SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 08 October 2015 Accepted: 02 February 2016 Published: 23 February 2016

OPEN Sirt1 AS IncRNA interacts with its mRNA to inhibit muscle formation by attenuating function of miR-34a

Guo-qiang Wang*, Yu Wang*, Yan Xiong*, Xiao-Chang Chen, Mei-ling Ma, Rui Cai, Yun Gao, Yun-mei Sun, Gong-She Yang & Wei-Jun Pang

Recent studies demonstrate the functions of long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) in mediating gene expression at the transcriptional or translational level. Our previous study identified a Sirt1 antisense (AS) IncRNA transcribed from the Sirt1 AS strand. However, its role and regulatory mechanism is still unknown in myogenesis. Here, functional analyses showed that Sirt1 AS IncRNA overexpression promoted myoblast proliferation, but inhibited differentiation. Mechanistically, Sirt1 AS IncRNA was found to activate its sense gene, Sirt1. The luciferase assay provided evidences that Sirt1 AS lncRNA interacted with Sirt1 3' UTR and rescued Sirt1 transcriptional suppression by competing with miR-34a. In addition, RNA stability assay showed that Sirt1 AS IncRNA prolonged Sirt1 mRNA half-life from 2 to 10 h. Ribonuclease protection assay further indicated that it fully bound to Sirt1 mRNA in the myoblast cytoplasm. Moreover, Sirt1 AS overexpression led to less mouse weight than the control because of less lean mass and greater levels of Sirt1, whereas the fat mass and levels of miR-34a were not altered. Based on the findings, a novel regulatory mechanism was found that Sirt1 AS IncRNA preferably interacted with Sirt1 mRNA forming RNA duplex to promote Sirt1 translation by competing with miR-34a, inhibiting muscle formation.

Recently, long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) has been shown to play important functional roles as regulators of gene expression through the recruitment of the complex epigenetic machinery that dictates distinctive chromatin signatures involved in active transcription and translation. One group of lncRNAs is the natural antisense transcripts (NATs), which are transcribed from the opposite DNA strand to their specific partner protein-coding genes. Antisense transcription is the pairing of a NAT with an overlapping protein-coding sense transcript, whereby NAT expression can lead to an increase or decrease in sense expression¹.

Muscle development is orchestrated by a network of epigenetic regulators and transcription factors²⁻⁵. LncRNA emerges as an important novel player in muscle development regulation⁶. LncRNA regulates key function genes by numbers of mechanisms at different levels, including transcriptional, post-transcriptional and epigenetic7. At the transcriptional level, muscle-associated lincRNA (Yam-1) was decreased during muscle differentiation and served as a repressor of myogenesis8. In addition, endogenous RNA (eRNA) was another class of ncRNA which was transcribed from regulatory elements9. The eRNA transcribed from the regulatory elements of myogenic differentiation antigen (MyoD), which enhanced RNA polymerase II occupancy and transcription of MyoD and myogenin (MyoG), further activated the downstream myogenic effects¹⁰. At the post-transcriptional level, the muscle-specific lncRNA (linc-MD1) "sponges" miR-133 and miR-135 to regulate the expression of transcription factors mastermind-like protein 1 (MAML1) and myocyte-specific enhancer factor 2C (MEF2C) to activate muscle-specific gene expression¹¹. At the epigenetic level, lncRNAs including metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (Malat1), H19 and gene trap locus 2-maternally expressed gene 3 (Gtl2-Meg3) interacted with polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) to modulate their target genes, resulting in myogenesis¹²⁻¹⁴. However, whether other novel lncRNAs are involved in muscle development needs to further explore.

According to sequence length, ncRNAs were classified as lncRNA (>200 bp) and microRNA (~22 bp)⁷. Increasing evidences verified that lncRNA or microRNA interacted with each other to fulfil the biological function. For example, linc-MD1 and H19 sponge miR-133, miR-135 and let7, respectively, inhibiting the function of miRNAs¹⁵. În addition, some lncRNAs directly regulated the processing of miRNAs. LncRNA (Uc.283 + A)

Laboratory of Animal Fat Deposition & Muscle Development, College of Animal Science and Technology, Northwest A&F University, Yangling Shaanxi 712100, China. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to W.-J.P. (email: pwj1226@nwsuaf.edu.cn)

bound to pri-miR-195 to prevent its cleavage and maturation¹⁶. Moreover, lncRNAs indirectly regulated the processing of miRNAs. MiR-361 targeted pri-miR-484 to suppress the processing of miR-484, but mitochondrial dynamic related lncRNA (MDRL) bound to miR-361 and indirectly promoted miR-484 maturation¹⁷. Therefore, it is necessary to further explore the novel mechanisms of interaction between lncRNA and miRNA.

More than 70% of mammalian transcripts show evidence of AS transcription not only indicates the biological importance of NATs but could also have various functional implications. Very recently, our group has successfully performed interference of *PU.1* (also known as *SPI1*) AS lncRNA to substantially promote *PU.1* mRNA translation, leading to inhibition of adipogenesis¹⁸. We have now identified a novel NAT that corresponds to Sirtuin type 1 (*Sirt1*) AS lncRNA.

Sirt1, a NAD-dependent class III protein deacetylases, is a member of sirtuins family¹⁹. Some studies suggested that *Sirt1* regulated the balance between myoblast proliferation and differentiation²⁰. Upregulation of *Sirt1* promoted muscle precursor cell proliferation by inhibiting cell cycle inhibitor expression^{21,22}. On the contrary, *Sirt1* suppressed myoblasts differentiation. Precise regulation of *Sirt1* expression is crucial to balance myoblast proliferation and differentiation. Our previous study identified that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA transcribed from *Sirt1* AS strand and overlapped with *Sirt1* mRNA 3' untranslated region (3' UTR)²⁴, but its function and mechanism in myogenesis are still unclear.

