

Progressive Myoclonus Epilepsies

Diagnostic Yield With Next-Generation Sequencing in Previously Unsolved Cases

Laura Canafoglia, MD, PhD, Silvana Franceschetti, MD, PhD, Antonio Gambardella, MD, Pasquale Striano, MD, Anna Teresa Giallonardo, MD, Paolo Tinuper, MD, Carlo Di Bonaventura, MD, Roberto Michelucci, MD, Edoardo Ferlazzo, MD, Tiziana Granata, MD, Adriana Magaudda, MD, Laura Licchetta, MD, Alessandro Filla, MD, Angela La Neve, MD, Patrizia Riguzzi, MD, Teresa Anna Cantisani, MD, Martina Fanella, MD, PhD, BARBARA CASTELLOTTI, PhD, Cinzia Gellera, PhD, Melanie Bahlo, PhD, Federico Zara, PhD, Carolina Courage, PhD, Anna-Elina Lehesjoki, PhD, Karen L. Oliver, PhD, and Samuel F. Berkovic, MD

Correspondence

Dr. Franceschetti
silvana.franceschetti@
istituto-besta.it

Neurol Genet 2021;7:e641. doi:10.1212/NXG.0000000000000641

Abstract

Background and Objectives

To assess the current diagnostic yield of genetic testing for the progressive myoclonus epilepsies (PMEs) of an Italian series described in 2014 where Unverricht-Lundborg and Lafora diseases accounted for ~50% of the cohort.

Methods

Of 47/165 unrelated patients with PME of indeterminate genetic origin, 38 underwent new molecular evaluations. Various next-generation sequencing (NGS) techniques were applied including gene panel analysis (n = 7) and/or whole-exome sequencing (WES) (WES singleton n = 29, WES trio n = 7, and WES sibling n = 4). In 1 family, homozygosity mapping was followed by targeted NGS. Clinically, the patients were grouped in 4 phenotypic categories: “Unverricht-Lundborg disease-like PME,” “late-onset PME,” “PME plus developmental delay,” and “PME plus dementia.”

Results

Sixteen of 38 (42%) unrelated patients reached a positive diagnosis, increasing the overall proportion of solved families in the total series from 72% to 82%. Likely pathogenic variants were identified in *NEU1* (2 families), *CERS1* (1 family), and in 13 nonfamilial patients in *KCNK1* (3), *DHDDS* (3), *SACS*, *CACNA2D2*, *STUB1*, *AFG3L2*, *CLN6*, *NAXE*, and *CHD2*. Across the different phenotypic categories, the diagnostic rate was similar, and the same gene could be found in different phenotypic categories.

Discussion

The application of NGS technology to unsolved patients with PME has revealed a collection of very rare genetic causes. Pathogenic variants were detected in both established PME genes and

From the Neurophysiopathology (L.C., S.F.), Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan; Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences (A.G., E.F.), Magna Graecia University, Catanzaro; IRCCS Istituto “G. Gaslini” (P.S., F.Z.), Genova; Department of Neurosciences (P.S., F.Z.), Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics, Maternal and Child Health, University of Genoa, Genova; Department of Human Neurosciences (T.G., C.D.B., M.F.), Sapienza University of Rome, Viale dell’Università, Rome; IRCCS Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna (P.T., L.L.), Epilepsy Center, Bologna; Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences (P.T., L.L.), University of Bologna; IRCCS Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna (R.M., P.R.), Unit of Neurology, Bellaria Hospital, Bologna; Regional Epilepsy Centre (E.F.), BMM Great Metropolitan Hospital, Via Melacrino, Reggio Calabria; Department of Pediatric Neuroscience (T.G.), Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan; Epilepsy Center (A.M.), Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, AOU Policlinico “G. Martino”, Messina; Department of Neuroscience (A.F.), Reproductive, and Odontostomatological Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Naples; Department of Basic Medical Sciences (A.L.N.), Neuroscience and Sense Organs, University of Bari “Aldo Moro”, Piazza Giulio Cesare 11, Bari; Perugia Hospital (T.A.C.), Neurophysiopathology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera di Perugia, S. Andrea delle Fratte, Perugia; Unit of Genetics of Neurodegenerative and Metabolic Diseases (B.C., C.G.), IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan, Italy; Population Health and Immunity Division (M.B., K.L.O.), The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville; Department of Medical Biology (M.B., K.L.O.), The University of Melbourne; Folkhälsan Research Center (C.C., A.E.L.); Department of Medical and Clinical Genetics, Medicum, University of Helsinki, Finland; and Epilepsy Research Centre (K.L.O., S.F.B.), Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Austin Health, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia.

