Research Article

Effects of Roflumilast on Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Treated with Inhaled Corticosteroid/Long-Acting β 2 Agonist: A Meta-analysis

Shasha Zeng^(b),¹ Haibing Bai^(b),¹ and Mi Zou^(b)²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Chongqing Nanan Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Chongqing 400060, China ²Respiratory Department, The First Branch of the First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400015, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Mi Zou; zoumi222@163.com

Received 26 April 2022; Revised 23 June 2022; Accepted 27 June 2022; Published 23 July 2022

Academic Editor: Tao Huang

Copyright © 2022 Shasha Zeng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Objective. Roflumilast is a novel therapeutic drug for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). This study was designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of roflumilast combining inhaled corticosteroid (ICS)/long-acting β^2 agonist (LABA) in treating COPD patients through the meta-analysis. *Methods.* Randomized controlled trials of roflumilast combining ICS/LABA in treating patients with severe and profound COPD were searched from PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Embase databases from their establishment to February 2022. The quality of included studies was assessed by Cochrane risk bias assessment tool. The main outcomes of these studies should include at least one of the following clinical outcome indicators: forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), exacerbation rate, and adverse events (AEs) such as diarrhea, nasopharyngitis, and headache. *Results.* Six articles were included in the study, including 9,715 patients. Meta-analysis revealed that compared with placebo, roflumilast gained superiority for severe COPD patients treated with ICS/LABA combinations in FEV₁ before bronchodilator administration (MD = 46.62, 95% CI (30.69, 62.55), *P* < 0.00001), FEV₁ after bronchodilator administration (MD = 45.62, 95% CI (30.69, 62.55), *P* < 0.00001), FEV₁ after bronchodilator administration (MD = 45.62, 95% CI (30.69, 62.55), *P* < 0.00001), FEV₁ after bronchodilator administration (MD = 45.62, 95% CI (30.69, 62.55), *P* < 0.00001), FEV₁ after bronchodilator administration (MD = 45.62, 95% CI (30.69, 62.55), *P* < 0.00001), FEV₁ after bronchodilator administration (MD = 45.62, 95% CI (30.69, 62.55), *P* < 0.00001), FEV₁ after bronchodilator administration (MD = 45.62, 95% CI (30.69, 62.55), *P* < 0.00001), FEV₁ after bronchodilator administration (MD = 45.62, 95% CI (30.69, 62.55), *P* < 0.00001), FEV₁ after bronchodilator administration (MD = 45.62, 95% CI (30.69, 62.55), *P* < 0.00001), FEV₁ after bronchodilator administration (MD = 45.62, 95% CI (30.69, 62.55), *P* < 0.00001),

1. Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a chronic respiratory disease with a high risk of death, and its incidence is high among smokers, which gradually increases with age [1]. The obstruction often occurs in small airways with diameter < 2 mm in COPD patients, resulting in airflow limitation. After bronchodilator administration, the decrease of patient's forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) can reflect airflow limitation and lung functions, which can be utilized to diagnose the disease severity of COPD patients [2, 3]. Increased airflow limitation in COPD patients may increase the exacerbation risk of symptoms, and this limitation possesses incomplete reversibility, whereas bronchodilators relax airway smooth muscle and increase tension, making it relatively reversible [4]. Furthermore, inflammation in the lungs or the whole body would have occurred in patients with COPD exacerbation, while inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) can reduce the exacerbation rate of COPD by arresting inflammation [5]. A clinical trial revealed that ICS combining dual bronchodilators is effective for patients with severe COPD at high exacerbation risk [6]. However, the treatment of COPD has been gradually relying on the combination therapy of ICS and long-acting bronchodilators in recent years, which may have safety risks or limit the disease control and prevention management of COPD [7]. Therefore, drugs that are more suitable for treating severe COPD or that can be used in combination with ICS to increase the treatment efficiency are continuously required.

Roflumilast is a phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitors (PDE4), which has anti-inflammatory effects and can inhibit the release of inflammatory mediators, thus advantageously treating the respiratory diseases, such as pulmonary inflammation complicated by asthma and COPD [8]. In terms of treating severe to profound COPD, roflumilast could attenuate frequent exacerbation of symptoms in patients, thus enabling them enter a stable period and reducing the history of exacerbation and the times of hospitalization [9, 10]. Meanwhile, roflumilast is well tolerated and has a favorable affinity with phosphodiesterase 4, which could effectively ameliorate lung functions of patients with severe to profound COPD [8, 11]. Nowadays, roflumilast generally functions as an adjunct to combination therapy of ICS and longacting bronchodilators to further improve the drug efficacy in patients with severe to profound COPD. Long-acting beta2-agonists (LABAs) and long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMAs) are the widely used bronchodilators in combination therapies for severe COPD [12]. Roflumilast combining LABA/LAMA was pointed out to ameliorate lung functions of COPD patients, which is more effective than those treated with LABA or LAMA alone. Besides, roflumilast combining ICS/LABA or ICS/LABA/LAMA can effectively reduce the exacerbation rate of COPD [10, 13].

Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis to comprehensively evaluate the efficacy and safety of roflumilast combining ICS/LABA or ICS/LABA/LAMA in patients with severe COPD.

2. Methods

2.1. Literature Retrieval. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of roflumilast combining ICS/LABA in COPD patients were retrieved from PubMed, Cochrane library, and Embase databases. All related English literature was researched from the establishment of databases to February 2020, whose keywords consisted of "roflumilast", "inhaled corticosteroid", "long-acting $\beta 2$ agonist", and "chronic obstructive pulmonary disease".

2.2. Selection of Literature

2.2.1. Inclusion Criteria. (1) Subjects: patients diagnosed with severe COPD by histopathological examination. At the same time, their spirometry showed airflow obstruction (after passing bronchodilator, forced expiratory volume in one second and forced vital capacity (FEV_1/FVC) < 0.70); (2) study type: phase III/IV RCTs; (3) interventions: combination treatment of roflumilast and ICS/LABA for COPD; (4) control group: combination treatment of placebo and ICS/LABA for COPD; and (5) outcome indicators: the following descriptions were included: FEV_1 , exacerbation rate, and AEs (such as diarrhea, nasopharyngitis, and headache).

2.2.2. Exclusion Criteria. (1) The intervention contained only ICS or LABA, or the control drug was not a placebo; (2) animal experiments; (3) non-English literature, repeatedly pub-

lished literature, or guidelines, review, case analysis, expert experience, meeting records, technical reports, and editorials; (4) literature with inconsistent data or could not be extracted; and (5) therapeutic drugs mentioned in the literature were not approved by the drug administration.

2.3. Data Extraction and Quality Assessment. Two investigators extracted the data independently, and a third investigator mended their divergences. These data, including author, year of publication, trial stage, sample size, interventions, FEV_1 , exacerbation rate, and AEs, were extracted from the trials. Quality assessment of the included studies was performed employing Cochrane bias risk assessment tool. This scale mainly evaluated the bias risk with 7 items in 6 aspects, including random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blind evaluation of investigators and subjects, blind evaluation of outcomes, integration of outcome data, reporting bias, and other obvious biases. The results of "low-risk bias," "high-risk bias," and "unclear" were obtained.

2.4. Statistical Methods. The Review Manager 5.4 software was applied for meta-analysis. Risk ratio (RR) served as the effect index for the count (dichotomous) data, and mean difference (MD) was utilized as the effect index for measurement (continuous variable) data, with point estimate values and 95% confidence intervals (CI) given for each effect size. The heterogeneity among results was determined by chi-square test, and the size of heterogeneity was quantitatively determined by combining I^2 . $I^2 \leq 50\%$ and $P \geq 0.1$ were considered to indicate no statistical heterogeneity among studies, and a fixed-effect model was carried out. Otherwise, heterogeneity was considered, and a random-effect model was applied for meta-analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Literature Retrieval Results. 136 studies were discarded in the 146 preliminarily searched literature by browsing titles and abstracts (guidelines, review, case analysis, expert experience, meeting records, technical reports, editorials, and republications). Among the 10 remaining literature, 4 were excluded after a full-text review, and other 6 studies [10, 14–18] that met the criteria were included. Figure 1 displays the literature screening process.

3.2. Basic Features and Quality Assessments of the Included Literature. Finally, 9,715 COPD cases were enrolled in the 6 included literature. Among them, 5,045 patients were treated with roflumilast combining ICS/LABA, and 4,670 patients were treated with placebo combining ICS/LABA. In 3 involved literatures [15–17], some patients were dosed with short-acting $\beta 2$ receptor agonist (SABAs) during the trial according to the actual situation. In all included treatment methods, patients were treated with or without LAMAs in line with their actual situation. The basic features of the included studies are indicated in Table 1. Cochrane bias risk assessment revealed that, except the large bias caused by the withdrawal of more cases from the trial due to adverse reactions in the experimental group than in the control group, other kinds of bias risk were at a low level.



FIGURE 1: Flow chart of literature screening process.

		No. of pa	tients	Male n	(%)	Age mean	n (SD)	COPD severity, n (%)				
Author (year)	Phase	rio. of patients				rige, mean (0D)		Sever	e	Very severe		
		Roflumilast	Placebo	Roflumilast	Placebo	Roflumilast	Placebo	Roflumilast	Placebo	Roflumilast	Placebo	
Calverley PM (2007)	IV	1,178	1,174	821 (70)	794 (68)	64 (8.8)	65 (8.4)	508 (67)	510 (68)	181 (24)	176 (23)	
Calverley PM (2009)	IV	973	972	718 (74)	725 (75)	65 (8.4)	65 (8.4)	943 (61)	989 (64)	463 (30)	440 (28)	
Rennard SI (2011)	IV	30	11	NR	NR	64 (7.4)	70 (6.8)	356 (63)	399 (66)	148 (26)	169 (28)	
De Backer W (2014)	III	567	606	387 (68)	400 (66)	64 (8.7)	64 (8.8)	NR	NR	NR	NR	
Martinez FJ (2015)	III	1,537	1,154	1,150 (75)	1,186 (76)	64 (9.0)	64 (9.0)	678 (70)	677 (70)	291 (30)	273 (28)	
Martinez FJ (2016)	III	760	753	571 (75)	574 (76)	65 (9.6)	64 (9.1)	698 (59)	720 (61)	474 (40)	446 (38)	

TABLE 1: Primary characteristics of the eligible studies in more detail.