In this study, the proliferation and differentiation were further detected in myoblasts of *Sirt1* AS or/and miR-34a overexpression, and regulatory mechanism were explored using the dual luciferase reporter, RNA stability and ribonuclease protection assay, as well the mice of *Sirt1* AS overexpression were studied by intraperitoneal injection of adenovirus on their weights, body composition and muscle fiber characteristics. Our findings provided a novel regulatory mechanism: it was that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA preferably interacted with *Sirt1* mRNA forming RNA duplex by competing with miR-34a to inhibit muscle formation.

Results

The expression patterns of *Sirt1* **mRNA**, *Sirt1* **AS lncRNA and miR-34a during myoblast proliferation.** Our previous study showed the stability and expression of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA overlapped with partial of *Sirt1* 3' UTR²⁴. Interestingly, in this study, we found that the target sequence of miR-34a to *Sirt1* mRNA was in the overlapping region (Fig. 1A). To examine the expression patterns of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA, *Sirt1* mRNA and miR-34a, their levels were detected by qPCR or western blotting during myoblast proliferation, respectively. The results indicated that the levels of *Sirt1* protein were concordant with *Sirt1* mRNA, which decreased in 50% cell confluence and increased from 70% to 100% cell confluence (Fig. 1B–D). In addition, the levels of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA gradually increased during myoblast proferation (Fig. 1E), whereas the levels of miR-34a increased in 50% cell confluence and decreased in 70% cell confluence (Fig. 1F).

Sirt1 AS IncRNA attenuated the inhibition of Sirt1 expression against miR-34a. To explore the regulation of Sirt1 AS IncRNA and miR-34a to Sirt1 expression, Sirt1 AS and miR-34a expression plasmids were constructed. The results showed that expression efficiencies of Sirt1 AS and miR-34a were about 200-fold and 4-fold in myoblasts, respectively (Fig. 2A,B). In addition, Sirt1 AS IncRNA was elevated more than 40-fold by 100μ M resveratrol using strand-specific RT-PCR analysis (Fig. S1). Furthermore, miR-34a overexpression down-regulated the levels of Sirt1 mRNA and protein, whereas Sirt1 AS overexpression upregulated the levels of Sirt1 mRNA and protein were rescued when Sirt1 AS and miR-34a were co-overexpressed (Fig. 2C-E).

Sirt1 AS IncRNA promoted myoblast proliferation. To discover the functional *Sirt1* AS IncRNA associated with myoblast proliferation, we detected proliferation of the cells transfected with *Sirt1* AS expression vector by flow cytometry, cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) and 5-ethynyl-2' - deoxyuridine (EDU) analysis. As shown in Fig. 3A,B, *Sirt1* AS overexpression increased the number of cells which were in DNA synthesis (S) phage of cell cycle, whereas miR-34a overexpression decreased this number. Furthermore, the ability of myoblasts to proliferation was rescued when *Sirt1* AS and miR-34a were co-overexpressed (Fig. 3A,B). The CCK8 detection confirmed that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA was against inhibiting myoblast proliferation of miR-34a (Fig. 3C). In addition, the above results were further verified by EDU assay as well. The ratio of EDU positive cells indicated the cell in DNA synthesis phage. *Sirt1* AS overexpression increased the ratio of EDU positive cells and resisted the inhibitory myoblast proliferation of miR-34a (Fig. 3D,E).

Sirt1 AS IncRNA rescued the expression of proliferation genes by resisting miR-34a. To further elucidate the regulation at gene level, the expression of proliferation genes were examined in C2C12 cells transfected with the expression vectors of *Sirt1* AS, miR-34a and both of them, respectively. The results indicated that *Sirt1* AS overexpression upregulated the mRNA levels of *CyclinB*, *CyclinD* and *CyclinE*, but miR-34a overexpression downregulated the mRNA levels of *CyclinB* and *CyclinD* (Fig. 4A–C). Moreover, *Sirt1* AS overexpression rescued the levels of *CyclinB*, *CyclinD* and *CyclinE* when *Sirt1* AS and miR-34a were co-overexpressed (Fig. 4A–C). In addition, western blotting analysis of CyclinB, *CyclinD* and *CyclinE* further confirmed that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA promoted their expression and attenuated the inhibition of miR-34a on the expression of proliferation marker genes (Fig. 4D,E).

Sirt1 AS IncRNA inhibited myoblast differentiation. Upregulation of *Sirt1* protein level by *Sirt1* AS IncRNA suggested that it may be an inhibitory myogenic factor during myoblast differentiation. To investigate *Sirt1* AS IncRNA relevancy in myogenesis, we overexpressed *Sirt1* AS in C2C12 cells. The results showed that *Sirt1* AS overexpression downregulated the levels of *MyoD*, *MyoG* and myosin heavy chain (*MHC*) mRNA and protein, whereas miR-34a overexpression upregulated the levels of above gene expression (Fig. 5A–C). Interestingly, *Sirt1*





AS overexpression decreased the levels of these genes when both *Sirt1* AS and miR-34a were co-overexpressed (Fig. 5A–C). Moreover, the results were also strengthened by immunofluorescence staining for MHC and MyoG protein in differentiating myotubes on day 2 post-transfection (Fig. 5D). In addition, the number of positive myotubes was reduced by *Sirt1* AS overexpression, but was increased by miR-34a overexpression (Fig. 5E,F). Interestingly, the number of positive myotubes was recovered by co-overexpression of both *Sirt1* AS and miR-34a (Fig. 5E,F).

