

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Correspondence

COVID-19 vaccination in pregnancy-number needed to vaccinate to avoid harm

Pregnant women are vulnerable to COVID-19, with increased risk of more severe illness and pregnancy complications, particularly if infected during the third trimester.1 Based on prior experience with vaccines in pregnancy, and with no hypothesised mechanisms for fetal harm, similar efficacy and side-effects to the nonpregnant population were anticipated with vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 in pregnancy. Current, albeit limited, data support this; no major safety signals were observed in animal reproductive toxicology studies or with post-marketing surveillance. However, until recently, there was little consensus regarding routine vaccination in pregnancy, and vaccine hesitancy in pregnant women remains high.2

Informed health-care decision making requires balancing of benefits and risks. This is challenging when considering COVID-19 vaccination and pregnancy because of variations in individual risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection geographically³ and temporally, as well as the relative paucity of trial data in this population. However, the average benefits of vaccination can be weighed against the average risks. As a general principle, vaccination of pregnant women should be recommended only if the number needed to vaccinate (NNV) to prevent maternal and fetal harm from COVID-19 is lower than the NNV to cause harm.

Absolute estimates of the NNV. based on point estimates of the benefits versus risks of COVID-19 vaccination in pregnancy, are provided in the appendix, along with methodological information. Considering benefit, the NNV to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy ranges from 11 to prevent any infection to 206 to prevent one symptomatic infection. The NNV to prevent severe maternal COVID-19 was 412-2058, and to avoid mechanical ventilation was 1371-6857. The NNV for fetal benefit, by avoiding pregnancy complications, is as low as 200 for preterm or caesarean birth (176 and 182, respectively) and 463 for neonatal problems, and as high as many thousands to avoid a small-for-gestational age baby or stillbirth.

Considering harm, COVID-19 vaccination commonly causes local side-effects, but serious adverse events are rare and no more common than in vaccination outside pregnancy (appendix). The NNV with mRNA vaccines to cause one case of myocarditis (itself usually mild and selflimiting) is just over 37000, and with viral vector vaccines to cause one excess case of thrombosis thrombocytopenia syndrome almost 50 000. Importantly, there is no increased risk of pregnancy complications.

Real-life estimates of NNV for pregnant women are likely to be lower (ie, better) than those estimated here based on rising cumulative rates of SARS-CoV-2 infection over time, particularly among unvaccinated individuals, and prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. Also, pregnant women may have or live with other young children who are unlikely to receive COVID-19 vaccination but are likely to be socialising with others at activities and daycare.

The balance of risk favours COVID-19 vaccination in pregnancy, particularly to avoid severe maternal infection or preterm or caesarean birth. These data should be used to address and avoid vaccine hesitancy driven by knowledge qaps.

PO'B is co-chair of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists Vacccine Committee. EM has received grants from Gedeon Richter Chugai Pharma and Kebomed, and was an adviser to Pfizer in 2018. AK is a member of the COVAX working group and principal investigator of the PregCov trial and the Pfizer COVID vaccine trial. PH is the chief investigator of the PregCov trial. All authors are leading and collaborating on COVID-19 vaccine studies.

Laura A Magee, Peter von Dadelszen, Erkan Kalafat, Emma L Duncan, Pat O'Brien, Edward Morris, Paul Heath, *Asma Khalil akhalil@sgul.ac.uk

Department of Women and Children's Health (LAM, PvD) and Department of Twin Research & Genetic Epidemiology (ELD), School of Life Course Sciences, King's College London, London, UK; Middle East Technical University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Statistics, Ankara, Turkey (EK); Koc University, School of Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Istanbul, Turkey (EK); The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, London, UK (PO'B, EM); University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK (PO'B); Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Norwich, Norfolk, UK (EM): Paediatric Infectious Diseases Research Group and Vaccine Institute, Institute of Infection and Immunity (PH), Fetal Medicine Unit, St George's Hospital (AK), and Vascular Biology Research Centre, Molecular and Clinical Sciences Research Institute (AK), St George's University of London, London SW17 0QT, UK

- Allotey J, Stallings E, Bonet M, et al. Clinical manifestations, risk factors, and maternal and perinatal outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019 in pregnancy: living systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2020; 370: m3320.
- Skjefte M, Ngirbabul M, Akeju O, et al. COVID-19 vaccine acceptance among pregnant women and mothers of young children: results of a survey in 16 countries. Fur I Epidemiol 2021: 36: 197-211.
- Kalafat E, Magee LA, von Dadelszen P, O'Brien P, Khalil A. SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in pregnancy: a unique opportunity for equity. Lancet 2021; 398: 951

COVID-19 vaccine intentions in Australia

Prior to the availability of a COVID-19 vaccine and when case numbers were low, our longitudinal survey with Australian adults showed that 85.8% (3741 of 4362) were willing to be vaccinated in April, 2020, and 89.8% (1144 of 1274) in July, 2020.^{1,2} Younger adults perceived themselves to be at less risk of infection and were less willing to receive a vaccine.

Since then, Australia's vaccine rollout has gained rapid momentum in some states, due in part to an See Online for appendix outbreak of the highly contagious delta (B.1.617.2) variant. In July-August, 2021, we did a nationally representative survey of 2050 adults aged 18–49 years (appendix p 1) to



Published Online November 2, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/ \$1473-3099(21)00691-5

See Online for appendix