

Proteome Profiling Identifies Serum Biomarkers in Rheumatoid Arthritis

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Qinglong Wu, Baylor College of Medicine, United States

Reviewed by:

Jinxin Liu, Nanjing Agricultural University, China Dong Lu, Baylor College of Medicine, United States Hee-Gyoo Kang, Eulji University, South Korea

*Correspondence:

Linping Wu wu_linping@gibh.ac.cn Guangxing Chen cgx02@gzucm.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Autoimmune and Autoinflammatory Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 29 January 2022 Accepted: 30 March 2022 Published: 06 May 2022

Citation:

Hu C, Dai Z, Xu J, Zhao L, Xu Y, Li M, Yu J, Zhang L, Deng H, Liu L, Zhang M, Huang J, Wu L and Chen G (2022) Proteome Profiling Identifies Serum Biomarkers in Rheumatoid Arthritis. Front. Immunol. 13:865425. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.865425 Linping Wu^{4*} and Guangxing Chen^{1,3*} ¹ Department of Rheumatology, First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China, ² First Clinical Medical School, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China, ³ Baiyun Hospital of the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China, ⁴ Center for Chemical Biology and Drug

Congqi Hu^{1†}, Zhao Dai^{2†}, Jia Xu², Lianyu Zhao², Yanping Xu³, Meilin Li², Jiahui Yu², Lu Zhang², Hui Deng², Lijuan Liu¹, Mingying Zhang¹, Jiarong Huang⁴,

Discovery, Guangzhou Institute of Biomedicine and Health, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Guangzhou, China

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) causes serious disability and productivity loss, and there is an urgent need for appropriate biomarkers for diagnosis, treatment assessment, and prognosis evaluation. To identify serum markers of RA, we performed mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics, and we obtained 24 important markers in normal and RA patient samples using a random forest machine learning model and 11 protein-protein interaction (PPI) network topological analysis methods. Markers were reanalyzed using additional proteomics datasets, immune infiltration status, tissue specificity, subcellular localization, correlation analysis with disease activity-based diagnostic indications, and diagnostic receiver-operating characteristic analysis. We discovered that ORM1 in serum is significantly differentially expressed in normal and RA patient samples, which is positively correlated with disease activity, and is closely related to CD56dim natural killer cell, effector memory CD8⁺T cell, and natural killer cell in the pathological mechanism, which can be better utilized for future research on RA. This study supplies a comprehensive strategy for discovering potential serum biomarkers of RA and provides a different perspective for comprehending the pathological mechanism of RA, identifying potential therapeutic targets, and disease management.

Keywords: rheumatoid arthritis, biomarker, proteomics, ORM1, serum

INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an autoimmune disease characterized by chronic erosive arthritis, which affects 1% of the world's population and usually occurs in middle-aged and elderly women (1). The clinical manifestations are symmetrical and persistent polyarticular swelling and pain and can also involve extra-articular tissues, such as skin and mucosal lesions, cardiovascular diseases, and lung diseases, which seriously affect work and daily life (2, 3).

1

Current common laboratory indicators are rheumatoid factor (RF), anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody (ACPA), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and C-reactive protein (CRP) (4). However, the RF-positive rate and ACPA specificity of RA are only 60%–70% and 60%–75%, respectively, suggesting low diagnostic efficacy (5, 6). Furthermore, the RF-positive rate and ACPA specificity are difficult to distinguish between high and low disease activity. ESR or CRP can reflect the inflammatory activity of the disease but is not a characteristic diagnosis of RA (7). The situation largely limits the timely and efficient treatment and analysis of patients, Thus, the diagnosis of the disease can still be much improved. Biomarkers specifically and powerfully related to RA disease have been increasing; it can be helpful especially in patients who are seronegative for RF and ACPA.

It is known that proteins have an important role in RA pathogenesis with the formation of a new epitope; compared with other techniques such as transcription, proteomics can study the posttranscriptional modification of proteins and their interactions in cells and tissues (8). Previous proteomic studies according to quantitative analyses using clinical serum samples between RA patients and healthy controls identified a lot of differentially expressed proteins. Cheng et al. set up protein profiles of serum through high-resolution mass spectrometry using the Orbitrap Q Exactive mass spectrometer and identified 18 overexpressed proteins, among which FCN-2 was found to be elevated in RA and correlated with disease activity (9). Sora et al. using a nano-LC-MS/MS-based proteomics approach speculated that SAA might be a biomarker of AA amyloidosis in rheumatoid arthritis; gelsolin and VDBP might be potent biomarker candidates for the early diagnosis of RA (10). Lee et al. suggested that protein S100-A9 is involved in joint destruction by matrix metalloproteinases and the proinflammatory response, showing potential for use as a diagnostic biomarker that reflects the mechanism of inflammation in RA (10). However, these studies only aimed at identifying the protein difference between normal and RA patients, rarely combined with disease activity, and noticed differences in protein expression after drug intervention.

In this study, our comprehensive analysis of serum proteins in RA from multiple perspectives is shown (**Figure 1**). We obtained differential proteins based on serum proteomics by LC-MS/MS, and we referred to the results of other proteomics datasets. Analysis of immune infiltration, tissue and subcellular localization, and the correlation between differential proteins and RA laboratory diagnostic indicators and diagnostic receiver-operating characteristic analysis from the perspective of disease activity were performed. These results provide a worthy reference for future proteomic and multi-angle analysis studies on RA serum protein biomarkers.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Patients

Human serum specimens were collected from the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine (Guangzhou, China). The study was approved by the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR), and the registration number is ChiCTR2100043294. This study was approved by the local research ethics committee; the approval document number of the ethics committee is NO. JY[2020]264. All subjects in this study were asked for consent. Human serum samples included 8 healthy control and 7 patients at different time points of 0 and 6 after administration. All samples were frozen and stored at -80° C in aliquots of polyethylene tubes until use.

