TOXICOLOGICAL SCIENCES, 187(1), 2022, 127-138



SOT Society of Toxicology academic.oup.com/toxsci



https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfac022 Advance Access Publication Date: 26 February 2022 Research article

Use of Physiologically Based Kinetic Modeling-Facilitated Reverse Dosimetry to Predict In Vivo Acute Toxicity of Tetrodotoxin in Rodents

Annelies Noorlander ()*¹ Mengying Zhang (),* Bennard van Ravenzwaay,*[†] and Ivonne M.C.M. Rietjens*

*Division of Toxicology, Wageningen University, 6708 WE Wageningen, The Netherlands, and [†]Experimental Toxicology and Ecology, BASF SE, Ludwigshafen 67056, Germany

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed at Division of Toxicology, Wageningen University, Stippeneng 4, 6708 WE Wageningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: annelies.noorlander@wur.nl.

ABSTRACT

In this study, the ability of a new in vitro/in silico quantitative in vitro-in vivo extrapolation (QIVIVE) methodology was assessed to predict the *in vivo* neurotoxicity of tetrodotoxin (TTX) in rodents. *In vitro* concentration–response data of TTX obtained in a multielectrode array assay with primary rat neonatal cortical cells and in an effect study with mouse neuro-2a cells were quantitatively extrapolated into *in vivo* dose–response data, using newly developed physiologically based kinetic (PBK) models for TTX in rats and mice. Incorporating a kidney compartment accounting for active renal excretion in the PBK models proved to be essential for its performance. To evaluate the predictions, QIVIVE-derived dose–response data were compared with *in vivo* data on neurotoxicity in rats and mice upon oral and parenteral dosing. The results revealed that for both rats and mice the predicted dose–response data matched the data from available *in vivo* studies well. It is concluded that PBK modeling-based reserve dosimetry of *in vitro* TTX effect data can adequately predict the *in vivo* neurotoxicity of TTX in rodents, providing a novel proof-of-principle for this methodology.

Key words: physiologically based kinetic modeling; reverse dosimetry; tetrodotoxin (TTX), neurotoxicity; new approach methodology.

Tetrodotoxin (TTX; Figure 1) is a naturally occurring neurotoxin that can be found in various marine gastropods and some fish species (Bane *et al.*, 2014; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1996). There are over 30 structural analogs of TTX (Huang *et al.*, 2008). TTX has potent voltage-gated sodium channel blocker activity (Sui *et al.*, 2002), preventing depolarization, and propagation of action potentials in nerve cells, resulting in the loss of sensation (Bane *et al.*, 2014). The acute exposure to TTX leads to a wide range of acute adverse effects including skeletal muscle fasciculations, apathy, lethargy, ataxia, paralysis, and even death (Bane *et al.*, 2014).

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) established an acute reference dose (ARfD) for TTX of $0.25\,\mu g/kg$ bw based on

an acute toxicity study with a single intragastric dose in mice with a no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) of $75 \,\mu$ g/kg bw choosing apathy as the critical effect observed at a lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) of 125 μ g/kg bw (Abal *et al.*, 2017; EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain [CONTAM] *et al.*, 2017). In this study, lethality was observed at 250 μ g/kg bw with a steep dose–response curve from which a benchmark dose lower confidence limit (BMDL₁₀) of 112 μ g/kg bw could be derived (EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain [CONTAM] *et al.*, 2017). Because this BMDL₁₀ value for lethality was considered to be close to the NOAEL for apathy, the EFSA Panel argued that it cannot be excluded that effects can still occur at 75 μ g/kg bw. Therefore, they established the ARfD based

 ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$ The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society of Toxicology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. Structural formula of tetrodotoxin.

on the next lower test dose (25 $\mu\text{g/kg}$ bw) using an uncertainty factor of 100 to derive the ARfD of 0.25 µg/kg bw. The EFSA opinion also provided an overview of median lethal dose (LD₅₀) data from mouse studies upon different routes of exposure, indicating toxicity upon oral gavage or intragastric dosing, with LD₅₀ values amounting to 232 µg/kg bw (Abal et al., 2017) and 532 µg/ kg bw (Xu et al., 2003), to be substantially lower than the LD_{50} values reported upon intraperitoneal or subcutaneous dosing, for which LD₅₀ values ranged from 9 to 12.5 µg/kg bw (Kao, 1966; Kao and Fuhrman, 1963; Marcil et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2003). In addition, the LD₅₀ in rats upon intramuscular (IM) administration was reported to amount to 10-11.1 µg/kg bw (Hong et al., 2017; Marcil et al., 2006), whereas Finch et al., (2018) and Hong et al., (2018) reported LD₅₀ values for rats upon oral dosing of 909 and 571.43 µg/kg bw, respectively, that were not included in the EFSA overview.

The available TTX data for human are too limited to provide a point of departure (PoD) for risk assessment, with only a minimum lethal oral dose of 2 mg being mentioned in literature, which is equivalent to $40 \,\mu$ g/kg bw for a 50 kg Japanese subject (EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain [CONTAM] et al., 2017). Additionally, Kasteel and Westerink (2017) proposed an ARfD of 1.33 μ g/kg bw for human based on a so-called universal mammalian LD₅₀ of 400 μ g/kg bw derived from reported oral LD₅₀ values in mice (334–700 μ g/kg bw). They applied a conservative factor of 10 to go from an LD₅₀ value to a LOAEL value (40 μ g/kg bw) and added another factor of 3 to obtain a NOAEL value (13.3 μ g/kg bw). Finally, they took a factor of 10 into account for intraspecies differences.

Given the available data sets on acute toxicity of TTX in rodents and the many analogs of TTX for which experimental toxicity data are lacking, it is of interest to study whether the acute toxicity of TTX can be adequately predicted by a new approach methodology (NAM) such as quantitative in vitro-in vivo extrapolation (QIVIVE) using physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modeling with integrated in vitro and in silico data and applying reverse-based dosimetry. Thus, this study aimed to evaluate the potential of using in vitro toxicity data obtained with primary rat neonatal cortical cells on a multielectrode array (MEA) assay or an effect study in mouse neuro-2a cells combined with PBK model-based reverse dosimetry to predict the in vivo acute neurotoxicity of TTX in rodents. As TTX is hardly metabolized, highly hydrophilic, and has been identified as a substrate for organic cation transporters in the kidneys (Matsumoto et al., 2017), active renal transport can be expected to contribute substantially to the in vivo TTX kinetics and has to be accounted for in the PBK models to be developed to facilitate the QIVIVE.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

TTX \geq 98% (CAS 4368-28-9), was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was purchased from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium) and phosphatebuffered saline was purchased from Invitrogen (Breda, The Netherlands). Pooled hepatocytes from male Sprague Dawley rats, cryopreserved hepatocyte recovery medium (CHRM, CM7000), and primary hepatocytes thawing and plating supplements (CM4000) were purchased from Thermo Fisher (Landsmeer, The Netherlands).

