### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Ecology and Evolution

WILEY

# Wolbachia affect behavior and possibly reproductive compatibility but not thermoresistance, fecundity, and morphology in a novel transinfected host, *Drosophila nigrosparsa*

Matsapume Detcharoen<sup>1</sup> | Wolfgang Arthofer<sup>1</sup> | Francis M. Jiggins<sup>2</sup> | Florian M. Steiner<sup>1</sup> | Birgit C. Schlick-Steiner<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ecology, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

#### Correspondence

Matsapume Detcharoen. Department of Ecology, Molecular Ecology Group, University of Innsbruck, Technikerstr. 25, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria. Email: mdetcharoen@gmail.com

#### Abstract

Wolbachia, intracellular endosymbionts, are estimated to infect about half of all arthropod species. These bacteria manipulate their hosts in various ways for their maximum benefits. The rising global temperature may accelerate species migration, and thus, horizontal transfer of *Wolbachia* may occur across species previously not in contact. We transinfected and then cured the alpine fly *Drosophila nigrosparsa* with *Wolbachia* strain wMel to study its effects on this species. We found low *Wolbachia* titer, possibly cytoplasmic incompatibility, and an increase in locomotion of both infected larvae and adults compared with cured ones. However, no change in fecundity, no impact on heat and cold tolerance, and no change in wing morphology were observed. Although *Wolbachia* increased locomotor activities in this species, we conclude that *D. nigrosparsa* may not benefit from the infection. Still, *D. nigrosparsa* can serve as a host for *Wolbachia* because vertical transmission is possible but may not be as high as in the native host of wMel, *Drosophila melanogaster*.

#### KEYWORDS

behavior, cytoplasmic incompatibility, Drosophila, endosymbionts, horizontal transfer

# 1 | INTRODUCTION

Wolbachia are intracellular Alphaproteobacteria belonging to the Rickettsiales group (Hertig, 1936; Lo et al., 2007). These bacteria are found in around 40%–60% of arthropod species (Sazama, Bosch, Shouldis, Ouellette, & Wesner, 2017; Zug & Hammerstein, 2012), including many species of *Drosophila* (Turelli et al., 2018), but *Wolbachia* diversity remains largely unknown (Detcharoen, Arthofer, Schlick-Steiner, & Steiner, 2019). *Wolbachia* are mainly maternally transmitted, but horizontal transfer has also been observed (Schuler et al., 2013; Werren, Baldo, & Clark, 2008). They have been dubbed as master manipulators (Werren et al., 2008) as they can manipulate their host biology and morphology. The four major phenotypes known are cytoplasmic incompatibility, feminization, male killing, and parthenogenesis (Werren et al., 2008). Among these effects, cytoplasmic incompatibility is the most studied (Werren et al., 2008). This effect occurs when *Wolbachia*infected males mate with uninfected females and results in early

Florian M. Steiner and Birgit C. Schlick-Steiner contributed equally as senior authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2020 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

II **FV**\_Ecology and Evolution

embryonic death. It has been proposed that cytoplasmic incompatibility can promote host speciation by inducing reproductive barriers when the same host species hosts multiple, incompatible strains (Sinkins et al., 2005).

Wolbachia also have been shown to affect the morphology of their arthropod hosts, for example, in wing size and shape (Dutra et al., 2016; Kriesner, Conner, Weeks, Turelli, & Hoffmann, 2016) and larva size (Dutra et al., 2016). Depending on the particular hoststrain interaction, host animals can also benefit from Wolbachia infection. wMel-infected Drosophila melanogaster were reported to have higher fecundity, higher mating rate, and longer wings (Table 1) than uninfected individuals. Laodelphax striatellus planthoppers infected with wStri also had higher fecundity than uninfected ones (Guo et al., 2018). Bigger body size and longer life span were reported in Callosobruchus chinensis beetles infected with wBruCon, wBruOri, and wBruAus (Okavama, Katsuki, Sumida, & Okada, 2016). Cimex lectularius bedbugs require vitamin B provided by Wolbachia wCle for development (Hosokawa, Koga, Kikuchi, Meng, & Fukatsu, 2010). Wolbachia can also provide virus resistance in many species, including D. melanogaster infected with wMel, wMelCS, or wMelPop (Chrostek et al., 2013; Teixeira, Ferreira, & Ashburner, 2008), and

wAtab3 is required for proper oogenesis in the wasp Asobara tabida (Dedeine, Vavre, Shoemaker, & Boulétreau, 2004).

Increasing global temperature affects animal physiology and distribution (Hoffmann & Sgrò, 2011). Many animals migrate toward cooler environments (Hickling, Roy, Hill, Fox, & Thomas, 2006; Sparks, Roy, & Dennis, 2005) and reach areas previously unoccupied by these species (Dale et al., 2001; Parmesan & Yohe, 2003). This migration might increase the chance of animals to become infected by novel pathogens and diseases (Bebber, Ramotowski, & Gurr, 2013). For example, *Wolbachia* from the European cherry fruit fly, *Rhagoletis cerasi*, has moved to the invasive North American eastern cherry fruit fly, *Rhagoletis cingulata* (Schuler et al., 2013, 2016). In *Paratrechina longicornis*, an invasive ant species, *Wolbachia* strain wLonF, is horizontally transmitted among populations more often than another strain, wLonA (Tseng et al., 2019).

Endosymbionts have been shown to affect hosts' thermal biology. *Drosophila melanogaster* individuals infected with *Wolbachia* wMel, wMelCS, or wMelPop preferred cooler temperatures compared with uninfected ones (Arnold, Levin, Stevanovic, & Johnson, 2019; Truitt, Kapun, Kaur, & Miller, 2019). Brumin, Kontsedalov, and Ghanim (2011) reported that *Bemisia tabaci* whiteflies infected with

**TABLE 1** Known effects of Wolbachia wMel on some infected host species

	Original host	Transinfected hosts		
Effect	Drosophila melanogaster	Drosophila simulans	Aedes albopictus	Aedes aegypti
Titer ( <i>Wolbachia</i> genomes/host genome) (*relative titer values not shown)	1-2 (Chrostek et al., 2013)	*	Ca. 90 (Moretti et al., 2018)	Ca. 10 (Walker et al., 2011)
Cytoplasmic incompatibility	Weak (Hoffmann, Hercus, & Dagher, 1998)	Strong (Poinsot et al., 1998)	Strong (Blagrove, Arias- Goeta, Failloux, & Sinkins, 2012)	Strong (Hoffmann, Coy, Gibbard, et al., 2014; Hoffmann, Iturbe-Ormaetxe, et al., 2014; Walker et al., 2011)
Fecundity	Higher fecundity compared with uninfected (Fry et al., 2004; Serga, Maistrenko, Rozhok, Mousseau, & Kozeretska, 2014)		Lower than uninfected (Hoffmann, Coy, Gibbard, et al., 2014; Hoffmann, Iturbe- Ormaetxe, et al., 2014)	No significant difference to uninfected (Walker et al., 2011)
Knockdown temperatures	No effect on knockdown time at 39°C (Harcombe & Hoffmann, 2004)			
Adult locomotion	Longer sleep (Bi, Sehgal, Williams, & Wang, 2018), Reduced activities at night (Morioka, Oida, Tsuchida, & Ikeda, 2018), Higher mating rate in males (De Crespigny, Pitt, & Wedell, 2006)	Higher mating rate in males (De Crespigny et al., 2006)		
Geometric morphometrics	Larger wing length (Kriesner et al., 2016)			Smaller wing size than uninfected (Dutra et al., 2016), no effect (Ross, Endersby, & Hoffmann, 2016)

the endosymbiont Rickettsia had higher heat tolerance than uninfected ones.

Drosophila nigrosparsa is a species restricted to montane and alpine areas in Central and Western Europe with its main distribution around 2,000 m above sea level (Bächli & Burla, 1985; Bächli, Vilela, Escher, & Saura, 2004). Under simulated conditions of alpine summer, these flies have around 60 days of development time from embryos to adults (Kinzner et al., 2016). Several life history traits and physiological limits of D. nigrosparsa have been studied before (Kinzner et al., 2016, 2018; Tratter Kinzner et al., 2019). Drosophila nigrosparsa is less fecund and relatively long living compared with other Drosophila species, and it is well adapted to current cold and hot temperatures (Kinzner et al., 2018). However, this fly species did not evolve increased heat resistance in selection experiments when maximum temperature was further increased (Kinzner et al., 2019). Although Wolbachia infect many species of Drosophila, all samples of D. nigrosparsa tested so far were uninfected (data not shown). As D. *nigrosparsa* likely cannot adapt to warming temperature, they might migrate to other areas. Such migration may result in whole communities becoming mixed up, and Wolbachia may have the chance to encounter hitherto uninfected hosts including D. nigrosparsa. Wolbachia may impact the fly's performance such as in terms of reproductive, thermal and behavioral biology, and morphology.