Protein Preparation

Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay was used for protein quantification, taking 500 µg of protein and using High SelectTM Top14 Abundant Protein Depletion Resin to remove high-abundance proteins. After adding the supernatant obtained in the previous step to dithiothreitol (DTT) at a final concentration of 10 mM, polypeptide samples were prepared using the filter-aided sample preparation (FASP) method from previous literatures (11, 12). In brief, proteins were added onto a 30-kDa cutoff filter and centrifuged at 11,000 rpm at 20°C for 15 min. 50 mM iodoacetamide (IAA) in urea buffer was used to alkylate proteins at 20°C for 15 min. After a few washes with urea and 50 mM ABC buffer, 100 ng of trypsin in 50 mM ABC buffer was used to digest proteins in a wet chamber overnight at 37°C. Peptides were extracted with 50 mM ABC buffer and acidified by trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). After the FASP protocol as described above, the peptides were desalted and concentrated using C18 stage tips. Each of these samples was measured in a 1-h gradient of LC-MS/MS.

Protein Quantification and Analysis

Tryptic peptides were separated using a 60-min total data collection for peptide separation, with the Easy-nLC 1200 system connected online to an Orbitrap Eclipse mass spectrometer equipped with FAIMS Pro (Thermo). Scans were collected in data-dependent top-speed mode with dynamic exclusion at 90 s. Raw data were analyzed using MaxQuant version 1.6.17.0 search against the Human Fasta database, with label-free quantification and match-between-run functions enabled. The identification of proteins that were differentially expressed between patients with RA and HCs was conducted based on the empirical Bayes method using the limma R package. The *p*-values were obtained by using the t-test, and the *p*-values of comparisons were adjusted for multiple comparisons to preserve an error rate of 5%. To identify the significant pair(s), we used multiple comparisons. The Benjamini-Hochberg method was used for the multiple comparisons.

Identification of Differentially Expressed Proteins

Key Protein Screening

Machine learning was combined with PPI network analysis to screen differential proteins. The random forest classifier (RFC) was used to perform feature extraction on protein sample expression data, screen out the top 30 key proteins based on classification features, and use MeanDecreaseGini to plot. 11 topological analysis methods, including Degree, Edge Percolated Component (EPC), Maximum Neighborhood Component



(MNC), Density of Maximum Neighborhood Component (DMNC), Maximal Clique Centrality (MCC), and six centralities (Bottleneck, EcCentricity, Closeness, Radiality, Betweenness, and Stress) based on shortest paths were used to measure the true efficiency of nodes in the PPI network to evaluate their importance.

Enrichment Analysis

R (version 3.6.3)'s ggplot2 package and clusterProfiler package were used to analyze the enrichment analysis of 24 differential

proteins, including KEGG pathway, biological process (BP), cell component (CC), and molecular function (MF).

Compilation of Other Proteomic Datasets

"rheumatoid arthritis" and "proteomics" were used as keywords, PubMed (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) was used to search, and clinical samples with healthy people were selected as the control group. A total of 5 datasets were collected, including 155 healthy controls and 183 RA patients. The differentially expressed proteins were summarized in each dataset, and candidate proteins with the number of repetitions greater than 2 were selected. An NCBI gene ID was assigned to each protein based on gene symbol, and we kept proteins with the NCBI gene IDs for subsequent analysis.

Multi-Angle Analysis of Differentially Expressed Proteins Immune Infiltration

The R (version 3.6.3) ssGSEA method was used for immune cell infiltration analysis, the degree of infiltration of 28 immune cells was calculated, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The following 28 types of immune cells were obtained: activated B cells, activated CD4⁺T cells, activated CD8⁺T cells, activated dendritic cells, CD56bright natural killer cells, CD56dim natural killer cells, central memory CD4⁺T cells, central memory CD8⁺T cells, effector memory CD4⁺T cells, effector memory CD8⁺T cells, eosinophils, follicular helper T cells, gamma delta T cells, immature B cells, immature dendritic cells, macrophages, mast cells, memory B cells, monocytes, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, natural killer cells, natural killer T cells, neutrophils, plasmacytoid dendritic cells, regulatory T cells, type 1 T helper cells, type 17 T helper cells, and type 2 T helper cells. We used the Spearman correlation analysis method to compare the obtained immune cells and correlation analysis of key proteins to further discover RA disease proteins closely related to immune infiltration.

Tissue Specificity and Subcellular Localization

Tissue specificity data were obtained from GTEx (https:// gtexportal.org/). GTEx is a tissue-specific database of gene expression and regulation derived from simultaneous transcriptome sequencing and genetic analysis of samples from multiple human tissues and organs.

Subcellular localization was performed through COMPARTMENTS (https://compartments.jensenlab.org/). COMPARTMENTS is a powerful website for predicting the subcellular localization of proteins, helping us to better understand candidate proteins.

Analyze Differential Proteins in Combination With Disease Activity Diagnostic Correlation Analysis

R (version 3.6.3) was used for statistical analysis and visualization, the ggplot2 package (version 3.3.3) was used for visualization, and the statistical method used was Spearman. A heatmap was used to display the pairwise correlation (spearman correlation) of all elements between the two tables (protein expression table and diagnostic index change table). Statistical significance was marked on the heatmap.

Diagnostic ROC Analysis

We used the pROC package for diagnostic ROC analysis and used the ggplot2 package for visualization. The value of the area under the curve (AUC) is between 0.5 and 1. The closer the AUC is to 1, the better the diagnostic effect. The AUC has a low accuracy when it is 0.5–0.7, it has a certain accuracy when it is 0.7–0.9, and it has a high accuracy when it is above 0.9; key proteins were further screened based on an AUC greater than 0.7.

Statistical Analysis and Network Visualization

The statistical analysis in this study was performed by the differential enrichment test of R platforms (version3.6.3, http:// www.r-project.org/). Data visualization was generated by the clusterProfiler package (version3.14.3) of R and Microsoft Office 2019.