Methods

Clearance of TTX

It was assumed that the in vivo acute toxicity of TTX is induced by the parent compound as there is no evidence of potential metabolites exerting a similar effect. Therefore, only the overall hepatic clearance of TTX was included in the PBK model. Primary hepatocytes from male Sprague Dawley rats were used to determine the hepatic clearance by the substrate depletion approach. To this end, pooled primary hepatocytes were thawed in a $37^{\circ}C$ water bath and transferred to 50 ml CHRM (CM7000). The cell suspension was centrifuged at 100g for 15 min at room temperature, and the supernatant was removed. The collected hepatocytes were dissolved in 1ml prewarmed hepatocyte incubation medium, which contained 4% primary hepatocyte thawing and plating supplements (CM4000) in Williams' Medium E1 without phenol red. The density and viability of the hepatocytes were measured using the Cellometer (Auto T4, Nexcelom Bioscience). Hepatocytes with >90% viability were used for the incubation. The cells were diluted with incubation medium to reach a density of 1×10^6 cells/ml. TTX was dissolved in DMSO to obtain a stock solution of $600 \,\mu$ M. A total of 20 μl Stock solution of TTX was added to 1980 μl medium to generate the exposure medium (final DMSO concentration 1% v/ v). The exposure medium was preincubated for 5 min. The incubation was started by adding $100\,\mu l$ primary hepatocytes into 100 µl preincubated exposure medium, giving a final concentration of 0.5×10^6 cells/ml and $3\,\mu M$ TTX (a nontoxic concentration to hepatocytes as shown by the WST-1 assay [data not shown]) (final DMSO concentration 0.5% v/v). The incubation was done using a shaker (Titramax 1000, Heidolph, Germany) at 150 rpm in a 5% CO₂, 95% air-humidified incubator. The incubation time points were: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8.5, 10, 15, 30, 45, 60, and 90 min. For each incubation time point a corresponding control was included, consisting of an incubation performed in the absence of primary hepatocytes. The incubation was terminated by adding $100\,\mu l$ cold acetonitrile and the samples were put on ice for 30 min, then centrifuged at 3500 rpm (1200 g) for 15 min at 4° C. Supernatants were collected and the concentration of TTX was quantified using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis. All incubations were performed in triplicate in 3 independent studies. The ratio of the remaining parent compound concentration in the incubation sample $(C_{compound})$ and in the sample at time 0 as control (C_{control}) was calculated for each incubation time (taking the amount of TTX left in the corresponding control incubations into account) and the depletion curve of the parent compound [ln (C_{compound}/C_{control})] against time was derived. The slope of the linear part of the depletion curve represents the elimination rate constant (k, in 1/ min) of the parent compound. The in vitro clearance (CLint, in vitro)

of the parent compound was calculated using the following equation: $CL_{int, in vitro}$ (ml/min/10⁶ cells) = k (1/min)/V (10⁶ cells/ml; Obach, 1999; Sjögren *et al.*, 2009). V represents the number of hepatocytes per milliliter incubation mixture, (0.5 × 10⁶ cells/ml). The *in vitro* CL_{int} of the parent compound was scaled to a whole liver using the scaling factor of 135 000 (cell density liver expressed in 10⁶ cells/kg; Houston, 1994).

Analysis of TTX by LC-MS/MS

LC-MS/MS analysis was performed on a Shimadzu Nexera XR LC-20AD SR ultra high performance liquid chromatograhy (UHPLC) system coupled with a Shimadzu LCMS-8045 mass spectrometer (Shimadzu Benelux's Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands). The samples (1µl) were loaded onto a BEH C18 column (1.7 μ m, 2.1 \times 100 mm) at a flow rate of 0.3 ml/min. The column temperature was set to 40°C. The mobile phase consisted of ultrapure water with 0.1% (v/v) formic acid as mobile phase A and acetonitrile containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid as mobile phase B. The initial condition of the eluents was 5% A, then changed to 50% A in 2 min and subsequently returned to the initial condition in the next 5 min, and was kept at these starting conditions for another 5 min. The total runtime was 12 min. A Shimadzu LCMS-8045 triple quadrupole with electrospray ionization interface was used to perform the MS-MS analysis. The instrument was operated in the positive ion mode in the multiple reaction monitoring mode with a spray voltage of 4.5 kV. TTX was monitored at the $[M + H]^+$ of precursor to product 320.1 > 302.19, 320.1 > 162.4, and 320.1 > 60.2 m/z. The Postrun Analysis function from the LabSolutions software (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was used to obtain the peak area of the total ion chromatogram.

Development of the PBK model for TTX

The generic PBK model developed in our previous study (Zhang et al., 2020) was used with minor modifications, defining a PBK model for TTX in both rat and mouse. To allow model evaluation based on the 3 available in vivo kinetic data sets, all with different administration routes, the PBK model was built for oral, intravenous (IV), and IM administration (Hong et al., 2017, 2018). For the IM administration, it was assumed that TTX was solely taken up in the blood via the muscle tissue at the injection site, thereby excluding the role of the subcutaneous and lymph routes. To distinguish between IM and IV administration, the rate of absorption from the IM injection site was set to a much lower value $(50 h^{-1})$ than that for an IV injection (1 000 000 h^{-1}). Given that the study by Hong et al. (2017) concluded that the predominant route of the elimination of TTX is by urinary excretion, a kidney compartment was included in the PBK models. The developed PBK model for TTX consisted of 8 compartments, including the site of injection, GI-tract, blood, fat, liver, kidney, rapidly perfused tissue, and slowly perfused tissue. The schematic representation of the PBK model is displayed in Figure 2. A separate compartment for the brain was not included given the inability of TTX to pass the blood-brain barrier (Melnikova et al., 2018). The values for physiological and anatomical parameters for rat were obtained from Brown et al. (1997b) and for mouse from Hall et al. (2012). The partition coefficients to describe the distribution of TTX over the different tissues were estimated using the quantitative property-property relationship approach from Rodgers and Rowland (2006) facilitated by a QIVIVE toolbox (input: zwitterion, pKa1: 8.76, pKa2: 11 logP: -6.2 and molecular weight: 319.27 g/mol; Punt et al., 2020). The assumption was made that the distribution of TTX in rat is the same as in mouse. The glomerular filtration (GF) was added



Figure 2. Schematic representation of the rodent physiologically based kinetic model for tetrodotoxin.

to the model according to the equation: GF = GFR \times (CVK \times fubin vivo), where GFR is the GF rate, which is 5.2 ml/min/kg bw for rat and 14 ml/min/kg bw for mouse (Walton et al., 2004), CVK is the concentration of TTX in the kidney compartment and fub_{in vivo} is the fraction of TTX unbound in the in vivo situation. As mentioned by Matsumoto et al. (2017), TTX seems to be a substrate for some active transporters in the proximal tubule cells in the kidney. Since it is unknown which transporter has the highest contribution it was decided to work with an estimated apparent overall $V_{\rm max}$ and $K_{\rm m};\,1~V_{\rm max}$ and 1 $K_{\rm m}$ for all transporters involved. After running model predictions including only GF as the excretion pathway, data for the apparent overall V_{\max} and K_{m} were estimated based on manual input of V_{max} and K_m searching for the optimal transporter efficiency (TE $= V_{max}/K_m$ in μ l/min/mg protein) by fitting to the available in vivo kinetic data. Matsumoto et al. (2017) reported Papp values for the bidirectional transport of TTX over an LLC-PK1 kidney cell layer. Future use of such Papp values to define the in vivo kinetic parameters for urinary excretion of TTX in a PBK model requires definition of the scaling factor(s) needed to convert these in vitro Papp values to the kinetic constants for active transport of TTX in the kidney in vivo. This scaling could be achieved by scaling the model predictions to fit available in vivo data, as done in this study for V_{max}, as well as in other studies for other transport parameters, including parameters for renal excretion in PBK models, such as for the PBK model for perfluorooctanoic acid in rats (Worley and Fisher, 2015) and the PBK model for mepiquat in rats (Noorlander et al., 2021). The model equations were coded and numerically integrated in Berkeley Madonna 8.0.1 (UC Berkeley, California), using the Rosenbrock's algorithm for stiff systems (see Supplementary Material A for the model codes).