Go to [Neurology.org/NG](https://www.neurology.org/NG) for full disclosures. Funding information is provided at the end of the article.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by C. Besta Institute, Health Italian Ministry.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND), which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Glossary

NGS = next-generation sequencing; PME = progressive myoclonus epilepsy; ULD = Unverricht-Lundborg disease; WES = whole-exome sequencing.

in genes not previously associated with PME, but with progressive ataxia or with developmental encephalopathies. With a diagnostic yield >80%, PME is one of the best genetically defined epilepsy syndromes.

Progressive myoclonus epilepsies (PMEs) are caused by heterogeneous genetic disorders and present with cortical myoclonus, generalized tonic-clonic seizures, and variable ataxia or cognitive impairment. In a multicenter Italian collaborative study, we reported the etiologies in a cohort of 204 PME patients from 165 unrelated families studied by classical pathologic, biochemical, and targeted genetic testing.¹ The cohort included “classical” PMEs, such as Unverricht-Lundborg (progressive myoclonic epilepsy type 1 [EPM1], 33%) and Lafora body (EPM2, 20%) diseases, and other PMEs resulting from more rare genetic diseases (19%). In 47 unrelated patients (28%), the etiology remained unidentified (Figure 1).

Since 2014, novel genetic causes and pathogenetic mechanisms for PME have been identified. These include pathogenic variants in *CERS1*,² involved in ceramide metabolism, *KCNC1*,³ with a dominant-negative effect on the voltage-gated K_v3 channel,⁴ and the *NUS1*, *DHDDS*, and *ALG10* genes, involved in dolichol-dependent protein glycosylation.⁵

We reanalyze the unsolved cases in the 2014 series¹ to assess the impact of newer diagnostic procedures, especially next-generation sequencing (NGS). Most of the newly solved cases have been reported elsewhere²⁻⁶; we herein provide an overall perspective of the current diagnostic yield in PME.

Methods

We studied 38 unsolved unrelated PME patients who did not reach a positive causal diagnosis in our previously reported PME series; DNA was no longer available from the remaining 9 patients (Figure 2). We obtained informed consent from all patients (or their guardians), in line with local institutional review board requirements for genetic analyses. Seven unrelated patients were initially investigated using a NGS panel containing 240 genes known to cause epilepsies or PME. Subsequently, 29 unrelated patients including 3 unsolved by the panel had singleton whole-exome sequencing (WES).³ A trio-design WES approach was performed in 7 patients, including 2 unsolved by NGS panel and 5 unsolved by singleton WES. In 4 families with 2 or 3 affected siblings, WES was performed on all patients.⁵ In 1 family with 4 affected siblings, homozygosity mapping was followed by targeted NGS.²

All patients presented with cortical myoclonus and a progressive course, consistent with PME. The clinical presentation of each patient was reviewed by Istituto Neurologico Besta clinicians (L.C. and S.F.) and researchers at the University of Melbourne (K.L.O. and S.F.B.). Taking into account the clinical classification applied by Courage et al.,⁵ patients were categorized as (1) “Unverricht-Lundborg disease-like (ULD-like) PME” in case of late childhood/

Figure 1 Classification of 165 Families With PME From 2014 to 2021





Figure 2 Diagram Flow of the Genetic Investigations Performed Between 2014 and 2020



adolescent onset of cortical myoclonus and minimal cognitive impairment similar to EPM1, (2) “late-onset PME” in case of clinical presentation similar to EPM1, but onset after 20 years of age, (3) “PME plus developmental delay” when progressive cortical myoclonus appeared after other symptoms suggesting a developmental encephalopathy (early psychomotor delay, ataxia or seizures), and (4) “PME plus dementia” when patients showed a severe and progressive cognitive impairment as part of the phenotype.

