Evaluation of penile erection rigidity in healthy men using virtual touch tissue quantification

Xiaozhi Zheng, Ping Ji, Hongwei Mao, Jing Wu

Department of Ultrasound, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University (The First People's Hospital of Yancheng), 14 Yuehe Road, Yancheng 224006, Jiangsu Province, P.R. China.

Radiol Oncol 2012; 46(2): 114-118.

Received 1 August 2011 Accepted 19 December 2011

Correspondence to: Jing Wu, Department of Ultrasound, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University (The First People's Hospital of Yancheng), 14 Yuehe Road, Yancheng 224006, Jiangsu Province, P.R. China; Phone: +86 0515 88508717; Fax: +86 0515 88500299; E-mail: wujingzxz@163.com

Disclosure: No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Background. The aim of the study was to describe the shear wave velocity (SWV) values of the penis by virtual touch tissue quantification (VTTQ) and to examine the clinical usefulness of this procedure in evaluation of the rigidity changes in penile erection.

Patients and methods. VTTQ was performed in 37 healthy volunteers. In the course of erection, SWV values of glans penis, corpus penis and radix penis were quantified and grades of erection were documented. The SWV values at different grades of erection were compared.

Results. The axial and radial SWV values of glans penis, corpus penis and radix penis all significantly decreased from Grade 0 to Grade 4 of erection. At Grade 4, they were less than one-third of that at Grade 0 (axial direction: 0.79 ± 0.13 vs. 2.79 ± 0.32 for glans penis, P<0.001; 0.77 ± 0.19 vs. 2.84 ± 0.30 for corpus penis, P<0.001 and 0.76 ± 0.15 vs. 2.81 ± 0.34 for radix penis, P<0.001; radial direction: 0.82 ± 0.15 vs. 2.83 ± 0.31 for glans penis, P<0.001; 0.79 ± 0.18 vs. 2.81 ± 0.27 for corpus penis, P<0.001 and 0.81 ± 0.16 vs. 2.82 ± 0.33 for radix penis, P<0.001).

Conclusions. VTTQ can provide numerical measurements of penile rigidity and can effectively and sensitively indicate the axial and radial rigidity changes in penile erection, which provide a new approach to assessing the erectile function.

Key words: virtual touch tissue quantification; axial and radial rigidity; erection; shear wave velocity.

Introduction

Penile erection rigidity is one of the key factors for successful sexual intercourse, as well as an important index in the diagnosis and treatment of erectile dysfunction (ED). Ideally, the evaluation of ED should include the measurement of axial rigidity and radial rigidity. This requires special devices, such as RigiScan, Digital Inflexion Rigidometer. However, each device has its pros and cons, related to availability, convenience, validity and costs. For example, RigiScan is the most widely utilized device for measuring penile radial rigidity¹⁻⁵, but this device does not directly determine axial rigidity.^{3,6,7} On the contrast, Digital Inflexion Rigidometer is a useful device for measuring penile axial rigidity^{3,8,9}, but it does not directly determine radial rigidity. Complete erection rigidity assessment needs a combinatorial use of RigiScan and Digital Inflexion Rigidometer, which increased the cost and inconvenience. Alternative methods for penile erection rigidity assessment are needed.

Ultrasound is widely used for clinical imaging^{10,11}, and virtual touch tissue quantification (VTTQ) is a new, promising implementation of the ultrasound acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) imaging, which can effectively and objectively detect the tissue rigidity by measuring the shear wave velocity (SWV) values.¹²⁻¹⁴ Due to the non-invasive and easily accessible nature of VTTQ, this technology makes it possible to conduct a thoroughly evaluation of erectile rigidity at any portion and any direction in the penis without any discomfort and special preparation. Recently, VTTQ has been used to quantify the rigidity of the liver, kidneys, pancreas, spleen, prostate and breast.¹²⁻¹⁹

In our recent study, in order to provide a supplementary approach to assess the penile erection rigidity, we described the normal axial and radial SWV values of the penis by VTTQ and examined the clinical usefulness of this procedure in evaluation of the rigidity changes in penile erection.

Patients and methods

Study Population

Our study was approved by the local human research ethics committee and free informed consent was obtained from all the subjects. 37 healthy men with a mean age of 34.6 years (range: from 18 to 63 years) were recruited. All the subjects were evaluated by means of clinical and physical assessment, detailed sexual history, laboratory data (glucose, cholesterol, and triglycerides serum levels), and endocrine assays (testosterone, prolactin, follicular stimulation hormone, and luteinizing hormone), electrocardiogram, radiology, ultrasonographic (US) examinations and computer tomography. The inclusion criteria were: absence of any history of focal or diffuse disease at any of the examined organs. The subjects with risk factors for ED, such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, neurogenic injury to the spinal cord, and with psychogenic factors were excluded from the study.

