

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.





# Safety of major reconstructive surgery during the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic in the United Kingdom and Ireland multicentre national cohort study



N.G. Patel<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>, D. Reissis<sup>d</sup>, M. Mair<sup>e</sup>, A. Hart<sup>f</sup>, M. Ragbir<sup>g</sup>, H. Giele<sup>h</sup>, A. Mosahebi<sup>d</sup>, V. Ramakrishnan<sup>i,j</sup>, ReconSurg Collaborative<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Consultant Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeon, University Hospitals of Leicester, UK
<sup>b</sup> University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada
<sup>c</sup> Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester Royal Infirmary Hospital, Infirmary Square, Leicester, LE1 5WW UK
<sup>d</sup> Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust, Royal Free Hospital, Pond Street, Hampstead, London, NW3 2QG UK
<sup>e</sup> Department of Otorhinolaryngology, University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester Royal Infirmary Hospital, Infirmary Square, Leicester, LE1 5WW UK
<sup>f</sup> Canniesburn Plastic Surgery Unit, NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, 84 Castle Street, Glasgow, G4 0SF UK
<sup>g</sup> Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Trust, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Queen Victoria Road, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 4LP UK
<sup>h</sup> Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Headley Way, Headington, Oxford, OX3 9DU UK
<sup>1</sup> Anglia Ruskin University, Chelmsford UK

<sup>j</sup> St Andrew's Centre for Plastic Surgery and Burns, Mid Essex Hospital Services NHS Trust, Broomfield Hospital, Court Road, Chelmsford, Essex, CM1 7ET UK

Received 2 September 2020; accepted 2 December 2020

KEYWORDS COVID-19; **Summary** *Background:* The safety of surgery during and after the coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is paramount. Early reports of excessive perioperative mortality in COVID-positive patients promoted the widespread avoidance of operations. However, cancelling or

<sup>1</sup>**ReconSurg Collaborative**List of collaborating authors - Appendix 1List of collaborating centres in the UK and Ireland - Appendix 2 \* Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses*: nakul.patel@uhl-tr.nhs.uk (N.G. Patel), dreissis@nhs.net (D. Reissis), andrewhart@nhs.net (A. Hart), maniram.ragbir@ nuth.nhs.uk (M. Ragbir), henk.giele@mac.com (H. Giele), a.mosahebi@ucl.ac.uk (A. Mosahebi), venkat.ramakrishnan@meht.nhs.uk (V. Ramakrishnan).

### https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2020.12.032

1748-6815/Crown Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons. All rights reserved.

Reconstructive surgery; Major surgery; Free flap; Pedicled flap; Revascularisation; Safety; Mortality delaying operations for cancer, trauma, or functional restitution has resulted in increased morbidity and mortality.

*Methods:* A national multicentre cohort study of all major reconstructive operations carried out over a 12-week period of the 'COVID-19 surge' in the United Kingdom and Ireland was performed. Primary outcome was 30-day mortality and secondary outcome measures were major complications (Clavien-Dindo grade  $\geq$ 3) and COVID-19 status of patients and healthcare professionals before and after surgery.

*Results*: A total of 418 patients underwent major reconstructive surgery with a mean operating time of 7.5 hours and 12 days' inpatient stay. Cancer (59.8%) and trauma (29.4%) were the most common indications. COVID-19 infection was present in 4.5% of patients. The 30-day post-operative mortality was 0.2%, reflecting the death of one patient who was COVID-negative. Overall complication rate was 20.8%. COVID status did not correlate with major or minor complications. Eight healthcare professionals developed post-operative COVID-19 infection, seven of which occurred within the first three weeks.

*Conclusions:* Major reconstructive operations performed during the COVID-19 crisis have been mostly urgent cases involving all surgical specialties. This cohort is a surrogate for all major operations across all surgical specialties. Patient safety and surgical outcomes have been the same as in the pre-COVID era. With adequate precautions, major reconstructive surgery is safe for patients and staff. This study helps counsel patients of COVID-19 risks in the perioperative period.