Here, we focus on effects of Wolbachia on the new host Drosophila nigrosparsa. We aimed at uncovering phenotypic effects of Wolbachia, that is, Wolbachia titer fluctuation across fly ages, cytoplasmic incompatibility and fecundity, heat and cold tolerance, larval and adult locomotion, and wing geometric morphometrics. Using microinjection, three strains of Wolbachia commonly found in Drosophila, wMel, wMelPop, and wMelCS were transinfected into D. nigrosparsa. Subsequent generations of stably Wolbachiainfected, Wolbachia-cured, and naturally uninfected flies were characterized.

#### 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drosophila nigrosparsa naturally uninfected with Wolbachia was collected from Kaserstattalm in Stubai Valley, Tyrol, Austria (47.13°N, 11.30°E) in 2010 (Kinzner et al., 2018), and the isofemale line iso12 was established (Arthofer et al., 2015; Cicconardi et al., 2017). In this study, a subpopulation of iso12 approximately 60 generations after its establishment was used as the uninfected line nu\_0 (Figure 1). Wolbachia status was checked using Wolbachia 16S (O'Neill, Giordano, Colbert, Karr, & Robertson, 1992) and wsp81F and wsp691R primers (Braig, Zhou, Dobson, & O'Neill, 1998); Cardinium infection was checked using CLO-f1 and CLO-r1 (Gotoh, Noda, & Ito, 2007) and Ch-F and Ch-R primers (Zchori-Fein & Perlman, 2004), Spiroplasma using primers ApDNAAF1 and ApDNAAR1 (Fukatsu, Tsuchida, Nikoh, & Koga, 2001) and p18-F and p18-R (Jaenike, Stahlhut, Boelio, & Unckless, 2010). Primers R1 and R2 (Williams et al., 1992) were used to test for Rickettsia infection.



**FIGURE 1** Drosophila nigrosparsa uninfected line nu 0 was successfully transinfected with Wolbachia wMel. Generation 1 started after the establishment of three infected lines (ni\_3, ni\_6, and ni\_8). Each fly line was kept at a census size of approximately 50 males and 50 females in every generation. Subsequent generations of uninfected and infected lines were used for the experiments

For flies of all lines used in this study, around 50 adult males and 50 adult females were put in a mating cage modified from Kinzner et al. (2018) and supplied with grape juice agar, malt food, and fresh yeast for embryo collection. Food was changed every 5 days. Embryos and larvae were collected and transferred to glass vials filled with malt food at a density of around 80 eggs per vial. All flies were reared at 19°C, 70% humidity, and a 16 hr:8 hr light:dark cycle.

#### Wolbachia transinfection 2.1

Cytoplasm containing a single Wolbachia strain from Drosophila melanogaster (either wMel provided by Luis Teixeira, wMelCS, or wMelPop provided by Francis M. Jiggins and Julien Martinez) was injected into the posterior end of dechorionated embryos of the uninfected D. nigrosparsa line nu\_0 at Generation 0 using a micromanipulator (M-152, Narishige) with a capillary (BF100-78-10, Sutter Instrument) attached to an inverted microscope (CKX53, Olympus). Injected embryos were placed on grape juice agar plates with fresh blobs of yeast and transferred into an incubator (MLR-352H-PE, Panasonic) for 2 days at 19°C. Injected embryos developed on malt food. Each surviving female adult was mated with an uninfected male from line nu\_0 to generate infected fly lines, and each mating pair was kept separately in the mating cage. Three stably infected lines were generated, that is, ni\_3, ni\_6, and ni\_8. To check for Wolbachia infection, five D. nigrosparsa females per line were randomly killed in each generation, and DNA was extracted and checked for infection by PCR using wsp81F and 691R primers.

# 2.2 | Quantification of Wolbachia

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was used to quantify *Wolbachia* density at Generation 12. At every other day from Day 1 to Day 31 after eclosion, three adult females from each stably infected line were randomly collected and checked for *Wolbachia* titer. DNA was extracted individually from each fly using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN). The relative number of *Wolbachia* cells per host cell was quantified with *Wolbachia* wsp81F and 691R primers and *D. nigrosparsa* microsatellite DN38 primers (Arthofer, Heussler, Krapf, Schlick-Steiner, & Steiner, 2013) as internal standard. All qPCRs were performed using Rotor-Gene SYBR Green PCR Kit (QIAGEN) with two technical replicates for each sample on a Rotor-Gene Q instrument (QIAGEN). ANOVA was used to test for titer differences among lines. All statistical analyses were done in R (R Core Team, 2018) and  $\alpha = 0.05$  was used throughout all analyses. All graphics were created using the R package ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016).

### 2.3 | Curing from Wolbachia

In Generation 14 after transinfection, two subpopulations of each stably *Wolbachia*-infected fly line were treated with tetracycline hydrochloride (lot number SLBQ2368V, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) mixed in the malt food at final concentrations of 0.01% (Miller, Ehrman, & Schneider, 2010) or 0.05% (Schneider et al., 2013). After three generations of treatment, flies were transferred to normal malt food for another two generations to eliminate effects of tetracycline (Ballard & Melvin, 2007; Chatzispyrou, Held, Mouchiroud, Auwerx, & Houtkooper, 2015). Three cured lines, namely nc\_3, nc\_6, and nc\_8, were generated. Five female flies of every cured generation were randomly collected and checked for *Wolbachia* infection by PCR using the primers wsp81F and 691R.

#### 2.4 | Cytoplasmic incompatibility test and fecundity

The cytoplasmic incompatibility level was assessed at Generation 19 by crossing infected, cured, and uninfected flies in all possible combinations except crosses between infected and cured flies. Five one-day-old virgin females were allowed to mate with five males of the same age from a different line in a mating cage, three cages per cross. Drosophila nigrosparsa females start laying eggs 7 days after their first mating, and the larvae hatch 2 days after egg laying (data not shown). Thus, flies were allowed to mate for 7 days. Males were removed on the eighth day, and each female was individualized into a perforated 50-ml centrifuge tube (Sarstedt, Germany) supplied with grape juice agar, malt food, and live yeast. The number of eggs laid per female and the number of hatched larvae were counted on Day 9 and Day 14, respectively. Significance in hatching was analyzed using a generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood with a binomial error structure and logit link function implemented in the R package Ime4 (Bates, Mächler, Bolker, & Walker, 2015). The number of eggs laid and the infection status of females were used as fixed effects and lines as random effect.

# 2.5 | Critical maximum and minimum and heat knockdown temperatures

Critical temperature experiments were modified from Kinzner et al. (2018). In Generation 19, seven-day-old female flies of infected (ni\_3, ni\_6, and ni\_8), cured (nc\_3, nc\_6, and nc\_8), and uninfected (nu\_0) lines were used. Flies were separated under carbon dioxide anesthesia 2 days before the experiments. On the days of experiments, flies were placed at room temperature for 1 hr before the experiments started and were transferred to 5-ml vials without anesthesia immediately before the experiments.

For the critical maximum and minimum temperature assays (CTmax and CTmin, respectively), three females from the same line were transferred into a 5-ml vial, four vials per temperature. The fly-containing vials were sealed and exposed in a water bath for 5 min to six different temperatures from 37 to 39°C for CTmax and 0.5 to 3.5°C for CTmin with 0.5°C intervals. Temperatures from the thermostat reservoir (VWR, USA) and from a thermometer (Ebro TFX430, Xylem Analytics) inside the control vial were removed from the water bath, and the flies were checked quickly for coma by tapping the vials. Flies were discarded after each run.

For the heat knockdown assay, three females were transferred into a 5-ml vial, four replicates per line. The vials were sealed and submerged in a transparent water bath with continuously increasing temperature from 25°C to 39°C at a rate of 0.47°C/min. Temperature was measured as described above. The number of flies in coma and the temperature inside the vials were recorded throughout the assay every 30 s.

The percentages of flies in coma in each vial of the CTmax and CTmin experiments were used to calculate generalized linear mixed models by maximum likelihood with a binomial error structure and logit link function of flies in coma against temperature. For heat knockdown, the temperature of each fly that was in coma was used. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) between infected and cured lines and *t* test between infected and their cured lines were performed. Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons was used.