Examination protocol

Two urologists with 10 years of experience in diagnosing and treating ED performed clinical assessments. Erectile response was induced by masturbation one hour after 100 mg sildenafil citrate (Pfizer, New York, NJ, USA) administration, and it was evaluated and judged by the urologist and subject at the same time. Penile erection was categorized into four grades using the following criteria.^{20,21} Grade 0 (G0), flaccid; Grade 1(G1), mild tumescence; Grade 2(G2), moderate tumescence but inadequate rigidity for vaginal penetration; Grade 3(G3), full tumescence with moderate rigidity allowing vaginal penetration with some difficulty; and Grade 4(G4), full tumescence and full rigidity allowing vaginal penetration without difficulty.



FIGURE 1. Shear wave velocity measurement in the penis with virtual touch tissue quantification during the erection (A and C: Grade 0; B and D: Grade 4) from the axial direction(A and B) and radial direction (C and D), respectively.

Α

 (\mathbf{B})

 (\mathbf{C})

(**D**)

(A)

 (\mathbf{B})

 \bigcirc

 (D)



FIGURE 2. Comparison of penile shear wave velocities among different grades of penile erection. A and B: axial direction; C and D: radial direction. Error bars indicate SD, and asterisks indicate significant differences (*P < 0.05).

All US examinations were performed by two radiologists with 15 years of experience in US examinations. A Siemens ACUSON S200 0 US system (Siemens, Germany) equipped with a linear array transducer (9L4) was used in this study. A mechanical index of 1.0 and tissue harmonic imaging of 8 MHz were chosen. VTTQ was performed with the preliminary identification of a target region of interest (ROI) (box with fixed dimension of 6×5mm) on a conventional US image. Then, an acoustic push pulse was transmitted immediately on the right side of the ROI where the SWVs were calculated and expressed with a numerical value (metre/ second, m/s) as a result of multiple measurements made for the same spatial location.

For the penis study, an optional cavernous body was chosen. Firstly, the long axis view was obtained. Three SWV measurements (anterior, center and posterior, *i.e.*, axial direction) at glans penis, corpus penis and radix penis in the course of erection were performed, respectively. Secondly, the short axis view was obtained. Three SWV measurements (left, center and right, *i.e.*, radial direction) at glans penis, corpus penis and radix penis in the course of erection were performed, respectively (Figure 1). Finally, the average SWV values of each portion were obtained.

Reproducibility

Intraobserver variability was assessed in 15 subjects by repeating the measurements on two occasions (7 days apart) under the same basal conditions. To test the interobserver variability, the measurements were performed on the same subject by a second observer who was blinded to the first observer's results. Variability was calculated as the mean percentage error, derived as the difference between the two sets of measurements, divided by the mean observations.

Statistical analysis and ethical consideration

Data were expressed as the mean \pm SD. Differences between the mean values of the two groups were analyzed by unpaired t tests. Differences were considered significant at p<0.05. SPSS version 13.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical analysis.

The study was carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Sites	Intraobserver variability(%)	Interobserver variability(%)
Axial direction		
Glans penis	2.2±1.3	2.6±1.5
Corpus penis	1.9±1.4	1.9±1.7
Radix penis	2.4±1.5	2.3±1.6
Radial direction		
Glans penis	2. 3±1. 1	2.5±1.4
Corpus penis	1.9±1.6	1.9±1.5
Radix penis	2.4±1.6	2.6±1.7

TABLE 1. Intraobserver and interobserver variability for shear wave velocity measurements.

Results

As shown in Figure 2, the axial and radial SWV values did not differ at the same portion, neither did they among the glans penis, corpus penis and radix penis at the same grades of erection. They all significantly decreased from Grade 0 to Grade 4 grades of erection. At Grade 4, they were less than one-third of that at Grade 0 (axial direction: 0.79 ± 0.13 vs. 2.79 ± 0.32 for glans penis, *P*<0.001; 0.77 ± 0.19 vs. 2.84 ± 0.30 for corpus penis, *P*<0.001 and 0.76 ± 0.15 vs. 2.81 ± 0.34 for radix penis, *P*<0.001; radial direction: 0.82 ± 0.15 vs. 2.81 ± 0.31 for glans penis, *P*<0.001; 0.79 ± 0.13 vs. 2.81 ± 0.34 for radix penis, *P*<0.001; and 0.81 ± 0.16 vs. 2.82 ± 0.33 for radix penis, *P*<0.001).