Data Availability

Anonymized data can be made available to qualified investigators upon request to the corresponding author.

Results

We found genetic causes in 16 of the 38 unrelated patients (42%). As shown in Figure 2, 2 patients were solved by NGS panel, 7 by WES singleton, 4 by WES trio, 2 by WES sibling, and 1 by homozygosity mapping.²⁻⁶ Table 1 reports the newly identified genetic variants.

Among “ULD-like” (16 cases), 3 had pathogenic variants in *KCNK1* and 3 in *CHD2*, *DHDDS*, or *AFG3L2*. The genetic defect remained undetermined in the remaining 10. Among

“late-PME” (6 patients), the WES of siblings revealed different *NEU1* pathogenic variants in 2 families, mutation of *DHDDS* in 1 patient, and mutation of *CLN6* in 1 other. In 2, the genetic cause remained unidentified.

In “PME plus developmental delay,” we included 11 patients. Homozygosity mapping revealed a pathogenic missense variant in *CERS1* (EPM8; #616230) in 4 siblings, belonging to an Algerian family.² Four sporadic cases had pathogenic variants in *NAXE*, *DHDDS*, *SACS*, and *CACNA2D2*. In the remaining 6 patients, the genetic cause remained unidentified.

Among the 5 patients with “PME plus dementia,” 1 had a pathogenic variant in *STUB1*.

In the 2014 article,¹ a cluster analysis based on clinical features associated with PME allowed grouping the 38 unsolved patients evenly into Cluster 1 (n = 20) and Cluster 2 (n = 18). Cluster 1 accounted for all patients now classified as “PME plus developmental delay” with a smaller subset of “ULD-like” and “PME plus dementia” patients. Cluster 2 was predominantly made up of “ULD-like” patients, all “late-onset PME” patients, and 1 patient classified as “PME plus dementia” (eFigure 1, links.lww.com/NXG/A490). Diagnostic