# 2.6 | Locomotion

In Generation 19, 20 larvae at the age of 5 days from each infected and cured line and 31 larvae from the uninfected line were randomly collected. The experimental setup for assessing larval mobility was modified from Brooks, Vishal, Kawakami, Bouyain, and Geisbrecht (2016). Briefly, each larva was put on 2% agarose in a 55 mm petri dish over a light pad (A4 Light Box, M.Way, China). The order of lines scored was randomized, and all larvae were recorded at the same time of the day (9–12 hr). The crawling path of each larva was recorded for 3 min using a video camera (XR155 Full HD, Sony, Japan). Total crawling distance (mm) and mean speed (mm/s) were analyzed using wrMTrck plugin (Nussbaum-Krammer, Neto, Brielmann, Pedersen, & Morimoto, 2015) implemented in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012), a version of ImageJ (Schneider, Rasband, & Eliceiri, 2012) with slight modifications as described by Brooks et al., (2016).

The adult locomotion experiment Rapid Iterative Negative Geotaxis (RING) was modified from (Gargano, Martin, Bhandari, & Grotewiel, 2005). In Generation 19, 14-day-old females from infected, cured, and uninfected lines were anesthetized with carbon dioxide for sexing and separated 2 days before the experiment. Ten female adults from each infected and cured line and 28 female adults from the uninfected line were used. Each female was transferred into a vial (100 × 24 × 1 mm, Scherf-Präzision Europa) and placed at room temperature an hour before the experiment. Fly-containing vials were tapped quickly so that all flies fell to the bottom, and locomotion activities (jumping and walking) were video recorded using a video camera (XR155 Full HD) for 3 min. All lines were included in each run, and the fly-containing vials were randomly placed inside the RING apparatus. All glass vials used were cleaned with heptane 2 days before the experiment. Stack images of the recorded videos were used for analysis using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). The numbers of jumps and walks of each fly were counted manually.

For both larval and adult locomotion, nested ANOVAs were used to test for differences among lines within infection status. *F* tests and *t* tests were used to test between infected and their corresponding cured lines.

### 2.7 | Wing geometric morphometrics

Two-week-old female flies from infected, cured, and uninfected lines of Generation 19 were used (n = 35 for each infected and cured lines, and n = 66 for uninfected line) to detect potential effects of *Wolbachia* infection on the morphology of *D. nigrosparsa*. Both left and right wings were photographed from the upper and lower side using a Leica Z6 APO macroscope equipped with a 2.0× objective lens and a Leica MC190 HD camera connected to the Leica Application Suite version 4.0 (Leica Microsystems). Wing images were combined into a tps file using tpsUtil64 version 1.76 (https://life.bio.sunysb.edu/morph/soft-utility.html). Thirteen landmarks on each wing (Figure 6b) were marked manually using tpsDig2 version 2.31 (https://life.bio.sunysb.edu/morph/soft-dataacq.html).

MorphoJ version 1.06d (Klingenberg, 2011) was used to process the tps file. Images were aligned by the principal axis. Outliers were removed as detected by the cumulative distribution of the squared Mahalanobis distance. The potential imaging error between the upper and lower sides of the wings was accessed using Procrustes ANOVA. The average Procrustes coordinates of upper and lower sides of each wing and between left and right wings of each individual fly were computed. The covariance matrix was used to generate principal component analyses (PCA) with 10,000 permutations. WILEY

Regression of Procrustes distance against centroid size, pooled within lines and infection status, was calculated. The residuals from the regression between Procrustes coordinates and centroid size were used for canonical variate analysis (CVA) of all fly lines with 10,000 permutations. Discriminant analysis between wings of infected and cured lines was performed. Procrustes ANOVA was performed. Asymmetry on size and shape between left and right wings between infected and cured lines was calculated as previously described (Padró, Carreira, Corio, Hasson, & Soto, 2014). In brief, Pearson correlations were used between mean individual wing size and the difference between left and right wings for size asymmetry, and Procrustes ANOVA of wing shape was used for shape asymmetry.

# 3 | RESULTS

Drosophila nigrosparsa line nu\_0 was found to be not infected with Wolbachia, Cardinium, Spiroplasma, and Rickettsia before the start of our experiments.

#### 3.1 | Wolbachia transinfection

Of all injected embryos, only embryos of *D. nigrosparsa* nu\_0 injected with *Wolbachia* wMel survived to adults. From 396 injected embryos, 145 larvae hatched, and 89 of them eclosed. Of these, 39 were females. Stable wMel infection was detected after three, six, and seven generations for lines ni\_3, ni\_6, and ni\_8, respectively.

For the other two Wolbachia strains, we injected 1,333 embryos with Wolbachia strain wMelPop (11 attempts) and 2,093 embryos with wMelCS (16 attempts). None of the embryos injected with wMelPop survived, and only two adult flies injected with wMelCS eclosed. We observed that most injected embryos died as larvae, and a few larvae injected with wMelCS died during pupation.

### 3.2 | Quantification of Wolbachia

The Wolbachia titer of all infected lines of Generation 12 was generally low. We observed, on average (mean  $\pm$  standard error), 0.04  $\pm$  0.01, 0.06  $\pm$  0.01, and 0.06  $\pm$  0.01 *Wolbachia* genomes per fly genome in the first 13 days for ni\_3, ni\_6, and ni\_8, respectively (n = 21 per line). Wolbachia titer increased and reached the highest density after the second week (Figure 2). In general, line ni\_8 had lower *Wolbachia* titer than lines ni\_3 and ni\_6. Because of this high variation in *Wolbachia* titer, we refrained from statistical tests for differences across lines and treatments.

## 3.3 | Curing from Wolbachia

We did not detect *Wolbachia* with PCR during the treatment with 0.01 or 0.05% concentrations of tetracycline. However, we detected



**FIGURE 2** Wolbachia titer at different ages after eclosion of the Wolbachia wMel-infected Drosophila nigrosparsa lines ni\_3, ni\_6, and ni\_8 of Generation 12 quantified using qPCR. Three female flies per infected line were collected every other day. Each data point represents a biological replicate, two technical replicates were measured for each sample, and lines are mean Wolbachia titer. Wolbachia titer in all three lines varied as flies aged

Wolbachia in all lines in the first generation after having stopped treating the flies with 0.01% tetracycline. Wolbachia were successfully removed with 0.05% tetracycline. The third generation of flies after treatment with 0.05% tetracycline was used for further experiments.

#### 3.4 | Cytoplasmic incompatibility and fecundity

Each female laid on average (mean ± standard error) between 9.3 ± 3.5 and 15.7 ± 4.6 eggs for crosses between infected lines, 8.2 ± 2.0 and 13.0 ± 2.7 eggs between cured lines, and 12.1 ± 1.6 eggs for uninfected line. There was no significant difference in eggs laid among lines (ANOVA;  $F_{6,94} = 0.68$ , p = .67) and between infection statuses of female flies (generalized linear models; z = -1.22, p = .22).

Crosses between infected males and females yielded similar percent hatch per cross to those between uninfected flies (mean ± standard error: 73.6 ± 6.1% and 84.7 ± 8.9%, respectively). Hatch rate dropped from 60.7 ± 5.4% in crosses of uninfected males with infected females (expected compatible cross) to just 37.7 ± 3.8% in crosses of infected males with uninfected females (expected incompatible cross) (Figure 3), but these two groups were not significantly different (generalized linear models; z = -1.35, p = .18).

# 3.5 | Critical maximum and minimum and heat knockdown temperatures

For CTmax and CTmin, generalized linear models of the numbers of flies in coma against temperatures were significant in many lines (Table 2). Temperatures at which fifty percent of flies fell in coma (CT<sub>50%</sub>) were between 37.89 and 38.35°C for CTmax and between 1.54 and 2.23°C for CTmin. We did not observe any statistically significant difference between infected and cured lines in responses to

temperature for CTmax (ANCOVA;  $F_{1,94} = 0.10$ , p = .76) nor CTmin (ANCOVA;  $F_{1,94} = 0.42$ , p = .52). t tests between infected and corresponding cured lines were not significant.

For heat knockdown, flies fell in coma, on average, between 37.88 ± 0.98°C from line ni\_8 and 38.66 ± 0.61°C from line nc\_6 (Figure 4). Knockdown temperatures did not differ significantly between infected and cured lines (ANCOVA;  $F_{1,65} = 1.52$ , p = .22).

In all three experiments, we found no significant difference between flies of cured and uninfected lines (ANCOVA;  $F_{1,62}$  = 0.22, p = .88,  $F_{1,62}$  = 0.30, p = .58, and  $F_{1,47}$  = 2.49, p = .12, for CTmax, CTmin, and knockdown, respectively).