RESULTS

Identification of Proteins by LC-MS/MS

Raw counts of proteomics data and corresponding clinical information from healthy control and RA patients were obtained from the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine (Guangzhou, China). The differential enrichment test of R software was used to study the differential expression of proteins. Test-diff was used to perform a differential enrichment test based on protein-wise linear models and empirical Bayes statistics using limma. False discovery rates were estimated using the fdrtool package (version 1.2.17). *p*-value < 0.05 was defined as the threshold for the screening of differential expression. A total of 2,051 proteins and peptides were identified by LC-MS/MS. There were a total of 262 candidate proteins that are statistically significant between healthy control cases and RA patients, and a total of 93 candidate proteins during the active and inactive phases of the disease.

Identify Candidates Proteins for RA Key Protein Screening

Analyzing 262 candidate proteins, according to the RFC machine learning method and 11 topological analysis methods (**Figure 2A**), the top 30 key proteins under each method are obtained. Proteins present in more than 8 of the 12 analytical methods were defined as key proteins for follow-up research, and 24 proteins obtained were CP, APOA4, FGB, TTR, GC, HRG, FN1, C4A, ORM1, APOA2, FGA, HP, APOC3, C4B, CRP, SAA1, APOA1, FGG, LPA, SERPINA1, APOB, APOE, VWF, and A2M.

Enrichment Analysis

To further confirm the underlying function of potential targets, we use Fisher's exact test to calculate the enrichment significance of each term in KEGG, biological process (BP), cell composition (CC), and molecular function (MF) and arrange them in ascending order of p-value. Figure 2B visually displays the relationship between the proteins and KEGG, BP, CC, and MF.

24 proteins overlapping the reference datasets were analyzed. The first 3 KEGG pathways with the smallest p-value were "Complement and coagulation cascades (TermID: hsa04610, *p*-value<0.001); Cholesterol metabolism (TermID: hsa04979, *p*-value<0.001); Vitamin digestion and absorption (TermID: hsa04977, *p*-value<0.001)." The first 3 BPs with the smallest p-value were extracellular structure organization "(TermID: GO:0043062,



p-value<0.001); platelet degranulation (TermID: GO:0002576, *p*-value<0.001); chylomicron assembly (TermID: GO:0034378, *p*value<0.001)." The first 3 CCs with the smallest p-value were "blood microparticle (TermID: GO:0072562, *p*-value<0.001); cytoplasmic vesicle lumen (TermID: GO:0060205, *p*-value<0.001); vesicle lumen (TermID: GO:0031983, *p*-value<0.001)." The first 3 MFs with the smallest p-value were "lipoprotein particle receptor binding (TermID: GO:0070325, *p*-value<0.001); cholesterol transporter activity (TermID: GO:0017127, *p*-value<0.001); intermembrane cholesterol transfer activity (TermID: GO:0120020, *p*-value<0.001)".

Identify Proteins from Other Proteomics Datasets

In order to systematically investigate the biomarkers related to the pathogenesis of RA, we conducted a comprehensive analysis of 5 independent RA proteomic datasets. These datasets cover 5 ultra-deep reference datasets from FLS and serum. The five indepth reference datasets were as shown in **Table 1**. We collected

Official Full Name	Symbol	Total articles	RA related articles	PMID	Level Change		
Orosomucoid 1	ORM1	3,701	5	26915672	Up		
Haptoglobin	HP	96,237	996	4029960	Up		
Transthyretin	TTR	13,127	61	30308029	Down		
C-Reactive Protein	CRP	108,764	6,602	33385862	Up		
Ceruloplasmin	CP	139,242	649	26001728	Up		
Fibrinogen Beta Chain	FGB	1,920	15	26059223	Up		
Fibronectin 1	FN1	25,482	14	34764682	Down		
Apolipoprotein A2	APOA2	3,263	3	12027302	Down		
Apolipoprotein C3	APOC3	1,230	2	31966382	Down		
Serum Amyloid A1	SAA1	986	52	17985847	Up		
Fibrinogen Gamma Chain	FGG	2,003	11	32408093	Up		
Fibrinogen Alpha Chain	FGA	3,606	10	22267327	Up		
Serpin Family A Member 1	SERPINA1	909	9	34712268	Up		
Apolipoprotein B	APOB	20,164	93	29997113	Down		
Apolipoprotein E	APOE	33,596	53	32253242	Up		
Von Willebrand Factor	VWF	24,468	129	25973092	Up		
Alpha-2-Macroglobulin	A2M	5,871	113	10343526	Up		
Apolipoprotein A4	APOA4	1,212	1	2547867	Up		
GC Vitamin D Binding Protein	GC	158,417	727	3874814	Down		
Histidine Rich Glycoprotein	HRG	1,662	6	29246875	Up		
Complement C4A	C4A	1,813	44	22076784	Down		
Complement C4B	C4B	3,242	79	22076784	Up		
Apolipoprotein A1	APOA1	15,810	60	31694752	Down		
Lipoprotein A	LPA	16,481	114	19369465	Up		

450 DEPs from 183 RA patients and 155 healthy control cases and established a relatively comprehensive RA proteomic dataset.

The DEPs of 5 reference datasets were statistically sorted, and the number of overlaps greater than 2 was used as the screening condition; 30 proteins were obtained as potential biomarker proteins. We used the upset diagram (**Figure 3A**) to visually show the overlap of each dataset. **Figure 3B** shows the 30 overlapping proteins and their respective datasets.

To further confirm the underlying function of potential targets, we used Fisher's exact test to calculate the enrichment significance of each term in KEGG, biological process (BP), cell composition (CC), and molecular function (MF) and arrange them in ascending order of p-value. The bar chart in **Figures 3C, D** visually displays Log10 (p-adjust), and **Figure 3C, D** visually display the relationship between the proteins and KEGG, BP, CC, and MF.