Table 1 Pathogenic Variants Detected in 16/38 Unsolved PME Families Since 2014

Gene	N	Variant(s)	Inheritance	Study	Citation	Phenotype
<i>KCNC1</i>	1	c.959 G > A; p.Arg320His (Het)	de novo	WES singleton	Muona et al., 2015 ³	“ULD-like” (Cluster 1)
<i>KCNC1</i>	1	c.959 G > A; p.Arg320His (Het)	de novo	WES singleton	Muona et al., 2015 ³	“ULD-like” (Cluster 1)
<i>KCNC1</i>	1	c.959 G > A; p.Arg320His (Het)	de novo	NGS panel	Oliver et al., 2017 ⁴	“ULD-like” (Cluster 2)
<i>AFG3L2</i>	1	c.1875 G > A; p.Met625Ile (Hom)	AR	WES singleton	Muona et al., 2015 ³	“ULD-like” (Cluster 2)
<i>DHDDS</i>	1	c.614 G > A; p.Arg205Gln (Het)	—	WES singleton	Courage et al., 2021 ⁵	“ULD-like” (Cluster 2)
<i>CHD2</i>	1	c.1541_1567del; p.(514_523del) (Het)	—	WES singleton	—	“ULD-like” (Cluster 1)
<i>NEU1</i>	3 sib	c.200 G > T; p.Ser67Ile (Hom)	AR	WES sibling (quartet)	Canafoglia et al., 2014 ⁶	“Late PME” (Cluster 2)
<i>NEU1</i>	2 sib	c.679 G > A; p.Gly227Arg; c.913C > T; p.Arg305Cys (Comp Het)	AR	WES sibling (pair)	Canafoglia et al., 2014 ⁶	“Late PME” (Cluster 2)
<i>CLN6</i>	1	c.814C > G; p. Leu272Val; c.721A > G; p.Met241Val (Comp Het)	AR	NGS panel	Berkovic et al., 2019 ⁸	“Late PME” (Cluster 2)
<i>DHDDS</i>	1	c.283 G > A; p.Asp95Asn (Het)	—	WES singleton	Courage et al., 2021 ⁵	“Late PME” (Cluster 2)
<i>CERS1</i>	4 sib	c.549C > G; p.His183Gln (Hom)	AR	Homozygosity mapping + NGS	Vanni et al., 2014 ²	“PME + DD” (Cluster 1)
<i>NAXE</i>	1	c.128C > A; p.Ser43Ter (Hom)	AR	WES trio	Courage et al., 2021 ⁵	“PME + DD” (Cluster 1)
<i>DHDDS</i>	1	c.632 G > A; p.Arg211Gln (Het)	de novo	WES trio	Courage et al., 2021 ⁵	“PME + DD” (Cluster 1)
<i>SACS</i>	1	c.8393C > A; p.Pro2798Gln c.2996T > C; p.Ile999Thr (Comp Het)	AR	WES singleton	Muona et al., 2015 ³	“PME + DD” (Cluster 1)
<i>CACNA2D2</i>	1	c.1260 G > A; p.Thr420 = c.1112A > G; p.Tyr371Cys (Comp Het)	AR	WES trio	Courage et al., 2021 ⁵	“PME + DD” (Cluster 1)
<i>STUB1</i>	1	c.169C > T; p; Pro57Ser (Hom)	AR	WES trio	Courage et al., 2021 ⁵	“PME + Dementia” (Cluster 1)

Abbreviations: Comp Het = compound heterozygote; Het = heterozygous; Hom = homozygous; Late PME = late-onset PME; NGS = next-generation sequencing; PME + DD = PME plus developmental delay; ULD-like = Unverricht-Lundborg disease-like.

success was achieved in 9/20 Cluster 1 cases and 7/18 for Cluster 2.

Overall, concerning the 165 PME unrelated patients reported in 2014, the genetically identified causes increased from 72% to 82%. Figure 1 compares the diagnostic yield and breakdown for the entire Italian series from 2014 to 2021 and (eTable 1, [links.lww.com/NXG/A490](https://www.lww.com/NXG/A490)) lists all genes with pathogenic variants.

Discussion

This reanalysis with next-generation sequencing resulted in a positive diagnosis in 42% of PME unrelated patients who were unsolved at the time of our previous study.¹ One clinically relevant observation relates to the atypical presentation of known PME disorders, which can hinder the diagnosis, as

occurred with the diagnosis of sialidosis (#256550) in 2 families. Patients presented as “late-onset PME” and escaped diagnosis because of nonindicative biochemical findings and an unapparent cherry-red spot.⁶

Since 2014, NGS facilitated the discovery of many pathogenic variants in genes not previously identified as a causative for PME.⁵ Some, such as *KCNC1*,^{3,4} can be considered “specific” PME genes, giving rise in a typical age-range to a classical picture of worsening cortical myoclonus. Other findings, however, suggest that in some patients, in whom the syndromic picture is still that of a PME, the disorder results as a “variant” phenotype of genetic disorders typically presenting with other symptoms. In fact, until the studies published by Muona et al.,³ and Courage et al.,⁵ *AFG3L2* (#614487), *SACS* (#270550), and *STUB1* (#615768) were known to be associated with autosomal recessive ataxia and *CACNA2D2* (#618501) with ataxia or epileptic encephalopathy. The spectrum

of *NAXE* is phenotypically broad, giving rise to lethal neuro-metabolic disorder with acute-onset ataxia or epilepsy and movement disorders, occasionally including myoclonus.⁷ *CHD2* is a well-established epileptic encephalopathy gene and was only recently associated with PME.⁵

