. Hoque ME, Huq H, Moon NJ. Molecular diversity analysis in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) through RAPD markers. SAARC J. Agri. 2013; 11(2):95–102.
- Onamu R, Legaria-Solano JP. Genetic diversity among potato varieties (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) grown in Mexico, using RAPD and ISSR markers. Rev. Mex. Cienc. Agríc. 2014; 5(4):561–575.
- Ghislain M, Spooner DM, Rodríguez F, Villamón F, Núñez J, Vásquez C, et al. Selection of highly informative and user-friendly microsatellites (SSRs) for genotyping of cultivated potato. Theor. Appl. Genet. 2004; 108(5):881–90. doi: 10.1007/s00122-003-1494-7 PMID: 14647900
- Spooner DM, Tivang J, Nienhuis J, Miller JT, Douches DS, Contreras MA. Comparison of four molecular markers in measuring relationships among the wild potato relatives *Solanum* section Etuberosum (subgenus Potatoe). Theor. Appl. Genet. 1995; 92(5):532–540.
- 76. Carputo D, Frusciante L, Peloquin SJ. The role of 2n gametes and endosperm balance number in the origin and evolution of polyploids in the tuber-bearing Solanums. Genetics. 2003; 163(1):287–294. PMID: 12586716

- 77. Wang XM, Hou XQ, Zhang YQ, Yang R, Feng SF, Li Y, et al. Genetic diversity of the endemic and medicinally important plant Rheum officinale as revealed by Inter-Simpe Sequence Repeat (ISSR) Markers. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2012; 13(3):3900–15. doi: 10.3390/ijms13033900 PMID: 22489188
- Sim SC, Robbins MD, Deynze AV, Michel AP, Francis DM. Population structure and genetic differentiation associated with breeding history and selection in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). Heredity. 2011; 106(6):927–935. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2010.139 PMID: 21081965
- Liu N, Chen L, Wang S, Oh C, Zhao H. Comparison of single-nucleotide polymorphisms and microsatellites in inference of population structure. BMC Genetics. 2005; 6(Suppl):S26.
- Hamrick JL, Godt MJW. Effects of Life History Traits on Genetic Diversity in Plants Species. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London. B. 1996;(351):1291–1298.
- Azizi A, Hadianb J, Gholamia M, Friedt W, Honermeier B. Correlations between genetic, morphological, and chemical diversities in a germplasm collection of the medicinal plant *Origanum vulgare* L. Chem. Biodivers. 2012; 9(12):2784–801. doi: 10.1002/cbdv.201200125 PMID: 23255448
- Bradshaw JE, Bryan GJ, Ramsay G. Genetic Resources (Including Wild and Cultivated Solanum Species) and Progress in their Utilisation in Potato Breeding. Potato Res. 2006; (49):49.
- Morris WL, Ducreux LJM, Bryan GJ, Taylor MA. Molecular Dissection of Sensory Traits in the Potato Tuber. Am. J. Potato Res. 2008;(85):286–297.
- Ducreux LJ, Morris WL, Prosser IM, Morris JA, Beale MH, Wright F, et al. Expression profiling of potato germplasm differentiated in quality traits leads to the identification of candidate flavour and texture genes. J. Exp. Bot. 2008;( 59):4219–4231. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ern264 PMID: 18987392
- Abouzied HM, Eldemery SMM, Abdellatif KF. SSR-based genetic diversity assessement in tetraploid and hexaploid wheat populations. British Biotechnol. J. 2013;(3):390–404.
- Malosetti M, van der Linden CG, Vosman B, van Eeuwijk FA. A mixed-model approach to association mapping using pedigree information with an illustration of resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in potato. Genetics. 2007; 175(2):879–89. doi: 10.1534/genetics.105.054932 PMID: 17151263
- Fu YB, Peterson GW, Richards KW, Tarn T, Percy JE. Genetic Diversity of Canadian and Exotic Potato Germplasm Revealed by Simple Sequence Repeat Markers. Am. J. Pot. Res. 2009; 86(1):38– 48.
- Galarreta JIR, Barandalla L, Rios DJ, Lopez R, Ritter E. Genetic relationships among local potato cultivars from Spain using SSR markers. Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. 2011;(58):383–395.
- Sukhotu T, Hosaka K. Origin and evolution of Andigena potatoes revealed by chloroplast and nuclear DNA markers. Genome. 2005; 49(6):636–647.