Sirt1 AS IncRNA increased the stability of Sirt1 mRNA by binding to its 3' UTR via competing with miR-34a. To probe into the mechanism underlying the myogenic-mediated function of Sirt1 AS IncRNA, we constructed Sirt1 3' UTR psiCHECKTM-2 vector for luciferase reporter assay (Fig. 6A). The results indicated that Sirt1 AS IncRNA increased relative luciferase activity by directly interacting with Sirt1 3' UTR in 293T cells transfected with Sirt1 AS expression vector, whereas miR-34a decreased relative luciferase activity by targeting Sirt1 3' UTR in 293T cells transfected with miR-34a expression vector (Fig. 6B). Compare with miR-34a transfection, Sirt1 AS IncRNA partially rescued the relative luciferase activity in 293T cells co-transfected with Sirt1 AS IncRNA and miR-34a (Fig. 6B). Therefore, our results suggested that Sirt1 AS IncRNA bound to Sirt1 3' UTR by competing with miR-34a to regulate Sirt1 gene transcription. Moreover, the RNA stability assay illustrated that Sirt1 AS overexpression prolonged Sirt1 mRNA half-life from 2 to 10 h after treatment with actionmy D (Fig. 6C), implying that Sirt1 AS IncRNA increased the stability of Sirt1 mRNA though interacting with Sirt1 3' UTR by competing with miR-34a.

To directly confirm the interaction between *Sirt1* AS lncRNA and *Sirt1* mRNA, ribonuclease protection assay (RPA) and RT-PCR were performed on the cytoplasmic RNA from C2C12 cells. We found that the ratio of cytoplasmic *Sirt1* mRNA/nuclear *Sirt1* mRNA did not changed after *Sirt1* AS overexpression, but the ratio of cytoplasmic *Sirt1* AS lncRNA/nuclear *Sirt1* AS lncRNA increased (Fig. 7A). Furthermore, the RT-PCR primers were designed according to putative schematic of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA and *Sirt1* mRNA (Fig. 7B). RPA showed that cytoplasmic sense/antisense RNA duplex formation occured was fully overlapping between the two strands, resulting in protection (Fig. 7C).





.....

Sirt1 AS IncRNA inhibited muscle formation. To further confirm whether *Sirt1* AS IncRNA was implicated in muscle formation *in vivo*, mouse intraperitoneal injection of adenovirus-mediated *Sirt1* AS overexpression was performed. The results showed that mouse body weights decreased in *Sirt1* AS overexpression treatment than in the control at month 3, 4, 5 and 6 (Fig. 8A). Moreover, compared with the control, the lean mass was less in *Sirt1* AS overexpression treatment, whereas the fat mass did not change at month 6 (Fig. 8B). Muscle fiber size of quadriceps (Quad), soleus (Sol) and gastrocnemius (Gast) were apparently greater in *Sirt1* AS overexpression treatment than in the control, but fat cell size of inguinal fat (Ing) did not alter at month 6 (Fig. 8C). The levels of *Sirt1* mRNA and *Sirt1* AS IncRNA were upregulated in Quad, Sol and Gast in *Sirt1* AS overexpression treatment at month 6 (Fig. 8D,E). Interestingly, the levels of miR-34a did not change in Quad, Sol, Gast and Ing between the treatment and control (Fig. 8F). In addition, the protein levels of *Sirt1* and the proliferation genes including *CyclinB*, *CyclinD* and *CyclinE* increased, but protein levels of the differentiation gene including *MyoD*, *MyoG* and *MHC* decreased (Fig. 8G,H). Collectively, the above results suggested that *Sirt1* AS IncRNA was associated with myogenesis *in vivo*. Therefore, *Sirt1* AS IncRNA fully bound to *Sirt1* mRNA forming RNA duplex to regulate muscle formation by competing with miR34a (Fig. 8I).



Figure 3. *Sirt1* **AS** overexpression promoted myoblast proliferation. (A,B) Cell cycle analysis using flow cytometry for myoblasts of *Sirt1* AS, miR-34a and *Sirt1* AS plus miR-34a overexoression. (C) CCK-8 cell proliferation analysis. (D) EdU labeling and immunocytochemical staining of proliferating cells. (E) The quantification analysis of EdU-positive cells. Results were indicated as the mean \pm SEM of 4 independent experiments. **P* < 0.05.

Discussion

The intrinsic nature and complex secondary structures of lncRNAs enable them to specifically interact with DNA, RNA and proteins. On the basement of relationship of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA, *Sirt1* mRNA and miR-34a sequences, we speculated that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA bound to *Sirt1* mRNA by competing with miR-34a to regulate the efficiency of *Sirt1* protein expression in myoblast proliferation and differentiation. Our results supported the above hypothesis. In this study, *Sirt1* AS lncRNA promoted myoblast proliferation by upregulating the expression of cell cyle genes including *CyclinB*, *CyclinD* and *CyclinE*, whereas it inhibited myoblast differentiation though repressing the



Figure 4. *Sirt1* AS overexpression increased the levels of Cyclins. (A–C) The mRNA levels of *CyclinB*, *CyclinD* and *CyclinE* were upregulated in C2C12 cells of *Sirt1* AS overexpression, but downregulated in miR-34a overexpression at 24 hours after treatment with growth medium. (D,E) The levels of CyclinB, CyclinD and CyclinE proteins in C2C12 cells of *Sirt1* AS, miR-34a and *Sirt1* AS plus miR-34a overexoression at 24 hours after induced with growth medium. Results were indicated as the mean \pm SEM of 4 independent experiments. **P* < 0.05.



Figure 5. *Sirt1* AS overexpression inhibited myoblast differentiation. (A–C) *Sirt1* AS overexpression downregulated the levels of myogenic gene (*MyoD*, *MyoG* and *MHC*) mRNA and protein. (**D**) Immunocytochemical staining. (**E**,**F**) The quantification analysis of MyoG and MHC positive nuclei. The data were presented as means \pm SEM of 4 independent experiments. **P* < 0.05.



Figure 6. Sirt1 AS lncRNA promoted transcription of Sirt1 by attenuating the role of miR-34a. (A) Schematic of Sirt1 3' UTR inserted into psiCHECKTM-2 vector. (B) Luciferase activity analysis for Sirt1 AS, Sirt1 and miR-34a. (C) Sirt1 AS lncRNA makes Sirt1 mRNA much more stable. The data were presented as means \pm SEM of 4 independent experiments. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.



