



Article Hepatic Steatosis Is Associated with High White Blood Cell and Platelet Counts

Yu-Lin Chao¹, Pei-Yu Wu^{1,2}, Jiun-Chi Huang^{1,2,3}, Yi-Wen Chiu^{1,3}, Jia-Jung Lee^{1,3}, Szu-Chia Chen^{1,2,3,4,*}, Jer-Ming Chang^{1,3,*}, Shang-Jyh Hwang^{1,3} and Hung-Chun Chen^{1,3}

- ¹ Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan; leonchuo@gmail.com (Y.-L.C.); wpuw17@gmail.com (P.-Y.W.); karajan77@gmail.com (J.-C.H.); chiuyiwen@kmu.edu.tw (Y.-W.C.); u9051108@kmu.edu.tw (J.-J.L.); sjhwang@kmu.edu.tw (S.-J.H.); chenhc@kmu.edu.tw (H.-C.C.)
- ² Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Municipal Siaogang Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 812, Taiwan
- ³ Faculty of Medicine, College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan
- ⁴ Research Center for Environmental Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan
- * Correspondence: scarchenone@yahoo.com.tw (S.-C.C.); jemich@kmu.edu.tw (J.-M.C.); Tel.: +886-7-8036783 (ext. 3440) (S.-C.C.); +886-7-3121101 (ext. 7351) (J.-M.C.); Fax: 886-7-8063346 (S.-C.C.)

Abstract: The incidence of hepatic steatosis is increasing globally, and it is important to identify those at risk to prevent comorbidities. Complete blood count is a simple, convenient, and inexpensive laboratory examination which can be used to obtain white blood cell (WBC) and platelet counts. The aims of this study were to investigate the relationships between WBC and platelet counts with hepatic steatosis, and whether WBC and platelet counts were associated with the severity of hepatic steatosis. We enrolled 1969 participants residing in southern Taiwan who took part in a health survey from June 2016 to September 2018 in this cross-sectional study. None of the participants were heavy alcohol users or had a history of hepatitis B or C. We collected laboratory data, and the severity of hepatic steatosis was determined by abdominal ultrasound. The overall prevalence rate of hepatic steatosis was 42.0%. There were significant trends of stepwise increases in WBC count (*p* < 0.001) corresponding to the severity of hepatic steatosis. After multivariable linear regression analysis, hepatic steatosis was significantly associated with high WBC count (coefficient β , 0.209; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.055 to 0.364; *p* = 0.008) and high platelet count (coefficient β , 12.213; 95% CI, 6.092 to 18.334; *p* < 0.001); also, higher WBC counts corresponded with the severity of hepatic steatosis.

Keywords: hepatic steatosis; white blood cell; platelet

1. Introduction

The global prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is around 25% [1]; it has rapidly increased in Asia over the last decade from 25% to 34% [2]. NAFLD is a known risk factor for the development of hepatocellular carcinoma, advanced liver disease, and related complications, and it has also been strongly associated with cardiovascular diseases, metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and extrahepatic malignancy [3–5]. The pathogenesis of NAFLD is not fully understood, but insulin resistance and chronic inflammation have been shown to play vital roles [6]. Insulin resistance leads to abnormal triglyceride accumulation in hepatocytes, causing hepatic steatosis and then inflammation mechanisms including lipotoxicity and immune reaction, leading to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and activating hepatic stellate cells to cause hepatic fibrosis [7,8]. Accordingly, patients with NAFLD have been reported to have elevated levels of inflammatory biomarkers, including high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (CRP) [9], tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) [10], and interleukin-6 (IL-6) [11]. Moreover, this chronic inflammation further worsens insulin



Citation: Chao, Y.-L.; Wu, P.-Y.; Huang, J.-C.; Chiu, Y.-W.; Lee, J.-J.; Chen, S.-C.; Chang, J.-M.; Hwang, S.-J.; Chen, H.-C. Hepatic Steatosis Is Associated with High White Blood Cell and Platelet Counts. *Biomedicines* **2022**, *10*, 892. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/biomedicines10040892

Academic Editors: François R. Jornayvaz and Karim Gariani

Received: 12 March 2022 Accepted: 12 April 2022 Published: 13 April 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). resistance, leading to a vicious cycle of NAFLD progression [12]. Identifying NAFLD as early as possible is crucial to prevent the comorbidities of cardiovascular disease and metabolic syndrome [13,14]; therefore, it is important to predict the risk of NAFLD using simple and easily obtainable parameters.

White blood cell (WBC) count and platelet count are routinely measured in complete blood count. WBCs are cells of the immune system which play a role in differentiating diseases. An elevation in WBC count can be caused by bone marrow disorders or inflammatory diseases [15]. An increase in WBCs in the peripheral circulation from bone marrow is regulated by cytokines due to underlying inflammatory conditions, and an elevated WBC count has been associated with cardiovascular disease [16,17], metabolic syndrome [18,19], obesity [20], polycystic ovary syndrome [21], and insulin resistance [22]. Insulin resistance may cause hyperinsulinemia, leading to de novo hepatic lipogenesis and steatohepatitis [23]. The excess fatty acid then results in lipotoxic lipids and oxidative stress in hepatocytes, leading to liver necrosis [24].

Platelets participate in hemostasis by initiating a coagulation cascade at the site of interrupted endothelium; they also promote vascular inflammation [25] and tumor growth and survival [26]. An elevated platelet count can be reactive or be caused by hematologic malignancies or inherited syndrome (familial thrombocytosis). Reactive thrombocytosis can be caused by inflammatory diseases, iron deficiency, trauma, splenectomy or functional asplenia, acute blood loss, and neoplastic diseases [26]. An elevated platelet count has been associated with elevated CRP, IL-6, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate in cancer [27], and with IL-6 in inflammatory bowel disease and rheumatoid arthritis [28]. In addition, an elevated platelet count has also been associated with metabolic syndrome [29], cardiovascular disease [30], and insulin resistance [31]. Moreover, platelets will release platelet-derived growth factors, cytokines, and chemokines to activate the inflammation process to cause immune reactions, promote liver cirrhosis, and develop hepatocellular carcinoma [32–35]. In summary, the elevation of platelet counts is associated with CRP, IL-6, and ESR in various inflammatory diseases, and platelets play a role in the pathophysiology of liver disease.