Intraobserver and interobserver variability for shear wave velocity measurements are shown in Table 1. They were all smaller than 5%.

Discussion

The results presented here indicate that VTTQ can provide numerical measurements of penile rigidity and can effectively and sensitively indicate the rigidity changes in penile erection, which provide a new approach to assessing the erectile function.

ARFI imaging is a new ultrasound imaging modality to evaluate the stiffness of tissues by shortduration acoustic radiation forces that produce localized displacements in a 'pushed' ROI,^{13,22,23} where shear waves (transverses wave propagating perpendicular to the direction of tissue displacement) are generated without the need for external compression.²⁴ The induced displacements are indicative of local tissue mechanical properties¹⁴, and the velocities of shear waves are proportional to tissue rigidity. Fundamentally, SWV is positively correlated with the major mechanical properties

indicating material rigidity assuming the material is a linear, isotropic, elastic body.²⁵ The stiffer the tissue, the faster the shear wave will be propagated. However, our study showed that the greater the grades of erection, the smaller the SWV values were measured, *i.e.*, the stiffer the penis, the slower the shear wave would be propagated. In our study, SWV was negatively correlated with penile rigidity. This finding can be explained by the fact that cavernous body of penis is not a linear, isotropic, elastic body and the penile erection is a multiple neurovascular event as follow²⁶: 1) nerve impulses cause the release of neurotransmitters and relaxing factors; 2) relaxation of smooth muscle in the arteries and arterioles supplies the erectile tissue; 3) several times increase in the blood flow to the penis; 4) relaxation of the trabecular smooth muscle increases the compliance of the sinusoids, facilitating rapid filling and expansion of the sinusoidal system; 5) venular subtunical plexes are compressed between the trabeculae and the tunica albuginea, resulting in almost total occlusion of venous outflow. In the process of penile tumescence, erectile tissue within the ROI gradually decreased and the blood flow within the ROI gradually increased. So the penile SWV values accordingly decreased from Grade 0 to Grade 4 of erection, because a low SWV value, even a "XXXX/0" value is always obtained from the fluids such as blood, water.¹³

In our study, axial rigidity and radial rigidity was separately assessed at the long axis view and the short axis view of the corpora cavernosa by measuring the SWV values. They did not differ at the same portion, neither did they among the glans penis, corpus penis and radix penis at the same grades of erection, because they share a common dependency upon intracavernosal pressure. As a surrogate measure of erection, both axial rigidity and radial rigidity assessed by the SWV values are the most accurate one.

Although VTTQ can potentially be an important quantitative tool for erectile function, there are some limits in the present study. For example, the specimens of subjects are limited. Not all subjects have a satisfactory erectile response to the induction of masturbation after sildenafil citrate administration. Semiguantitative clinical grading of an erection is not very accurate. In the further study, a comparison of VTTQ and RigiScan or Digital Inflexion Rigidometer for the evaluation of penile erection rigidity should be done. There are also some problems with the use of VTTQ for the penile erection rigidity assessment. The fixed box dimension (6×5mm) of the target ROI and the sensitivity to movement artifacts may become obstacles to the extensive application of this new technology.

Conclusion

This is the first study on the evaluation of penile erection rigidity using VTTQ. Our study indicates that VTTQ can simultaneously provide numerical measurements of penile axial rigidity and radial rigidity at a precise image-based anatomical location, and can effectively and sensitively indicate the rigidity changes in penile erection. Although several limitations mentioned above, this method still holds considerable clinical promise for the assessment of erectile function

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the technical assistance and helpful discussion of Zhou J Zhang YJ at the department of Urology, and Fan GX, Chen J at the department of ultrasound, The First People's Hospital of Yancheng, Jiangsu Province, P.R.China.

References

- Eardley I, Ellis P, Boolell M, Wulff M. Onset and duration of action of sildenafil citrate for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2002; 53: 61S-65S.
- Yaman O, Tokatli Z, Akand M, Elhan AH, Anafarta K. Characteristics of sildenafil erections in healthy young men. Asian J Androl 2005; 7: 395-8.
- Mizuno I, Komiya A, Watanabe A, Fuse H.Importance of axial penile rigidity in objective evaluation of erection quality in patients with erectile dysfunction--comparison with radial rigidity. Urol Int 2010; 84: 194-7.
- Yuan YM, Zhou S, Zhang K. Methods for evaluation of penile erection hardness. Zhonghua Nan Ke Xue 2010; 16: 642-5.
- Elhanbly S, Elkholy A, Elbayomy Y, Elsaid M, Abdel-gaber S. Nocturnal penile erections: the diagnostic value of tumescence and rigidity activity units Nocturnal penile erections. *Int J Impot Res* 2009; 21: 376-81.