- Hanneman J, 1994. The testing and release of transgenic potatoes in the North American Center of diversity. In: Biosafety of Sustainable Agriculture: Sharing Biotechnology Regulatory Experiences of the Western Hemisphere (Eds. Krattiger A.F. and Rosemarin A.). ISAAA, Ithaca and SEI, Stockholm, pp. 47–67.
- Pal BP, Nath P. Genetic Nature of Self- and Cross-Incompatibility in potatoes. Nature. 1942; 149:246– 247.
- Cipar MS, Peloquin SJ, Hougas RW. Variability in the expression of self-incompatibility in tuber-bearing diploid *Solanum* species. Amer. Potato J. 1964; 41:155–162.
- Bornet B, Goraguier F, Joly G, Branchard M. Genetic diversity in European and Argentinean cultivated potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* subsp. *tuberosum*) detected by inter-simple sequence repeats (ISSRs). Genome. 2002; 45(3):481–484. PMID: 12033616
- **94.** Esfahani ST, Shiran B, Balali G. AFLP markers for the assessment of genetic diversity in european and North American potato varieties cultivated in Iran. Crop Breed. Appl. Biot. 2009; 9:75–86.
- **95.** Álvarez M. Identification of molecular markers associated with polygenic resistance to Phytophthora infestans through association mapping in Solanum tuberosum group Phureja. Doctor Thesis, Universidad Nacional de Colombia. 2014.
- 96. Duarte-Delgado D. Association genetics of sucrose, glucose, and fructose contents with SNP markers in Solanum tuberosum Group Phureja. Master Thesis, Universidad Nacional de Colombia. 2015.
- Bernal ÁM, Arias JE, Moreno JD, Valbuena I, Rodríguez LE. Detección de posibles duplicados en la Colección Central Colombiana de papa *Solanum tuberosum* subespecie Andigena a partir de caracteres morfológicos. Agronomía Colombiana. 2006; 24(2):226–237.
- Navarro C, Bolaños LC, Lagos T. Morphoagronomic and molecular characterization of 19 genotypes potato guata and chaucha (*Solanum tuberosum* L. and *Solanum Phureja* Juz et Buk) grown in the deparment of Nariño. Revista de Agronomía. 2010; XXVII:27–39.

- Madroñero IC, Rosero JE, Rodríguez LE, Navia JF, Benavides CA. Morpho-agronomic characterization of promising native creole potato genotypes (*Solanum tuberosum* L. Andigenum group) in Nariño. Temas agrarios. 2013; 18(2):50–66.
- Brown CR, Wrolstad R, Durst R, Yang CP, Clevidence B. Breeding studies in potatoes containing high concentrations of anthocyanins. Am. J. Pot. Res. 2003; 80:241–250.
- Mattila P, Hellstrom J. Phenolic acids in potatoes, vegetables, and some of their products. J. Food Compos. Anal. 2007; (20):152–160.
- 102. Arslanoglu F, Aytac S, Oner EK. Morphological Characterization of the local potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) genotypes collected from the Eastern Black Sea Region of Turkey. Afri. J. Biotechnol. 2011; 10(6):922–932
- 103. Ghebreslassie BM, Githiri SM, Mehari T, Kasili RW. Analysis of Diversity among Potato Accessions Grown in Eritrea Using Single Linkage Clustering. Am. J. Plant Sci. 2015;( 6):2122–2127.
- 104. del Rio AH, Bamberg JB. Lack of association between genetic and geographical origin characteristics for the wild potato *Solanum sucrense* Hawkes. Am. J. Pot. Res. 2002; 79:335–338.
- McGregor CE, van Treuren R, Hoekstra R, Van Hintum TJ. Analysis of the wild potato germplasm of the series Acaulia with AFLPs: implications for ex situ conservation. Theor. Appl. Genet. 2002; 104 (1):146–156. doi: 10.1007/s001220200018 PMID: 12579440
- 106. Karuri HW, Ateka EM, Amata R, Nyende AB, Muigai AWT, Mwasame E, et al. Evaluating Diversity among Kenyan Sweet Potato Genotypes Using Morphological and SSR Markers. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 2010; 12:33–38.
- 107. Arslanoglu F. Three agronomical traits of the local potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) ecotypes grown in the farmer fields in highlands of the Eastern Black Sea Region. Turk. J. Field Crops. 2008; 13(2):70– 76.
- Kujal S, Chakrabarti SK, Pandey SK, Khurana SM. Genetic divergence in tetraploid potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* subsp. *tuberosum*) as revealed by RAPD vis-à-vis morphological markers. Potato J. 2005; 32(1–2):17–27.