Crown Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Since the World Health Organisation officially declared coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) a pandemic on 11 March 2020, healthcare systems and societies have been significantly disrupted.<sup>1,2</sup> Globally, it is estimated that over 28 million (72.3%) planned operative procedures have been cancelled during the 12-week peak of the pandemic, which affected all main surgical specialties.<sup>3</sup>

In the UK and Ireland, a national directive was passed throughout the National Health Service (NHS) on March 17 to cancel all non-urgent elective operations for a period of at least three months.<sup>4</sup> This was primarily intended to free up hospital beds and enable operating theatres and surgical staff to be re-deployed for treating COVID-19 patients.<sup>4,5</sup> However, a significant side effect of this has been to deprive patients of life-changing operations,<sup>6</sup> causing them increased pain, morbidity and mortality-risk,<sup>7</sup> with restricted function and reduced quality of life.<sup>8</sup> This will have a longer-term adverse impact for both individual patients and society as a whole.<sup>8-10</sup>

Recent reports of high mortality and complication rates associated with operating on COVID-positive patients<sup>11,12</sup> have reinforced this national response to cancel or delay operations. Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, 4.2 million patients were on waiting lists for NHS treatment. This has already increased significantly over the last three months<sup>9</sup> and is expected to reach 10 million patients by the end of 2020.

This national collaborative study recruited consecutive data from all registered reconstructive surgical centres in the UK and Ireland during the peak months of the COVID-19 pandemic, to analyse the safety and efficacy of major reconstructive operations. These operations are typically undertaken with other surgical specialties and are lengthy with a prolonged inpatient stay. The aim was to establish whether it was safe to recommence surgical practice. This would provide guidance for surgeons to better counsel their patients within the UK and Ireland as compared to previously published work.<sup>11,12</sup>

### Methods

### Design

A national multicentre cohort study of all major reconstructive operations carried out in the UK and Ireland over a 12week period, from 1 March 2020 to 23 May 2020, was performed.

Major reconstructive operations were defined as microsurgical tissue transfer, major pedicled flaps, replantations, and major limb revascularisations performed for elective, cancer or emergency indications, in both adults and children. Minor reconstructive or other surgical procedures were excluded.

The study was registered and approved as a clinical audit at the lead centre - University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust with registration number 10,596 - with Caldicott guardians' approval. Confirmation was obtained from a local scientific committee that ethical approval was not required.

### Data collection

Consultant reconstructive surgeons were contacted and allocated as principal collaborators in all 70 major reconstructive surgical centres within the UK and Ireland (Figs. 1 and 2). This included all centres listed within the British Association of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons (BAPRAS) direc-



### Fig. 1 Study design.

Major reconstructive surgery centres and surgical cases included, according to pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Surgical case mix by anatomical region.

tory<sup>13</sup> as well as centres performing major reconstructive oral and maxillo-facial surgery. Collaborators were provided with written guidance and remote support throughout the study period.

A specifically designed proforma was developed and securely distributed to collect only pertinent, routine, anonymised data with no change to clinical care pathways (Appendix 3). This was completed and returned for each consecutive case that met inclusion criteria.

Before locking the dataset for analysis, the local principal collaborator for each hospital was asked to confirm data completeness, including 30-day follow-up, and that all eligible patients had been entered into the database.

### Patients and healthcare professionals

Demographic variables recorded for patients included age, gender, ethnicity, comorbidities, smoking status, and American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status classification I-V.<sup>14</sup>

Operative variables included diagnosis, operation, type of flap/replantation, reconstructed body part, and date. The priority level of surgery was classified according to the NHS England speciality-specific surgical priority levels<sup>15</sup> on the basis of recommended time intervals from presentation to surgical treatment. Duration of the operating theatre episode (arrival of the patient in the anaesthetic room until leaving theatre) was also recorded, including total surgical time (knife-to-skin to last suture) and total non-surgical time (pre- and post-surgery).

Variables regarding the intraoperative use of personal protective equipment (PPE) and perioperative COVID-19 status were also collected. This included whether patients or healthcare professionals were clinically suspected of having COVID-19 before or within 2 weeks after surgery, and if they were tested for COVID-19 by swab test, chest x-ray or CT scan.

#### Outcomes

The primary outcome was all-cause 30-day post-operative mortality for all cases included in the study, with the day of surgery defined as day 0.

The key secondary outcome measures were major complications (Clavien-Dindo grade  $\geq$ 3),<sup>16</sup> and COVID-19 status before and after surgery for both patients and healthcare professionals. Additional secondary outcomes included minor post-operative complications (Clavien-Dindo grade  $\leq$  2), return to theatre and length of inpatient stay. Trends in the number of operations were also mapped against time and the national incidence of COVID-related deaths,<sup>18</sup> throughout the 12-week study period (Fig. 3).