# 3.6 | Locomotion

For larval locomotion, infected line ni\_8 had the highest mean crawling speed and the longest mean distance (Figure 5a,b, respectively). This infected line crawled significantly faster (*t* test, *p* < .01) and had longer distances (*p* < .01) compared with its cured counterpart, nc\_8. Nonetheless, mean crawling speed and crawling distances did not differ significantly between infected and cured lines (Nested ANOVA, speed,  $F_{1,4} = 0.87$ , *p* = .40; distance,  $F_{1,4} = 1.68$ , *p* = .26). In addition, we found no difference between cured and uninfected lines in any of the two larval activities (nested ANOVA;  $F_{1,2} = 0.44$ , *p* = .58 and  $F_{1,2} = 1.40$ , *p* = .36 for average speed and total length, respectively).

In adults, infected lines had higher activities than cured lines (Figure 5c,d). Lines ni\_3 and nc\_3 differed significantly in both walk and jump activities (*t* test, *p* = .04 and 0.03, respectively). Lines ni\_6 and nc\_6 differed significantly in walk activity (*t* test, *p* = .03). No significant difference for walk (Nested ANOVA,  $F_{1,4} = 5.27$ , *p* = .08) nor jump activity (Nested ANOVA,  $F_{1,4} = 5.16$ , *p* = .09) between infected and cured lines was found. Comparison between cured and



**FIGURE 3** Number of eggs laid (a) and percent egg hatch of crossing between male and female of each group (b). Flies were allowed to mate for 8 days, and the numbers of eggs and of hatched larvae were counted on Day 9 and Day 14, respectively. The numbers of eggs laid were not significantly different among crosses. The hatch rates were reduced in crosses of uninfected males with infected females compared with crosses of infected males with uninfected females

s of m						
	Experiment	Lines	Slope	Intercept	Models p-value	CT <sub>50%</sub>
e CTmax _6, and CTmin	CTmax	ni_3	0.64	-23.93	.04	38.23
		ni_6	0.43	-15.87	.07	38.32
		ni_8	0.46	-17.07	.05	38.01
		nc_3	0.51	-18.96	.05	38.05
		nc_6	0.51	-19.08	.04	38.16
		nc_8	0.46	-17.00	.06	38.25
		nu_0	0.51	-18.76	.04	38.12
	CTmin	ni_3	-0.28	0.94	.20	1.61
		ni_6	-0.47	1.50	.05	2.14
		ni_8	-0.27	0.99	.23	1.81
		nc_3	-0.36	1.22	.12	2.02
		nc_6	-0.30	1.02	.18	1.79
		nc_8	-0.45	1.51	.06	2.23
		nu_0	-0.59	1.82	.03	2.24

**TABLE 2** Generalized linear models of critical maximum (CTmax) and minimum (CTmin) temperatures and temperature at which 50% of flies (infected ni\_3, ni\_6, and ni\_8; cured nc\_3, nc\_6, and nc\_8; and uninfected nu\_0) fell in coma (CT<sub>50%</sub>)

uninfected lines found no significant difference in adult walk activity (nested ANOVA;  $F_{1,2} = 4.61$ , p = .17), but we found that uninfected flies had higher jump activity in uninfected than cured flies ( $F_{1,2} = 58.09$ , p = .02). However, between infected and uninfected lines, there was no difference in any of the two ( $F_{1,2} = 1.03$ , p = .42 and  $F_{1,2} = 18.11$ , p = .05, for walk activity and jump activity, respectively).

# 3.7 | Wing geometric morphometrics

The imaging of wings can be assessed as done accurately, and in that the mean squares of imaging error were very low for both centroid size and shape (2.75 and 4.54 times lower than individual by side interactions for centroid size and shape, respectively). We found significant difference among fly lines in both size and shape of the wings (Procrustes ANOVA; size,  $F_{6,1} = 456.5$ , p < .001; shape,  $F_{132,22} = 99.1$ , p < .001). Canonical variate analysis after removing 6.5% of total variation within lines, calculated from regression, revealed that infected and the corresponding cured lines were similar to each other (Figure 6a).

There was no difference in average shape of all cured and infected lines (Figure 6b). When comparing infected and its corresponding cured lines, we observed significant changes in centroid size and shape between ni\_3 and nc\_3 (size,  $F_{1,1} = 509.87$ , p = .03;



**FIGURE 4** Heat knockdown temperatures of 7-day-old female *Drosophila nigrosparsa* infected (ni\_3, ni\_6, and ni\_8), cured (nc\_3, nc\_6, and nc\_8), and uninfected (nu\_0) adults. Black bars indicate mean knockdown temperatures

shape,  $F_{22,22} = 104.27$ , p < .01) and ni\_6 and nc\_6 (size,  $F_{1,1} = 4,815.15$ , p = .01; shape,  $F_{22,22} = 26.99$ , p < .01), and significant difference in shape between ni\_8 and nc\_8 (shape,  $F_{22,22} = 2.78$ , p = .01). Centroid size and shape of infected and cured lines differed significantly from naturally uninfected line nu\_0 (p < .05). However, there was a small distance between groups relative to within-group variation (Mahalanobis distance = 1.20), and most flies were assigned into wrong groups. There was no significant difference in size and shape asymmetry between left and right wings (p > .05).

# 4 | DISCUSSION

Transinfection is a useful tool to investigate effects of *Wolbachia* on new host species (Hughes & Rasgon, 2014), and in that it provides possibility to study a broad range of phenotypic effects on the host. We used transinfection to study effects of *Wolbachia* on *D. nigrosparsa* because this fly species may become infected by *Wolbachia* in the future by horizontal transmission upon contact with other arthropod species as a result of climate change triggered migration. We successfully transinfected *Wolbachia* wMel into embryos of *D. nigrosparsa* but failed to transinfect two other strains, wMelPop and wMelCS.

There are various potential reasons for the unsuccessful transinfection of wMelPop and wMelCS into *D. nigrosparsa*. Although all *Wolbachia* strains used in our study are closely related (Riegler, Sidhu, Miller, & O'Neill, 2005; Woolfit et al., 2013), they differ in



**FIGURE 5** Mean speed (a) and total distance (b) of larvae crawled in 3 min (N = 10 each for infected and cured lines, 28 for uninfected line) and walk (c) and jump (d) activities of adult flies (<math>N = 20 each for infected and cured lines, 31 for uninfected line). Plots show different y-scales

pathogenicity (van den Hurk et al., 2012; Woolfit et al., 2013). Bothstem cewMelPop and wMelCS are more virulent than wMel, have higherXi, 2008titer inside their host, and cause early death in Drosophila melano-To cegaster (Chrostek et al., 2013; Min & Benzer, 1997). In addition, higherconcentpathogenicity was observed in wMelPop when transinfected intohas been

Drosophila simulans and Aedes albopictus compared with its native host, D. melanogaster (McGraw, Merritt, Droller, & O'Neill, 2001; Suh, Mercer, Fu, & Dobson, 2009). High autophagic activity against Wolbachia in a novel host (Le Clec'h et al., 2012) might also explain our unsuccessful transinfection.

Wolbachia titer in their hosts depends on numerous factors. The same Wolbachia strain can have different titers in different host genotypes (Early & Clark, 2013; Lu, Bian, Pan, & Xi, 2012; McGraw, Merritt, Droller, & O'Neill, 2002) (Table 1), and, within a host, titers vary among tissues such that, for example, higher titers were observed in reproductive than in somatic tissues (Martinez et al., 2015; Osborne, Iturbe-Ormaetxe, Brownlie, O'Neill, & Johnson, 2012). In addition, Wolbachia titer might be higher if *D. nigrosparsa* was raised at a temperature cooler than 19°C, as in our experiment, because higher Wolbachia density was detected in *D. melanogaster* developed at cool temperatures than those developed at warm temperatures (Moghadam et al., 2018).

Titer can also change with host age as observed in many arthropods including *Drosophila* spp. (Chrostek et al., 2013; McGraw et al., 2002; Tortosa et al., 2010; Unckless, Boelio, Herren, & Jaenike, 2009). The *Wolbachia* titer we observed (Figure 2) is likely to correlate with egg-laying activity in *D. nigrosparsa*, which was reported to peak between the second and the fourth week (Kinzner et al., 2018). As *Wolbachia* are mainly found within host's reproductive tissues (Frydman, Li, Robson, & Wieschaus, 2006; Werren, 1997), the declining of *Wolbachia* titer when the flies neared completion of their fourth week could be explained by the declining of germline stem cell division with increasing individual age (Zhao, Xuan, Li, & Xi, 2008).

To cure *D. nigrosparsa* from *Wolbachia*, we tried two tetracycline concentrations, 0.01 and 0.05%. High tetracycline concentration has been reported to have negative fitness effects on hosts during the process of curing (Miller et al., 2010), and lower concentrations should therefore be preferred. However, the 0.01% concentration was too low to eliminate *Wolbachia*, in line with observations made on *Wolbachia*-infected *Drosophila paulistorum* (Miller et al., 2010). In addition, both *D. nigrosparsa* treated with 0.01% and 0.05% tetracycline suffered from low fecundity and low hatch rates (data not shown). We waited for another two generations before using them for our remaining experiments to recover flies from tetracycline because effects of tetracycline on mitochondrial density and metabolism can last up to two generations after treatment (Ballard & Melvin, 2007).