PBK model evaluation

For evaluation of the PBK model, in vivo kinetic data of the TTX blood concentration in time upon oral, IV or IM dosing were available for rats (Hong *et al.*, 2017, 2018). It was assumed that evaluation of the model in rats would support its use for mice as well. It is important to note that the *in vivo* kinetic data were obtained in plasma, whereas the PBK model predicts the concentration in whole blood. Thus, an adjustment of the reported

concentrations in plasma to concentrations in blood was made by multiplying the plasma data with the blood:plasma ratio (0.42; derived from Hong et al. 2017). For the IM administration kinetic data presented by Hong et al. (2017) for the dried plasma curve were used since these data were corrected for the formed tritiated water by the hydrogen-tritium exchange of 11-[³H]TTX in the plasma possibly interfering with the results. To identify the most influential parameters of the PBK model on the model prediction of the maximum blood concentration (C_{max}) upon oral and IM administration, a sensitivity analysis was performed (see Supplementary Figure 1). To this end, an initial input parameter value was increased by 5% and the sensitivity coefficients (SCs) were calculated using the equation SC = (C' - C')C)/(P'-P) \times (P/C), in which P and P' represent the initial and modified parameter value, respectively, whereas C and C' are the initial and modified model output for C_{max} (Evans and Andersen, 2000). Each parameter was analyzed individually by changing 1 parameter at a time keeping the other parameters at their original value, while the total blood flow fraction was kept as 1. The sensitivity analysis was performed for exposure to 6µg/kg for the oral and IM routes, representing the dose level actually used in the available in vivo studies (Hong et al., 2017, 2018).

Translation of the in vitro neurotoxicity data for TTX to in vivo doseresponse data

For rat, 2 in vitro concentration-response data sets were available. Both studies performed the MEA assay using primary rat neonatal cortical cells for measuring neuronal activity upon exposure to TTX (Kasteel and Westerink, 2017; Nicolas et al., 2014). For mouse, 4 in vitro concentration-response data sets were available, where in all studies mouse neuro-2a cells were used to detect the inhibition by TTX on cellular toxicity (Hamasaki et al., 1996; Kogure et al., 1988; Nicolas et al., 2015; Yamashoji and Isshiki, 2001; Yeo et al., 1996). The inhibition is induced by first exposing the cells to veratridine (sodium-channel opener) and ouabain (blocking of Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase) which may result in disturbance of the sodium ion homeostasis in the cells resulting in cell death (Kogure et al., 1988; Rossini and Hartung, 2012). When the veratridine/ouabain-treated cells are exposed to TTX the sodium channels are blocked and sodium accumulation in the cells is prevented, counteracting the toxic effects of veratridine and ouabain, thereby leading to cell survival (Kogure et al., 1988). Throughout this study, this assay is further referred to as the neuro-2a assay. The available concentration-response data were used to predict the dose levels that were required to reach the respective effect concentrations of TTX in blood, using PBK modeling-based reverse dosimetry. It is of importance to realize that only the free fraction of the compound will exert the effects, which implies that a correction for protein binding prior to applying reverse dosimetry should be considered. However, due to the physicochemical characteristics of TTX the fraction unbound in vivo is 1 (see toolbox Punt et al., 2020) and therefore, given the excellent water solubility of TTX, it was assumed that in the in vitro MEA medium and neuro-2a medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum the $\mathrm{fu}_{\mathrm{in\ vitro}}$ of TTX was 1, too. Thus, the in vitro effect concentration (ECin vitro) of TTX was set equal to an in vivo effect concentration (ECin vivo), without a need for correction for potential differences in protein binding in the in vitro and in vivo situation. The C_{\max} was the chosen dose metric for reverse dosimetry of TTX as the mode of action of its toxicity, sodium channel blocking, shows to be a concentrationdependent endpoint with a threshold (Rietjens et al., 2019). So, the estimated EC_{in vitro} was set equal to C_{max} of TTX in the PBK model. By repeating these steps for all the in vitro test

concentrations, the *in vitro* concentration-response data were converted to define the corresponding *in vivo* dose-response data.

Comparing predicted dose-response curves to in vivo toxicity data

After evaluation of the model, the predicted in vivo dose-response curves were compared with the available in vivo TTX toxicity data. For this comparison, the most sensitive endpoint for toxicity was chosen for each route and species as described in the result section. To quantify the comparison benchmark dose (BMD) responses were generated using the EFSA online BMD software. The BMD_{10} and $BMDL_{10}$ were determined under the EFSA default settings for Akaike information criterion being 2 and a confidence interval of 95%. Only BMD_{10} and $BMDL_{10}$ values as a result of model averaging were taken. Furthermore, a medium effective dose (and concentration) (ED_{50} and EC_{50}) was calculated in Excel using the TREND function as follows: (1) calculate from the dataset the halfway response: lowest $y_{value} + ($ highest y_{value} – lowest y_{value})/2 and (2) use the TREND function, which includes the 2 x-values with corresponding y-values in between where the halfway response lies to calculate its xvalue, also the ED_{50} (or EC_{50}). EC_{50} values were used to compare the in vitro data sets.

Human model

In spite of the limited available human data on TTX kinetics, a human PBK-model was defined, assuming that the evaluation of the model in rat would support its use for humans. The physiological and physicochemical parameters for the human model were taken from the literature in a similar way as for rat (Brown et al., 1997a; Punt et al., 2020) and are presented in Table 1. The kinetic constants were taken from the rat model and adjusted to human using human scaling factors. For reverse dosimetry 1 in vitro data set was available (Kasteel and Westerink, 2017) describing a concentration-response curve for the effect of TTX on human-induced pluripotent stem cell (hIPSC)-derived iCell neurons in coculture with hIPSC-derived iCell astrocytes in the MEA assay. Using our human PBK model, this in vitro concentrationresponse curve was translated to an in vivo dose-response curve for oral exposure to TTX and a BMD₁₀, BMDL₁₀, and ED₅₀ were derived that were compared with available (on mouse study based) human data on TTX toxicity.

RESULTS

Substrate Depletion of TTX

Figure 3 shows the depletion of TTX in incubations with rat hepatocytes. The *in vitro* hepatic clearance (CL_{int}) derived from these data amounted to $1.6 \times 10^{-7} \pm 0.01$ ml/min/10⁶ cells converted to an *in vivo* CL_{int} of 1.1×10^{-5} l/h for the rat, indicating that clearance of TTX via metabolism is limited. It was assumed that the mouse hepatic clearance would be similarly limited.