In view of the national variations of perioperative testing during the study period,<sup>17</sup> patients and healthcare professionals with symptoms of COVID-19 prior to the introduction of routine antigen swab testing in each centre were



**Fig. 2** Geographical distribution of patients undergoing major reconstructive operations. Major reconstructive surgical caseload as a percentage of the total 418 cases performed, by geographical region in the UK and Ireland.

diagnosed based on clinical symptoms. After the introduction of testing in each centre, patients were diagnosed primarily based on results of their swab tests. However, if a patient had classical symptoms of COVID-19 either pre- or post-operatively but had a negative test result, they were also identified as COVID-positive, to account for the high false-negative rate of swab tests in use at the time.<sup>17</sup>

### Statistical analysis

Data were interrogated according to STROBE guidelines for observational studies.<sup>18</sup> Analysis was conducted using Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS), IBM Corp, for Windows version 21•0, (SPSS Inc., Armonk, NY, USA).

Continuous data were tested for distribution. Nonnormally distributed data were tested using the MannWhitney U test. The  $\chi^2$  and Fisher's exact tests were used for categorical data. The cut-off for theatre time and length of stay was defined based on the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. Relative risk (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were generated using the Stata for Windows version 15. P value <0.05 (two-sided) was considered statistically significant. Missing data were included in flowcharts and descriptive analyses, allowing denominators to remain consistent in calculations.

### Results

Response rate from the 70 centres performing major reconstructive surgery in the UK and Ireland was 100% (Fig. 1). Six centres did not perform any major reconstructive op-



Fig. 3 Major reconstructive surgery case numbers over time.

Number of major reconstructive surgeries performed over the 12-week study period (grey), alongside the national incidence of deaths associated with COVID-19 (black) and key time points regarding national policy changes in the UK and Ireland (red, green and blue).

erations during the study period. The remaining 64 centres submitted a total of 467 cases, of which 418 cases met the inclusion criteria for the study. By the date of final analysis (28 June 2020) 30-day follow-up data were available for all 418 patients.

# Number of major reconstructive operations performed

Case numbers varied between the different geographical regions of the UK and Ireland (Fig. 2), with the largest number performed in Scotland (76/418 [18.2%]), followed by London, England (62/418 [14.8%]) and South West England (55/418 [13.2%]).

Case numbers also varied during the 12-week study period (Fig. 3). Initially, there was a sizeable decrease in the frequency of major reconstructive cases, which was most marked during the period from 1 March to 4 April 2020.

Numbers remained low throughout the month of April and then increased again towards 40 cases per week by the end of May.

### **COVID-positive patients**

Nineteen (4.5% [19/418]) patients were COVID-positive perioperatively (Fig. 4). Eleven (2.6% [11/418]) were diagnosed pre-operatively (four positive swab tests and seven clinically diagnosed). Surgery was deferred for a minimum of ten days in these patients, following which two minor and one major (flap failure) post-operative complications occurred. A further eight (2.0%) patients were diagnosed with COVID-19 post-operatively (five positive swab tests and three clinically diagnosed). Of these, two patients developed complications, one major (prolonged ITU stay) and one minor (seroma collection). No 30-day post-operative mortality occurred in association with COVID-19.



Fig. 4 Patients diagnosed with COVID-19 perioperatively.

\* Clinically diagnosed prior to established swab testing protocols. <sup>A,B,C,D,E,F</sup> Each letter refers to a single patient in the above descriptors for complication.

# Comparisons between COVID-positive and COVID-negative patients

There was no significant gender difference in COVID status (p = 0.152) between male (219 [52.4%]) and female patients (199 [47.6%]) (Table 1). Mean age of the entire patient population was 51.6 years (range: 10-92 years), with COVID-positive patients having a significantly higher mean age of 63.4 years (range: 20-92 years and p = 0.005) than that of COVID-negative patients (mean 50.9 years and p = 0.005). The majority of patients (377 [90.2%]) were of white ethnic background and all COVID-positive patients were within this group.

The most prevalent comorbidities amongst all patients were hypertension (21.8%), diabetes (10.3%), asthma/COPD (10.3%) and cardiovascular disorders (9.6%). COVID-positive patients had a significantly higher incidence of hypertension (p = 0.000), asthma/COPD (p = 0.008), cardiovascular disorders (p = 0.026) or cerebrovascular disease (p = 0.014) (Table 1). Most patients were ASA grade II (227 [54.3%]), and COVID-positive patients (10/19 [52.6%]) were more likely to have a higher ASA grade (III/IV) (RR: 2.06 [95% CI: 1.3-3.26], p = 0.009) than that of the COVID-negative group (102/399 [25.6%]) (Fig. 5).

