

CORRECTION

Correction: Low Cognitive Load and Reduced Arousal Impede Practice Effects on Executive Functioning, Metacognitive Confidence and Decision Making

The PLOS ONE Staff

Figs. $\underline{7}$ and $\underline{8}$ are incorrect. The authors have provided the correct versions here.







Citation: The PLOS ONE Staff (2015) Correction: Low Cognitive Load and Reduced Arousal Impede Practice Effects on Executive Functioning, Metacognitive Confidence and Decision Making. PLoS ONE 10(3): e0119113. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0119113

Published: March 23, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 The PLOS ONE Staff. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.








Fig 7. Means and 95% Confidence intervals for Stroop reaction time on neutral trials, and NASA-TLX measures of frustration and mental demand by load condition across the three testing blocks.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119113.g007






Fig 8. Means and 95% Confidence intervals for MDMT diagnostic confidence, and MDMT decision competence, optimality and hesitance by the between subject conditions (low-load order and motion) across the three test blocks.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119113.g008

Reference

 Jackson SA, Kleitman S, Aidman E (2014) Low Cognitive Load and Reduced Arousal Impede Practice Effects on Executive Functioning, Metacognitive Confidence and Decision Making. PLoS ONE 9(12): e115689. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0115689 PMID: 25549327