The 30 proteins overlapping the reference datasets were analyzed. The first 3 KEGG pathways with the smallest p-value were "Complement and coagulation cascades (TermID: hsa04610, p-value<0.001); Staphylococcus aureus infection (TermID: hsa05150, p-value:0.000); ECM-receptor interaction (TermID: hsa04512, p-value:0.001)." The first 3 BPs with the smallest *p*-value were "acute inflammatory response (TermID: GO:0002526, p-value<0.001); complement activation (TermID: GO:0006956, p-value<0.001); regulation of protein processing (TermID: GO:0070613, p-value<0.001)." The first 3 CCs with the smallest p-value were "blood microparticle (TermID: GO:0072562, p-value<0.001); cytoplasmic vesicle lumen (TermID: GO:0060205, p-value<0.001); vesicle lumen (TermID: GO:0031983, p-value<0.001)". The first 3 MF with the smallest p-value were "lipoprotein particle binding (TermID: GO:0071813, p-value:0.000); protein-lipid complex binding



(TermID: GO:0071814, *p*-value:0.000); antioxidant activity (TermID: GO:0016209, *p*-value:0.000)".

Multi-Angle Analysis of Candidate Proteins Immune Infiltration

We found that the degree of immune cell infiltration in RA patients is generally lower than that in normal people. We analyzed 28 types of immune cells and obtained data on 23 types of immune cells, which are activated B cell, activated CD4⁺T cell, activated dendritic cell, CD56bright natural killer cell, CD56dim natural killer cell, central memory CD4⁺T cell, central memory CD8⁺T cell, effector memory CD8⁺T cell, eosinophil, gamma delta T cell, immature B cell, macrophage, mast cell, myeloid-derived suppressor cell, monocyte, natural killer cell, natural killer T cell, plasmacytoid dendritic cell, regulatory T cell, follicular helper cell, type 1 T helper cell, type 17 T helper cell, and type 2 T helper cell. As shown in Figure 4A, among 23 immune cells, the degree of immune infiltration of CD56dim natural killer cell, effector memory CD8⁺T cell, and natural killer cell was significantly different between HC and RA (p < 0.05, p < 0.05, p < 0.01). Through Spearman correlation analysis, we found that 13 of the 24 differential genes were significantly related to the degree of immune cell infiltration, which are ORM1, HP, TTR, CRP, FGB, FN1, APOA2, APOC3, SAA1, FGG, FGA, APOB, and VWF; we believe that these 13 genes are potential biomarkers for the treatment of RA and related to immune cell infiltration, as shown in Figure 4B.

Tissue Specificity and Subcellular Localization

As shown in **Figure 4C**, we studied the tissue specificity of 24 key proteins and found that 21 differential proteins are abundant in the liver (VWF, A2M, CP, FGB, TTR, GC, HRG, C4A, ORM1, APOA2, FGA, HP, APOC3, CRP, SAA1, APOA1, FGG, LPA, SERPINA1, APOB, APOE), FN1 and C4B are abundant in the adrenal gland, VWF and A2M are abundant in lung tissue, and APOA4 is the most abundant in small intestine T1, and these proteins are in whole blood; skeletal muscle protein abundance was relatively low.

As shown in **Figure 4D**, we have studied the subcellular localization of 24 key proteins. Here we focus on their expression on the nucleus, plasma membrane, and mitochondrion and found that 10 proteins (APOA4, FGB, TTR, GC, FGA, APOC3, CRP, SAA1, FGG, SERPINA1) are contained in the nucleus and 13 proteins (HRG, FN1, C4A, ORM1, APOA2, HP, C4B, APOA1, LPA, APOB, APOE, VWF, A2M) are contained in plasma membranes. CP is more abundant in the mitochondrion.

Analyze Candidate Proteins from Disease Activity

Diagnostic Correlation Analysis

Table 2 shows that changes in diagnostic indicators in patient 13 correlated with disease activity before and after treatment. The judging index of disease activity is DAS28-ESR. It can be seen from **Table 2** that the DAS28-ESR of DAP patients is 5.5, and the DAS28-ESR of DIP after treatment is 2.33 (Wilcoxon test p<0.001). After using Spearman correlation analysis between 24 proteins and 13 diagnostic indicators (SJC, TJC, PGA,

MDGA, GH, ESR, CRP, CDAI, SDAI, DAS28-ESR, DAS28-CRP, VAS, HAQ), the correlation analysis between CP and 11 diagnostic indicators except CRP and GH was statistically significant, as shown in Figure 5. The correlation analysis between CP and 9 diagnostic indicators (CRP, SJC, TJC, CDAI, SDAI, DAS28-ESR, DAS28-CRP, MDGA, ESR) was statistically significant. The correlation analysis between CRP and 7 diagnostic indicators (CRP, SJC, SDAI, DAS28-ESR, DAS28-CRP, MDGA, ESR) was statistically significant. The correlation analysis between ORM1 and 6 diagnostic indicators (CRP, DAS28-ESR, DAS28-CRP, MDGA, ESR, HAQ) was statistically significant. The correlation analysis between HP and 4 diagnostic indicators (SJC, SDAI, DAS28-ESR, DAS28-CRP) was statistically significant. The correlation analysis between SERPINA1 and ESR and HAQ was statistically significant. The correlation analysis between FGB and ESR was statistically significant. The correlation analysis between HRG and HAQ was statistically significant. The correlation analysis between APOA1 and CRP was statistically significant. We chose proteins that were statistically significant in the correlation analysis with more than 3 diagnostic indicators as key proteins. We believe that among the 24 proteins, the five proteins TTR, CP, CRP, ORM1, and HP are more closely related to diagnostic indicators and have the potential to become markers for the diagnosis and treatment of RA.

Diagnostic ROC analysis

In terms of predicting disease activity, CP (AUC=0.837), TTR (AUC=0.776), ORM1 (AUC=0.796), HP (AUC=0.816), SERPINA1 (AUC=0.796). They have a certain accuracy in the diagnosis and prediction of disease activity, but they are not statistically significant compared with other diagnosis results.