The majority of patients underwent major surgery for cancer (250 [59.8%]) or trauma (123 [29.4%]) and the distribution of these categorical diagnoses was similar between COVID-positive and COVID-negative patients (p=0.485) (Table 1). The majority of COVID-positive patients (63.2%) underwent cancer surgery. Sites of operations were heterogeneously distributed between head and neck (102 [24.4%]), trunk (149 [35.6%]), lower limb (128 [30.6%]) and upper limb (39 [9.3%]) with similar distribution between COVID-positive and COVID-negative patients (p=0.284) (Figs. 1 and 6). Of these operations, 247 (59.1%) involved microsurgical tissue transfer, 145 (34.7%) involved major pedicled flap recon-

struction and 26 (6.2%) were replantation or major revascularisation cases (Table 1). COVID-positive patients were more likely to undergo major pedicled flap reconstruction (RR: 1.61 [1.07-2.42] and p = 0.053).

Overall, 85% of cases were priority level 1a, 1b or 2 according to the NHS England speciality-specific surgical priority levels.<sup>16</sup> Of the 19 COVID-positive patients, 5 were priority level 1b (required surgery within 72 h) and 14 were priority level 2 (required surgery within 4 weeks).

All patients underwent major operations involving resection and/or reconstruction with a mean operating the atre duration of 7.5 h, with no significant difference between COVID-positive (mean 436.7 min) and COVID-negative patients (mean 451.7 min) (p=0.457). However, COVID-positive patients had a significantly higher mean length of inpatient stay of 16.1 days than that of 11.8 days for COVID-negative patients (p=0.031) (Fig. 5).

# Post-operative complications of major reconstructive surgery

Of the 418 major reconstructive operations performed during this 12-week period, major complications (Clavien-Dindo classification  $\geq$  3) were observed in 87 (20.8%) patients. The incidence of major complications was 15.8% (3/19) in the COVID-positive group and 21.1% (84/399) in the COVID-negative group was (RR:0.75 [0.26-2.16] and p = 0.775). Of the 87 patients with major complications, 64 (15.3%) required return to theatre and 20 (4.8%) had a prolonged ITU stay. There was no significant difference in any of these parameters between the two groups (Fig. 5).

Minor complications (Clavien-Dindo grade  $\leq 2$ ) were noted in 81 (19.4%) cases, which were similarly distributed between the COVID-positive (4/19 [21.1%]) and COVID-negative patients (77/399 [19.3%]) (p = 0.772) (Fig. 5).

Study Characteristics	All Patients	COVID-positive	COVID-negative	p-value
	418	19(4.5)	399(95.5)	
Age (Years)				
Mean	51.6	63.37	50.87	0.005
Median	54	71	54	
Gender				
Male	219(52.4)	6(31.6)	193(48.4)	0.152
Female	199(47.6)	13(68.4)	206(51.6)	
Comorbidities				
Hypertension	91(21.8)	11(57.9)	80(20.1)	0.000
Diabetic	43(10.3)	3(15.8)	40(10)	0.419
COPD/Asthma	43(10.3)	6(31.6)	37(9.3)	0.008
Chronic Kidney disease	14(3.3)	2(10.5)	12(3)	0.129
Cardiovascular disorder	40(9.6)	5(26.3)	35(8.8)	0.026
Cerebrovascular disorder	12(2.9)	3(15.8)	9(2.3)	0.014
Ethnicity				
White	377(90.2)	19(100)	358(89.7)	0.339
Non-White	30(7.2)	0(0)	30(7.5)	
Unknown	11(2.6)	0(0)	11(2.8)	
ASA Grade				
I	79(18.9)	2(10.5)	77(19.3)	0.000
II	227(54.3)	7(36.8)	220(55.1)	
III	99(23.7)	6(31.6)	93(23.3)	
IV	13(3.1)	4(21.1)	9(2.3)	
Diagnosis				
Benign	24(5.7)	0(0)	24(6)	0.485
Cancer	250(59.8)	12(63.2)	238(59.6)	
Infection	21(5)	2(10.5)	19(4.8)	
Trauma	123(29.4)	5(26.3)	118(29.6)	
Reconstruction Type				
Free Flap	247(59.1)	8(42.1)	239(59.9)	0.065
Pedicled Flap	145(34.7)	11(57.9)	134(33.6)	
Replant/Major revascularisation	26(6.2)	0(0)	26(6.5)	
Length of Stay (Number of days)				
Mean	11.98	16.1	11.79	0.031
Median	8	15	8	
Theatre Time (min)				
Mean	451.03	436.68	451.74	0.457
Median	450	400	450	
Priority Level of Surgery				
1a (within 24h)	28(6.7)	0(0)	28(7)	0.236
1b (within 72 h)	88(21.1)	5(26.3)	83(20.8)	
2 (within 4 weeks)	239(57.2)	14(73.7)	225(56.4)	
3 (within 3 months)	16(3.8)	0(0)	16(4)	
4 (More than 3 months)	47(11.2)	0(0)	47(11.8)	
Site of Surgery				
Head and neck cutaneous	43(10.3)	3(15.8)	40(10)	0.284
Head and neck mucosal	59(14.1)	5(26.3)	54(13.5)	
Lower limb	128(30.6)	6(31.6)	122(30.6)	
Trunk	149(35.6)	5(26.3)	144(36.1)	
Upper limb	39(9.3)	0(0)	39(9.8)	
Mortality	. ,		. ,	
All-cause 30-day mortality rate	1(0.2)	0	1(0.3)	0.955