The “new” genetic diagnoses were similarly distributed between “Cluster 1 and 2” that we identified in our original 2014 report,¹ and the same occurred for the 4 phenotypic categories applied in this study. Moreover, mutations of the same gene, for instance *DHDDS*, may result either in “PME plus developmental delay,” “ULD-like PME,” or “late-onset PME” phenotypes. Conversely, we did not observe different phenotype categories within families.

Previous retrospective series from referral centers have reported high diagnostic yields in PME,⁸⁻¹⁰ but these studies likely suffer from referral and recall biases. Although the Italian series is not strictly epidemiologic, it was multicenter and had a prospective component, so it approximates the real-world representation of PME in a Caucasian population without major founder effects.

A methodological limit of this study resides on heterogeneous diagnostic procedures preceding WES. Our observation may indicate that, following an early screening of the most classical causes of PME (e.g., EPM1, resulting from *CSTB* dodecamer repeat expansion), and in the absence of typical signs revealing well-known disorders causing PME (e.g., cherry-red spot in sialidoses), WES represents the most suitable diagnostic procedure for achieving the causal diagnosis in the unsolved patients. When possible, affected family members and unaffected parents should be sequenced to maximize diagnostic yield and the chances for novel discovery.

The extensive re-evaluation by means of WES in our unclassified cases suggests that in PME it is possible to achieve a high genetic diagnostic yield (>80%), thus making PMEs 1 of the most genetically well-defined groups of all epilepsies.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Italian League Against Epilepsy (LICE), which helped to support this study and continues to support many other epilepsy research studies.

Study Funding

No targeted funding reported.

Disclosure

The authors have no disclosure to make in relation to this manuscript. Go to Neurology.org/NG for full disclosure.

Publication History

Received by *Neurology: Genetics* August 20, 2021. Accepted in final form October 8, 2021.

Appendix Authors

Name	Location	Contribution
Laura Canafoglia, MD, PhD	Neurophysiopathology, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, major role in the acquisition of data, study concept or design, and analysis or interpretation of data
Silvana Franceschetti, MD, PhD	Neurophysiopathology, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, major role in the acquisition of data, study concept or design, and analysis or interpretation of data
Antonio Gambardella, MD	Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Magna Graecia University, Catanzaro, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Pasquale Striano, MD	IRCCS Istituto “G. Gaslini,” Genova, Italy; Department of Neurosciences, Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics, Maternal and Child Health, University of Genoa, Genova, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Anna Teresa Giallonardo, MD	Department of Human Neurosciences, Sapienza University of Rome, Viale dell’Università, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Paolo Tinuper, MD	IRCCS Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Epilepsy Center; Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, University of Bologna, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Carlo Di Bonaventura, MD	Department of Human Neurosciences, Sapienza University of Rome, Viale dell’Università, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Roberto Michelucci, MD	IRCCS Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Unit of Neurology, Bellaria Hospital, Bologna, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Edoardo Ferlazzo, MD	Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Magna Graecia University, Catanzaro, Italy; Regional Epilepsy Centre, BMM Great Metropolitan Hospital, Via Melacrino, Reggio Calabria, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Tiziana Granata, MD	Department of Pediatric Neuroscience, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Adriana Magaudda, MD	Epilepsy Center, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, AOU Policlinico “G. Martino,” Messina, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data

Continued

Appendix (continued)