We note that the recovering time of hosts after antibiotic treatment is important. Effects of antibiotics on the fly hosts were eliminated entirely within a few generations after treatment (Chaplinska, Gerritsma, Dini-Andreote, Falcao Salles, & Wertheim, 2016; Fry, Palmer, & Rand, 2004). However, after five generations, the effects of antibiotics were not fully eliminated in *D. simulans* (Poinsot & Mercot, 1997). To better evaluate potential effects of antibiotics on *D. nigrosparsa*, comparisons over multiple generations between uninfected flies never treated with tetracycline and uninfected flies after tetracycline treatment should be done.

Cytoplasmic incompatibility is the most commonly observed phenotype of *Wolbachia* on their hosts (Werren et al., 2008). Despite low *Wolbachia* titer, *Wolbachia* wMel possibly induced weak cytoplasmic incompatibility in *D. nigrosparsa*, as hatchings from crosses of infected males with uninfected females (expected incompatibility) and uninfected males with infected females (expected compatibility)



**FIGURE 6** (a) Canonical variate analysis of wings of infected, cured, and uninfected lines. Each dot represents an individual fly. (b) No significant differences in average shape of all cured (green) and infected lines (pink) using discriminant analysis. The differences were magnified ten times, and all thirteen landmarks are shown

WILFY\_Ecology and Evolution

were reduced, although there was no difference in the number of eggs laid. Increasing the number of compatible and incompatible crosses would be needed to decide whether the lack of statistical significance in the data presented here is due to the lack of a biological effect or due to the effect being just weak; for technical reasons, additional crosses are impractical at the point of writing this manuscript. In contrast to our results, *Wolbachia* wMel, once transinfected into other hosts, induced a high level of incompatibility, such as in *Drosophila simulans* (Poinsot, Bourtzis, Markakis, Savakis, & Merçot, 1998), in the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Zhou & Li, 2016) and in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti* (Hoffmann, Iturbe-Ormaetxe, et al., 2014; Hoffmann, Coy, Gibbard, & Pelz-Stelinski, 2014; Walker et al., 2011) (Table 1).

The levels of cytoplasmic incompatibility depend on many factors. A high level of cytoplasmic incompatibility has been reported to positively correlate with high *Wolbachia* titer (Bourtzis, Nirgianaki, Markakis, & Savakis, 1996; Noda, Koizumi, Zhang, & Deng, 2001; Noda, Miyoshi, et al., 2001). Young males and a high number of infected sperms also caused high level of cytoplasmic incompatibility (Clark, Veneti, Bourtzis, & Karr, 2003; Reynolds & Hoffmann, 2002; Veneti et al., 2003). For example, Reynolds and Hoffmann, (2002) found a lower level of incompatibility when using 5-day-old males for crossing than using 1-day-old males.

The ability to adapt to elevated temperatures is an important criterion for species distribution in Drosophila (Kellermann et al., 2012). A previous study found no effect on heat knockdown temperature in wMel-infected Drosophila melanogaster (Harcombe & Hoffmann, 2004). This finding for Wolbachia contrasts one for Rickettsia, which were reported to increase heat shock tolerance in Bemisia tabaci to up to 40°C (Brumin et al., 2011). In D. nigrosparsa, a recent selection experiment on naturally uninfected flies reported that this species is unlikely to adapt to increasing temperature (Kinzner et al., 2019). Here, we conclude that infection with Wolbachia wMel did not increase heat and cold tolerance in D. nigrosparsa. Wolbachia-infected and Wolbachia-free D. nigrosparsa responded to knockdown temperature at around 38°C like in an earlier study of this fly species (Kinzner et al., 2018). Thus, we cannot expect a rescue from heat stress due to infection by the Wolbachia strain used here in D. nigrosparsa. We note that the absolute value of knockdown depends on ramping speed and that it has been a topic of debate what ramping speed to use (Santos, Castañeda, & Rezende, 2011) but that in the frame of this study not absolute knockdown but the performance of infected flies relative to that of uninfected and cured flies was important.

Thermal tolerance is one of the many aspects in thermal biology. Another aspect is thermal preference. *Drosophila melanogaster* infected with wMel preferred one-degree cooler temperature than uninfected flies and about one to four degrees cooler when infected with wMelPop or wMelCS (Arnold et al., 2019; Truitt et al., 2019). In uninfected *D. nigrosparsa*, the preferred temperature was at around 10°C for laboratory-reared flies and up to 35°C for field-captured flies (Tratter Kinzner et al., 2019). If *Wolbachia* infect this fly species, it might prefer lower temperatures like in infected *D. melanogaster*, which could reduce the prospect of Wolbachia-infected D. nigrosparsa in the face of increasing temperature.

The increased locomotion in *D. nigrosparsa* observed in larvae and in adults may help the host to quickly react to climate change by easing the move to other areas, but, on the other hand, it may increase the visibility for predators and energy loss. Increases in host's activities have been reported also from other *Wolbachia* strains. Beetles *Callosobruchus chinensis* infected with *Wolbachia* wBruCon and wBruOri walked significantly more than uninfected ones, which might help increase their chance for mating (Okayama et al., 2016). Mosquitoes *Aedes aegypti* infected with wMelPop had up to 2.5-fold increase in activity compared with uninfected ones (Evans et al., 2009).

We found significant differences in wing size and shape of D. nigrosparsa between infected and cured lines, but these differences were more likely due to genetic drift and not due to Wolbachia as the cured lines were subpopulations of infected lines and had been separated from their parent populations for five generations before the wing measurement. Although genetic variation in isofemale lines is highly reduced, morphological variation still was observed, for example, in Drosophila buzzatii and in Drosophila koepferae (Carreira, Soto, Hasson, & Fanara, 2006) and in D. melanogaster (Bubliy, Loeschcke, & Imasheva, 2001; Imasheva, Bosenko, & Bubli, 1999). Effects of genetic drift in Drosophila can occur within a few generations, for example, in Drosophila subobscura (Santos et al., 2013). In addition, if Wolbachia affect wing morphology, we would observe similar changes in those cured lines once Wolbachia were removed. Nevertheless, differences in the microbiome may have contributed to the changes in morphology we observed like was shown in D. melanogaster (Broderick, Buchon, & Lemaitre, 2014).

Our study indicated that *D. nigrosparsa* could be a host for Wolbachia like Drosophila melanogaster, the native host of Wolbachia wMel, because vertical transmission is possible in this species. On the long term, the transmission of Wolbachia in *D. melanogaster* may be better than in *D. nigrosparsa* because *D. melanogaster* has a higher oviposition rate and a better tolerance of warm temperatures than *D. nigrosparsa* (Kinzner et al., 2018), both of which could increase the chance for horizontal transfer. This is because horizontal transfer is a stochastic event, and an infected host is therefore more likely to transfer Wolbachia to a new host species if there are more infected hosts available and if the number of Wolbachia cells is higher per host.

Here, we report effects of *Wolbachia* wMel on *D. nigrosparsa* as a novel host. We observed low *Wolbachia* titer, possible cytoplasmic incompatibility, and increased locomotion in both larvae and adults. *Drosophila nigrosparsa* is likely to suffer from an increasing temperature independently of whether uninfected (Kinzner et al., 2019) or infected, as *Wolbachia* had no impact on heat tolerance (this paper). However, *Wolbachia* wMel might provide some benefits to this fly such as concerning life history traits not assayed here (e.g., longevity) or concerning resistance to viruses or nutrition supplements, which both will be interesting to analyze in the future. Finally, infection by Wolbachia strains other than wMel may trigger different effects in this alpine vinegar fly.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Yuk-Sang Chan for teaching and partly performing the microinjection technique, Julien Martinez and Luis Teixeira for providing *Wolbachia* strains, and Peter Ladurner for providing a capillary puller; Marlene Haider, Martina Nindl, Julia Millinger, Leo Drechsel, Patrick Schwenter, and Ivan Ploner for helping with experiments; Magdalena Tratter Kinzner, Anja Ekblad, Philipp Andesner, and David Reiter for help with fly maintenance and laboratory work; Veronika Hierlmeier and Clemens P. Maylandt and two anonymous reviewers for comments on an earlier version of the manuscript; the University of Innsbruck for providing a doctoral grant to MD.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Matsapume Detcharoen: Conceptualization (equal); formal analysis (equal); methodology (equal); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). Wolfgang Arthofer: Conceptualization (equal); methodology (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). Francis M. Jiggins: Conceptualization (equal); methodology (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). Florian M. Steiner: Conceptualization (equal); methodology (equal); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). Birgit C. Schlick-Steiner: Conceptualization (equal); methodology (equal); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal).