Analysis of Differentially Expressed Proteins

As shown in **Figure 6**, we combined other proteomics verification conditions, immune infiltration conditions, disease activity, correlation analysis, and diagnostic ROC analysis to comprehensively analyze the 24 differential proteins. The results show that ORM1 and HP were differentially expressed and diagnosed in the disease activity and inactive phases. An ROC value greater than 0.7 has good diagnostic ability for the analysis of the active and inactive stages of the disease, is significantly related to more diagnostic indicators of RA, and has a good performance in terms of immune infiltration. ORM1 and HP show good distinguishing ability in the diagnosis and treatment of RA.

DISCUSSION

Through diverse-perspective analysis, our study provides novel insights into RA-related proteomics research. Using random forest machine learning methods and analysis of 11 other topological analysis methods, our proteomics data selected 24 potential differential proteins in normal and RA patient samples. When searching the PubMed database for 24 potential proteins,





TABLE 2 | Clinical indicator information.

Characteristic	DAP	DIP	p	Method	
n	7	7			
SJC, n (%)			0.051	Chisq.test	
0	0 (0%)	3 (21.4%)			
1	0 (0%)	2 (14.3%)			
3	2 (14.3%)	0 (0%)			
4	2 (14.3%)	0 (0%)			
5	0 (0%)	2 (14.3%)			
7	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
13	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
28	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
TJC, n (%)			0.051	Chisq.test	
0	0 (0%)	5 (35.7%)			
1	0 (0%)	1 (7.1%)			
3	0 (0%)	1 (7.1%)			
5	3 (21.4%)	0 (0%)			
6	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
7	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
10	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
28	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
PGA, n (%)			0.051	Chisq.test	
0.5	0 (0%)	1 (7.1%)			
1	0 (0%)	3 (21.4%)			
3	0 (0%)	2 (14.3%)			
4	O (0%)	1 (7.1%)			
5	4 (28.6%)	0 (0%)			
6	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
7	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
9	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
MDGA, n (%)			0.215	Chisq.test	
0.5	0 (0%)	1 (7.1%)			
1	0 (0%)	2 (14.3%)			
3	1 (7.1%)	3 (21.4%)			
4	2 (14.3%)	1 (7.1%)			
5	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
6	2 (14.3%)	0 (0%)			
9	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
GH, n (%)			0.101	Chisq.test	
5	0 (0%)	1 (7.1%)			
10	0 (0%)	3 (21.4%)			
30	0 (0%)	2 (14.3%)			
40	1 (7.1%)	1 (7.1%)			
50	3 (21.4%)	0 (0%)			
60	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
70	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
90	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)			
ESR, mean ± SD	46.57 ± 15.87	25.71 ± 12.53	0.018	T test	
CRP, median (IQR)	9.32 (6.47, 46.8)	3.53 (2.01, 13.59)	0.097	Wilcoxon	
CDAI, median (IQR)	22 (18.5, 28.5)	7 (2.5, 9.5)	0.002	Wilcoxon	
SDAI, median (IQR)	22.93 (18.97, 34.95)	7.14 (2.76, 11.36)	0.002	Wilcoxon	
DAS28-ESR, median (IQR)	5.5 (5.16, 5.88)	2.33 (2.27, 3.66)	< 0.001	Wilcoxon	
DAS28-CRP, mean \pm SD	5.16 ± 1.41	2.4 ± 1	0.001	T test	
VAS, median (IQR)	5 (4.5. 5.5)	0.5 (0.5. 1.5)	0.004	Wilcoxon	
HAQ, median (IQR)	0.5 (0.32, 3.56)	0 (0, 1.13)	0.195	Wilcoxon	

According to different data types, different analysis methods are selected.

at least 19 (79.17%) were found in RA-related reports (**Table 3**). Meanwhile, 5 of the 24 differential protein species, including CRP, FN1, APOA2, SAA1, and APOE, have been verified in additional proteomics datasets. Separately, CRP, a diagnostic marker of RA in clinical practice, is significantly elevated in plasma levels in response to infection, inflammatory stimuli, or

other tissue damage in the acute phase. Additionally, we found that our DEP list is significantly enriched in the other two DEP lists (**Table 1**) from proteomics in a gene background of 20,462 human protein-coding genes from the NCBI database, for example, dataset iv ($p = 3.25 \times 10^{-32}$, Fisher test) and dataset iii ($p = 4.56 \times 10^{-31}$, Fisher test). Collectively, we showed the



reliability and accuracy of our protein list, and it is in accordance with previous publication. We will upload this information together. The above evidence demonstrates the reliability and accuracy of our analysis.

FN1, a multidomain extracellular matrix (ECM) protein, binds to multiple compounds on the cell surface, including collagen (13), fibrin (14), growth factors (15), and cell surface integrins (16), and prominently participates in several fundamental biological processes, such as tissue repair (17), fibrosis (18), tumorigenesis (2016), cell adhesion (19), cell motility (20), and cell shape maintenance (21). Apolipoprotein A2 (APOA2) is the second most abundant protein of the highdensity lipoprotein particles. The related pathway peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)- α regulates metabolism (22). SAA1 (serum amyloid A1) is a major acute-phase protein that is highly expressed in response to inflammation and tissue injury. Studies show that tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α) and interleukin 1 β (IL-1 β) induce activation of the IL-6-STAT3 pathway to increase SAA1 expression (23). High levels of this protein are connected with chronic inflammatory diseases including rheumatoid arthritis (24), atherosclerosis (25), and Alzheimer's disease (26). Apolipoprotein E (APOE) is a multifunctional cholesterol carrier that plays a central role in lipid metabolism in the peripheral and central nervous systems. Related pathways include the mTOR signaling pathway (27) and the AMPK signaling pathway (28), which are essential for the normal catabolism of triglyceride-rich lipoprotein components. These results not only verify the accuracy of our experiment but also provide a new direction for the research of RA fundamental

research. At the same time, we found that lipid metabolism is closely related to the occurrence and development of RA according to our proteomics research and other proteomics verifications. Lipid levels are dynamic and can fluctuate along with changes in inflammation or as a result of disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD) therapy, which needs further study.