- Ku JH, Song YS, Kim ME, Lee NK, Park YH. Is there a role of radial rigidity in the evaluation of erectile dysfunction? Int J Impot Res 2001; 13: 200-4.
- Allen RP, Smolev JK, Engel RM, Brendler CB. Comparison of Rigiscan and formal nocturnal penile tumescence testing in the evaluation of erectile rigidity. J Urol 1993; 149: 1265-8.
- Rosselló Barbará M. Digital inflection rigidometry in the study of erectile dysfunction. A new technique. Arch Esp Urol 1996; 49: 221-7.
- El-Sakka AI. Association between International Index of Erectile Function and axial penile rigidity in patients with erectile dysfunction. Int J Impot Res 2003; 15: 426-9.
- Kutlu R, Soylu A. Deep dorsal vein embolization with N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate and lipiodol mixture in venogenic erectile dysfunction: early and late results. *Radiol Oncol* 2009; 43: 17-25.
- Rados M, Sunjara V, Sjekavica I, Padovan RS. Post-traumatic high-flow priapism treated by endovascular embolization using N-butyl-cyanoacrylate. *Radiol Oncol* 2010; 44: 103-6.
- Zheng XZ, Ji P, Mao HW, Zhang XY, Xia EH, Gu X, et al. A novel approach to assessing the changes in prostatic stiffness with age using virtual touch tissue quantification. J Ultrasound Med 2011; 30: 387-90.
- Gallotti A, D'Onofrio M, Pozzi Mucelli R. Acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) technique in ultrasound with virtual touch tissue quantification of the upper abdomen. *Radiol Med* 2010; 115: 889-97.
- Osaki A, Kubota T, Suda T, Igarashi M, Nagasaki K, Tsuchiya A, et al. Shear wave velocity is a useful marker for managing nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2010; 16: 2918-25.
- D'Onofrio M, Gallotti A, Mucelli RP. Tissue quantification with acoustic radiation force impulse imaging: Measurement repeatability and normal values in the healthy liver. Am J Roentgenol 2010; 195:132-6.
- D'Onofrio M, Gallotti A, Salvia R, Capelli P, Mucelli RP. Acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) ultrasound imaging of pancreatic cystic lesions. *Eur J Radiol* 2011; 80: 241-4.
- Clevert DA, Stock K, Klein B, Slotta-Huspenina J, Prantl L, Heemann U, et al. Evaluation of Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse (ARFI) imaging and contrastenhanced ultrasound in renal tumors of unknown etiology in comparison to histological findings. *Clin Hemorheol Microcirc* 2009; 43: 95-107.
- Meng W, Zhang G, Wu C, Wu G, Song Y, Lu Z.Preliminary Results of Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse (ARFI) Ultrasound Imaging of Breast Lesions. Ultrasound Med Bio. 2011; 37: 1436-43.
- Zheng XZ, Ji P, Mao HW, Hu JQ. A comparison of virtual touch tissue quantification and digital rectal examination for discrimination between prostate cancer and benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Radiol Oncol* 2012; 46: 69-74.
- Huang ST, Hsieh ML. Different hemodynamic responses by color Doppler ultrasonography studies between sildenafil non-responders and responders. Asian J Androl 2007; 9: 129-33.
- 21. Gontero P, Sriprasad S, Wilkins CJ, Donaldson N, Muir GH, Sidhu PS. Phentolamine re-dosing during penile dynamic colour Doppler ultrasound: a practical method to abolish a false diagnosis of venous leakage in patients with erectile dysfunction. *Br J Radiol* 2004; 77: 922-6.
- Nightingale K, Soo MS, Nightingale R, Trahey G. Acoustic radiation force impulse imaging: in vivo demonstration of clinical feasibility. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2002; 28: 227-35.
- D'Onofrio M, Gallotti A, Martone E, Pozzi Mucelli R. Solid appearance of pancreatic serous cystadenoma diagnosed as cystic at ultrasound acoustic radiation force impulse imaging. JOP 2009; 10: 543-6.
- Nightingale K, Bentley R, Trahey G. Observations of tissue response to acoustic radiation force: opportunities for imaging. *Ultrason Imaging* 2002; 24: 129-38.
- Palmeri ML, Sharma AC, Bouchard RR, Nightingale RW, Nightingale KR. A finite-element method model of soft tissue response to impulsive acoustic radiation force. *IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control* 2005; 52: 1699-712.
- Martínez-Salamanca JI, Martínez-Ballesteros C, Portillo L, Gabancho S, Moncada I, Carballido J. Physiology of erection. Arch Esp Urol 2010; 63: 581-8.