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data supporting this article have been deposited on Dryad Digital Repository under https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.0gb5mkkxp.

#### ORCID

Matsapume Detcharoen b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4610-4206 Wolfgang Arthofer https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4269-9396 Francis M. Jiggins b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7470-8157 Florian M. Steiner b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2414-4650 Birgit C. Schlick-Steiner b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4026-5778

#### REFERENCES

- Arnold, P. A., Levin, S. C., Stevanovic, A. L., & Johnson, K. N. (2019). Drosophila melanogaster infected with Wolbachia strain wMelCS prefer cooler temperatures. Ecological Entomology, 44(2), 287–290.
- Arthofer, W., Banbury, B. L., Carneiro, M., Cicconardi, F., Duda, T. F., Harris, R. B., Weese, D. A. (2015). Genomic resources notes accepted 1 August 2014–30 September 2014. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 15(1), 228–229. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12340
- Arthofer, W., Heussler, C., Krapf, P., Schlick-Steiner, B. C., & Steiner, F. M. (2013). Sixteen new microsatellite loci for *Drosophila nigrosparsa*, an emerging system for studying temperature effects in mountain ecosystems. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 13, 966–968.
- Bächli, G. & Burla, H. (1985). Diptera Drosophilidae. In Insecta Helvetica. Zürich, Switzerland: Schweizerische Entomologische Gesellschaft.

- Bächli, G., Vilela, C. R., Escher, S. A., & Saura, A. (2004). The Drosophilidae (Diptera) of Fennoscandia and Denmark. Leiden, the Netherlands: Brill Academic Publishers.
- Ballard, J. W. O., & Melvin, R. G. (2007). Tetracycline treatment influences mitochondrial metabolism and mtDNA density two generations after treatment in *Drosophila*. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 16(6), 799-802.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. M., & Walker, S. C. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1–48.
- Bebber, D. P., Ramotowski, M. A. T., & Gurr, S. J. (2013). Crop pests and pathogens move polewards in a warming world. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(11), 985–988.
- Bi, J., Sehgal, A., Williams, J. A., & Wang, Y. F. (2018). Wolbachia affects sleep behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. Journal of Insect Physiology, 107, 81–88.
- Blagrove, M. S. C., Arias-Goeta, C., Failloux, A. B., & Sinkins, S. P. (2012). Wolbachia strain wMel induces cytoplasmic incompatibility and blocks dengue transmission in Aedes albopictus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109(1), 255–260. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1112021108
- Bourtzis, K., Nirgianaki, A., Markakis, G., & Savakis, C. (1996). Wolbachia infection and cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila* species. *Genetics*, 144(3), 1063–1073.
- Braig, H. R., Zhou, W., Dobson, S. L., & O'Neill, S. L. (1998). Cloning and characterization of a gene encoding the major surface protein of the bacterial endosymbiont Wolbachia pipientis. Journal of Bacteriology, 180(9), 2373–2378.
- Broderick, N. A., Buchon, N., & Lemaitre, B. (2014). Microbiota-induced changes in *Drosophila melanogaster* host gene expression and gut morphology. *MBio*, 5(3), e01117–e1214.
- Brooks, D. S., Vishal, K., Kawakami, J., Bouyain, S., & Geisbrecht, E. R. (2016). Optimization of wrMTrck to monitor *Drosophila* larval locomotor activity. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 93-94, 11-17.
- Brumin, M., Kontsedalov, S., & Ghanim, M. (2011). Rickettsia influences thermotolerance in the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* B biotype. *Insect Science*, 18(1), 57–66.
- Bubliy, O. A., Loeschcke, V., & Imasheva, A. G. (2001). Genetic variation of morphological traits in *Drosophila melanogaster* under poor nutrition: Isofemale lines and offspring-parent regression. *Heredity*, 86(3), 363–369.
- Carreira, V. P., Soto, I. M., Hasson, E., & Fanara, J. (2006). Patterns of variation in wing morphology in the cactophilic Drosophila buzzatii and its sibling D. koepferae. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 19(4), 1275–1282.
- Chaplinska, M., Gerritsma, S., Dini-Andreote, F., Falcao Salles, J., & Wertheim, B. (2016). Bacterial communities differ among *Drosophila melanogaster* populations and affect host resistance against parasitoids. *PLoS ONE*, 11(12), e0167726.
- Chatzispyrou, I. A., Held, N. M., Mouchiroud, L., Auwerx, J., & Houtkooper, R. H. (2015). Tetracycline antibiotics impair mitochondrial function and its experimental use confounds research. *Cancer Research*, 75(21), 4446–4449.
- Chrostek, E., Marialva, M. S. P., Esteves, S. S., Weinert, L. A., Martinez, J., Jiggins, F. M., & Teixeira, L. (2013). Wolbachia variants induce differential protection to viruses in Drosophila melanogaster: A phenotypic and phylogenomic analysis. PLOS Genetics, 9(12), e1003896.
- Cicconardi, F., Di Marino, D., Olimpieri, P. P., Arthofer, W., Schlick-Steiner, B. C., & Steiner, F. M. (2017). Chemosensory adaptations of the mountain fly *Drosophila nigrosparsa* (Insecta: Diptera) through genomics' and structural biology's lenses. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 43770.
- Clark, M. E., Veneti, Z., Bourtzis, K., & Karr, T. L. (2003). Wolbachia distribution and cytoplasmic incompatibility during sperm development: The cyst as the basic cellular unit of CI expression. Mechanisms of Development, 120(2), 185–198.

- Dale, V. H., Joyce, L. A., Mcnulty, S., Neilson, R. P., Ayres, M. P., Flannigan, M. D., ... Michael wotton, B. (2001). Climate change and forest disturbances: Climate change can affect forests by altering the frequency, intensity, duration, and timing of fire, drought, introduced species, insect and pathogen outbreaks, hurricanes, windstorms, ice storms, or landslides. *BioScience*, 51(9), 723–734.
- De Crespigny, F. E. C., Pitt, T. D., & Wedell, N. (2006). Increased male mating rate in Drosophila is associated with Wolbachia infection. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 19(6), 1964–1972.
- Dedeine, F., Vavre, F., Shoemaker, D. D. W., & Boulétreau, M. (2004). Intra-individual coexistence of a Wolbachia strain required for host oogenesis with two strains inducing cytoplasmic incompatibility in the wasp Asobara tabida. Evolution, 58(10), 2167–2174.
- Detcharoen, M., Arthofer, W., Schlick-Steiner, B. C., & Steiner, F. M. (2019). Wolbachia megadiversity: 99% of these microorganismic manipulators unknown. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 95(11). https://doi. org/10.1093/femsec/fiz151
- Dutra, H. L. C., Lopes da Silva, V., da Rocha Fernandes, M., Logullo, C., Maciel-de-Freitas, R., & Moreira, L. A. (2016). The influence of larval competition on Brazilian Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. Parasites & Vectors, 9(1), 282.
- Early, A. M., & Clark, A. G. (2013). Monophyly of Wolbachia pipientis genomes within Drosophila melanogaster: Geographic structuring, titre variation and host effects across five populations. Molecular Ecology, 22(23), 5765–5778.
- Evans, O., Caragata, E. P., McMeniman, C. J., Woolfit, M., Green, D. C., Williams, C. R., ... McGraw, E. A. (2009). Increased locomotor activity and metabolism of *Aedes aegypti* infected with a life-shortening strain of *Wolbachia pipientis*. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 212(10), 1436–1441.
- Fry, A. J., Palmer, M. R., & Rand, D. M. (2004). Variable fitness effects of Wolbachia infection in Drosophila melanogaster. Heredity, 93(4), 379–389.
- Frydman, H. M., Li, J. M., Robson, D. N., & Wieschaus, E. (2006). Somatic stem cell niche tropism in *Wolbachia*. *Nature*, 441(7092), 509–512.
- Fukatsu, T., Tsuchida, T., Nikoh, N., & Koga, R. (2001). Spiroplasma symbiont of the pea aphid, Acyrthosiphon pisum (Insecta: Homoptera). Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 67(3), 1284–1291.
- Gargano, J. W., Martin, I., Bhandari, P., & Grotewiel, M. S. (2005). Rapid iterative negative geotaxis (RING): A new method for assessing age-related locomotor decline in *Drosophila*. *Experimental Gerontology*, 40(5), 386–395.
- Gotoh, T., Noda, H., & Ito, S. (2007). Cardinium symbionts cause cytoplasmic incompatibility in spider mites. *Heredity*, 98(1), 13–20.
- Guo, Y., Hoffmann, A. A., Xu, X.-Q., Zhang, X., Huang, H.-J., Ju, J.-F., ... Hong, X.-Y. (2018). Wolbachia -induced apoptosis associated with increased fecundity in *Laodelphax striatellus* (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). *Insect Molecular Biology*, 27(6), 796–807.
- Harcombe, W., & Hoffmann, A. A. (2004). Wolbachia effects in Drosophila melanogaster: In search of fitness benefits. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 87(1), 45–50.
- Hertig, M. (1936). The Rickettsia, Wolbachia pipientis (gen. et sp.n.) and associated inclusions of the mosquito, *Culex pipiens. Parasitology*, 28(4), 453–486.
- Hickling, R., Roy, D. B., Hill, J. K., Fox, R., & Thomas, C. D. (2006). The distributions of a wide range of taxonomic groups are expanding polewards. *Global Change Biology*, 12(3), 450–455.
- Hoffmann, A. A., Hercus, M., & Dagher, H. (1998). Population dynamics of the Wolbachia infection causing cytoplasmic incompatibility in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics, 148(1), 221–231.
- Hoffmann, A. A., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Callahan, A. G., Phillips, B. L., Billington, K., Axford, J. K., ... O'Neill, S. L. (2014). Stability of the wMel Wolbachia infection following invasion into Aedes aegypti populations. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 8(9), e3115.