In addition, our research reevaluated the importance of 24 candidate proteins in terms of immune infiltration, disease activity, experimental diagnosis correlation analysis, and diagnostic ROC analysis. After a comprehensive analysis, both HP and ORM1 exceled in this aspect.

HP has many physiological functions. It can combine with free hemoglobin to prevent oxidative damage to various organs (29) and activate local and systemic levels of innate and adaptive immune cells, as an acute-phase reactant protein; plasma concentration greatly increases during inflammation (30). HP is mainly synthesized in the liver and rarely expressed in other inflamed tissues. It is synthesized at the injured site during acute-phase reactions such as inflammation and tissue damage. There are few reports about HP in RA, and it can be determined that the level in RA synovial fluid and arthritis tissue is related to disease activity (31). Previous studies have shown that serum haptoglobin levels increase during arthritis (32). Studies have shown that locally expressed HP promotes cell migration in cartilage and therefore may play a role in the progression of arthritis (31). Studies have determined that haptoglobin is an important factor in cell migration (33). Haptoglobin knockout cells exhibit impaired migration,

Gene	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
ORM1						Live:	r Plasma membrane	e
HP						4 Live:	r Plasma membrane	e
TTR						B Live:	r Nucleus	
CRP						B Live:	r Nucleus	
СР						2 Live:	r Mitochondrion	
FGB						2 Live:	r Nucleus	
FN1						2 Adrenal	gland Plasma membrane	e
APOA2						2 Live:	r Plasma membrano	е
APOC3						2 Live:	r Nucleus	
SAA1						2 Live:	r Nucleus	
FGG						2 Live:	r Nucleus	
FGA						Live	r Nucleus	
SERPINA1						Live	r Nucleus	
APOB						Live	r Plasma membrane	ę
APOE						Live	r Plasma membrane	e
VWF						Lung	Plasma membrane	e
A2M					1	Lung	Plasma membrane	e
APOA4) Small Intes	tine T1 Nucleus	
GC) Live	r Nucleus	
HRG) Live:	r Plasma membrane	Э
C4A) Live:	r Plasma membrane	e
C4B						Adrenal ;	gland Plasma membrane	e
APOA1) Live	r Plasma membrane	e
LPA) Live:	r Plasma membrane	e

FIGURE 6 | Summarize a variety of analysis methods to select the most meaningful protein as the key protein of RA.

TABLE 3	Summary	of human	proteome	datasets	for	biomarker	analysis.
---------	---------	----------	----------	----------	-----	-----------	-----------

Official full name	Symbol	Total articles	RA-related articles	PMID	Level change
Orosomucoid 1	ORM1	3,555	1	26915672	Up
Haptoglobin	HP	10,621	80	4029960	Up
Transthyretin	TTR	10,864	13	30308029	Down
C-reactive protein	CRP	91,372	3,271	33385862	Up
Ceruloplasmin	CP	9,192	55	26001728	Up
Fibrinogen beta chain	FGB	1,453	6	26059223	Up
Fibronectin 1	FN1	24,248	2	34764682	Down
Apolipoprotein A2	APOA2	3,112	NA	NA	Down
Apolipoprotein C3	APOC3	734	NA	NA	Down
Serum amyloid A1	SAA1	444	2	17985847	Up
Fibrinogen gamma chain	FGG	441	NA	NA	Up
Fibrinogen alpha chain	FGA	2,059	NA	NA	Up
Serpin family A member 1	SERPINA1	4	2	34712268	Up
Apolipoprotein B	APOB	15,507	36	29997113	Down
Apolipoprotein E	APOE	27,281	15	32253242	Up
Von Willebrand factor	VWF	22,539	43	25973092	Up
Alpha-2-macroglobulin	A2M	5,061	43	10343526	Up
Apolipoprotein A4	APOA4	1,046	NA	NA	Up
GC vitamin D-binding protein	GC	849	1	3874814	Down
Histidine-rich glycoprotein	HRG	876	1	29246875	Up
Complement C4A	C4A	1,224	3	22076784	Down
Complement C4B	C4B	2,889	1	22076784	Up
Apolipoprotein A1	APOA1	14,603	15	31694752	Down
Lipoprotein A	LPA	9,068	37	19369465	Up

NA, not available.

which can be restored by supplementing the cells with exogenous haptoglobin. Our research once again verified the importance of HP and confirmed that the immunomodulatory effect of HP is related to CD56dim natural killer cells, effector memory CD8⁺T cells, and natural killer cells.

Orosomucoid 1 (ORM1) is different from HP in that it has less research. When we searched in PubMed, there were only 3,555 related articles (Table 3), which is more meaningful and valuable from the perspective of innovation. ORM1 is a key acute-phase plasma protein, which is related to acute inflammation. Its specific function has not yet been determined, which may involve immunosuppressive aspects. ORM1 is rich in liver tissue, secreted into the blood. Subcellular locations of ORM1 are mainly in the extracellular space; the plasma membrane is more abundant than the mitochondrion and nucleus. The immunomodulatory effect of ORM1 is related to CD56dim natural killer cells, effector memory CD8⁺T cells, and natural killer cells. ORM has many activities including, but not limited to, acting as an acute-phase reactant and disease marker, modulating immunity, binding and carrying drugs, maintaining the barrier function of the capillary, and mediating the sphingolipid metabolism (34). In RA patients, a study of the combination of transcriptome and proteome in human urine showed that urinary ORM1 levels in RA patients had a positive correlation with the status of the disease activity (35). Although the literature in RA is limited, ORM has been shown to have anti-inflammatory protective effects in other diseases. Exogenous ORM can significantly reduce the infarct size and neurological deficit score of ischemic stroke. The specific mechanism is to inhibit the production of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF- α , significantly reduce inflammation, improve

malondialdehyde (MDA) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) balance to reduce oxidative stress, and reduce the activity of caspase-3 to inhibit apoptosis (36). Based on data obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, previous research revealed that ORM1 was highly expressed and positively correlated with the expression of inflammatory factors (MAPK1, MAPK3, IL1B, and CASP9) (37). In general, ORM1 is a protein related to disease activity and is expected to become a marker for the treatment and diagnosis of RA. Our research group will study in depth the specific mechanism of ORM1 in future research.