Several studies have mentioned the association between WBC counts and NAFLD. They not only disclosed a positive correlation between WBC counts and the prevalence of NAFLD [36], but also established that elevation of WBC is a risk factor of NAFLD [22,37,38]. The association between platelet count and NAFLD has also been reported in previous studies [39–44], and the correlation is inconsistent. However, just few studies further differentiate the severity of hepatic steatosis and simultaneously analyze the counts of WBCs and platelets. In our study, we analyze WBC and platelet count at the same time and further elucidate that a stepwise increase in both corresponds to the severity of hepatic steatosis.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participant Recruitment

This cross-sectional study was conducted in southern Taiwan from June 2016 to September 2018. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital (number: KMUHIRB-G(II)-20190011), and all participants gave informed consent before joining the study.

The participants were recruited from a health survey which was promoted through advertisements. They all underwent physical examinations, and an experienced physician recorded their clinical histories. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were also measured. All of the participants also underwent a face-to-face interview with a researcher, during which they completed a questionnaire asking about alcohol history. For men, heavy drinking is typically defined as consuming 15 drinks or more per week in his lifetime. For women, heavy drinking is typically defined as consuming 8 drinks or more per week in her lifetime. Participants who did not meet the above criteria and were not social drinkers were defined as having an alcohol history. Heavy alcohol users and those with a history of hepatitis B or C were excluded. In addition, hemochromatosis, Wilson disease, and autoimmune hepatic disorders were excluded. A total of 2446 participants

with complete data were screened, of whom 477 were excluded due to a history of severe alcohol consumption (n = 49), hepatitis B (n = 307), hepatitis C (n = 102), and hepatitis B and C (n = 19). The remaining 1969 participants were analyzed (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Flowchart of study population.

2.2. Collection of Demographic, Medical, and Laboratory Data

Baseline data on lifestyle habits (smoking and alcohol consumption), medical history (diabetes mellitus (DM) and hypertension), and demographics (age and sex) were recorded. In addition, the following laboratory data were also recorded at baseline: WBC count, hemoglobin, platelet count, fasting glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, aspartate amino-transferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), and uric acid. eGFR was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation (CKD-EPI eGFR) [45].

2.3. Assessment of Hepatic Steatosis

Hepatic steatosis was defined as the presence of hepatic steatosis on liver ultrasonography that could not be explained by the secondary accumulation of hepatic fat or acute/chronic liver diseases, such as treatment with steatogenic drugs and severe alcohol use [46,47]. Liver ultrasonography was performed by experienced radiologists who were blinded to the participants' biochemical data and clinical diagnoses. Hepatic steatosis was defined as the presence of at least two of the following: greater echogenicity in the liver compared to the kidneys, gradual attenuation of far-field ultrasound echo, vascular blurring, and diffuse increase in near-field ultrasound echo ('bright liver') [47,48]. Steatosis is graded as follows: absent (score 0), when the echotexture of the liver is normal; mild (score 1), when there is a slight and diffuse increase of liver echogenicity with normal visualization of the diaphragm and of the portal vein wall; moderate (score 2), in the case of moderate increase in liver echogenicity, with slightly impaired appearance of the portal vein wall and the diaphragm; severe (score 3), in the case of marked increase in liver echogenicity with poor or no visualization of portal vein wall, diaphragm, and posterior part of the right liver lobe [49].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are presented as mean \pm standard deviation or median (25–75th percentile), and categorical data are presented as number and percentage. Differences between categorical variables were compared using the chi-squared test, and differences between continuous variables were compared using the independent *t* test. Multiple comparisons among hepatic steatosis severity groups were performed using one-way analysis of variance followed by a Bonferroni-adjusted post hoc test. Spearman correlation was performed to identify the association between WBC and platelet counts with hepatic steatosis severity groups. Linear regression analysis was used to identify associations between WBC count and platelet count with hepatic steatosis. Significant variables in univariable analysis were entered into multivariable analysis. *p*-values < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. SPSS version 19.0 for Windows was used for all statistical analyses (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

The mean age of the 1969 participants (764 males and 1205 females) was 54.9 ± 13.5 years, and the overall prevalence rate of hepatic steatosis was 42.0%. The clinical characteristics of the participants with and without hepatic steatosis are compared in Table 1. Compared to participants without hepatic steatosis, participants with hepatic steatosis were older, predominantly male, had higher prevalence of DM and hypertension, higher SBP, higher DBP, higher body weight, higher body mass index, higher WBC, higher hemoglobin, higher platelet, higher fasting glucose, higher triglyceride, lower HDL-cholesterol, higher LDL-cholesterol, higher ALT, and higher uric acid.

Table 1. Comparison of clinical characteristics among participants according to hepatic steatosis in study participants (n = 1969).

| Characteristics | Hepatic Steatosis (–) ($n = 1143$) | Hepatic Steatosis (+) (n = 826) | p |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---------|
| Age (year) | 54.3 ± 14.2 | 55.7 ± 12.6 | 0.027 |
| Male gender (%) | 35.9 | 42.9 | 0.002 |
| Smoking history (%) | 10.7 | 13.4 | 0.064 |
| Alcohol history (%) | 21.3 | 20.4 | 0.604 |
| DM (%) | 7.0 | 15.3 | < 0.001 |
| Hypertension (%) | 20.5 | 31.1 | < 0.001 |
| SBP (mmHg) | 128.8 ± 20.0 | 135.7 ± 18.7 | < 0.001 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 75.7 ± 11.8 | 79.0 ± 10.9 | < 0.001 |
| Body height (cm) | 160.2 ± 8.4 | 160.8 ± 9.0 | 0.194 |
| Body weight (kg) | 60.7 ± 10.7 | 69.5 ± 12.7 | < 0.001 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 23.6 ± 3.4 | 26.8 ± 3.7 | < 0.001 |
| Laboratory parameters | | | |
| WBC (× $10^3/\mu$ L) | 5.8 ± 1.5 | 6.5 ± 1.8 | < 0.001 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 13.8 ± 1.6 | 14.2 ± 1.7 | < 0.001 |
| Platelet ($\times 10^3/\mu$ L) | 261.5 ± 67.8 | 271.0 ± 68.5 | 0.002 |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dL) | 95.1 ± 21.0 | 106.8 ± 32.4 | < 0.001 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dL) | 87 (64–125) | 134 (98–188.25) | < 0.001 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 199.4 ± 37.3 | 202.7 ± 37.7 | 0.059 |
| HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 56.3 ± 13.9 | 48.2 ± 11.2 | < 0.001 |
| LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 117.7 ± 32.8 | 123.6 ± 35.3 | < 0.001 |
| AST (U/L) | 25.2 ± 8.0 | 29.7 ± 14.2 | < 0.001 |
| ALT (U/L) | 20.4 ± 12.9 | 33.0 ± 23.5 | < 0.001 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 0.94 ± 0.25 | 0.95 ± 0.28 | 0.381 |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²) | 89.7 ± 17.2 | 88.8 ± 15.3 | 0.206 |
| Uric acid (mg/dL) | 5.5 ± 1.5 | 6.0 ± 1.5 | < 0.001 |

Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; WBC, white blood cell; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

3.1. Association between WBC and Platelet Counts with the Severity of Hepatic Steatosis

Figure 2 illustrates that the WBC and platelet counts among the four study groups were 5.6 (4.8–6.6), 5.9 (5.0–7.0), 6.5 (5.5,7.6), and 7.0 (5.9–8.4) × $10^3/\mu$ L for WBC; and 258 (214–300), 262 (223–304), 267 (225–310), and 299.5 (233–329) × $10^3/\mu$ L for platelets, respectively. The number of the participants of the four study groups were 1143, 437, 347, and 42, respectively. We observed the relationship between the counts of white blood cell and the severity of hepatic steatosis (A), and the relationship between the counts of platelets in the counts of WBC (ANOVA *p* < 0.001) corresponding to the severity of hepatic steatosis in study participants. There was also a statistical significant difference in individual groups in the WBC count.



Figure 2. The relationship between the counts of white blood cell and the severity of hepatic steatosis (**A**), and the relationship between the counts of platelets and the severity of hepatic steatosis (**B**). Abbreviations: WBC, white blood cell. * p < 0.05 compared with non-hepatic steatosis; † p < 0.05 compared with mild hepatic steatosis; # p < 0.05 compared with moderate hepatic steatosis.

3.2. Determinants of WBC Count Using Linear Regression Analysis

Table 2 shows the determinants of WBC count in the study participants. In the univariable linear regression analysis, hepatic steatosis, young age, male, smoking history, DM, high SBP, high DBP, high hemoglobin, high fasting glucose, high triglyceride, low HDL-cholesterol, high LDL-cholesterol, high AST, high ALT, high eGFR, and high uric acid were associated with high WBC count. As determined from multivariable linear regression analysis after adjusting for hepatic steatosis, age, gender, smoking history, DM, SBP, DBP, hemoglobin, fasting glucose, triglyceride, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, AST, ALT, eGFR and uric acid, hepatic steatosis (coefficient β , 0.209; 95% CI, 0.055 to 0.364; *p* = 0.008), young age (*p* < 0.001), female (*p* = 0.007), smoking history (*p* < 0.001), DM (*p* = 0.002), high hemoglobin (*p* = 0.001), high fasting glucose (*p* < 0.001), high triglyceride (*p* < 0.001), low HDL-cholesterol (*p* = 0.005), and high uric acid (per 1 mg/dL; coefficient β , 0.075; 95% CI, 0.020 to 0.129; *p* = 0.007) were significantly associated with high WBC count.

| | Univariable | | Multivariable | |
|--|--|---------|--|---------|
| Variables | Unstandardized Coefficient β (95% CI) | р | Unstandardized Coefficient β (95% CI) | p |
| Hepatic steatosis | 0.667 (0.522, 0.812) | < 0.001 | 0.209 (0.055, 0.364) | 0.008 |
| Age (per 1 year) | -0.013 (-0.019, -0.008) | < 0.001 | -0.027 (-0.037, -0.018) | < 0.001 |
| Male (vs. female) | 0.469 (0.320, 0.617) | < 0.001 | -0.270(-0.467, -0.073) | 0.007 |
| Smoking history | 1.353 (1.134, 1.573) | < 0.001 | 1.004 (0.778, 1.230) | < 0.001 |
| Alcohol history | 0.174(-0.007, 0.354) | 0.059 | - | - |
| DM | 0.682 (0.445, 0.919) | < 0.001 | 0.434 (0.160, 0.708) | 0.002 |
| Hypertension | 0.117 (-0.052, 0.286) | 0.175 | - | - |
| SBP (per 1 mmHg) | 0.006 (0.002, 0.009) | 0.002 | 0.004(-0.001, 0.009) | 0.088 |
| DBP (per 1 mmHg) | 0.014 (0.007, 0.020) | < 0.001 | -0.001 (-0.009, 0.007) | 0.827 |
| Laboratory parameters | | | | |
| Hemoglobin (per 1 g/dL) | 0.208 (0.163, 0.252) | < 0.001 | 0.087 (0.033, 0.140) | 0.001 |
| Fasting glucose (per 1 mg/dL) | 0.010 (0.008, 0.013) | < 0.001 | 0.006 (0.003, 0.009) | < 0.001 |
| Triglyceride (log per 1 mg/dL) | 1.904 (1.610, 2.197) | < 0.001 | 0.775 (0.412, 1.138) | < 0.001 |
| Total cholesterol (per 1 mg/dL) | 0 (-0.002, 0.002) | 0.847 | - | - |
| HDL-cholesterol (per 1 mg/dL) | -0.027 (-0.032 , -0.021) | < 0.001 | -0.009 (-0.015, -0.003) | 0.005 |
| LDL-cholesterol (per 1 mg/dL) | 0.003 (0.001, 0.005) | 0.003 | 0.002 (0, 0.004) | 0.086 |
| AST (per 1 U/L) | 0.013 (0.006, 0.019) | < 0.001 | -0.005 (-0.016, 0.006) | 0.343 |
| ALT (per 1 U/L) | 0.016 (0.012, 0.019) | < 0.001 | 0.005 (-0.001, 0.012) | 0.122 |
| eGFR (per 1 mL/min/1.73 m ²) | 0.006 (0.002, 0.010) | 0.008 | -0.005(-0.013, 0.003) | 0.203 |
| Uric acid (per 1 mg/dL) | 0.193 (0.146, 0.240) | < 0.001 | 0.075 (0.020, 0.129) | 0.007 |

Table 2. Determinants of WBC count using linear regression analysis.