- Hoffmann, A. A., & Sgrò, C. M. (2011). Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. *Nature*, 470(7335), 479–485.
- Hoffmann, M., Coy, M. R., Gibbard, H. N. K., & Pelz-Stelinski, K. S. (2014). Wolbachia infection density in populations of the asian citrus psyllid (Hemiptera: Liviidae). Environmental Entomology, 43(5), 1215–1222.
- Hosokawa, T., Koga, R., Kikuchi, Y., Meng, X. Y., & Fukatsu, T. (2010). Wolbachia as a bacteriocyte-associated nutritional mutualist. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107(2), 769–774. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0911476107
- Hughes, G. L., & Rasgon, J. L. (2014). Transinfection: A method to investigate Wolbachia-host interactions and control arthropod-borne disease. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 23(2), 141–151.
- Imasheva, A. G., Bosenko, D. V., & Bubli, O. A. (1999). Variation in morphological traits of *Drosophila melanogaster* (fruit fly) under nutritional stress. *Heredity*, 82(2), 187–192.
- Jaenike, J., Stahlhut, J. K., Boelio, L. M., & Unckless, R. L. (2010). Association between Wolbachia and Spiroplasma within Drosophila neotestacea: An emerging symbiotic mutualism? Molecular Ecology, 19(2), 414-425.
- Kellermann, V., Overgaard, J., Hoffmann, A. A., Fljøgaard, C., Svenning, J. C., & Loeschcke, V. (2012). Upper thermal limits of *Drosophila* are linked to species distributions and strongly constrained phylogenetically. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(40), 16228–16233. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1207553109
- Kinzner, M.-C., Gamisch, A., Hoffmann, A. A., Seifert, B., Haider, M., Arthofer, W., ... Steiner, F. M. (2019). Major range loss predicted from lack of heat adaptability in an alpine *Drosophila* species. *Science of the Total Environment*, 695, 133753.
- Kinzner, M.-C., Krapf, P., Nindl, M., Heussler, C., Eisenkölbl, S., Hoffmann, A. A., ... Steiner, F. M. (2018). Life-history traits and physiological limits of the alpine fly *Drosophila nigrosparsa* (Diptera: Drosophilidae): A comparative study. *Ecology and Evolution*, 8(4), 2006–2020.
- Kinzner, M.-C., Tratter, M., Bächli, G., Kirchmair, M., Kaufmann, R., Arthofer, W., ... Steiner, F. M. (2016). Oviposition substrate of the mountain fly *Drosophila nigrosparsa* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *PLoS* ONE, 11(10), e0165743.
- Klingenberg, C. P. (2011). MorphoJ: An integrated software package for geometric morphometrics. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 11(2), 353–357.
- Kriesner, P., Conner, W. R., Weeks, A. R., Turelli, M., & Hoffmann, A. A. (2016). Persistence of a Wolbachia infection frequency cline in Drosophila melanogaster and the possible role of reproductive dormancy. Evolution, 70(5), 979–997.
- Le Clec'h, W., Braquart-Varnier, C., Raimond, M., Ferdy, J.-B., Bouchon, D., & Sicard, M. (2012). High virulence of Wolbachia after host switching: When autophagy hurts. PLoS Path, 8(8), e1002844.
- Lo, N., Paraskevopoulos, C., Bourtzis, K., O'Neill, S. L., Werren, J. H., Bordenstein, S. R., & Bandi, C. (2007). Taxonomic status of the intracellular bacterium Wolbachia pipientis. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 57(3), 654–657.
- Lu, P., Bian, G., Pan, X., & Xi, Z. (2012). Wolbachia induces density-dependent inhibition to Dengue Virus in mosquito Cells. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 6(7), e1754.
- Martinez, J., Ok, S., Smith, S., Snoeck, K., Day, J. P., & Jiggins, F. M. (2015). Should symbionts be nice or selfish? Antiviral effects of *Wolbachia* are costly but reproductive parasitism is not. *PLoS Path*, 11(7), e1005021.
- McGraw, E. A., Merritt, D. J., Droller, J. N., & O'Neill, S. L. (2001). Wolbachia-mediated sperm modification is dependent on the host genotype in Drosophila. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 268(1485), 2565–2570.
- McGraw, E. A., Merritt, D. J., Droller, J. N., & O'Neill, S. L. (2002). Wolbachia density and virulence attenuation after transfer into a novel host. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the

Ecology and Evolution

ILEN

United States of America, 99(5), 2918–2923. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.052466499

- Miller, W. J., Ehrman, L., & Schneider, D. (2010). Infectious speciation revisited: Impact of symbiont-depletion on female fitness and mating behavior of *Drosophila paulistorum*. *PLoS Path*, 6(12), e1001214.
- Min, K. T., & Benzer, S. (1997). Wolbachia, normally a symbiont of Drosophila, can be virulent, causing degeneration and early death. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 94(20), 10792–10796. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.94.20.10792
- Moghadam, N. N., Thorshauge, P. M., Kristensen, T. N., de Jonge, N., Bahrndorff, S., Kjeldal, H., & Nielsen, J. L. (2018). Strong responses of Drosophila melanogaster microbiota to developmental temperature. Fly, 12(1), 1–12.
- Moretti, R., Yen, P. S., Houé, V., Lampazzi, E., Desiderio, A., Failloux, A. B., & Calvitti, M. (2018). Combining Wolbachia-induced sterility and virus protection to fight Aedes albopictus-borne viruses. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 12(7), e0006626.
- Morioka, E., Oida, M., Tsuchida, T., & Ikeda, M. (2018). Nighttime activities and peripheral clock oscillations depend on *Wolbachia* endosymbionts in flies. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 15432.
- Noda, H., Koizumi, Y., Zhang, Q., & Deng, K. (2001). Infection density of Wolbachia and incompatibility level in two planthopper species, Laodelphax striatellus and Sogatella furcifera. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 31(6-7), 727-737.
- Noda, H., Miyoshi, T., Zhang, Q., Watanabe, K., Deng, K., & Hoshizaki, S. (2001). Wolbachia infection shared among planthoppers (Homoptera: Delphacidae) and their endoparasite (Strepsiptera: Elenchidae): A probable case of interspecies transmission. Molecular Ecology, 10(8), 2101–2106.
- Nussbaum-Krammer, C. I., Neto, M. F., Brielmann, R. M., Pedersen, J. S., & Morimoto, R. I. (2015). Investigating the spreading and toxicity of prion-like proteins using the metazoan model organism C. Elegans. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, 95, e52321.
- O'Neill, S. L., Giordano, R., Colbert, A. M. E., Karr, T. L., & Robertson, H. M. (1992). 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts asociated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 89(7), 2699–2702.
- Okayama, K., Katsuki, M., Sumida, Y., & Okada, K. (2016). Costs and benefits of symbiosis between a bean beetle and *Wolbachia*. *Animal Behaviour*, 119, 19–26.
- Osborne, S. E., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Brownlie, J. C., O'Neill, S. L., & Johnson, K. N. (2012). Antiviral protection and the importance of Wolbachia density and tissue tropism in Drosophila simulans. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 78(19), 6922–6929.
- Padró, J., Carreira, V., Corio, C., Hasson, E., & Soto, I. M. (2014). Host alkaloids differentially affect developmental stability and wing vein canalization in cactophilic Drosophila buzzatii. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 27(12), 2781–2797.
- Parmesan, C., & Yohe, G. (2003). A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature*, 421(6918), 37-42.
- Poinsot, D., Bourtzis, K., Markakis, G., Savakis, C., & Merçot, H. (1998). Wolbachia transfer from Drosophila melanogaster into D. simulans: Host effect and cytoplasmic incompatibility relationships. Genetics, 150(1), 227-237.
- Poinsot, D., & Mercot, H. (1997). Wolbachia infection in Drosophila simulans: Does the female host bear a physiological cost? Evolution, 51(1), 180.
- R Core Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Reynolds, K. T., & Hoffmann, A. A. (2002). Male age, host effects and the weak expression or non-expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility

in Drosophila strains infected by maternally transmitted Wolbachia. Genetical Research, 80(2), 79–87.