In Vitro Concentration-Response Data for TTX in Rodent Cells

The available *in vitro* concentration–response data for neurotoxicity of TTX in rat primary neonatal cortical cells in the MEA assay and for the neurotoxicity of TTX in the mouse neuro-2a assay are summarized in Figure 4. Both the rat MEA data (Figure 4A) and the mouse neuro-2a data (Figure 4B) reported in different studies provide comparable results, except for the data of Nicolas *et al.*, (2015) for the mouse neuro-2a assay, which indicate at a somewhat greater sensitivity. Nevertheless, these data together provide a suitable data set for QIVIVE and

Table 1. Physiological and Anatomical Parameter Values and the Partition Coefficients Used for the Physiologically Based Kinetic Models

Parameters	Rat ^a	Mouse ^b	Human ^a
Body weight (kg)	0.24	0.03	70
Fraction of tissue volumes			
Fat	0.070	0.070	0.214
Liver	0.034	0.055	0.026
Blood	0.074	0.067	0.079
Kidney	0.007	0.017	0.004
Rapidly perfused tissue	0.091	0.137	0.064
Slowly perfused tissue	0.724	0.654	0.613
Cardiac output	15 ^c	$15.4^{\rm d}$	15 ^c
Fraction of blood flow to tissue			
Fat	0.070	0.070	0.052
Liver	0.174	0.158	0.227
Kidney	0.141	0.114	0.175
Rapidly perfused tissue	0.093	0.516	0.195
Slowly perfused tissue	0.512	0.142	0.351
Partition coefficients ^e			
LogPow	-6.2 ^f		
pKa1	8.76 ^g		
- рКа2	11 ^g		
Fat/blood partition coefficient	0.46	0.46	0.46
Liver/blood partition	4.29	4.29	4.29
coefficient			
Kidney/blood partition	4.70	4.70	4.70
coefficient			
Rapid perfused tissue/blood	4.29	4.29	4.29
partition coefficient			
Slowly perfused tissue/blood	0.95	0.95	0.95

^aBrown et al. (1997a).

^bHall et al. (2012). ^cl/h \times kg \times bw^{0.74}. ^dl/h \times kg \times bw^{0.75}. ^ePunt et al. (2020).

^fHort et al. (2020). ^gCamougis et al. (1967).



Figure 3. Time-dependent substrate depletion of tetrodotoxin in incubations with primary rat hepatocytes. Symbols represent the average ln ($C_{compound}/C_{control}$) at different incubation time points (mean \pm SD of 3 independent experiments). Straight line represents the depletion curve and the dotted line represents zero depletion.

conversion into in vivo dose-response curves. Given the similarity of the concentration-response curves for the TTX-induced neurotoxicity in the neuro-2a assay reported in the studies of Hamasaki et al. (1996), Yamashoji and Isshiki (2001), and Yeo et al. (1996) these 3 data sets were used for the mice predictions by reverse dosimetry. The graphs in Figure 4 show that the rat primary neonatal cortical cells in the MEA assay (EC_{50} values 0.0035 and 0.0055 μ M; Figure 4A) seem to be only slightly more sensitive than the mouse neuro-2a cells in the neuro-2a assay (EC_{50} values of the 3 corresponding data sets amounting to 0.0082 μ M).

PBK Model Evaluation

The evaluation of the PBK model using different routes of administration and the parameter input presented in Table 1 is shown in Figure 5. Predictions were fitted to the in vivo data by estimating the rate of absorption for the oral route (ka: 0.18/h) and IM route (kb: 50/h) and optimizing the contribution of active renal excretion based on the transporter efficiency, which was 90 µl/min/mg protein (V_{max} = 180 pmol/min/mg protein, $K_m = 2 \mu M$). It appeared important to include this active excretion since it accounts for a substantial improvement in the predictions (compare Figure 5 with renal excretion, to Supplementary Figure 2 for data without taking renal excretion into account). For all administration routes, oral (Figure 5A), IM (Figure 5B), and IV (Figure 5C), the model was able to adequately predict the *in vivo* data. To enable subsequent PBK model-based reverse dosimetry for both the oral and the IM mode of administration a plot of the dose against C_{\max} was made, which was used to convert the in vitro concentrations from Figure 4 to in vivo dose levels to generate the dose-response curves. As explained in the Materials and Methods section, the high water solubility of TTX eliminated the need for a correction for differences in protein binding with the fub in vitro and in vivo both being 1.

Literature Reported In Vivo Dose–Response Data in Rodents

Figure 6 summarizes the available in vivo dose-response data for TTX in rodents available in literature for evaluation of the QIVIVE predictions. It must be noted that although the reported in vivo data are used for evaluation of the QIVIVE predictions made in this study using a NAM, this does not imply that the authors of this study agree with the ethics of these animal studies as they involve pain and discomfort to the animals. Three data sets for rat (Figure 6A) originate from studies reporting on the pharmacological application of TTX as a morphine-like painkiller (Kohane et al., 1998, 2000; Marcil et al., 2006). The dose-response curves from these 3 studies reveal substantial differences in sensitivity depending on the endpoint used to quantify the effect. The data reported by Marcil et al. (2006) using the so-called Von Fray hair test to quantify the TTX-induced reduction in mechanical allodynia (pain) showed effects at 16fold lower dose levels (Figure 6A, left y-axis) than the dose-response curves defined based on TTX-induced thermal nociceptive blocking (blocking of the peripheral sensory neurons; nociceptors; Figure 6A, right y-axis). The route of administration in all 3 studies was comparable consisting of subcutaneous/percutaneous injection. The data set reporting mechanical allodynia, apparently relating to the most sensitive endpoint, was selected for QIVIVE-based predictions. For mouse (Figure 6B), 6 data sets were identified in the available literature of which 2 related to parenteral administration and 4 to oral administration (Abal et al., 2017; Finch et al., 2018; Marcil et al., 2006). Here too, the data sets for the parenteral route differ markedly, as the



Figure 4. In vitro concentration–response curves for the effects of tetrodotoxin on (A) primary rat neonatal cortical cells in the MEA assay (circles: Kasteel and Westerink, 2017; $EC_{50} = 0.0055 \mu$ M; squares: Nicolas *et al.*, 2014; $EC_{50} = 0.0035 \mu$ M) and (B) neurotoxicity in mouse neuro-2a cells (squares: Hamasaki *et al.*, 1996; $EC_{50} = 0.0075 \mu$ M; inverted triangles: Nicolas *et al.*, 2015; triangles: Yamashoji and Isshiki, 2001; $EC_{50} = 0.0053 \mu$ M; and circles: Yeo *et al.*, 1996; $EC_{50} = 0.0121 \mu$ M). Data points represent mean (± SD/SEM, where available).



Figure 5. Predicted concentration time curves of tetrodotoxin (TTX) in whole blood of rat (striped lines) dosed with TTX via (A) oral (diamonds), (B) intramuscular (IM; squares), and (C) intravenous (IV; circles) administration. The literature data reported as plasma concentrations were adjusted to blood concentrations assuming a blood:plasma ratio of 0.42 (Hong *et al.*, 2017). Dosage used: oral 100 μ g/kg bw with 6.7% bioavailability, IM and IV 6 μ g/kg bw. Data points represent mean (\pm SD/SEM, where available).

endpoint "time to death" in minutes requires higher doses to be affected than the more sensitive endpoint including a so-called writhing test where the number of contractions of the abdomen was measured after exposure to acetic acid following increasing concentrations of TTX (both shown on left y-axis of Figure 6B). The latter study was selected for further QIVIVE-based predictions. The data sets for the oral route show a lower sensitivity to TTX compared with the parenteral route likely related to the low oral bioavailability of TTX of 6.7% reported by Hong *et al.* (2018). For the oral route, dose–response curves for the macroscopically observed neurological symptoms apathy, numbness, seizures, and mortality were available (shown on right y-axis of Figure 6B), where apathy was the most sensitive endpoint and therefore selected for the QIVIVE-based predictions.

QIVIVE to Translate In Vitro Neurotoxicity Data for TTX into In Vivo Dose–Response Data

The *in vitro* concentration–response curves were translated to *in vivo* dose–response curves using the PBK models for reverse dosimetry and QIVIVE. This resulted in the predicted dose–response curves presented in Figure 7 on the left y-axis. Figure 7 also presents, for comparison, the reported *in vivo* dose–response curves for the most sensitive endpoint as taken from Figure 6A on the right y-axis. The results thus obtained reveal an adequate match between the predicted and actual experimentally obtained dose–response curves, with the predicted ED_{50} values differing only 1- to 1.4-fold from the *in vivo* ED_{50} value (Table 2).