Values expressed as unstandardized coefficient β and 95% confidence interval (CI). Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; WBC, white blood cell; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

3.3. Determinants of Platelet Count Using Linear Regression Analysis

Table 3 shows the determinants of platelet count in the study participants. In the univariable linear regression analysis, hepatic steatosis, young age, female, non-DM, non-hypertension, low SBP, low hemoglobin, low fasting glucose, high total cholesterol, high HDL-cholesterol, high LDL-cholesterol, low AST, high eGFR, and low uric acid were associated with high platelet count. As determined from multivariable linear regression analysis after adjusting for hepatic steatosis, age, gender, DM, hypertension, SBP, hemoglobin, fasting glucose, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, AST, eGFR and uric acid, hepatic steatosis (coefficient β , 12.213; 95% CI, 6.092 to 18.334; *p* < 0.001), young age (*p* < 0.001), female (*p* < 0.001), low hemoglobin (*p* < 0.001), high LDL-cholesterol (*p* = 0.049), high eGFR (*p* = 0.043), and high uric acid (per 1 mg/dL; coefficient β , 2.375; 95% CI, 0.154 to 4.596; *p* = 0.036) were significantly associated with high platelet count.

Table 3. Determinants of platelet count using linear regression analysis.

| Variables | Univariable | | Multivariable | |
|---|--|---------|--|---------|
| | Unstandardized Coefficient β (95% CI) | р | Unstandardized Coefficient β (95% CI) | p |
| Hepatic steatosis | 9.482 (3.382, 15.583) | 0.002 | 12.213 (6.092, 18.334) | < 0.001 |
| Age (per 1 year) | -1.580(-1.792, -1.368) | < 0.001 | -1.448(-1.836, -1.060) | < 0.001 |
| Male (vs. female) | -31.035 (-37.073, -24.997) | < 0.001 | -20.475 (-28.290, -12.659) | < 0.001 |
| Smoking history | 0.415 (-8.980, 9.809) | 0.931 | - | - |
| Alcohol history | -2.313 (-9.768, 5.142) | 0.543 | - | - |
| DM | -20.151(-29.970, -10.333) | < 0.001 | -3.306 (-14.601, 7.990) | 0.566 |
| Hypertension | -19.206(-26.129, -12.283) | < 0.001 | 1.473 (-5.783, 8.729) | 0.691 |
| SBP (per 1 mmHg) | -0.231(-0.383, -0.079) | 0.003 | 0.154(-0.003, 0.310) | 0.055 |
| DBP (per 1 mmHg) Laboratory parameters | 0.258 (-0.003, 0.519) | 0.053 | - | - |

| | Univariable | | Multivariable | |
|--|--|---------|--|---------|
| Variables | Unstandardized Coefficient β (95% CI) | p | Unstandardized Coefficient β (95% CI) | p |
| Hemoglobin (per 1 g/dL) | -8.608 (-10.426, -6.790) | < 0.001 | -8.251 (-10.410, -6.093) | < 0.001 |
| Fasting glucose (per 1 mg/dL) | -0.197(-0.309, -0.086) | 0.001 | -0.003(-0.132, 0.126) | 0.968 |
| Triglyceride (log per 1 mg/dL) | 11.627 (-0.954, 24.209) | 0.070 | - | - |
| Total cholesterol (per 1 mg/dL) | 0.097 (0.017, 0.177) | 0.018 | 0.009 (-0.152, 0.171) | 0.911 |
| HDL-cholesterol (per 1 mg/dL) | 0.284 (0.061, 0.507) | 0.013 | -0.179(-0.449, 0.091) | 0.193 |
| LDL-cholesterol (per 1 mg/dL) | 0.130 (0.041, 0.218) | 0.004 | 0.167 (0.001, 0.334) | 0.049 |
| AST (per 1 U/L) | -0.488(-0.755, -0.222) | < 0.001 | -0.062 (-0.319,0.195) | 0.635 |
| ALT (per 1 U/L) | -0.046(-0.204, 0.112) | 0.568 | - | - |
| eGFR (per 1 mL/min/1.73 m ²) | 1.132 (0.956, 1.309) | < 0.001 | 0.333 (0.010, 0.656) | 0.043 |
| Uric acid (per 1 mg/dL) | -4.479 (-6.436, -2.522) | < 0.001 | 2.375 (0.154, 4.596) | 0.036 |

Table 3. Cont.

Values expressed as unstandardized coefficient β and 95% confidence interval (CI). Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; WBC, white blood cell; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

4. Discussion

NAFLD is a spectrum of liver disease, from simple hepatic steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with progressive hepatic inflammation and a higher risk of liver fibrosis and hepatic comorbidities. Cardiovascular events and extra-hepatic malignancies are the first and second most common causes of mortality in patients with NAFLD [13]. It is important to identify the presence and severity of NAFLD as early as possible to help prevent comorbidities. In this study, we observed that hepatic steatosis was associated with high WBC and platelet counts, and higher WBC counts corresponded with the severity of hepatic steatosis in 1969 participants residing in southern Taiwan.

The first important finding of this study is that the participants with hepatic steatosis had a higher WBC count. Furthermore, there was a significant trend of a stepwise increase in WBC count corresponding to the severity of hepatic steatosis. Lee et al. reported a positive correlation between WBC count and the prevalence of NAFLD, also showing an increased risk of NAFLD according to higher WBC count [36]. Yu et al. revealed that a higher WBC count is a risk factor of NAFLD, and that the stepwise increase in WBC count is a positive correlation with the risk of NAFLD combined with H pylori infection [38]. Further cohort studies also confirmed the association between an elevated WBC count and the risk of NAFLD [22,37]. In addition, Gokulakrishnan et al. reported an association between the severity of NAFLD and WBC count in south Indians in 2012 [50], which is consistent with our study result. Several mechanisms explain increased WBC count in hepatic steatosis [51]. First, insulin resistance causes hyperinsulinemia, leading to de novo hepatic lipogenesis and steatohepatitis [23]. The excess fatty acid then results in lipotoxic lipids and oxidative stress in hepatocytes, leading to liver necrosis. The apoptosis of hepatocytes produces damageassociated molecular patterns (DAMPs) and activates inflammatory signals, which then increases WBC count [24]. Second, interacting with the microbiome in the intestinal system and liver disease, so-called gut-liver axis has been established [3]. In health conditions, the permeability of the intestine is well controlled to avoid pathogen-associated molecular patterns—such as bacteria and bacterial toxic products including lipopolysaccharides—and endotoxin exposure via the hepatic portal system [52]. However, alcohol intake and a highfat diet will cause dysbiosis [53], which leads to intestinal epithelial loosening and initiates intestinal inflammation by releasing inflammatory cytokines—such as interferon-gamma and interferon-alpha—through mucosal immune system cells [54]. The cellular toxic metabolites passing through the hyperpermeable intestinal barrier elicits liver inflammation and subsequently causes liver disease [54]. Third, as well as being an energy-storing organ, adipose tissue is the largest endocrine organ producing adiponectin, leptin, TNF- α , and IL-6, which leads to systemic inflammation and insulin resistance, thereby further exacerbating

NAFLD [55]. In addition, a previous animal model showed that adipose death could trigger liver injury and inflammation [56]. Progressive inflammation plays an important role in the pathogenesis of the progression of NAFLD [57]. In addition, an increase in hs-CRP has been associated with the severity of NAFLD [58]. WBC count is also a surrogate marker of chronic inflammation, and it corresponded with the severity of hepatic steatosis in our study.