Figure 7. *Sirt1* AS lncRNA fully bound to *Sirt1* mRNA on basement of basyl complementary pairing principle in myoblasts. (A) *Sirt1* AS lncRNA and *Sirt1* mRNA existed in cytoplasm and nucleus. **P* < 0.05. (B) Primer positions for ribonuclease protection assay (RPA). (C) Detection of the *Sirt1* AS lncRNA/mRNA pairs. OE: *Sirt1* AS overexpression.

expression of the myogenic factors *MyoD*, *MyoG* and *MHC*. The results were further confirmed by overexpression of *Sirt1* AS *in vivo*. Therefore, we uncovered the novel functional roles of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA in mediating muscle formation. As shown in Fig. 8I, functionally and mechanistically, *Sirt1* AS lncRNA fully bound to *Sirt1* mRNA to regulate muscle formation by competing with miR34a.

Although a large number of mammalian lncRNAs were pervasively identified by lncRNA-seq, only a minority were functionally explored. Our previous studies found that *PU.1* AS lncRNA was involved in adipogenesis²⁵ and immunifaction²⁶. In this study, our results clearly demonstrated that the expression of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA in myoblast proliferation was increased, which was similar with *Sirt1* mRNA, but opposite to miR-34a. It has been reported that miR-34a targeted *Sirt1* to inhibit *Sirt1* expression in embryonic stem cells²⁷, neural stem cell²⁸ and colon cancer cells²⁹. Therefore, the expression patterns of *Sirt1* and miR-34a implied that miR-34a targetes *Sirt1* in C2C12 cells. By luciferase reporter assay, we proved that both miR-34a and *Sirt1* AS lncRNA directly targeted *Sirt1* and miR-34a were not strictly opposite, because more than 16 miRNAs regulate *Sirt1* expression and activity³⁰, we thought that the other miRNAs as well were implicated in regulation of *Sirt1* expression in C2C12 proliferation. Moreover, miR-34a was not only targets *Sirt1* but also other genes³¹ to exert its biological functions. Surprisingly, *Sirt1* AS lncRNA resisted the roles of miR-34a in myoblast proliferation, implying that interaction existed among *Sirt1* AS lncRNA, *Sirt1* mRNA and miR-34a.

In this study, we focused on *Sirt1* AS lncRNA and investigated relationship among *Sirt1* AS lncRNA, *Sirt1* mRNA and miRNA-34a in myogenesis. Based on our results, we found that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA preferably interacted with *Sirt1* mRNA forming RNA duplex to promote *Sirt1* translation by competing with miR-34a, inhibiting muscle formation. Up to now, the effects of *Sirt1* protein on myoblast proliferation and differentiation had been investigated very well^{21,32-37}. In addition, our previous studies indicated the expression of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA in mouse various tissues including heart, kidney, liver, spleen, brain, muscle and white adipose tissue²⁴. Therefore, we thought that the expression of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA was not localized to specific cell type.

Because lncRNAs are enriched in the nucleus or the cytosol, they can act at virtually every level of gene expression^{38,39}. In this study, we found that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA was in both nucleus and cytoplasm, but its levels increased in myoblasts of *Sirt1* AS overexpression. Moreover, the RNA stability assay indicated *Sirt1* AS overexpression prolonged *Sirt1* mRNA half-life. The RPA assay further confirmed that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA bound to its mRNA forming mRNA/AS lncRNA compound in the myoblast cytoplasm. Taken together, we suggested that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA elevated translational efficiency of *Sirt1* mRNA by RNA duplex. However, whether nuclear *Sirt1* mRNA/



Figure 8. *Sirt1* AS overexpression inhibited muscle development. (A) Body weight. (B) Body composition analysis. (C) HE staining. (D) Levels of *Sirt1* mRNA. (E) Levels of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA. (F) Levels of miR-34a. (G/H) Levels of *Sirt1*, CyclinB, CyclinD, CyclinE, MyoD, MyoG and MHC proteins. The results were presented as means \pm SEM of at least 4 independent experiments. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01. (I) Model for *Sirt1* AS lncRNA fully bound to *Sirt1* mRNA to regulate muscle formation by competing with miR34a. OE: *Sirt1* AS overexpression, Quad: quadriceps, Sol: soleus, Gast: gastrocnemius, Ing: inguinal fat.

AS lncRNA duplex affects sense RNA processing including capping, polyadenylation, nuclear localization and transport, will need further exploration.

Here, we need point out that interference of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA using RNAi will influence sense mRNA as well⁴⁰, because *Sirt1* AS lncRNA is fully overlapping with *Sirt1* 3' UTR by base complementary pairing principle. Additionally, AS transcripts including *Sirt1* AS lncRNA are generally low in abundance and are, on average, more than 10-fold lower in abundance than sense expression⁴⁰⁻⁴². It was why we overexpressed *Sirt1* AS lncRNA to explore its myogenic function, but not interfered in this study.

Because the target site of miR-34a located in *Sirt1* mRNA and AS lncRNA overlapping region, the function of miR-34a was studied as well. As expected, miR-34a overexpression decreased the levels of *Sirt1* expression and suppressed C2C12 cell proliferation but promoted the cell differentiation. Whether *Sirt1* AS lncRNA interacted with miR-34a regulates *Sirt1* expression was still unknown. Recent studies showed that lncRNAs involved in miR-NAs processing regulation^{16,17}. In addition, lncRNA also influenced miRNAs function by directly "sponging"^{11,15}. Interestingly, we here found that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA bound to *Sirt1* 3′ UTR by competing with miR-34a to attenuate the functional role of miR-34a. Therefore, we thought that AS lncRNA regulated cognate gene mRNA through competing with miRNA may be an extensive novel mechanism.