For mouse, both the IM model and the oral PBK model were used to translate the respective in vitro concentration-response data into in vivo dose-response data (Figure 8). For the parenteral route, the most sensitive endpoint was the number of visceral contractions for which the in vivo dose-response curve was provided by Marcil et al. (2006). Figure 8A presents a comparison of the in vivo experimental data (right y-axis) to the predicted dose-response curves (left y-axis) for TTX in mice. This comparison reveals that the predicted dose-response curves based on the in vitro data obtained in the neuro-2a assay are in line with the observed in vivo dose-response data as the predicted ED₅₀ values vary 1.8-fold to a maximum of 3-fold from the in vivo ED₅₀ value (Table 2). Apathy was the most sensitive endpoint for the oral route and therefore chosen for the comparison to the predicted dose-response curve upon oral administration of TTX (Figure 8B). Here too, the predicted dose-



Figure 6. Overview of in vivo dose–response data for tetrodotoxin (TTX) in rodents found in literature including (A) in vivo data sets for rat after TTX injection: triangles; Von Frey (g) hair test; (Marcil *et al.*, 2006; left y-axis), squares and circles; duration of the nociceptive block (min; Kohane *et al.*, 1998; right y-axis, and Kohane *et al.*, 2000, respectively) and (B) *in vivo* data sets for mouse: either upon injection: circles; writhing test (Marcil *et al.*, 2006), inverted triangles; time to death (min; Finch *et al.*, 2018; left y-axis) or after oral administration: diamonds; apathy (%; Abal *et al.*, 2017), squares; mortality (%; Abal *et al.*, 2017), triangles; numbness and seizures (%; Abal *et al.*, 2017; right y-axis). The red data sets present the dose–response curves for the most sensitive endpoint that were chosen for evaluation of the QIVIVE predictions. Data points represent mean (± SD/SEM, where available).



Figure 7. Predicted *in vivo* dose–response curve for tetrodotoxin in rat upon injection (intramuscular model) on the left y-axis compared with the *in vivo* data reported by Marcil *et al.* (2006) in the Von Frey hair test (blue line and triangles) on the right y-axis. The predictions were based on the rat multielectrode array assay data reported by Nicolas *et al.* (2014; black circles) or Kasteel and Westerink (2017; black squares). Data points represent mean (± SD/SEM, where available).

response curves (left y-axis) appear to be in accordance with the observed in vivo data (right y-axis) with the predicted ED_{50} values being at most up to 2.3-fold lower than the observed in vivo ED_{50} value (Table 3).

Predicting TTX Neurotoxicity in Human and Estimating a Tentative PoD

Upon evaluation of the rat TTX model the model code was used to define a human oral PBK-model. For predicting TTX neurotoxicity in human by QIVIVE an *in vitro* data set reported by Kasteel and Westerink (2017) was used describing TTX toxicity towards human IPSC-derived iCell neurons in co-culture with hIPSC-derived iCell astrocytes exposed to TTX in the MEA (MEA assay; Figure 9A). The dose–response curve obtained of TTX in this MEA cell model was translated to an *in vivo* dose–response curve applying PBK model-based reverse-dosimetry with the human PBK-model resulting in an *in vivo* dose–response curve with an ED_{50} of $18 \,\mu$ g/kg bw (Figure 9B). Further BMD analysis on this predicted *in vivo* dose–response curve resulted in a BMD₁₀ of $4.3 \,\mu$ g/kg bw, and a BMDL₁₀ of $1.8 \,\mu$ g/kg bw (see Supplementary Figs. 3 and 4 and Supplementary Table 1 for details). Taking the BMDL₁₀ as a PoD for the risk assessment of TTX and using a factor 10 for interindividual variability would result in an ARfD of $0.18 \,\mu$ g/kg bw. This tentative PoD is only 1.4fold different from the previously established ARfD by EFSA of $0.25 \,\mu$ g/kg bw based on an acute toxicity study in mice (Abal *et al.*, 2017).

	ED ₅₀ (µg/kg bw)	Endpoint	Literature
Injection			
Rat			
Predicted	1	MEA Spike	Kasteel and Westerink (2017)
	0.7	MEA Spike	Nicolas et al., (2014)
In vivo	0.7	Von Frey	Marcil et al., (2006)
	10.4	Nociceptive block	Kohane et al., (1998)
Mice		-	
Predicted	2.1	Cytotoxicity inhibition	Hamasaki et al., (1996)
	1.5	Cytotoxicity inhibition	Yamashoji and Isshiki (2001)
	2.4	Cytotoxicity inhibition	Yeo et al., (1996)
In vivo	0.84	No. visceral contractions	Marcil et al., (2006)
	12	Time to death	Finch et al., (2018)

Table 2. Established ED_{50} Values for the Predicted In Vivo Dose-Response Data and In Vivo Data for Rat and Mouse via Parenteral Administration

The literature reported ED_{50} values used for comparison to the predicted ED_{50} values are printed in bold.



Figure 8. Predicted in vivo dose-response curves for tetrodotoxin in mice upon (A) injection (intramuscular model) and (B) oral administration (oral model). In blue the in vivo endpoints visceral contractions (diamonds; Marcil et al., 2006; Figure 8A) and apathy (inverted triangles; Abal et al., 2017; Figure 8B) displayed on right y-axes. The predictions were based on the mouse neuro-2a assay data reported by Hamasaki et al. (1996; triangles); Yamashoji and Isshiki (2001; circles), and Yeo et al. (1996; squares) displayed on the left y-axes. Data points represent mean (± SD/SEM, where available).

Table 3. Established ED ₅₀	Values for the Pred	icted In Vivo Dose–Respo	onse Data and In Vivo D	ata for Mouse via Oral Admini	stration
---------------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------	----------

	ED ₅₀ (µg/kg bw)	Endpoint	Literature
Oral			
Mice			
Predicted	61	Cytotoxicity inhibition	Hamasaki et al. (1996)
	42	Cytotoxicity inhibition	Yamashoji and Isshiki (2001)
	69	Cytotoxicity inhibition	Yeo et al. (1996)
In vivo	96	Apathy	Abal et al. (2017)
	560	Seizures/Numbness	
	223	Mortality	

The literature reported ED_{50} values used for comparison to the predicted ED_{50} values are printed in bold.



Figure 9. In vitro concentration-response curve of (A) tetrodotoxin in human-induced pluripotent stem cell (hIPSC)-derived iCell neurons in coculture with hIPSC-derived iCell astrocytes in the multielectrode array assay (Kasteel and Westerink 2017) and (B) the predicted in vivo dose-response curve acquired by physiologically based kinetic model facilitated reverse-dosimetry using a human oral model (B). Data points represent mean ± SEM.

DISCUSSION

TTX is an acute neurotoxin, which upon systemic exposure affects both action potential generation and impulse conduction by extracellular blockade of the voltage-gated sodium channels. The available ARfD of TTX ($0.25 \mu g/kg$ bw), is derived from a study on mice in which TTX was dosed orally (via gavage; EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain [CONTAM] et al., 2017). Given the available data sets on acute toxicity of TTX in rodents and the many analogs of TTX for which experimental toxicity data are lacking, it is of interest to study whether the acute toxicity of TTX can be adequately predicted by a NAM based on *in vitro* and *in silico* data.