The second important finding of this study is the higher platelet count in the participants with hepatic steatosis. The association between platelet count and NAFLD is controversial. Several studies have observed a negative correlation between platelet count and the severity of NAFLD [39-41]. Possible mechanisms include liver cirrhosis with splenomegaly and decreased thrombopoietin production in patients with advanced liver disease [40]. However, Sung et al. reported a positive association between platelet count and the incidence of NAFLD [42]. In addition, Afagh et al. found that a higher platelet count was associated with more severe NAFLD [43], and the platelet count in patients with NASH has been reported to be higher than in patients without NASH [44]. Platelets play a role in liver regeneration after liver damage; however, they can also trigger the pathogenesis of liver fibrosis [59] by producing transforming growth factor-beta and platelet-derived growth factor, which activate fibrocompetent cells, notably hepatic stellate cells [57]. The fibrocompetent cells then remodel extracellular matrix components, leading to fibrosis [60]. In addition, platelets have been shown to induce innate and adaptive immune responses, thereby causing progressive liver damage in patients with chronic viral hepatitis and NAFLD [61]. Previous studies have also demonstrated an association between an elevated platelet count with metabolic syndrome [62] and insulin resistance [31]. Metabolic syndrome causes systemic chronic inflammation and an increase in IL-6, which are also associated with increased platelet production, and more risk factors for metabolic syndrome have been positively associated with a higher platelet count [62]. Insulin resistance has also been shown to increase platelet count through an increase in thrombopoietin production by excess adipose tissue [63]. The various mechanisms associated with platelets may have different effects on platelet count, and may be related to disease stage [59,60].

Another important finding of this study is that a high uric acid level was associated with high WBC and platelet counts. Hyperuricemia is defined as high levels of uric acid, an end-product of purine metabolism [64]. A diet rich in purine and alcohol consumption are major risk factors for hyperuricemia, and genetic factors are also important [64]. Uric acid produces free radicals, particularly fatty acids, which cause systemic inflammation and vascular injury [65], and subsequently cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome, chronic kidney disease, and insulin resistance [66]. Hyperinsulinemia has been shown to cause decreased uric acid secretion in renal tubules [67]. Two meta-analyses found that higher levels of uric acid were associated with the prevalence of NAFLD [68,69]. Moreover, a linear relationship between uric acid and the incidence of NAFLD has also been reported [70]. Furthermore, an observational study reported a higher uric acid level in non-obese patients with NAFLD compared with obese patients [71]. Hyperuricemia is accompanied by inflammatory markers, including IL-6, IL-18, hs-CRP, and TNF- α [72]. Su et al. reported a significant association between uric acid concentration and WBC count, but no significant association between uric acid and platelet count [73]. In addition, Liu et al. reported that hyperuricemia was associated with a higher WBC count, and that this association was independent of chronic kidney disease [74]. In contrast to these studies, Tayefi et al. reported positive correlations between platelet count and mean platelet volume with serum uric acid in newly diagnosed hypertensive patients [75].

There are several limitations to this study. First, we could not ascertain causal relationships or long-term clinical outcomes due to the cross-sectional design of this study. Prospective studies with NAFLD and immune function assessments and a longer follow-up period are needed to verify our results. Second, we did not evaluate sleeping or economic status in our analysis, both of which may also be associated with the development of NAFLD. Third, all of the participants in this study were Taiwanese, which may limit the generalizability of our results to other populations. Fourth, only participants who were willing to attend the study were included, making it considerably more difficult to interpret standard errors and confidence intervals. Fifth, insulin resistance is a hallmark of NAFLD and it plays a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of the disease. However, insulin level was not measured in this study. Therefore, we could not evaluate the association between insulin resistance and NAFLD. Sixth, we assessed the severity of NAFLD according to ultrasound findings. However, ultrasound of the liver is qualitative and may not be sufficient for scoring. In addition, future studies should include data on differentiated WBC count to further investigate impaired innate and acquired immune systems. Finally, the values of WBC and platelets are within the normal range in each group of participants. Therefore, it would be difficult to consider the measurement of WBC or platelet count helpful in the diagnosis of hepatic steatosis.

In conclusion, we found hepatic steatosis was associated with high WBC and plate-let counts, and higher WBC counts corresponded with the severity of hepatic steatosis; also, the pathogenesis may have been related to chronic inflammation. We suggest that WBC count and platelet count may play a role in stratifying hepatic steatosis.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.-L.C., P.-Y.W., J.-C.H., Y.-W.C., J.-J.L., S.-C.C., J.-M.C., S.-J.H., and H.-C.C.; data curation, Y.-L.C., P.-Y.W., J.-C.H., and S.-C.C.; formal analysis, S.-C.C.; funding acquisition, S.-C.C.; writing—original draft, Y.-L.C., and S.-C.C.; writing—review and editing, S.-C.C. and J.-M.C.; supervision, Y.-W.C., J.-J.L., J.-M.C., S.-J.H., and H.-C.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was partially supported by the Research Center for Environmental Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan from The Featured Areas Research Center Program within the framework of the Higher Education Sprout Project by the Ministry of Education (MOE) in Taiwan and by Kaohsiung Medical University Research Center Grant (KMU-TC111A01 and KMUTC1111FSP01).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki; it was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kaohsiung Medical University Chung-Ho Memorial Hospital (KMUHIRB-G(II)-20190011) on 30 April 2019.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data may be available upon request from interested researchers. Please send data requests to Szu-Chia Chen, PhD, MD, Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical University.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Wong, W.K.; Chan, W.K. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Global Perspective. *Clin. Ther.* **2021**, *43*, 473–499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, J.; Zou, B.; Yeo, Y.H.; Feng, Y.; Xie, X.; Lee, D.H.; Fujii, H.; Wu, Y.; Kam, L.Y.; Ji, F.; et al. Prevalence, incidence, and outcome of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Asia, 1999–2019: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2019, 4, 389–398. [CrossRef]
- 3. Powell, E.E.; Wong, V.W.; Rinella, M. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Lancet 2021, 397, 2212–2224. [CrossRef]
- Yang, R.; Chen, Y.; Chen, X. Value of routine test for identifying colorectal cancer from patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 2020, 20, 180. [CrossRef]
- Nseir, W.; Abu-Rahmeh, Z.; Tsipis, A.; Mograbi, J.; Mahamid, M. Relationship between Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Breast Cancer. Isr. Med. Assoc. J. 2017, 19, 242–245.
- Dowman, J.K.; Tomlinson, J.W.; Newsome, P.N. Pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. QJM: Int. J. Med. 2009, 103, 71–83. [CrossRef]
- Wainwright, P.; Byrne, C.D. Bidirectional Relationships and Disconnects between NAFLD and Features of the Metabolic Syndrome. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016, 17, 367. [CrossRef]
- 8. Cobbina, E.; Akhlaghi, F. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)—Pathogenesis, classification, and effect on drug metabolizing enzymes and transporters. *Drug Metab. Rev.* 2017, *49*, 197–211. [CrossRef]