NR: no report.

The overall quality of the included literature was relatively high, as represented in Figures 2(a) and 2(b).

3.3. Meta-analysis Results

3.3.1. Changes in FEV_1 before Bronchodilator Administration Relative to Baseline. Four studies were included. FEV_1 was evidently increased in the roflumilast group before bronchodilator administration, whereas FEV_1 decrease was observed in the placebo group. Meta-analysis of the random-effect model illustrated that the difference between experimental group and control group was significant when $\alpha = 0.05$ (MD = 46.62, 95% CI (30.69, 62.55), P < 0.00001), as shown in Figure 3.

3.3.2. Changes in FEV_1 after Bronchodilator Administration Relative to Baseline. Four studies were included. FEV_1 was notably increased in the roflumilast group after bronchodilator administration, whereas FEV_1 decrease was observed in



FIGURE 2: The quality assessment of the included literature: (a) overall bias risk; (b) bias risk for each RCT.

	Roflumilast			Placebo				Mean difference	Ν		Mean di	Iean difference		
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, random, 95% CI	Year		IV, randor	n, 95% CI		
Calverley 2007	9	11	760	-27	11	753	40.5%	36.00 [34.89, 37.11]	2007			-		
Calverley 2009	40	229	1457	-9	194	1511	29.6%	49.00 [33.70, 64.30]	2009				_	
Rennard 2011	30	182	545	-12	178	596	23.9%	42.00 [21.08, 62.92]	2011				_	
Backer 2014	66	120	30	-59	71	11	6.0%	125.00 [64.96, 185.04]	2014				>	
Total (95% CI)			2792			2871	100.0%	46.62 [30.69, 62.55]						
Heterogeneity: Tau ²	= 162.6	9; Chi	$i^2 = 11.4$	49, df =	3 (P	= 0.009); $I^2 = 74\%$	6	L		1	l .		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.73$ ($P < 0.00001$)										0 -	-50	0 50	100	
										Favours	[placebo]	Favours [ro	flumilast]	

FIGURE 3: Comparison of FEV₁ changes before bronchodilator administration between the roflumilast group and placebo group.

the placebo group. Meta-analysis of the random-effect model illustrated that the difference between experimental group and control group was significant when $\alpha = 0.05$ (MD = 45.62, 95% CI (34.95, 56.28), *P* < 0.00001), as exhibited in Figure 4.

3.3.3. COPD Exacerbation. Three studies were eventually included for exploring COPD exacerbation. The results of meta-analysis demonstrated that COPD exacerbation rate

in the roflumilast group was remarkably lower than that in the placebo group, and the difference was statistically significant (RR = 0.90, 95% CI (0.87, 0.94), P = 0.001), as displayed in Figure 5.

3.3.4. Adverse Reactions. Among the adverse reactions, each of diarrhea, headache, nausea, and nasopharyngitis was included in 4 literatures. Each of weight loss, appetite loss, insomnia, back pain, influenza, pneumonia, hypertension,

	Roflumilast			Placebo				Mean difference	Mean difference					
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, random, 95% CI	Year	IV	, randon	n, 95% CI		
Calverley 2007	12	11	760	-26	11	753	43.2%	38.00 [36.89, 39.11]	2007					
Calverley 2009	50	229	1453	-4	232	1500	21.1%	54.00 [37.37, 70.63]	2009				-	
Rennard 2011	26	182	543	-16	182	592	15.6%	42.00 [20.37, 63.63]	2011					
Martinez 2015	52	195	928	-4	190	941	20.1%	56.00 [38.54, 73.46]	2015				-	
Total (95% CI)			3684			3786	100.0%	45.62 [34.95, 56.28]				-		
Heterogeneity: Tau	$^{2} = 68.1$	8; Ch	$i^2 = 7.7$	'0, df =	3 (P	= 0.05);	$I^2=61\%$			1			1	
Test for overall effe	ct: $Z = 3$	8.38 (P < 0.0	0001)					-100) -50	0	5	0	100
				,						Favours [placeb	00]	Favours [1	roflumil	ast]

FIGURE 4: Comparison of FEV₁ changes after bronchodilator administration between the roflumilast group and placebo group.