AS lncRNA is an important class of lncRNAs, which transcripts from antisense strand⁴⁰. AS lncRNAs which were partially or fully complementary to sense mRNA in the nucleus or/and cytoplasm, decided their specific functional mechanisms¹. For example, apolipoprotein A1 (*APOA1*) AS lncRNA can regulate the histone methylation patterns of sense *APO* gene cluster through guiding histone-modifying enzymes lysine (K)-specific demethylase 1 (LSD1) or suppressor of zeste 12 homolog (SUZ12) to *APO* gene cluster⁴². Ubiquitin carboxy-terminal hydrolase L1 (*Uchl1*) AS lncRNA regulated Uchl1 translation through an embedded inverted short interspersed nuclear element B2 (SINEB2) element which activated polysomes⁴³. AS lncRNA and mRNA formed double strand duplex to recruit Staufen 1 (STAU1) protein to mRNAs and mediate their degradation⁴⁴. In our study, *Sirt1* AS overexpression increased *Sirt1* mRNA stability, implying that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA regulated *Sirt1* expression at translational level. Moreover, we found that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA bound to *Sirt1* 3' UTR though competing with miR-34a to resist downregulation of *Sirt1* level resulting from miR-34a.

In the cytoplasm, lncRNAs affect translational output in different ways. They can control gene expression by reducing or stimulating mRNA decay^{44,45}. A particular class of cytoplasmic lncRNAs, the competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNA), regulates both the translation and the degradation rates of mRNAs by acting as molecular "sponges" for miRNAs, thus modulating the repressive activity of miRNA on their mRNA targets^{46–50}. On the basement of our above findings, we here defined a novel regulatory mechanism of AS lncRNA, which is that AS lncRNA can also make mRNA stability and compete with miRNA to influence translational output to regulate muscle formation.

Intraperitoneal injection of adenoviral vectors was validated as an efficient gene manipulation tool for overexpressing recombinant proteins *in vivo*^{51,52}. Of course, gene editing (CRISP/Cas9) and transgenic technologies may be also the good methods. Moreover, our lab first identified *Sirt1* AS lncRNA and investigated its stability and expression. Fortunately, we also found that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA was elevated more than 40-fold by resveratrol (an agonist of *Sirt1*^{53,54}). Based on our findings, we think that *Sirt1* AS lncRNA may be a biomarker which indicates muscle formation.

Collectively, our findings unravel a novel molecular mechanism on muscle formation by *Sirt1* AS lncRNA. Mechanically, full complementary binding of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA to *Sirt1* mRNA forming RNA duplex in the cytoplasm facilitated *Sirt1* translation output by competing with miR-34a, inhibiting myogenesis via promoting myoblast proliferation and inhibiting differentiation. The elucidation of mechanism on *Sirt1* protein output by *Sirt1* AS lncRNA will provide insight into novel pathways of regulatory myogenesis.

Methods

Cell culture and transfection. C2C12 cell line was purchased from ATCC (Rockville, MD, USA) and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM: Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS: Hyclone Laboratories, Logan, UT) at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. After cells reached confluence, they were induced to myogenic differentiation by replacing 10% FBS with 2% horse serum (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA). For cell proliferation detection, cells were transfected with *Sirt1* AS lncRNA, miR-34a, *Sirt1* AS lncRNA plus miR-34a and pCDNA3.1 empty plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions when the cells reached 50–60% confluence after seeding for 12 hours. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, the cells were harvested and preserved at -80 °C for further proliferation assay. For cell differentiation analysis, cells were seeded in six-well plates with culture medium and transfected with above plasmids on the first day of myogenic differentiation. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, they were harvested and preserved at -80 °C for further myogenic differentiation.

Real-time qPCR. Cell total RNA was extracted according to the standard method with a TRIzol reagent (Takara, Kyoto, Japan). Reverse transcription was performed to synthesize cDNA by using the RT Kit (Takara, Kyoto, Japan). The primers used for real-time qPCR were designed and synthesized (Table S1). Real time qRT-PCR was carried out in IQ5 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using SYBR-Green (Vazyme). The mRNA data was quantified using the comparative threshold cycle ($\Delta\Delta$ CT) methods.

Strand-specific RT-PCR. Strand-specific RT-PCR was performed as our previous publications^{18,26}. Briefly, before reverse transcription reactions, 1µg cells total RNA was performed to wipe out DNA contamination by gDNA wiper Mix (Vazyme) at 42 °C for 2 min. Reverse transcription reactions were carried out using RT kit

(Vazyme). The strand-specific primers, reverse primer of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA or miR-34a RT primer as the reverse transcription primers. The RT was at 50 °C for 30 min, 85 °C for 5 s in 10 μ l volumes.

Cytoplasmic and nuclear IncRNA. RNAs were extracted from C2C12 cells according to merchant guide using Nuclear or Cytoplasmic RNA Purification Kit (Fisher BioReagents) as previously described⁵⁵. Briefly, cell pellet was resuspended in buffers of RNA Purification Kit and followed by centrifugation at 4 °C twice. The supernatant was saved as cytoplasmic fraction and the pellet was used as nuclear fraction. RNAs were extracted from both fractions using Trizol. The relative levels of *Sirt1* AS lncRNA and mRNA were analyzed by real-time qPCR.

Western blotting. The cellular protein was extracted in the RIPA lysis buffer (Appligen, Beijing, China) with 1 mM PMSF. Peterson's method was used to quantify the protein contents. Western blotting were performed as our previously described²³. Following primary antibodies were used: *Sirt1* (1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX), CyclinD (1:500; Boster, Wuhan, China), CyclinB (1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX), CyclinE (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX), MyoG (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX), MHC (1:2000; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and GAPDH (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX). The secondary antibodies were anti-rabbit, anti-goat and anti-mouse antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX). The targeted proteins were detected using the Gel Doc XR System and analysis software Quantity One (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) as per the instructions of the manufacturer.