- Kogiso, T.; Moriyoshi, Y.; Shimizu, S.; Nagahara, H.; Shiratori, K. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein as a serum predictor of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease based on the Akaike Information Criterion scoring system in the general Japanese population. *J. Gastroenterol.* 2009, 44, 313–321. [CrossRef]
- 10. Tilg, H.; Diehl, A.M. Cytokines in alcoholic and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. N. Engl. J. Med. 2000, 343, 1467–1476. [CrossRef]
- Tarantino, G.; Conca, P.; Pasanisi, F.; Ariello, M.; Mastrolia, M.; Arena, A.; Tarantino, M.; Scopacasa, F.; Vecchione, R. Could inflammatory markers help diagnose nonalcoholic steatohepatitis? *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2009, 21, 504–511. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Bugianesi, E.; McCullough, A.J.; Marchesini, G. Insulin resistance: A metabolic pathway to chronic liver disease. *Hepatology* **2005**, 42, 987–1000. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. European Association for the Study of The Liver; European Association for the Study of Diabetes. EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Diabetologia* **2016**, *59*, 1121–1140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Younossi, Z.; Anstee, Q.M.; Marietti, M.; Hardy, T.; Henry, L.; Eslam, M.; George, J.; Bugianesi, E. Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: Trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2018**, *15*, 11–20. [CrossRef]
- 15. Cerny, J.; Rosmarin, A.G. Why does my patient have leukocytosis? *Hematol. Oncol. Clin. N. Am.* 2012, 26, 303–319. [CrossRef]
- Sweetnam, P.M.; Thomas, H.F.; Yarnell, J.W.; Baker, I.A.; Elwood, P.C. Total and differential leukocyte counts as predictors of ischemic heart disease: The Caerphilly and Speedwell studies. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 1997, 145, 416–421. [CrossRef]
- 17. Kasper, P.; Martin, A.; Lang, S.; Kütting, F.; Goeser, T.; Demir, M.; Steffen, H.M. NAFLD and cardiovascular diseases: A clinical review. *Clin. Res. Cardiol.* **2021**, *110*, 921–937. [CrossRef]
- Hedayati, M.T.; Montazeri, M.; Rashidi, N.; Yousefi-Abdolmaleki, E.; Shafiee, M.A.; Maleki, A.; Farmani, M.; Montazeri, M. White blood cell count and clustered components of metabolic syndrome: A study in western Iran. *Casp. J. Intern. Med.* 2021, 12, 59–64. [CrossRef]
- Kim, D.J.; Noh, J.H.; Lee, B.W.; Choi, Y.H.; Chung, J.H.; Min, Y.K.; Lee, M.S.; Lee, M.K.; Kim, K.W. The associations of total and differential white blood cell counts with obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia and glucose intolerance in a Korean population. *J. Korean Med. Sci.* 2008, 23, 193–198. [CrossRef]
- 20. Herishanu, Y.; Rogowski, O.; Polliack, A.; Marilus, R. Leukocytosis in obese individuals: Possible link in patients with unexplained persistent neutrophilia. *Eur. J. Haematol.* 2006, *76*, 516–520. [CrossRef]
- 21. Rudnicka, E.; Suchta, K.; Grymowicz, M.; Calik-Ksepka, A.; Smolarczyk, K.; Duszewska, A.M.; Smolarczyk, R.; Meczekalski, B. Chronic Low Grade Inflammation in Pathogenesis of PCOS. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 3789. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chung, G.E.; Yim, J.Y.; Kim, D.; Kwak, M.S.; Yang, J.I.; Chung, S.J.; Yang, S.Y.; Kim, J.S. Associations between White Blood Cell Count and the Development of Incidental Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Gastroenterol. Res. Pract.* 2016, 2016, 7653689. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, T.D.; Behary, J.; Zekry, A. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A review of epidemiology, risk factors, diagnosis and management. *Intern. Med. J.* 2020, 50, 1038–1047. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Neuschwander-Tetri, B.A. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. BMC Med. 2017, 15, 45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. van der Meijden, P.E.J.; Heemskerk, J.W.M. Platelet biology and functions: New concepts and clinical perspectives. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **2019**, *16*, 166–179. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Appleby, N.; Angelov, D. Clinical and laboratory assessment of a patient with thrombocytosis. *Br. J. Hosp.Med.* 2017, 78, 558–564. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alexandrakis, M.G.; Passam, F.H.; Moschandrea, I.A.; Christophoridou, A.V.; Pappa, C.A.; Coulocheri, S.A.; Kyriakou, D.S. Levels of serum cytokines and acute phase proteins in patients with essential and cancer-related thrombocytosis. *Am. J. Clin. Oncol.* 2003, *26*, 135–140. [CrossRef]
- 28. Kaushansky, K. Thrombopoiesis. Semin. Hematol. 2015, 52, 4–11. [CrossRef]
- Lohsoonthorn, V.; Jiamjarasrungsi, W.; Williams, M.A. Association of Hematological Parameters with Clustered Components of Metabolic Syndrome among Professional and Office Workers in Bangkok, Thailand. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr.* 2007, 1, 143–149. [CrossRef]
- Patti, G.; Di Martino, G.; Ricci, F.; Renda, G.; Gallina, S.; Hamrefors, V.; Melander, O.; Sutton, R.; Engström, G.; De Caterina, R.; et al. Platelet Indices and Risk of Death and Cardiovascular Events: Results from a Large Population-Based Cohort Study. *Thromb. Haemost.* 2019, 119, 1773–1784. [CrossRef]
- Taniguchi, A.; Fukushima, M.; Seino, Y.; Sakai, M.; Yoshii, S.; Nagasaka, S.; Yamauchi, I.; Okumura, T.; Nin, K.; Tokuyama, K.; et al. Platelet count is independently associated with insulin resistance in non-obese Japanese type 2 diabetic patients. *Metabolism* 2003, 52, 1246–1249. [CrossRef]
- Malehmir, M.; Pfister, D.; Gallage, S.; Szydlowska, M.; Inverso, D.; Kotsiliti, E.; Leone, V.; Peiseler, M.; Surewaard, B.G.J.; Rath, D.; et al. Platelet GPIbα is a mediator and potential interventional target for NASH and subsequent liver cancer. *Nat. Med.* 2019, 25, 641–655. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Byrne, C.D.; Targher, G. What's new in NAFLD pathogenesis, biomarkers and treatment? *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2020, 17, 70–71. [CrossRef]
- Pavlovic, N.; Rani, B.; Gerwins, P.; Heindryckx, F. Platelets as Key Factors in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cancers* 2019, 11, 1022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 35. Chauhan, A.; Adams, D.H.; Watson, S.P.; Lalor, P.F. Platelets: No longer bystanders in liver disease. *Hepatology* **2016**, *64*, 1774–1784. [CrossRef]