Name	Location	Contribution
Laura Licchetta, MD	IRCCS Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Epilepsy Center, Italy; Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, University of Bologna, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Alessandro Filla, MD	Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive, and Odontostomatological Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Angela La Neve, MD	Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Neuroscience and Sense Organs, University of Bari "Aldo Moro," Piazza Giulio Cesare 11, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Patrizia Riguzzi, MD	IRCCS Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Unit of Neurology, Bellaria Hospital, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Teresa Anna Cantisani, MD	Perugia Hospital, Neurophysiopathology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera di Perugia, S. Andrea delle Fratte, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Martina Fanella, MD, PhD	Department of Human Neurosciences, Sapienza University of Rome, Viale dell'Università, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Barbara Castellotti, PhD	Unit of Genetics of Neurodegenerative and Metabolic Diseases, IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Cinzia Gellera, PhD	Unit of Genetics of Neurodegenerative and Metabolic Diseases, IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Melanie Bahlo, PhD	Population Health and Immunity Division, The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville; Department of Medical Biology, The University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Federico Zara, PhD	IRCCS Istituto "G. Gaslini," Genova, Italy; Department of Neurosciences, Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics, Maternal and Child Health, University of Genoa, Genova, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data

Appendix (continued)

Name	Location	Contribution
Carolina Courage, PhD	Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland; Department of Medical and Clinical Genetics, Medicum, University of Helsinki, Finland	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Anna-Elina Lehesjoki, PhD	Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland; Department of Medical and Clinical Genetics, Medicum, University of Helsinki, Finland	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Karen L. Oliver, PhD	Population Health and Immunity Division, The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville; Department of Medical Biology, The University of Melbourne, Australia; Epilepsy Research Centre, Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Austin Health, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, major role in the acquisition of data, and analysis or interpretation of data
Samuel F. Berkovic, MD	Epilepsy Research Centre, Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Austin Health, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, major role in the acquisition of data, study concept or design, and analysis or interpretation of data

References

- Franceschetti S, Michelucci R, Canafoglia L, et al. Progressive myoclonic epilepsies: definitive and still undetermined causes. *Neurology*. 2014;82(5):405-411.
- Vanni N, Fruscione F, Ferlazzo E, et al. Impairment of ceramide synthesis causes a novel progressive myoclonus epilepsy. *Ann Neurol*. 2014;76(2):206-212.
- Muona M, Berkovic SF, Dibbens LM, et al. A recurrent de novo mutation in KCNC1 causes progressive myoclonus epilepsy. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47(1):39-46.
- Oliver KL, Franceschetti S, Milligan CJ, et al. Myoclonus epilepsy and ataxia due to KCNC1 mutation: analysis of 20 cases and K⁺ channel properties. *Ann Neurol*. 2017; 81(5):677-689.
- Courage C, Oliver KL, Park EJ, et al. Progressive myoclonus epilepsies-Residual unsolved cases have marked genetic heterogeneity including dolichol-dependent protein glycosylation pathway genes. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2021;108(4):722-738.
- Canafoglia L, Robbiano A, Pareyson D, et al. Expanding sialidosis spectrum by genome-wide screening: NEU1 mutations in adult-onset myoclonus. *Neurology*. 2014;82(22):2003-2006.
- Trinh J, Imhoff S, Dulovic-Mahlow M, et al. Novel NAXE variants as a cause for neurometabolic disorder: implications for treatment. *J Neurol*. 2020;267(3): 770-782.
- Berkovic SF, Carpenter S, Evans A, et al. Myoclonus epilepsy and ragged-red fibers (MERRF) 1. A clinical, pathological, biochemical, magnetic resonance spectroscopic and positron emission tomographic study. *Brain*. 1989;112(pt 5): 1231-1260.
- Michelucci R, Serratosa JM, Delgado-Escueta AV, et al. Progressive myoclonic epilepsies. In: Bureau M, Genton P, Dravet C, et al (eds) *Epileptic Syndromes in Infancy, Childhood and Adolescence*. (6th ed): John Libbey Eurotext. 2019: 571-610.
- Satishchandra P, Sinha S. Progressive myoclonic epilepsy. *Neurol India*. 2010;58(4): 514-522.