Flow cytometric analysis. Flow cytometric analysis was carried out as our previous publication⁵⁶. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, the cells were washed 3 times with cold PBS and fixed in 70% (v/v) cold ethanol overnight. Then centrifugation and the supernatants were discarded, the cells were washed with PBS again and resuspended in 500µl propidium iodide (50µg/ml; DOJINDO, Shanghai, China) solution with 100µg/ml RNase A and 0.2% (v/v) TritonX-100 at 4°C for 30 min. The cells were detected with a flow cytometry instrument (Becton Dickinson, FACSCa-libur, Franklin, GA). Cell cycle phases were assigned to G0/G1, S, and G2/M according to the amount of DNA. The proportion of cells in each cell cycle phase was statistically analyzed.

CCK-8 proliferation detection. C2C12 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 4×10^3 cells per well with 100 µl DMEM. The Cells were transfected with *Sirt1* AS lncRNA, miR-34a, *Sirt1* AS lncRNA plus miR-34a and pCDNA3.1 empty plasmids. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, cell proliferation index was detected using CCK-8 kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) according to manufacturer's instructions.

EDU proliferation analysis. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, C2C12 cells were treated with $10 \mu M$ EdU (Ribobio) and incubated for 3 h. EDU staining were processed according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cell nucleus was stained with $5 \mu g/ml$ DAPI (Roche, Penzberg, Germany) for 10 min. The cells were visualized by a fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The ratio of positive cells (EdU-staining cells/the total of cells) was calculated.

Immunocytochemistry. Immunocytochemistry were performed according to the recently published method⁵⁵. Briefly, cultured cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at 4 °C, washed 3 times with PBS, and permeabilized using 0.3% TritonX-100 in TBS. Following blocking with 2% goat serum in TBS for 1 h at room temperature, the cells were incubated with primary antibodies MHC (1:200) or MyoG (1:200) at 4 °C overnight with gentle shaking, washed, and then incubated with secondary antibodies (AlexaFluor-488 conjugated mouse IgG1 and AlexaFluor-568 conjugated mouse IgG2b (1:1000, Invitrogen)) for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were then washed 3 times with TBST, stained with DAPI, and photographed using a NIKON TE2000-U fluorescent microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

Luciferase reporter assay. The partial 3' UTR of mouse *Sirt1* mRNA was amplified by PCR and inserted into the psiCHECKTM-2 Vector (Promega, Madison, USA). The primers used to construct plasmids for luciferase reporter assay were shown in Table S2. *Sirt1* AS lncRNA and miR-34a expression plasmids were co-transfected with *Sirt1* 3' UTR psiCHECKTM-2 vector into 293T cells by Transfection Reagent (Roche, Penzberg, Germany), respectively. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, the cells were harvested, incubated with cell lysis buffer, and the luciferase activity was then measured using ELIASA (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

RNA stability assay. To detect the stability of *Sirt1* mRNA, C2C12 cells were treated with 2μ g/ml Actinomycin D (Sigma-Aldrich, Louis, MO) which could suppress transcription. The cells were harvested at 0, 1, 2, 6 and 10 h post treatment, and total cellular RNA was extracted to detect the residual mRNAs by real time qPCR. GAPDH mRNA was acted as internal control, because was stable within 32 h.

Ribonuclease protection assay. To detect the sense-antisense RNA duplex, ribonuclease protection assay (RPA) and RT-PCR were performed on the total RNA from C2C12 cells. This step was conducted according to the previous studies^{57,58} with a few changes. Sequence of oligonucleotides used for RPA was listed in Table S3. Cytoplasmic RNA was orderly digested by DNaseI (Fermentas) and RPA-grade RNaseA (Applied Biosystems) to remove all the genomic DNA contamination and single-strand RNAs. Then the residues, endogenous double-strand RNAs (dsRNA) were applied in the RT reaction catalyzed by the reverse transcriptase Superscript III (Invitrogen). The reaction system was incubated at 55 °C for 60 min and terminated at 75 °C for 10 min. Finally, the double-strand cDNA was amplified in $25 \,\mu$ l PCR reaction system. After 35-cycle amplification, the products were checked by electrophoresis on 1.5 % agarose gel with ethidium bromide staining.

Animal studies. C57B/L6 mice were housed in the animal facilities of Northwest A&F University under conventional conditions with constant temperature and humidity and fed a standard diet. All mice experiments were carried out in accordance with the protocol approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Northwest A&F University and the experimental protocol was performed in accordance with applicable guidelines and regulations. Intraperitoneal injection of adenoviral vectors was validated as an efficient gene manipulation tool for over-expressing recombinant proteins *in vivo*⁵¹. For treatment with adenovirus-mediated *Sirt1* AS *in vivo* according to our previous publication⁵², 2-month-old mice were injected with 500 µl adenovirus (Ad-*Sirt1* AS or Ad-EGFP) into the cavitas abdominalis every 10 days until 180 days of age. Whole body composition analysis was carried out per month using EchoMRI-100 (Houston, TX, USA). Mice were sacrificed and muscle and fat tissues were harvested on day 180, and total RNAs and proteins were extracted for real-time RT-PCR and western blotting analyses. Mice (4~6) were used in each group.

Frozen section and HE staining. For Frozen section and HE staining of mouse Quad, Sol, Gast, Ing sections were collected on day 180 for *Sirt1* AS lncRNA overexpression. Frozen section and HE staining were performed according to the recently published method⁵⁹. The sections were observed and taken through pictures with microscope (Olympus, New York, NY).

Statistical analysis. All experimental data were obtained through at least four independent experiments. Values were showed as means \pm SEM. Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism version 6 (GraphPad software, La Jolla). Student's *t* test is used for individual comparisons. Multiple comparisons are assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's tests. Difference between groups was considered statistically significant if P < 0.05.