Table 1Demographic data for all patients included in the study, in relation to their COVID-status perioperatively. p < 0.05 (bold)denotes statistical significance.

Study Characteristics	COVID Negative	COVID Positive		Relative Risk (95% Cl)	p-value
<b>ASA Grade</b> ASA I/II ASA III/IV	297/399 (74.4%) 102/399 (25.6%)	9/19 (47.3%) 10/19 (52.6%)	•	1 2.06 (1.30, 3.26)	0.009
Type of Reconstruction* Microvascular Tissue Transfer Major Pedicled Flap	239/373 (64.1%) 134/373 (35.9%)	8/19 (42.1%) 11/19 (57.9%)	*	1 1.61 (1.07, 2.42)	0.053
Theatre Time Less than 420 minutes More than 420 minutes	222/399 (55.6%) 177/399 (44.4%)	8/19 (42.1%) 11/19 (57.9%)	<b>•</b> • -	1 1.31 (0.88, 1.94)	0.247
<b>Return to Theatre</b> No Yes	336/399 (84.2%) 63/399 (15.8%)	18/19 (94.7%) 1/19 (5.3%)	• •	1 0.33 (0.05, 2.28)	0.331
Prolonged ITU Stay No Yes	381/399 (95.4%) 18/399 (4.5%)	17/19 (89.5%) 2/19 (10.5%)	• <u>*</u>	1 2.33 (0.58, 9.34)	0.229
<b>Major Complications</b> No Yes	315/399 (78.9%) 84/399 (21.1%)	16/19 (84.2%) 3/19 (15.8%)		1 0.75 (0.26, 2.16)	0.775
<b>Minor Complications</b> No Yes	322/399 (80.7%) 77/399 (19.3%)	15/19 (78.9%) 4/19 (21.1%)	<b>•</b>	1 1.09 (0.45, 2.67)	0.772
Length of Stay Less than 11 days More than 11 days	246/399 (61.7%) 153/399 (38.3%)	7/19 (36.8%) 12/19 (63.2%)	•	1 1.65 (1.14, 2.37)	0.030
			I I .0625 1	<b>I</b> 16	

Fig. 5 Key patient, surgical and post-operative outcomes in relation to COVID-status.

Forest plot. Distribution of various study characteristics between COVID-positive and COVID-negative patients. Relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). P-value <0.05 denotes statistical significance.

\*Replantation cases (26 patients) were excluded from this analysis as no COVID-positive patients underwent replant operations.

#### Mortality cases

The all-cause 30-day mortality rate was 0.2% (1/418). This accounted for a single patient who was COVID-negative throughout their treatment (Table 2). They were ASA grade IV, and underwent a 17.8 h neurosurgical resection involving craniectomy and reconstruction with microsurgical tissue transfer. On post-operative day 4, they had a cerebrovas-cular accident and died on day 7 post-operatively.

Only one other death was reported, which occurred after the 30-day follow-up period. This occurred on postoperative day 31 in a patient who was ASA grade III and had undergone coronary artery bypass grafting complicated by sternal dehiscence, multiple surgical debridements and subsequent reconstruction with a pedicled omental flap. Following discharge from hospital, the patient was readmitted and subsequently diagnosed with COVID-19 on post-operative day 10, before the patient 21 days later. The patient had multiple cardiac, respiratory and metabolic comorbidities (Table 2).