- Lee, Y.J.; Lee, H.R.; Shim, J.Y.; Moon, B.S.; Lee, J.H.; Kim, J.K. Relationship between white blood cell count and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Dig. Liver Dis.* 2010, 42, 888–894. [CrossRef]
- 37. Wang, S.; Zhang, C.; Zhang, G.; Yuan, Z.; Liu, Y.; Ding, L.; Sun, X.; Jia, H.; Xue, F. Association between white blood cell count and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in urban Han Chinese: A prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* **2016**, *6*, e010342. [CrossRef]
- 38. Yu, Y.Y.; Cai, J.T.; Song, Z.Y.; Tong, Y.L.; Wang, J.H. The associations among Helicobacter pylori infection, white blood cell count and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in a large Chinese population. *Medicine* **2018**, *97*, e13271. [CrossRef]
- Campos, G.M.; Bambha, K.; Vittinghoff, E.; Rabl, C.; Posselt, A.M.; Ciovica, R.; Tiwari, U.; Ferrel, L.; Pabst, M.; Bass, N.M.; et al. A clinical scoring system for predicting nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in morbidly obese patients. *Hepatology* 2008, 47, 1916–1923. [CrossRef]
- 40. Yoneda, M.; Fujii, H.; Sumida, Y.; Hyogo, H.; Itoh, Y.; Ono, M.; Eguchi, Y.; Suzuki, Y.; Aoki, N.; Kanemasa, K.; et al. Platelet count for predicting fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Gastroenterol.* **2011**, *46*, 1300–1306. [CrossRef]
- Milovanovic Alempijevic, T.; Stojkovic Lalosevic, M.; Dumic, I.; Jocic, N.; Pavlovic Markovic, A.; Dragasevic, S.; Jovicic, I.; Lukic, S.; Popovic, D.; Milosavljevic, T. Diagnostic Accuracy of Platelet Count and Platelet Indices in Noninvasive Assessment of Fibrosis in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Patients. *Can. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2017, 2017, 6070135. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Sung, K.C.; Kim, B.S.; Cho, Y.K.; Park, D.I.; Woo, S.; Kim, S.; Wild, S.H.; Byrne, C.D. Predicting incident fatty liver using simple cardio-metabolic risk factors at baseline. *BMC Gastroenterol.* **2012**, *12*, 84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Garjani, A.; Safaeiyan, A.; Khoshbaten, M. Association between platelet count as a noninvasive marker and ultrasonographic grading in patients with nonalcoholic Fatty liver disease. *Hepat. Mon.* **2015**, *15*, e24449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Cao, W.; Zhao, C.; Shen, C.; Wang, Y. Cytokeratin 18, alanine aminotransferase, platelets and triglycerides predict the presence of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e82092. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Levey, A.S.; Stevens, L.A.; Schmid, C.H.; Zhang, Y.L.; Castro, A.F., 3rd; Feldman, H.I.; Kusek, J.W.; Eggers, P.; Van Lente, F.; Greene, T.; et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **2009**, *150*, 604–612. [CrossRef]
- 46. Chalasani, N.; Younossi, Z.; Lavine, J.E.; Diehl, A.M.; Brunt, E.M.; Cusi, K.; Charlton, M.; Sanyal, A.J. The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Gastroenterology, and the American Gastroenterological Association. *Hepatology* 2012, 55, 2005–2023. [CrossRef]
- 47. Fan, J.G.; Jia, J.D.; Li, Y.M.; Wang, B.Y.; Lu, L.G.; Shi, J.P.; Chan, L.Y. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Update 2010. J. Dig. Dis. 2011, 12, 38–44. [CrossRef]
- Farrell, G.C.; Chitturi, S.; Lau, G.K.; Sollano, J.D. Guidelines for the assessment and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in the Asia-Pacific region: Executive summary. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2007, 22, 775–777. [CrossRef]
- Sirota, J.C.; McFann, K.; Targher, G.; Johnson, R.J.; Chonchol, M.; Jalal, D.I. Elevated serum uric acid levels are associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease independently of metabolic syndrome features in the United States: Liver ultrasound data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Metabolism* 2013, 62, 392–399. [CrossRef]
- 50. Kuppan, G.; Anjana, R.M.; Deepa, M.; Paramasivam, P.; Chandrakumar, S.; Kaliyaperumal, V.; Mohan, V. Inflammatory markers in relation to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in urban South Indians. *Diabetes Technol. Ther.* **2012**, *14*, 152–158. [CrossRef]
- 51. Kumar, S.; Duan, Q.; Wu, R.; Harris, E.N.; Su, Q. Pathophysiological communication between hepatocytes and non-parenchymal cells in liver injury from NAFLD to liver fibrosis. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2021**, *176*, 113869. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Vallianou, N.; Christodoulatos, G.S.; Karampela, I.; Tsilingiris, D.; Magkos, F.; Stratigou, T.; Kounatidis, D.; Dalamaga, M. Understanding the Role of the Gut Microbiome and Microbial Metabolites in Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Current Evidence and Perspectives. *Biomolecules* **2021**, *12*, 56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Caussy, C.; Tripathi, A.; Humphrey, G.; Bassirian, S.; Singh, S.; Faulkner, C.; Bettencourt, R.; Rizo, E.; Richards, L.; Xu, Z.Z.; et al. A gut microbiome signature for cirrhosis due to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 1406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Albillos, A.; de Gottardi, A.; Rescigno, M. The gut-liver axis in liver disease: Pathophysiological basis for therapy. *J. Hepatol.* **2020**, 72, 558–577. [CrossRef]
- Stojsavljevic, S.; Gomercic Palcic, M.; Virovic Jukic, L.; Smircic Duvnjak, L.; Duvnjak, M. Adipokines and proinflammatory cytokines, the key mediators in the pathogenesis of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2014, 20, 18070–18091. [CrossRef]
- Wang, H.; Mehal, W.; Nagy, L.E.; Rotman, Y. Immunological mechanisms and therapeutic targets of fatty liver diseases. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* 2021, 18, 73–91. [CrossRef]
- 57. Friedman, S.L. Mechanisms of hepatic fibrogenesis. Gastroenterology 2008, 134, 1655–1669. [CrossRef]
- Yoneda, M.; Mawatari, H.; Fujita, K.; Iida, H.; Yonemitsu, K.; Kato, S.; Takahashi, H.; Kirikoshi, H.; Inamori, M.; Nozaki, Y.; et al. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein is an independent clinical feature of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and also of the severity of fibrosis in NASH. J. Gastroenterol. 2007, 42, 573–582. [CrossRef]
- 59. Ramadori, P.; Klag, T.; Malek, N.P.; Heikenwalder, M. Platelets in chronic liver disease, from bench to bedside. *JHEP Rep.* **2019**, *1*, 448–459. [CrossRef]
- Ripoche, J. Blood platelets and inflammation: Their relationship with liver and digestive diseases. *Clin. Res. Hepatol. Gastroenterol.* 2011, *35*, 353–357. [CrossRef]