References

- 1. Faghihi, M. A. & Wahlestedt, C. Regulatory roles of natural antisense transcripts. J. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 10, 637-643 (2009).
- Chen, Z. et al. miR-92b regulates Mef2 levels through a negative-feedback circuit during Drosophila muscle development. J. Development. 139, 3543-3552 (2012).
- Diao, Y. et al. Pax3/7BP is a Pax7- and Pax3-binding protein that regulates the proliferation of muscle precursor cells by an epigenetic mechanism. J. Cell Stem Cell. 11, 231–241 (2012).
- 4. Potthoff, M. J. & Olson, E. N. MEF2: a central regulator of diverse developmental programs. J. Development. 134, 4131-4140 (2007).
- 5. Luo, W., Nie, Q. & Zhang, X. MicroRNAs involved in skeletal muscle differentiation. J. J Genet Genomics. 40, 107–116 (2013).
- Neguembor, M. V., Jothi, M. & Gabellini, D. Long noncoding RNAs, emerging players in muscle differentiation and disease. J. Skelet Muscle. 4, 8 (2014).
- 7. Cech, T. R. & Steitz, J. A. The noncoding RNA revolution—trashing old rules to forge new ones. J. Cell. 157, 77–94 (2014).
- Lu, L. *et al.* Genome-wide survey by ChIP-seq reveals YY1 regulation of lincRNAs in skeletal myogenesis. J. Embo J. 32, 2575–2588 (2013).
- 9. Orom, U. A. & Shiekhattar, R. Noncoding RNAs and enhancers: complications of a long-distance relationship. J. Trends Genet. 27, 433–439 (2011).
- 10. Mousavi, K. *et al.* eRNAs promote transcription by establishing chromatin accessibility at defined genomic loci. *J. Mol Cell.* **51**, 606–617 (2013).
- 11. Cesana, M. *et al.* A long noncoding RNA controls muscle differentiation by functioning as a competing endogenous RNA. *J. Cell.* **147**, 358–369 (2011).
- 12. Tran, V. G. *et al.* H19 antisense RNA can up-regulate Igf2 transcription by activation of a novel promoter in mouse myoblasts. *J. PLoS One.* 7, e37923 (2012).
- Watts, R. et al. Myostatin-induced inhibition of the long noncoding RNA Malat1 is associated with decreased myogenesis. J. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 304, C995–1001 (2013).
- 14. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Activation of paternally expressed genes and perinatal death caused by deletion of the Gtl2 gene. *J. Development.* **137**, 2643–2652 (2010).
- 15. Kallen, A. N. et al. The imprinted H19 lncRNA antagonizes let-7 microRNAs. J. Mol Cell. 52, 101-112 (2013).
- Liz, J. et al. Regulation of pri-miRNA processing by a long noncoding RNA transcribed from an ultraconserved region. J. Mol Cell. 55, 138–147 (2014).
- 17. Wang, K.et al. MDRL lncRNA regulates the processing of miR-484 primary transcript by targeting miR-361. J. PLoS Genet, 10, e1004467 (2014).
- Wei, N. et al. PU.1 antisense lncRNA against its mRNA translation promotes adipogenesis in porcine preadipocytes. J. Animal genetics. 46, 133–140 (2015).
- 19. North, B. J. & Verdin, E. Sirtuins: Sir2-related NAD-dependent protein deacetylases. J. Genome Biol. 5, 224 (2004).
- 20. Pardo, P. S. & Boriek, A. M. The physiological roles of Sirt1 in skeletal muscle. J. Aging (Albany NY). 3, 430-437 (2011).
- Rathbone, C. R., Booth, F. W. & Lees, S. J. Sirt1 increases skeletal muscle precursor cell proliferation. J. Eur J Cell Biol. 88, 35–44 (2009).
- 22. Bhullar, K. S. & Hubbard, B. P. Lifespan and healthspan extension by resveratrol. J. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1852, 1209-1218 (2015).
- 23. Fulco, M. et al. Sir2 regulates skeletal muscle differentiation as a potential sensor of the redox state. J. Mol Cell. 12, 51-62 (2003).
- 24. Wang, Y. et al. Identification, stability and expression of Sirt1 antisense long non-coding RNA. J. Gene. 539, 117-124 (2014)
- Pang, W. J. et al. Knockdown of PU.1 AS lncRNA inhibits adipogenesis through enhancing PU.1 mRNA translation. J. J Cell Biochem. 114, 2500–2512 (2013).
- Wei, N. et al. Knockdown of PU.1 mRNA and AS lncRNA regulates expression of immune-related genes in zebrafish Danio rerio. J. Dev Comp Immunol. 44, 315–319 (2014).
- 27. Tarantino, C. *et al.* miRNA 34a, 100, and 137 modulate differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. *J. Faseb J.* 24, 3255–3263 (2010).
- 28. Aranha, M. M. et al. miR-34a regulates mouse neural stem cell differentiation. J. PLoS One. 6, e21396 (2011).
- Yamakuchi, M., Ferlito, M. & Lowenstein, C. J. miR-34a repression of SIRT1 regulates apoptosis. J. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105, 13421–13426 (2008).
- 30. Yamakuchi, M. MicroRNA Regulation of SIRT1. J. Front Physiol. 3, 68 (2012).
- Chang, T. C. et al. Transactivation of miR-34a by p53 broadly influences gene expression and promotes apoptosis. J. Mol Cell. 26, 745–752 (2007).
- Fulco, M. et al. Glucose restriction inhibits skeletal myoblast differentiation by activating SIRT1 through AMPK-mediated regulation of Nampt. J. Dev Cell. 14, 661–673 (2008).