### **COVID**-positive healthcare professionals

No healthcare professionals were known to be COVIDpositive preoperatively. Eight healthcare professionals were diagnosed with COVID-19 within two weeks of treating eight separate patients (Fig. 7), giving a 1.9% infection rate for healthcare professionals. One was diagnosed with antigen swab test and seven were clinically diagnosed. Five of these were involved in potentially aerosol-generating procedures of the head and neck and four had not used their FFP3 (or equivalent) masque throughout the operation. Seven occurred within the first three weeks of March, prior to the introduction of PPE guidelines for healthcare professionals.

### Discussion

COVID-19 and associated national directives introduced during the pandemic have caused a significant reduction in surgical activity over this 12-week peak period throughout the UK and Ireland (Fig. 3). However, a sizeable cancer and trauma reconstructive caseload continued, providing a representative population in which to study the safety of major reconstructive surgery for consecutive patients.

The post-operative outcomes for patients nationally demonstrate the safety of major reconstructive surgery performed during the COVID-19 pandemic. These are comparable to published data from the pre-COVID era,<sup>19,20</sup> including the UK national flap registry.<sup>21</sup>



Fig. 6 Major reconstructive surgery case numbers according to anatomical site and surgical specialties involved in the patients' care.

(A) Distribution of surgical case numbers according to anatomical site of the body. (B) Frequency of COVID-19-positive patients diagnosed perioperatively according to anatomical site of the body.



Fig. 7 Healthcare professionals diagnosed with COVID-19 perioperatively. \* Clinically diagnosed prior to swab testing protocol.

Major reconstructive surgery has been performed to a high standard, despite the multiple challenges faced throughout the healthcare sector, both inside and outside the operating theatre. These included altered theatre environments, unfamiliar theatre teams, delayed start times, increased changeover times between cases, confusion regarding testing for patients and staff, evolving PPE requirements, and both communication and technical difficulties while wearing PPE in the theatre.

Furthermore, high-quality outcomes were maintained in this patient population with high incidence of factors known to be associated with increased morbidity and mortality,<sup>22</sup>

Table 2	Key demo	graphic and	surgical f	actors rel	lated to	o mortality.
---------	----------	-------------	------------	------------	----------	--------------

	Case 1 (7-day mortality)	Case 2 (31-day mortality)
COVID-19 status	Swab test negative, no symptoms of COVID-19	COVID-19 negative throughout initial hospital admission, including operation. Discharged home and later re-admitted, with subsequent COVID-positive swab test (day-10 post-operatively)
Age	>65 years old	>65 years old
ASA grade	IV	III
Urgency of surgery	NHS England priority level 2	NHS England priority level 2
Complexity of surgery	Neurosurgical resection, neck dissection and free flap reconstruction	Coronary artery bypass grafts complicated by sternal dehiscence and sternal osteomyelitis, requiring multiple repeat debridements and omental flap reconstruction through laparotomy
Surgical time	17.8 h	2.1 h
Post-operative	Clavien-Dindo grade 4 and 5	Clavien-Dindo grade 4 and 5 (COVID
complications	(stroke, respiratory failure requiring ventilation and death)	pneumonia, respiratory failure and death)
Death	Day 7 post-operatively	Day 31 post-operatively
Mortality rate	0.2% 30-day mortality rate	0.4% overall mortality rate

including urgency (85.0% priority levels 1a, 1b and 2), complexity (59.1% microsurgical tissue transfer), long duration (average duration 7.5 h), long inpatient stay (12 days), cancer (59.8%) or trauma (29.4%) diagnosis and raised ASA grade (81.1% ASA II-IV).

This is the first report of the all-cause 30-day mortality rate (0.2%) in a complete population in which the denominator is known. This stands in contrast to the 20.5%<sup>11</sup> and 23.8%<sup>12</sup> peri-operative mortality risk published for COVIDpositive patients only, which may be less representative of the overall population undergoing surgery during the pandemic.<sup>23</sup> The current studied population had a COVID-19 incidence of 4.5% (19/418), which reflects the general population incidence at the time (6.8%).<sup>24</sup> As a result, our data report a lower risk prediction for all consecutive patients undergoing major reconstructive surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic. COVID-19 positive status was not associated with increased mortality or morbidity risk throughout the healthcare systems of the UK and Ireland.

The all-cause 30-day post-operative mortality rate was 0.2% (1/418), with an additional death occurring after 30days post-operatively (0.4% overall mortality) (Table 2). Both deaths were the result of multiple patient and surgical factors, in patients for whom a high mortality risk would have been predicted independently of COVID-19. These mortality rates are comparable to in-hospital mortality reported in the UK national flap registry<sup>21</sup> (overall mortality 0.5% (16/3486)) and reported figures from the pre-COVID era of 4% in-hospital mortality<sup>19</sup> and 7.4% 30-day mortality<sup>20</sup> for all surgical specialties.