PBK modeling-based reverse dosimetry has proven to be a promising NAM to derive quantitative data, which can potentially be used in risk assessment to estimate *in vivo* toxicity in rodents and human (Chen *et al.*, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2017a; Louisse *et al.*, 2015; Ning *et al.*, 2019; Shi *et al.*, 2020; Strikwold *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2018, 2020). This study aimed to assess the potential of using the PBK modeling-based reverse dosimetry approach as a NAM to predict the neurotoxicity of TTX in rodents, based on *in vitro* toxicity data obtained in the MEA assay using primary rat neonatal cortical cells or data obtained using the mouse neuro-2a assay.

Evaluation of the PBK model performance for TTX demonstrated its adequacy for predicting kinetic data for different routes of administration. In line with the results from Hong et al. (2017) who reported that < 10% of TTX was metabolized, the results of this study corroborated that hepatic metabolism does not contribute substantially to the systemic clearance of TTX (in vitro CL_{int}: $1.6 \times 10^{-7} \pm 0.01$ ml/min/10⁶ cells; in vivo CL_{int}: 1.15×10^{-5} l/h), while renal excretion plays a major role in TTX kinetics. Furthermore, the PBK modeling data of this study revealed that up to 86% of TTX clearance in the kidney could be ascribed to active transport by the proximal tubule cells. This active transport of TTX was previously also demonstrated in the renal proximal tubule cell line LLC-PK1 (Matsumoto et al., 2017). In this in vitro study, TTX was shown to be primarily transported by the organic cation transporters and the organic cation/carnitine transporters. To a lesser extent organic anion transporters and multidrug resistance-associated proteins were involved, too. The PBK model evaluation of this study provides insight in the efficiency of this active transport and revealed that it contributes considerably to TTX clearance. To

substantiate the values used in this article, it would be of interest to perform *in vitro* transport studies with TTX for the organic cation transporters in stably transfected cell lines such as the human embryonic kidney cell line HEK-293 and investigate to what extent such *in vitro* data can provide the kinetic data defined in this study by fitting the PBK model to available *in vivo* data for TTX kinetics.

The results obtained revealed that the NAM used in this study could adequately predict the dose-response curves for the selected most sensitive endpoints reported in the available in vivo studies. This, in spite of the fact that the spike rates used as readout in the MEA assay (Nicolas et al., 2014) and the endpoint quantified in the MTT assay using the mouse neuroblastoma cell line, both used to generate the in vitro concentrationresponse curves, may detect TTX neurotoxicity based on different endpoints than the endpoints quantified in the in vivo neurotoxicity studies. This is possible because the underlying mode of action for all in vivo endpoints relates to the TTX mediated blocking of sodium channels. The reasons underlying the differential sensitivity of the various in vivo endpoints may relate to as yet unidentified differences in the toxicodynamics and/or toxicokinetics of TTX in the target tissue of interest underlying the respective adverse effects (mechanical allodynia, thermal nociceptive blocking, visceral contractions, apathy, and seizures).

Hence the question arises as to what extent the endpoints quantified in the MEA assay or the neuro-2a assay match these in vivo endpoints. Although the mechanism of action underlying all the in vitro and in vivo endpoints studied for TTX is blocking of the voltage-gated sodium channels, thereby interfering with the production of action potentials, it is of interest to consider the different endpoints in some more detail. For the rat in vivo data, the sensory neurons stimulated in the Von Frey hair test and the thermal nociceptive blocking test are nonvisceral (or somatic) sensory neurons that can respond to (noxious) events such as mechanical, (extreme) heat/cold, or chemical stimuli (Dubin and Patapoutian, 2010; Robinson and Gebhart, 2008). In both experiments, the hind paw of the rats was exposed to either mechanical stimuli by Von Frey filaments or heat stimuli by a hot plate (56°C) until paw withdrawal was observed. Apparently, enduring the pain of heat (uncomfortable sensation) requires higher doses of TTX than enduring the pinprick of a Von Frey filament until uncomfortable sensation, and the type of stimuli (mechanical, heat) and/or the underlying

pathway determines how sodium channel blocking is perceived. Here, the Von Frey hair test seems to be the more sensitive endpoint than the thermal nociceptive blocking test. The underlying neuronal/neuromuscular processes to further explain this difference between the different *in vivo* endpoints lies beyond the scope of this study (Dubin and Patapoutian, 2010).

In the MEA assay, primary rat neonatal cortical neurons isolated from cortices form a network of inhibitory and excitatory cells with different subtypes and amongst them nonvisceral neurons (Masland, 2004; Nicolas *et al.*, 2014; Schnitzler *et al.*, 1999). In the MEA assay, the neuronal cells are directly exposed to TTX and show a decrease in activity compared with baseline with increasing concentrations of TTX. The sodium channel block is therefore directly measurable, whereas this effect *in vivo* is only indirectly noticeable via neuromuscular communication with the central nervous system. Nevertheless, the MEA assay provides a very sensitive endpoint; therefore, the *in vivo* endpoint chosen for the comparison to data predicted based on the *in vitro* assay should be as sensitive as possible.

A similar evaluation for in vitro endpoints and in vivo endpoints can be performed for the mouse assays. The in vivo data on mice, generated in the writhing test, are based on innervation of the visceral sensory neurons by exposure to acetic acid, which via the acid-sensing ion channels lead to pain sensation expressed as abdomen contraction together with twisting and turning of the trunk and arching of the back (Holzer, 2011; Marcil et al., 2006; Robinson and Gebhart, 2008). These effects are decreased by increasing TTX concentrations blocking the sodium channels and preventing signal transduction. This endpoint appears much more sensitive than measuring the time of death that requires higher doses of TTX (Finch et al., 2018). Comparing the endpoint of the TTX effect in the in vivo writhing test-decrease of visceral contractions-to the TTX effect in the in vitro neuro-2a assay-cell survival-suggests that these endpoints are not exactly the same in spite of the similar underlying mechanism of action. However, in spite of this apparent difference, the use of the neuro-2a assay for QIVIVE did provide adequate in vivo predictions for the writhing test. Similarly, outcomes of the in vitro embryonic stem cells test for developmental toxicity, detecting the inhibition of the development of mouse embryonic ES-D3 stem cells to beating cardiomyocytes, appeared to provide a suitable in vitro endpoint to predict a wide range of in vivo endpoints for developmental toxicity including malformations, number of live pups, and fetal body weight (Kamelia et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017b; Strikwold et al., 2013).

With respect to neurotoxicity, previous studies already concluded that for determining the toxicity of neurotoxins *in vitro* the 2 most promising assays are the MEA assay (using rat primary neonatal cortical cells) and the mouse neuro-2a assay (Bodero *et al.*, 2018; Nicolas *et al.*, 2014, 2015). The results of this study reveal that these 2 assays are adequate to define concentration-dependent *in vitro* toxicity data for TTX for QIVIVE using PBK model-based reverse dosimetry. Moreover, using a human IPSC *in vitro* MEA assay showed to have potential to generate data for establishing a tentative PoD (BMDL₁₀) for human TTX toxicity in line with the previously established ARfD by EFSA. To confirm this with more proof, more research should be conducted on the kinetics of TTX in human.

To recapitulate, in this study, we have successfully built a PBK model for the marine biotoxin TTX in rodents (rat, mouse) where renal excretion via active transport seems to play a major role in its kinetics. The results presented provide support for the use of this NAM for predicting the acute neurotoxicity of TTX (and its analogs). Thereby, a cautious attempt has been made to predict TTX toxicity in human using only in vitro and in silico data applying reverse-based dosimetry enabled by PBK-modeling and shows to have potential.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at Toxicological Sciences online.