	Roflumilast		Placebo)	TAT 1 1 .	Risk ratio		Risk ratio			
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, random, 95% CI	Year	M-H, random, 95% CI			
Calverley 2007	651	760	691	753	48.8%	0.93 [0.90, 0.97]	2007	-			
Rennard 2011	337	567	419	606	27.4%	0.86 [0.79, 0.94]	2011				
Martinez 2015	380	810	432	823	23.8%	0.89 [0.81, 0.99]	2015				
								•			
Total (95% CI)		2137		2182	100.0%	0.90 [0.85, 0.96]		•			
Total events	1367		1542								
Heterogeneity: Tau ²	= 0.00; C	$hi^2 = 4.5$	57, df = 2 (H	P = 0.10);	$I^2 = 56\%$			0.7 0.85 1 1.2 1.5			
Test for overall effect	t: $Z = 3.22$	Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]									

FIGURE 5: Comparison of COPD exacerbation rate between the roflumilast group and placebo group.

and death was included in 3 literature, and upper respiratory tract infection was included in 2 literatures. Meta-analysis of the fixed-effect model confirmed that the incidence of diarrhea (RR = 2.95, 95% CI (2.45, 3.56), P < 0.00001), headache (RR = 1.95, 95% CI (2.01, 3.30), P < 0.00001), nausea (RR = 2.58, 95% CI (2.01, 3.30), P < 0.00001), weight loss (RR = 3.41, 95% CI (2.74, 4.24), P < 0.00001), appetite loss (RR = 5.01, 95% CI (3.18, 7.90), P < 0.00001), insomnia (RR = 2.17, 95% CI (1.58, 2.96), P < 0.00001), and back pain (RR = 1.45, 95% CI (1.09, 1.94), P = 0.01) was markedly higher than that in the placebo group, and the differences were statistically significant, as presented in Figure 6.

As indicated in Figure 7, there was no statistically significant difference in incidence of nasopharyngitis (RR = 0.93, 95% CI (0.78, 1.10), P = 0.39), influenza (RR = 1.08, 95% CI (0.83, 1.41), P = 0.58), pneumonia (RR = 1.02, 95% CI (0.82, 1.28), P = 0.85), upper respiratory tract infection (RR = 0.88, 95% CI (0.68, 1.12), P = 0.30), hypertension (RR = 0.81, 95% CI (0.62, 1.05), P = 0.12), and death (RR = 1.05, 95% CI (0.78, 1.41), P = 0.74) between the roflumilast group and placebo group.

4. Discussion

COPD exacerbation is an acute event characterized by worsening of respiratory symptoms, which requires alterations of drug therapy and/or hospitalization [19]. Therefore, drug therapy for COPD is designed to relieve symptoms and reduce the risk of AEs such as exacerbation, disease progression, and death [20]. The combination of ICS/LABA has been shown to reduce acute exacerbations of COPD and is often the preferred treatment for COPD [21, 22]. However, acute exacerbations of COPD are usually associated with high level of inflammation in the body [23]. Roflumilast can reduce the levels of inflammatory markers in the airway of COPD patients and is approved for long-term treatment in combination with ICS and long-acting bronchodilators of patients at high risk of acute exacerbation [24]. As roflumilast is recommended as an adjunct agent for patients with severe COPD, its combination with ICS/LABA effectively decreases the exacerbation risk in patients with severe COPD [25]. This study evaluated the efficacy and safety of roflumilast in patients with moderate to severe COPD using RCT data in combination with inhaled ICS/LABA.

The results suggested that compared with placebo, roflumilast remarkably ameliorated lung functions (as measured with FEV₁ before and after bronchodilator administration) and reduced the incidence of COPD exacerbation in patients with moderate to severe COPD. FEV₁ improvement plays a crucial role in determining the efficacy of new drugs for COPD [20]. In one included study, the end-point values of FEV₁ before and after bronchodilator administration are higher in the roflumilast group than the baseline level, while FEV₁ reduction was observed in the placebo group, which is in line with previous studies [26].

Heterogeneity is attributed to the differential definition of COPD exacerbation. In 3 literatures including this index, moderate to severe exacerbation is defined as the need for oral or parenteral glucocorticoid therapy (with or without antibiotics) and being hospitalized, or dead, or both. As a