- 33. Wang, L. et al. GATA-binding protein 4 (GATA-4) and T-cell acute leukemia 1 (TAL1) regulate myogenic differentiation and erythropoietin response via cross-talk with Sirtuin1 (Sirt1). J. J Biol Chem. 287, 30157–30169 (2012).
- Lee, D. & Goldberg, A. L. SIRT1 protein, by blocking the activities of transcription factors FoxO1 and FoxO3, inhibits muscleatrophy and promotes muscle growth. J. J Biol Chem. 288, 30515–30526 (2013).
- Forterre, A. et al. Myotube-derived exosomal miRNAs downregulate Sirtuin1 in myoblasts during muscle cell differentiation. J. Cell Cycle. 13, 78–89 (2014).
- Ljubicic, V. et al. Resveratrol induces expression of the slow, oxidative phenotype in mdx mouse muscle together with enhanced activity of the SIRT1-PGC-1α axis. J. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 307, C66–82 (2014).
- Hong, J. et al. Mitochondrial complex I deficiency enhances skeletal myogenesis but impairs insulin signaling through SIRT1 inactivation. J Biol Chem. 289, 20012–20025 (2014).
- Batista, P. J. & Chang, H. Y. Long noncoding RNAs: cellular address codes in development and disease. J. Cell. 152, 1298–1307 (2013).
- 39. van Heesch, S. *et al.* Extensive localization of long noncoding RNAs to the cytosol and mono- and polyribosomal complexes. *J. Genome Biol.* **15**, R6 (2014).
- 40. Pelechano, V. & Steinmetz, L. M. Gene regulation by antisense transcription. J. Nat Rev Genet. 14, 880-893 (2013).
- Ozsolak, F. *et al.* Comprehensive polyadenylation site maps in yeast and human reveal pervasive alternative polyadenylation. *J. Cell.* 143, 1018–1029 (2010).
- 42. Halley, P. *et al.* Regulation of the Apolipoprotein Gene Cluster by a Long Noncoding RNA. *J. Cell Reports.* **6**, 222–230 (2014).
- 43. Carrieri, C. *et al.* Long non-coding antisense RNA controls Uchl1 translation through an embedded SINEB2 repeat. *J. Nature.* **491**, 454–457 (2012).
- 44. Gong, C. & Maquat, L. E. IncRNAs transactivate STAU1-mediated mRNA decay by duplexing with 3' UTRs via Alu elements. J. Nature. 470, 284–288 (2011).
- 45. Kretz, M. et al. Control of somatic tissue differentiation by the long non-coding RNA TINCR. J. Nature. 493, 231-235 (2013).
- 46. Poliseno, L. *et al.* A coding-independent function of gene and pseudogene mRNAs regulates tumour biology. *J. Nature.* **465**, 1033–1038 (2010).
- 47. Cesana, M. *et al.* A long noncoding RNA controls muscle differentiation by functioning as a competing endogenous RNA. *J. Cell.* **147**, 358–369 (2011).
- Wang, Y. et al. Endogenous miRNA sponge lincRNA-RoR regulates Oct4, Nanog, and Sox2 in human embryonic stem cell selfrenewal. J. Dev Cell. 25, 69–80 (2013).
- 49. Hansen, T. B. *et al.* miRNA-dependent gene silencing involving Ago2-mediated cleavage of a circular antisense RNA. *J. Embo J.* **30**, 4414–4422 (2011).
- 50. Memczak, S. et al. Circular RNAs are a large class of animal RNAs with regulatory potency. J. Nature. 495, 333-338 (2013).
- Saimura, M. et al. Intraperitoneal injection of adenovirus-mediated NK4 gene suppresses peritoneal dissemination of pancreatic cancer cell line AsPC-1 in nude mice. J. Cancer Gene Ther. 9, 799–806 (2002).
- 52. Cheng, J. *et al.* Ectopic expression of RBP4 impairs the insulin pathway and inguinal fat deposition in mice. *J. J Physiol Biochem.* **70**, 479–486 (2014).
- Bai, L. et al. Modulation of Sirt1 by resveratrol and nicotinamide alters proliferation and differentiation of pig preadipocytes. J. Mol Cell Biochem. 307, 129–140 (2008).
- 54. Pang, W. J. et al. Lentivirus-mediated Sirt1 shRNA and resveratrol independently induce porcine preadipocyte apoptosis by canonical apoptotic pathway. J. Mol Biol Rep. 40, 129–139 (2013).
- Lu, L. et al. Genome-wide survey by ChIP-seq reveals YY1 regulation of lincRNAs in skeletal myogenesis. J. EMBO J. 32, 2575–2588 (2013).
- Wei, H. *et al.* microRNA-151-3p regulates slow muscle gene expression by targeting ATP2a2 in skeletal muscle cells. *J. J Cell Physiol.* 230, 1003–1012 (2015).
- Ogawa, Y., Sun, B. K. & Lee, J. T. Intersection of the RNA interference and X-inactivation pathways. J. Science. 320, 1336–1341 (2008).
- 58. Li, K. G. et al. A noncoding antisense RNA in tie-1 locus regulates tie-1 function in vivo. J. Blood. 115, 133–139 (2010).
- 59. Wang, G. Q. *et al.* Mulberry 1-Deoxynojirimycin Inhibits Adipogenesis by Repression of the ERK/PPARγ Signaling Pathway in Porcine Intramuscular Adipocytes. *J. J Agric Food Chem.* **63**, 6212–6220 (2015).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation (31572366 and U1201213), from the National Basic Research Programs of China (2015CB943102 and 2012CB124705), from the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (2015JM3096), and from NWAFU Basic Science Research Programme (2452015149).

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: W.J.P. Performed the experiments: G.Q.W., Y.W., Y.X., X.C.C., M.L.M., Y.G., Y.M.S. and R.C. Analyzed the data: G.Q.W., Y.W., Y.X., X.C.C. and W.J.P. Contributed reagents/materials/ analysis tools: W.J.P. and G.S.Y. Wrote the paper: G.Q.W., Y.W., Y.X., X.C.C. and W.J.P. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Wang, G.-q. *et al. Sirt1* AS lncRNA interacts with its mRNA to inhibit muscle formation by attenuating function of miR-34a. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 21865; doi: 10.1038/srep21865 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/