However, one of the disadvantages in this experiment design is that proteins are the performers of functions; it is necessary to consider combining the results of transcriptome and metabolomics for multidimensional research. Also, the serum proteome has its limitations, and the perspective of synovial proteomics is worth further studying. If possible, the systematic research on the differentially expressed proteins should be screened out, combined with animal experiments, clinical trials, etc., using molecular biology techniques to verify and enrich the rationality of high-throughput screening results.

The innovation of this study is that we refer to the results of other RA proteomic studies to make our findings more convincing. Our analysis of differential proteins, combined with disease activity, is more clinically meaningful and valuable. In general, these rich data are expected to stimulate subsequent hypothesis-driven research, deepen our understanding of the pathogenesis of RA, provide potential biomarkers, and provide new strategies to facilitate the diagnosis and treatment of this harmful disease.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data presented in the study are deposited in the PRIDE and ProteomeXchange repository. ProteomeXchange accession number PXD032912.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine Ethics Committee.[2020]264. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

REFERENCES

- Tobón GJ, Youinou P, Saraux A. The Environment, Geo-Epidemiology, and Autoimmune Disease: Rheumatoid Arthritis. J Autoimmun (2010) 35:10–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2009.12.009
- Glick EN. Immunopathogenesis of Rheumatoid Arthritis. J R Soc Med (1980) 73:80. doi: 10.1177/014107688007300129
- Nies J, Krabben A, Schoones JW, Huizinga T, Kloppenburg M, Mil A.H.M.V.D.H.-V. What is the Evidence for the Presence of a Therapeutic Window of Opportunity in Rheumatoid Arthritis? A Systematic Literature Review. Ann Rheumat Dis (2014) 73:861–70. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-203130
- Wells G, Li T, Maxwell L, Maclean R, Tugwell P. Responsiveness of Patient Reported Outcomes Including Fatigue, Sleep Quality, Activity Limitation, and Quality of Life Following Treatment With Abatacept for Rheumatoid Arthritis. Ann Rheumat Dis (2008) 67:260–5. doi: 10.1136/ard.2007. 069690
- Kroot EJJA, Jong B, Leeuwen M, Swinkels H, Hoogen F.H.J.V.D., Hof MVT, et al. The Prognostic Value of Anti-Cyclic Citrullinated Peptide Antibody in Patients With Recent-Onset Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol* (2000) 43:1831–5. doi: 10.1002/1529-0131(200008)43:8<1831::AID-ANR19>3.0.CO;2-6
- Generali E, Santis M, Isailovic N, Palermo B, Selmi C. Rheumatoid Factor and Anti-Citrullinated Peptide Antibodies in the General Population: Hepatitis B and C Virus Association and 15-Year-Risk of Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* (2020) 39:38–43.
- Pincus T, Braun J, Kavanaugh A, Smolen J. Optimisation of Assessment for Rheumatic Diseases in Clinical Trials, Observational Studies and Routine Clinical Care. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* (2014) 32:S.
- Lourido L, Blanco FJ, Ruiz-Romero C. Defining the Proteomic Landscape of Rheumatoid Arthritis: Progress and Prospective Clinical Applications. *Expert Rev Proteomics* (2017) 14:431–44. doi: 10.1080/14789450.2017.1321481
- Cheng Y, Chen Y, Sun X, Li Y, Li Z. Identification of Potential Serum Biomarkers for Rheumatoid Arthritis by High-Resolution Quantitative Proteomic Analysis. *Inflammation* (2014) 37:1459–67. doi: 10.1007/s10753-014-9871-8
- Mun S, Lee J, Park A, Kim H-J, Kang H-G. Proteomics Approach for the Discovery of Rheumatoid Arthritis Biomarkers Using Mass Spectrometry. Int J Mol Sci (2019) 20:4368. doi: 10.3390/ijms20184368
- Zhang X, Smits AH, Tilburg GV, Jansen P, Makowski MM, Ovaa H, et al. An Interaction Landscape of Ubiquitin Signaling. *Mol Cell* (2017) 65:941– 955.e948. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2017.01.004
- Zhang X, Smits AH, Tilburg GV, Ovaa H, Huber W, Vermeulen M. Proteome-Wide Identification of Ubiquitin Interactions Using UbIA-MS. Nat Protoc (2018) 13:530. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2017.147

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

GC, LW, and CH conceived and designed the experiments. ZD and JX conducted the experiments and wrote the manuscript. LiZ, YX, ML, JY, LuZ, and HD provided some of the data. LL, MZ, JH, LW, and GC reviewed and revised the manuscript. CH and ZD have contributed equally to this work. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was funded by the Guangzhou Science Technology and Innovation Commission Technology Research Projects (No. 201904010336) and the Innovative and Strong Hospital Project of The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine (No. 211010010705).