FUNDING

This research was supported by BASF SE.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- Abal, P., Louzao, M. C., Antelo, A., Alvarez, M., Cagide, E., Vilarino, N., Vieytes, M. R., and Botana, L. M. (2017). Acute oral toxicity of tetrodotoxin in mice: Determination of lethal dose 50 (LD_{50}) and no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL). Toxins (Basel) **9**, 75.
- Bane, V., Lehane, M., Dikshit, M., O'Riordan, A., and Furey, A. (2014). Tetrodotoxin: Chemistry, toxicity, source, distribution and detection. Toxins 6, 693–755.
- Bodero, M., Bovee, T. F. H., Wang, S., Hoogenboom, R., Klijnstra, M. D., Portier, L., Hendriksen, P. J. M., and Gerssen, A. (2018). Screening for the presence of lipophilic marine biotoxins in shellfish samples using the neuro-2a bioassay. Food Addit. Contam. Part A Chem. Anal. Control Expo. Risk Assess. 35, 351–365.
- Brown, R. P., Delp, M. D., Lindstedt, S. L., Rhomberg, L. R., and Beliles, R. P. (1997a). Physiological parameter values for physiologically based pharmacokinetic models. Toxicol. Ind. Health 13, 407–484.
- Brown, R. P., Delp, M. D., Lindstedt, S. L., Rhomberg, L. R., and Beliles, R. P. (1997b). Physiological parameter values for physiologically based pharmacokinetic models. *Toxicol. Ind. Health* 13, 407–484.
- Camougis, G., Takman, B. H., and Tasse, J. R. (1967). Potency difference between the zwitterion form and the cation forms of tetrodotoxin. Science 156, 1625–1627.
- Chen, L., Ning, J., Louisse, J., Wesseling, S., and Rietjens, I. M. (2018). Use of physiologically based kinetic modellingfacilitated reverse dosimetry to convert in vitro cytotoxicity data to predicted in vivo liver toxicity of lasiocarpine and riddelliine in rat. Food Chem. Toxicol. **116**, 216–226.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (1996). Tetrodotoxin poisoning associated with eating puffer fish transported from Japan–California, 1996. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep. **45**, 389.
- Dubin, A. E., and Patapoutian, A. (2010). Nociceptors: The sensors of the pain pathway. J. Clin. Invest. **120**, 3760–3772.
- EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM); Knutsen, H. K., Alexander, J., Barregård, L., Bignami, M., Brüschweiler, B., Ceccatelli, S., Cottrill, B., Dinovi, M., Edler, L., Grasl-Kraupp, B., *et al.* (2017). Risks for public health related to the presence of tetrodotoxin (TTX) and TTX analogues in marine bivalves and gastropods. *EFSA J.* **15**, e04752.

- Evans, M. V., and Andersen, M. E. (2000). Sensitivity analysis of a physiological model for 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD): Assessing the impact of specific model parameters on sequestration in liver and fat in the rat. Toxicol. Sci. 54, 71–80.
- Finch, S. C., Boundy, M. J., and Harwood, D. T. (2018). The acute toxicity of tetrodotoxin and tetrodotoxin(-)saxitoxin mixtures to mice by various routes of administration. Toxins (Basel) 10, 423.
- Hall, C., Lueshen, E., Mosat, A., and Linninger, A. A. (2012). Interspecies scaling in pharmacokinetics: A novel wholebody physiologically based modeling framework to discover drug biodistribution mechanisms in vivo. J. Pharm. Sci. 101, 1221–1241.
- Hamasaki, K., Kogure, K., and Ohwada, K. (1996). A biological method for the quantitative measurement of tetrodotoxin (TTX): Tissue culture bioassay in combination with a watersoluble tetrazolium salt. Toxicon 34, 490–495.
- Holzer, P. (2011). Acid sensing by visceral afferent neurones. Acta Physiol. (Oxf.) 201, 63–75.
- Hong, B., Chen, H., Han, J., Xie, Q., He, J., Bai, K., Dong, Y., and Yi, R. (2017). A study of 11-[3h]-tetrodotoxin absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) in adult Sprague-Dawley rats. Mar. Drugs 15, 159.
- Hong, B., Sun, J., Zheng, H., Le, Q., Wang, C., Bai, K., He, J., He, H., and Dong, Y. (2018). Effect of tetrodotoxin pellets in a rat model of postherpetic neuralgia. *Mar. Drugs* 16, 195.
- Hort, V., Arnich, N., Guerin, T., Lavison-Bompard, G., and Nicolas, M. (2020). First detection of tetrodotoxin in bivalves and gastropods from the French mainland coasts. Toxins (Basel) 12, 599.
- Houston, J. B. (1994). Utility of in vitro drug metabolism data in predicting in vivo metabolic clearance. Biochem. Pharmacol. 47, 1469–1479.
- Huang, H.-N., Lin, J., and Lin, H.-L. (2008). Identification and quantification of tetrodotoxin in the marine gastropod nassarius by LC–MS. Toxicon 51, 774–779.
- Kamelia, L., Louisse, J., de Haan, L., Rietjens, I., and Boogaard, P. J. (2017). Prenatal developmental toxicity testing of petroleum substances: Application of the mouse embryonic stem cell test (EST) to compare in vitro potencies with potencies observed in vivo. Toxicol. In Vitro 44, 303–312.
- Kao, C. Y. (1966). Tetrodotoxin, saxitoxin and their significance in the study of excitation phenomena. Pharmacol. Rev. 18, 997–1049.
- Kao, C. Y., and Fuhrman, F. A. (1963). Pharmacological studies on tarichatoxin, a potent neurotoxin. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 140, 31–40.
- Kasteel, E. E., and Westerink, R. H. (2017). Comparison of the acute inhibitory effects of tetrodotoxin (TTX) in rat and human neuronal networks for risk assessment purposes. Toxicol. Lett. 270, 12–16.
- Kogure, K., Tamplin, M. L., Simidu, U., and Colwell, R. R. (1988). A tissue culture assay for tetrodotoxin, saxitoxin and related toxins. Toxicon 26, 191–197.
- Kohane, D. S., Lu, N. T., Gökgöl-Kline, A. C., Shubina, M., Kuang, Y., Hall, S., Strichartz, G. R., and Berde, C. B. 2000. The local anesthetic properties and toxicity of saxitonin homologues for rat sciatic nerve block in vivo. *Reg. Anesth. Pain Med.* 25, 52–59.
- Kohane, D. S., Yieh, J., Lu, N. T., Langer, R., Strichartz, G. R., and Berde, C. B. (1998). A re-examination of tetrodotoxin for prolonged duration local anesthesia. Anesthesiology 89, 119–131.