Furthermore, our results support those published from a large series of major cancer operations performed in a single centre in India<sup>25</sup> during the same time period. Although unable to report 30-day mortality, no post-operative deaths were reported from 494 operations, and the complication rate was not increased in their six COVID-positive patients.

In deciding whether or not to offer appropriate surgery for patients during the COVID-19 pandemic, the potential risk of operating must be set against the known risk of not operating. Worldwide, over 2 million (37.7%) cancer operations are estimated to have been cancelled or delayed due to the pandemic.<sup>3</sup> A 6-month delay in cancer surgery provision is known to cost each patient 2.19 of the life years they should have gained,<sup>7</sup> resulting in the population losing 59% of the resource-adjusted life years gained by hospital treatment of an equivalent number of COVID-19 patients.<sup>7</sup> In the UK, 27.8% of excess mortality during the pandemic did not involve COVID-19 infection.<sup>26</sup> Moreover, prior to the pandemic, trauma accounted for over £3.5 billion annual lost economic activity within the UK.<sup>27</sup> Without timely reconstructive surgery, the socio-economic cost, functional and psychological impact of cancer, trauma, and congenital abnormalities will significantly increase throughout the population.

### Conclusion

Complex major reconstructive operations have been performed and continue to be performed throughout the COVID-19 pandemic in the UK and Ireland. Patient safety and post-operative outcomes have been demonstrated to be equivalent to the pre-COVID era. These data may inform patient-based discussion and decision-making regarding undergoing surgery during the pandemic and beyond.

### **Competing interest**

None.

### Transparency declaration

The authorship group confirms that this manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study. No important areas have been omitted.

## Patient and Public Involvement

Not appropriate.

### Funding sources

None.

### Acknowledgements

The study was conceived and coordinated by Venkat Ramakrishnan and Nakul Gamanlal Patel.

Data coordination by Afshin Mosahebi, Maniram Ragbir, Henk Giele, Venkat Ramakrishnan and Nakul Gamanlal Patel.

Writing by Dimitris Reissis, Henk Giele, Afshin Mosahebi and Andrew Hart.

Data analysis, including tables and forest plots by Manish Mair.

Data interpretation by Manish Mair, Dimitris Reissis, Venkat Ramakrishnan and Nakul Gamanlal Patel.

Figures drawn by Nakul Gamanlal Patel.

Data Collection: ReconSurg Collaborative (Appendix 1).

Data entry: Kishan Patel, Ahmed Ibrahim, Dimitris Reissis, Vivek Sharma and Lucie Wright.

Major Reconstructive Centres included (Appendix 2).

### Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2020.12. 032.

### References

- Sipido KR, Antoñanzas F, Celis J, Degos L, Frackowiak R, Fuster V, Ganten D, Gay S, Hofstraat H, Holgate ST, Krestin G. Overcoming fragmentation of health research in Europe: lessons from COVID-19. Lancet 2020;395:1970-1.
- World Health Organization (2020) Coronavirus (COVID-19). Updated country preparedness and response status for COVID-19 as of 9 June 2020. June 9, 2020. https://www.who. int/publications/i/item/updated-country-preparedness-andresponse-status-for-covid-19-as-of-9-june-2020. Accessed: June 16, 2020.
- **3.** COVIDSurg CollaborativeElective surgery cancellations due to the COVID-19 pandemic: global predictive modelling to inform surgical recovery plans. *Br J Surg* 2020;**12** 10-02.
- 4. NHS England and NHS improvement. mportant and urgent next steps on NHS response to covid-19/; March 17, 2020 https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/03/urgent-next-steps-on-nhs-response-to-covid-19-letter-simon-stevens.pdf Accessed: June 8, 2020.