- Riegler, M., Sidhu, M., Miller, W. J., & O'Neill, S. L. (2005). Evidence for a global Wolbachia replacement in Drosophila melanogaster. Current Biology, 15(15), 1428-1433.
- Ross, P. A., Endersby, N. M., & Hoffmann, A. A. (2016). Costs of three Wolbachia infections on the survival of Aedes aegypti larvae under starvation conditions. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 10(1), e0004320.
- Santos, J., Pascual, M., Simões, P., Fragata, I., Rose, M. R., & Matos, M. (2013). Fast evolutionary genetic differentiation during experimental colonizations. *Journal of Genetics*, 92(2), 183–194.
- Santos, M., Castañeda, L. E., & Rezende, E. L. (2011). Making sense of heat tolerance estimates in ectotherms: Lessons from Drosophila. Functional Ecology, 25(6), 1169–1180.
- Sazama, E. J., Bosch, M. J., Shouldis, C. S., Ouellette, S. P., & Wesner, J. S. (2017). Incidence of Wolbachia in aquatic insects. Ecology and Evolution, 7(4), 1165–1169.
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., ... Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9(7), 676–682.
- Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9(7), 671–675.
- Schneider, D. I., Riegler, M., Arthofer, W., Merçot, H., Stauffer, C., & Miller, W. J. (2013). Uncovering Wolbachia diversity upon artificial host transfer. *PLoS ONE*, 8(12), e82402. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0082402.
- Schuler, H., Bertheau, C., Egan, S. P., Feder, J. L., Riegler, M., Schlick-Steiner, B. C., ... Stauffer, C. (2013). Evidence for a recent horizontal transmission and spatial spread of *Wolbachia* from endemic *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera: Tephritidae) to invasive *Rhagoletis cingulata* in Europe. *Molecular Ecology*, 22(15), 4101–4111.
- Schuler, H., Kern, P., Arthofer, W., Vogt, H., Fischer, M., Stauffer, C., & Riegler, M. (2016). Wolbachia in parasitoids attacking native european and introduced eastern cherry fruit flies in Europe. *Environmental Entomology*, 45(6), 1424–1431.
- Serga, S., Maistrenko, O., Rozhok, A., Mousseau, T., & Kozeretska, I. (2014). Fecundity as one of possible factors contributing to the dominance of the wMel genotype of Wolbachia in natural populations of Drosophila melanogaster. Symbiosis, 63(1), 11–17.
- Sinkins, S. P., Walker, T., Lynd, A. R., Steven, A. R., Makepeace, B. L., Godfray, <sup>H</sup>. C., & Parkhill, J. (2005). Wolbachia variability and host effects on crossing type in Culex mosquitoes. Nature, 436(7048), 257–260.
- Sparks, T. H., Roy, D. B., & Dennis, R. L. H. (2005). The influence of temperature on migration of Lepidoptera into Britain. *Global Change Biology*, 11(3), 507–514.
- Suh, E., Mercer, D. R., Fu, Y., & Dobson, S. L. (2009). Pathogenicity of life-shortening Wolbachia in Aedes albopictus after transfer from Drosophila melanogaster. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 75(24), 7783–7788.
- Teixeira, L., Ferreira, Á., & Ashburner, M. (2008). The bacterial symbiont Wolbachia induces resistance to RNA viral infections in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Biology, 6(12), 2753–2763.
- Tortosa, P., Charlat, S., Labbé, P., Dehecq, J.-S., Barré, H., & Weill, M. (2010). Wolbachia age-sex-specific density in Aedes albopictus: A host evolutionary response to cytoplasmic incompatibility? PLoS ONE, 5(3), e9700.
- Tratter Kinzner, M., Kinzner, M. C., Kaufmann, R., Hoffmann, A. A., Arthofer, W., Schlick-Steiner, B. C., & Steiner, F. M. (2019). Is temperature preference in the laboratory ecologically relevant for the field? The case of Drosophila nigrosparsa. Global Ecology and Conservation, 18, e00638.
- Truitt, A. M., Kapun, M., Kaur, R., & Miller, W. J. (2019). Wolbachia modifies thermal preference in Drosophila melanogaster. Environmental Microbiology, 21(9), 3259–3268.

II FY\_Ecology and Evolution

- Tseng, S.-P., Wetterer, J. K., Suarez, A. V., Lee, C.-Y., Yoshimura, T., Shoemaker, D., & Yang, C.-C.-S. (2019). Genetic diversity and Wolbachia infection patterns in a globally distributed invasive ant. Frontiers in Genetics, 10, 838.
- Turelli, M., Cooper, B. S., Richardson, K. M., Ginsberg, P. S., Peckenpaugh, B., Antelope, C. X., ... Hoffmann, A. A. (2018). Rapid global spread of wRi-like Wolbachia across multiple Drosophila. Current Biology, 28(6), 963–971.e8.
- Unckless, R. L., Boelio, L. M., Herren, J. K., & Jaenike, J. (2009). Wolbachia as populations within individual insects: Causes and consequences of density variation in natural populations. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 276(1668), 2805–2811.
- van den Hurk, A. F., Hall-Mendelin, S., Pyke, A. T., Frentiu, F. D., McElroy, K., Day, A., ... O'Neill, S. L. (2012). Impact of Wolbachia on infection with Chikungunya and Yellow Fever Viruses in the mosquito vector Aedes aegypti. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 6(11), e1892.
- Veneti, Z., Clark, M. E., Zabalou, S., Karr, T. L., Savakis, C., & Bourtzis, K. (2003). Cytoplasmic incompatibility and sperm cyst infection in different Drosophila-Wolbachia associations. Genetics, 164(2), 545–552.
- Walker, T., Johnson, P. H., Moreira, L. A., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Frentiu, F. D., McMeniman, C. J., ... Hoffmann, A. A. (2011). The wMel Wolbachia strain blocks dengue and invades caged Aedes aegypti populations. *Nature*, 476(7361), 450–455.
- Werren, J. H. (1997). Biology of Wolbachia. Annual Review of Entomology, 42(1), 587–609.
- Werren, J. H., Baldo, L., & Clark, M. E. (2008). Wolbachia: Master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 6(10), 741–751.
- Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.

- Williams, S. G., Sacci, J. B., Schriefer, M. E., Andersen, E. M., Fujioka, K. K., Sorvillo, F. J., ... Azad, A. F. (1992). Typhus and typhuslike rickettsiae associated with opossums and their fleas in Los Angeles County. *California. Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 30(7), 1758–1762.
- Woolfit, M., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Brownlie, J. C., Walker, T., Riegler, M., Seleznev, A., ... O'Neill, S. L. (2013). Genomic evolution of the pathogenic Wolbachia strain, wMelPop. Genome Biology and Evolution, 5(11), 2189–2204.
- Zchori-Fein, E., & Perlman, S. J. (2004). Distribution of the bacterial symbiont *Cardinium* in arthropods. *Molecular Ecology*, 13(7), 2009–2016.
- Zhao, R., Xuan, Y., Li, X., & Xi, R. (2008). Age-related changes of germline stem cell activity, niche signaling activity and egg production in *Drosophila*. Aging Cell, 7(3), 344–354.
- Zhou, X.-F., & Li, Z.-X. (2016). Establishment of the cytoplasmic incompatibility-inducing Wolbachia strain wMel in an important agricultural pest insect. Scientific Reports, 6(1), 39200.
- Zug, R., & Hammerstein, P. (2012). Still a host of hosts for *Wolbachia*: Analysis of recent data suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected. *PLoS ONE*, 7(6), e38544.

How to cite this article: Detcharoen M, Arthofer W, Jiggins FM, Steiner FM, Schlick-Steiner BC. *Wolbachia* affect behavior and possibly reproductive compatibility but not thermoresistance, fecundity, and morphology in a novel transinfected host, *Drosophila nigrosparsa*. *Ecol Evol*. 2020;10:4457-4470. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6212