- Li, H., Zhang, M., Vervoort, J., Rietjens, I. M., van Ravenzwaay, B., and Louisse, J. (2017a). Use of physiologically based kinetic modeling-facilitated reverse dosimetry of in vitro toxicity data for prediction of in vivo developmental toxicity of tebuconazole in rats. Toxicol. Lett. **266**, 85–93.
- Li, H., Zhang, M., Vervoort, J., Rietjens, I. M., van Ravenzwaay, B., and Louisse, J. (2017b). Use of physiologically based kinetic modeling-facilitated reverse dosimetry of in vitro toxicity data for prediction of in vivo developmental toxicity of tebuconazole in rats. Toxicol. Lett. 266, 85–93.
- Louisse, J., Bosgra, S., Blaauboer, B., Rietjens, I. C. M., and Verwei, M. (2015). Prediction of in vivo developmental toxicity of alltrans-retinoic acid based on in vitro toxicity data and in silico physiologically based kinetic modeling. Arch. Toxicol. 89, 1135–1148.
- Marcil, J., Walczak, J. S., Guindon, J., Ngoc, A. H., Lu, S., and Beaulieu, P. (2006). Antinociceptive effects of tetrodotoxin (TTX) in rodents. Br. J. Anaesth. 96, 761–768.
- Masland, R. H. (2004). Neuronal cell types. Curr. Biol. 14, R497-500.
- Matsumoto, T., Ishizaki, Y., Mochizuki, K., Aoyagi, M., Mitoma, Y., Ishizaki, S., and Nagashima, Y. (2017). Urinary excretion of tetrodotoxin modeled in a porcine renal proximal tubule epithelial cell line, LLC-PK₁. *Mar. Drugs* **15**, 225.
- Melnikova, D. I., Khotimchenko, Y. S., and Magarlamov, T. Y. (2018). Addressing the issue of tetrodotoxin targeting. *Mar. Drugs* **16**, 352.
- Nicolas, J., Hendriksen, P. J., de Haan, L. H., Koning, R., Rietjens, I. M., and Bovee, T. F. (2015). In vitro detection of cardiotoxins or neurotoxins affecting ion channels or pumps using beating cardiomyocytes as alternative for animal testing. *Toxicol.* In Vitro 29, 281–288.
- Nicolas, J., Hendriksen, P. J., van Kleef, R. G., de Groot, A., Bovee, T. F., Rietjens, I. M., and Westerink, R. H. (2014). Detection of marine neurotoxins in food safety testing using a multielectrode array. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 58, 2369–2378.
- Ning, J., Chen, L., Strikwold, M., Louisse, J., Wesseling, S., and Rietjens, I. M. (2019). Use of an in vitro–in silico testing strategy to predict inter-species and inter-ethnic human differences in liver toxicity of the pyrrolizidine alkaloids lasiocarpine and riddelliine. Arch. Toxicol. 93, 801–818.
- Noorlander, A., Wesseling, S., Rietjens, I., and van Ravenzwaay, B. (2021). Incorporating renal excretion via the OCT₂ transporter in physiologically based kinetic modelling to predict in vivo kinetics of mepiquat in rat. Toxicol. Lett. 343, 34–43.
- Obach, R. S. (1999). Prediction of human clearance of twentynine drugs from hepatic microsomal intrinsic clearance data: An examination of in vitro half-life approach and nonspecific binding to microsomes. Drug Metab. Dispos. 27, 1350–1359.
- Punt, A., Pinckaers, N., Peijnenburg, A., and Louisse, J. (2020). Development of a web-based toolbox to support quantitative in-vitro-to-in-vivo extrapolations (QIVIVE) within nonanimal testing strategies. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 34, 460–472.
- Rietjens, I. M. C. M., Ning, J., Chen, L., Wesseling, S., Strikwold, M., and Louisse, J. (2019). Selecting the dose metric in reverse dosimetry based QIVIVE : Reply to'Comment on'Use of an in vitro-in silico testing strategy to predict inter-species and inter-ethnic human differences in liver toxicity of the pyrrolizidine alkaloids lasiocarpine and riddelliine' by Ning et al., Arch Toxicol doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-019-02397-7', Arch Toxicol doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s0020 4-019-02421-w. Arch. Toxicol. 93, 1467–1469.

- Robinson, D. R., and Gebhart, G. F. (2008). Inside information: The unique features of visceral sensation. Mol. Interv. 8, 242–253.
- Rodgers, T., and Rowland, M. (2006). Physiologically based pharmacokinetic modelling 2: Predicting the tissue distribution of acids, very weak bases, neutrals and zwitterions. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **95**, 1238–1257.
- Rossini, G. P., and Hartung, T. (2012). Towards tailored assays for cell-based approaches to toxicity testing. ALTEX **29**, 359–372.
- Schnitzler, A., Volkmann, J., Enck, P., Frieling, T., Witte, O. W., and Freund, H. J. (1999). Different cortical organization of visceral and somatic sensation in humans. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 11, 305–315.
- Shi, M., Bouwmeester, H., Rietjens, I., and Strikwold, M. (2020). Integrating in vitro data and physiologically based kinetic modeling-facilitated reverse dosimetry to predict human cardiotoxicity of methadone. Arch. Toxicol. 94, 2809–2827.
- Sjögren, E., Lennemäs, H., Andersson, T. B., Gråsjö, J., and Bredberg, U. (2009). The multiple depletion curves method provides accurate estimates of intrinsic clearance (CLint), maximum velocity of the metabolic reaction (Vmax), and michaelis constant (Km): Accuracy and robustness evaluated through experimental data and Monte Carlo simulations. Drug Metab. Dispos. 37, 47–58.
- Strikwold, M., Spenkelink, B., de Haan, L. H., Woutersen, R. A., Punt, A., and Rietjens, I. M. (2017). Integrating in vitro data and physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling to assess the in vivo potential developmental toxicity of a series of phenols. Arch. Toxicol. 91, 2119–2133.
- Strikwold, M., Spenkelink, B., Woutersen, R. A., Rietjens, I. M., and Punt, A. (2013). Combining in vitro embryotoxicity data with physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling to define

in vivo dose-response curves for developmental toxicity of phenol in rat and human. Arch. Toxicol. **87**, 1709–1723.

- Sui, L., Chen, K., Hwang, P., and Hwang, D. (2002). Identification of tetrodotoxin in marine gastropods implicated in food poisoning. J. Nat. Toxins 11, 213–220.
- Walton, K., Dorne, J. L., and Renwick, A. G. (2004). Species-specific uncertainty factors for compounds eliminated principally by renal excretion in humans. Food Chem. Toxicol. 42, 261–274.
- Worley, R. R., and Fisher, J. (2015). Application of physiologicallybased pharmacokinetic modeling to explore the role of kidney transporters in renal reabsorption of perfluorooctanoic acid in the rat. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 289, 428–441.
- Xu, Q., Huang, K., Gao, L., Zhang, H., and Rong, K. (2003). Toxicity of tetrodotoxin towards mice and rabbits. Wei Sheng Yan Jiu 32, 371–374.
- Yamashoji, S., and Isshiki, K. (2001). Rapid detection of cytotoxicity of food additives and contaminants by a novel cytotoxicity test, menadione-catalyzed H(2)O (2) production assay. Cytotechnology **37**, 171–178.
- Yeo, D. S., Ding, J. L., and Ho, B. (1996). Neuroblastoma cell culture assay shows that carcinoscorpius rotundicauda haemolymph neutralizes tetrodotoxin. *Toxicon* 34, 1054–1057.
- Zhang, M., van Ravenzwaay, B., Fabian, E., Rietjens, I. M., and Louisse, J. (2018). Towards a generic physiologically based kinetic model to predict in vivo uterotrophic responses in rats by reverse dosimetry of in vitro estrogenicity data. Arch. Toxicol. 92, 1075–1088.
- Zhang, M., van Ravenzwaay, B., and Rietjens, I. (2020). Development of a generic physiologically based kinetic model to predict in vivo uterotrophic responses induced by estrogenic chemicals in rats based on in vitro bioassays. Toxicol. Sci. 173, 19–31.