0.1.1	Placebo	,	*** • 1 •	Risk ratio	Risk ratio				
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95% CI	Year	M-H, fixed, 95% CI	
Diarrhea									
Calverley 2007	71	760	20	753	3.4%	3.52 [2.16, 5.72]	2007		
Calverley 2009	130	1547	49	1565	8.2%	2.68 [1.95, 3.70]	2009		
Martinez 2015	99	968	35	967	5.9%	2.83 [1.94, 4.11]	2015		
Martinez 2016	119	1178	38	1174	6.4%	3.12 [2.19, 4.46]	2016	◆	
Total events	419	4455	142	4459	24.0%	2.95 [2.45, 5.56]			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 0.98. df =	3(P = 0.8)	I^{12} (1): $I^2 = 0$	6					
Test for overall effect	: Z = 11.43	(P < 0.000)	001)						
Headache									
Calverley 2007	47	760	18	753	3.1%	2.59 [1.52, 4.41]	2007		
Calverley 2009	51	1547	25	1565	4.2%	2.06 [1.29, 3.31]	2009		
Martinez 2015	40	968	21	967	3.6%	1.90 [1.13, 3.20]	2015		
Martinez 2016	80	1178	48	1174	8.1%	1.66 [1.17, 2.35]	2016	•	
Subtotal (95% CI)	210	4453	112	4459	18.9%	1.95 [1.56, 2.43]			
Heterogeneity: Chi ²	- 1 05 df -	3(P - 0.5)	112 (8), $I^2 = 00$	6					
Test for overall effect	$\cdot Z = 5.83$ (i)	P < 0.0000	(0), 1 = 0	0					
Names	. 2 – 5.65 (1	1 10.0000	51)						
Nausea Calverley 2007	38	760	10	753	1 7%	3 77 [1 89 7 50]	2007		
Calverley 2007	62	1547	30	1565	5.0%	2.09 [1.36, 3.21]	2007		
Martinez 2015	55	968	15	967	2.5%	3.66 [2.08, 6.44]	2015		
Martinez 2016	64	1178	30	1174	5.1%	2.13 [1.39, 3.26]	2016		
Subtotal (95% CI)		4453		4459	14.4%	2.58 [2.01, 3.30]		-	
Total events	219		85						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 4.35, df =	3(P = 0.2)	$(3); I^2 = 31$	%					
Test for overall effect	Z = 7.52 (1	P < 0.0000)1)						
Weight loss									
Calverley 2009	157	1547	44	1565	7.4%	3.61 [2.60, 5.01]	2009		
Martinez 2015	88	968	27	967	4.6%	3.26 [2.14, 4.96]	2015		
Subtotal (95% CI)	91	3603	28	3706	4.7%	3.24 [2.14, 4.91]	2016	•	
Total events	336	3093	99	3700	10.7 %	5.41 [2.74, 4.24]			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 0.22, df =	2(P = 0.9)	$(00); I^2 = 0$	6					
Test for overall effect	: Z = 10.95	(P < 0.000	001)						
Decreased appetite									
Calverley 2009	36	1547	7	1565	1.2%	5.20 [2.32, 11.66]	2009		
Martinez 2015	36	968	5	967	0.8%	7.19 [2.83, 18.25]	2015		
Martinez 2016	38	1178	10	1174	1.7%	3.79 [1.90, 7.56]	2016		
Subtotal (95% CI)		3693		3706	3.7%	5.01 [3.18, 7.90]			
Total events	110		22						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 1.22, df = 7	2(P = 0.5)	$(54); I^2 = 0)$	6					
l est for overall effect	: Z = 6.94 (I	P < 0.0000)1)						
Insomnia							2000		
Calverley 2009	37	1547	20	1565	3.4%	1.87 [1.09, 3.21]	2009		
Martinez 2015	29	968 1178	15	967	2.5%	1.93 [1.04, 3.58]	2015		
Subtotal (95% CI)	55	3693	21	3706	9.5%	2.17 [1.58, 2.96]	2010	-	
Total events	121	0000	56	0700	51070	2117 [1100, 2170]			
Heterogeneity: Chi2 =	= 96, df = 2	(P = 0.62)); $I^2 = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect	: Z = 4.83 (i	P < 0.0000	01)						
Back pain									
Calverley 2009	50	1547	35	1565	5.9%	1.45 [0.94, 2.21]	2009		
Martinez 2015	27	968	14	967	2.4%	1.93 [1.02, 3.65]	2015		
Martinez 2016	33	1178	21	1174	4.6%	1.22 [0.74, 2.01]	2016		
Subtotal (95% CI)	110	3693	-	3706	12.8%	1.45 [1.09, 1.94]		-	
1 otal events	110 - 1 22 Af	2(D - 0)	$\frac{76}{12} = 00$	6					
Test for overall effect	- 1.22, ai = : Z = 2.54 (i	2(r = 0.3) P = 0.01)	(-1); 1 = 0	U					
					105				
Total (95% CI)	410	28131	1.42	28201	100.0%	2.59 [2.36, 2.85]		•	
1 otal events	419 - 40 51 df	- 23 (D-	142 0.001), 12	- 5404			_		
Test for overall effect	$- \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 20.06$	- 23 (P = 1 (P < 0.00)	0.001); 1~ :)01)	- 3470			0.2		
Test for subgroup dif	ferences: C	$hi^2 = 38.8$	3, df = 6 (P < 0.000	01); $I^2 = 84$	1.5%	0.2		
		2.2.10	(,, 0		Favours	(rotlumilast) Favours (placebo)	

FIGURE 6: Comparison of incidence of adverse reactions between the roflumilast group and placebo group.