- 61. Lisman, T.; Porte, R.J. The role of platelets in liver inflammation and regeneration. *Semin. Thromb. Hemost.* **2010**, *36*, 170–174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Jesri, A.; Okonofua, E.C.; Egan, B.M. Platelet and white blood cell counts are elevated in patients with the metabolic syndrome. *J. Clin. Hypertens.* **2005**, *7*, 705–711; quiz 712–713. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Park, J.-M.; Lee, J.-W.; Shim, J.-Y.; Lee, Y.-J. Relationship between platelet count and insulin resistance in Korean adolescents: A nationwide population-based study. *Metab. Syndr. Relat. Disord.* 2018, 16, 470–476. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Keenan, R.T. The biology of urate. Semin. Arthritis Rheum. 2020, 50, S2–S10. [CrossRef]
- Kushiyama, A.; Nakatsu, Y.; Matsunaga, Y.; Yamamotoya, T.; Mori, K.; Ueda, K.; Inoue, Y.; Sakoda, H.; Fujishiro, M.; Ono, H.; et al. Role of Uric Acid Metabolism-Related Inflammation in the Pathogenesis of Metabolic Syndrome Components Such as Atherosclerosis and Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 2016, 2016, 8603164. [CrossRef]
- Kanbay, M.; Jensen, T.; Solak, Y.; Le, M.; Roncal-Jimenez, C.; Rivard, C.; Lanaspa, M.A.; Nakagawa, T.; Johnson, R.J. Uric acid in metabolic syndrome: From an innocent bystander to a central player. *Eur. J. Intern. Med.* 2016, 29, 3–8. [CrossRef]
- 67. Li, C.; Hsieh, M.C.; Chang, S.J. Metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and hyperuricemia. *Curr. Opin. Rheumatol.* **2013**, 25, 210–216. [CrossRef]
- 68. Zhou, Y.; Wei, F.; Fan, Y. High serum uric acid and risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Biochem.* **2016**, *49*, 636–642. [CrossRef]
- Darmawan, G.; Hamijoyo, L.; Hasan, I. Association between Serum Uric Acid and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Meta-Analysis. *Acta Med. Indones* 2017, 49, 136–147.
- Liu, Z.; Que, S.; Zhou, L.; Zheng, S. Dose-response Relationship of Serum Uric Acid with Metabolic Syndrome and Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Incidence: A Meta-analysis of Prospective Studies. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 14325. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.; Xu, C.; Ying, L.; Zang, S.; Zhuang, Z.; Lv, H.; Yang, W.; Luo, Y.; Ma, X.; Wang, L.; et al. Relationship of serum uric acid level with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and its inflammation progression in non-obese adults. *Hepatol. Res.* 2017, 47, E104–E112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Ruggiero, C.; Cherubini, A.; Ble, A.; Bos, A.J.; Maggio, M.; Dixit, V.D.; Lauretani, F.; Bandinelli, S.; Senin, U.; Ferrucci, L. Uric acid and inflammatory markers. *Eur. Heart J.* 2006, 27, 1174–1181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Su, P.; Hong, L.; Zhao, Y.; Sun, H.; Li, L. The Association Between Hyperuricemia and Hematological Indicators in a Chinese Adult Population. *Medicine* 2016, 95, e2822. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Liu, J.; Shen, P.; Ma, X.; Yu, X.; Ni, L.; Hao, X.; Wang, W.; Chen, N. White blood cell count and the incidence of hyperuricemia: Insights from a community-based study. *Front. Med.* **2019**, *13*, 741–746. [CrossRef]
- 75. Tayefi, M.; Hassanian, S.M.; Maftouh, M.; Moohebati, M.; Bahrami, A.; Parizadeh, S.M.; Mahdizadeh, A.; Ghazizadeh, H.; Bazeli, J.; Heidari-Bakavoli, A.; et al. Relationship between platelet count and platelet width distribution and serum uric acid concentrations in patients with untreated essential hypertension. *Biofactors* **2018**, *44*, 532–538. [CrossRef]