- 109. Spooner DM, McLean K, Ramsay G, Waugh R, Bryan GJ. A single domestication for potato based on multilocus amplified fragment length polymorphism genotyping. PNAS. 2005; 102(41):14694–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0507400102 PMID: 16203994
- 110. Solano-Solis J, Morales-Ulloa D, Anabalón-Rodríguez L. Molecular description and similarity relationships among native germplasm potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* ssp. tuberosum L.) using morphological data and AFLP markers. Electron. J. Biotechnol. 2007; 10(3).
- 111. Vieira EA, Carvalho F, Bertan I, Kopp MM, Zimmer PD, Benin G, et al. Association between genetic distances in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as estimated by AFLP and morphological markers. Gen. Mol. Bio. 2007; 30:392–399.
- 112. Würschum T, Langer SM, Longin FH, Korzun V, Akhunov E, Ebmeyer E, et al. Population structure, genetic diversity and linkage disequilibrium in elite winter wheat assessed with SNP and SSR markers. Theor. Appl. Genet. 2013; 126(6):1477–86. doi: 10.1007/s00122-013-2065-1 PMID: 23429904
- 113. Flint-Garcia SA, Thornsberry JM, Buckler ES. Structure of linkage disequilibrium in plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2003; 54:357–74. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.54.031902.134907 PMID: 14502995
- 114. Zhao Y, Wang H, Chen W, Li Y. Genetic Structure, Linkage Disequilibrium and Association Mapping of Verticillium Wilt Resistance in Elite Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Germplasm Population. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9(1):e86308. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0086308 PMID: 24466016
- 115. Adetunji I, Willems G, Tschoep H, Bürkholz A, Barnes S, Boer M, et al. Genetic diversity and linkage disequilibrium analysis in elite sugar beet breeding lines and wild beet accessions. Theor. Appl. Genet. 2014; 127(3):559–71. doi: 10.1007/s00122-013-2239-x PMID: 24292512
- 116. Li J, Lühmann AK, Weißleder K, Stich B. Genome-wide distribution of genetic diversity and linkage disequilibrium in elite sugar beet germplasm. BMC Genomics. 2011; 12:484. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-484 PMID: 21970685
- 117. Yan J, Shah T, Warburton ML, Buckler ES, McMullen MD, Crouch J. Genetic Characterization and Linkage Disequilibrium Estimation of a Global Maize Collection using SNP Markers. PloS ONE. 2009; 4(12):e8451. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008451 PMID: 20041112
- 118. Hao D, Zhang Z, Cheng Y, Chen G, Lu H, Mao Y, et al. Identification of Genetic Differentiation between Waxy and Common Maize by SNP Genotyping. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(11):e0142585. doi: <u>10.1371/</u> journal.pone.0142585 PMID: 26566240
- 119. Pasam RK, Sharma R, Malosetti M, van Eeuwijk FA, Haseneyer G, Kilian B, et al. Genome-wide association studies for agronomical traits in a world wide spring barley collection. BMC Plant Biol. 2012; 12:16. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-12-16 PMID: 22284310

- 120. Sakiroglu M, Sherman-Broyles S, Story A, Moore KJ, Doyle JJ, Charles-Brummer E. Patterns of linkage disequilibrium and association mapping in diploid alfalfa (*M. sativa* L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 2012; 125(3):577–90. doi: 10.1007/s00122-012-1854-2 PMID: 22476875
- 121. Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium. Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. Nature. 475:189–195. doi: 10.1038/nature10158 PMID: 21743474
- 122. Sharma SK, Bolser D, de Boer J, Sønderkær M, Amoros W, Carboni MF, et al. Construction of Reference chromosome-scale pseudomolecules for potato: integrating the potato genome with genetic and physical maps. G3 (Bethesda). 2013; 3(11):2031–47.
- 123. van Os H, Andrzejewski S, Bakker E, Barrena I, Bryan GJ, Caromel B, et al. Construction of a 10,000-marker ultradense genetic recombination map of potato: providing a framework for accelerated gene isolation and a genome-wide physical map. Genetics. 2006; 173(2):1075–87. doi: 10.1534/genetics. 106.055871 PMID: 16582432
- 124. Eichhorn S, Winterhalter P. Anthocyanins from pigmented potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) varieties. Food Res. Int. 2005; 38(8–9):943–948.
- 125. Endelman JB, Jansky SH. Genetic mapping with an inbred line-derived F2 population in potato. Theor. Appl. Genet. 2016; 129(5):935–43. doi: 10.1007/s00122-016-2673-7 PMID: 26849236