	Roflumila	st	Placebo)		Risk ratio		Risk ratio
Study or subgroup	Francis	T-6-1	Francis	, T. (.)	Weight	M H fixed 05% CI	37	
	Events	Total	Events	Total		M-H, fixed, 95% CI	Year	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl
Nasopharyngitis								
Calverley 2007	53	760	56	753	6.7%	0.94 [0.65, 1.35]	2007	
Calverley 2009	92	1547	97	1565	11.5%	0.96 [0.73, 1.27]	2009	
Martinez 2015	52	968	52	967	6.2%	1.00 [0.69, 1.45]	2015	
Martinez 2016	47	1178	58	1174	6.9%	0.81 [0.55, 1.18]	2016	
Subtotal (95% CI)		4453		4459	31.4%	0.93 [0.78, 1.10]		•
Total events	244		263					
Heterogeneity: Chi2 =	= 0.73, df =	3(P = 0.8)	$(37); I^2 = 0^6$	%				
Test for overall effect	: Z = 0.85 (P = 0.39						
Influenza								
Calverley 2007	40	760	34	753	4.1%	1.17 [0.75, 1.82]	2007	
Calverley 2009	39	1547	38	1565	4.5%	1.04 [0.67, 1.61]	2009	
Martinez 2015	31	1178	30	1174	3.6%	1.03 [0.63, 1.69]	2016	
Subtotal (95% CI)		3485		3492	12.2%	1.08 [0.83, 1.41]		-
Total events	110		102					
Heterogeneity: Chi2 =	= 0.18, df =	2(P = 0.9)	$(91); I^2 = 0^6$	%				
Test for overall effect	: Z = 0.56 (P = 0.58)						
Pneumonia								
Coloradore 2000	42	1547	21	1565	2 70/	1 27 [0 97 2 17]	2000	
Calverley 2009	42	1547	51	1505	5.7%	1.57 [0.87, 2.17]	2009	
Martinez 2015	39	968	45	967	5.4%	0.87 [0.57, 1.32]	2015	
Martinez 2016	66	11/8	68	11/4	8.1%	0.97 [0.70, 1.34]	2016	
Subtotal (95% CI)		3693		3706	17.2%	1.02 [0.82, 1.28]		
Total events	147		144					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect	= 2.28, df = : Z = 0.19 (2 (P = 0.3) P = 0.85)	$(32); I^2 = 12$	2%				
Upper respiratory								
tract infection								
Calverley 2009	49	1547	59	1565	7.0%	0.84 [0.58, 1.22]	2009	e
Martinez 2016	60	1178	66	1174	7.0%	0.01 [0.64, 1.27]	2005	_
Subtotal (95% CI)	00	2725	00	2730	1/ 0%	0.91 [0.04, 1.27]	2010	
Total grants	100	2723	125	2139	14.970	0.00 [0.00, 1.12]		-
I otal events	109	1 (D 0)	125	7/				
Test for overall effect	= 0.99, df = : Z = 1.04 (P = 0.30	$(7); 1^2 = 0^{-1}$	%				
Hypertension								
Calverley 2009	38	1547	48	1565	5.7%	0.80 [0.53, 1.22]	2009	
Martinez 2015	24	968	27	967	3.2%	0.89 [0.52, 1.53]	2015	
Martinez 2016	33	1178	43	1174	5.2%	0.76 [0.49, 1.20]	2016	
Subtotal (95% CI)		3693		3706	14.1%	0.81 [0.62, 1.05]		•
Total events	95		118					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 0.18, df =	2(P = 0.9)	$(92); I^2 = 0$	%				
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.57 (P = 0.12)						
Deaths								
Calverley 2000	42	1547	12	1565	5 004	1 01 [0 66 1 54]	2009	
Martinez 2005	17	060	19	067	2 20%	0.04 [0.40, 1.34]	2005	
Martinez 2015	20	1170	10	1174	2.2%	0.74 [0.47, 1.62]	2015	
widrunez 2016	30	11/8	25	11/4	3.0%	1.20 [0.71, 2.02]	2010	—
Subtotal (95% CI)		3093		3706	10.1%	1.05 [0.78, 1.41]		
I otal events	89	a (D ;	85					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect	= 0.37, dt = : Z = 0.34 (2 (P = 0.8) (P = 0.74)	$(55); 1^2 = 0^6$	%				
T-+-1 (050/ CT)		21742		21000	100.00/	0.05 [0.06, 1.05]		
Total (95% CI)	704	21/42	027	21808	100.0%	0.95 [0.86, 1.05]		•
1 otal events	794	1	83/	V				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 7.43, df =	17 (P = 0)	.98); 1-=09	%				

FIGURE 7: Comparison of incidence of adverse reactions between the roflumilast group and placebo group (no difference).

0.2

0.5

Favours [roflumilast]

previous study described, roflumilast and ICS may reduce inflammation through different mechanisms. The combination of these agents may be cumulative or synergistic, and the utilization of their combination may provide clinical benefits beyond those achieved by single ICS, single PDE4 inhibitor, or ICS/LABA combination therapy [27]. Hajian et al. [25] suggested that roflumilast may enhance the effi-

Test for overall effect: Z = 1.05 (P = 0.30)

Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2 = 3.65$, df = 5 (P = 0.60); $I^2 = 0\%$

cacy of ICS/LABA by reducing inflammation and edema via opening smaller airways or preventing airway collapse, thereby reducing regional overinflation. In a receiving appropriate combination therapy (REACT) trial, roflumilast in combination with ICS/LABA significantly reduced rates of exacerbation and hospitalization in moderate to severe COPD [10], which was consistent with our results.