- Owens RJ, Baralle FE. Mapping the Collagen-Binding Site of Human Fibronectin by Expression in Escherichia Coli. *EMBO J* (1986) 5:2825–30. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1986.tb04575.x
- Rostagno A, Williams MJ, Baron M, Campbell ID, Gold LI. Further Characterization of the NH2-Terminal Fibrin-Binding Site on Fibronectin. *J Biol Chem* (1995) 269:31938–45. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)31786-1
- Zollinger AJ, Smith ML. Fibronectin, the Extracellular Glue. Matrix Biol (2016) 60-61:27-37. doi: 10.1016/j.matbio.2016.07.011
- Akiyama SK, Olden K, Yamada KM. Fibronectin and Integrins in Invasion and Metastasis. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* (1995) 14:173-89. doi: 10.1007/ BF00690290.
- Midwood KS, Mao Y, Hsia HC, Valenick LV, Schwarzbauer JE. Modulation of Cell-Fibronectin Matrix Interactions During Tissue Repair. J Investig Dermatol SYMP Proc (2006) 11(1):73–8. doi: 10.1038/sj.jidsymp.5650005
- Kawelke N, Vasel M, Sens C, Au VA, Dooley S. Fibronectin Protects From Excessive Liver Fibrosis by Modulating the Availability of and Responsiveness of Stellate Cells to Active TGF-β. *PLoS One* (2011) 6(11):e28181. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0028181.
- Ruoslahti E. Fibronectin in Cell Adhesion and Invasion. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* (1984) 3:43–51. doi: 10.1007/BF00047692.
- Wang W-Y, Twu C-W, Liu Y-C, Lin H-H, Chen C-J. Fibronectin Promotes Nasopharyngeal Cancer Cell Motility and Proliferation. *Biomed Pharmacother* (2018) 109:1772–84. doi: doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.11.055.
- 21. Luo MZ, Jing-Bao LI, Sheng-Sheng LI, Cao JP, Meng R, Zhang W. Roles of Autocrine Soluble Fibronectin on the Maintenance of Cell Shape of Osteosarcoma MG-63 Cells. Xi Bao Yu Fen Zi Mian Yi Xue Za Zhi = Chin J Cell Mol Immunol (2010) 26:1210–3.
- Kopylov AT, Papysheva O, Gribova I, Kotaysch G, Morozov SG. Molecular Pathophysiology of Diabetes Mellitus During Pregnancy With Antenatal Complications. *Sci Rep* (2020) 10:19641. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-020-76689-9
- Ohkuro M, Kim JD, Kuboi Y, Hayashi Y, Fukamizu A. Calreticulin and Integrin Alpha Dissociation Induces Anti-Inflammatory Programming in Animal Models of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9:1982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04420-4
- 24. Yamada T, Okuda Y, Takasugi K, Itoh K, Igari J. Relative Serum Amyloid A (SAA) Values: The Influence of SAA1 Genotypes and Corticosteroid Treatment in Japanese Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis. Ann Rheumat Dis (2001) 60:124–7. doi: 10.1136/ard.60.2.124
- Getz GS, Reardon CA. Apoproteins E, A-I, and SAA in Macrophage Pathobiology Related to Atherogenesis. *Front Pharmacol* (2019) 10:536. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.00536
- Jang WY, Lee BR, Jeong J, Sung Y, Choi M, Song P, et al. Overexpression of Serum Amyloid a 1 Induces Depressive-Like Behavior in Mice. *Brain Res* (2016) 1654:55–65. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2016.09.003.

- 27. Aslan C, Maralbashi S, Salari F, Kahroba H, Sigaroodi F. Tumor-Derived Exosomes: Implication in Angiogenesis and Antiangiogenesis
- Cancer Therapy. J Cell Physiol (2019) 234:16885–903. doi: 10.1002/jcp.28374.
 28. Rühlmann C, Wlk T, Blümel T, Stahn L, Kuhla A. Long-Term Caloric Restriction in ApoE-Deficient Mice Results in Neuroprotection via Fgf21-Induced AMPK/mTOR Pathway. Aging (2016) 8:2777–89. doi: 10.18632/aging.101086
- Dobryszycka W. Biological Functions of Haptoglobin–New Pieces to an Old Puzzle. Eur J Clin Chem Clin Biochem J Forum Eur Clin Chem Soc (1997) 35:647.
- Petersen HH, Nielsen JP, Heegaard P. Application of Acute Phase Protein Measurements in Veterinary Clinical Chemistry. Vet Res (2004) 35:163–87. doi: 10.1051/vetres:2004002
- Smeets MB, Fontijn J, Kavelaars A, Pasterkamp G, Kleijn D. The Acute Phase Protein Haptoglobin is Locally Expressed in Arthritic and Oncological Tissues. *Int J Exp Pathol* (2010) 84:69–74. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2613.2003.00336.x
- Thompson S, Kelly CA, Griffiths ID, Turner GA. Abnormally-Fucosylated Serum Haptoglobins in Patients With Inflammatory Joint Disease. *Clin Chim Acta* (1989) 184:251–8. doi: 10.1016/0009-8981(89)90058-2
- 33. de Kleijn DP, Smeets MB, Kemmeren PP, Lim SK, Van Middelaar BJ, Velema E, et al. Acute-Phase Protein Haptoglobin is a Cell Migration Factor Involved in Arterial Restructuring. *FASEB J* (2002) 16:1123–5. doi: 10.1096/fj.02-0019fje
- Luo Z, Hong L, Yang S, Xia L, Su DF. Orosomucoid, an Acute Response Protein With Multiple Modulating Activities. J Physiol Biochem (2015) 71:329-40. doi: 10.1007/s13105-015-0389-9
- 35. Park YJ, Yoo SA, Hwang D, Cho CS, Kim WU. Identification of Novel Urinary Biomarkers for Assessing Disease Activity and Prognosis of

Rheumatoid Arthritis. Exp Mol Med (2016) 48:e211. doi: 10.1038/emm.2015.120

- Wan JJ, Wang PY, Zhang Y, Bo-Han HU, Sun Y, Dong-Ping XU, et al. Role of Acute Phase Protein ORM in A Mice Model of Ischemic Stroke. J Cell Physiol (2019) 234:20533–45. doi: 10.1002/jcp.28653
- Shi M, Ma X, Yang Q, Wang W, Dang Y. miR-362-3p Targets Orosomucoid 1 to Promote Cell Proliferation, Restrain Cell Apoptosis and Thereby Mitigate Hypoxia/Reoxygenation-Induced Cardiomyocytes Injury. *Cardiovasc Toxicol* (2021) 21:387–98. doi: 10.1007/s12012-020-09631-0

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Hu, Dai, Xu, Zhao, Xu, Li, Yu, Zhang, Deng, Liu, Zhang, Huang, Wu and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.