- 5. Diaz A, Sarac BA, Schoenbrunner AR, Janis JE, Pawlik TM. Elective surgery in the time of COVID-19. *Am J Surg* 2020;219:900-2.
- RCS England. Recovery of surgical services. May 26, 2020. https://www.rcseng.ac.uk/coronavirus/recovery-ofsurgical-services/. Accessed: June 8, 2020.
- Sud A, Jones M, Broggio J, Loveday C, Torr B, Garrett A, Nicol DL, Jhanji S, Boyce SA, Gronthoud F, Ward P. Collateral damage: the impact on outcomes from cancer surgery of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Ann Oncol* 2020 Published online May 19. doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2020.05.009.
- Søreide K, Hallet J, Matthews JB, Schnitzbauer AA, Line PD, Lai PB, Otero J, Callegaro D, Warner SG, Baxter NN, Teh CS. Immediate and long-term impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on delivery of surgical services. *Br J Surg* 2020 published online April 9. doi:10.1002/bjs.11670.
- 9. NHS Confederation. Getting the NHS back on track planning for the next phase of Covid-19. June 9, 2020. https://www.nhsconfed.org/-/media/Confederation/ Files/Publications/Documents/REPORT\_NHS-Reset\_ Getting-NHS-back-on-track\_FNL.pdf. Accessed: June 14, 2020.
- BAPRAS Breast reconstruction working group. Restarting breast reconstruction services - immediate reconstruction. June 17, 2020. http://www.bapras.org.uk/docs/default-source/ default-document-library/restarting-breast-recon-pathways. pdf?sfvrsn=2. Accessed: June 18, 2020.
- Lei S, Jiang F, Su W, Chen C, Chen J, Mei W, Zhan LY, Jia Y, Zhang L, Liu D, Xia ZY. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients undergoing surgeries during the incubation period of COVID-19 infection. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020 Apr 5:100331.
- Surg COVIDCollaborative. Mortality and pulmonary complications in patients undergoing surgery with perioperative SARS-CoV-2 infection: an international cohort study. *Lancet* 2020 published online May 29. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31182-X.
- British Association of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons. Find a Unit. http://www.bapras.org.uk/home/find-a-unit. Accessed: May 12, 2020.
- American Society of Anesthesiologists. ASA physical status classification system. October 23, 2019. https://www.asahq.org/standards-and-guidelines/ asa-physical-status-classification-system. Accessed: June 18, 2020.
- NHS England Clinical guide to surgical prioritisation during the coronavirus pandemic. April 11, 2020. https://www. england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/ 2020/03/C0221-specialty-guide-surgical-prioritisation-v1.pdf. Accessed: May 12, 2020
- 16. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Ann Surg 2004;240:205-13.
- Watson J, Whiting PF, Brush JE. Interpreting a covid-19 test result. *BMJ* 2020;369 m1808.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, G tzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007;370:1453-7.
- Pearse RM, Moreno RP, Bauer P, Pelosi P, Metnitz P, Spies C, Vallet B, Vincent JL, Hoeft A, Rhodes A. Mortality after surgery in Europe: a 7 day cohort study. *Lancet* 2012;380:1059-65.
- Hackett NJ, De Oliveira GS, Jain UK, Kim JY. ASA class is a reliable independent predictor of medical complications and mortality following surgery. *Int J Surg* 2015;18:184-90.
- 21. British Association of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons. UK National Flap Registry. https://rs2.e-dendrite.com/csp/ bapras/frontpages/index.html. Accessed: June 28, 2020.

- 22. Pearse RM, Harrison DA, James P, Watson D, Hinds C, Rhodes A, Grounds RM, Bennett ED. Identification and characterisation of the high-risk surgical population in the United Kingdom. *Crit. Care* 2006;10:1-6.
- 23. Tuech JJ, Schwarz L. Can we operate our patients without fear during the period of COVID-19 infection? *EClinicalMedicine* 2020 May 17, 100382.
- 24. Office for National Statistics (ONS). Coronavirus (COVID-19) infection survey pilot. 28 May 2020. https://www.ons.gov. uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/ conditionsanddiseases/bulletins/coronaviruscovid19 infectionsurveypilot/28may2020. Accessed: June 6, 2020.
- 25. Shrikhande SV, Pai PS, Bhandare MS, Bakshi G, Chaukar DA, Chaturvedi P, Goel M, Gulia A, Qureshi SS, Maheshwari A, Moiyadi A. Outcomes of elective major cancer surgery during COVID 19 at Tata memorial centre: implications for cancer care

policy. Ann Surg 2020 published online June 8. doi:10.1097/ SLA.00000000004116.

- 26. Office for National Statistics (ONS)Analysis of death registrations not involving coronavirus (COVID-19). England and Wales 2020 28 December 2019 to 1 May 2020. June 5, 2020 https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/articles/analysisofdeathregistrationsnotinvolvingcoronaviruscovid 19englandandwales28december2019to1may2020/technicalannex. Accessed June 18.
- 27. NHS Confederation. Implementing trauma systems: key issues for the NHS. August 11, 2010. https://www.nhsconfed.org/~ /media/Confederation/Files/Publications/Documents/ Implementing\_trauma\_systems\_report.pdf. Accessed: June 28, 2020.