1

2

Favours [placebo]

5

More AEs and higher AE incidence were observed in the roflumilast group than the placebo group. In previously pooled analyses, this therapy mainly affects the gastrointestinal tract and nervous system, and the most common AEs comprise diarrhea, nausea, headache, and weight loss [9, 28], which are empirical PDE4 inhibitor-associated AEs [29]. And the incidence of this therapy is in accordance with that of a previous 6-month RCT of roflumilast [30]. In this study, compared with patients taking placebo, the most reported AEs were decreased appetite and weight loss in those taking roflumilast. And patients in the roflumilast group are elucidated to lost more weight [10, 17]. Among adverse reactions, pneumonia was proved to be correlated with an increased risk of death in COPD [31], and the use of ICS leads to an increased risk of pneumonia in COPD patients [32]. Roflumilast was not explored to increase the incidence of pneumonia in our study (P = 0.85). During the study, approximately 2%-3% of patients died mainly due to COPD exacerbation and AEs [10], with no difference in mortality between the roflumilast and placebo groups (P = 0.74).

However, several limitations existed in this study. First, little literature containing RCTs was included, whose results might not fully reflect the treatment situation. Second, various patients in the roflumilast group quit the trial due to AEs than those in the control group, which did not indicate the true incidence of AEs. Third, confined to the data provided by the original study authors, this study was unable to conduct a subgroup analysis, and expanded studies are needed in the future to characterize the population with the greatest benefit from this therapy. Finally, the evaluation of publication bias and funnel plot was not carried out in this study because less than 10 literatures were included.

Taken all together, according to the completed RCTs, roflumilast was dramatically effective to patients with severe and profound COPD treated with ICS/LABA and notably reduced the incidence of exacerbation but brought PDE4 inhibitor-associated AEs.

Data Availability

No additional data are available.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design were carried out by Shasha Zeng. Administrative support was carried out by Mi Zou. Shasha Zeng was responsible for the provision of study materials or patients. Collection and assembly of data were carried out by Haibing Bai. Data analysis and interpretation were carried out by Shasha Zeng. All authors contributed to the manuscript writing. All authors approved the final manuscript.

References

- R. Monteleone Neto, "Current medical genetics," *Revista Paulista de Medicina*, vol. 108, no. 4, p. 147, 1990.
- [2] J. C. Hogg, P. D. Paré, and T. L. Hackett, "The contribution of small airway obstruction to the pathogenesis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease," *Physiological Reviews*, vol. 97, no. 2, pp. 529–552, 2017.
- [3] P. Lange, D. M. Halpin, D. E. O'Donnell, and W. MacNee, "Diagnosis, assessment, and phenotyping of COPD: beyond FEV₁," *International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*, vol. 11 Spec Iss, pp. 3–12, 2016.
- [4] N. A. Hanania, B. R. Celli, J. F. Donohue, and U. J. Martin, "Bronchodilator reversibility in COPD," *Chest*, vol. 140, no. 4, pp. 1055–1063, 2011.
- [5] P. J. Barnes, "Inhaled corticosteroids," *Pharmaceuticals*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 514–540, 2010.
- [6] L. Vanfleteren, L. M. Fabbri, A. Papi, S. Petruzzelli, and B. Celli, "Triple therapy (ICS/LABA/LAMA) in COPD: time for a reappraisal," *International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*, vol. Volume 13, pp. 3971–3981, 2018.
- [7] M. G. Matera, M. Cazzola, and C. Page, "Prospects for COPD treatment," *Current Opinion in Pharmacology*, vol. 56, pp. 74– 84, 2021.
- [8] T. Kawamatawong, "Roles of roflumilast, a selective phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitor, in airway diseases," *Journal of Thoracic Disease*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1144–1154, 2017.
- [9] J. A. Wedzicha, K. F. Rabe, F. J. Martinez et al., "Efficacy of roflumilast in the COPD frequent exacerbator phenotype," *Chest*, vol. 143, no. 5, pp. 1302–1311, 2013.
- [10] F. J. Martinez, P. M. A. Calverley, U. M. Goehring, M. Brose, L. M. Fabbri, and K. F. Rabe, "Effect of roflumilast on exacerbations in patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease uncontrolled by combination therapy (REACT): a multicentre randomised controlled trial," *Lancet*, vol. 385, no. 9971, pp. 857–866, 2015.
- [11] J. Zheng, J. Yang, X. Zhou et al., "Roflumilast for the treatment of COPD in an Asian population: a randomized, double-blind, parallel-group study," *Chest*, vol. 145, no. 1, pp. 44–52, 2014.
- [12] D. Singh, A. Agusti, A. Anzueto et al., "Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease: the GOLD science committee report 2019," *The European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 53, no. 5, article 1900164, 2019.
- [13] L. M. Fabbri, P. M. Calverley, J. L. Izquierdo-Alonso et al., "Roflumilast in moderate-to-severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease treated with longacting bronchodilators: two randomised clinical trials," *Lancet*, vol. 374, no. 9691, pp. 695–703, 2009.
- [14] F. J. Martinez, K. F. Rabe, S. Sethi et al., "Effect of roflumilast and inhaled corticosteroid/long-acting β2-agonist on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations (RE²SPOND). A randomized clinical trial," *American Journal of Respiratory* and Critical Care Medicine, vol. 194, no. 5, pp. 559–567, 2016.
- [15] S. I. Rennard, P. M. Calverley, U. M. Goehring, D. Bredenbröker, and F. J. Martinez, "Reduction of exacerbations by the PDE4 inhibitor roflumilast-the importance of defining different subsets of patients with COPD," *Respiratory Research*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 18, 2011.
- [16] P. M. Calverley, F. Sanchez-Toril, A. McIvor, P. Teichmann, D. Bredenbroeker, and L. M. Fabbri, "Effect of 1-year

treatment with roflumilast in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease," *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 176, no. 2, pp. 154–161, 2007.

- [17] P. M. Calverley, K. F. Rabe, U. M. Goehring et al., "Roflumilast in symptomatic chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: two randomised clinical trials," *Lancet*, vol. 374, no. 9691, pp. 685–694, 2009.
- [18] W. De Backer, W. Vos, C. Van Holsbeke et al., "The effect of roflumilast in addition to LABA/LAMA/ICS treatment in COPD patients," *The European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 527–529, 2014.
- [19] J. Vestbo, S. S. Hurd, A. G. Agustí et al., "Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease," *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 187, no. 4, pp. 347–365, 2013.
- [20] C. F. Vogelmeier, G. J. Criner, F. J. Martinez et al., "Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease 2017 report. GOLD executive summary," *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 195, no. 5, pp. 557–582, 2017.
- [21] L. J. Nannini, P. Poole, S. J. Milan, and A. Kesterton, "Combined corticosteroid and long-acting beta(2)-agonist in one inhaler versus inhaled corticosteroids alone for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease," *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, vol. 2013, no. 8, article Cd006826, 2013.
- [22] G. T. Ferguson, P. Darken, S. Ballal et al., "Efficacy of budesonide/glycopyrronium/formoterol fumarate metered dose inhaler (BGF MDI) versus other inhaled corticosteroid/longacting muscarinic antagonist/long-acting β_2 -agonist (ICS/ LAMA/LABA) triple combinations in COPD: a systematic literature review and network meta-analysis," *Advances in Therapy*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 2956–2975, 2020.
- [23] W. R. Perera, J. R. Hurst, T. M. A. Wilkinson et al., "Inflammatory changes, recovery and recurrence at COPD exacerbation," *The European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 527–534, 2007.
- [24] D. C. Grootendorst, S. A. Gauw, R. M. Verhoosel et al., "Reduction in sputum neutrophil and eosinophil numbers by the PDE4 inhibitor roflumilast in patients with COPD," *Thorax*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 1081–1087, 2007.
- [25] B. Hajian, W. Vos, J. De Backer et al., "Functional respiratory imaging to assess the interaction between systemic roflumilast and inhaled ICS/LABA/LAMA," *International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease*, vol. 11, pp. 263–271, 2016.
- [26] Y. Oba and N. A. Lone, "Efficacy and safety of roflumilast in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Therapeutic Advances in Respiratory Disease*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 13–24, 2013.
- [27] T. Moodley, S. M. Wilson, T. Joshi et al., "Phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitors augment the ability of formoterol to enhance glucocorticoid-dependent gene transcription in human airway epithelial cells: a novel mechanism for the clinical efficacy of roflumilast in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease," *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 83, no. 4, pp. 894–906, 2013.
- [28] F. J. Martinez, K. F. Rabe, P. M. A. Calverley et al., "Determinants of response to roflumilast in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Pooled analysis of two randomized trials," *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 198, no. 10, pp. 1268–1278, 2018.

- [29] G. F. Hebenstreit, K. Fellerer, K. Fichte et al., "Rolipram in major depressive disorder: results of a double-blind comparative study with imipramine," *Pharmacopsychiatry*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 156–160, 1989.
- [30] K. F. Rabe, E. D. Bateman, D. O'Donnell, S. Witte, D. Bredenbröker, and T. D. Bethke, "Roflumilast-an oral anti-inflammatory treatment for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled trial," *Lancet*, vol. 366, no. 9485, pp. 563–571, 2005.
- [31] M. I. Restrepo, E. M. Mortensen, J. A. Pugh, and A. Anzueto, "COPD is associated with increased mortality in patients with community-acquired pneumonia," *The European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 346–351, 2006.
- [32] S. Singh, A. V. Amin, and Y. K. Loke, "Long-term use of inhaled corticosteroids and the risk of pneumonia in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease," *Archives of Internal Medicine*, vol. 169, no. 3, pp. 219–229, 2009.